



ISSN: 0067-2904

## Multipliers of an AT-algebra

Fatema F. Kareem

Department of Mathematics, Ibn-Al-Haitham college of Education, University of Baghdad, Iraq

Received: 9/8/2020

Accepted: 15/2/2021

### Abstract

In this work, we present the notion of a multiplier on AT-algebra and investigate several properties. Also, some theorems and examples are discussed. The notions of the kernel and the image of multipliers are defined. After that, some propositions related to isotone and regular multipliers are proved. Finally, the Left and the Right derivations of the multiplier are obtained.

**Keywords:** AT-algebra; multiplier; isotone multiplier; regular multiplier; Left and the Right derivations.

### مضاعفات الجبر AT-

فاطمة فيصل كريم

قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية-ابن الهيثم، جامعة بغداد، العراق

### الخلاصة

في هذا العمل ، قدمنا فكرة مضاعف الجبر AT ، وحققنا بعض الخصائص. ايضا، تمت مناقشة بعض النظريات والامثلة. تم تعريف كل من النواة وصورة المضاعفات. بعد ذلك، اثبتنا بعض الخصائص المتعلقة بالتساوي والمضاعفات المنتظمة. أخيراً ، حصلنا على الاشتقاق اليسرى واليمنى للمضاعف .

### 1. Introduction

Prabpayak and Leerawat introduced a new algebraic structure named KU-algebra. They studied a homomorphism of KU-algebra and discussed some ideals of this structure [1,2]. The notion of AT-algebra was introduced as a generalization of KU-algebra. Several properties and many types of ideals on AT-algebras were discussed[3]. Investigations on multipliers were published by various researchers in the context of rings and semigroups [4]. Some authors studied the properties of multipliers and algebraic structures on rings and semirings see,[5-12].

The concept of derivation plays a significant role in analysis, algebraic geometry, and algebra. In 1957, Posner introduced the notion of derivation in the ring and the near-ring[13]. In addition, the notion of derivation was applied in BCI-algebras [14]. Furthermore, the notion of derivation in B-algebras was introduced and some of their properties were investigated [15]. In 2014, Mostafa and Kareem studied the notion of derivation in KU-algebras[16].

In this study, the multiplier of an AT-algebra and some of its properties are introduced. The kernel and the image of multipliers on AT-algebras are discussed. Also, some properties of the isotone and regular multipliers are given. In addition, the notion of the Left and the Right derivations of the multiplier in AT-algebra is studied.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this part, we review some basic definitions and theories of AT-algebra.

\*Email: fa\_sa20072000@yahoo.com

**Definition 2.1[3].** An AT-algebra is a nonempty set  $\mathfrak{K}$  with a constant 0 and a binary operation  $*$ , satisfying the following condition : for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$ ,

- (i)  $(\varepsilon * \sigma) * ((\sigma * \tau) * (\varepsilon * \tau)) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $0 * \varepsilon = \varepsilon$ ,
- (iii)  $\varepsilon * 0 = 0$ .

Then the following properties are satisfied in AT-algebra  $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$ :

- (1)  $((\sigma * \tau) * (\varepsilon * \tau)) \leq (\varepsilon * \sigma)$ ,
- (2)  $0 \leq \varepsilon$ .

where  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma$  if and only if  $\sigma * \varepsilon = 0$  and  $\varepsilon, \sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$ .

**Proposition 2.2 [3].** Let  $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$  be an AT-algebra. Then the following axioms hold:

- i.  $\tau * \tau = 0$ ,
- ii.  $\tau * (\varepsilon * \tau) = 0$ ,
- iii.  $\sigma * ((\sigma * \tau) * \tau) = 0$ ,
- iv.  $\varepsilon * \sigma = 0$  implies that  $\varepsilon * 0 = \sigma * 0$ ,
- v.  $\varepsilon = 0 * (0 * \varepsilon)$ ,
- vi.  $0 * \varepsilon = 0 * \sigma$  implies that  $\varepsilon = \sigma$ .

where  $\varepsilon, \sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$ .

**Example 2.3[3].** Let  $\mathfrak{K} = \{0, a, b, c, d, e\}$  be a set with the operation  $*$  defined by the following table

<b>*</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>c</b>	<b>d</b>	<b>e</b>
<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>c</b>	<b>d</b>	<b>e</b>
<b>a</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>c</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>c</b>
<b>b</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>d</b>
<b>c</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>a</b>
<b>d</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>b</b>
<b>e</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Then,  $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$  is an AT-algebra.

**Proposition 2.4[3].** In any AT-algebra  $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$ , the following properties hold for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$ :

- a)  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma$  implies that  $\sigma * \tau \leq \varepsilon * \tau$ ,
- b)  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma$  implies that  $\tau * \varepsilon \leq \tau * \sigma$ ,
- c)  $\tau * \varepsilon \leq \tau * \sigma$  implies that  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma$ ,
- d)  $\varepsilon * \sigma \leq \tau$  imply  $\tau * \sigma \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 2.5[3].** A nonempty subset  $S$  of an AT-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  is called an AT-subalgebra if  $\varepsilon * \sigma \in S$ , whenever  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in S$ .

**Definition 2.6[3].** A nonempty subset  $I$  of an AT-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  is called an AT-ideal if for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$ :

(AT<sub>1</sub>)  $0 \in I$ ,

(AT<sub>2</sub>)  $(\varepsilon * (\sigma * \tau)) \in I$  and  $\sigma \in I$  imply that  $\varepsilon * \tau \in I$ .

**Definition 2.7.** An AT-algebra  $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$  is said to be AT-commutative if:

$(\varepsilon * \sigma) * \sigma = (\sigma * \varepsilon) * \varepsilon$ , for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \mathfrak{K}$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $\mathfrak{K} = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a set with the operation  $*$ , defined by the following table

<b>*</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>c</b>
<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>c</b>
<b>a</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>c</b>
<b>b</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>c</b>
<b>c</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>0</b>

By using the definition 2.7, we can prove that  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is an AT-commutative.

**Theorem 2.9.** For an AT-algebra  $(\aleph, *, 0)$ , the followings are equivalent for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ :

- (a)  $\aleph$  is a commutative,
- (b)  $(\varepsilon * \sigma) * \sigma \leq (\sigma * \varepsilon) * \varepsilon$ ,
- (c)  $((\sigma * \varepsilon) * \varepsilon) * ((\varepsilon * \sigma) * \sigma) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Clear by applying the definition 2.7.

**3. A self-map  $\rho$**

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  be an AT-algebra. A self-map  $\rho$  of  $\aleph$  is called a multiplier if  $\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \varepsilon * \rho(\sigma)$ , for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\aleph = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  be a set with the operation  $*$ , defined by the following table:

$*$	$0$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$
$0$	$0$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$
$a$	$0$	$0$	$b$	$c$	$d$
$b$	$0$	$a$	$0$	$c$	$c$
$c$	$0$	$0$	$b$	$0$	$b$
$d$	$0$	$0$	$0$	$0$	$0$

Based on definition 2.1,  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is an AT-algebra and the self map  $\rho$  of  $\aleph$  is defined by:

$$\rho(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon = 0, a, c \\ b & \text{if } \varepsilon = b, d \end{cases}$$

Based on definition 2.1,  $\rho$  is a multiplier of  $\aleph$ .

**Example 3.3.** Based on Example 2.3,  $\rho: \aleph \rightarrow \aleph$  is defined as follows:

$$\rho(0) = 0, \quad \rho(a) = 0, \quad \rho(b) = b, \quad \rho(c) = c, \quad \rho(d) = b, \quad \rho(e) = d \text{ and } c = \rho(a * e) \neq a * \rho(e) = b.$$

Then,  $\rho$  is not a multiplier of  $\aleph$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are two multipliers of an AT-algebra  $\aleph$ , then  $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2$  is a multiplier of  $\aleph$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be two multipliers of  $\aleph$ . Then,  $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \rho_1(\rho_2(\varepsilon * \sigma)) = \rho_1((\varepsilon * \rho_2(\sigma))) = \varepsilon * \rho_1(\rho_2(\sigma)) = \varepsilon * (\rho_1 \circ \rho_2)(\sigma)$ , for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ . Thus,  $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2$  is a multiplier of  $\aleph$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . Then, for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ , we have

- (i)  $\rho(0) = 0$
- (ii)  $\rho(\varepsilon * 0) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\rho(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$
- (iv)  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma \Rightarrow \rho(\varepsilon) \leq \rho(\sigma)$
- (v)  $\rho(\rho(\varepsilon) * \varepsilon) = 0$
- (vi)  $\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) \leq \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)$ .

**Proof**

- (i) Based on definition 3.1,  $\rho(0) = \rho(\rho(0) * 0) = \rho(0) * \rho(0) = 0$ .
- (ii) Based on (i),  $\rho(\varepsilon * 0) = \rho(0) = 0$ , where  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ .
- (iii) Based on definition 3.1,  $0 = \rho(0) = \rho(\varepsilon * \varepsilon) = \varepsilon * \rho(\varepsilon)$ , hence  $\rho(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ .
- (iv) Suppose that  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma$  for every  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ , then  $\sigma * \varepsilon = 0$ . Thus,  $0 = \rho(0) = \rho(\sigma * \varepsilon) = \sigma * \rho(\varepsilon)$ , hence  $\rho(\varepsilon) \leq \sigma$ .
- (v) Based on definition 3.1,  $\rho(\rho(\varepsilon) * \varepsilon) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\varepsilon) = 0$ , where  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ .

(vi) Based on (iii),  $\rho(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ , and based on proposition 2.4, we have  $\varepsilon * \rho(\sigma) \leq \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)$ , thus  $\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) \leq \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . Then,  $\rho$  is said to be an isotone if  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma \Rightarrow \rho(\varepsilon) \leq \rho(\sigma)$  for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . For all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ , if  $\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)$ , then  $\rho$  is anisotone .

**Proof.** Let  $\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)$ . If  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma * \varepsilon = 0$ , for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ . Then , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\varepsilon) &= \rho(0 * \varepsilon) \text{ by definition 2.1 (iii),} \\ &= \rho((\sigma * \varepsilon) * \varepsilon) \text{ by hypothesis above,} \\ &= \rho(\sigma * \varepsilon) * \rho(\varepsilon) \text{ by hypothesis above,} \\ &= [\rho(\sigma) * \rho(\varepsilon)] * \rho(\varepsilon) \text{ by hypothesis above,} \\ &\leq \rho(\sigma) \text{ by definition 2.1 (3).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\rho(\varepsilon) \leq \rho(\sigma)$  and then  $\rho$  is anisotone.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . If  $\rho$  is an endomorphism on  $\aleph$ , then  $\rho$  is an isotone.

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma * \varepsilon = 0$  and  $0 = \rho(0) = \rho(\sigma * \varepsilon) = \rho(\sigma) * \rho(\varepsilon)$ . Hence,  $\rho(\varepsilon) \leq \rho(\sigma)$ , then  $\rho$  is an isotone.

#### 4. The kernel and the image of the multiplier $\rho$

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . Then, the kernel of  $\rho$ , denoted by  $\ker(\rho)$ , is defined by  $\ker(\rho) = \{\varepsilon \in \aleph : \rho(\varepsilon) = 0\}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . Then,  $\ker(\rho)$  is an AT-subalgebra of  $\aleph$ .

**Proof.** Since  $0 \in \ker(\rho)$ , then  $\ker(\rho) \neq \varnothing$ . Let  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \ker(\rho)$ , it follows that  $\rho(\varepsilon) = 0$  and  $\rho(\sigma) = 0$ . Now,  $\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \varepsilon * \rho(\sigma) = \varepsilon * 0 = 0$ , hence  $\varepsilon * \sigma \in \ker(\rho)$ . Thus,  $\ker(\rho)$  is an AT-subalgebra of  $\aleph$ .

**Remark 4.3.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . If  $\rho$  is one to one map, then  $\ker(\rho) = \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\rho$  is one to one and  $\varepsilon \in \ker(\rho)$ . Then,  $\rho(\varepsilon) = 0 = \rho(0)$  and thus  $\varepsilon = 0$ , it follows that  $\ker(\rho) = \{0\}$ .

The reverse of Remark~4.3 is incorrect. Example 4.4~ shows ~the reverse.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $\aleph = \{0, a, b\}$  be a set with the operation  $*$ , defined by the following table:

$*$	0	a	b
0	0	a	b
a	0	0	0
b	0	0	0

It is easy to check that  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is an AT-algebra and the multiplier  $\rho$  of  $\aleph$  is defined by

$$\rho(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon = 0 \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, it is obvious that  $\ker(\rho) = \{0\}$  and  $\rho(a) = \rho(b) = a$ . Therefore,  $\rho$  is not one to one map.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-commutative and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . If  $\varepsilon \in \ker(\rho)$  and  $\sigma \leq \varepsilon$ , then  $\sigma \in \ker(\rho)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon \in \ker(\rho)$  and  $\sigma \leq \varepsilon$ . Then,  $\rho(\varepsilon) = 0$  and  $\varepsilon * \sigma = 0$ .

$\rho(\sigma) = \rho(0 * \sigma) = \rho((\varepsilon * \sigma) * \sigma) = \rho((\sigma * \varepsilon) * \varepsilon) = (\sigma * \varepsilon) * \rho(\varepsilon) = (\sigma * \varepsilon) * 0 = 0,$  thus  $\sigma \in \ker(\rho).$

**Remark 4.6.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be endomorphism map of  $\aleph$ . Then  $\ker(\rho)$  is an AT-ideal of  $\aleph$ .

**Proof.** Clearly,  $0 \in \ker(\rho)$ . Let  $\sigma \in \ker(\rho)$  and  $(\varepsilon * (\sigma * \tau)) \in \ker(\rho)$ . Then, we have  $\rho(\sigma) = 0$  and  $\rho(\varepsilon * (\sigma * \tau)) = 0$ , thus

$0 = \rho(\varepsilon * (\sigma * \tau)) = (\rho(\varepsilon) * (\rho(\sigma) * \rho(\tau))) = (\rho(\varepsilon) * (0 * \rho(\tau))) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\tau) = \rho(\varepsilon * \tau).$  This implies that  $\varepsilon * \tau \in \ker(\rho)$ . Hence,  $\ker(\rho)$  is an AT-ideal of  $\aleph$ .

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ .  $\rho$  is named idempotent if  $\rho(\rho(\varepsilon)) = \rho(\varepsilon)$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ .

**Example 4.8.** Let  $\aleph = \{0, a, b\}$  be a set with the operation  $*$ , defined by the following table:

$*$	$0$	$a$	$b$
$0$	$0$	$a$	$b$
$a$	$0$	$0$	$0$
$b$	$0$	$0$	$0$

It is easy to check that  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  is an AT-algebra and the multiplier  $\rho$  of  $\aleph$  is defined by

$$\rho(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$$

Then,  $\rho$  is idempotent.

**Remark 4.9.** Let  $\aleph$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\aleph$ . If  $\rho$  is idempotent, then

- (i)  $\text{Im}(\rho) \cap \ker(\rho) = \{0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\varepsilon \in \text{Im}(\rho) \Leftrightarrow \rho(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ .

**Proof.** (i) If  $\varepsilon \in \text{Im}(\rho) \cap \ker(\rho)$ , then  $\rho(\sigma) = \varepsilon$  for some  $\sigma \in \aleph$  and  $\rho(\varepsilon) = 0$ . It follows that  $0 = \rho(\varepsilon) = \rho(\rho(\sigma)) = \rho(\sigma) = \varepsilon$ , thus  $\text{Im}(\rho) \cap \ker(\rho) = \{0\}$ .

(ii) Sufficiency is obvious. If  $\varepsilon \in \text{Im}(\rho)$ , then  $\rho(\sigma) = \varepsilon$  for some  $\sigma \in \aleph$ . Thus  $\rho(\varepsilon) = \rho(\rho(\sigma)) = \rho(\sigma) = \varepsilon$ .

**Remark 4.10.** Let  $M(\aleph)$  be the set of all multiplier idempotent maps of AT-algebra  $\aleph$  and  $\blacksquare$  be a binary operation on  $M(\aleph)$  defined by  $(\rho \blacksquare \delta)(\varepsilon) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\varepsilon)$ , for all  $\rho, \delta \in M(\aleph)$  and  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ . It is easy to show that  $(M(\aleph), \blacksquare, 0)$  is an AT-algebra.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $\rho, \delta \in M(\aleph)$ , then

- (i) If  $\rho(\delta(\varepsilon)) = \delta(\rho(\varepsilon))$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ , then  $\rho \blacksquare \delta \in M(\aleph)$ ,
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ , if  $\text{Im}(\delta) \subset \text{Im}(\rho)$  and  $\rho(\delta(\varepsilon)) = \delta(\rho(\varepsilon))$ , then  $\rho \blacksquare \delta = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{Im}(\delta) \cap \ker(\rho) \subset \text{Im}(\rho \blacksquare \delta)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Assume that  $\rho(\delta(\varepsilon)) = \delta(\rho(\varepsilon))$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho \blacksquare \delta)((\rho \blacksquare \delta)(\varepsilon)) &= (\rho \blacksquare \delta)(\rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\varepsilon)) = \rho(\rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\varepsilon)) * \delta(\rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\varepsilon)) \\ &= (\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\delta(\varepsilon))) * (\rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\delta(\varepsilon))) = (\rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\rho(\varepsilon))) * (\rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\varepsilon)) \\ &= \delta(\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\varepsilon)) * (\rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\varepsilon)) = \delta(0) * (\rho \blacksquare \delta)(\varepsilon) = (\rho \blacksquare \delta)(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\rho \blacksquare \delta$  is idempotent, hence  $\rho \blacksquare \delta \in M(\aleph)$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\text{Im}(\delta) \subset \text{Im}(\rho)$  and  $\rho(\delta(\varepsilon)) = \delta(\rho(\varepsilon))$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ .

Since  $\delta(\varepsilon) \in \text{Im}(\delta) \subset \text{Im}(\rho)$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ , it follows from lemma 3.7 that

$$(\rho \blacksquare \delta)(\varepsilon) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\varepsilon) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\delta(\varepsilon)) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \delta(\rho(\varepsilon)) = \delta(\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\varepsilon)) = \delta(0)$$

, for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ , hence  $\rho \blacksquare \delta = 0$ .

(iii) If  $\sigma \in Im(\delta) \cap ker(\rho)$ , then  $\delta(\varepsilon) = \sigma$  and  $\rho(\sigma) = 0$  for some  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ . It follows that  $\sigma = \delta(\varepsilon) = 0 * \delta(\delta(\varepsilon)) = \rho(\sigma) * \delta(\sigma) = (\rho \blacksquare \delta)(\sigma) \in Im(\rho \blacksquare \delta)$ .

Hence, the proof is completed.

**5. The Left and The Right Derivations of multiplier maps in AT-algebra**

The left and the right derivations of multiplier maps in AT-algebra are introduced in this section.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\rho$  be a multiplier map and  $D_\rho$  be a self map of  $\aleph$ . Then,  $D_\rho$  is called  $L_\rho$ -derivation if  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = D_\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)$ , for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ , and it is called  $R_\rho$ -derivation if  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)$ , for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ . If  $D_\rho$  is both  $L_\rho$ -derivation and  $R_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , we say that  $D_\rho$  is a  $\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A self map  $D_\rho$  of  $\aleph$  is called a regular if  $D_\rho(0) = 0$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** A  $L_\rho$ -derivation of an AT-algebra  $\aleph$  is a regular.

**Proof.** Let  $D_\rho$  be a  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$

$$D_\rho(0) = D_\rho(\varepsilon * 0) = D_\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(0) = D_\rho(\varepsilon) * 0 = 0.$$

Thus, a  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$  is a regular.

The reverse of Lemma 5.3 is incorrect. Example 5.4 shows the reverse.

**Example 5.4.** Let  $\aleph = \{0, a, b\}$  be a set in Example 4.4. We define a map  $D_\rho: \aleph \rightarrow \aleph$  by

$$D_\rho(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} 0 & \varepsilon = 0 \\ b & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then, it is easy to show that  $D_\rho$  is a regular map but not  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , since

$$D_\rho(0 * a) = b \text{ and } D_\rho(0) * \rho(a) = a. \text{ Then, } D_\rho(0 * a) \neq D_\rho(0) * \rho(a).$$

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $D_\rho$  be a regular map of an AT-algebra  $\aleph$ , then

- (i) If  $D_\rho$  is a  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then  $D_\rho(\varepsilon) = \rho(\varepsilon)$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \aleph$ .
- (ii) If  $D_\rho$  is a  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then  $D_\rho((0 * \varepsilon) * \sigma) = \rho(\rho(\varepsilon) * \sigma)$  for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ .
- (iii) If  $D_\rho$  is a  $R_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then  $D_\rho((0 * \varepsilon) * \sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)$  for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $D_\rho$  be  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then

$$D_\rho(\varepsilon) = D_\rho(0 * \varepsilon) = D_\rho(0) * \rho(\varepsilon) = 0 * \rho(\varepsilon) = \rho(\varepsilon).$$

(ii) Let  $D_\rho$  be  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} D_\rho((0 * \varepsilon) * \sigma) &= D_\rho(0 * \varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma) = (D_\rho(0) * \rho(\varepsilon)) * \rho(\sigma) = (0 * \rho(\varepsilon)) * \rho(\sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma) \\ &= \rho(\rho(\varepsilon) * \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let  $D_\rho$  be  $R_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then

$$D_\rho((0 * \varepsilon) * \sigma) = \rho(0 * \varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)$$

**Lemma 5.6.** Let  $D_\rho$  be a regular and  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then

(i)  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \varepsilon * \rho(\sigma)$ , for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ .

(ii)  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * D_\rho(\varepsilon)) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $D_\rho$  be a regular and  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then we have

$$(i) D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = D_\rho(0 * (\varepsilon * \sigma)) = D_\rho(0) * \rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = 0 * \rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \varepsilon * \rho(\sigma)$$

$$(ii) D_\rho(\varepsilon * D_\rho(\varepsilon)) = D_\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(D_\rho(\varepsilon)) = \rho(D_\rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\varepsilon)) = \rho(0) = 0.$$

**Definition 5.7.** Let  $D_\rho$  be a  $\rho$ -derivation of an AT-algebra  $\aleph$ . Then,  $D_\rho$  is said to be an isotone  $\rho$ -derivation if  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma \implies D_\rho(\varepsilon) \leq D_\rho(\sigma)$ , for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** Let  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  be an AT-algebra and  $D_\rho$  be  $\rho$ -derivation on  $\aleph$ . For all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ , if  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = D_\rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)$ , then  $D_\rho$  is an isotone  $\rho$ -derivation.

**Proof.** Let  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = D_\rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)$ . If  $\varepsilon \leq \sigma \implies \sigma * \varepsilon = 0$  for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\rho(\varepsilon) &= D_\rho(0 * \varepsilon) = D_\rho((\sigma * \varepsilon) * \varepsilon) = D_\rho(\sigma * \varepsilon) * D_\rho(\varepsilon) \\ &= [D_\rho(\sigma) * D_\rho(\varepsilon)] * D_\rho(\varepsilon) \leq D_\rho(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $D_\rho(\varepsilon) \leq D_\rho(\sigma)$ , which implies that  $D_\rho$  is an isotone  $\rho$ -derivation.

**Lemma 5.9.** Let  $(\aleph, *, 0)$  be an AT-algebra with a partial order  $\leq$ , and  $D_\rho$  be a self map of  $\aleph$ . Then for all  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \aleph$ , we have

(i) If  $D_\rho$  is  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) \leq D_\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)$ ,

(ii) If  $D_\rho$  is  $R_\rho$ -derivation of  $\aleph$ , then  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) \leq \rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)$ .

(iii) If  $D_\rho$  is a regular and  $R_\rho$ -derivation of  $\mathfrak{K}$ , then  $\ker(D_\rho) = \{\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{K} : D_\rho(\varepsilon) = 0\}$  is an AT-subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{K}$ .

**Proof.** (i) If  $D_\rho$  is  $L_\rho$ -derivation of  $\mathfrak{K}$ , we have

$$(D_\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)) * D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = (D_\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)) * (D_\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)) = 0$$

Then,  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) \leq D_\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\sigma)$ .

(ii) If  $D_\rho$  is  $R_\rho$ -derivation of  $\mathfrak{K}$ , we have

$$(\rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)) * D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = (\rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)) * (\rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)) = 0$$

Then,  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) \leq \rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma)$ .

(iv) Since  $D_\rho$  is a regular map, then  $D_\rho(0) = 0$ , it follows that  $\ker(D_\rho) \neq \varnothing$ .

Now, Let  $\varepsilon, \sigma \in \ker(D_\rho)$ , then  $D_\rho(\varepsilon) = 0, D_\rho(\sigma) = 0$ . Since  $D_\rho$  is  $R_\rho$ -derivation of  $\mathfrak{K}$ , then  $D_\rho(\varepsilon * \sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\sigma) = \rho(\varepsilon) * 0 = 0$ . Hence,  $\varepsilon * \sigma \in \ker(D_\rho)$ .

Therefore,  $\ker(D_\rho)$  is an AT-subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{K}$ .

**Definition 5.10.** Let  $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$  be an AT-algebra and  $\rho$  be a multiplier of  $\mathfrak{K}$ . Then, an AT-ideal  $I$  is named an  $\rho$ -ideal if  $\rho(I) \subseteq I$ .

**Definition 5.11.** Let  $D_\rho$  be a self map of an AT-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$ . An  $\rho$ -ideal  $I$  of  $\mathfrak{K}$  is said to be  $D_\rho$ -invariant if  $D_\rho(I) \subseteq I$ .

**Propotion 5.12.** Let  $D_\rho$  be a regular  $L_\rho$ -derivation of an AT-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$ , then every  $\rho$ -ideal  $I$  of  $\mathfrak{K}$  is  $D_\rho$ -invariant.

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.5(i), we have  $D_\rho(\varepsilon) = \rho(\varepsilon)$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{K}$ . Let  $\sigma * \tau \in D_\rho(I)$ . Then,  $\sigma * \tau = D_\rho(\varepsilon)$ , for some  $\varepsilon \in I$ . It follows that

$(\sigma * (\rho(\varepsilon) * \tau)) = (\rho(\varepsilon) * (\sigma * \tau)) = (\rho(\varepsilon) * D_\rho(\varepsilon)) = (\rho(\varepsilon) * \rho(\varepsilon)) = 0 \in I$ . Since  $\varepsilon \in I$ , then  $\rho(\varepsilon) \in \rho(I) \subseteq I$ , as  $I$  is an  $\rho$ -ideal. It follows that  $\sigma * \tau \in I$  and since  $I$  is an AT-ideal of  $\mathfrak{K}$ . Hence  $D_\rho(I) \subseteq I$ , thus  $I$  is  $D_\rho$ -invariant.

**Corollary 5.13.** Let  $D_\rho$  be  $\rho$ -derivation of an AT-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$ , then  $D_\rho$  is a regular if and only if every  $\rho$ -ideal of  $\mathfrak{K}$  is  $D_\rho$ -invariant.

**Proof.** Assume that every  $\rho$ -ideal of  $\mathfrak{K}$  is  $D_\rho$ -invariant. Then, since the zero ideal  $\{0\}$  is  $\rho$ -ideal and  $D_\rho$ -invariant, we have  $D_\rho(\{0\}) \subseteq \{0\}$ , which implies that  $D_\rho(0) = 0$ . Thus,  $D_\rho$  is a regular. Combining this and Theorem 5.12, the proof is complete.

## References

1. Prabpayak, C. and Leerawat, U. **2009**. On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras, *scientia Magna*, **5**(1): 54-57.
2. Prabpayak, C. and Leerawat, U. **2009**. On isomorphisms of KU-algebras, *scientia magna*, **5**(3): 25-31.
3. Hameed, A.T. **2016**. *AT-ideals and Fuzzy AT-ideals of AT-algebra*, Germany. LAP LEMBRT Academic Publishing.
4. Larsen, B. **1971**. *An introduction to the theory of multipliers*. Berlin, Spring-Verlag.
5. Blecher, D.P. **2001**. Multipliers and Dual Operator Algebras, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **183**: 498-525.
6. Chaudhry, M.A. and Ali, F. **2012**. Multipliers in d-algebras, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, **18**(11): 1649-1653.
7. Cornish, W.H. **1980**. A multiplier approach to implicative BCK-algebras, *Mathematics Seminar Notes (Kobe)*, **8**(2): 157-169.
8. Janssen, K. and Vercuyse, J. **2009**. *Multiplier Hopf and BI-Algebras*. Faculty of Engineering, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB).
9. Kim, K. H. and Lim, H. J. **2013**. On Multipliers of BCC-algebras, *Honam Mathematical Journal*, **35**(2): 201-210.

10. Ahmed, H. A., & Majeed, A. H. **2020**.  $\Gamma$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$  – Derivation on Semi-Group ideals in Prime  $\Gamma$ -Near-Ring, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, **61**(3): 600-607.
11. Rasheed, M. K., & Majeed, A. H. **2019**. Some results of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivations on prime semirings. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, **60**(5): 1154-1160.
12. Enaam F. A. **2020**. A Study on n-Derivation in Prime Near – Rings, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, **60**(5): 1154-1160.
13. Posner, E.C. **1957** . Derivations in prime rings, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **8**: 1093-1100.
14. Jun, Y. B. and Xin, X.L. **2004**. On derivations of BCI-algebras, *Information Sciences*, 159 (3): 167-176.
15. Al-Shehri, N.O. **2010**. Derivations of B-algebras, *JKAU: Sci.*, 22: 71-83.
16. Mostafa, S. M. and Kareem, F. F. **2014**. Left fixed maps and  $\alpha$ -derivations of a KU-algebra, *Journal of Advances in mathematics*, 9(7):2817-2827.