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#### Abstract

We study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a system of nonlinear second-order difference equations subject to multi-point boundary conditions.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the system of nonlinear second-order difference equations

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u_{n-1}+f\left(n, v_{n}\right)=0, & n=\overline{1, N-1},  \tag{S}\\ \Delta^{2} v_{n-1}+g\left(n, u_{n}\right)=0, & n=\overline{1, N-1},\end{cases}
$$

with the multi-point boundary conditions
$(B C)$

$$
u_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i} u_{\xi_{i}}, u_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i} u_{\eta_{i}}, \quad v_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} v_{\zeta_{i}}, \quad v_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i} v_{\rho_{i}}
$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}, N \geq 2, p, q, r, l \in \mathbb{N}, \Delta$ is the forward difference operator with stepsize $1, \Delta u_{n}=u_{n+1}-u_{n}, \Delta^{2} u_{n-1}=u_{n+1}-2 u_{n}+u_{n-1}$, and $n=\overline{k, m}$ means that $n=k, k+1, \ldots, m$ for $k, m \in \mathbb{N}, \xi_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i=\overline{1, p}, \eta_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i=\overline{1, q}$, $\zeta_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i=\overline{1, r}, \rho_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i=\overline{1, l}, 1 \leq \xi_{1}<\ldots<\xi_{p} \leq N-1,1 \leq \eta_{1}<$ $\cdots<\eta_{q} \leq N-1,1 \leq \zeta_{1}<\cdots<\zeta_{r} \leq N-1$ and $1 \leq \rho_{1}<\cdots<\rho_{l} \leq N-1$.

Under some assumptions on $f$ and $g$, we prove the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of problem $(S)-(B C)$, by applying the fixed point index theory. By a positive solution of $(S)-(B C)$, we understand a pair of sequences $\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}},\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}\right)$ which satisfies $(S)$ and $(B C)$ and $u_{n} \geq 0, v_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$ and $\max _{n=\overline{0, N}} u_{n}>0, \max _{n=\overline{0, N}} v_{n}>0$. This problem is a generalization of the one studied in [2] where $a_{i}=0$ for all $i=\overline{1, p}$ and $c_{i}=0$ for all $i=$ $\overline{1, r}$. In the last years, some multi-point boundary value problems for systems of
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nonlinear second-order difference equations which involve positive eigenvalues have been investigated. Namely, in [4] and [5], by using the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem, the authors give sufficient conditions for $\lambda, \mu, f$ and $g$ such that the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta^{2} u_{n-1}+\lambda s_{n} \widetilde{f}\left(n, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=0, \quad n=\overline{1, N-1}  \tag{1}\\
\Delta^{2} v_{n-1}+\mu t_{n} \widetilde{g}\left(n, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=0, \quad n=\overline{1, N-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the boundary conditions $(B C)$ has positive solutions $\left(u_{n} \geq 0, v_{n} \geq 0\right.$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$ and $(u, v) \neq(0,0))$. They also study here the nonexistence of positive solutions of $\left(S_{1}\right)-(B C)$. In [3], the system $(S)$ with $f\left(n, v_{n}\right)=\widetilde{c}_{n} \widetilde{\widetilde{f}}\left(v_{n}\right)$ and $g\left(n, u_{n}\right)=\widetilde{d}_{n} \tilde{\widetilde{g}}\left(u_{n}\right)$ has been investigated with the boundary conditions $\alpha u_{0}-$ $\beta \Delta u_{0}=0, u_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} u_{\xi_{i}}+a_{0}, \gamma v_{0}-\delta \Delta v_{0}=0, v_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} b_{i} v_{\eta_{i}}+b_{0}$ where $a_{0}, b_{0}>0$. In this last paper, the existence of positive solutions is proved by using the Schauder fixed point theorem and the nonexistence of positive solutions is also studied.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some auxiliary results from [4] which investigate a boundary value problem for second-order difference equations (problem (1) - (2) below). In Section 3, we prove our main results, and an example which illustrate the obtained results is given in Section 4.

## 2. Auxiliary results

In this section, we present some auxiliary results from [4] related to the following second-order difference system with multi-point boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{2} u_{n-1}+y_{n}=0, \quad n=\overline{1, N-1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& u_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i} u_{\xi_{i}}, \quad u_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i} u_{\eta_{i}} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. ([4]) If $\Delta_{1}=\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i} \xi_{i}+\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i}\right)\left(N-\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i} \eta_{i}\right) \neq$ $0,1 \leq \xi_{1}<\cdots<\xi_{p} \leq N-1,1 \leq \eta_{1}<\cdots<\eta_{q} \leq N-1$ and $y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $n=\overline{1, N-1}$, then the solution of (2.1)-(2.2) is given by $u_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, j) y_{j}$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$, where $G_{1}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}(n, j)=g_{0}(n, j)+\frac{1}{\Delta_{1}}\left[(N-n)\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{q} b_{k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i}\left(N-\eta_{i}\right)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i} g_{0}\left(\xi_{i}, j\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta_{1}}\left[n\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i} \xi_{i}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i} g_{0}\left(\eta_{i}, j\right), \quad n=\overline{0, N}, \quad j=\overline{1, N-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
g_{0}(n, j)=\frac{1}{N} \begin{cases}j(N-n), & 1 \leq j \leq n \leq N \\ n(N-j), & 0 \leq n \leq j \leq N-1\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.2. ([4]) If $a_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, p}, \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i}<1, b_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, q}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i}<1,1 \leq \xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{p} \leq N-1,1 \leq \eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots<\eta_{q} \leq N-1$, then the Green's function $G_{1}$ of the problem (2.1)-(2.2), given by (2.3), satisfies $G_{1}(n, j) \geq 0$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}, j=\overline{1, N-1}$. Moreover, if $y_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n=$ $\overline{1, N-1}$, then the unique solution $u_{n}, n=\overline{0, N}$, of the problem (2.1)-(2.2) satisfies $u_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$.
Lemma 2.3. ([4]) Assume that $a_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, p}, \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i}<1, b_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, q}, \sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i}<1,1 \leq \xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{p} \leq N-1,1 \leq \eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots<$ $\eta_{q} \leq N-1$. Then the Green's function $G_{1}$ of the problem (2.1)-(2.2) satisfies the inequalities
a) $G_{1}(n, j) \leq I_{1}(j), \quad \forall n=\overline{0, N}, j=\overline{1, N-1}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}(j)=g_{0}(j, j)+\frac{1}{\Delta_{1}}\left(N-\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i} \eta_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i} g_{0}\left(\xi_{i}, j\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\Delta_{1}}\left(N-\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i}\left(N-\xi_{i}\right)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i} g_{0}\left(\eta_{i}, j\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

b) For every $c \in\{1, \ldots,[N / 2]\}$, we have

$$
\min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}}^{\min } G_{1}(n, j) \geq \gamma_{1} I_{1}(j) \geq \gamma_{1} G_{1}\left(n^{\prime}, j\right), \quad \forall n^{\prime}=\overline{0, N}, \quad j=\overline{1, N-1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1}=\min \{ & \frac{c}{N-1}, \frac{c\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{q} b_{k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i}\left(N-\eta_{i}\right)}{N-\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i} \eta_{i}}, \\
& \left.\frac{c\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i} \xi_{i}}{N-\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i}\left(N-\xi_{i}\right)}\right\}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.4. ([4]) Assume that $a_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, p}, \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i}<1, b_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, q}, \sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i}<1,1 \leq \xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{p} \leq N-1,1 \leq \eta_{1}<$ $\eta_{2}<\cdots<\eta_{q} \leq N-1, c \in\{1, \ldots,[N / 2]\}$ and $y_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n=\overline{1, N-1}$. Then the solution $u_{n}, n=\overline{0, N}$, of the problem (2.1)-(2.2) satisfies the inequality $\min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} u_{n} \geq \gamma_{1} \max _{m=\overline{0, N}} u_{m}$.

Similar results as Lemmas 2.1-2.4 above are also obtained for the discrete boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} v_{n-1}+h_{n}=0, \quad n=\overline{1, N-1}  \tag{2.4}\\
v_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} v_{\zeta_{i}}, \quad v_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i} v_{\rho_{i}} \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $1 \leq \zeta_{1}<\cdots<\zeta_{r} \leq N-1, c_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=\overline{1, r}, 1 \leq \rho_{1}<\cdots<\rho_{l} \leq N-1$, $d_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=\overline{1, l}$ and $h_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $n=\overline{1, N-1}$. We denote by $\Delta_{2}, \gamma_{2}, G_{2}$ and $I_{2}$ the corresponding constants and functions for the problem (2.4)-(2.5) defined in a similar manner as $\Delta_{1}, \gamma_{1}, G_{1}$ and $I_{1}$, respectively.

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we give sufficient conditions on $f$ and $g$ such that positive solutions with respect to a cone for our problem $(S)-(B C)$ exist.

We present the basic assumptions that we use in the sequel
(A1) $1 \leq \xi_{1}<\cdots<\xi_{p} \leq N-1, a_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, p}, \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i}<1,1 \leq \eta_{1}<$ $\cdots<\eta_{q} \leq N-1, b_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, q}, \sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i}<1,1 \leq \zeta_{1}<\cdots<\zeta_{r} \leq$ $N-1, c_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, r}, \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i}<1,1 \leq \rho_{1}<\cdots<\rho_{l} \leq N-1$, $d_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=\overline{1, l}, \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i}<1$.
(A2) The functions $f, g:\{1, . ., N-1\} \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are continuous and $f(n, 0)=0, g(n, 0)=0$, for all $n=\overline{1, N-1}$.
The pair of sequences $\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}},\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}\right), u_{n} \geq 0, v_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$ is a solution for the problem $(S)-(B C)$ if and only if $\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}},\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}\right)$, $u_{n} \geq 0, v_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$ is a solution for the nonlinear system

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) f\left(i, v_{i}\right), & n=\overline{0, N}  \tag{3.1}\\ v_{n} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(n, i) g\left(i, u_{i}\right), \quad n=\overline{0, N}\end{cases}
$$

Besides, the system (3.1) can be written as the nonlinear system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) f\left(i, \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right), n=\overline{0, N} \\
v_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(n, i) g\left(i, u_{i}\right), n=\overline{0, N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We consider the Banach space $X=\mathbb{R}^{N+1}=\left\{u=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right), u_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=\overline{0, N}\right\}$ with maximum norm $\|\cdot\|,\|u\|=\max _{i=\overline{0, N}}\left|u_{i}\right|$, for $u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, and define the cone $P \subset X$ by $P=\left\{u \in X, u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, \quad u_{n} \geq 0, n=\overline{0, N}\right\}$.

We also define the operators $\mathcal{A}: P \rightarrow X, \mathcal{B}: P \rightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{C}: P \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) f\left(i, \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right)\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}} \\
& \left.\mathcal{B}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}\right)\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) u_{i}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}, \mathcal{C}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(n, i) u_{i}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the assumptions $(A 1),(A 2)$, using also Lemma 2.2 , it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are completely continuous from $P$ to $P$. Thus the existence and multiplicity
of positive solutions of the system $(S)-(B C)$ are equivalent to the existence and multiplicity of fixed points of the operator $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. In addition, we suppose that there exists $c \in\{1, \ldots,[N / 2]\}$ such that the following assumptions are satisfied (A3) There exists a positive constant $p_{1} \in(0,1]$ such that
i) $f_{\infty}^{i}=\liminf _{u \rightarrow \infty} \min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} \frac{f(n, u)}{u^{p_{1}}} \in(0, \infty] ;$ ii) $g_{\infty}^{i}=\liminf _{u \rightarrow \infty} \min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} \frac{g(n, u)}{u^{1 / p_{1}}}=\infty$;
(A4) There exists a positive constant $q_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\text { i) } f_{0}^{s}=\limsup _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \max _{n=\overline{1, N-1}} \frac{f(n, u)}{u^{q_{1}}} \in[0, \infty) ; \text { ii) } g_{0}^{s}=\limsup _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \max _{n=\overline{1, N-1}} \frac{g(n, u)}{u^{1 / q_{1}}}=0
$$

Then the problem $(S)-(B C)$ has at least one positive solution $\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}},\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}\right)$.
Proof. From assumption i) of ( $A 3$ ), we deduce that there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n, u) \geq C_{1} u^{p_{1}}-C_{2}, \quad \forall n=\overline{1, N-1}, \quad u \in[0, \infty) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $u \in P$, by using (3.2), the reverse form of Cauchy inequality and Lemma 2.3, we have for $p \in(0,1]$ that there exist $\widetilde{C}_{1}, C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{A} u)_{n} \geq \widetilde{C}_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\left(G_{2}(i, j)\right)^{p_{1}}\left(g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right)^{p_{1}}\right)-C_{3}, \quad \forall n=\overline{0, N} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define the cone $P_{0}=\left\{u \in P ; \min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} u_{n} \geq \gamma\|u\|\right\}$, where $\gamma=$ $\min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$ and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ are defined in Section 2. From our assumptions and Lemma 2.4, we conclude that for any $y \in P, y=\left(y_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, the sequences $u=\mathcal{B}(y), u=$ $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$ and $v=\mathcal{C}(y), v=\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$ satisfy the inequalities $\min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} u_{n} \geq$ $\gamma_{1}\|u\| \geq \gamma\|u\|$ and $\min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} v_{n} \geq \gamma_{2}\|v\| \geq \gamma\|v\|$. So $u=\mathcal{B}(y), v=\mathcal{C}(y) \in P_{0}$. Therefore we deduce that $\mathcal{B}(P) \subset P_{0}, \mathcal{C}(P) \subset P_{0}$.

We denote by $u^{0}=\left(u_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$ the solution of the problem (2.1)-(2.2) for $y^{0}=$ $\left(y_{n}^{0}\right)_{n=\overline{1, N-1}}, y_{n}^{0}=1$ for $n=\overline{1, N-1}$. Then by Lemma 2.2, we obtain $u_{n}^{0}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) \geq 0$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$. So $u^{0}=\mathcal{B}\left(y^{0}\right) \in P_{0}$.

Now let the set $M=\left\{u \in P\right.$; there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\left.u=\mathcal{A} u+\lambda u^{0}\right\}$. We shall show that $M$ is a bounded subset of $X$. If $u \in M$, then there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $u=\mathcal{A} u+\lambda u^{0}, u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, with $u_{n}=(\mathcal{A} u)_{n}+\lambda u_{n}^{0}$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$. Then we have $u_{n}=(\mathcal{A} u)_{n}+\lambda u_{n}^{0}=\left(\mathcal{B}\left(F(u)+\lambda y^{0}\right)\right)_{n}$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$, so $u \in P_{0}$, where $F: P \rightarrow P$ is defined by $(F u)_{n}=f\left(n, \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(n, i) g\left(i, u_{i}\right)\right), n=\overline{0, N}$. Therefore $M \subset P_{0}$, and from the definition of $P_{0}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} u_{n}, \quad \forall u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}} \in M \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ii) of assumption (A3), we conclude that for $\varepsilon_{0}=2 /\left(\widetilde{C}_{1} m_{1} m_{2} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{p_{1}}\right)$ there exists $C_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g(n, u))^{p_{1}} \geq \varepsilon_{0} u-C_{4}, \quad \forall n=\overline{c, N-c}, \quad u \in[0, \infty) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{1}=\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} I_{1}(i)>0$ and $m_{2}=\sum_{i=c}^{N-c}\left(I_{2}(i)\right)^{p_{1}}>0$.
For $u \in M$ and $n=\overline{c, N-c}$, by using Lemma 2.3 and relations (3.3), (3.5), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n}=(\mathcal{A} u)_{n}+\lambda u_{n}^{0} \geq(\mathcal{A} u)_{n} \\
& \geq \widetilde{C}_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\left(G_{2}(i, j)\right)^{p_{1}}\left(g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right)^{p_{1}}\right)-C_{3} \\
& \geq \widetilde{C}_{1} \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c}\left(G_{2}(i, j)\right)^{p_{1}}\left(g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right)^{p_{1}}\right)-C_{3} \\
& \geq \widetilde{C}_{1} \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} \gamma_{2}^{p_{1}}\left(I_{1}(j)\right)^{p_{1}}\left(g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right)^{p_{1}}\right)-C_{3} \\
& \geq \widetilde{C}_{1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} I_{1}(i)\left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c}\left(I_{1}(j)\right)^{p_{1}}\left(\varepsilon_{0} u_{j}-C_{4}\right)\right)-C_{3} \\
& \geq \widetilde{C}_{1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{p_{1}} \varepsilon_{0}\left(\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} I_{1}(i)\right)\left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c}\left(I_{1}(j)\right)^{p_{1}}\right){ }_{j=\overline{c, N}}^{\min -c} u_{j}-C_{5} \\
& =\widetilde{C}_{1} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{p_{1}} \varepsilon_{0} m_{1} m_{2} \underset{j=\overline{c, N-c}}{\min } u_{j}-C_{5}=2 \underset{j=\overline{c, N-c}}{\min } u_{j}-C_{5},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{5}=C_{3}+\widetilde{C}_{1} C_{4} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{p_{1}} m_{1} m_{2}>0$.
Hence $\min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} u_{n} \geq 2 \min _{j=\overline{c, N-c}} u_{j}-C_{5}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} u_{n} \leq C_{5}, \quad \forall u \in M \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from relations (3.4) and (3.6), we deduce that $\|u\| \leq \min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} u_{n} / \gamma \leq$ $C_{5} / \gamma$ for all $u \in M$, that is $M$ is a bounded subset of $X$.

Therefore there exists a sufficiently large $L>0$ such that $u \neq \mathcal{A} u+\lambda u_{0}$ for all $u \in \partial B_{L} \cap P$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. From [1] (see also Lemma 2 from [6]), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{L} \cap P, P\right)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, from assumptions $(A 4)$ and $(A 2)$, we deduce that there exists $M_{0}>0$ and $\delta_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(n, u) \leq M_{0} u^{q_{1}}, \forall n=\overline{1, N-1}, u \in[0,1] \\
& g(n, u) \leq \varepsilon_{1} u^{1 / q_{1}}, \forall n=\overline{1, N-1}, u \in\left[0, \delta_{1}\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}=\min \left\{1 / M_{2},\left(1 /\left(2 M_{0} M_{1} M_{2}^{q_{1}}\right)\right)^{1 / q_{1}}\right\}>0, M_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{1}(j), M_{2}=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{2}(j)$.

Hence, for all $u \in \bar{B}_{\delta_{1}} \cap P$ and $i=\overline{0, N}$, we obtain
$\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) g\left(j, u_{j}\right) \leq \varepsilon_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) u_{j}^{1 / q_{1}} \leq \varepsilon_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{2}(j) u_{j}^{1 / q_{1}} \leq \varepsilon_{1} M_{2}\|u\|^{1 / q_{1}} \leq 1$.
Therefore, by (3.8) and (3.9), we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{A} u)_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) f\left(i, \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \leq M_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right)^{q_{1}} \leq M_{0} \varepsilon_{1}^{q_{1}} M_{2}^{q_{1}}\|u\| \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_{1}(i) \\
& =M_{0} \varepsilon_{1}^{q_{1}} M_{1} M_{2}^{q_{1}}\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \bar{B}_{\delta_{1}} \cap P, \quad n=\overline{0, N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq\|u\| / 2$ for all $u \in \partial B_{\delta_{1}} \cap P$. From [1] (see also Lemma 1 from [6]), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{\delta_{1}} \cap P, P\right)=1 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining now (3.7) and (3.10), we obtain

$$
i\left(\mathcal{A},\left(B_{L} \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta_{1}}\right) \cap P, P\right)=i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{L} \cap P, P\right)-i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{\delta_{1}} \cap P, P\right)=-1
$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{A}$ has at least one fixed point $u^{1} \in\left(B_{L} \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta_{1}}\right) \cap P, u^{1}=\left(u_{n}^{1}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, that is $\delta_{1}<\left\|u^{1}\right\|<L$. In addition, we obtain $\left\|v^{1}\right\|>0$, where $v^{1}=\left(v_{n}^{1}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, with $v_{n}^{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(n, i) g\left(i, u_{i}^{1}\right)$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}$, and then $\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right) \in P \times P$ is a positive solution of $(S)-(B C)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $(A 1)$ and (A2) hold. In addition, we suppose that there exists $c \in\{1, \ldots,[N / 2]\}$ such that the following assumptions are satisfied
(A5) There exists a positive constant $r_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ such that
i) $f_{\infty}^{s}=\limsup _{u \rightarrow \infty} \max _{n=\overline{1, N-1}} \frac{f(n, u)}{u^{r_{1}}} \in[0, \infty) ;$ ii) $g_{\infty}^{s}=\limsup _{u \rightarrow \infty} \max _{n=\overline{1, N-1}} \frac{g(n, u)}{u^{1 / r_{1}}}=0$;
(A6) The following conditions are satisfied
i) $f_{0}^{i}=\liminf _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} \frac{f(n, u)}{u} \in(0, \infty] ;$ ii) $g_{0}^{i}=\liminf _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \min _{n=\overline{c, N-c}} \frac{g(n, u)}{u}=\infty$.

Then the problem $(S)-(B C)$ has at least one positive solution $\left(\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}},\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}\right)$.
Proof. By assumption ( $A 5$ ), we deduce that there exists $C_{6}, C_{7}, C_{8}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n, u) \leq C_{6} u^{r_{1}}+C_{7}, \quad g(n, u) \leq \varepsilon_{2} u^{1 / r_{1}}+C_{8}, \quad \forall n=\overline{1, N-1}, u \in[0, \infty) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{2}=\left(1 /\left(2 C_{6} M_{1} M_{2}^{r_{1}}\right)\right)^{1 / r_{1}}$, and $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Then for $u \in P$, by using (3.11), we conclude after some computations that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathcal{A} u)_{n} \leq C_{6}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\|u\|^{1 / r_{1}}+C_{8}\right)^{r_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j)\right)^{r_{1}}+M_{1} C_{7}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \leq C_{6} M_{1} M_{2}^{r_{1}}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\|u\|^{1 / r_{1}}+C_{8}\right)^{r_{1}}+M_{1} C_{7}=: \mathcal{Q}(u), \quad \forall n=\overline{0, N}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Q}(u) /\|u\|=1 / 2$, then there exits a sufficiently large $R>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}(u) \leq \frac{3}{4}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in P, \quad\|u\| \geq R \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain $\|\mathcal{A} u\| \leq \frac{3}{4}\|u\|<\|u\|$ for all $u \in \partial B_{R} \cap P$, and from [1] (see also Lemma 1 from [6]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{R} \cap P, P\right)=1 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by $(A 6)$ i), we deduce that there exist $C_{9}>0$ and $\varrho_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n, u) \geq C_{9} u, g(n, u) \geq \frac{C_{0}}{C_{9}} u, \forall n=\overline{1, N-1}, u \in\left[0, \varrho_{0}\right] \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}=1 /\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} m_{1} \widetilde{m}_{2}\right), \widetilde{m}_{2}=\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} I_{2}(j)$ and $m_{1}$ is defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Because $g(n, 0)=0$ for all $n=\overline{1, N-1}$, and $g$ is continuous, we conclude that there exists a sufficiently small $\delta_{2} \in\left(0, \varrho_{0}\right)$ such that $g(n, u) \leq \varrho_{0} / M_{2}$ for all $n=\overline{1, N-1}$ and $u \in\left[0, \delta_{2}\right]$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(n, i) g\left(i, u_{i}\right) \leq \varrho_{0}, \quad \forall u \in \bar{B}_{\delta_{2}} \cap P, \quad n=\overline{0, N} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.15), (3.16) and Lemma 2.3, we deduce that for any $u \in \bar{B}_{\delta_{2}} \cap P$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{A} u)_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) f\left(i, \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \geq C_{9} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right) \geq C_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) u_{j}\right) \\
& \geq C_{0} \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} G_{2}(i, j) u_{j}\right) \geq C_{0} \gamma_{2} \sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{2}(j) u_{j}\right) \\
& =C_{0} \gamma_{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{2}(j) u_{j}\right)\left(\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)\right)=:(\mathcal{L} u)_{n}, \quad \forall n=\overline{0, N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for the linear operator $\mathcal{L}: P \rightarrow P$ defined as above, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} u \geq \mathcal{L} u, \quad \forall u \in \partial B_{\delta_{2}} \cap P \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $w^{0}=\left(w_{n}^{0}\right)_{n}, w_{n}^{0}=\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i), n=\overline{0, N}$, we have $w^{0} \in P \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{L} w^{0}\right)_{n}=C_{0} \gamma_{2}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{2}(j)\left(\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(j, i)\right)\right]\left(\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)\right) \\
& \geq C_{0} \gamma_{2}\left[\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} I_{2}(j)\left(\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(j, i)\right)\right]\left(\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)\right) \\
& \geq C_{0} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\left(\sum_{j=c}^{N-c} I_{2}(j)\right)\left(\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} I_{1}(i)\right)\left(\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=c}^{N-c} G_{1}(n, i)=w_{n}^{0}, \quad \forall n=\overline{0, N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} w^{0} \geq w^{0} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ has no fixed point on $\partial B_{\delta_{2}} \cap P$ (otherwise the proof is completed). From (3.17), (3.18) and Lemma 2.3 from [6], we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{\delta_{2}} \cap P, P\right)=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (3.14) and (3.19), we obtain

$$
i\left(\mathcal{A},\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta_{2}}\right) \cap P, P\right)=i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{R} \cap P, P\right)-i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{\delta_{2}} \cap P, P\right)=1
$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{A}$ has at least one fixed point in $\left(B_{R} \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta_{2}}\right) \cap P$. Thus the problem $(S)-(B C)$ has at least one positive solution $(u, v) \in P \times P, u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}$, $v=\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=\overline{0, N}}(\|u\|>0,\|v\|>0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $(A 1)$ and (A2) hold. In addition, we suppose that there exists $c \in\{1, \ldots,[N / 2]\}$ such that $(A 3),(A 6)$ and the following assumption are satisfied
(A7) For each $n=\overline{1, N-1}, f(n, u)$ and $g(n, u)$ are nondecreasing with respect to $u$, and there exists a constant $R_{0}>0$ such that

$$
f\left(n, m_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} g\left(i, R_{0}\right)\right)<\frac{R_{0}}{m_{0}}, \quad \forall n=\overline{1, N-1}
$$

where $m_{0}=\max \left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}, K_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{1}(j), K_{2}=\max _{j=\overline{1, N-1}} I_{2}(j)$ and $I_{1}, I_{2}$ are defined in Section 2.

Then the problem $(S)-(B C)$ has at least two positive solutions $\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right),\left(u^{2}, v^{2}\right)$.

Proof. From Section 2, we have $0 \leq G_{1}(n, i) \leq I_{1}(i), 0 \leq G_{2}(n, i) \leq I_{2}(i)$ for all $n=\overline{0, N}, i=\overline{1, N-1}$. By using (A7), for any $u \in \partial B_{R_{0}} \cap P$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{A} u)_{n} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) f\left(i, \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{2}(j) g\left(j, u_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) f\left(i, \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} I_{2}(j) g\left(j, R_{0}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) f\left(i, m_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} g\left(j, R_{0}\right)\right) \\
& <\frac{R_{0}}{m_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} G_{1}(n, i) \leq \frac{R_{0}}{m_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} I_{1}(i) \leq R_{0}, \forall n=\overline{0, N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\|\mathcal{A} u\|<\|u\|$ for all $u \in \partial B_{R_{0}} \cap P$.
By [1] (see also Lemma 1 from [6]), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{R_{0}} \cap P, P\right)=1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $(A 3),(A 6)$ and the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 , we know that there exist a sufficiently large $L>R_{0}$ and a sufficiently small $\delta_{2}$ with $0<\delta_{2}<R_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{L} \cap P, P\right)=0, \quad i\left(\mathcal{A}, B_{\delta_{2}} \cap P, P\right)=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain

$$
i\left(\mathcal{A},\left(B_{L} \backslash \bar{B}_{R_{0}}\right) \cap P, P\right)=-1, \quad i\left(\mathcal{A},\left(B_{R_{0}} \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta_{2}}\right) \cap P, P\right)=1
$$

Then $\mathcal{A}$ has at least one fixed point $u^{1}$ in $\left(B_{L} \backslash \bar{B}_{R_{0}}\right) \cap P$ and has one fixed point $u^{2}$ in $\left(B_{R_{0}} \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta_{2}}\right) \cap P$, respectively. Therefore, the problem $(S)-(B C)$ has two distinct positive solutions $\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right),\left(u^{2}, v^{2}\right) \in P \times P$ with $\left\|u^{i}\right\|>0,\left\|v^{i}\right\|>0$ for $i=1,2$. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is completed.

## 4. An example

We consider the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u_{n-1}+a\left(v_{n}^{\alpha}+v_{n}^{\beta}\right)=0, & n=\overline{1,29}  \tag{0}\\ \Delta^{2} v_{n-1}+b\left(u_{n}^{\theta}+u_{n}^{\delta}\right)=0, & n=\overline{1,29}\end{cases}
$$

with the multi-point boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}=\frac{2}{3} u_{15}, u_{30}=\frac{1}{3} u_{8}+\frac{1}{6} u_{16}+\frac{1}{4} u_{24},  \tag{0}\\
v_{0}=\frac{1}{3} v_{9}+\frac{1}{2} v_{20}, \quad v_{30}=\frac{1}{3} v_{6}+\frac{1}{4} v_{18},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha>1, \beta<1, \theta>2, \delta<1, a, b>0$.
Here $N=30, p=1, q=3, r=2, l=2, a_{1}=2 / 3, \xi_{1}=15, b_{1}=1 / 3$, $b_{2}=1 / 6, b_{3}=1 / 4, \eta_{1}=8, \eta_{2}=16, \eta_{3}=24, c_{1}=1 / 3, c_{2}=1 / 2, \zeta_{1}=9, \zeta_{2}=20$, $d_{1}=1 / 3, d_{2}=1 / 4, \rho_{1}=6, \rho_{2}=18, f(n, u)=a\left(u^{\alpha}+u^{\beta}\right)$ and $g(n, u)=b\left(u^{\theta}+u^{\delta}\right)$ for all $n=\overline{1,29}, u \geq 0$. We have $\sum_{i=1}^{1} a_{i}=2 / 3<1, \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_{i}=3 / 4<1$,
$\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}=5 / 6<1, \sum_{i=1}^{2} d_{i}=7 / 12<1, \Delta_{1}=\frac{157}{18}, \Delta_{2}=\frac{28}{3}$. The functions $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are given by

$$
I_{1}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{403 j}{157}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 1 \leq j \leq 7, \\
\frac{960}{157}+\frac{283 j}{157}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 8 \leq j \leq 15, \\
\frac{5280}{157}-\frac{j}{157}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 16 \leq j \leq 23, \\
\frac{7440}{157}-\frac{91 j}{157}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 24 \leq j \leq 29,
\end{array} \quad I_{2}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{19 j}{7}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 1 \leq j \leq 5, \\
\frac{27}{7}+\frac{29 j}{14}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 6 \leq j \leq 8 \\
\frac{639}{56}+\frac{69 j}{56}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 9 \leq j \leq 17, \\
\frac{1125}{56}+\frac{3 j}{4}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 18 \leq j \leq 19, \\
\frac{2535}{56}-\frac{57 j}{112}-\frac{j^{2}}{30}, 20 \leq j \leq 29 .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

We obtain $K_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{29} I_{1}(j) \approx 461.68046709, K_{2}=\max _{j=\overline{1,29}} I_{2}(j) \approx 22.78928571$, $m_{0}=K_{1}$. The functions $f(n, u)$ and $g(n, u)$ are nondecreasing with respect to $u$ for any $n=\overline{1,29}$, and for $c=1$ and $p=1 / 2$ the assumptions $(A 3)$ and $(A 6)$ are satisfied; indeed we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\infty}^{i}=\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a\left(u^{\alpha}+u^{\beta}\right)}{u^{1 / 2}}=\infty, g_{\infty}^{i}=\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b\left(u^{\theta}+u^{\delta}\right)}{u^{2}}=\infty \\
& f_{0}^{i}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{a\left(u^{\alpha}+u^{\beta}\right)}{u}=\infty, g_{0}^{i}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{b\left(u^{\theta}+u^{\delta}\right)}{u}=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

We take $R_{0}=1$ and then $\sum_{i=1}^{29} g\left(i, R_{0}\right)=58 b$ and $f\left(n, m_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{29} g(i, 1)\right)=$ $\left.f\left(n, 58 b m_{0}\right)\right)=a\left[\left(58 b m_{0}\right)^{\alpha}+\left(58 b m_{0}\right)^{\beta}\right]$ for all $n=\overline{1,29}$. If $a\left[\left(58 b m_{0}\right)^{\alpha}+\left(58 b m_{0}\right)^{\beta}\right]<$ $\frac{1}{m_{0}}$, then the assumption $(A 7)$ is satisfied. For example, if $\alpha=3 / 2, \beta=1 / 2$, $b=1 /\left(58 m_{0}\right) \approx 3.734 \cdot 10^{-5}$ and $a<1 /\left(2 m_{0}\right)\left(a<1.083 \cdot 10^{-3}\right)$, then the above inequality is satisfied. By Theorem 3.3, we deduce that the problem $\left(S_{0}\right)-\left(B C_{0}\right)$ has at least two positive solutions.
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