

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MULTIPLIERS AND UNCONDITIONAL CONVERGENCE OF BIORTHOGONAL EXPANSIONS

WILLIAM JAY DAVIS, DAVID WILLIAM DEAN AND IVAN SINGER

MULTIPLIERS AND UNCONDITIONAL CONVERGENCE OF BIORTHOGONAL EXPANSIONS

W. J. DAVIS, D. W. DEAN AND I. SINGER

We solve in the affirmative a problem raised by B. S. Mityagin in 1961, namely, we prove that if (x_n, f_n) is a biorthogonal system for a Banach space E with (f_n) total over E , such that the set of multipliers $M(E, (x_n, f_n))$ contains all sequences (ε_i) with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for each i , then (x_n) is an unconditional basis for E .

Let E be a Banach space, and let (x_n, f_n) be a biorthogonal system for E (i.e., $(x_n) \subset E$, $(f_n) \subset E^*$ and $f_n(x_m) = \delta_{nm}$) which has (f_n) total over E (i.e., $f_n(x) = 0$ for all n implies $x = 0$). A scalar sequence (γ_n) is called a *multiplier* of an element x in E with respect to (x_n, f_n) (write $(\gamma_n) \in M(x, (x_n, f_n))$) if there is an element y of E such that $f_n(y) = \gamma_n f_n(x)$ for all n (call this element $x_{(\gamma_n)}$). The set of multipliers for E with respect to (x_n, f_n) is

$$M(E, (x_n, f_n)) = \cap \{M(x, (x_n, f_n)) \mid x \in E\}.$$

Here we consider the following two problems:

P 1: (Mityagin [6], Kadec-Pelczynski [4], Pelczynski [7]). Let E be separable and suppose that $M(E, (x_n, f_n))$ contains all sequences (ε_i) with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for each i . Is (x_n) an unconditional basis for E ?

P 2: (Kadec-Pelczynski [4]). Let E be separable and suppose $M(x, (x_n, f_n))$ contains all sequences (ε_i) with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for each i . Does the formal expansion $\sum_n f_n(x)x_n$ converge unconditionally to x ?

Problem 2 (and hence also problem 1) is known to have an affirmative answer in the following cases [4]:

- 1°. $M(x, (x_n, f_n)) \supset m$ (the space of bounded sequences).
- 2°. E contains no subspace isomorphic to c_0 (the space of sequences converging to 0) and $M(x, (x_n, f_n)) \supset c_0$.
- 3°. $sp(f_n)$ (= linear span of (f_n)) is norming (i.e.,

$$\|x\| = \sup \{ \|f(x)\| \mid f \in sp(f_n), \|f\| \leq 1 \}$$

defines a norm on E equivalent to the original norm on E).

Problem 1 is known to have an affirmative answer in the case when $[x_n] = E$, where $[x_n]$ denotes the closed linear span of $\{x_n\}$ ([5]; see also [1], Theorem 3.4, implication (4) \Rightarrow (3)).

In the present paper we give an affirmative solution for problem

1. Our method also provides a more elementary proof of 3° than that given in [4].

THEOREM 1. *Let E be a separable Banach space and let (x_n, f_n) be a biorthogonal system for E with (f_n) total over E . If $M(E, (x_n, f_n))$ contains all sequences (ε_i) with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for each i , then (x_n) is an unconditional basis for E .*

If the hypothesis $[x_n] = E$ is added then a much simpler proof of the theorem is obtained (see the Remark following Lemma 3 below).

LEMMA 1. $M(E, (x_n, f_n)) \supset \{(\varepsilon_i) \mid \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \text{ for all } i\}$ if and only if $M(E, (x_n, f_n)) \supset \{(\varepsilon_i) \mid \varepsilon_i = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for all } i\}$.

Proof. Obvious.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose $(\varepsilon_i) \in M(E, (x_n, f_n))$, where $\varepsilon_i = 0$ or 1 for all i and define $S_{(\varepsilon_i)} = E \rightarrow E$ by*

$$(1) \quad S_{(\varepsilon_i)}(x) = x_{(\varepsilon_i)} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then $S_{(\varepsilon_i)}$ is a continuous linear mapping.

Lemma 2 is well known (see e.g. [8]).

In the particular case when $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\varepsilon_i = 0$ $i = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$ we shall use for $S_{(\varepsilon_i)}$ the notation S_n . Obviously,

$$(2) \quad S_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i \quad (x \in E, n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

If σ is a subset of the positive integers, we define the mapping $S_\sigma: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$(3) \quad S_\sigma = S_{(\varepsilon_i)},$$

where $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for $i \in \sigma$ and $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for $i \notin \sigma$.

LEMMA 3. *Let (x_n, f_n) be a biorthogonal system for E (not necessarily separable), with (f_n) total over E . If $M(E, (x_n, f_n))$ contains all sequences (ε_i) with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for all i , then $(\|S_n\|)$ is bounded.*

Consequently, (x_n) is an unconditional basic sequence (i.e., an unconditional basis of its closed linear span $[x_n]$) and hence, if $[x_n] = E$, then (x_n) is an unconditional basis of E .

Proof. Assume that $(\|S_n\|)$ is unbounded. Let (n_k) be an increasing sequence of integers such that $\|S_{n_k}\| \geq 2^k + \|S_{n_{k-1}}\|$, whence

$\|S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}}\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $(M_p; p = 1, 2, \dots)$ be a countable collection of pairwise disjoint, infinite subsets of the positive integers, $I_k = \{n_{k-1} + 1, \dots, n_k\}$, and $\sigma_p = \bigcup_{k \in M_p} I_k$. The projection S_{σ_p} is continuous by Lemma 2. Moreover, if k is in M_p and x is in E , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}})x\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} f_i(x)x_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} f_i(S_{\sigma_p}x)x_i \right\| \\ &= \|S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}}\|_{S_{\sigma_p}x} \leq \|S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}}\|_{X_p} \|S_{\sigma_p}\| \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_p = \{x \in E \mid f_j(x) = 0 \text{ if } j \notin \sigma_p\}.$$

It follows that $\|S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}}\|_{X_p}$ is unbounded as k runs through M_p . Choose $u_p \in X_p$, $k_p \in M_p$ such that $\|u_p\| \leq 2^{-p}$ and $\|(S_{n_{k_p}} - S_{n_{k_p-1}})u_p\| \geq 1$. Let $\sigma = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} I_{k_p}$. Now $\sigma \cap \sigma_p = I_{k_p}$ so that if $y_p \in X_p$ then $f_i(S_{\sigma}y_p) = f_i[(S_{n_{k_p}} - S_{n_{k_p-1}})y_p]$ for all i , whence $S_{\sigma}y_p = (S_{n_{k_p}} - S_{n_{k_p-1}})y_p$. Thus $\sum_p u_p$ converges while $S_{\sigma}(\sum_p u_p) = \sum_p S_{\sigma}(u_p) = \sum_p (S_{n_{k_p}} - S_{n_{k_p-1}})u_p$ doesn't converge, contradicting Lemma 2, that S_{σ} is continuous. Thus (x_n) is [2] a basic sequence. Since the same argument remains valid for every permutation $(x_{\rho(n)})$ of (x_n) , it follows that (x_n) is an unconditional basic sequence, which completes the proof.

REMARK. One can give a much simpler proof of the fact that under the hypotheses of Lemma 3 we have

$$(4) \quad \sup_n \|S_n|_{[x_j]}\| < \infty,$$

whence (x_n) is an unconditional basic sequence (and, if $[x_n] = E$, then (x_n) is an unconditional basis of E). Indeed, if (4) does not hold, then there exist increasing sequences of positive integers $(p_n), (q_n)$ with $p_{n-1} + 1 \leq q_{n-1} + 1 \leq p_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots; p_0 = q_0 = 0$) and a sequence (u_n) with $u_n \in [x_{q_{n-1}+1}, \dots, x_{q_n}]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $\|S_{p_n}u_n\| = 1$, $\|u_n\| \leq 1/2^n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), whence $(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j)$ is convergent, but for $\sigma = \{1, \dots, p_1, q_1 + 1, \dots, p_2, \dots\}$ the sequence $(S_{\sigma}(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j)) = (\sum_{j=1}^n S_{p_j}u_j)$ is not convergent. Thus, S_{σ} is not continuous, which contradicts Lemma 2, completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. We prove that $S_n x \rightarrow x$ for each x in E . This will prove the theorem by noting that the same proof works to show that each permutation of (x_n) is a basis for E , so that (x_n) is an unconditional basis for E . Choose x in E such that $(S_n x)$ does not converge (if it converges, its limit must be x by totality of the sequence (f_n)). Let $(n_k), (m_k)$ be sequences of integers such that $m_k + 1 \leq n_k \leq m_{k+1}$ for all k and such that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\varepsilon < \|S_{n_k} - S_{m_k}\|x\|$ for all k . Let $u_k = (S_{n_k} - S_{m_k})x = \sum_{i=m_k+1}^{n_k} f_i(x)x_i$. For each sequence (η_i) such that $\eta_i = 1$ or 0 for each i there is an element of E , denoted

here by $\Sigma\eta_i u_i$, such that $(S_{n_k} - S_{m_k})(\Sigma\eta_i u_i) = \eta_k u_k$ for every k ($\Sigma\eta_i u_i$ is $x_{(\varepsilon_j)}$ where $\varepsilon_j = \eta_k$ for $m_k + 1 \leq j \leq n_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and 0 for the other j). Since E is separable, and since the set $\{\Sigma\eta_i u_i \mid \eta_i = 1 \text{ or } 0\}$ in E is uncountable, there is a sequence $(y_n)_0^\infty$ with $y_n = \Sigma\eta_i^{(n)} u_i$ such that $y_n \neq y_m$ if $n \neq m$ and $y_n \rightarrow y_0 = \Sigma\eta_i^{(0)} u_i$. Let K be a bound on $\|(S_{n_k} - S_{m_k})\|$ as guaranteed by Lemma 3. Then for p large, and all k , $\|(S_{n_k} - S_{m_k})(y_p - y_0)\| \leq K \|y_p - y_0\| < \varepsilon$, but

$$(S_{n_k} - S_{m_k})(y_p - y_0) = (\eta_k^{(p)} - \eta_k^{(0)})u_k,$$

whence

$$\|(S_{n_k} - S_{m_k})(y_p - y_0)\| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \eta_k^{(p)} = \eta_k^{(0)} \\ \|\eta_k^{(p)} - \eta_k^{(0)}\| \|u_k\| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $y_p \neq y_0$ for all $p \neq 0$, there is a $k = k(p)$ for which

$$\|(S_{n_k} - S_{m_k})(y_p - y_0)\| = \|\eta_k^{(p)} - \eta_k^{(0)}\| \|u_k\| > \varepsilon,$$

which is impossible for large p . Therefore $S_n x \rightarrow x$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REMARK. Using the same method, one can also give a more elementary proof of the result 3° mentioned in the Introduction (actually, of a slightly more general result), than that given in [4]. As above, it is sufficient to show that $(S_n x)$ converges. If not, let $(n_k), (m_k), \varepsilon > 0$ and (u_k) be as in the above proof. Since $sp(f_n)$ is norming, by a technique of [3], or, equivalently, by [4], p. 311, lemma and p. 317, Lemma 5, we may assume (dropping to subsequences of (n_k) and (m_k) if necessary) that the natural projection P_k of $[x_1, \dots, x_{n_k}] \oplus [f_1, \dots, f_{m_{k+1}}]_\perp$ onto $[x_1, \dots, x_{n_k}]$ is of norm $\|P_k\| \leq C$, where $C > 1$ is a constant independent of k (actually, only this projection property is used in the sequel and therefore we obtain a slightly more general result than 3°). As in the above proof of Theorem 1 there is an element of E , denoted by $\Sigma\eta_i u_i$, which is in each of the subspaces $[x_1, \dots, x_{n_k}] \oplus [f_1, \dots, f_{m_{k+1}}]_\perp$, such that $(P_k - P_{k-1})(\Sigma\eta_i u_i) = \eta_k u_k$. The proof is completed in precisely the same manner as before, where now $P_k - P_{k-1}$ take the role of $S_{n_k} - S_{m_k}$.

Note. After this work had been completed, we have learned of the recent paper of G. F. Bachelis and H. P. Rosenthal "On unconditionally converging series and biorthogonal systems in a Banach space" (to appear in Pacific J. Math), where Problem 2 (and hence also Problem 1) is solved, even with the hypothesis "Let E be separable" replaced by the weaker hypothesis "Let E contain no subspace isomorphic to m ". However, our methods are completely different and use more elementary tools.

REFERENCES

1. G. F. Bachelis, *Homomorphisms of annihilator Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **25** (1968), 229-247.
2. M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1962.
3. ———, *On the basis problem in normed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** (1962), 655-658.
4. M. I. Kadec and A. Pelczynski, *Basic sequences, biorthogonal systems and norming sets in Banach and Frechet spaces*, Studia Math. **25** (1965), 297-323 (Russian).
5. E. R. Lorch, *Bicontinuous linear transformations in certain vector spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **45** (1939), 564-569.
6. B. S. Mityagin, *Approximative dimension and bases in nuclear spaces*, Uspehi Matem. Nauk. **16**, 4(100) (1961), 63-132 (Russian).
7. A. Pelczynski, *Some problems in functional analysis*, Lecture notes, L.S.U. (1966).
8. S. Yamazaki, *Normed rings and unconditional bases in Banach spaces*, Sci. Pap. Coll. Gen. Educ. Univ. Tokyo, **14** (1964), 1-10.

Received April 3, 1970. The first two authors were supported in part by NSF grants GP-14021 and GP-6023, respectively. The third author was on leave from the Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy of Sciences, Bucharest.

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ACADEMY, BUCHAREST

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 37, No. 1

January, 1971

Gregory Frank Bachelis and Haskell Paul Rosenthal, <i>On unconditionally converging series and biorthogonal systems in a Banach space</i>	1
Richard William Beals, <i>On spectral theory and scattering for elliptic operators with singular potentials</i>	7
J. Lennart (John) Berggren, <i>Solvable and supersolvable groups in which every element is conjugate to its inverse</i>	21
Lindsay Nathan Childs, <i>On covering spaces and Galois extensions</i>	29
William Jay Davis, David William Dean and Ivan Singer, <i>Multipliers and unconditional convergence of biorthogonal expansions</i>	35
Leroy John Derr, <i>Triangular matrices with the isoclinal property</i>	41
Paul Erdős, Robert James McEliece and Herbert Taylor, <i>Ramsey bounds for graph products</i>	45
Edward Graham Evans, Jr., <i>On epimorphisms to finitely generated modules</i>	47
Hector O. Fattorini, <i>The abstract Goursat problem</i>	51
Robert Dutton Fray and David Paul Roselle, <i>Weighted lattice paths</i>	85
Thomas L. Goulding and Augusto H. Ortiz, <i>Structure of semiprime (p, q) radicals</i>	97
E. W. Johnson and J. P. Lediaev, <i>Structure of Noether lattices with join-principal maximal elements</i>	101
David Samuel Kinderlehrer, <i>The regularity of minimal surfaces defined over slit domains</i>	109
Alistair H. Lachlan, <i>The transcendental rank of a theory</i>	119
Frank David Lesley, <i>Differentiability of minimal surfaces at the boundary</i>	123
Wolfgang Liebert, <i>Characterization of the endomorphism rings of divisible torsion modules and reduced complete torsion-free modules over complete discrete valuation rings</i>	141
Lawrence Carlton Moore, <i>Strictly increasing Riesz norms</i>	171
Raymond Moos Redheffer, <i>An inequality for the Hilbert transform</i>	181
James Ted Rogers Jr., <i>Mapping solenoids onto strongly self-entwined, circle-like continua</i>	213
Sherman K. Stein, <i>B-sets and planar maps</i>	217
Darrell R. Turnidge, <i>Torsion theories and rings of quotients of Morita equivalent rings</i>	225
Fred Ustina, <i>The Hausdorff means of double Fourier series and the principle of localization</i>	235
Stanley Joseph Wertheimer, <i>Quasi-compactness and decompositions for arbitrary relations</i>	253
Howard Henry Wicke and John Mays Worrell Jr., <i>On the open continuous images of paracompact Čech complete spaces</i>	265