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One of the present computational difficulties in complex cobordism theory is the lack of a known algebra splitting of $B P * B P$, the algebra of stable cohomology operations for the Brown-Peterson cohomology theory, analogous to the splitting isomorphism

$$
M U^{*} M U \approx M U^{*}(p t) \otimes S
$$

where $S$ is the Landweber-Novikov algebra. $S$ has the added advantage of being a cocommutative Hopf algebra over $Z$.

This paper does not remove this difficulty, but we will show that the monoid of multiplicative operations in $B P * B P$, (i.e. those operations which induce ring endomorphisms on $B P^{*} X$ for any space $X$, which we will denote by $\Gamma(B P)$, has a submonoid analogous to the monoid of multiplicative operations in $S$.

The latter monoid is known (see Morava [3]) to be isomorphic to the group of formal power series $f(x)$ over $Z$ such that $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$ and where the group operation is composition of power series.

For the basic properties of $M U^{*} M U$ and $B P^{*} B P$, see Adams [1], especially $\S \S 11$ and 16.

The main construction of this paper was inspired by the work of Honda ([3]) although none of his results are needed here. I am grateful to Jack Morava for bringing Honda's work to my attention.

Before stating our main result we must review the description of $\Gamma(B P)$ implicit in [1] § 16. An operation $\alpha \in \Gamma(B P)$ is characterized by its action on the canonical generator $z \in B P^{*}\left(C P^{\infty}\right) \cong \pi_{*} B P[[z]]$. It is shown that $\alpha(z)$ is a power series $f(z)$ over $\pi_{*} B P$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(z)=z+{ }_{\mu} t_{1} z^{p}+{ }_{\mu} t_{2} z^{p^{2}}+{ }_{\mu} t_{3} z^{p^{3}}+{ }_{\mu} \cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $+_{\mu}$ denotes the sum in the formal group defined over $\pi_{*} B P$ and $t_{i} \in \pi_{*} B P$. (This formula appears on page 96 of [1].)

The action of $\alpha$ on $\pi_{*} B P$ can be read off from (1). Let $l_{n} \in$ $\pi_{2 p^{n-2}} B P \otimes Q$ be defined by $\log ^{B P} x=\sum_{n=0} l_{n} x^{p n}\left(l_{n}\right.$ is the $m_{p^{n-1}}$ of [1]). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(l_{n}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} l_{n} t_{n-i}^{p^{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this formula also characterizes $\alpha$.
In other words $\Gamma(B P)$ is an infinite dimensional affine space over
$\pi_{*} B P$ with coordinates $t_{i}$ with a composition law which can be read off from (2). The difficulty mentioned above is that the subset of elements with integer coordinates is not a submonoid.

The main object of this paper is to construct new coordinates $s_{i}$ of $\Gamma(B P)$ such that the subset of elements with coordinates in $Q_{p}$ (the set of rational numbers with denominators prime to $p$ ) is a submonoid which will be denoted by $\gamma(B P)$. Moreover this submonoid is isomorphic to a direct product of countably many copies of $Q_{p}$, although this isomorphism is somewhat accidental in a sense to be described below. The $s_{i}$ are not unique as they depend upon a choice of generators of $\pi_{*} B P$. What's worse, they are not algebraic functions of the $t_{i}$, so they do not lead to a splitting of $B P^{*} B P$.

Let $\left\{v_{j} \in \pi_{*} B P\right\}$ be a set of generators. Define a ring endomorphism $\sigma$ of $\pi_{*} B P$ by $v_{j}^{\sigma}=v_{j}^{p}$, extending $\sigma$ linearly over all of $\pi_{*} B P$. Then our main result is

Theorem. For every sequence of coordinates $\left\{t_{n} \in \pi_{*} B P\right\}$ there exists $\left\{s_{n} \in \pi_{*} B P\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \leqq i \leqq n} l_{i} t_{n \rightarrow i}^{p^{i}}=\sum_{0 \leqq i \leqq n} l_{i} s_{n-i}^{o i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n>0$, and for every $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ there exist $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ satisfying the same conditions.

Corollary. Let $\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma(B P)$ have coordinates $s_{n}^{\prime}, s_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in Q_{p}$ respectively. Then the coordinates $s_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ of $\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}=\alpha^{\prime} \cdot \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ are given by

$$
s_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\sum_{i+j=n} s_{i}^{\prime} s_{j}^{\prime \prime}
$$

i.e. if we define power series $s^{\prime}(x), s^{\prime \prime}(x), s^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ by $s^{\prime}(x)=1+\sum_{n>0} s_{n}^{\prime} x^{n}$, etc., then $s^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=s^{\prime}(x) s^{\prime \prime}(x)$.

The corollary follows from (3) by direct computation, remembering that $\sigma$ fixes $Q_{p} \subset \pi_{*} B P$.

To prove the theorem we need an analogue of (1) involving the new coordinates $s_{n}$, and some elementary properties of formal groups beginning with

Lemma A. For every $r \in Q_{p}$ there is a power series $[r](z) \in$ $\pi_{*} B P[[z]]$ such that
( a ) $[1](z)=z$
(b) $\left[r^{\prime}\right](z)+{ }_{\mu}\left[r^{\prime \prime}\right](z)=\left[r^{\prime}+r^{\prime \prime}\right](z)$
(c ) $\left[r^{\prime}\right]\left(\left[r^{\prime \prime}\right](z)\right)=\left[r^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}\right](z)$
(d) $[r](z) \equiv r z \operatorname{modulo}\left(z^{2}\right)$.

Proof. If $r$ is a positive integer define $[r](z)$ inductively by $[r](z)=z+{ }_{\mu}[r-1](z)$; the existence of $[-1](z)$ is one of the formal group axioms; and if $r$ is an integer prime to $p$, define $[1 / r](z)$ to be the formal inverse of $[r](z)$. Then (c) enables us to define $[r](z)$ for any $r \in Q_{p}$.

Now let $\mathbf{M}=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, \cdots\right)$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers, of which all but a finite number are zero, and let

$$
v^{M}=\prod_{j>0} v_{j}^{m_{j}} \in \pi_{*} B P
$$

Then the $v^{M}$ s form a $Q_{p}$-basis of $\pi_{*} B P$ and we can write

$$
s_{i}=\sum s_{i, M} v^{M}
$$

with $s_{i, M} \in Q_{p}$ and the sum ranging over all $M$. With this notation we have

Lemma B. Formula (3) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n>0}^{\mu} t_{n} z^{p n}={ }_{n, M}^{\mu}\left[s_{n, M}\right]\left(v^{M} z^{p n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\mu} \sum$ denotes the formal group sum.
Proof. Multiplying both sides of (3) by $z^{p n}$ and summing over all positive $n$ gives

$$
\sum_{n>0} \log t_{n} z^{p n}=\sum_{n, M} s_{n, M} \log v^{M} z^{p n}
$$

which is equivalent to (4).
Lemma C. For $r \in Q_{p}$, there exist $r_{n} \in \pi_{*} B P$ with $r_{0}=r$ such that for any $u \in B P^{*} C P^{\infty}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
[r](u)={ }^{\mu} \sum_{n \geqq 0} r_{n} u^{p n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will first show that there exist $r_{(k)} \in \pi_{*} B P$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[r](u)={ }_{k>0}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{r}_{(k)} u^{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then show that $r_{(k)}=0$ unless $k=p^{n}$. The proof of the former statement is by induction on $k$. Let $r_{(1)}=r$ and suppose we have found $r_{(1)}, r_{(2)}, \cdots, r_{(m)}$ such that

$$
[r](u)={ }^{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{m} r_{(k)} u^{k} \quad \text { modulo }\left(u^{m+1}\right) .
$$

Then we can take $r_{(m+1)}$ to be the coefficient of $u^{m+1}$ in

$$
[r](u)-\mu \sum_{k=1}^{m} r_{(k)} u^{k}
$$

and the first statement follows. Taking the log of both sides of (6) we have

$$
r \log u=\sum_{k>0} \log r_{(k)} u^{k}
$$

i.e.

$$
r \sum_{n \geq 0} l_{n} u^{p n}=\sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ j \geq 0}} l_{j} r_{k j k}^{p_{k j}^{j}} u^{k^{k j}}
$$

Equating coefficients of $u^{i}$ yields the lemma.
Lemma D. For $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in \pi_{*} B P$, there exist $a_{n} \in \pi_{*} B P$ for $n \geqq 0$ with $a_{0}=a^{\prime}+a^{\prime \prime}$ such that for any $u \in B P^{*} C P^{\infty}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime} u+{ }_{\mu} a^{\prime \prime} u=\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mu} a_{n} u^{p n} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Lemma D is similar to that of Lemma C and is left to the reader.

We are now ready to prove the theorem via (4). Given $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ we will construct $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ by induction on $n$, beginning with $s_{1}=t_{1}$. Suppose we have found $s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n>0}^{\mu} t_{n} z^{z^{n}} \equiv \sum_{0<\sum_{n i}^{\mu} m}^{\mu}\left[s_{n, \mu]}\right]\left(v^{\mu n} z^{p n} \quad \operatorname{modulo}\left(z^{1+p n}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By repeated application of Lemmas C and D we can find $w_{n} \in \pi_{*} B P$ such that the right hand side of (8) is equal to $\sum_{n>0}^{n} w_{n} z^{z^{n}}$ and we know $w_{n}=t_{n}$ for $n \leqq m$. This allows us to set $s_{m+1}=t_{m+1}-w_{m+1}$, and the first half of the theorem is proved. Similarly if we are given $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$, let $t_{1}=s_{1}$ and suppose we have found $t_{n}$ for $n \leqq m$. Then we can set $t_{m+1}=w_{m+1}+s_{m+1}$, and the theorem is proved.

Now I will describe the sense in which the commutativity of $\gamma(B P)$ is accidental. If this paper were being written for number theorists rather than topologists, we would replace $\pi_{*} B P$ by $\pi_{*} B P \boldsymbol{\otimes}_{Q_{p}}$ $W(k)$, where $W(k)$ is the Witt ring of a finite field $k$ of characteristic $p$. Lemma A would go through only for $r \in W\left(F_{p}\right)$, the $p$-adic integers. The ring endomorphism $\sigma$ when restricted to $W(k)$ would be the lifting of the Frobenius automorphism on $k$. The corollary (with $s_{n}^{\prime}, s_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in W(k)$ ) would then read

$$
s_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\sum_{i+j=n} s_{i}^{\prime}\left(s_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{a^{i}}
$$

The resulting group $\gamma_{k}(B P)$ would not be abelian if $k$ has more than $p$ elements.
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