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#### Abstract

In this article, we consider the following modified quasilinear critical Kirchhoff-Schrödinger problem involving Stein-Weiss type nonlinearity: $$
\left\{\mathcal{K}(u)=\lambda f(x)|u(x)|^{q-2} u(x)+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(y)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|u(x)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-2} u(x)}{|x|^{\beta}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}\right.
$$ where $\lambda>0$ is a parameter, $N \geq 3, \mathcal{K}(u)=\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right) \Delta_{p} u-a u \Delta_{p}\left(u^{2}\right)$ with $a>0, b \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$, $0<\mu<N, 0<2 \beta+\mu<N, 2 \leq q<2 p^{*}$. Here $p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ is the Sobolev critical exponent and $p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}:=\frac{p}{2} \frac{(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)}{N-2}$ is the critical exponent with respect to the doubly weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (also called SteinWeiss type inequality). Then by establishing a concentration-compactness argument for our problem, we show the existence of infinitely many nontrivial solutions to the equations with respect to the parameter $\lambda$ by using Krasnoselskii's genus theory, symmetric mountain pass theorem and $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$symmetric version of mountain pass theorem for different range of $q$. We further show that these solutions belong to $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.


## 1. Introduction

Our aim in this article is to study the following modified quasilinear critical Kirchhoff-Schrödinger problem involving Stein-Weiss type critical nonlinearity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathcal{K}(u)=\lambda f(x)|u(x)|^{q-2} u(x)+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(y)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|u(x)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-2} u(x)}{|x|^{\beta}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}\right. \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}(u)=\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right) \Delta_{p} u-a u \Delta_{p}\left(u^{2}\right), 2 \leq p<N, a>0, b \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \mu>0,0<2 \beta+\mu<N$, $0<p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}:=\frac{p(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)}{2(N-p)}, N \geq 3$ and $\lambda>0$ is a parameter. Here $2 p<q<2 p^{*}, p^{*}:=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ and $f(\geq 0) \in L^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. The solutions of (1.1) involving the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta_{p} u-u \Delta_{p}\left(u^{2}\right)$, are related with the solitary standing wave solutions to the quasilinear Schrödinger equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i u_{t}=-\Delta u+V(x) u-h_{1}\left(|u|^{2}\right) u-C \Delta h_{2}\left(|u|^{2}\right) h_{2}^{\prime}\left(|u|^{2}\right) u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous potential function, $C>0$ is some positive real constant, $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are some real valued functions with some appropriate assumptions. Based upon the different forms of the function $h_{2}$, (1.2) explains different phenomenon in the mathematical physics. For example, if $h_{2}(s)=s$ (see [18]), then (1.2) is used in modelling the superfluid film equation in plasma physics and if $h_{2}=\sqrt{1+s^{2}}$ (see [34]), (1.2) represents the self-channeling of a high-power ultra short laser in matter. Such kind of equations also have applications in the modeling of dissipative quantum mechanics [14], plasma physics and fluid mechanics [4], etc.

The main feature of such operator is that the term $u \Delta_{p}\left(u^{2}\right)$, present in (1.1), does not let the natural energy functional corresponding to (1.1) to be well defined for all $u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (defined in Section 2). Therefore, the

[^0]standard critical point theory in variational method is inconvenient to apply directly for such problems of type (1.1). To overcome this inconvenience, researchers have established several techniques and arguments, such as constrained minimization technique (see [36]), the perturbation method (see [28]), change of variables (see [8, 10]). In this article, we use the change of variable method described in Section 2. In the recent advancement on such modified quasilinear equations, we refer the readers to $[6,11,15,20,22,35]$ and the cited research works there in with no claim on completeness.

The nonlocal nonlinearity present in (1.1) is inspired by the doubly weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (also called Stein-Weiss type inequality). Let us first recall the well-known doubly weighted Hardy-LittlewoodSobolev inequality (see [38]), which is stated as:
Proposition 1.1. Let $t, s>1, \mu>0, \vartheta+\beta \geq 0$ and $0<\vartheta+\beta+\mu<N$. Also, let $\frac{1}{t}+\frac{\mu+\vartheta+\beta}{N}+\frac{1}{s}=2$, $\vartheta<\frac{N}{t^{\prime}}, \beta<\frac{N}{s^{\prime}}$, $g_{1} \in L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $g_{2} \in L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $t^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime}$ denote the Hölder conjugate of $t$ and $s$, respectively. Then there exists a constant $C(N, \mu, \vartheta, \beta, t, s)$, independent of $g_{1}, g_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{g_{1}(x) g_{2}(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\vartheta}|x|^{\beta}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \leq C(N, \mu, \vartheta, \beta, t, r)\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\vartheta=\beta=0$, this inequality (1.3) is the classical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (see [25]). S. Pekar first stated the study of such equations in [31], where the author considered the nonlinear Schrödinger-Newton equation of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mu} * u^{2}\right) u+\lambda f_{1}(x, u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a parameter, $\mathcal{K}_{\mu}$ is the Riesz potential, $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous potential function, $f_{1}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function with some suitable assumptions and $*$ denotes the convolution. These types of equations are very much crucial in the application point of view in Physics to describe the Bose-Einstein condensation (see [9]), the self gravitational collapse of a quantum mechanical wave function (see [32]), etc. With the help of the elliptic equations of type, (1.4) P. Choquard (see [24]) managed to explain the quantum theory of a polaron at rest and for modeling the phenomenon when an electron gets trapped in its own hole. For deeper study of Choquard equations, we refer to the readers the research works $[1,13,25,26,30]$ and the references therein. When $\beta>0$, then the elliptic problems involving Stein-Weiss type nonlinearities are studied in [3, 12, 40], very recently.

On the other hand, one of the main features of (1.1) is the presence of the both nonlocal Kirchhoff term in the left-hand side and nonlocal Stein-Weiss type nonlinearity in the right-hand side of (1.1). Hence our problem is categorized as a doubly nonlocal problem. The Kirchhoff-type models arise in various physical and biological systems and hence, the study of the problems involving Kirchhoff operators has been quite popular in recent years. Precisely, Kirchhoff established a model given by the following equation:

$$
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial^{2} t}-\left(\frac{p_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial^{2} t}=0
$$

which extends the classical D'Alembert wave equation by taking into account the effects of the changes in the length of the strings during the vibrations, where the constants $\rho, p_{0}, h, E, L$ represent physical parameters of the string. Subsequently, using the method of Nehari manifold and the concentration compactness principle Lü [29] studied the following Kirchhoff-Choquard problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+V_{\lambda}(x) u=\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mu} *|u|^{q}\right)|u|^{q-2} u \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a>0, b \geq 0, \mathcal{K}_{\mu}$ is the Riesz potential, $V_{\lambda}(x)=1+\lambda g(x), \lambda>0$ and $g$ is a continuous potential function, $q \in(2,6-\mu)$. Later Liang et. al [19] studied (1.5) for $V_{\lambda}=0, q=2_{\mu}^{*}$ and by adding some perturbation in the right-hand side which has sub-critical growth in the sense of Sobolev inequality. Though the literature on the Kirchhoff equation is really vast, without attempting to provide the complete list we refer to [7, 23, 39, 16] and references there in to the readers.

When it comes to the Kirchhoff problems involving the operator present in our problem (1.1), without the convolution term in the nonlinearity in (1.1), Liang et. al [21] studied the multiplicity results for such modified quasilinear Kirchhoff equations. Then for $p=2$ and $\beta=0$ in [37], the authors studied such problem. Also, for $\beta=0$, we refer to the work in [20], which deals with the Choquard equations involving the modified quasilinear Schrödinger operator as in (1.1), without the Kirchhoff term.

Motivated by all the aforementioned works, in this article, we consider (1.1) for $2 \leq p<\infty$ and with critical Stein-Weiss type convolution term in combination with sub-critical perturbation. The suitable Stein-Weiss type critical exponent is set here as $2 . p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}$ due to the Schrödinger term $u \Delta_{p}\left(u^{2}\right)$ present in the principal operator and for the same reason the exponent $q$ also varies between 2 to $2 p^{*}$. We exhibit the existence of infinitely many solutions for (1.1) by exploiting Krasnoselskii's genus theory and by applying a variant of Clark's theorem (also known as $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$ symmetric version of mountain pass theorem). One of the main contributions to this article is that we have proved a concentration-compactness result (see Lemma 3.4) related to our problem for general $2 \leq p<\infty$, which is not yet studied even for the equations of type (1.1) without the Schrödinger term $u \Delta_{p}\left(u^{2}\right)$. In case of $p=2$, Du et al. [12] studied such result for the equations involving Laplacian. This concentration-compactness result plays a very crucial in the context of our problem where we face lack of compactness due to the presence of the critical exponent as well as, the domain being whole of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. This result will help us to analyze the behavior of the weakly convergent sequences in the solution space $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (see Section 2) so that we can prove the Palaise-Smale condition for the energy functional $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ (see (2.4)) below some critical level. Furthermore, we prove global $L^{\infty}$ regularity estimate on the solutions to (1.1), which is applicable even for the critical Choquard equation $(\beta=0)$ involving $p$-Laplacian in the whole of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ for $p \geq 2$, which is another important contribution to this article. We would like to mention that the detailed regularity results for the critical Choquard equations involving fractional and local p-Laplacian is first studied in [2] for the bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The main difficulty we face here is due to involvement of the Stein-Weiss type critical nonlinearity and Kirchhoff term together. This gives rise to several interactions with the exponent $q, p^{*}, p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}$ and the parameters $\lambda, a, b, \mu, \beta$ which have effects in the multiplicity results. Depending on this, we need to carry out delicate analysis. To the best of our knowledge the results studied in this present paper are not available in the literature for the equation of type (1.1).

Now we state the main results in this article. Throughout this article we take the following assumptions on the parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a>0, b \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \mu>0,0<2 \beta+\mu<\min \{2 p, N\}, 2 \leq p<N \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $2<q<2 p$ and let $\Omega:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: f(x)>0\right\}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $0<\operatorname{meas}(\Omega)<\infty$. Then there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, (1.1) admits a sequence of nontrivial weak solutions $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with negative energy and $u_{k} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Theorem 1.3. Let $q=2 p$. Then there exists positive constants $\hat{a}$ such that for all $a>\hat{a}$ and for all $\lambda \in$ $\left(0, a S\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}^{-1}\right)$, (1.1) has infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Theorem 1.4. Let $2 p<q<2 p^{*}$. Then for all $\lambda>0$, (1.1) has infinitely many solutions in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Remark 1.5. We would also like to highlight that our results are also new for the classical p-Kirchhoff equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right) \Delta_{p} u=\lambda f(x)|u(x)|^{q-2} u(x)+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|u(x)|^{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}{ }^{-2} u(x)}{|x|^{\beta}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}\right. \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all the parameters satisfy (1.6), $p<q<p^{*}$ and $f(\geq 0) \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-q}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

## Notations

- The constants $K, C$ and $C_{i}, 1=1,2,3, \cdots$ are positive real numbers (only depend on $N, p, \beta, \mu, q, a, b$, if nothing is mentioned) which may vary from line to line.
- For any real number $r>0, B_{r}(0)$ denoted the ball of radius $r$ centered at 0 with respect to the norm topology in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
- For any set $S$, the closer of the set is denoted by $\bar{S}$.
- If $A$ is a measurable set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we the Lebesgue measure of $A$ by meas $(A)$.
- The arrows $\rightharpoonup, \rightarrow$ denote weak convergence, strong convergence, respectively.
- The notation $o_{n}(1)$ means as $n \rightarrow \infty, o_{n}(1) \rightarrow 0$.


## 2. Preliminaries and variational structure

First, for any $1<p<\infty$, we recall the definition of the Sobolev space

$$
D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

which is equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\|u\|_{D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Here $p^{*}$ denotes the Sobolev critical exponent and $p^{*}=\frac{N}{N-p}$, if $p<N$ and $p^{*}=\infty$ if $N \geq p$. By $\left(D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{*}$ we denote the dual of $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ we denote the dual paring between $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and its dual $\left(D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{*}$. Concerning the doubly weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (1.3), if $\alpha=\gamma=\beta$ and $s=r$, then the integral is

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)|^{t}|u(y)|^{t}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y
$$

is well-defined if $|u|^{t} \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $q>1$ satisfying $\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2 \beta+\mu}{N}=2$.
For $u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have $p \leq t q \leq \frac{N p}{N-p}$. Thus

$$
\frac{p(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)}{2 N} \leq t \leq \frac{p(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)}{2(N-p)}
$$

In this sense, we call $p_{* \beta, \mu}=\frac{p(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)}{2 N}$ the lower critical exponent and $p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}=\frac{p(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)}{2(N-p)}$ the upper critical exponent in the sense of the weighted-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. Also, we have $0<p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}<p^{*}<2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}$. Generally, for $\gamma=\beta \geq 0$ and $2 \beta+\mu \leq N$, the limit embedding for the upper critical exponent leads to the inequality

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}|u(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x
$$

We define the following norm

$$
\|u\|_{\beta, \mu}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}|u(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y\right)^{\frac{1}{2 . p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}
$$

Let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\beta, \mu}:=\inf _{u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}|u(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y\right)^{\frac{p}{2 \cdot p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (1.3), for all $u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we know

$$
\|u\|_{\beta, \mu}^{2} \leq C(N, \beta, \mu)^{\frac{1}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p}
$$

Then

$$
S_{\beta, \mu} \geq \frac{S}{C(N, \beta, \alpha)^{\frac{1}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}}>0
$$

where $S$ is the best Sobolev constant for the embedding $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ into $L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\inf _{u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\},\|u\|_{p^{*}}=1}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the natural energy functional related to (1.1) is not well defined for $u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. To overcome this difficulty, we employ the following change of variables which was introduced in [8], namely, $w:=g^{-1}(u)$, where $g$ is defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g^{\prime}(s)=\frac{1}{\left(1+2^{p-1}|g(s)|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \text { in }[0, \infty)  \tag{2.3}\\
g(s)=-g(-s) \text { in }(-\infty, 0]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we state some important and useful properties of $g$. For the detailed proofs of such results, one can see [8, 10] and references there in.
Lemma 2.1. The function $g$ satisfies the following properties:
$\left(g_{1}\right) g$ is uniquely defined, $C^{\infty}$ and invertible;
$\left(g_{2}\right) g(0)=0$;
$\left(g_{3}\right) 0<g^{\prime}(s) \leq 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(g_{4}\right) \frac{1}{2} g(s) \leq s g^{\prime}(s) \leq g(s)$ for all $s>0$;
$\left(g_{5}\right)|g(s)| \leq|s|$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(g_{6}\right)|g(s)| \leq 2^{1 /(2 p)}|s|^{1 / 2}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(g_{7}\right) \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}=2^{\frac{1}{2 p}}$;
$\left(g_{8}\right)|g(s)| \geq g(1)|s|$ for $|s| \leq 1$ and $|g(s)| \geq g(1)|s|^{1 / 2}$ for $|s| \geq 1$;
$\left(g_{9}\right) g^{\prime \prime}(s)<0$ when $s>0$ and $g^{\prime \prime}(s)>0$ when $s<0$.
$\left(g_{10}\right) \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{s}=1$.
$\left(g_{11}\right)\left|g(s) g^{\prime}(s)\right|<\frac{1}{2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(g_{12}\right) g^{\prime \prime}(s)=-2^{p-1}(g(s))^{p-1}\left(g^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2+p}, s>0$.
After applying the change of variable $w=g^{-1}(u)$, we define the new functional $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}: D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w)= & \frac{a}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{p} d x+\frac{b}{2 p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p} d x\right)^{2} \\
& \quad-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q} d x-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w(y))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w(x))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, if $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$, then for every $v \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w), v\right\rangle=0$. That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla v d x+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla v+\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p-2} g^{\prime}(w) g^{\prime \prime}(w)|\nabla w|^{p} v\right) d x \\
& \quad-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q-2} g(w) g^{\prime}(w) v d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-2} g(w)}{|x|^{\beta}} g^{\prime}(w) v d x=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and $w$ is a weak solution to the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -a \Delta_{p} w-b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p} d x \cdot\left(\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p-2} g^{\prime}(w) g^{\prime \prime}(w)|\nabla w|^{p}+\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p} d i v\left(|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\lambda f(x)|g(w)|^{q-2} g(w) g^{\prime}(w)+\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-2} g(w)}{|x|^{\beta}} g^{\prime}(w) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

See that the transformed problem (2.6) is equivalent to (1.1) which takes $u=g(w)$ as its solutions. Thus, now our aim is to find the solutions to (2.6).

## 3. Compactness arguments

In this section, first we recall the definition of Palais-Smale sequence.
Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{J}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1}$ functional on a Banach space $X$.
(1) For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is a Palais-Smale sequence at level $c$ (in short $\left.(P S)_{c}\right)$ in $X$ for $\mathcal{J}$ if $\mathcal{J}\left(u_{n}\right)=c+o_{n}(1)$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $X^{*}($ dual of $X)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
(2) We say $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ condition if for any Palais-Smale sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ for $\mathcal{J}$ has a convergent subsequence.
Next, we state the following concentration compactness Lemms due to Lions [27].
Lemma 3.2. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ converging weakly and a.e. to $u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \rightharpoonup \nu,\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \rightharpoonup \omega$ in the sense of measure. Then, for at most countable set $J$, there exist families of distinct points $\left\{\nu_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ and $\left\{\omega_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu \geq|\nabla u|^{p}+\sum_{i \in J} \nu_{j} \delta_{z_{j}}, \nu_{j}>0 \\
& \omega=|u|^{p^{*}}+\sum_{i \in J} \omega_{j} \delta_{z_{j}}, \omega_{j}>0 \\
& S \omega_{j}^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq \nu_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu, \omega$ are bounded and nonnegative measures on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\delta_{z_{j}}$ is the Dirac mass at $z_{j}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a sequence in as in Lemma 3.2 and defined

$$
\nu_{\infty}:=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|>R}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x, \quad \omega_{\infty}=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|>R}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x
$$

Then it follows that

$$
S \omega_{\infty}^{p / p^{*}} \leq \nu_{\infty}
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x=\nu_{\infty}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \nu, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=\omega_{\infty}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \omega
$$

Now we prove the following concentration-compactness lemma related to our problem.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\beta \geq 0, \mu>0,0<2 \beta+\mu<N$ and $2 \leq p<N$. If $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ converges weakly and a.e in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ to some $u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \rightharpoonup \omega$ and $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \rightharpoonup \nu$ in the sense of measure. Assume that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}}\right) \frac{\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} \rightharpoonup \zeta
$$

weakly in the sense of measure, where $\zeta$ is a bounded positive measure on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\infty}:= & \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x, \quad \omega_{\infty}:=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x . \\
& \zeta_{\infty}:=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists a countable sequence of points $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and families of positive numbers $\left\{\omega_{j}: j \in J\right\}$, $\left\{\zeta_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ and $\left\{\nu_{j}: j \in I\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}}\right) \frac{|u(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}}+\sum_{j \in J} \zeta_{j} \delta_{z_{j}}, \quad \sum_{j \in J} \zeta_{j}^{\frac{1}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}<\infty  \tag{3.1}\\
& \nu \geq|\nabla u|^{p}+\sum_{j \in J} \nu_{j} \delta_{z_{j}}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \omega \geq|u|^{p^{*}}+\sum_{j \in J} \omega_{j} \delta_{z_{j}} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\beta, \mu} \zeta_{j}^{\frac{p}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}}} \leq \nu_{j}, \quad \text { and } \quad \zeta_{j}^{\frac{N}{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}} \leq C(N, \beta, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}} \omega_{j} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{z}$ is the Dirac-mass of mass 1 concentrated at $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
For the energy at infinity, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x=\nu_{\infty}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \nu, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=\omega_{\infty}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \omega \\
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}|u(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y=\zeta_{\infty}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \zeta
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(N, \beta, \mu)^{-\frac{2 N}{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}} \zeta_{\infty}^{\frac{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}{2 N-2 \beta}} \leq \omega_{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \omega+\omega_{\infty}\right) \\
S^{p} C(N, \beta, \mu)^{-\frac{p}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} \zeta_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}} \leq \nu_{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \nu+\nu_{\infty}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $v_{n}:=u_{n}-u$. Then the sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to 0 in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $v_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ as the bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By Lemmas 3.2-3.3, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p} \rightharpoonup \tau_{1}: & : \nu-|\nabla u|^{p}, \\
\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} & \rightharpoonup \tau_{2}
\end{array}:=\omega-|u|^{p^{*}}, ~ \begin{array}{l}
\left|v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \\
|x|^{\beta}
\end{array} \tau_{3}:=\zeta-\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|u(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|u(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} .
$$

Firstly, we show that for every $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|\phi(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|\phi v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}}\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For this, we denote

$$
\Psi_{n}(x):=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}}-\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|\phi(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|\phi v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}}
$$

As $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, so we have for every $\delta>0$, there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \geq K}\left|\Psi_{n}(x)\right| d x<\delta, \quad \text { for all } n \geq 1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we know that the Riesz potential defines a linear operator and $v_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and hence,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y \rightarrow 0 \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

Thus, we have $\Psi_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We note that

$$
\Psi_{n}(x)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left(|\phi(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}-|\phi(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi(x, y)\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} d y\right) \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}}
$$

where $\Phi(x, y)=\frac{|\phi(y)|^{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu-|\phi(x)|^{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}}$. Moreover, for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, there exists some $R>0$ large enough such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi(x, y)\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} d y=\int_{|y| \leq R} \Phi(x, y)\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} d y-|\phi(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \int_{|y| \geq R} \frac{\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y
$$

In [27], we noticed that $\Phi(x, y) \in L^{r}\left(B_{R}\right)$ for each $x$, where $r<\frac{N}{\beta+\mu}$ if $\mu>1, r \leq \frac{N}{\mu}$ if $0<\mu \leq 1$. So, by Young's inequality, there exists $t>\frac{2 N}{\mu}$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{B_{K}(0)}\left(\int_{B_{R}(0)} \Phi(x, y)\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} d y\right)^{t} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq L_{\phi}\|\Phi(x, y)\|_{r}\left\|\left|v_{n}\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right\|_{\frac{2 N}{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}} \leq L_{\phi}^{\prime}
$$

where $K$ is same as in (3.6) and $L_{\phi}^{\prime}$ is some positive constant that depends on $\phi$. Moreover, one can easily see that for $R>0$ large enough

$$
\left(\int_{B_{K}(0)}\left(|\phi(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \int_{|y| \geq R} \frac{\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right)^{t} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq L
$$

and so, we have

$$
\left(\int_{B_{K}(0)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi(x, y)\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} d y\right)^{t} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq L_{\phi}^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $L$ and $L_{\phi}^{\prime \prime}($ depends on $\phi)$ are some positive constants. Thus for $s=\frac{t \mu-2 N}{2(2 N+2 N t-t \mu)}>0$ small enough, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{K}(0)}\left|\Psi_{n}(x)\right|^{1+s} d x \leq & \left(\int_{B_{K}(0)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi(x, y)\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} d y\right)^{t} d x\right)^{\frac{1+s}{t}}\left(\int_{B_{K}(0)}\left|\phi v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}(1+s)}{p^{*}}} \\
& \left(\int_{B_{K}(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{2 N t-2 N(1+s)-t(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)(1+s)}{2 N(1+s)}}}\right)^{\frac{2 N t-2 N(1+s)-t(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)(1+s)}{2 N t}} \leq L_{\phi}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\frac{2 N t \beta(1+s)}{2 N t-2 N(1+s)-t(2 N-2 \beta-\mu)(1+s)}<N$. Using this together with $\Psi_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we achieve

$$
\int_{B_{K}(0)}\left|\Psi_{n}(x)\right| d x \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Combining this with (3.6), we infer that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\Psi_{n}(x)\right| d x \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Now for every $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, by the weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (1.3), we deduce

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \leq C(N, \beta, \mu)\left\|\phi v_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}
$$

Thus, the equation (3.5) is proved. From equation (3.5), we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi(x)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \leq C(N, \beta, \mu)\left\|\phi v_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}+o_{n}(1)
$$

On taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi(x)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} d \tau_{3} \leq C(N, \beta, \mu)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi|^{p^{*}} d \tau_{2}\right)^{\frac{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, let $\phi=\chi_{\left\{z_{j}\right\}}, j \in J$ and using this in (3.7), we have

$$
\zeta_{j}^{\frac{p^{*}}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} \leq(C(N, \beta, \mu))^{\frac{p^{*}}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} \omega_{j}, \text { for all } j \in J
$$

Now the definition of $S_{\beta, \mu}$ (see (2.1)) yields that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|\phi v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} S_{\beta, \mu} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(\phi v_{n}\right)\right|^{p} d x
$$

Also, using (3.5) and $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi(x)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|v_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} S_{\beta, \mu} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \phi^{p}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p} d x+o_{n}(1)
$$

On passing the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above estimation, we achieve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi(x)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} d \tau_{3}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} S_{\beta, \mu} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\phi|^{p} d \tau_{1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi=\chi_{\left\{z_{j}\right\}}, j \in J$ and applying this in (3.8), we have

$$
S_{\beta, \mu} \zeta_{j}^{\frac{p}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} \leq \nu_{j}, \forall j \in J
$$

This completes the proof of (3.4).
Now, we prove the possible loss of mass at infinity. For $R>1$, let $\phi_{R} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be such that $\phi_{R}=1$ for $|x|>R+1, \phi_{R}(x)=0$ for $|x|<R$ and $0 \leq \phi_{R}(x) \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. For every $R>1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y d x \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \phi_{R}(x)}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left(1-\phi_{R}(x)\right)}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y d x\right) \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \phi_{R}(x)}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1-\phi_{R}\right) d \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, by Lebesgue's dominated convergent theorem, we deduce

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y d x=\zeta_{\infty}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \zeta
$$

By the weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequatlity (1.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\infty} & =\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{\left|\phi_{R} u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& \leq C(N, \beta, \mu) \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi_{R} u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}{2 N}} \\
& =C(N, \beta, \mu)\left(\left(\omega_{\infty}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \omega\right) \omega_{\infty}\right)^{\frac{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}{2 N}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
C(N, \beta, \mu)^{-\frac{2 N}{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}} \zeta_{\infty}^{\frac{2 N}{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}} \leq \omega_{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \omega+\omega_{\infty}\right)
$$

Similarly, using the weighted Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequatlity (1.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\infty} & =\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{\left|\phi_{R} u_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& \leq C(N, \beta, \mu) \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N^{2}}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi_{R} u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}{2 N}} \\
& \leq C(N, \beta, \mu) S^{-p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(\phi_{R} u_{n}\right)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}} \\
& =C(N, \beta, \mu) S^{-p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left(\left(\nu_{\infty}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \nu\right) \nu_{\infty}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
S^{p} C(N, \beta, \mu)^{-\frac{p}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} \zeta_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} \leq \nu_{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d \nu+\nu_{\infty}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 3.5. Assume that $2<q<2 p$ and (1.6) hold. Then any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ is bounded in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Proof. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1)=\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)= & \frac{a}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{b}{2 p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $v \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
o_{n}(1)\left\|w_{n}\right\|= & \left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), v\right\rangle \\
= & a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q-2} g(w) g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) v d x \\
& +b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla v d x+\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p-2} g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) g^{\prime \prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} v\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-2} g\left(w_{n}\right)}{|x|^{\beta}} g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) v d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $v_{n}=\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(w_{n}\right)=\frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)} \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{4}\right)$ and

$$
\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|=\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|
$$

yield, $\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leq 2\left\|w_{n}\right\|$. Also, by (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
o_{n}(1)\left\|w_{n}\right\|= & \left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle=a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)^{2} \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}} d y d x \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using (3.9) together with the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{4}\right),\left(g_{6}\right)$ and (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1)\left\|w_{n}\right\|= & \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\right]\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x \\
\geq & a\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \lambda\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{2 p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p^{*}}} \\
\geq & a\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) 2^{\frac{q}{2 p}} S^{-\frac{q}{2 p}}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}} \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) a\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) 2^{\frac{q}{2 p}} S^{-\frac{q}{2 p}}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{\frac{q}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, since $p<p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}$ and $2<q<2 p$.
Lemma 3.6. Let $q=2 p$ and (1.6) hold. Then any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ is bounded in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ any $c \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Using the similar calculation as in Lemma 3.5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o(1)\left\|w_{n}\right\| & =\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}\left(a-\frac{\lambda}{2} 2 S^{-1}\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $0<\lambda<\frac{a}{S^{-1}\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}}$, we get $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence.
Lemma 3.7. Assume that $2 p<q<2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}$ and (1.6) hold. Then any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ is bounded in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Gathering (3.9) in combination with the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{4}\right),\left(g_{6}\right)$ and (2.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c+o_{n}(1)\left\|w_{n}\right\|= \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{q}\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle \\
&= a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\right]\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w(y))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w(x))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& \geq a\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x=\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{q}\right) a\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line, we used the fact that $2 p<q<2 p^{*}<4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}$. Therefore, from the above estimation, it implies that $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\beta \geq 0, \mu>0,0<2 \beta+\mu<N$ and $2 \leq p<N$. Suppose $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|w_{n}\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|w_{n}\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|w_{n}-w\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|w_{n}-w\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
& \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|w|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|w|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. The proof follows in a similar manner as in [12].
Lemma 3.9. Assume that $2 \leq q<2 p$ and (1.6) hold. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ and $c<0$, then there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$. Then by Lemma $3.5,\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. So, by Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{5}\right)$, $\left\{g\left(w_{n}\right)\right\}$ is also bounded in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Therefore, we can assume that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ weakly in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), w_{n} \rightarrow w$ a.e in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Since, $g \in C^{\infty}$, then $\left|g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \rightarrow\left|g^{2}(w)\right|^{p}$ a.e in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\left|g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \rightharpoonup\left|g^{2}(w)\right|^{p}$ weakly in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Hence, we can assume that

$$
\left|\nabla g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \rightharpoonup \omega, \quad\left|g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p^{*}} \rightarrow \nu, \quad\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g^{2}(w(y))\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}}\right) \frac{|g(w(x))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} \rightharpoonup \zeta
$$

in the sense of measure. By Lemma 3.4, there exists at most countable set $J$, sequence of points $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and families of positive numbers $\left\{\nu_{j}: j \in J\right\},\left\{\zeta_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ and $\left\{\omega_{j}: j \in I\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w(y))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}}\right) \frac{|g(w(x))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}}+\sum_{j \in J} \zeta_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}, \quad \sum_{j \in J} \zeta_{j}^{\frac{1}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}<\infty  \tag{3.11}\\
& \omega \geq\left|\nabla g^{2}(w)\right|^{p}+\sum_{j \in J} \omega_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \nu \geq|g(w)|^{2 p^{*}}+\sum_{j \in J} \nu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\beta, \mu} \zeta_{j}^{\frac{p}{2 p, \mu}} \leq \omega_{j}, \text { and } \zeta_{j}^{\frac{N}{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}} \leq C(N, \beta, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}} \nu_{j} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{x}$ is the Dirac-mass of mass 1 concentrated at $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Moreover, we can construct a smooth cut-off function $\psi_{\epsilon, j}$ centered at $x_{j}$ such that

$$
0 \leq \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) \leq 1, \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)=1 \text { in } B\left(x_{j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right), \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right),\left|\nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j}\right| \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon}
$$

for any $\epsilon>0$ small.
Let us set

$$
v_{n}:=\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(w_{n}\right)
$$

Then $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Obviously, $\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right), v_{n} \psi_{\epsilon, j}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j}}{\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} d x\right] \\
& =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, j}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}} d y d x\right] . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{4}\right)$ yield that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \left.\leq\left.\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(w_{n}\right)\right| \nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq\left. K \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right| \nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j} \mid d x \\
& \leq K \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n} \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \\
& \leq K \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, 2 \epsilon\right)}\left|\nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j}\right|^{N} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{N}}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, 2 \epsilon\right)}|w|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}} d x\right)^{\frac{N-p}{N p}} \\
& \leq K \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, 2 \epsilon\right)}|w|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}}}=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, using the boundedness of $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ and the definition of $\psi_{\epsilon, j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j}}{\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} d x\right)\right]=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easily check that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{11}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}=\left|2 g\left(w_{n}\right) g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) \nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \leq 2\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging the relation together with (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) in (3.15), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, j}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}} d y d x\right] \\
& \geq \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \psi_{\epsilon, j}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}} d x d y\right\} \\
& \geq \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow 0}\left\{a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d \omega-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d \zeta\right\} \\
& \geq a \omega_{j}-\zeta_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.14), it follows that

$$
\text { either } \quad \omega_{j} \geq\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}^{\frac{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{N-2 \beta-\mu+p}} \quad \text { or } \quad \omega_{j}=0
$$

Now we claim that the first case can not occur. Suppose not, then there exists $j_{0} \in J$ such that $\omega_{j_{0}} \geq$ $\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}^{\frac{2 N-2 \beta-\mu}{N-p}}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{N-2 \beta-\mu+p}}$. Now the Hölder inequality, (2.2) and the Young inequality yield that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q} d x \leq & \lambda\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}} S^{-\frac{q}{2 p}}\left\|g^{2}(w)\right\|^{\frac{q}{2}}=\left(\left[\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{a}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\frac{q}{2 p}}\left\|g^{2}(w)\right\|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \\
& \left(\left[\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{a}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\frac{-q}{2 p}} \lambda\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}} S^{-\frac{q}{2 p}}\right)^{-1} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{a}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)^{-1}\left\|g^{2}(w)\right\|^{p} \\
& +\frac{2 p-q}{2 p}\left[\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{2}{a S}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\frac{q}{2 p-q}} \lambda^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-q}}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}}^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-q}} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.20), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0>c & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right),\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(w_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\right]\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x\right\} \\
\geq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{a}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p} d x-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x\right\} \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{a}{2}\left(\left\|g^{2}(w)\right\|^{p}+\sum_{j \in J} \omega_{j}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{a}{2} \omega_{j_{0}}-\frac{2 p-q}{2 p}\left[\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{2}{a S}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-q}} \lambda^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-q}\|f\|^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-q}} \frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}} \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta}^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}-1}}-\frac{2 p-q}{2 p}\left[\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{2}{a S}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\frac{q}{2 p-q}} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-q}}\|f\|^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-q}}}{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}} . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\lambda_{1}>0$ so small such that for every $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$, the right hand side of (3.21) is greater than zero, which gives a contradiction.

To obtain the possible concentration of mass at infinity, similarly, we can define a cut-off function $\psi_{R} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\psi_{R}(x)=0$ on $|x|<R, \psi_{R}(x)=1$ on $|x|>R+1$ and $\left|\nabla \psi_{R}\right| \leq \frac{2}{R}$. Let

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\omega_{\infty}:=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R}\left|\nabla g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p} d x, \quad \nu_{\infty}:=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{2 p^{*}} d x \\
\zeta_{\infty}:=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|>R}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)(y)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)(x)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x .
\end{array}
$$

Now applying Proposition 1.1, the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{6}\right)$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\infty} & =\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)(y)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}}\right) \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)(x)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} \psi_{R}(x) d x \\
& \leq C(N, \beta, \mu) \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|_{p^{*}}^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p *} \psi_{R}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}} \leq K \nu_{\infty}^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact $\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)} \psi_{R}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{R} d x+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{R}}{\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} d x\right) d x\right] \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{R} d x+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{R}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} \psi_{R} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \psi_{R}(x)}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}} d y d x\right] . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

One can easily show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(w_{n}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{R} d x=0 \\
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n} \nabla \psi_{R}}{\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)\right]=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{q} \psi_{R}(x) d x=0
$$

Using the above in (3.22), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{R} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \psi_{R}(x)}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}} d y d x\right. \\
& \left.+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{R}}{\sqrt{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x}\right) d x\right] \\
\geq & \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \psi_{R} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \psi_{R}(x)}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|x|^{\beta}} d y d x\right] \\
= & a \omega_{\infty}-K \nu_{\infty}^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}} . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $a \omega_{\infty} \leq K \nu \nu_{\infty}^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}}$. This together with Lemma 3.4 yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\infty} \geq\left(K^{-1} a S^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}} \text { or } \omega_{\infty}=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\omega_{\infty} \geq\left(K^{-1} a S^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}}$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & >c=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \geq \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{R} d x-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x\right\} \\
& \geq \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p} d x-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x\right\} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)(a S)^{\frac{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}} K^{\frac{-p}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}}-\frac{2 p-q}{2 p}\left[\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \frac{2}{a S}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\frac{q}{2 p-q}} \lambda^{\frac{2 p}{2^{2 p-q}}\|f\|^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-q}} \frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}} . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\lambda_{2}>0$ so small such that for every $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{2}\right)$, the right hand side of (3.25) is greater than zero, which gives a contradiction. Now from the above arguments, for any $c<0$, there exist $\lambda^{*}=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}>0$, we have $\omega_{j}=0$ for all $j \in J$ and $\omega_{\infty}=0$ for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$. Hence

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w(x))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}|g(w(y))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{\left.|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mid} y\right|^{\beta}} d x d y
$$

and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left(\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{q}-|g(w(x))|^{q}\right) d x \leq\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}}\left\|\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{q}-|g(w(x))|^{q}\right\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{q}}=0
$$

Since $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)=0$, the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm, Lemma 3.8 and the Brezis-Lieb Lemma (see [5]) yield that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
o_{n}(1)\left\|w_{n}\right\|= & \left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right),\left(1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(w_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)^{2} \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y \\
= & a\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p} d x+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}} d x\right)^{2} \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|g\left(w_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}\left|g\left(w_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}}{|x|^{\alpha}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y \\
\geq & a\left(\left\|w_{n}-w\right\|^{p}\right)+a\|w\|^{p}+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|\nabla w|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}|g(w)|^{p}} d x\right)^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q} d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w(x))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}|g(w(y))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y \\
= & a\left\|w_{n}-w\right\|^{p}+o_{n}(1)\|w\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $w$ in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. This completes the proof of the Lemma.
Lemma 3.10. Assume that $q=2 p$ and (1.6) hold. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with

$$
c<c^{*}:=\frac{1}{4 p}\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}, \mu}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} .
$$

Then for all $\lambda \in\left(0, a S\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}^{-1}\right)$, $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition.
Proof. For each $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, using Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{6}\right)$, the Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality (2.2), we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{2 p} d x \leq S^{-1}\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\left\|g^{2}(w)\right\|^{p}
$$

Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ for $c<c^{*}$. Then $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is bounded from Lemma 3.6. Now using the last estimate, for all $\lambda \in\left(0, a S\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}^{-1}\right)$, arguing similarly as in Lemma 3.5, in substitute of (3.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{*}>c= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4 p}\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{4 p}\left(1+\frac{2^{p-1}\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{1+2^{p-1}\left|g\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}\right)\right]\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{4 p}\right) b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{4 p}-\frac{1}{2 p}\right) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|g\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x+\left(\frac{1}{4 p}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w(x))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}|g(w(y))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y\right\} \\
\geq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{a}{2 p}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}-\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{4 p}\right) \lambda S^{-1}\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\left\|g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}\right\} \\
\geq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{a}{4 p}\left\|g^{2}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}\right\}-\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{4 p}\right) \lambda S^{-1}\|f\|_{p^{p^{*}-p}}\left\|g^{2}(w)\right\|^{p} \\
\geq & \frac{a}{4 p} w_{i_{0}}+\frac{1}{4 p}\left(a-\lambda S^{-1}\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)\left\|g^{2}(w)\right\|^{p} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{4 p} a w_{i_{0}} \geq \frac{1}{4 p}\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}, \mu}}:=c^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is absurd. Now the rest of the proof follows in similar manner as in the proof of Lemma 3.9.

Lemma 3.11. Let $2 p<q<2 p^{*}$ and (1.6) hold. Suppose that $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with

$$
c<c^{* *}:=\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}, \mu}{p_{\beta}-1}} .
$$

Then $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ condition.
Proof. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ a $(P S)_{c}$ for $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ for $c<c^{* *}$. Then by Lemma 3.7, we have $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Now following the similar arguments as in Lemma 3.5, in place of (3.21), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{* *}>c & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{q}\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \frac{g\left(w_{n}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{q}\right) \frac{a}{2} w_{i_{0}} \geq\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}{p_{\beta, \mu}-1}}:=c^{* *},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. The rest of the proof follows as in the proof of Lemma 3.9.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we give proof of Theorem 1.2. Before proving our result, first we recall the definition of genus.
Definition 4.1. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A$ be a subset of $X$. The set $A$ is said to be symmetric if $u \in A$ implies $-u \in A$. For a closed symmetric set $A$ which does not contain the origin, we define a genus $\gamma(A)$ of $A$ by the smallest integer $k$ such that there exists an odd continuous mapping from $A$ to $R^{k} \backslash\{0\}$. If there does not exist such $k$, we define $\gamma(A)=\infty$. Moreover, we set $\gamma(\emptyset)=0$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let us define the set $\Sigma_{k}$ as

$$
\Sigma_{k}:=\{A: A \subset X \text { is closed symmetric }, 0 \notin A, \gamma(A) \geq k\} .
$$

Now to prove Theorem 1.2, we use a result by Kajikiya ( see [17, Theorem 1]), which is an extension of the symmetric mountain pass theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let $X$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space and $\mathcal{J} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose that the following hypotheses hold.
$\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$ The functional $\mathcal{J}$ is even and bounded from below in $X, \mathcal{J}(0)=0$ and $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies the local Palais-Smale condition.
$\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)$ For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $A_{k} \in \Sigma_{k}$ such that

$$
\sup _{u \in A_{k}} \mathcal{J}(u)<0 .
$$

Then $\mathcal{J}$ admits a sequence of critical points $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $u_{k} \neq 0, \mathcal{J}\left(u_{k}\right) \leq 0$ for each $k$ and $u_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $X$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 4.3. Let (1.6) hold. If $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a nontrivial weak solution to (2.6), then $w \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, if we consider $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $2 p<q<2 p^{*}$, then any nontrivial weak solution $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to (2.6) belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap C^{1, r}\left(B_{R}(0)\right)$, for all $R>0$ and for some $r:=r(R) \in(0,1)$.

Proof. Let $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a nontrivial weak solution to (2.6). Without loss of generality let us assume $w \geq 0$. For any real number $M>0$, we define the function

$$
v_{M}:=\min \{w(x), M\} .
$$

We consider the test function $v=v_{M}^{k p+1}, k \geq 0$. Clearly $v_{M} \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Now using $v$ as test function in the weak formulation (2.5) and using Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{3}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla v_{M}^{k} d x+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla v_{M}^{k p+1} d x \\
& =-b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p-2} g^{\prime}(w) g^{\prime \prime}(w)|\nabla w|^{p} v_{M}^{k p+1} d x \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q-2} g(w) g^{\prime}(w) v_{M} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-2} g(w)}{|x|^{\beta}} g^{\prime}(w) v_{M}^{k p+1} d x \\
& \leq b\|w\|^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p-1} g^{\prime \prime}(w)|\nabla w|^{p} v_{M}^{k p+1}(x) d x \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q-1} g^{\prime}(w) v_{M}^{k p+1}(x) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} g^{\prime}(w) v_{M}^{k p+1}(x) d x \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we estimate the integral expressions in the left hand side of (4.1):
Using (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla v_{M}^{k p+1} d x & =a(k p+1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{M}\right|^{p} v_{M}^{k p} d x \\
& =a \frac{(k p+1)}{(k+1)^{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{M}^{k+1}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \geq a \frac{(k p+1)}{(k+1)^{p}} S^{1 / p}\left\|v_{M}\right\|_{(k+1) p^{*}}^{(k+1) p} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla v_{M}^{k p+1} d x & =b\|g(w)\|^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \nabla v_{M}^{k p+1} d x \\
& =b \frac{(k p+1)}{(k+1)^{p}}\|g(w)\|^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}\left|\nabla v_{M}^{k+1}\right|^{p} d x \geq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we estimate the integral expressions in the right hand side of (4.1):
Recalling Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{3}\right),\left(g_{11}\right)$ and $\left(g_{12}\right)$, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& b\|w\|^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p-1} g^{\prime \prime}(w)|\nabla w|^{p} v_{M}^{k p+1}(x) d x \\
& \leq b\|w\|^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p+2}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p-1}|g(w)|^{p-1}|\nabla w|^{p} M^{k p+1} d x \\
& \leq \frac{b}{2^{\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{p}}}\|w\|^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{p} M^{k p+1} d x \\
& =\frac{b}{2^{\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{p}}} M^{k p+1}\|w\|^{2 p} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{4}\right),\left(g_{6}\right)$ and (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q-1} g^{\prime}(w) v_{M}^{k p+1}(x) d x & \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q} v_{M}^{k p} d x \\
& \leq \lambda 2^{q / 2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|w|^{q / 2} M^{k p} d x \\
& \leq \lambda 2^{q / 2 p} M^{k p}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}}\|w\|_{p^{*}}^{q / 2} \\
& \leq \lambda 2^{q / 2 p} M^{k p}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}} S^{-q / 2 p}\|w\|^{q / 2} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Again employing Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{4}\right),\left(g_{6}\right),(2.2)$ and recalling Proposition 1.1 and applying the Hölder inequality, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-1}}{|x|^{\beta}} g^{\prime}(w) v_{M}^{k p+1}(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} w^{k p}(x) d x \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}} C(N, p, \mu, \beta)\|w\|_{p^{*}}^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{\left(p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}+k p\right) \frac{p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}} \\
& :=C\left(\int_{\{w \leq \tau\}}|w|^{\left(p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}+k p\right) \frac{p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}+\int_{\{w>\tau\}}|w|^{\left(p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}+k p\right) \frac{p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}} \\
& \leq C\left[\tau^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}\left(\int_{\{w \leq \tau\}}\left(|w|^{(k+1) p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}}+\left(\int_{\{w>\tau\}}\left(|w|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}|w|^{(k+1) p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}}\right] \\
& \leq C\left[\tau^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}\|w\|_{(k+1) \frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}^{(k+1) p}+\left(\int_{\{w>\tau\}}\left(|w|^{\left(p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p\right) \frac{p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}{p_{\beta, \mu}} \cdot \frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\int_{\{w>\tau\}}\left(|w|^{(k+1) p \frac{p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \cdot \frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p^{*}}}\right] \\
& \leq C\left[\tau^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}\|w\|_{(k+1) \frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}^{(k+1)}+\left(\int_{\{w>\tau\}}|w|^{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \|\left. w\right|^{(k+1) p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}\right] \\
& :=C_{1}\|w\|_{(k+1) \frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}}^{(k+1) p}+C_{2}(\tau)\|w\|_{(k+1) p^{*}}^{(k+1) p}, \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau>0$ will be chosen later so that $C(\tau)>0$ will be sufficiently small. Now plugging (4.2),(4.3), (4.4) (4.5) in (4.1) and letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ and applying Fatou's lemma, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|w\|_{(k+1) p^{*}}^{(k+1) p} \leq \frac{(k+1)^{p}}{a(k p+1) S^{1 / p}}\left[\frac{b}{2^{\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{p}}} M^{k p+1}\|w\|^{2 p}+\lambda 2^{q / 2 p} M^{k p}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}} S^{-q / 2 p}\|w\|^{q / 2}\right. \\
& \left.+C_{1}\|w\|_{(k+1) \frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}^{(k+1) p}+C_{2}(\tau)\|w\|_{(k+1) p^{*}}^{(k+1) p}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{(k+1)^{p}}{a S^{1 / p}}\left[\tilde{C}_{3}(M+1)^{(k+1) p}+C_{1}\|w\|_{(k+1) \frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}}}^{(k+1) p}+C_{2}(\tau)\|w\|_{(k+1) p^{*}}^{(k+1) p}\right], \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $\tilde{C}_{3}:=\tilde{C}_{3}\left(b, \lambda, p, N, q,\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}},\|w\|\right)>0$ is a positive constant. Next, we can choose $\tau>0$ sufficiently large so that, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we can find $C(\tau)<\frac{a S^{1 / p}}{2(k+1)^{p}}$. Therefore, using this in (4.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{(k+1) p^{*}} \leq \tilde{C}^{\frac{1}{(k+1) p}}(k+1)^{\frac{1}{(k+1)}}\left[1+\|w\|_{(k+1) \frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}}\right] \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\tilde{C}:=\tilde{C}\left(a, b, M, \lambda, p, N, q,\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-q}},\|w\|\right)>0$ is a positive constant. Since $p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}>p$, we have $\frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}<p^{*}$. Case I: If there exists a sequence $k_{n}$ such that $k_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\|w\|_{\left(k_{n}+1\right) \frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}} \leq 1
$$

then from (4.8), we can infer that $\|w\|_{\infty} \leq 1$.
Case II: If there is no such sequence satisfying the above condition as in Case I, then there exists $k_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\|w\|_{(k+1) \frac{p p^{*}}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}>1, \text { for all } k \geq k_{0}
$$

Then (4.8) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{(k+1) p^{*}} \leq\left(\tilde{C}^{\frac{1}{k+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(k+1)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}\|w\|_{\frac{(k+1) p p^{*}}{p_{\beta}^{\star}, \mu}}, \text { for all } k \geq k_{0} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use standard bootstrap argument by choosing the $1^{\text {st }}$ iteration as $k:=k_{1}$ in (4.9) such that $\left(k_{1}+1\right) p=p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}$. In a similar manner, considering the $n^{\text {th }}$ iteration as $k=k_{n}:=k_{n-1} \frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|w\|_{\left(k_{n}+1\right) p^{*}} & \leq\left(\tilde{C}^{\frac{1}{k_{n}+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(k_{n}+1\right)^{\frac{1}{k_{n}+1}}\|w\|_{\left(k_{n-1}+1\right) p^{*}} \\
& =\left(\tilde{C}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_{j}+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(k_{j}+1\right)^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{j}+1}}}\right)^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{j}+1}}}\|w\|_{k_{0} p^{*}}, \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{j}+1=\left(\frac{p_{\mu, s}^{*}}{p}\right)^{j}$. Since $\frac{p_{\mu, s}^{*}}{p}>1$, we get $\left(k_{j}+1\right)^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{j}+1}}}>1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{j}+1\right)^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{j}+1}}}=1$.
Hence, there exists a constant $\underline{C}>1$, independent of $n$, such that $\left(k_{j}+1\right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{j}+1}}<\underline{C}$ and thus, (4.10) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{k_{n} p^{*}} \leq\left(\tilde{\tilde{C}}^{j=1} \frac{1}{k_{j}+1}\right)^{\frac{C^{j}}{p}} \sum^{n} \sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{j}+1}}\|u\|_{k_{0} p^{*}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{j}+1}=\frac{p}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p} ; \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{j}+1}}=\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}-\sqrt{p}}
$$

Thus, from (4.11), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{\alpha_{n}} \leq(\tilde{C})^{\frac{1}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}}(\underline{C})^{\frac{\sqrt{\bar{p}}}{\sqrt{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}-\sqrt{p}}}\|w\|_{k_{0} p^{*}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}:=\left(k_{n}+1\right) p^{*}$ and $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if not then there exists $\epsilon>0$ and a subset $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with meas $(\mathcal{D})>0$ such that

$$
w(x)>(\tilde{C})^{\frac{1}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}}(\underline{C})^{\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}-\sqrt{p}}}\|w\|_{k_{0} p^{*}}+\vartheta \text { for } x \in \mathcal{D}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\liminf _{\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w(x)|^{\alpha_{n}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}} & \geq \liminf _{\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathcal{S}}|w(x)|^{\alpha_{n}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}} \\
& \geq \liminf _{\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left((\tilde{C})^{\frac{1}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}}(\underline{C})^{\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}}-\sqrt{p}}\right.
\end{array} w \|_{k_{0} p^{*}}+\vartheta\right)(\operatorname{meas}(\mathcal{D}))^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{n}}}
$$

This contradicts (4.12). Thus, (4.13) holds.
Now for the next part of the proposition, $f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, hence using Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{3}\right),\left(g_{5}\right)$, it follows that

$$
f(x)|h(w)|^{q-2} h(w) h^{\prime}(w) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Moreover, following the arguments in [12] (see also [3]) in combination with Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{5}\right)$, we can deduce that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w(y))|^{2 p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and thus from 2.1- $\left(g_{3}\right)$, it yields that

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w(y))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w(x))|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} g^{\prime}(w) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Therefore, using elliptic regularity theory, we infer that for any $R>0$ there exists $r(R) \in(0,1)$ such that $w \in$ $C^{1, r}\left(B_{R}(0)\right)$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: From the hypotheses, it follows that $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ is even and $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(0)=0$. Also Lemma 3.9 ensures that $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition for all $c<0$. But observe that, $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ is not bounded from below in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. So, for applying Theorem 4.2, we use a truncation technique.
Let $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Using Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{5}\right),\left(g_{6}\right),(2.1)$ and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w)= & \frac{a}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{p} d x+\frac{b}{2 p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p} d x\right)^{2} \\
& \quad-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q} d x-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
\geq & \frac{a}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} 2^{\frac{q}{2 p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|w|^{q / 2} d x-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} 2^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|w|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|w|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \\
\geq & \frac{a}{p}\|w\|^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{q} 2^{\frac{q}{2 p}}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-p}}\|w\|_{p^{*}}^{q / 2}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} 2^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}}\|w\|_{\beta, \mu}^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \\
\geq & \frac{a}{p}\|w\|^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{q} 2^{\frac{q}{2 p}} S^{-\frac{q}{2 p}}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-p}}\|w\|^{q / 2}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} 2^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}} S_{\beta, \mu}^{-\frac{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}}\|w\|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \\
:= & C_{1}\|w\|^{p}-\lambda C_{2}\|w\|^{q / 2}-C_{3}\|w\|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the function $\ell: \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(t)=C_{1} t^{p}-\lambda C_{2} t^{q / 2}-C_{3} t^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2<q<2 p$, we can choose $\lambda_{0}$ sufficiently small such that for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ there exist $0<t_{1}<t_{2}$ so that $\ell<0$ in $\left(0, t_{1}\right), \ell>0$ in $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and $\ell<0$ in $\left(t_{2}, \infty\right)$. Therefore $\ell\left(t_{1}\right)=0=\ell\left(t_{2}\right)$. Next, we choose a non-increasing function $\mathcal{H} \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty),[0,1])$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}(t)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] \\ 0 & \text { if } t \in\left[t_{2}, \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

and set $\Pi(w):=\mathcal{H}(\|w\|)$. Now we define the truncated functional $\hat{I}_{\lambda}: D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{I}_{\lambda}(u):=\frac{a}{p} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{p} d x+\frac{b}{2 p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right|^{p}|\nabla w|^{p} d x\right)^{2}  \tag{4.16}\\
& \quad-\Pi(w) \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|g(w)|^{q} d x-\Pi(w) \frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|y|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}} d y\right) \frac{|g(w)|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, it can be verified easily that $\hat{I}$ satisfies the following:
(1) $\hat{I}_{\lambda} \in C^{1}\left(D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \hat{I}_{\lambda}(0)=0$.
(2) $\hat{I}_{\lambda}$ is even, coercive and bounded from below in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
(3) Let $c<0$, then there exists $\lambda_{1}>0$ such that for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$, $\hat{I}_{\lambda}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.
(4) If $\hat{I}_{\lambda}(w)<0$, then $\|w\| \leq t_{1}$ and $\hat{I}_{\lambda}(w)=\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w)$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider $k$ numbers of disjoint open sets denoted by $V_{j}, j=1,2, \cdots k$ with $\cup_{j=1}^{k} V_{j} \subset \Omega$, where $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ is given as in Theorem 1.2. Now we choose $w_{j} \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap C_{0}^{\infty}\left(V_{j}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, with $\left\|w_{j}\right\|=1$ for each $j=1,2, \cdots, k$. Set

$$
X_{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{k}\right\}
$$

Now we claim that there exists $0<\varrho_{k}<t_{1}$, sufficiently small such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k}:=\max \left\{\hat{I}_{\lambda}(u): u \in X_{k},\|w\|=\varrho_{k}\right\} \leq 0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that (4.18) does not hold. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}:=\left\{w_{n}^{(k)}\right\}$ in $X_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty ; \hat{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) \geq 0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's set

$$
u_{n}=\frac{w_{n}}{\left\|w_{n}\right\|}
$$

Then $u_{n} \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|=1$. Since $X_{k}$ is finite dimensional, there exists $u \in X_{k} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n} & \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly with respect to }\|\cdot\| \\
u_{n}(x) & \rightarrow u(x) \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $u \not \equiv 0$, we get $\left|w_{n}(x)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{1}{\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2 p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|w_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|w_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|w_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}\left|w_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-p}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{p}\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{p} d x d y \rightarrow \infty
$$

Using this together with Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{3}\right),\left(g_{8}\right)$, from (4.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) & \leq \frac{a}{p}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\frac{b}{2 p}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2 p}-\frac{(g(1))^{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|w_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|w_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y \\
& \leq\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2 p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{p}+\frac{b}{2 p}\right)-\frac{(g(1))^{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \frac{1}{\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2 p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|w_{n}(x)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\left|w_{n}(y)\right|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y\right) \\
& \rightarrow-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts (4.19). Thus, the claim is proved. Now choose $A_{k}:=\left\{w \in X_{k}:\|w\|=\varrho_{k}\right\}$. Clearly $\gamma\left(A_{k}\right)=k$ and $A_{k}$ is closed and symmetric, and hence $A_{k} \in \Sigma_{k}$ and also from (4.18), $\sup _{w \in A_{k}} \hat{I}_{\lambda}(w)<0$. Therefore, $\hat{I}_{\lambda}$ satisfies all the assumption in Theorem 4.2. Thus, $\hat{I}_{\lambda}$ admits a sequence of critical points $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $w_{k} \neq 0, \hat{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{k}\right) \leq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left\|w_{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So, for $t_{1}>0$, there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k_{0}$ it follows that $\|w\|<t_{1}$ which yields that $\hat{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{k}\right)=\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}\left(w_{k}\right)$ for all $k>k_{0}$. This together with Proposition 4.3 concludes the proof of the theorem.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Before proceeding into the proof of Theorem 1.3, first we recall the following $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-symmetric version of mountain pass theorem due to [33].

Theorem 5.1. Let $X$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space with $X=Y \oplus Z$, where $Y$ is finite dimensional and let $\mathcal{J} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be an even functional with $\mathcal{J}(0)=0$ such that the following conditions hold:
$\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$ there exist positive constants $l>0, \mathcal{K}>0$ such that $\mathcal{J}(u) \geq \mathcal{K}$ for all $u \in \partial B_{l}(0) \cap Z$;
$\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ there exists $c^{*}>0$ such that $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $0<c<c^{*}$;
$\left(\mathcal{B}_{3}\right)$ for any finite dimensional subspace $\hat{X} \subset X$, there is $R=R(\hat{X})>0$ such that $\mathcal{J}(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in \tilde{X} \backslash B_{R}(0)$. Assume that $Y$ is $k$-dimensional and $Y=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{k}\right\}$. For $n \geq k$, inductively choose $v_{n+1} \notin Y_{n}:=$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{n}\right\}$. Let $R_{n}=R\left(Y_{n}\right)$ and $D_{n}=B_{R_{n}(0)} \cap Y_{n}$. Define

$$
G_{n}=\left\{h \in C\left(D_{n}, X\right):\left.h\right|_{\partial B_{R_{n}}(0)}, h \text { is odd and } h(u)=u, \text { for all } B_{R_{n}}(0) \cap Y_{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j}=\left\{h\left(\overline{D_{n} \backslash S}\right): h \in G_{n}, n \geq j, S \text { is closed and symmetric, and } \gamma(S) \leq n-j\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma(S)$ is Krasnoselskii's genus of $S$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
c_{j}:=\inf _{A \in \Gamma_{j}} \max _{u \in A} \mathcal{J}(u)
$$

Thus $0<\alpha \leq c_{j} \leq c_{j+1}$ for $j>k$ and if $j>k$ and $c_{j}<c^{*}$, then we conclude that $c_{j}$ is the critical value of $\mathcal{J}$. Furthermore, if $c_{j}=c_{j+1}=\cdots=c_{j+m}=c<c^{*}$ for $j>k$, then $\gamma\left(K_{c}\right) \geq m+1$, where

$$
K_{c}=\left\{u \in X: \mathcal{J}(u)=c \text { and } \mathcal{J}^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}
$$

Now we show that $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 , when $q=2 p$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $q=2 p$ and (1.6) hold. Then $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ satisfies the conditions $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$ - $\left(\mathcal{B}_{3}\right)$ of Theorem 5.1 for all $\lambda \in\left(0, a S\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}^{-1}\right)$.
Proof. Verification of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$ : For $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, arguing similarly as in (4.14), we have

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w) \geq \frac{\|w\|^{p}}{p}\left(a-\lambda S^{-1}\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} 2^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}} S_{\beta, \mu}^{-\frac{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}}\|w\|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}
$$

Now for $\lambda<a S\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}^{-1}$, we can choose $\|w\|=l \ll 1$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w) \geq \mathcal{K}>0$.
Verification of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ : It follows from Lemma 3.10.
Verification of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{3}\right)$ : To show this, first claim that for any finite dimensional subspace $Y$ of $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ there exists $R_{0}=R_{0}(Y)$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w)<0$ for all $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash B_{R_{0}}(Y)$, where $B_{R_{0}}(Y)=\left\{w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):\|w\| \leq R_{0}\right\}$. Fix $\phi \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\|\phi\|=1$. For $t>1$, using Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{3}\right),\left(g_{8}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(t \phi) & \leq \frac{a}{p} t^{p}\|\phi\|^{p}+t^{2 p} \frac{b}{2 p}\|\phi\|^{2 p}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}(g(1))^{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} t^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|\phi(x)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}|\phi(y)|^{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{|x|^{\beta}|x-y|^{\mu}|y|^{\beta}} d x d y \\
& \leq C_{4} t^{2 p}\|\phi\|^{2 p}-C_{5} t^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\|\phi\|_{\beta, \mu}^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $Y$ is finite dimensional all norms are equivalent on $Y$, which yields that there exists some constant $C(Y)>0$ such that $C(Y)\|\phi\| \leq\|\phi\|_{\beta, \mu}$. Therefore from (5.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(t w) & \leq C_{4} t^{2 p}-C_{5}(C(Y))^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} t^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\|\phi\|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \\
& =C_{4} t^{2 p}-C_{5}(C(Y))^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} t^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} \rightarrow-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there exists $R_{0}>0$ large enough such that $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w)<0$ for all $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\|w\|=R$ and $R \geq R_{0}$. Therefore $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ satisfies the assertion $\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 5.3. There exists a non-decreasing sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ of positive real numbers, independent of $\lambda$ such that for any $\lambda>0$, we have

$$
c_{n}^{\lambda}:=\inf _{A \in \Gamma_{n}} \max _{w \in A} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w)<s_{n}
$$

where $\Gamma_{n}$ is defined in (5.1).
Proof. Recalling the definition of $c_{n}^{\lambda}$ and using Lemma 2.1- $\left(g_{3}\right),\left(g_{8}\right)$, from (4.16), we get

$$
c_{n}^{\lambda} \leq \inf _{A \in \Gamma_{n}} \max _{w \in A}\left[\frac{a}{p}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\frac{b}{2 p}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2 p}-\frac{(g(1))^{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\|w\|_{\beta, \mu}^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}\right]:=s_{n}
$$

Then clearly from the definition of $\Gamma_{n}$, it follows that $s_{n}<\infty$ and $s_{n} \leq s_{n+1}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.3: From the hypotheses of the theorem it follows that $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ is even and we have $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(0)=0$. Now we argue similarly as in [33]. From the Lemma 5.3, we can choose, $\hat{a}>0$ sufficiently large such that for any $a>\hat{a}$,

$$
\sup _{n} s_{n}<\frac{1}{4 p}\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}, \mu}{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}-1}}:=c^{*},
$$

that is,

$$
c_{n}^{\lambda}<s_{n}<\frac{1}{4 p}\left(a S_{\beta, \mu}\right)^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}, \mu}{p_{\beta}^{*}, \mu}-1} .
$$

Hence, for all $\lambda \in\left(0, a S\|f\|_{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}^{-1}\right)$ and $a>\hat{a}$, we have

$$
0<c_{1}^{\lambda} \leq c_{2}^{\lambda} \leq \cdots \leq c_{n}^{\lambda}<s_{n}<c^{*}
$$

Now by Theorem 5.1, we infer that the levels $c_{1}^{\lambda} \leq c_{2}^{\lambda} \leq \cdots \leq c_{n}^{\lambda}$ are critical values of $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$. Therefore, if $c_{1}^{\lambda}<c_{2}^{\lambda}<$ $\cdots<c_{n}^{\lambda}$, then $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ has at least $n$ number of critical points. Furthermore, if $c_{j}^{\lambda}=c_{j+1}^{\lambda}$ for some $j=1,2, \cdots, k-1$, then again Theorem 5.1 yields that $A_{c_{j}^{\lambda}}$ is an infinite set. Hence, (2.6) has infinitely many solutions. Therefore, we can conclude that (2.6) has at least $n$ pair of solutions Since $n$ is arbitrary, we get infinitely many solutions and moreover, these solutions are in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by Proposition 4.3.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4 using Theorem 5.1. For that, first we show $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ verifies all the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1, when $2 p<q<2 p^{*}$.

Lemma 6.1. Let $2 p<q<2 p^{*}$ and (1.6) hold. Then $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$ satisfies the conditions $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$-( $\mathcal{B}_{3}$ ) of Theorem 5.1 for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. Verification of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$ : Let $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\|w\|<1$. Using the similar arguments as in (4.14), we get

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w) \geq \frac{a}{p}\|w\|^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{q} 2^{\frac{q}{2 p}} S^{-\frac{q}{2 p}}\|f\|_{\frac{2 p^{*}}{2 p^{*}-p}}\|w\|^{q / 2}-\frac{1}{4 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} 2^{\frac{p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}} S_{\beta, \mu}^{-\frac{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}}{p}}\|w\|^{2 p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}} .
$$

Since $2 p<q$ and $p<p_{\beta, \mu}^{*}$, we can choose $0<\rho<1$ sufficiently small so that, we obtain for all $w \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\|w\|=\rho, \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(w) \geq \alpha>0$ for some $\alpha>0$ depending on $\rho$.

Verification of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ : It follows from Lemma 3.11, since $c^{* *}>0$.
Verification of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{3}\right)$ : The argument follows similarly as in Verification of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{3}\right)$ in Lemma 5.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.4 Using Lemma 6.1 and arguing in a similar fashion as in Lemma 5.3 and as in 5.1, we can conclude that (2.6) has at least $n$ pairs of distinct solutions for all $\lambda>0$. Since $n$ is arbitrary, we have infinitely many solutions. Now Proposition 4.3 yields that these solutions belong to $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
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