

Editorial

Nanomaterials and the Environment

Prashant Kumar,¹ Arun Kumar,² Teresa Fernandes,³ and Godwin A. Ayoko⁴

¹ *Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK*

² *Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology New Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016, India*

³ *School of Life Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, UK*

⁴ *Nanotechnology and Molecular Sciences, School of Chemistry, Physics and Mechanical Engineering, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia*

Correspondence should be addressed to Prashant Kumar; p.kumar@surrey.ac.uk

Received 20 August 2014; Accepted 20 August 2014; Published 3 September 2014

Copyright © 2014 Prashant Kumar et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The subject of nanomaterials is very topical, with advances in knowledge made at a very rapid pace, making dissemination of this new knowledge a need of the hour. Although it is widely recognised that nanotechnology is playing a key role in many areas of societal endeavour, it is still unclear what risks certain nanomaterials may pose to humans and the environment. While nanotechnology has brought enormous benefits to humankind, its impact on human health and the environment is yet to be fully understood.

Nanomaterials are considered as emerging environmental contaminants. Their origin can be natural [1], incidental [2], or from manufacturing processes [3]. Incidental nanomaterials are those generated as side products of anthropogenic processes [4, 5], whereas manufactured nanomaterials are deliberately produced with specific properties [3, 6]. Exposure to both types is currently being investigated and these may enter air, water, and soil media from a range of routes. Physicochemical and biological transformations make nanomaterials potentially highly reactive in both environmental and biological systems, which may alter their fate, dispersion, and toxicity compared with their larger counterparts [7, 8].

Recent years have seen an enormous increase in the number of publications, indicating an exponential increase over the past decade in nanomaterial-related research, in terms of manufacturing, applications, exposure, and hazard (Figure 1). These studies have focused on various aspects of nanomaterials, such as their novel applications as adsorbents, ion exchangers and disinfectants in water and air

for removing ions, and organic compounds and pathogens [9, 10], as well as assessing risks associated with them to human health, ecology, and environment [11]. This special issue was designed to highlight recent advances in the area of nanosafety as well as present studies on the application of nanomaterials for environmental remediation, such as removal of ions and organic compounds from aqueous and air media. We believe that the articles published in this special issue are of great interest to scientists engaged in cross-disciplinary research and other stakeholders alike.

Given the highly interdisciplinary nature of the topic, a broad range of researchers from the scientific community were invited to contribute original research articles as well as review articles that could stimulate the continuing efforts to understand the advances in nanomaterial characterisation, emissions, transformation, dispersion, fate, and effects in different environmental compartments (air, water, and soil). A particular focus of the issue was on articles that can deal with their environmental and health impacts, and the implications for policy and regulations for both the indoor and outdoor environments.

A broad range of the scientific community participated in this special issue, starting from material scientists working on the development of novel materials to those using them in various applications. Scientists involved in carrying out environmental and health impact assessment of nanomaterials made their contributions too. The other very interested community participating in this special issue was

observed in all cases, with $\text{TiO}_2\text{-S/Cd}^{3+}$ showing more activity than commercial TiO_2 . The photocatalytic efficacy of codoped TiO_2 nanoparticles increased with the percentage of Cd^{3+} up to 0.6% Cd^{3+} , with $\text{TiO}_2\text{-S/Cd}^{3+}$ (0.6% Cd^{3+}) showing the highest activity and degrading indigo carmine completely in 50 minutes.

N. Shandilya et al. evaluated the release of TiO_2 nanoparticles from two commercial photocatalytic nanocoatings using abrasion tests. One of the nanocoatings inhibited the release of nanoparticles while the other did not. No free TiO_2 nanoparticles were released from either of the nanocoatings. The study introduced two particle release parameters that can be used to assess the tendency of nanocoatings to hold or release particles. O. L. C. Le Bihan et al. reported the aerosolization of a multiwalled CNT, by a vortex shaker. Their aims were to develop and evaluate vortex shaker techniques as a tool for the determination of the exposure potential to suspensions of inhalable particles and powders from nanomaterials. The study demonstrated that the geometry of the device and speed of agitation influenced the experimental outcome and concluded that although the method has the potential to be used for dustiness assessments further evaluation would be required before it can be routinely used for toxicological investigations.

X. Zhou et al. fabricated and characterised CdS/H-TiO_2 nanotube (TNT) arrays to investigate their photocatalytic properties during degradation of a methyl orange dye. The photodegradation of methyl orange was carried out under visible-light irradiation. They found that the CdS/H-TNTs exhibited greater efficiency than the H-TNTs and pure TNTs . In particular, the CdS/H-TNTs gave 88.7% decoloration rate compared to pure TNTs with only 6.4% decoloration rate. Their work also showed that the CdS/H-TNTs were approximately 13-times more effective than pure TNTs under visible light, indicating that these TNTs could successfully be used for decomposing methyl orange from water.

D. N. Chung et al. synthesised Ce-doped $\text{Y}_3\text{Al}_5\text{O}_{12}$ (YAG: Ce) nanopowders using a sol-gel low temperature combustion method, followed by thermal annealing and used for solid-state lighting. They found that the white light emitting diodes (WLEDs) made from the blue light emitting diode (LED) chip coated with the nano-YAG: Ce + MEH-PPV composite epoxy exhibited white light with a broad band luminescent spectrum and a high colour rendering index. These findings indicated potential application of the prepared nanostructured YAG: Ce phosphor in energy-efficient solid-state lighting as well as in organic composite solar cells. These findings also indicate their application for the enrichment of uniform inorganic nanoparticles.

A few articles were submitted on nanomaterials used as adsorbents and ion exchange materials for removing dyes, metal ions, and inorganic ions from water. These studies showed that removal of ions and dyes from water using nanomaterial-based adsorbent and ion exchange material is a suitable and very promising approach. For example, M. A. Shaheed and F. H. Hussein studied adsorption of reactive black 5 on synthesized titanium dioxide nanoparticles using equilibrium isotherm and kinetic studies that $\text{TiO}_2\text{-NPs}$

could be a promising adsorbent as it showed removal of RB 5 from aqueous solutions through a chemical adsorption method. The study found a Langmuir monolayer adsorption capacity of 88.495 mg/g at pH 5.5 and 30°C. M. F. Elkady et al. assessed the potential of synthesized nanozirconium tungstovanadate as cation exchanger and tested it in the removal of lead ions from water. They noticed 96% removal of lead ions from water and showed that the new material can act as cation exchanger (ion exchange capacity = 2.5 milliequivalent/g). C. S. Ciobanu et al. synthesized porous methyltrimethoxysilane coated nanoscale-hydroxyapatite and investigated its potential for removal of lead ions from aqueous solutions. This study characterized the prepared material using X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) and then studied lead removal in adsorption studies at different solution pH values. They noticed that at Pb concentrations from 0.5 g/L to 1.5 g/L, the removal efficiency reached nearly 100% (i.e., complete removal). In general, removal was found to be higher in acidic pH conditions, which decreased as solution pH increased.

A few submissions presented the effect of nanomaterials on growth of plants and metals uptake by plants. This topic is particularly interesting given the fact that there are still very few studies assessing the effects of nanomaterials on soil systems, and particularly on plants. For example, Z. Li and J. Hunag studied effect of the nanoparticle hydroxyapatite (nHAP) on the growth and antioxidant system in Pakchoi (*Brassica chinensis* L.) from cadmium-contaminated soil by using different nHAP concentrations. Results indicated that increasing levels of nHAP led to improved plant growth and reduced Cd uptake by the plant. Also, exposure of higher nHAP levels resulted in an increase in levels of chlorophyll and vitamin C and decrease in the level of malondialdehyde (MDA) in plant shoots. The findings of their study indicated that nHAP can be used to reduce the uptake of Cd by Pakchoi from Cd-contaminated soil which reduces exposure risk in higher food web levels.

The team of guest editors also contributed a comprehensive review article. This article presented a comprehensive summary of state of the art on the fate, exposure, and toxicity of engineered nanomaterials in air and water environments, highlighting research gaps and suggesting directions for future research. The review recognised the need to develop a combination of different analytical methods for determining nanomaterial number and mass concentration, conducting toxicity studies, obtaining relevant data for developing quantitative nanostructure toxicity relationships (QNTR), and initiating efforts to formulate guidelines for the regulation of ENMs in the environment.

Overall, we believe that the collection of these scientific articles provides a solid contribution to the understanding of nanomaterials and their impacts on the environment. Research findings from the published work will be useful for material scientists, environmental scientist and engineers, risk assessors, and regulators for addressing nanomaterial-related issues in the environment.

Acknowledgments

The team of guest editors thank all the authors who have contributed articles to this special issue for the Journal of Nanomaterials. We also thank the reviewers for their constructive and insightful comments that made the completion of this issue possible.

Prashant Kumar
Arun Kumar
Teresa Fernandes
Godwin A. Ayoko

References

- [1] P. Kumar, A. Robins, S. Vardoulakis, and R. Britter, "A review of the characteristics of nanoparticles in the urban atmosphere and the prospects for developing regulatory controls," *Atmospheric Environment*, vol. 44, no. 39, pp. 5035–5052, 2010.
- [2] P. Kumar, P. Fennell, and A. Robins, "Comparison of the behaviour of manufactured and other airborne nanoparticles and the consequences for prioritising research and regulation activities," *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 1523–1530, 2010.
- [3] J. R. Peralta-Videa, L. Zhao, M. L. Lopez-Moreno, G. de la Rosa, J. Hong, and J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, "Nanomaterials and the environment: a review for the biennium 2008–2010," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 186, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2011.
- [4] F. Azarmi, P. Kumar, and M. Mulheron, "The exposure to coarse, fine and ultrafine particle emissions from concrete mixing, drilling and cutting activities," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 279, pp. 268–279, 2014.
- [5] P. Kumar, L. Pirjola, M. Ketznel, and R. M. Harrison, "Nanoparticle emissions from 11 non-vehicle exhaust sources: a review," *Atmospheric Environment*, vol. 67, pp. 252–277, 2013.
- [6] P. Kumar, A. Kumar, and J. R. Lead, "Nanoparticles in the Indian environment: known, unknowns and awareness," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 46, no. 13, pp. 7071–7072, 2012.
- [7] Y. Ju-Nam and J. R. Lead, "Manufactured nanoparticles: an overview of their chemistry, interactions and potential environmental implications," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 400, no. 1–3, pp. 396–414, 2008.
- [8] G. V. Lowry, K. B. Gregory, S. C. Apte, and J. R. Lead, "Transformations of nanomaterials in the environment focus issue," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 46, no. 13, pp. 6891–6892, 2012.
- [9] M. M. Khin, A. S. Nair, V. J. Babu, R. Murugan, and S. Ramakrishna, "A review on nanomaterials for environmental remediation," *Energy and Environmental Science*, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 8075–8109, 2012.
- [10] T. Pradeep, "Noble metal nanoparticles for water purification: a critical review," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 517, no. 24, pp. 6441–6478, 2009.
- [11] K. D. Grieger, I. Linkov, S. F. Hansen, and A. Baun, "Environmental risk analysis for nanomaterials: review and evaluation of frameworks," *Nanotoxicology*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 196–212, 2012.

