Published for SISSA by 🖄 Springer

RECEIVED: February 22, 2021 ACCEPTED: April 26, 2021 PUBLISHED: May 20, 2021

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ QED in 2 + 1 dimensions: dualities and enhanced symmetries

Francesco Benini and Sergio Benvenuti

SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Via Valerio 2, 34127 Trieste, Italy ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, 34151 Trieste, Italy

E-mail: fbenini@sissa.it, benve79@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: We consider three-dimensional sQED with 2 flavors and minimal supersymmetry. We discuss various models which are dual to Gross-Neveu-Yukawa theories. The U(2) ultraviolet global symmetry is often enhanced in the infrared, for instance to O(4) or SU(3). This is analogous to the conjectured behaviour of non-supersymmetric QED with 2 flavors. A perturbative analysis of the Gross-Neveu-Yukawa models in the $D = 4 - \varepsilon$ expansion shows that the U(2) preserving superpotential deformations of the sQED (modulo tuning mass terms to zero) are irrelevant, therefore the fixed points with enhanced symmetry are stable. We also construct an example of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sQED with 4 flavors that exhibits enhanced SO(6) symmetry.

KEYWORDS: Duality in Gauge Field Theories, Supersymmetric Gauge Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: 1804.05707



Contents

 2 N = 4 mirror symmetry for sQED with 2 flavors 3 N = 1 sQED ↔ 7-field SU(2) × U(1) WZ model 3.1 Relevant and irrelevant deformations 4 N = 1 sQED with 4 singlets ↔ 5-field O(4) WZ model 5 N = 2 sQED ↔ N = 1 8-field SU(3) WZ model 6 SO(6) enhancement in N = 2 sQED with 2 + 2 flavors 6.1 Self-duality and symmetry enhancement 6.2 Superconformal index, chiral ring and moduli space of vacua 	1	Introduction and results	1
 3.1 Relevant and irrelevant deformations 4 N = 1 sQED with 4 singlets ↔ 5-field O(4) WZ model 5 N = 2 sQED ↔ N = 1 8-field SU(3) WZ model 6 SO(6) enhancement in N = 2 sQED with 2 + 2 flavors 6.1 Self-duality and symmetry enhancement 	2	$\mathcal{N}=4~\mathrm{mirror}~\mathrm{symmetry}$ for sQED with 2 flavors	3
 4 N = 1 sQED with 4 singlets ↔ 5-field O(4) WZ model 5 N = 2 sQED ↔ N = 1 8-field SU(3) WZ model 6 SO(6) enhancement in N = 2 sQED with 2 + 2 flavors 6.1 Self-duality and symmetry enhancement 	3	$\mathcal{N}=1 \mathrm{sQED} \leftrightarrow 7\text{-field} \mathrm{SU}(2) imes \mathrm{U}(1) \mathrm{WZ} \mathrm{model}$	5
 5 N = 2 sQED ↔ N = 1 8-field SU(3) WZ model 6 SO(6) enhancement in N = 2 sQED with 2 + 2 flavors 6.1 Self-duality and symmetry enhancement 		3.1 Relevant and irrelevant deformations	6
6 SO(6) enhancement in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sQED with $2 + 2$ flavors 6.1 Self-duality and symmetry enhancement	4	$\mathcal{N}=1$ sQED with 4 singlets \leftrightarrow 5-field $O(4)$ WZ model	8
6.1 Self-duality and symmetry enhancement	5	$\mathcal{N}=2~\mathrm{sQED} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}=1$ 8-field SU(3) WZ model	10
	6	${ m SO}(6) { m \ enhancement \ in \ } {\cal N}=2 { m \ sQED \ with \ } 2+2 { m \ flavors}$	14
6.2 Superconformal index, chiral ring and moduli space of vacua		6.1 Self-duality and symmetry enhancement	15
		6.2 Superconformal index, chiral ring and moduli space of vacua	17

1 Introduction and results

An interesting feature of gauge theories in 2+1 dimensions is the existence of infrared (IR) dualities that exchange standard mesonic operators with monopole operators. The first example was found long time ago: the bosonic particle-vortex duality [1, 2] claims that a U(1) gauge theory (QED) with one scalar flavor is dual to a theory without gauge fields: the O(2) vector model.

In this paper we study similar examples, in the case of QED with minimal $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry (SUSY), sQED for short. Recently, new dualities for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ non-Abelian gauge theories have been investigated [3, 4], suggesting that many interesting phenomena wait to be discovered. See also [5–8] for early, and [9, 10] for recent, work on $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories in the string theory context.

Starting from well known $\mathcal{N} > 1$ dualities known as mirror symmetries [11–13], we find dualities relating $\mathcal{N} = 1$ sQED with 2 flavors to Gross-Neveu-Yukawa models. The latter theories have no gauge interactions, so they are much simpler than a QED to analyze. When they are supersymmetric they are usually called Wess-Zumino models.

We focus on theories with manifest ultraviolet (UV) symmetry $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top}$. Here $SU(2)_{flav}$ rotates the two flavors, while $U(1)_{top}$ is the "topological" symmetry that shifts the dual photon. Monopole operators are charged under both factors, while mesonic operators (polynomials in the elementary fields) have zero topological charge. We consider four different cases, distinguished by the number of gauge-singlet fields. These gauge-singlet fields enter the superpotential multiplying the quadratic mesons and can be in a $SU(2)_{flav}$ triplet or in a $SU(2)_{flav}$ -singlet.¹ One model has enhanced $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry, and its well-known dual description in terms of free superfields is our starting point. Another model has $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY and displays SU(3) symmetry enhancement in the infrared, as recently pointed out in [14, 15]. The other two models are genuinely $\mathcal{N} = 1$: one of them exhibits IR symmetry enhancement to O(4), while the other one (with no gauge singlets and vanishing superpotential, $\mathcal{W} = 0$) shows no evidence of symmetry enhancement.

The enhanced symmetries are simple UV symmetries on the Wess-Zumino side, but act non-trivially on the sQED side: mesonic and monopole operators combine into irreducible representations of the IR symmetry.

These features closely resemble the conjectured behavior of non-supersymmetric QED with 2 scalars or 2 fermions: using particle-vortex and bosonization dualities, such theories can be argued to be self-dual, and it has been proposed that the UV symmetry $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top}$ enhances in the IR to O(4) [16–18] or SO(5) [19]. These fixed points are supposed to describe interesting quantum phase transitions. One important question is if they are stable, i.e. if there are deformations of the theories (on top of the mass terms, which are tuned to zero) which preserve the UV symmetry and are relevant in the IR. Such deformations would destabilize the fixed point and rule out the possibility that the CFT with enhanced symmetry describes a physical quantum phase transition.

In our cases we can take advantage of the duality with the Wess-Zumino models, which are much simpler to analyze than a gauge theory. As we did in [4], we study the Wess-Zumino models in the $D = 4 - \varepsilon$ expansion, which is not a particularly accurate technique, but it is good enough to learn qualitative features, like whether an operator is relevant in the IR or not. We find that in all four cases, the $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top}$ preserving deformations (basically a quartic operator which is the square of the quadratic $SU(2)_{flav}$ singlet meson) are irrelevant. So these $\mathcal{N} = 1$ fixed points are stable.

On the other hand, we find that there are relevant superpotential deformations that break the $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top}$ symmetry. For instance in the model with $\mathcal{W} = 0$ we can turn on a quartic superpotential in the isospin-2 representation of $SU(2)_{flav}$. Studying these $\mathcal{N} = 1$ deformations is certainly interesting, but goes beyond the scope of this paper.

We also construct a model of sQED with 4 flavors and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY that displays enhanced symmetry in the infrared: the topological symmetry combines with an SO(4) flavor symmetry to form an SO(6). From this model it is possible to construct RG flows along which some symmetry enhancement is preserved, and for instance land on the sQED with 2 flavors and enhanced SU(3) symmetry.

Organization of the paper. In each section from 2 to 5 we consider a different sQED with two flavors and its dual Wess-Zumino model. We describe the superpotential, and the mapping of the basic operators (which are 4 mesonic operators and 4 monopoles) across the

¹These models are in the class of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories considered in [4]. The dualities found in [4] indeed include on one side of the duality gauge-singlets in the adjoint plus a singlet of the SU(N_{flavor}) symmetry. The examples of this paper however lie outside the naive range of validity of the dualities of [4].

duality. We check that dual pairs have the same massive deformations, and in one case show how to deform the duality to the duality for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ sQED with just one flavor found in [4].

In section 6 we study the theory with 4 flavors, argue for a self-duality, which is obtained combining Aharony duality [20] with mirror symmetry. We also check symmetry enhancement computing the superconformal index.

Note added. While this work was in preparation, [21] appeared, which has some overlap with our paper.

2 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ mirror symmetry for sQED with 2 flavors

We start setting out our notation and reviewing the well known mirror duality [11] for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sQED with a charge +1 hypermultiplet, that is two complex $\mathcal{N} = 1$ scalar supermultiplets Q_1 and Q_2 with gauge charge +1. We will also consider some neutral matter fields, which we denote by Φ_x . Each $\mathcal{N} = 1$ real scalar supermultiplet A contains a real boson a and a Majorana fermion ψ_A .

We use $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace notation with anticommuting coordinates θ , and denote the matter superfields with capital letters:

$$A(\theta) = a + \psi_A \theta + F_A \theta^2 . \tag{2.1}$$

In the theories we discuss, beyond the gauge interactions, there is also a cubic superpotential

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{d_{ijk}}{6} P_i P_j P_k , \qquad (2.2)$$

that in components leads to a quartic potential $V \sim (\partial W)^2 \sim p^4$ and cubic Yukawa terms of the form $\partial^2 W \psi \psi \sim p \psi_P \psi_P$. In other words, such theories can be considered as $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric Gross-Neveu-Yukawa models, possibly gauged.

Monopole operators. A crucial role is played by local gauge-invariant operators \mathfrak{M} that are usually called monopole operators [22, 23]. They carry non-zero charge under the topological symmetry associated to shifts of the dual photon. Since we consider a U(1) gauge theory with zero effective Chern-Simons term and two charge 1 fermions, the bare Chern-Simon term is 1. So the bare monopole $\mathfrak{M}^q_{\text{bare}}$ has gauge charge q, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the charge of the monopole under U(1)_{top}. In order to get a gauge-invariant operator, we need to dress the bare monopole $\mathfrak{M}^q_{\text{bare}}$ with q charged elementary fields from Q or Q^{\dagger} , either the scalars q or the fermions ψ_Q . Since the theory is supersymmetric, the monopoles form supermultiplets. We will mostly be concerned with the simplest monopoles $\mathfrak{M}^{\pm 1}$: they have minimal topological charge and are dressed by the lowest modes of the matter superfields Q. Since we have 2 flavors, the monopoles will transform in a representation of $SU(2)_{flav}$,² which in this case is simply the two-dimensional representation.

Summarizing, the basic monopoles \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} of the various sQEDs with 2 flavors organize into 4 real supermultiplets, transforming as a complex doublet of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\text{flav}}$ and with charge

 $^{^{2}}$ The group SU(2)_{flav} is useful — as a book-keeping device — also when the symmetry is broken by the interactions.

 ± 1 under U(1)_{top}. Under the dualities we discuss, these two complex monopoles will always map to 2 complex superfields in the dual Wess-Zumino models we propose. Generically the dual WZ models will also contain additional superfields with U(1)_{top} charge 0.

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SQED \leftrightarrow free hyper. The basic $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Abelian duality states that the U(1) gauge theory with one hypermultiplet (i.e. two complex $\mathcal{N} = 1$ multiplets) of charge 1 is dual in the IR to a free massless hypermultiplet [11, 13]. The gauge theory has R-symmetry $SU(2)_C \times SU(2)_H$ and a topological flavor symmetry $U(1)_{top}$.

We can write the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sQED gauge theory in a way which is manifestly invariant under the antidiagonal subgroup $SU(2)_{flav}$ of the two R-symmetry factors, using the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ notation.³ Then the duality can be stated as:

$$\begin{array}{ll} U(1)_0 \text{ with } 2 \text{ flavors } Q_{\alpha=1,2} & \text{Free theory of} \\ \text{and } 3 \text{ real fields } \Phi_{I=1,2,3} & \Longleftrightarrow & 2 \text{ complex fields } M_{\alpha=1,2} \\ \mathcal{W} = \Phi_I \, Q_\alpha(\sigma_I)_{\alpha\beta} Q_\beta^{\dagger} & \mathcal{W} = 0 \ . \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{W} = 0 \ . \end{array}$$

Here σ_I are the three Pauli matrices. With a slight abuse of notation, sometimes we use $\alpha = +, -$ interchangeably with $\alpha = 1, 2$. The low-lying superconformal primary operators are mapped according to

$$\begin{cases}
\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha} \\
\Phi_{I} \\
|Q_{1}|^{2} + |Q_{2}|^{2} \\
Q_{\alpha}(\sigma_{I})_{\alpha\beta}Q_{\beta}^{\dagger}
\end{cases} \iff \begin{cases}
M_{\alpha} \\
M_{\alpha}(\sigma_{I})_{\alpha\beta}M_{\beta}^{\dagger} \\
|M_{+}|^{2} + |M_{-}|^{2} \\
DM_{\alpha}(\sigma_{I})_{\alpha\beta}DM_{\beta}^{\dagger}
\end{cases} \qquad (2.5)$$

Here \mathfrak{M}_{α} is the complex superfield whose lowest component is the scalar gauge-invariant monopole operator $\mathfrak{M} \psi_{Q_{\alpha}}^{\dagger}$. The operators $\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha} \leftrightarrow M_{\alpha}$ have charge 1 under U(1)_{top}. In the last column we have indicated the dimensions of the operators. The last line involves $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY descendants of ϕ_I . Notice that the duality gives us the relation $\sum_I \Phi_I^2 \leftrightarrow$ $(|M_+|^2 + |M_-|^2)^2$, which implies the quantum relation

$$\sum_{I} \Phi_{I}^{2} = \left(|Q_{1}|^{2} + |Q_{2}|^{2} \right)^{2}$$
(2.6)

۸

in the gauge theory. Notice also that since the r.h.s. is free, we also know that the quartic operator $(|Q_1|^2 + |Q_2|^2)^2$ has $\Delta = 2$, so it is a marginally irrelevant $\mathcal{N} = 1$ deformation.

$$\mathcal{W} = \Phi_r \left(Q Q^{\dagger} - \widetilde{Q} \widetilde{Q}^{\dagger} \right) + 2 \operatorname{\mathbb{R}e} \left(\Phi_c Q \widetilde{Q} \right) \,. \tag{2.3}$$

Renaming the flavor variables $Q \to Q_1$, $\widetilde{Q} \to Q_2^{\dagger}$ and grouping the three real gauge-singlet superfields Φ_r , Φ_c in a triplet Φ_I , we get the presentation (2.4). In this presentation SU(2)_{flav} is manifest, with $Q_{\alpha=1,2}$ forming a doublet and $\Phi_{I=1,2,3}$ forming a triplet. Its Cartan subgroup is the axial flavor symmetry mentioned above.

³In the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ notation, the theory looks as follows: U(1)₀ with two chiral multiplets Q, \widetilde{Q} of gauge charge +1 and -1, respectively, and a neutral chiral multiplet Φ_c , with superpotential $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=2} = \Phi_c Q \widetilde{Q}$. In this notation the Cartan subgroup of SU(2)_C is visible as a U(1) R-symmetry under which Q, \widetilde{Q}, Φ_c have charges 0, 0, 2, respectively, while the Cartan subgroup of SU(2)_H is visible as a U(1) R-symmetry under which they have charges 1, 1, 0, respectively. Their difference is an axial flavor symmetry. In the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ notation there is one extra real singlet Φ_r from the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet, and the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpotential is

Relevant $\mathcal{N} = 1$ deformations include monopole superpotentials (up to order \mathfrak{M}^3) and terms linear in the Φ_I 's. The relevant deformations break the UV SU(2)_{flav} × U(1)_{top} global symmetry.

$\mathcal{N} = 1 \text{ sQED} \leftrightarrow 7 \text{-field } \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \text{ WZ model}$ 3

From the duality (2.4) and the operator map (2.5) we perform an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ flip of the three gauge-singlets $\Phi_I \leftrightarrow M_{\alpha}(\sigma_I)_{\alpha\beta} M_{\beta}^{\dagger}$, in other words we introduce three new fields μ_I and add a superpotential

$$\delta \mathcal{W} = \mu_I \Phi_I \qquad \longleftrightarrow \qquad \delta \mathcal{W} = \mu_I M_\alpha(\sigma_I)_{\alpha\beta} M_\beta^{\dagger} . \tag{3.1}$$

This operation does not break the $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top}$ flavor symmetry. On the sQED side the fields Φ_I become massive and can be integrated out, while on the other side we obtain an interacting Wess-Zumino model:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{U(1) with 2 flavors } Q_1, Q_2 \\ \mathcal{W} = 0 \end{array} & \longleftrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{WZ model with a real triplet } \mu_I \\ \text{and a complex doublet } M_\alpha \\ \mathcal{W} = \mu_I M_\alpha(\sigma_I)_{\alpha\beta} M_\beta^{\dagger} . \end{array}$$
 (3.2)

This duality appeared in [5], intepreting Hanany-Witten branes setups with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ susy. In [5] it was checked that the moduli space of vacua (which is a 3-real dimensional cone) agrees on the two sides. The superpotential of the WZ model on the r.h.s. can be written more explicitly as

$$\mathcal{W} = \mu_3 \left(|M_+|^2 - |M_-|^2 \right) + 2\mu_1 \operatorname{\mathbb{R}e} \left(M_+ M_-^{\dagger} \right) + 2\mu_2 \operatorname{\mathbb{I}m} \left(M_+ M_-^{\dagger} \right) \,, \tag{3.3}$$

or as the determinant of a 3×3 matrix

$$\mathcal{W} = \det M_{\mathrm{U}(2)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mu_3 & \mu_1 + i\mu_2 & M_+ \\ \mu_1 - i\mu_2 & -\mu_3 & M_- \\ M_+^{\dagger} & M_-^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(3.4)

This is the most general cubic superpotential compatible with the $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top}$ global symmetry. There are also \mathbb{Z}_2 discrete symmetries: $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathcal{T}}$ times reversal and $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathcal{C}}$ charge conjugation (mapping $Q_{\alpha} \mapsto Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$).

The mapping of the basic gauge-invariant operators across the duality is now:

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{M}_{\alpha} \\ \begin{pmatrix} |Q_2|^2 - |Q_1|^2 & -2Q_1Q_2^{\dagger} \\ -2Q_2Q_1^{\dagger} & |Q_1|^2 - |Q_2|^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ |Q_1|^2 + |Q_2|^2 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} M_{\alpha} \\ \mu_3 & \mu_1 + i\mu_2 \\ \mu_1 - i\mu_2 & -\mu_3 \\ -2\sum\mu_I^2 + \sum|M_{\alpha}|^2 \end{cases} \simeq 0.66 \qquad (3.5)$$

The approximate dimensions are computed at one-loop in the ε -expansion, as discussed below. In the last line, the particular linear combination of two flavor symmetry singlets on the r.h.s. is dictated by the one-loop computation and it diagonalizes the dilation operator at that order.

3.1 Relevant and irrelevant deformations

In the WZ model, we have computed the scaling dimension of various operators in the $D = 4 - \varepsilon$ expansion, as in [4], using the general formulas, which can be found for instance in the appendix of [24].

The value of the coupling in $\mathcal{W} = \lambda \det M_{U(2)}$ at the RG fixed point at two-loops is

$$\frac{\lambda_*}{4\pi\sqrt{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{27\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) .$$
(3.6)

The scaling dimension of the elementary fields are

$$\Delta[\mu_I] = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{108} + O(\varepsilon^3) \simeq 0.66$$

$$\Delta[M_{\pm}] = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{144} + O(\varepsilon^3) \simeq 0.76 .$$
 (3.7)

The 28 quadratic operators transform as

$$(\mathbf{3}_0 \oplus \mathbf{2}_{\pm 1})_S^{\otimes 2} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_0 \oplus \mathbf{3}_0 \oplus \mathbf{5}_0 \oplus \mathbf{2}_{\pm 1} \oplus \mathbf{4}_{\pm 1} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\pm 2} , \qquad (3.8)$$

where we denoted by \mathbf{N}_q an operator in the *N*-dimensional irrep of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\text{flav}}$ with charge q under the U(1)_{top}. The **3**₀ is a SUSY descendant of μ_I , while the **2**_{±1} is a SUSY descendant of M_{α} .

The two singlets $\mathbf{1}_0$ have one-loop scaling dimension

$$\Delta \left[2\sum \mu_I^2 + 3\sum |M_{\alpha}|^2 \right] = 2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + O(\varepsilon^2) \simeq 2.33$$

$$\Delta \left[-2\sum \mu_I^2 + \sum |M_{\alpha}|^2 \right] = 2 - \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \simeq 1.$$
(3.9)

Since the singlet $-2\sum \mu_I^2 + \sum |M_{\alpha}|^2$ has $\Delta < \frac{3}{2}$, it is possible to flip it with a free $\mathcal{N} = 1$ real superfield. We will study this deformation in section 5. On the other hand, the singlet $2\sum \mu_I^2 + 3\sum |M_{\alpha}|^2$ has $\Delta > 2$ and so it is an irrelevant deformation. The SU(2)_{flav} invariant quartic term $(\sum |Q_{\alpha}|^2)^2$ is not a SUSY descendant in the sQED, and it maps to the primary singlet $2\sum \mu_I^2 + 3\sum |M_{\alpha}|^2$. From the duality we learn that the SU(2)_{flav} invariant quartic superpotential is an irrelevant deformation.

Let us look at the $SU(2)_{\text{flav}}$ isospin-2 operators 5₀. They have scaling dimension

$$\Delta \left[\mu_I \mu_J - \frac{\delta_{IJ}}{3} \sum \mu_I^2 \right] = 2 - \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \simeq 1.33 , \qquad (3.10)$$

therefore they are relevant. They map to the quartic $SU(2)_{flav}$ isospin-2 operators in the sQED (the $SU(2)_{flav}$ isospin-1 operators are descendants of the $SU(2)_{flav}$ isospin-1 quadratic mesons). This means that it is possible to use these 5 operators to deform the sQED with $\mathcal{W} = 0$.

Massive phases. We can give mass to both flavors of the sQED with $\mathcal{W} = 0$ in two different ways.

One way is $SU(2)_{\text{flav}}$ invariant, and uses a $\Delta \simeq 1$ operator:

$$\delta \mathcal{W} = m \left(|Q_1|^2 + |Q_2|^2 \right) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \delta \mathcal{W} = m \left(-2\sum \mu_I^2 + \sum |M_\alpha|^2 \right). \tag{3.11}$$

On the sQED side, depending on the sign of m, we are left with the pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ CS gauge theory U(1)_{±1}, which has a trivial gapped vacuum (the gaugino is massive because of the non-zero Chern-Simons term). On the WZ side, the F-terms equations are satisfied only at a single point, where all 7 fields have vanishing VEV.⁴ At such a point all scalars and fermions are massive, and we are left with a trivial gapped vacuum for both signs of m.

Another way to give mass to the two flavors breaks $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{flav}}$ and uses a $\Delta \simeq 0.66$ operator:

$$\delta \mathcal{W} = m(|Q_1|^2 - |Q_2|^2) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \delta \mathcal{W} = -m\mu_3 . \tag{3.12}$$

On the sQED side, for both signs of m we are left with the pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theory $U(1)_0$. Dualizing the photon, we get an S^1 worth of vacua plus a free Majorana fermion (the gaugino). On the WZ side, for m > 0 the complex scalar M_+ acquires a VEV with $|M_+|^2 = m$. This spontaneously breaks $U(1)_{top}$ and gives an S^1 of vacua, over which one real boson and one Majorana fermion are massless. For m < 0 the story is the same, except that M_- instead of M_+ takes a VEV.

Other deformations. We can flip the operator $|Q_1|^2 - |Q_2|^2 \leftrightarrow -\mu_3$ by means of a real scalar superfield Ψ ; this operation explicitly breaks $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{flav}}$ to $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{flav}}$. On the sQED side this corresponds to the superpotential $\mathcal{W} = \Psi(|Q_1|^2 - |Q_2|^2)$. The resulting theory is, in fact, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sQED with two chiral multiplets $Q = Q_1$ and $\tilde{Q} = Q_2^{\dagger}$ of gauge charge +1, -1, respectively. On the WZ side the superfields μ_3 and Ψ become massive and can be integrated out. One is left with the superpotential $\mathcal{W} = 2 \mathbb{Re}[(\mu_1 + i\mu_2)M_+M_-^{\dagger}]$. Defining $X = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$, $Y = M_+$ and $Z = M_-^{\dagger}$, we obtain an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Wess-Zumino model of three chiral multiplets with superpotential $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=2} = XYZ$. Succinctly:

$U(1)_0$ with 2 flavors Q_1, Q_2		WZ model with	
and a (real) singlet Ψ	\iff	3 complex multiplets X, Y, Z	(3.13)
$\mathcal{W} = \Psi(Q_1 ^2 - Q_2 ^2)$		$\mathcal{W}=2\mathbb{R}\mathrm{e}\left(XYZ ight)$.	

We have obtained the well-known $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Abelian mirror duality [12].

Another interesting case is to turn on both massive deformations in (3.11) and (3.12), with a tuning that keeps one massless flavor $Q_1 = Q$ in the sQED. The resulting theory has gauge group $U(1)_{1/2}$ and is not parity invariant in the UV, therefore we expect a superpotential term $\mathcal{W} = |Q|^4$ to be generated along the RG flow.

On the WZ side the massive deformation corresponds to

$$\delta \mathcal{W} = m_1 \left(-2\sum \mu_I^2 + \sum |M_{\alpha}|^2 \right) - m_2 \,\mu_3 \,. \tag{3.14}$$

⁴This is true because the two terms on the r.h.s. of (3.11) have opposite sign, and one obtains the equation $4m \sum \mu_I^2 = -m \sum |M_{\alpha}|^2$. Crucially, the deformation with equal signs is irrelevant and cannot be used.

There are three possible solutions to the F-term equations:

a)
$$M_{\alpha} = 0$$
, $\mu_{3} = -\frac{m_{2}}{4m_{1}^{2}}$ for $m_{1} \neq 0$
b) $|M_{+}|^{2} = m_{2} - 4m_{1}^{2}$, $M_{-} = 0$, $\mu_{3} = -m_{1}$ for $m_{2} \ge 4m_{1}^{2}$ (3.15)
c) $M_{+} = 0$, $|M_{-}|^{2} = -m_{2} - 4m_{1}^{2}$, $\mu_{3} = m_{1}$ for $m_{2} \le -4m_{1}^{2}$

and $\mu_{1,2} = 0$ in all cases. *a*) is a gapped vacuum, while *b*) and *c*) are S^1 s worth of vacua accompanied by a massless Majorana fermion. With a tuning $m_2 = 4m_1^2$ we can arrange such that the S^1 collapses and fuses with the other vacuum; around the vacuum, only M_- and $\mu_{1,2}$ are massive, while M_+ is massless. We are left with a WZ model of a real superfield $\mu_3 \equiv H$ and a complex superfield $M_+ \equiv P$, with a low-energy superpotential

$$\mathcal{W} = H|P|^2 + H^2 + \delta m H \tag{3.16}$$

where δm describes the displacement from the tuned $m_2 = 4m_1^2$. Then H can be integrated out as well, leaving $\mathcal{W} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta m |P|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|P|^4$. This is a chiral multiplet P with mass δm and a marginally irrelevant interaction. For $\delta m = 0$, it flows to a free massless chiral multiplet with emergent $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. We are thus led to the duality

In the IR, this is the well-known $\mathcal{N} = 2$ duality. In $\mathcal{N} = 1$ language, it has recently been studied in [3].

Notice that in the UV theories, both on the gauge theory side (l.h.s. of (3.17)) and on the side of a chiral multiplet P with quartic superpotential interactions, the space of vacua comprises a gapped vacuum and an S^1 worth of vacua for one sign of the mass deformation, while only a gapped vacuum for the other sign of the mass deformation. On the other hand, in the very low-energy CFT description as a free $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral multiplet P, there is only a gapped vacuum for either sign of the mass deformation, and a \mathbb{C} worth of vacua (a non-compact moduli space) in the massless theory. If we picture the vacua in the space of theories as we vary δm , the S^1 forms a cigar, which appears as a moduli space \mathbb{C} close to the point $\delta m = 0$.

4 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ sQED with 4 singlets \leftrightarrow 5-field O(4) WZ model

This time we flip the meson $|Q_1|^2 + |Q_2|^2 \leftrightarrow |M_+|^2 + |M_-|^2$ in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ mirror duality (2.4) and (2.5). Such operator is a singlet of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{flav}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{top}}$. We obtain a duality with the schematic form

 $U(1)_{0} \text{ with } 2 \text{ flavors } Q_{\alpha=1,2} \qquad O(4) \text{ WZ model with}$ and (real) singlets $H, \Phi_{I=1,2,3} \iff 1 \text{ real and } 2 \text{ complex fields } H, M_{\alpha} \quad (4.1)$ $\mathcal{W} = \Phi_{I} Q_{\alpha}(\sigma_{I})_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\beta}^{\dagger} + H Q_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^{\dagger} + \dots \qquad \mathcal{W} = H M_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{\dagger} + \dots$ where the dots stand for quantum corrections that we describe below. The operator map is

$$\begin{cases}
\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha} \\
\Phi_{I} \\
H
\end{cases} \iff \begin{cases}
M_{\alpha} \\
M_{\alpha}(\sigma_{I})_{\alpha\beta}M_{\beta}^{\dagger} \\
H
\end{cases} \sim 0.62 \\
\sim 1.46 \\
\sim 0.81
\end{cases} (4.2)$$

As we are going to describe, this duality implies an IR symmetry enhancement in the sQED:

$$SU(2)_{\text{flav}} \times U(1)_{\text{top}} \rightarrow O(4)$$
. (4.3)

On the WZ side, the four real superfields contained in M_+, M_- transform in the vector representation of O(4), while H is a singlet. From the duality we infer how the operators organize on the sQED side.

For instance, on the WZ side there are nine quadratic operators in the symmetric traceless representation (**3**, **3**) of O(4). The three of them with charge 2 under $U(1)_{top}$, namely (M_+^2, M_+M_-, M_-^2) , are mapped to monopole operators \mathfrak{M}^{+2} on the sQED side, while the three of them with charge 0, namely $M_{\alpha}(\sigma_I)_{\alpha\beta}M_{\beta}^{\dagger}$, are mapped to the singlets Φ_I . We conclude that the 9 operators $\mathfrak{M}^{+2}, \Phi_I, \mathfrak{M}^{-2}$ must be degenerate in the sQED.

The full quantum superpotential for the sQED has the general form

$$\mathcal{W} = \alpha \left[\Phi_3 (|Q_1|^2 - |Q_2|^2) + 2 \operatorname{\mathbb{R}e} \left((\Phi_1 - i\Phi_2)Q_1Q_2^{\dagger} \right) \right] + \beta H (|Q_1|^2 + |Q_2|^2) - \gamma H \sum \Phi_I^2 + \delta H^3 .$$
(4.4)

On the other hand, the full quantum superpotential for the Wess-Zumino model has the general form

$$\mathcal{W} = H(|M_+|^2 + |M_-|^2) - \lambda H^3.$$
(4.5)

This WZ model was studied in [4]: it was found that the sign of the quantum-generated cubic superpotential is negative (i.e. $\lambda > 0$). Also, the one-loop scaling dimensions reported in eq. (4.2) were computed.

The flavor-singlet operators. On the sQED side, the first parity-even flavor-singlet scalar operators are

$$H^2, \quad \sum \Phi_I^2, \quad \sum |Q_\alpha|^2, \quad \dots$$
 (4.6)

A linear combination of them is a descendant of H. Similarly, on the WZ side the first parity-even flavor-singlet operators are

$$H^2, \quad \sum |M_{\alpha}|^2, \quad \dots \tag{4.7}$$

A linear combination of them is a descendant of H. The other linear combination was found in [4] to be irrelevant:

$$\Delta \left[\sum |M_{\alpha}|^2 + H^2 \right] \sim 2.23$$
 (4.8)

It is also likely that all higher operators, such as $(\sum |M_{\alpha}|^2)^2$, $H^2 \sum |M_{\alpha}|^2$ and so on, have $\Delta > 2$. If that is true, all parity-even O(4) invariant operators have $\Delta > 2$ in the WZ model. Using the duality, it follows that all parity-even $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top}$ invariant deformations of the sQED are irrelevant. **Massive deformations.** The most natural deformation is O(4) invariant and parity-odd:

$$\delta \mathcal{W} = m H . \tag{4.9}$$

Recall that parity-odd deformations of the superpotential preserve parity.

Consider first the sQED side, for m > 0. At least assuming that the signs in the superpotential at the fixed point are as in (4.4), the triplet Φ_I acquires a VEV and breaks the SU(2)_{flav} symmetry to U(1). The vacua sit on a S^2 :

$$\sum \Phi_I^2 = m$$
, $H = Q_\alpha = 0$. (4.10)

At each point of S^2 , the two flavors Q_{α} get opposite mass and leave a free $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theory $U(1)_0$ in the IR. The bosonic part of the theory describes a S^1 fibered over S^2 , namely a NLSM with target S^3 .

For m < 0 we find two vacua related by spontaneously-broken parity symmetry: $\Phi_I = Q_{\alpha} = 0$ and $H = \pm \sqrt{-m}$. In each vacuum the two flavors get a mass of the same sign, leaving a pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ CS gauge theory U(1)_{±1} with a trivial gapped vacuum.

Let us now look at the WZ side. For m < 0 there is an S^3 worth of vacua, $\sum |M_{\alpha}|^2 = -m$ and H = 0, from the spontaneous breaking $O(4) \to O(3)$. For m > 0 there are two vacua sitting at $M_{\alpha} = 0$ and $H = \pm \sqrt{m}$, where parity is spontaneously broken. The vacua match upon mass deformations (up to an uninfluential sign redefinition of H).

5 $\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ sQED} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} = 1 \text{ 8-field SU(3) WZ model}$

Starting from the dualities in the previous sections, we can obtain a duality for the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory U(1) with 2 chiral multiplets of charge +1.⁵ In $\mathcal{N} = 1$ language, this theory reads

U(1) with 2 flavors
$$Q_1$$
, Q_2 and a (real) singlet Φ

$$\mathcal{W} = \Phi(|Q_1|^2 + |Q_2|^2) . \tag{5.1}$$

The continuos UV global symmetry is $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top} \times U(1)_R$.

Enhanced global symmetry. This $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sQED is expected to have enhanced SU(3) global symmetry in the IR, as pointed out in [14, 15], using geometrical features of the 3d/3d correspondence.

It is possible to argue for the enhanced global symmetry in the following way. Using the duality between a free chiral multiplet and $\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ U}(1)_{1/2}$ sQED with one flavor:

⁵In 3d QED's, the notion of sign of gauge charges is meaningful only for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY. For $\mathcal{N} > 2$ the flavors always come in pairs, that in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ language have opposite charge. For $\mathcal{N} = 0, 1$ it is always possible to make a field redefinition (exchange a field with its complex conjugate) that changes the sign of the charge. In the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case, instead, if we write a theory with N_+ flavors of charge +1 and N_- flavors of charge -1 in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ language, there are $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpotential interactions that break $SU(N_+ + N_-)$ to $SU(N_+) \times SU(N_-)$.

it is possible to show a self-duality of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory U(1) with 2 flavors of charge +1. This self-duality exchanges 2 mesons with 2 monopoles:

$$\{Q_1 Q_2^{\dagger}, Q_2 Q_1^{\dagger}\} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \{\mathfrak{M}^+ Q_1, \mathfrak{M}^- Q_1^{\dagger}\}.$$

$$(5.3)$$

Since the 2 mesons transform in the $\mathbf{3}_0$ of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{flav}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{top}}$ while the 2 monopoles transform in $\mathbf{2}_{\pm 1}$, it must be that the UV flavor symmetry $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{flav}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{top}}$ enhances in the IR, in this case to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$.⁶

In the UV there are four classical flavor currents, corresponding to $SU(2)_{flav} \times U(1)_{top}$. In the IR there must be four extra accidental currents from monopole operators. Since with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry each current supermultiplet starts with a scalar operator of dimension $\Delta = 1$, we expect eight such scalar operators in total. Four of them are $\{Q_{\alpha}(\sigma_{I})_{\alpha\beta}Q_{\beta}^{\dagger}, \Phi\}$ (a triplet and a singlet of $SU(2)_{flav}$, respectively), while the other four are the scalar monopole operators $\{\mathfrak{M}^{\pm}\psi_{Q_{\alpha}}\}$ (two with topological charge +1 and two with -1). All the 8 basic operators must form a 8 of SU(3) and have $\Delta = 1$.

A dual $\mathcal{N} = 1$ WZ model with manifest SU(3) symmetry. In this paper we are interested in studying the symmetry enhancement using the duality with the Wess-Zumino model, which will make the SU(3) manifest (even though it hides the extended SUSY).

Starting from the duality (3.2) and its operator map (3.5), we can find the dual $\mathcal{N} = 1$ WZ model.⁷ We flip the mesonic singlet

$$|Q_1|^2 + |Q_2|^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad -2\sum \mu_I^2 + \sum |M_{\alpha}|^2 .$$
 (5.4)

On the l.h.s. we obtain the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory U(1) with two flavors of charge +1 $(Q_1 = Q, Q_2 = \tilde{Q}^{\dagger})$, while on the r.h.s. we obtain a cubic WZ model with a total of eight real superfields:

U(1) with 2 flavors
$$Q_1, Q_2$$
 WZ model
and a (real) singlet $\Phi \iff \mathcal{W} = \Phi(-2\sum \mu_I^2 + \sum |M_{\alpha}|^2)$ (5.5)
 $\mathcal{W} = \Phi(|Q_1|^2 + |Q_2|^2) + \mu_I M_{\alpha}(\sigma_I)_{\alpha\beta} M_{\beta}^{\dagger} + \dots$

On the sQED side no additional superpotential terms can be generated, due to the enhanced $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY. On the WZ side, instead, we expect a cubic superpotential term Φ^3 to be generated quantum mechanically: this is the only other cubic SU(2)_{flav} × U(1)_{top} singlet which is also parity-odd. The most general cubic superpotential that respects the SU(2)_{flav} × U(1)_{top} symmetry is then

$$\mathcal{W} = \alpha \left[\mu_3 (|M_+|^2 - |M_-|^2) + 2 \operatorname{\mathbb{R}e} \left((\mu_1 - i\mu_2) M_+ M_-^{\dagger} \right) \right] + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{3}} \Phi (|M_+|^2 + |M_-|^2) - \frac{2\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \Phi \sum \mu_I^2 + \frac{2\delta}{3\sqrt{3}} \Phi^3 .$$
(5.6)

⁶The same self-duality can be argued for the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sQED with 1 flavor of charge +1 and 1 flavor of charge -1 of (3.13). In this case the self-duality exchanges the complex meson $Q\tilde{Q}$ (which is in the chiral ring) with a BPS chiral monopole. Together with parity, which exchanges monopoles with anti-monopoles, it implies that there is a quantum IR S_3 symmetry. This S_3 is of course manifest in the dual $\mathcal{N} = 2 XYZ$ Wess-Zumino model.

⁷We could as well start from the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ duality (flipping the 3 singlets Φ_I and the meson), or from the duality of section 4 (flipping all 4 singlet fields).

The reason we chose such normalization of the four couplings is that if we organize the 8 real superfields in a 3×3 matrix as

$$M_{\rm SU(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_3 - \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{3}} & \mu_1 + i\mu_2 & M_+ \\ \mu_1 - i\mu_2 & -\mu_3 - \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{3}} & M_- \\ M_+^{\dagger} & M_-^{\dagger} & 2\frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (5.7)$$

then with $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta$ the superpotential becomes simply

$$\mathcal{W} = \alpha \det M_{\mathrm{SU}(3)} \tag{5.8}$$

and the Wess-Zumino model enjoys SU(3) global symmetry, since $M_{SU(3)}$ transforms in the adjoint representation of SU(3).

The operator map is very similar to the ones for the other dualities:

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{M}_{\alpha} \\ \begin{pmatrix} |Q_{2}|^{2} - |Q_{1}|^{2} & -2Q_{1}Q_{2}^{\dagger} \\ -2Q_{2}Q_{1}^{\dagger} & |Q_{1}|^{2} - |Q_{2}|^{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \Phi \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} M_{\alpha} \\ \mu_{3} & \mu_{1} + i\mu_{2} \\ \mu_{1} - i\mu_{2} & -\mu_{3} \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$
(5.9)

At the SU(3) invariant point, the scaling dimension of all 8 fields in $M_{SU(3)}$ is expected to be $\Delta = 1$ (as imposed by $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry).

Parity can be taken to act as $M_{SU(3)} \rightarrow -M_{SU(3)}$, while charge conjugation acts as $M_{SU(3)} \rightarrow M^*_{SU(3)} = M^T_{SU(3)}$. Notice that the U(1) R-symmetry and the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry are not visible in the UV in the WZ model.

Analisys of the Wess-Zumino model in the $D = 4 - \varepsilon$ expansion. We analyze the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model (5.6) perturbatively using the $D = 4 - \varepsilon$ expansion, as in [4].

The one-loop beta-functions for the four couplings in (5.6) are:

$$\beta_{\alpha} = -\alpha\varepsilon + \frac{\alpha}{3\pi^{2}} \left(9\alpha^{2} + 2\beta^{2} - 4\beta\gamma + 2\gamma^{2}\right)$$

$$\beta_{\beta} = -\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}\pi^{2}} \left(18\alpha^{2}(\beta - \gamma) + \beta(3\beta^{2} + 2\beta\delta + 3\gamma^{2} + \delta^{2})\right)$$

$$\beta_{\gamma} = -\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}\pi^{2}} \left(6\alpha^{2}(\gamma - \beta) + \gamma(\beta^{2} + 11\gamma^{2} - 4\gamma\delta + \delta^{2})\right)$$

$$\beta_{\delta} = -2\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon + \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}\pi^{2}} \left(2\beta^{3} + 3\beta^{2}\delta - 12\gamma^{3} + 9\gamma^{2}\delta + 7\delta^{3}\right).$$
(5.10)

We look for critical points where the beta-functions vanish. Notice that there is no solution with $\delta = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ or $\gamma \neq 0$: as expected the term Φ^3 is generated. Modulo $\alpha \to -\alpha$ and $\delta \to -\delta$ (which can be obtained by field redefinitions), the solutions of the beta-function equations (with all couplings turned on) for the four rescaled couplings

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta\right\} \tag{5.11}$$

are:

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}\{1,1,1,1\} \quad \{0.032, -0.279, 0.020, 0.171\}$$

$$\{0.051, -0.245, 0.041, 0.161\} \quad \{0.052, -0.156, 0.130, 0.159\}.$$

$$(5.12)$$

It can be checked that the first fixed point — with enhanced SU(3) symmetry — and the last one are stable. At the other two fixed points the direction parametrized by γ is unstable. This implies that the SU(2)_{flav} × U(1)_{top} global symmetry enhances in the IR to SU(3).

We have computed the scaling dimension of the 8 elementary fields at the SU(3) invariant point at two-loops,⁸ finding

$$\Delta[\mathbf{8}] = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{5\varepsilon}{18} + \frac{10\varepsilon^2}{243} + O(\varepsilon^3) \sim 0.82 .$$
 (5.14)

Notice that this result is quite far from the exact scaling dimension $\Delta = 1$ that is implied by the duality. This means that the ε expansion has poor accuracy and can only be used to infer qualitative features of the IR CFT.

The 36 quadratic operators transform under SU(3) as

$$(\mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{8})_S^{\otimes 2} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{27} .$$
 (5.15)

The 8 is a SUSY descendant of the elementary fields.

The other two representations have one-loop scaling dimension

$$\Delta[\mathbf{1}] = 2 - \varepsilon + \frac{5\varepsilon}{3} + O(\varepsilon^2) \sim 2.33 \tag{5.16}$$

and

$$\Delta[\mathbf{27}] = 2 - \varepsilon + \frac{7\varepsilon}{9} + O(\varepsilon^2) \sim 1.77 . \qquad (5.17)$$

Also in this theory the flavor singlet is irrelevant, so the quartic $SU(2)_{flav}$ invariant superpotential on sQED side cannot be turned on.

Massive phases. We consider the $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2) \times U(1)$ breaking deformation

$$\delta \mathcal{W} = m \Phi . \tag{5.18}$$

The discussion is parallel to the case of the previous section, since the superpotentials are the same: what changes is on which side the gauge field sits.

On the sQED side, for m > 0 the quarks Q_{α} acquire a VEV which sits on an S^3 : $\sum |Q_{\alpha}|^2 = m$ and $\Phi = 0$. Quotienting by the gauge action, we obtain an S^2 worth of vacua. For m < 0 we need to include one-loop quantum effects, since we are going to move along the Coulomb branch of an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory. When Φ acquires a VEV, the quarks get masses of the same sign and an effective Chern-Simons term with level sign(Φ)

⁸At the SU(3) invariant point, where $\mathcal{W} = \alpha \det M_{SU(3)}$, the two-loop beta-function and scaling dimensions are

$$\beta_{\alpha} = -\alpha\varepsilon + \frac{48}{(4\pi)^2}\alpha^3 - \frac{4864}{3(4\pi)^4}\alpha^5 , \qquad \Delta[\mathbf{8}] = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{5}{6\pi^2}\alpha^2 - \frac{25}{18\pi^4}\alpha^4 . \tag{5.13}$$

Notice that the beta-function equation should be solved perturbatively in ε .

as well as its supersymmetric partner $\delta \mathcal{W} = \operatorname{sign}(\Phi)\Phi^2$ are generated. This happens both for $\Phi = m$ and $\Phi = -m$. Concluding, for m < 0 there are two gapped vacua.

On the WZ side, for m > 0 the triplet μ_I acquires a VEV: the vacua sit on a S^2 parametrized by $\sum \mu_I^2 = m$ and $M_\alpha = \Phi = 0$. For m < 0 there are two vacua related by spontaneously-broken parity symmetry: $\Phi = \pm m$ and $\mu_I = M_\alpha = 0$. The vacua match upon mass deformations.

6 SO(6) enhancement in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sQED with 2 + 2 flavors

In this section we consider the gauge theory U(1) with 4 flavors $Q_{\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\beta}$. In the case of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY, that is $\mathcal{N} = 4$ U(1) gauge theory with 2 hypermultiplets whose UV global symmetry is SU(2) × U(1)_{top} × SO(4)_R, it is well known that the theory is self-dual under mirror symmetry [11]. The self-duality exchanges monopoles with mesons, and implies an IR symmetry enhancement to SU(2) × SU(2) × SO(4)_R.

It is conceivable that similar self-dualities exist for QED with 4 flavors and less supersymmetry. Such phenomena might also have interesting implication for quantum phases transitions. Here we present an example with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY, that to the best of our knowledge has not been discussed in the literature.⁹ In this section we use $\mathcal{N} = 2$ notation and all superpotentials are complex $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superpotentials.

We consider the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sQED theory with 2 flavors Q_{α} of charge +1, 2 flavors \tilde{Q}_{β} of charge -1 and 4 gauge-singlet chiral fields $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$.¹⁰ The complex $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superpotential

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=2} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\alpha} \widetilde{Q}_{\beta} \tag{6.1}$$

is manifestly $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ invariant. The continuos UV global symmetry of the theory is

$$\operatorname{SU}(2)_L \times \operatorname{SU}(2)_R \times \operatorname{U}(1)_a \times \operatorname{U}(1)_{\operatorname{top}} \times \operatorname{U}(1)_R \,. \tag{6.2}$$

Here $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ rotate the quarks Q_{α} and \widetilde{Q}_{β} , respectively, while $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ transform as a bifundamental of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_R$.

The chiral ring is generated by the four gauge singlets $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ and the two SUSY chiral monopoles \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} (which are singlets under the SU(2)²). The holomorphic mesons $Q_{\alpha}\tilde{Q}_{\beta}$ are set to zero by the F-terms of $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$. We will see that these operators satisfy a single quadratic quantum relation.

Normalizing the $U(1)_a \times U(1)_R$ charges of the fundamental flavors as (1, r), all six chiral ring generators have $U(1)_a \times U(1)_R$ charges (-2, 2 - 2r). The superconformal Rcharge **r** of the fundamental flavors can be determined to great accuracy numerically using \mathcal{Z}_{S^3} -extremization:

$$\mathbf{r} = 0.6696\dots$$
 (6.3)

 $^{^{9}}$ We are indebted with Sara Pasquetti for stimulating discussions about this and related topics.

 $^{^{10}\}mathrm{See}$ also Footnote 5.

6.1 Self-duality and symmetry enhancement

We want to argue for a self-duality of the theory in (6.1). We focus on the chiral ring generators. Let us recall that the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory U(1) with 2 + 2 flavors and $\mathcal{W} = 0$ satisfies two different IR dualities.

The first one is Aharony duality [20]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{U}(1) \text{ with 2 flavors } Q_i, \, \widetilde{Q}_i \\ \mathcal{W} = 0 \end{array} & \longleftrightarrow & \begin{array}{ccc} \text{U}(1) \text{ with 2 flavors } P_i, \, \widetilde{P}_i \\ \mathcal{W} = \sum_{i,j} \mu_{ij} \widetilde{P}_i P_j + \sum_{\pm} \mu_{\pm} \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} \end{array} (6.4)$$

The six chiral ring generators map as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{Q}_1 Q_1, \tilde{Q}_2 Q_2 \\ \tilde{Q}_1 Q_2, \tilde{Q}_2 Q_1 \\ \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \mu_{11}, \mu_{22} \\ \mu_{12}, \mu_{21} \\ \mu_{\pm} \end{cases} .$$

$$(6.5)$$

The second duality is an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ version [12] of mirror symmetry [11]:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{U}(1) & \mathrm{with} \ 2 & \mathrm{flavors} \ Q_i, \ \widetilde{Q}_i \\
\mathcal{W} = 0 & & & & \\
\mathcal{W} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \phi_i \widetilde{R}_i R_i & & (6.6)
\end{array}$$

The six chiral ring generators map as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{Q}_1 Q_1, \tilde{Q}_2 Q_2 \\ \tilde{Q}_1 Q_2, \tilde{Q}_2 Q_1 \\ \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \phi_1, \phi_2 \\ \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} \\ \tilde{R}_1 R_2, \tilde{R}_2 R_1 \end{cases} .$$
 (6.7)

We can apply the dualities above to the theory in (6.1). Basically, we start from either one of the above dualities and flip on both sides the right operators, using the mapping of chiral ring generators. Starting from (6.1), applying Aharony duality horizontally and mirror symmetry vertically, we obtain the following duality web:

Looking at the top-left and bottom-right theories, we recognize that — composing Aharony duality and mirror symmetry — we get a self-duality of the theory in (6.1). We can think of this as a quantum $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{dual}}$ symmetry emerging in the infrared.¹¹ Under self-duality, the

¹¹Of course also the theories sitting at the top-right and bottom-left corners, with only two chiral gaugesinglets fields, are self-dual and enjoy the enhanced symmetry. They are the same in the IR.

six chiral ring generators map as

From the final diagram we learn that $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{dual}}$ acts on the six chiral ring generators as

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{11}, \eta_{22} \\ \eta_{12}, \eta_{21} \\ \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \eta_{11}, \eta_{22} \\ \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} \\ \eta_{12}, \eta_{21} \end{cases} .$$
 (6.10)

Since the self-duality exchanges the gauge singlets η_{12} and η_{21} (which are part of the representation $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0$ of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_R \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{top}}$) with the monopoles \mathfrak{M}^{\pm} (which are in the $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm 1}$), it must be that the UV global symmetry $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_R \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{top}}$ enhances in the IR to a bigger rank 3 group. Besides, $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathrm{dual}}$ is part of the Weyl group of the enhanced symmetry, which in this case must be $\mathrm{SO}(6)_{\mathrm{en}}$. Thus, the combination of Aharony duality and mirror symmetry implies that the IR symmetry of the theory is enhanced to

$$SO(6)_{en} \times U(1)_a \times U(1)_R$$
.

The adjoint of SO(6)_{en} decomposes into irreps of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{top}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{15} \to (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{\pm 1} . \tag{6.11}$$

The last term represents eight emergent current multiplets, which are monopoles with topological charge ± 1 . They are $\mathcal{N} = 2$ real multiplets, whose bottom component is a scalar with $\Delta = 1$ and zero *R*-charge.¹²

Massive deformation to the SU(3) sQED with 2 flavors. The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ "real mass" operator in the Cartan of SU(2)_R,

$$|Q_1|^2 - |Q_2|^2 - \sum_{\beta=1}^2 (|\eta_{1\beta}|^2 - |\eta_{2\beta}|^2) , \qquad (6.12)$$

sits in the adjoint representation of $SO(6)_{en}$, is neutral under $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{top}$, and breaks $SU(2)_R$ to $U(1)_b$. Therefore, turning this deformation on breaks $SO(6)_{en}$ to a subgroup $SO(4)_{en} \times U(1)_b$ and triggers an RG flow along which the topological symmetry is always

¹²These scalar monopoles arise from dressing the bare charge ± 1 monopoles with 2 fermionic zero-modes, as required by gauge invariance (recall that the bare Chern-Simon level is $-N_f/2$). Since the two fermions must be antisymmetrized, we have in total 6 + 6 states. 4 of them are chiral/antichiral BPS monopoles, the other 8 are in the 8 conserved supermultiplets which emerge in the IR.

enhanced to a non-Abelian group. In the IR the flavors \hat{Q} and the 4 complex singlets η are massive: we are left with sQED with 2 flavors Q_1, Q_2 and zero Chern-Simons level (because the two massive flavors have opposite mass), discussed in section 5. In the IR only an SU(2)_{en} subgroup of SO(4)_{en} × U(1)_b acts, while the rest of the group acts trivially. The factor U(1)_a enhances to SU(2)_{flav}. The two IR symmetries SU(2)_{en} and SU(2)_{flav} do not commute and combine into an SU(3) symmetry. This RG flow presents a different perspective on the symmetry enhancement of the theory considered in section 5.

6.2 Superconformal index, chiral ring and moduli space of vacua

As a further check of the claimed symmetry enhancement, let us compute the superconformal index of the theory. Defining

$$f_{\Delta}[s, x, t] = \frac{tx^{|m| + \Delta} - t^{-1}x^{|m| + 2 - \Delta}}{1 - x^2} , \qquad (6.13)$$

the single-letter partition function for an Abelian gauge theory with 2 + 2 flavors and 4 singlets is

$$f_{\rm s.l.}(z,a,b,t_a) = f_{\mathbf{r}}[s/2,x,a^{\pm 1}t_a z] + f_{\mathbf{r}}[s/2,x,b^{\pm 1}t_a/z] + f_{2-2\mathbf{r}}[0,x,a^{\pm 1}b^{\pm 1}t_a^{-2}].$$
(6.14)

Here z, a, b, t_a are the fugacities for $U(1)_{gauge} \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_a$, while **r** is the R-charge of the flavors, whose superconformal value is given in (6.3).

The superconformal index that includes the fugacity t for U(1)_{top} [25] is a sum over all monopole sectors:¹³

SC-I
$$(t, a, b, t_a) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} t^m \int \frac{dz}{z} x^{2-2\mathbf{r}} t_a^{-|m|} PE[f_{\text{s.l.}}(z, a, b, t_a)].$$
 (6.16)

It can be checked that it indeed admits an expansion at small x in terms of characters $\chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ of SO(6)_{en}:

SC-I = 1 +
$$x^{2-2\mathbf{r}} t_a^{-2} \chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{6}] + x^{4-4\mathbf{r}} t_a^{-4} \chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{20'}]$$

+ $x^{3-3\mathbf{r}} t_a^{-6} \chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{50}] - x^2 (\chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{15}] + 1) + O(x^2)$, (6.17)

where

$$\chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{6}] = ab + \frac{a}{b} + \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{b}{a} + t + \frac{1}{t} .$$
(6.18)

The first three contributions in (6.17) are chiral ring operators (linear, quadratic, cubic in the generators), while the term proportional to $-x^2$ is the contribution of fermionic operators sitting in the IR conserved current multiplets. We indeed see that the adjoint of SO(6)_{en} appears there.

¹³The Plethystic Exponential PE of a function f(t) such that f(0) = 0 is defined as

$$PE[f(t)] = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} f(t^n)\right) .$$
(6.15)

Let us close with a description of the chiral ring and the moduli space of vacua. From the expansion (6.17) we can see that the six chiral ring generators satisfy a quadratic equation, which is an SO(6) invariant quantum relation for the monopoles:

$$\mathfrak{M}^+\mathfrak{M}^- = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\,\eta_{\gamma\delta}\,\epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\,\epsilon^{\beta\delta} = 2(\eta_{11}\eta_{22} - \eta_{12}\eta_{21})\,. \tag{6.19}$$

The moduli space of vacua of the gauge theory is the 5-complex dimensional cone defined by one SO(6) invariant quadratic equation in 6 variables. So in particular the moduli space of vacua is a complete intersection. This implies that the chiral ring Hilbert Series [26] is a simple Plethystic Exponential:

$$\mathcal{HS} = PE[\chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{6}]t - t^2] = 1 + \chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{6}]t + \chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{20'}]t^2 + \chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{50}]t^3 + \chi_{\mathfrak{so}(6)}[\mathbf{105}]t^4 + \dots$$
(6.20)

where $t = x^{2-2\mathbf{r}}$ is the scaling dimension and the R-charge of the chiral ring generators. In terms of Dinkyn labels of SU(4) ~ SO(6), we get an all-order expansion as sum over all representations with Dinkyn labels proportional to the Dinkyn label of the **6**:¹⁴

$$\mathcal{HS} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [0, n, 0]_{su(4)} t^n .$$
(6.21)

Notice that only SU(4) representations of even quadrality appear, consistent with the fact that the enhanced symmetry is $SO(6) = SU(4)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Sara Pasquetti for very useful discussions. This work is supported in part by the MIUR-SIR grant RBSI1471GJ "Quantum Field Theories at Strong Coupling: Exact Computations and Applications". S.B. is partly supported by the INFN Research Projects GAST and ST&FI.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- M.E. Peskin, Mandelstam 't Hooft duality in Abelian lattice models, Annals Phys. 113 (1978) 122 [INSPIRE].
- [2] C. Dasgupta and B.I. Halperin, Phase transition in a lattice model of superconductivity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 (1981) 1556 [INSPIRE].
- [3] V. Bashmakov, J. Gomis, Z. Komargodski and A. Sharon, Phases of N = 1 theories in 2+1 dimensions, JHEP 07 (2018) 123 [arXiv:1802.10130] [INSPIRE].

 $^{^{14}}$ This is analogous to the Hilbert Series of the one-instanton moduli spaces [27] which are sums over all irreps with Dinkyn labels proportional to the Dinkyn label of the adjoint representation.

- [4] F. Benini and S. Benvenuti, N = 1 dualities in 2 + 1 dimensions, JHEP 11 (2018) 197 [arXiv:1803.01784] [INSPIRE].
- [5] M. Gremm and E. Katz, Mirror symmetry for N = 1 QED in three-dimensions, JHEP 02 (2000) 008 [hep-th/9906020] [INSPIRE].
- [6] O. Bergman, A. Hanany, A. Karch and B. Kol, Branes and supersymmetry breaking in three-dimensional gauge theories, JHEP 10 (1999) 036 [hep-th/9908075] [INSPIRE].
- [7] S. Gukov and D. Tong, *D*-brane probes of G_2 holonomy manifolds, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 087901 [hep-th/0202125] [INSPIRE].
- [8] S. Gukov and D. Tong, *D*-brane probes of special holonomy manifolds, and dynamics of N = 1 three-dimensional gauge theories, *JHEP* **04** (2002) 050 [hep-th/0202126] [INSPIRE].
- [9] A.P. Braun and S. Schäfer-Nameki, Spin(7)-manifolds as generalized connected sums and 3d N = 1 theories, JHEP 06 (2018) 103 [arXiv:1803.10755] [INSPIRE].
- [10] J. Eckhard, S. Schäfer-Nameki and J.-M. Wong, An N = 1 3d-3d correspondence, JHEP 07 (2018) 052 [arXiv:1804.02368] [INSPIRE].
- K.A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, Mirror symmetry in three-dimensional gauge theories, Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 513 [hep-th/9607207] [INSPIRE].
- [12] O. Aharony, A. Hanany, K.A. Intriligator, N. Seiberg and M.J. Strassler, Aspects of N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories in three-dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 499 (1997) 67
 [hep-th/9703110] [INSPIRE].
- [13] A. Kapustin and M.J. Strassler, On mirror symmetry in three-dimensional Abelian gauge theories, JHEP 04 (1999) 021 [hep-th/9902033] [INSPIRE].
- [14] D. Gang, Y. Tachikawa and K. Yonekura, Smallest 3d hyperbolic manifolds via simple 3d theories, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 061701 [arXiv:1706.06292] [INSPIRE].
- [15] D. Gang and K. Yonekura, Symmetry enhancement and closing of knots in 3d/3d correspondence, JHEP 07 (2018) 145 [arXiv:1803.04009] [INSPIRE].
- [16] C. Xu and Y.-Z. You, Self-dual quantum electrodynamics as boundary state of the three dimensional bosonic topological insulator, Phys. Rev. B 92 (2015) 220416
 [arXiv:1510.06032] [INSPIRE].
- [17] P.-S. Hsin and N. Seiberg, Level/rank duality and Chern-Simons-matter theories, JHEP 09 (2016) 095 [arXiv:1607.07457] [INSPIRE].
- [18] F. Benini, P.-S. Hsin and N. Seiberg, Comments on global symmetries, anomalies, and duality in (2+1)d, JHEP 04 (2017) 135 [arXiv:1702.07035] [INSPIRE].
- [19] C. Wang, A. Nahum, M.A. Metlitski, C. Xu and T. Senthil, Deconfined quantum critical points: symmetries and dualities, Phys. Rev. X 7 (2017) 031051 [arXiv:1703.02426] [INSPIRE].
- [20] O. Aharony, IR duality in d = 3 N = 2 supersymmetric USp $(2N_c)$ and U (N_c) gauge theories, Phys. Lett. B **404** (1997) 71 [hep-th/9703215] [INSPIRE].
- [21] D. Gaiotto, Z. Komargodski and J. Wu, Curious aspects of three-dimensional N = 1 SCFTs, JHEP 08 (2018) 004 [arXiv:1804.02018] [INSPIRE].
- [22] V. Borokhov, A. Kapustin and X.-K. Wu, Topological disorder operators in three-dimensional conformal field theory, JHEP 11 (2002) 049 [hep-th/0206054] [INSPIRE].

- [23] S.M. Chester, L.V. Iliesiu, M. Mezei and S.S. Pufu, Monopole operators in U(1) Chern-Simons-matter theories, JHEP 05 (2018) 157 [arXiv:1710.00654] [INSPIRE].
- [24] L. Fei, S. Giombi, I.R. Klebanov and G. Tarnopolsky, Yukawa CFTs and emergent supersymmetry, PTEP 2016 (2016) 12C105 [arXiv:1607.05316] [INSPIRE].
- [25] Y. Imamura and S. Yokoyama, Index for three dimensional superconformal field theories with general R-charge assignments, JHEP 04 (2011) 007 [arXiv:1101.0557] [INSPIRE].
- [26] S. Benvenuti, B. Feng, A. Hanany and Y.-H. He, Counting BPS operators in gauge theories: quivers, Syzygies and Plethystics, JHEP 11 (2007) 050 [hep-th/0608050] [INSPIRE].
- [27] S. Benvenuti, A. Hanany and N. Mekareeya, The Hilbert series of the one instanton moduli space, JHEP 06 (2010) 100 [arXiv:1005.3026] [INSPIRE].