

MULTIPLICITY RESULTS FOR  
A SEMI-LINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATION  
INVOLVING SIGN-CHANGING WEIGHT FUNCTION

TSUNG-FANG WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the combined effect of concave and convex nonlinearities on the number of solutions for a semi-linear elliptic equation with sign-changing weight functions. With the help of the Nehari manifold, we prove that there are at least two solutions for the equation  $(E_{a,b})$ .

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, we consider the multiplicity results of solutions of the following semi-linear elliptic equation:

$$(E_{a,b}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda a(x)u^q + b(x)u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \geq 0, \quad u \not\equiv 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $0 \leq q < 1 < p < 2^* - 1$  ( $2^* = (2N/N - 2)$  if  $N \geq 3$ ,  $2^* = \infty$  if  $N = 2$ ),  $\lambda > 0$ , and the weight functions  $a, b$  satisfy the following conditions:

(A)  $a^+ = \max\{a, 0\} \not\equiv 0$  and  $a \in L^{r_q}(\Omega)$  where  $r_q = r/r - (q + 1)$  for some  $r \in (q + 1, 2^*)$ , with in addition  $a(x) \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  in case  $q = 0$ ;

(B)  $b^+ = \max\{b, 0\} \not\equiv 0$  and  $b \in L^{s_p}(\Omega)$  where  $s_p = (s/s - (p + 1))$  for some  $s \in (p + 1, 2^*)$ .

The fact that the number of positive solutions of equation  $(E_{a,b})$  is affected by the concave and convex nonlinearities has been the focus of a great deal of research in recent years. If the weight functions  $a \equiv b \equiv 1$ , the authors Ambrosetti, Brezis and Cerami [1] have investigated equation  $(E_{1,1})$ . They found that there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that equation  $(E_{1,1})$  admits at least two positive solutions for

---

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 35J20, 35J65.

*Keywords and phrases.* Semi-linear elliptic equations, Nehari manifold, concave-convex nonlinearities.

Received by the editors on July 12, 2006, and in revised form on October 26, 2006.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2009-39-3-995 Copyright ©2009 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

$\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ , has a positive solution for  $\lambda = \lambda_0$  and no positive solution exists for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ . Wu [8] proved that equation  $(E_{a,b})$  has at least two positive solutions under the assumptions the weight functions  $a$  change sign in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,  $b \equiv 1$ , and  $\lambda$  is sufficiently small. For a more general result, de Figueiredo et al. [4] proved the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that the conditions (A) and (B) hold, and in addition*

(C1) *there exists a nonempty open subset  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$  such that, on  $\Omega_1$ ,  $a(x) \geq \varepsilon_1$  for some  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $b(x)$  is bounded from below;*

(C2) *there exists a nonempty open subset  $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega$  such that, on  $\Omega_2$ ,  $b(x) \geq \varepsilon_2$  for some  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  and  $a(x)$  is bounded from below.*

*Then there exists  $\bar{\lambda} > 0$  such that if  $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$ , then equation  $(E_{a,b})$  has at least two solutions.*

The main purpose of this paper is to use a new method to improve Theorem 1.1. In particular, we do this without assuming conditions (C1) and (C2). Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that the conditions (A) and (B) hold. Then there exists  $\Lambda_0 > 0$  such that for  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ , equation  $(E_{a,b})$  has at least two solutions.*

Among the other interesting problems which are similar to equation  $(E_{a,b})$  for  $q = 1$ , Brown and Zhang [2] have investigated the following equation:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda a(x)u + b(x)|u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  and  $a, b : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are smooth functions which change sign in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . They found existence and nonexistence results for positive solutions of equation (1) as  $\lambda$  changes.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations and preliminaries. In Section 3, we prove that equation  $(E_{a,b})$  has at least two solutions for  $\lambda$  sufficiently small.

**2. Notations and preliminaries.** Throughout this section, we denote by  $S_l$  the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  in  $L^l(\Omega)$ , where  $1 < l \leq 2^*$ . Associated with equation  $(E_{a,b})$ , we consider the energy functional  $J_\lambda$ , for each  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_\Omega a |u|^{q+1} \, dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_\Omega b |u|^{p+1} \, dx.$$

It is well known that the solutions of equation  $(E_{a,b})$  are the critical points of the energy functional  $J_\lambda$ , see Rabinowitz [6]. Moreover, we consider the Nehari minimization problem: for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\alpha_\lambda(\Omega) = \inf \{ J_\lambda(u) \mid u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega) \},$$

where  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega) = \{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0 \}$ .

Define

$$\psi_\lambda(u) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \lambda \int_\Omega a |u|^{q+1} \, dx - \int_\Omega b |u|^{p+1} \, dx.$$

Then for  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ ,

$$\langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 2 \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - (q+1) \lambda \int_\Omega a |u|^{q+1} \, dx - (p+1) \int_\Omega b |u|^{p+1} \, dx.$$

Similarly to the method used in Tarantello [7], we split  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega) &= \{ u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle > 0 \}, \\ \mathbf{M}_\lambda^0(\Omega) &= \{ u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0 \}, \\ \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega) &= \{ u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega) \mid \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0 \}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then, we have the following results.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that for each  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$  we have  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose otherwise, that is,  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^0(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, for  $u_0 \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^0(\Omega)$ , we have

$$0 = \langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = (1-q) \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - (p-q) \int_\Omega b |u|^{p+1} \, dx \tag{3}$$

$$= (1-p) \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \lambda(q-p) \int_\Omega a |u|^{q+1} \, dx. \tag{4}$$

By the Sobolev imbedding theorem, there exist positive numbers  $C_1, C_2$  such that

$$\|u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C_1 \|u\|_{H^1}^{p+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \lambda C_2 \|u\|_{H^1}^{q+1}$$

or

$$\|u\|_{H^1} \geq C_1^{1/1-p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{H^1} \leq (\lambda C_2)^{1/1-q}.$$

If  $\lambda$  is sufficiently small, this is impossible. Thus, we can conclude that there exists  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ , we have  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

- Lemma 2.2** (i) If  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ , then  $\int_\Omega a|u|^{q+1} dx > 0$ ;  
 (ii) If  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ , then  $\int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx > 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is immediate from (3) and (4).  $\square$

By Lemma 2.1, for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ , we write  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega) = \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega) \cup \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  and define

$$\alpha_\lambda^+(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u); \quad \alpha_\lambda^-(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u).$$

The following lemma shows that the minimizers on  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  are “usually” critical points for  $J_\lambda$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** For  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ , if  $u_0$  is a local minimizer for  $J_\lambda$  on  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ , then  $J'_\lambda(u_0) = 0$  in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Our proof is almost the same as that in [2, Theorem 2.3].  $\square$

For each  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ , we write

$$t_{\max} = \left( \frac{(1-q)\|u\|_{H^1}^2}{(p-q)\int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{1/(p-1)} > 0.$$

Then, we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\lambda_2 = (p - 1)/(p - q)(1 - q)/(p - q)^{(1-q)/(p-1)} \times (1/(\|a\|_{L^{r_q}} S_r^{q+1}))(1/(S_s^{p+1} \|b\|_{L^{s_p}}))^{(1-q)/(p-1)}$ . Then for each  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_2)$ , we have*

(i) *if  $\int_\Omega a|u|^{q+1} dx \leq 0$ , then  $J_\lambda(u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) > 0$ ;*

(ii) *if  $\int_\Omega a|u|^{q+1} dx > 0$ , then there is a unique  $0 < t^+ = t^+(u) < t_{\max}$  such that  $t^+u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+$  and*

$$J_\lambda(t^+u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J_\lambda(tu), \quad J_\lambda(u) = \sup_{t \geq t_{\max}} J_\lambda(tu).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ . Let

$$h(t) = t^{1-q} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - t^{p-q} \int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

We have  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $h(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h(t)$  achieves its maximum at  $t_{\max}$ , increasing for  $t \in [0, t_{\max})$  and decreasing for  $t \in (t_{\max}, \infty)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_{\max}) &= \left( \frac{(1-q)\|u\|_{H^1}^2}{(p-q)\int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{(1-q)\|u\|_{H^1}^2}{(p-q)\int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{(p-q)/(p-1)} \int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx \\ &= \|u\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \left[ \left( \frac{1-q}{p-q} \right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)} - \left( \frac{1-q}{p-q} \right)^{(p-q)/(p-1)} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{\|u\|_{H^1}^{p+1}}{\int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)} \\ &\geq \|u\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \left( \frac{p-1}{p-q} \right) \left( \frac{1-q}{p-q} \right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)} \left( \frac{1}{S_s^{p+1} \|b\|_{L^{s_p}}} \right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} &h(t_{\max}) \\ &\geq \|u\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \left( \frac{p-1}{p-q} \right) \left( \frac{1-q}{p-q} \right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)} \left( \frac{1}{S_s^{p+1} \|b\|_{L^{s_p}}} \right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

(i)  $\int_{\Omega} a|u|^{q+1} dx \leq 0$ . There is a unique  $t^- > t_{\max}$  such that  $h(t^-) = \int_{\Omega} a|u|^{q+1} dx$  and  $h'(t^-) < 0$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-q) \|t^-u\|_{H^1}^2 - (p-q) \int_{\Omega} b|t^-u|^{p+1} dx \\ &= (t^-)^{2+q} \left[ (1-q) (t^-)^{-q} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - (p-q) (t^-)^{p-q-1} \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{p+1} dx \right] \\ &= (t^-)^{2+q} h'(t^-) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_{\lambda}(t^-u), t^-u \rangle &= (t^-)^2 \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - (t^-)^{q+1} \lambda \int_{\Omega} a|u|^{q+1} dx \\ &\quad - (t^-)^{p+1} \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{p+1} dx \\ &= (t^-)^{q+1} \left[ h(t^-) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} a|u|^{q+1} dx \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $t^-u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$  or  $t^- = 1$ . Since, for  $t > t_{\max}$ , we have

$$(1-q) \|tu\|_{H^1}^2 - (p-q) \int_{\Omega} b|tu|^{p+1} ds < 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_{\lambda}(tu) < 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} J_{\lambda}(tu) &= t \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \lambda t^q \int_{\Omega} a|u|^{q+1} dx - t^p \int_{\Omega} b|tu|^{p+1} dx = 0 \\ &\text{for } t = t^-. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $J_{\lambda}(u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tu)$ . Moreover,

$$J_{\lambda}(u) \geq J_{\lambda}(tu) \geq \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{p+1} dx \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Similar to the argument in the function  $h(t)$ , we obtain

$$J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left( \frac{\|u\|_{H^1}^{p+1}}{\int_{\Omega} b|u|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{2/(p-1)} > 0.$$

(ii)  $\int_{\Omega} a|u|^q dx > 0$ . By (5) and

$$\begin{aligned} h(0) &= 0 < \lambda \int_{\Omega} a|u|^{q+1} dx \leq \lambda \|a\|_{L^{r_q}} S_r^{q+1} \|u\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \\ &< \|u\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-q}\right) \left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)} \left(\frac{1}{S_s^{p+1} \|b\|_{L^{s_p}}}\right)^{(1-q)/(p-1)} \\ &\leq h(t_{\max}) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (0, \lambda_2), \end{aligned}$$

there are unique  $t^+$  and  $t^-$  such that  $0 < t^+ < t_{\max} < t^-$ ,

$$h(t^+) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} a|u|^{q+1} dx = h(t^-)$$

and

$$h'(t^+) > 0 > h'(t^-).$$

We have  $t^+u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$ ,  $t^-u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^-(\Omega)$ , and  $J_{\lambda}(t^-u) \geq J_{\lambda}(tu) \geq J_{\lambda}(t^+u)$  for each  $t \in [t^+, t^-]$  and  $J_{\lambda}(t^+u) \leq J_{\lambda}(tu)$  for each  $t \in [0, t^+]$ . Thus,  $t^- = 1$  and

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tu), \quad J_{\lambda}(t^+u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max}} J_{\lambda}(tu).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** (i)  $\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega) \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^+(\Omega) < 0$ ;  
 (ii)  $J_{\lambda}$  is coercive and bounded below on  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Given  $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^+(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda}(u) &= \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{q-p}{(p+1)(q+1)}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega} a|u|^{q+1} dx \\ &< \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1}\right] \frac{p-1}{p+1} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields  $\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega) \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^+(\Omega) < 0$ .

(ii) For  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ , we have  $\|u\|_{H^1}^2 = \lambda \int_\Omega a|u|^{q+1} dx + \int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx$ . Then by the Hölder and Young inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \lambda \left( \frac{p-q}{(p+1)(q+1)} \right) \int_\Omega a|u|^{q+1} dx \\ &\geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \lambda \left( \frac{p-q}{(p+1)(q+1)} \right) \|a\|_{L^{r_q}} S_r^{q+1} \|u\|_{H^1}^{q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $J_\lambda$  is coercive and bounded below on  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** First, we will use the idea of Ni and Takagi [5] to get the following results.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For each  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a differentiable function  $\xi : B(0; \varepsilon) \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $\xi(0) = 1$ , the function  $\xi(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  and*

$$(6) \quad \langle \xi'(0), v \rangle = \frac{2 \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla v dx - (q+1)\lambda \int_\Omega a|u|^{q-1}uv dx - (p+1) \int_\Omega b|u|^{p-1}uv dx}{(1-q) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - (p-q) \int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx}$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* For  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ , define a function  $F : \mathbf{R} \times H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(\xi, w) &= \langle J'_\lambda(\xi(u-w)), \xi(u-w) \rangle \\ &= \xi^2 \int_\Omega |\nabla(u-w)|^2 dx - \xi^{q+1} \lambda \int_\Omega a|u-w|^{q+1} dx \\ &\quad - \xi^{p+1} \int_\Omega b|u-w|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F_u(1, 0) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\xi} F_u(1, 0) &= 2 \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - (q+1)\lambda \int_\Omega a|u|^{q+1} dx \\ &\quad - (p+1) \int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx \\ &= (1-q) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - (p-q) \int_\Omega b|u|^{p+1} dx \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$



According to the implicit function theorem, there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a differentiable function  $\xi : B(0; \varepsilon) \subset H^1(\mathbf{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\xi(0) = 1$ ,

$$\langle \xi'(0), v \rangle = \frac{2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx - (q+1) \lambda \int_{\Omega} a |u|^{q-1} u v \, dx - (p+1) \int_{\Omega} b |u|^{p-1} u v \, dx}{(1-q) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - (p-q) \int_{\Omega} b |u|^{p+1} \, dx}$$

and

$$F_u(\xi(v), v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in B(0; \varepsilon)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\langle J'_\lambda(\xi(v)(u-v)), \xi(v)(u-v) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in B(0; \varepsilon),$$

that is,  $\xi(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *For each  $u \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a differentiable function  $\xi^- : B(0; \varepsilon) \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $\xi^-(0) = 1$ , the function  $\xi^-(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  and*

$$(7) \quad \langle (\xi^-)'(0), v \rangle = \frac{2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx - (q+1) \lambda \int_{\Omega} a |u|^{q-1} u v \, dx - (p+1) \int_{\Omega} b |u|^{p-1} u v \, dx}{(1-q) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - (p-q) \int_{\Omega} b |u|^{p+1} \, dx}$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Similar to the argument in Lemma 3.1, there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a differentiable function  $\xi^- : B(0; \varepsilon) \subset H^1(\mathbf{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\xi^-(0) = 1$  and  $\xi^-(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  for all  $v \in B(0; \varepsilon)$ . Since

$$\langle \psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = (1-q) \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - (p-q) \int_{\Omega} b |u|^{p+1} \, dx < 0.$$

Thus, by the continuity of the function  $\xi^-$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \psi'_\lambda(\xi^-(v)(u-v)), \xi^-(v)(u-v) \rangle \\ &= (1-q) \|\xi^-(v)(u-v)\|_{H^1}^2 - (p-q) \int_{\Omega} b |\xi^-(v)(u-v)|^{p+1} \, dx < 0 \end{aligned}$$

if  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, this implies that  $\xi^-(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\Lambda_0 = \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ , then for  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ ,*

(i) *there exists a minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &= \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) + o(1), \\ J'_\lambda(u_n) &= o(1) \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Omega); \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *there exists a minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &= \alpha_\lambda^-(\Omega) + o(1), \\ J'_\lambda(u_n) &= o(1) \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 2.5 (ii) and the Ekeland variational principle [3], there exists a minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  such that

$$(8) \quad J_\lambda(u_n) < \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) + \frac{1}{n}$$

and

$$(9) \quad J_\lambda(u_n) < J_\lambda(w) + \frac{1}{n} \|w - u_n\|_{H^1} \quad \text{for each } w \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega).$$

By taking  $n$  large, from Lemma 2.5 (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad J_\lambda(u_n) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \|u_n\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{q+1} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \lambda \int_\Omega a |u_n|^{q+1} dx \\ &< \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) + \frac{1}{n} < \frac{\alpha_\lambda(\Omega)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(11) \quad \|a\|_{L^{r_q}} S_r^{q+1} \|u_n\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \geq \int_\Omega a |u_n|^{q+1} dx > -\frac{(q+1)(p+1)\alpha_\lambda(\Omega)}{\lambda(p-q)} \frac{1}{2} > 0.$$

Consequently,  $u_n \neq 0$  and, putting together (10), (11) and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$(12) \quad \|u_n\|_{H^1} > \left[ \frac{(q+1)(p+1)\alpha_\lambda(\Omega)}{\lambda(p-q)} \frac{1}{2} S_r^{-(q+1)} \|a\|_{L^{r_q}}^{-1} \right]^{1/(q+1)}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \|u_n\|_{H^1} < \left[ \frac{2\lambda(p-q)}{(p-1)(q+1)} \|a\|_{L^{r_q}} S_r^{q+1} \right]^{1/(1-q)}.$$

Now, we will show that

$$\|J'_\lambda(u_n)\|_{H^{-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 with  $u_n$  to obtain the functions  $\xi_n : B(0; \varepsilon_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  for some  $\varepsilon_n > 0$ , such that  $\xi_n(w)(u_n - w) \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ . With  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  fixed, we choose  $0 < \rho < \varepsilon_n$ . Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $u \not\equiv 0$ , and let  $w_\rho = \rho u / \|u\|_{H^1}$ . We set  $\eta_\rho = \xi_n(w_\rho)(u_n - w_\rho)$ . Since  $\eta_\rho \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$ , we deduce from (9) that

$$J_\lambda(\eta_\rho) - J_\lambda(u_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1},$$

and, by the mean value theorem we have

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \eta_\rho - u_n \rangle + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1}) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1}.$$

Thus,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), -w_\rho \rangle + (\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1) \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), (u_n - w_\rho) \rangle \\ \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1} + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

From  $\xi_n(w_\rho)(u_n - w_\rho) \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  and (14), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -\rho \left\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^1}} \right\rangle \\ + (\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1) \langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho), (u_n - w_\rho) \rangle \\ \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1} + o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^1}} \right\rangle \leq \frac{\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1}}{n\rho} + \frac{o(\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1})}{\rho} + \frac{(\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1)}{\rho} \\ \times \langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho), (u_n - w_\rho) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\eta_\rho - u_n\|_{H^1} \leq \rho |\xi_n(w_\rho)| + |\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1| \|u_n\|_{H^1}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1|}{\rho} \leq \|\xi'_n(0)\|.$$

If we let  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  in (15), then by (13) we can find a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\rho$ , such that

$$\left\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^1}} \right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{n} (1 + \|\xi'_n(0)\|).$$

We are done once we show that  $\|\xi'_n(0)\|$  is uniformly bounded in  $n$ . By (6), (13) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\langle \xi'_n(0), v \rangle \leq \frac{d \|v\|_{H^1}}{\left| (1-q) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - (p-q) \int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx \right|}$$

for some  $d > 0$ .

We only need to show that

$$(16) \quad \left| (1-q) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - (p-q) \int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx \right| > c$$

for some  $c > 0$  and  $n$  large enough. We argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists a subsequence  $\{u_n\}$ . We have

$$(17) \quad (1-q) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - (p-q) \int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx = o(1).$$

Combining (17) with (12), we can find a suitable constant  $k > 0$  such that

$$(18) \quad \int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx \geq k \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

In addition, (17), and the fact that  $u_n \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  also give

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_\Omega a |u_n|^{q+1} dx &= \|u_n\|_{H^1}^2 - \int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx \\ &= \frac{p-1}{1-q} \int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(19) \quad \|u_n\|_{H^1} \leq \left[ \lambda \left( \frac{p-q}{p-1} \right) \|a\|_{L^{p^*}} S_r^{q+1} \right]^{1/(1-q)} + o(1).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad I_\lambda(u_n) &= K(p, q) \left( \frac{\|u_n\|_{H^1}^{2p}}{\int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{1/(p-1)} - \lambda \int_\Omega a |u_n|^{q+1} dx \\ &= \left( \frac{1-q}{p-q} \right)^{p/(p-1)} \left( \frac{p-1}{1-q} \right) \left( \frac{(p-q/1-q)^p \left( \int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx \right)^p}{\int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{p-1}{1-q} \int_\Omega b |u_n|^{p+1} dx \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

However, by (18), (19) and  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(u_n) &\geq K(p, q) \left( \frac{\|u_n\|_{H^1}^{2p}}{S_s^{p+1} \|b\|_{L^{s_p}} \|u_n\|_{H^1}^{p+1}} \right)^{1/(p-1)} - \lambda S_r^{q+1} \|a\|_{L^{r_q}} \|u_n\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \\ &= \|u_n\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \left( K(p, q) S_s^{(p+1)/(1-p)} \|b\|_{L^{s_p}}^{1/(1-p)} \|u_n\|_{H^1}^{-q} - \lambda S_r^{q+1} \|a\|_{L^{r_q}} \right) \\ &\geq \|u_n\|_{H^1}^{q+1} \left\{ K(p, q) S_s^{(p+1)/(1-p)} \|b\|_{L^{s_p}}^{1/(1-p)} \lambda^{-q/(1-q)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[ \left( \frac{p-q}{p-1} \right) \|a\|_{L^{r_q}} S_s^{q+1} \right]^{-q/(1-q)} - \lambda S_r^{q+1} \|a\|_{L^{r_q}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (20). We get

$$\left\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^1}} \right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Similarly, by using Lemma 3.2, we can prove (ii). We will omit the detailed proof here.  $\square$

Now, we establish the existence of a local minimum for  $J_\lambda$  on  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\Lambda_0 > 0$  be as in Proposition 3.3. Then for  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$  the functional  $J_\lambda$  has a minimizer  $u_0^+$  in  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega)$  and it satisfies*

- (i)  $J_\lambda(u_0^+) = \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) = \alpha_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ ;
- (ii)  $u_0^+$  is a solution of equation  $(E_{a,b})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  be a minimizing sequence for  $J_\lambda$  on  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\Omega)$  such that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) + o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad J'_\lambda(u_n) = o(1) \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

Then by Lemma 2.5 and the compact imbedding theorem, there exist a subsequence  $\{u_n\}$  and  $u_0^+ \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0^+ \quad \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$(21) \quad u_n \longrightarrow u_0^+ \quad \text{strongly in } L^r(\Omega) \quad \text{for } 1 < r < 2^*.$$

First, we claim that  $\int_\Omega a|u_0^+|^{q+1} dx \neq 0$ . If not, by (21) and the Hölder inequality we can conclude that

$$\int_\Omega a|u_n|^{q+1} dx \longrightarrow \int_\Omega a|u_0^+|^{q+1} dx = 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx = \int_\Omega p|u_n|^{p+1} dx + o(1)$$

and

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + o(1),$$

this contradicts  $J_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow \alpha_\lambda(\Omega) < 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,

$$o(1) = \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \phi \rangle = \langle J'_\lambda(u_0), \phi \rangle + o(1) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Thus,  $u_0^+ \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda$  is a nonzero solution of equation  $(E_{a,b})$  and  $J_\lambda(u_0^+) \geq \alpha_\lambda(\Omega)$ . We now prove that  $J_\lambda(u_0^+) = \alpha_\lambda(\Omega)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_0^+) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_0^+\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_\Omega a |u_0^+|^{q+1} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_\Omega b |u_0^+|^{p+1} dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \|u_0^+\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda}{p+1} - \frac{\lambda}{q+1}\right) \int_\Omega a |u_0^+|^{q+1} dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \|u_n\|_{H^1}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\lambda}{p+1} - \frac{\lambda}{q+1}\right) \int_\Omega a |u_n|^{q+1} dx \right) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $J_\lambda(u_0^+) = \alpha_\lambda(\Omega)$ . Moreover, we have  $u_0^+ \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ . In fact, if  $u_0^+ \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ , by Lemma 2.4, there are unique  $t_0^+$  and  $t_0^-$  such that  $t_0^+ u_0^+ \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega)$  and  $t_0^- u_0^+ \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ , we have  $t_0^+ < t_0^- = 1$ . Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_0^+) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_0^+) > 0,$$

there exists  $t_0^+ < \bar{t} \leq t_0^-$  such that  $J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_0^+) < J_\lambda(\bar{t} u_0^+)$ . By Lemma 2.4,

$$J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_0^+) < J_\lambda(\bar{t} u_0^+) \leq J_\lambda(t_0^- u_0^+) = J_\lambda(u_0^+),$$

which is a contradiction. Since  $J_\lambda(u_0^+) = J_\lambda(|u_0^+|)$  and  $|u_0^+| \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ , by Lemma 2.3 we may assume that  $u_0^+$  is a solution of equation  $(E_{a,b})$ .  $\square$

Next, we establish the existence of a local minimum for  $J_\lambda$  on  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\Lambda_0 > 0$  be as in Proposition 3.3. Then, for  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_0)$ , the functional  $J_\lambda$  has a minimizer  $u_0^-$  in  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  and it satisfies (i)  $J_\lambda(u_0^-) = \alpha_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ ;*

*(ii)  $u_0^-$  is a solution of equation  $(E_{a,b})$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.3 (ii), there exists a minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\}$  for  $J_\lambda$  on  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  such that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^-(\Omega) + o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad J'_\lambda(u_n) = o(1) \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

By Lemma 2.5 and the compact imbedding theorem, there exist a subsequence  $\{u_n\}$  and  $u_0^- \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0^- && \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_n &\longrightarrow u_0^- && \text{strongly in } L^s(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_n \longrightarrow u_0^- \quad \text{strongly in } L^r(\Omega) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq r < 2^*.$$

Since

$$o(1) = \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \phi \rangle = \langle J'_\lambda(u_0), \phi \rangle + o(1) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> \langle \psi'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle = (2-q) \|u_n\|_{H^1}^2 - (p-q) \int_{\partial\Omega} g |u_n|^p ds \\ &\geq (2-q) \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 - (p-q) \int_{\partial\Omega} g |u_0|^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $u_0^- \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  is a nonzero solution of equation  $(E_{a,b})$ . We now prove that  $u_n \rightarrow u_0^-$  strongly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Suppose otherwise; then  $\|u_0^-\|_{H^1} < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{H^1}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_0^-\|_{H^1}^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} a |u_0^-|^{q+1} dx - \int_{\Omega} b |u_0^-|^{p+1} dx \\ &< \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|u_n\|_{H^1}^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} a |u_n|^{q+1} dx - \int_{\Omega} b |u_n|^{p+1} dx \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts  $u_0^- \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ . Hence,  $u_n \rightarrow u_0^-$  strongly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . This implies

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \longrightarrow J_\lambda(u_0^-) = \alpha_\lambda^-(\Omega) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $J_\lambda(u_0^-) = J_\lambda(|u_0^-|)$  and  $|u_0^-| \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$  by Lemma 2.3, we may assume that  $u_0^-$  is a solution of equation  $(E_{a,b})$ .  $\square$



Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2: By Theorems 3.4, 3.5 and equation  $(E_{a,b})$ , there exist two solutions  $u_0^+$  and  $u_0^-$  such that  $u_0^+ \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ ,  $u_0^- \in \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ . Since  $\mathbf{M}_\lambda^+(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{M}_\lambda^-(\Omega) = \emptyset$ , this implies that  $u_0^+$  and  $u_0^-$  are different.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami, *Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **122** (1994), 519–543.
2. K.J. Brown and Y. Zhang, *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function*, J. Diff. Equations **193** (2003), 481–499.
3. I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **17** (1974), 324–353.
4. D.G. de Figueiredo, J.P. Gossez and P. Ubilla, *Local superlinearity and sublinearity for indefinite semilinear elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), 452–467.
5. W.M. Ni and I. Takagi, *On the shape of least energy solution to a Neumann problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **44** (1991), 819–851.
6. P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, Regional Conference Series Math., American Mathematical Society, 1986.
7. G. Tarantello, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **9** (1992), 281–304.
8. T.F. Wu, *On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **318** (2006), 253–270.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KAOHSIUNG,  
KAOHSIUNG 811, TAIWAN  
Email address: tfwu@nuk.edu.tw