

Review Article

Naturally Occurring Microbiota Associated with Mosquito Breeding Habitats and Their Effects on Mosquito Larvae

H. A. K. Ranasinghe 🕞 and L. D. Amarasinghe 🕒

Department of Zoology and Environmental Management, Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya, Dalugama, Kelaniya, Sri Lanka GQ 11600

Correspondence should be addressed to L. D. Amarasinghe; deepika@kln.ac.lk

Received 22 March 2020; Revised 12 July 2020; Accepted 4 August 2020; Published 15 December 2020

Academic Editor: Mansour El-Matbouli

Copyright © 2020 H. A. K. Ranasinghe and L. D. Amarasinghe. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Immature mosquitoes are aquatic, and their distribution, abundance, and individual fitness in a particular breeding habitat are known to be dependent on mainly three factors: biotic factors, abiotic factors, and their interaction between each other and with other associated taxa. Mosquito breeding habitats harbor a diversified naturally occurring microbiota assemblage, and the biota have different types of interactions with mosquito larvae in those habitats. Those interactions may include parasitism, pathogenism, predation, and competition which cause the mortality of larvae, natural reduction of larval abundance, or alterations in their growth. Many microbiota species serve as food items for mosquito larvae, and there are also some indigestible or toxic phytoplanktons to larvae. However, when there is coexistence or mutualism of different mosquito species along with associated microbiota, they form a community sharing the habitat requirements. With the available literature, it is evident that the abundance of mosquito larvae is related to the densities of associated microbiota and their composition in that particular breeding habitat. Potential antagonist microbiota which are naturally occurring in mosquito breeding habitats could be used in integrated vector control approaches, and this method rises as an ecofriendly approach in controlling larvae in natural habitats themselves. To date, this aspect has received less attention; only a limited number of species of microbiota inhabiting mosquito breeding habitats have been recorded, and detailed studies on microbiota assemblage in relation to diverse vector mosquito breeding habitats and their association with mosquito larvae are few. Therefore, future studies on this important ecological aspect are encouraged. Such studies may help to identify field characteristic agents that can serve as mosquito controlling candidates in their natural habitats themselves.

1. Introduction

Due to the importance of mosquitoes as vectors for diseases in terms of public health, studying their ecological and environmental conditions influencing the abundance of these species is a vital necessity [1]. Determining the larval densities, proliferation, and species assemblage, mosquito habitat ecology plays an important role [2]. Immature mosquitoes are aquatic, and the distribution, abundance, and individual fitness of mosquitoes in a particular breeding habitat are known to be dependent on mainly three factors: biotic factors [3, 4], abiotic factors [5, 6], and their interaction between each other and with other associated taxa [7, 8]. Those interactions included parasitism, pathogenism, predation, and competition. When there is coexistence or mutualism of different mosquito species along with other biotic organisms, they form a community sharing habitat requirements [9].

Larval density in a breeding habitat is affected by different abiotic characteristics of the breeding site such as vegetation, temperature, turbidity, pH, the concentration of ammonia, salinity, nitrite and nitrate, sulfate, phosphate, chloride, calcium, and water hardness [10, 11]. Further, larval densities are controlled by hydrology, light/shade, and nutrient availability also [12]. However, among biotic factors associated with mosquito breeding habitats, several species of bacteria, fungi, unicellular organisms such as protists [13], entomopathogenic nematodes [14], and filamentous fungi [15] are recorded for the infection to mosquito larvae.

There is a diversified naturally occurring microbiota assemblage in mosquito breeding habitats. Microbiota are partly potential food organisms, competitors, and/or potential mosquito predators. However, among them, there may be parasitic or pathogenic microbiota species to mosquito larvae as well. So, some of the microbiota in the habitat act as natural biocontrol agents against mosquito larvae. Microbiota communities associated with mosquito breeding habitats often vary in composition as there are species that are highly sensitive for the changes in nutrient cycling and variable environmental conditions including temperature [16]. Excreta of some animals can be influenced on the structure and functioning of plankton communities as the excreta could act as a significant source of nitrogen and phosphorus. Mosquito larvae were provided with diverse resources to prey on, by the interactions of microinvertebrates [17].

Although vector control strategies have traditionally focused on killing mosquitoes using a variety of synthetic chemical insecticides, the development of insecticide resistance has declined the efficiency of killing mosquitoes. Also, the financial burden of insecticide-based vector control programs is prohibited by the widespread usage of larvicides and adulticides in many countries where mosquito-borne diseases remain endemic [13]. Thus, information on microbiota associated with mosquito breeding habitats and their effects on mosquito larvae is worth investigating with regard to their potential usage in integrated vector control approaches to be used. However, there are only a very limited number of studies and scattered information focused on this aspect; only a limited number of such potential parasitic or pathogenic species have been recorded from those studies.

2. Methodology

Reviewing was performed with the use of the six-step methodological approach defined by Arksey and O'Malley [18]. The microbiota association in mosquito breeding habitats and their effects on developing larvae, either as positive or negative influences, were established as the research question to review. Relevant studies were comprehensively searched using a general internet Google search and several electronic databases, including Google Scholar and ResearchGate, meeting abstracts and dissertations. We also searched the Science Citation Index for papers that our initial searches may have missed. A very broad comprehensive search was conducted to gather information as recommended by Arksey and O'Malley [18]. Once the relevant literature was identified, the exclusion and inclusion criteria that were established were applied to the papers.

Inclusion parameters were established; studies on microscopic invertebrate animals such as ciliates, rotifers, and freshwater microcrustaceans and their juvenile stages, mainly comprised of members in the groups of ostracod, copepod, and cladocerans associated with mosquito breeding habitats, were selected. Further, studies on microflora associated with mosquito breeding habitats which mainly included planktonic algae (e.g., green algae, brown algae, and diatoms) and cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) species associated with mosquito breeding habitats were selected. We carefully set parameters for exclusion. Studies related to screening on macrofauna in the mosquito breeding habitats including freshwater fish; macrocrustaceans; larvae of dragonflies/damselflies, mollusks, coleopteran, and hemipteran larvae; and hydrophytes or macrophytes were excluded as they did not fall into the category of the microbiota.

The full text of each article was reviewed to determine its eligibility for our study according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. After concluding this process, all papers/studies and abstracts which met the inclusion criteria were included into our study. Information was extracted, organized, and sorted according to key themes and issues: pathogenic, parasitic, predatory microbiota, microbiota as competitors and food items, bacteria as microbiota in mosquito breeding habitats, and other microbiota recorded from global studies. Information was managed under those subcategories. Findings were reported using a combination of tables with descriptions according to our themes, in a way that the information clearly links to the extent of the literature and to identify gaps.

3. Results

3.1. Parasitic and Pathogenic Effects of Microbiota on Mosquito Larvae. Endoparasitic ciliates (Protista: Ciliophora) have been known to infect mosquito larvae since 1921. The first record was by Lamborn, from a sample collected from an earthen pot in Kuala Lumpur reporting the occurrence of Lambornella stegomyiae infection in the larvae of Aedes albopictus [19].

After about a 74-year gap, the transformation of Lambornella stegomyiae trophonts to theronts, the distribution of invasion cysts on larval Aedes albopictus cuticle, and the virulence of L. stegomyiae to Ae. albopictus and Aedes aegypti under laboratory conditions were studied by Arshad and Sulaiman [20]. The survival of the parasitic agents (ciliates) is under dry conditions; thus, the encystation of these ciliates is a possible way for the time lap. After excystation, freeswimming stages of these ciliates could be increased easily when the optimum environmental conditions reoccurred. Cysts and the processes of encystation and excystation have been described for many such ciliate species associated with mosquito breeding habitats. Arshad and Sulaiman [20] have found out the transformation of trophonts of the parasitic agent into theronts was induced by a morphogenic agent released from a larval Ae. albopictus homogenate. Further, the first transformation was observed 4 hrs after exposure to the larval mosquito homogenate, but most transformations occurred between 12 and 16 hrs. Distribution of invasion cysts on the cuticle of mosquito larvae was not uniform, and most cysts were formed on their abdomen and head. L. stegomyiae was highly infective and virulent to Ae. albopictus (mortality rate: 99.53%) and Ae. aegypti (mortality rate: 90.83%) larvae [20].

After a gap of fifty years of identifying the first parasitic ciliate on mosquito larvae, the second species of *Lambornella* (*L. clarki*) (Ciliophora: Tetrahymenidae) was isolated from newly flooded tree hole-breeding mosquito larvae, *Aedes sirensis* [21]. After twelve years of recording the parasitism of *L.*

clarki, Washburn and Mercer [22] have studied the parasitism of newly hatched *Aedes sierrensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae by *L. clarki* following the habitat flooding. As early as 24 hrs after flooding, ciliates initiated the first parasite cycle by forming cuticular cysts on first instar larvae, and by 64 hrs, cysts were observed on larvae. Further, the same study mentioned that among tree-hole populations, the proportion of larvae with *L. clarki* cysts ranged from 2 to 100% at 48 hrs. Ciliates began entering mosquito larval hosts from 48 to 72 hrs after flooding, but some larvae were able to escape from parasitization by molting to the second instar before ciliates penetrate the cuticle [22].

In 1986, Egerter et al. found that the L. clarki is dispersed by infected adult mosquitoes. Invasion of the ovaries induces parasitically castrated females to exhibit oviposition behavior and thereby actively disperse ciliates through deposition into water. Adults of both sexes also passively disperse ciliates by dying on water surfaces. However, infected adults were more likely to die on the water than uninfected adults. Ciliates are dispersed by infected adults who can infect larvae and form desiccation-resistant cysts. Parasitism of L. clarki indicated a significant biological control potential against containerbreeding mosquitoes due to their parasite-induced dispersal by hosts, desiccation-resistant cysts, an active host-seeking infective stage, and high infection and mortality rates [23]. Washburn et al. [24] indicated that induced free-living trophonts of L. clarki undergo an asynchronous response in which cells divide and transform into parasitic cells (theronts) that encyst on larval predators. Parasitic ciliates penetrate the cuticle, enter the hemocoel, and ultimately kill their predator-host then. However, Anopheline larvae (Anopheles barbirostris, An. hyrcanus group, and An. philippinensis) breeding in peridomestic ditches was found infected with a Lambornella sp. in Northeast India [25].

A ciliate species belonging to another genus, *Tetrahymena pyriformis*, was observed in the body cavity and anal gills of mosquito larvae of bamboo-breeding species *Armigeres dolichocephalus*, *Ar. dentatus*, and *Ar. digitatus* collected from a bamboo forest near Kuala Lumpur by Corliss [26]. The parasitism of *Tetrahymena* to mosquito larvae becomes fatal in heavy infestations which make the larvae transparent, whitish, or opaque. These facultative parasites probably enter via the oral route and invade the hemocoel through the gut wall of mosquito larvae [26]. High concentrations of *Tetrahymena pyriformis* have resulted in high mortalities of *Culex tarsalis* larvae where the concentration is fairly less to achieve the same result for *Aedes aegypti* in the United States [27].

Das [28] has reported that another endoparasitic ciliate, *Chilodonella uncinata*, has been isolated from the infected larval head capsule, antennae, body cavity, anal gills and siphons of Culicine larvae, and Anopheline larvae breed in paddy fields, irrigation channels, marshy areas, wells, ponds, and pools in North India. The natural infestation of *Chilodonella uncinata* has resulted in high mortalities in *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* and *Culex pseudovishnui* larvae collected from paddy fields. *C. uncinata* was found to cause chronic and fatal infection in the natural population of mosquitoes in and around Delhi, North India, while Anopheline larvae were less (14.13%) susceptible to *Chilodonella* infection than Culicine larvae (75.21%). Thousands of motile endoparasitic stage of the ciliate were found packed in body cavities of dead and transparent larvae while numerous cuticular cysts were observed on the cadaver of larvae and pupae [28].

However, a study conducted by Patil et al. [29] revealed inhibition of larval growth, development, and adult emergence of An. stephensi larvae due to infection of Vorticella sp. The same study further reported that Vorticella sp. has the first preference to Anopheles, but it could attack other mosquito species like Aedes aegypti. Far back in 1950, Micks [30, 31] reported the lethal effect of the ciliate, V. microstoma, on An. quadrimaculatus. Both the above previous studies suggest that the growth, development, and emergence of mosquito larvae are inhibited by Vorticella, resulting in death although the precise reason for that is still unknown. From the microbiota species identified from mosquito breeding habitats in Sri Lanka, Vorticella microstoma and Chilodonella sp. were found to be effective negatively on Culex spp. mosquito larvae and Zoothamnium sp. found as an epibiont on Culex spp. mosquito larvae. The trophont stages of V. microstoma and Zoothamnium sp. were found attached to the cuticle of mosquito larvae [32, 33].

3.2. Predatory Effects of Microbiota on Mosquito Larvae. The term "predators" of mosquito larvae refers to macro-/microinvertebrates that feed upon mosquito larvae [34, 35]. Naturally occurring Cyclopoids (Subphylum: Crustacea, Subclass: Copepoda) are able to prey on mosquito larvae [36– 40]. The first field trial was carried out with Mesocyclops aspericornis against the larvae of Aedes polynesiensis Riviere et al. [36] and revealed that they reduce the Aedes polynesiensis and/or Aedes aegypti by 91-99% in burrows, tree holes, drums, wells, and tires in French Polynesia. But M. aspericornis could not effectively reduce the larval population of Culex particularly Cx. roseni and Cx. quinquefasciatus. Thereafter, many field and laboratory trials were conducted with many microbiota species as predators of mosquito larvae, and they are summarized in Table 1.

Determinants of the efficiency of mosquito larval predation are the predator's ability to consume prey from early larvae onwards, high attacking rate, and its preference for target prey instead of other prey types. Additionally, it depends on the predator's preference for advanced instars, as it avoids the compensatory effect of the reduction in competitive interactions among the surviving prey [47, 48].

Besides, Udayanga et al. [46] found that the predatory efficiencies varied significantly among the copepod species; *Mesocyclops leuckarti* showed the highest predatory efficiency for *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* larvae [46]. *Mesocyclops aspericornis* was the most effective predator of *Aedes* mosquitoes while *Mesocyclops darwini* was less efficient [43]. Rey et al. [44] revealed that cyclopoid copepods were most effective on 1-4-day-old *Aedes* larvae, and further, Chansang et al. [45] found that *Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides* copepods alone were able to produce mortality of 98-100% in the 1st instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti* when the copepod:larvae ratios are ranging from 1:1 to 1:4.

Microbiota species	Mosquito species tested against	References
Mesocyclops aspericornis	Aedes aegypti and Aedes polynesiensis	Lardeux et al. [36]
Mesocyclops spp.	Anopheles albimanus	Marten [41]
Mesocyclops longisetus and Macrocyclops albidus	Anopheles spp. and Culex quinquefasciatus	Marten et al. [37]
Mesocyclops longisetus	Aedes aegypti	Marten et al. [37]
Mesocyclops longisetus and Mesocyclops albidus	Anopheles spp. and Culex quinquefasciatus	Marten et al. [37]
Mesocyclops longisetus	Aedes aegypti	Marten et al. [37]
Mesocyclops leuckarti pilosa	Aedes albopictus	Marten [42]
Mesocyclops aspericornis, Mesocyclops australiensis, Mesocyclops darwini, and Mesocyclops notius	Aedes spp.	Brown et al. [43]
Macrocyclops albidus	Aedes spp.	Rey et al. [44]
Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides	Ae. aegypti	Chansang et al. [45]
Mesocyclops leuckarti and Mesocyclops scrassus	Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus	Udayanga et al. [46]

TABLE 1: Recorded predatory cyclopoid species associated with mosquito breeding habitats.

3.3. Microbiota as Competitors of Mosquito Larvae. The competitors also can reduce the survival of mosquitoes by competing for the same food resources. The term "competitors" in relation to mosquitoes refers to invertebrate species who feed upon the same functional food like algae, bacteria, detritus, and protists, as mosquito larvae [49]. These competitors include mainly the species under Subphylum Crustacea, such as cladocerans (Phyllopoda), calanoids (Copepoda), harpacticoids (Copepoda), and ostracods (Ostracoda) [50-52]; and cause a negative impact on mosquito larval populations. Naturally occurring microcrustaceans are potentially effective competitors against mosquito larvae because many species show similar biotope preferences with mosquito larvae [53], and polyphagous activities of mosquito larvae and associated major competitors explain the abundance and coinhabitation of mosquito larvae in breeding habitats [17].

Kroeger et al. [54] highlighted that the larvae of *Cx. pipiens* were found to be spatially associated with competing Cladocera, and they prevent the *Cx. pipiens* colonization. The same study showed Ostracods as abundant microcrustaceans associated with ponds, and their dominance has inhibited the colonization of mosquito larvae in ponds. Nonmosquito competitors such as larvae of Chironomidae and cladocerans were found to limit the abundance of *An. quadrimaculatus* and *Cx. pipiens* to a great extent in temporary ponds of Northwest Pennsylvania in the USA by Chase and Knight [8].

The potential of cladocerans as controphic competitors of the mosquito *Cx. pipiens* was studied [55] and revealed that the oviposition of mosquitoes was fully inhibited under high densities of a cladoceran, *Daphnia magna*, and there were consequently no mosquito larvae. Mosquito larvae in the presence of cladocerans took two more days to emerge than where predators and competitions were absent [56]. *Daphnia magna* did not significantly affect survival to the pupation of *Cx. pipiens*, but competing for food resources, it increased the time for metamorphosis and reduced size at metamorphosis. Further, they caused a small survival reduction (21.9%) in *Culex longiareolata*, while not affecting time to, or size at, pupation [57].

Ostracoda was identified as both predator and food competitor for mosquito larvae, and it shows a strong negative impact on larval development [54, 55, 58, 59]. The effects of ciliate protists and rotifers on lower trophic level microbial food resources, such as bacteria, small flagellates, and organic particles, in the water column, and on *Cx. nigripalpus* larval development and adult production were studied in the recent past [60]. The authors indicated that ciliates and rotifers, singly or in combination, altered other microbial populations in mosquito breeding habitat and thereby inhibited *Cx. nigripalpus* mosquito growth suggesting that instead of serving as food resources, they competed with early instar mosquito larvae for getting food items.

3.4. Microbiota as Food Items for Developing Mosquito Larvae. Microbiota that inhabit aquatic habitats serve as food organisms to developing mosquito larvae. Many undergo a similar trend of surviving the ephemeral nature of the microhabitats and eventually, when the conditions become favorable, serve as competitors sometimes or food organisms to mosquito larvae. Depending on the larval species, food items include many microbiota species such as bacteria, fungi, and protists, diatoms, microcrustaceans, cyanobacteria, and unicellular or filamentous algae [61, 62]. Protozoans and rotifers are relatively smaller in their size in which 50-250 μ m in length coincides with the waterborne particles ingested by mosquito larvae while filter-feeding [63].

The availability of sufficient food sources determines the proliferation of mosquitoes, affecting them positively most of the time and negatively sometimes. Larval immature survivorship and their developmental rate depend on the quality and quantity of their food. The mosquito adult emergence, body size, response to repellents and insecticides, survival, sexual maturity, fecundity, egg production, and longevity of the adult female and more importantly vector competence are also influenced by the availability of the food resources for larval development [64].

There are at least 200 species of phytoplankton associated with mosquito breeding habitats, and larvae extensively feed upon them [65, 66]. Cyanobacteria have an important role in the diet of mosquito larvae. Kaufman et al. [67] indicated the importance of algal biomass on the growth and development of *An. gambiae* larvae. Although most of the algal species are nutritious food for many species of mosquito larvae, some species are able to kill the larvae if ingested in large quantities. Sometimes, it is possible that they die due to starvation by feeding on indigestible algae. The vector mosquitoes have not developed resistance to these algal toxins.

In particular, Cyanobacteria, the blue-green algae, are able to effect on larval mortality by virtue of toxicity, and some species of green algae (Order Chlorococcales) are able to kill larvae by being indigestible. *Microcystis* sp. showed a significant negative effect on developing mosquito larvae, where the larvae grown in the presence of alga were significantly smaller. Further, species such as *Kirchneriella, Scenedesmus, Coelastrum, Selenastrum, Dactylococcus,* and *Tetrallantos* were found virtually indigestible by *Culex, Aedes,* and *Anopheles* mosquito larvae, thus reducing their existence and failure to develop successfully in the water where certain species of closely related green algae in the order Chlorococcales are the main source of food [42, 68].

Further, the green alga *Kirchneriella irregularis* could kill *Ae. albopictus* larvae in container breeding habitats in Hawaii due to starvation as they were unable to digest *Kirchneriella*. In order to kill the larvae, there is no need for the *Kirchneriella* to be highly abundant but abundant enough to predominate in larval guts to the exclusion of other food [42]. Marten [69] has reported that many species of *Scenedesmus* were found to kill the larvae. However, in a recent study done in 2017, *Scenedesmus* species were encountered from both larval gut and in larval habitats; its larvicidal property is yet to be confirmed there [70].

Rejmankova et al. [71] found that An. albimanus larval densities in cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) mats were relatively high in both wet and dry seasons, concluding that these cyanobacteria mats provide suitable habitats for mosquito larvae. The number of cyanobacterial cells ingested and digested by mosquito larvae was dependent on the cyanobacterial strain and varied with the mosquito species associated [72]. Cyanobacteria species associated with Anopheles albimanus larvae from southern Chiapas, Mexico, were studied and revealed the presence of Phormidium sp., Oscillatoria sp., Aphanocapsa littoralis, P. animalis, Lyngbya lutea, and Anabaena spiroides. However, Aphanocapsa littoralis were associated with habitats of relatively lower larval abundance, and higher cyanobacteria abundance was observed from estuaries, irrigation canals, river margins, and mangrove lagoons [73].

From a study carried out in Finland, Cyanobacterium *Oscillatoria agardhii* and *Anabaena circinalis* were found as highly toxic to *Aedes aegypti* larvae [74]. Further, the toxin was found to be water-soluble, and fourth and second instar larvae of *A. aegypti* showed 24 h LC₅₀ values as 8.7 and 6.1 μ g

live cells/mL, respectively. However, larval production could be reduced in the absence of some algal species as well. Bond et al. [75] at Chiapas, Mexico, reported that *An. pseudopunctipennis* breeding was reduced by removing a filamentous chlorophyte green alga, *Spirogyra*, from their breeding sites. The extraction of this alga brought about a striking decline in the density of *An. pseudopunctipennis* larvae sustained for about six weeks and thus a concurrent reduction in the adult population.

Cyanobacteria, numerous unicellular and filamentous algae, zooflagellates, and other protozoans, rotifers, crustaceans, organic debris, unspecified inorganic materials, spores, and insect scales were identified by the dissected guts of several mosquito species belonging to five genera [76]. Dissections of the larvae of *A. punctipennis, A. quadrimaculatus*, and *A. crucians* showed that all three species were indiscriminate feeders and that none has a characteristic plankton food in their guts, and the places in which *A. punctipennis* breeds throughout the season were identified as always deficient in plankton in which alimentary tracts of some contained only particles of clay and silica [77]. However, Kaufman et al. [67] indicated the importance of algal biomass to the growth and development of *Anopheles gambiae* larvae.

3.5. Bacteria as Microbiota Associated with Mosquito Breeding Habitats. The bacteria in mosquito breeding waters can affect ovipositing mosquitoes, have effects on larval development, and can modify larval and adult mosquito gut bacterial composition [78]. Mosquitoes are exposed to a variety of bacterial species in their habitats. Bacteria inhabiting in larval habitats have been considered as the most important that comprise the food of mosquito larvae by previous studies carried out [61, 79, 80]. Bacteria act as the most abundant microbiota present in mosquito larval diets and sometimes can even be the major nutritional source for their growth and development. Mosquito larval growth is possible in cultures of bacteria alone [62].

Rozeboom [81] found that *Aedes aegypti* larvae could not develop in bacteria-filtered water, revealing that bacteria are indispensable for the mosquito larval development.

Further, higher larval mortalities were observed in water treated with antibiotics [82]. Besides, many bacteria have been shown to either attract [83, 84] or repel (Juan [85]) gravid mosquitoes to potential breeding sites. Mosquitoes preferred to oviposit on unmodified substrates from natural larval habitats containing live microorganisms and microbial populations in breeding sites. They were found to produce volatiles, specific bacteria-associated carboxylic acids and methyl esters that serve as potent oviposition stimulants for gravid *Ae. aegypti* [84]. However, oviposition was significantly reduced when the bacterial colonies of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* was present and oviposition was neither reduced nor enhanced with the presence of bacterial species, *Pseudomonas putida* or *Pseudomonas alcaligenes* (Juan [85]).

Bacterial species that are present in mosquito larval habitats are acquired from the aquatic larval stage, and they are established in the midgut of mosquito larvae, exhibiting different functional tasks and retaining in the gut as symbiotic species. Many recent studies have used culture-dependent

Description on study	Identified microbiota species and effects on mosquito larvae	References
Microinvertebrates coinhabited with mosquito larvae of <i>Ae. vittatus, An. gambiae, Cx. macfiei, Cx.</i> <i>perfidiosus, Cx. pipiens,</i> and <i>Cx. simpsoni</i> were identified from patchy rock pools on inselbergs within Kaduna state, Nigeria	 (i) Protists: Paramecium caudatum, Pleurotricha sp., and Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (ii) Rotifers: Brachionus plicatilis and Philodina sp. (iii) Microcrustaceans: Ephemeroporous barroisi, Bosmina longirostris, Daphnia pulex, Diaphanosoma birgei, Diaphanosoma brachyurum, Laptonopsis occidentalis, Macrothrix pulex, Macrothrix rosea, Moinodaphnia macleayi, Moina macrocopa, Sida crystallina, Bradleystrandesia reticulata, Candona intermedia, Candona parallela, Cypria obesa, Heterocypris incongruens, Potamocypris hyboforma, Cyclops sp., and Macrocyclops sp. 	Obi et al. [17]
The associated invertebrate taxa with mosquito larvae were studied in temporary ponds of wetland areas in Germany	 (i) Ciliates, rotifers, microcrustaceans (Cladocera, Copepoda, and Ostracoda), isopods (<i>Asellus</i>) (ii) Microcrustaceans were identified as the most abundant and most frequently encountered invertebrates (iii) The abundance of <i>Aedes</i> spp. was affected by the presence of <i>Ceriodaphnia</i> spp., <i>Chydorus</i> spp., <i>Daphnia</i> spp., <i>Simocephalus</i> spp., Calanoida, and larvae of Chironomidae as they competed efficiently with mosquito larvae for food resources (iv) Cyclopoida act as antagonists while Zygoptera and Dytiscidae which were known as strict predators exerted the smallest influence 	Elono et al. [114]
Prevalence of microfauna associated with different mosquito breeding habitats in Mawanella area in Sri Lanka	(i) Coleps hirtus, Zoothamnium sp., Vorticella sp., Chaetonotus sp., Ichthydium sp., Lecane sp., and Rotaria sp.	Amarasinghe and Rathnayaka [115]
Ecological characterization of <i>Ae. aegypti</i> larval habitats in artificial water containers in Girardot, Colombia	 (i) Three main taxa of algae were found, Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, and Cyanobacteria. The diversity of Bacillariophyceae was higher in the larval habitats, and only Cyanobacteria were positively related to the abundance of immature stage of <i>Ae. aegypti</i> (ii) Oscillatoria, Dactylococcopsis, Nostoc, Synedra, Scenedesmus, Pinnularia, Cymbella, Meridium, Navicula, and Dictyosphaerium were identified as the most abundant algal genera (iii) Oscillatoria, which belonged to Cyanobacteria, had the greatest abundance (iv) The only zooplankton found were some rotifers, in very small numbers, and in only a few samples 	Garcia-Sánchez et al. [70]
Biotic factors associated with the presence of <i>Anopheles arabiensis immatures</i> and their abundance in naturally occurring and manmade aquatic habitats at low altitudes in remote areas in Reunion Island	 (i) Main variables associated with the presence of <i>An. arabiensis</i> larvae in habitats were green algae and the <i>Cyperaceae</i> plant family (ii) <i>An. arabiensis</i> larvae were associated with approximately 13 species of macroinvertebrates 	Gouagna et al. [116]
Microbiota associated with irrigated rice fields in Sri Lanka	(i) Identified 94 species of invertebrates belonged to 10 phyla	Bambaradeniya et al. [117]
Microbiota associated with a variety of mosquito breeding habitats in Kurunegala and Gampaha districts, Sri Lanka	 (i) Forty-five microbiota species/taxa from Gampaha district and 44 microbiota species/taxa from Kurunegala district were identified which belonged to Amoebozoa, Arthropoda, Bacillariophyta, Ciliophora, Charophyta, Chlorophyta, Sarcodina, Cyanobacteria/Cyanophyta, Euglenozoa, Ochrophyta/Heterokontophyta, and Rotifera 	Ranasinghe and Amarasinghe [33] and Amarasinghe and Ranasinghe [32]
		Charles et al. [118]

TABLE 2: Other global records on microbiota associated with mosquito breeding habitats.

Description on study	Identified microbiota species and effects on mosquito larvae	References
Microbiota associated with mosquito larvae collected from different larval habitats in Mysore	 (i) Had a greater proposition of species belonged to the phylum Bacillariophyceae compared to other species in the phyla of Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Desmidaceae, and Euglenophyceae (ii) An. stephensi mosquito larvae were associated with the filamentous chlorophyte green algae, Spirogyra spp., which was served as food for them (iii) Filamentous cyanobacterium, Oscillatoria species, was encountered in breeding sources of Cx. quinquefasciatus 	
Algae species associated with mosquito breeding habitats in Michigan state	(i) Anopheline larvae were strongly associated with naturally occurring algae in their habitats	Wallace and Merritt [119]

TABLE 2: Continued.

and culture-independent approaches to characterize the microbial communities in different mosquito species including Aedes, Culex, Anopheles, and Mansonia mosquitoes. The members of Enterobacteriaceae (e.g., Enterobacter), Erwiniaceae (e.g., Pantoea), and Bacillaceae (e.g., Bacillus) have been identified as the most frequently described bacteria from the gut of adult Aedes spp. [86-90]. Several studies were conducted on symbiotic bacteria in Anopheles mosquitoes and species belonging to several genera; Pseudomonas, Alcaligenes, Bordetella, Myroides, Aeromonas, Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Chryseobacterium, Delftia, Exiguobacterium, Kurthia, Microbacterium, Staphylococcus, Thorsellia, and Variovorax have been identified [91]. Besides, few studies about the bacteria species in vector mosquitoes have been conducted [92-95]. Extensive dispersal and evolutionary success of mosquitoes are widely motivated by these symbiotic relationships with microbes and mosquito larval stages. Adult mosquitoes have been shown to contain gut bacteria found in their breeding waters [96, 97].

These microbial communities and their roles in mosquito biology have been more broadly studied, and midgut microbiota of mosquitoes were proven to play various important roles in immunity, food digestion, fertility, and fecundity, thereby affecting larval growth, adult fitness, vector populations, and disease prevalence [98]. In addition, studies have summarized the positive and negative effects of these gut microbial communities on vector competency through interaction with hosts and parasites [88, 99]. The resident bacteria were shown to promote or assist the gut infection of incoming pathogens of mosquitoes or augment the immune responses of the mosquito [93, 100–107] or impair pathogen infection through competition for resources [108].

Bacteria species associated with waters in a variety of mosquito breeding habitats have been investigated from previous studies [97, 109–112]. Characterization of bacterial communities in breeding waters of *Anopheles darlingi* in Manaus revealed that *An. darlingi* can develop in breeding waters with different surface-water bacteria but that the common microbiota found in all breeding sites might indicate or contribute to a suitable habitat. *Escherichia/Shigella, Staphylococcus*, and *Pseudomonas* and all sites were found, and bacteria species composition was dominated by the bacteria species that belonged to phylum Proteobacteria and Firmicutes [78]. In Thailand and Laos, a higher abundance of *Escherichia coli* in breeding waters was strongly correlated to the presence of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes [113].

3.6. Other Records on Microbiota Associated with Mosquito Breeding Habitats. Many other microbiota species/taxa were recorded from a variety of breeding habitats globally. They have been summarized in Table 2.

4. Conclusion

Potential biocontrol of mosquito larvae with naturally occurring microbiota associated as predators/pathogens and other biocontrol agents would be a more effective and ecofriendly approach. Therefore, with the available literature, it is evident that the abundance of mosquito larvae is inversely related to the densities of associated microbiota that are potential antagonists. Mosquito indigestible or toxic phytoplanktons could serve as a field characteristic agent against mosquito larval control. To date, only a small number of species of the microbiota that inhabit in mosquito breeding habitats have been recorded, and detailed studies on microbiota assemblage in relation to diverse vector mosquito breeding habitats and their association with mosquito larvae are few. Therefore, future studies on this ecological aspect are encouraged. Such studies may help health researchers, entomologists, policy makers, and practitioners for developing strategies for the management of vector mosquito larvae.

Conflicts of Interest

There are no conflicts of interests.

References

- L. F. Chaves and C. J. M. Koenraadt, "Climate change and highland malaria: fresh air for a hot debate," *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 27–55, 2010.
- [2] F. Simsek, "Seasonal larval and adult population dynamics and breeding habitat diversity of Culex theileri Theobald 1903 (Diptera: Culicidae) in the Golbasi district, Ankara,

Turkey," The Turkish Journal of Zoology, vol. 28, pp. 337-344, 2004.

- [3] L. Blaustein and J. M. Chase, "Interactions between mosquito larvae and species that share the same trophic level," *Annual Review of Entomology*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 489–507, 2007.
- [4] L. Blaustein, M. Kiflawi, A. Eitam, M. Mangel, and J. E. Cohen, "Oviposition habitat selection in response to risk of predation in temporary pools: mode of detection and consistency across experimental venue," *Oecologia*, vol. 138, no. 2, pp. 300–305, 2004.
- [5] D. Alfonzo, M. E. Grillet, J. Liria, J. C. Navarro, S. C. Weaver, and R. Barrera, "Ecological characterization of the aquatic habitats of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in enzootic foci of Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus in western Venezuela," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 278– 284, 2005.
- [6] A. N. Nilsson and B. W. Svensson, "Assemblages of dytiscid predators and culicid prey in relation to environmental factors in natural and clear-cut boreal swamp forest pools," *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 308, no. 3, pp. 183–196, 1995.
- [7] M. A. Beketov and M. Liess, "Predation risk perception and food scarcity induce alterations of life-cycle traits of the mosquito Culex pipiens," *Ecological Entomology*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 405–410, 2007.
- [8] J. M. Chase and T. M. Knight, "Drought induced mosquito outbreaks in wetlands," *Ecology Letters*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 1017–1024, 2003.
- [9] N. P. Devi and R. K. Jauhari, "Mosquito species associated within some Western Himalayas phytogeographic zones in the Garhwal region of India," *Journal of Insect Science*, vol. 7, no. 32, pp. 1–10, 2007.
- [10] A. Clements, Development, nutrition and reproduction, vol. 1, Chapman & Hall, London [etc], 1992.
- [11] C. M. Mutero, P. Wekoyela, J. Githure, and F. Konradsen, "Ammonium sulphate fertiliser increases larval populations of _Anopheles arabiensis_ and culicine mosquitoes in rice fields," *Acta Tropica*, vol. 89, no. 2, pp. 187–192, 2004.
- [12] D. A. Yee, B. Kesavaraju, and S. A. Juliano, "Larval feeding behavior of three co-occurring species of container mosquitoes," *Journal of Vector Ecology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 315–322, 2004.
- [13] G. Benelli, C. Jeffries, and T. Walker, "Biological control of mosquito vectors: past, present, and future," *Insects*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. 52, 2016.
- [14] T. Qudsia, I. M. Clark, and B. R. Kerry, "Vorticella sp. infestations in nematodes," *Nematologia Mediterranea*, vol. 31, pp. 195-196, 2003.
- [15] E. d. S. Pereira, M. I. d. M. Sarquis, R. L. F. Keppler, N. Hamada, and B. A. Yamile, "Filamentous fungi associated with mosquito larvae (Diptera: Culicidae) in municipalities of the Brazilian Amazon," *Neotropical Entomology*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 352–359, 2009.
- [16] K. Loria, Freshwater Zooplankton Communities as Indicators of Habitat Quality: Testing Responses to Multiple Disturbances, Undergraduate Honors Theses, 2017.
- [17] O. A. Obi, I. H. Nock, and D. A. Adebote, "Biodiversity of micro-invertebrates co-inhabiting mosquitoes habitats in patchy rock pools on inselbergs within Kaduna state, Nigeria," *The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology*, vol. 80, no. 1, p. 57, 2019.

- [18] H. Arksey and L. O'Malley, "Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework," *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 19–32, 2005.
- [19] W. A. Lamborn, "A protozoon pathogenic to mosquito larvae," *Parasitology*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 213–215, 1921.
- [20] H. H. Arshad and I. Sulaiman, "Infection of Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) and Ae. aegypti with Lambornella stegomyiae (Ciliophora: Tetrahymenidae)," *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 303–306, 1995.
- [21] J. O. Corliss and D. W. Coats, "A new cuticular cyst producing tetrahymenid ciliate, Lambornella clarki n. sp., and the current status of ciliatosis in culicine mosquitoes," *Transactions of the American Microscopical Society*, vol. 95, no. 4, pp. 725–739, 1976.
- [22] J. O. Washburn, J. R. Anderson, and D. R. Mercer, "Parasitism of newly-hatched _Aedes sierrensis_ (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae by _Lambornella clarki_ (Ciliophora: Tetrahymenidae) following habitat flooding," *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 67–74, 1991.
- [23] D. E. Egerter, J. R. Anderson, and J. O. Washburn, "Dispersal of the parasitic ciliate Lambornella clarki: implications for ciliates in the biological control of mosquitoes," *PNAS*, vol. 83, no. 19, pp. 7335–7339, 1986.
- [24] J. O. Washburn, M. E. Gross, D. R. Mercer, and J. R. Anderson, "Predator-induced trophic shift of a free-living ciliate: parasitism of mosquito larvae by their prey," *Science*, vol. 240, no. 4856, pp. 1193–1195, 1988.
- [25] K. Narain, A. Prakash, D. R. Bhattacharyya, and J. Mahanta, "Endoparasitic Hymenostome ciliate, a potential biocontrol agent naturally infecting Anopheline larvae in Assam – a preliminary report," *The Journal of Communicable Diseases*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 139–142, 1996.
- [26] J. O. Corliss, "Natural infection of tropical mosquitoes by ciliated protozoa of the genus Tetrahymena," *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 149–152, 1961.
- [27] R. A. Grassmick and W. A. Rowley, "Larval mortality of _Culex tarsalis_ and _Aedes aegypti_ when reared with different concentrations of _Tetrahymena pyriformis_," *Journal* of Invertebrate Pathology, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 86–93, 1973.
- [28] B. P. Das, "Chilodonella uncinata a protozoa pathogenic to mosquito larvae," *Journal of Current Science*, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 483–488, 2003.
- [29] C. D. Patil, C. P. Narkhede, R. K. Suryawanshi, and S. V. Patil, "Vorticella sp: prospective mosquito biocontrol agent," *Journal of Arthropod-Borne Diseases*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 602–607, 2016.
- [30] D. W. Micks, "The lethal effect of the ciliate, Vorticella microstoma Ehrenberg on Anopheles quadrimaculatus larvae," *Journal National Malaria Society*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 256–258, 1950.
- [31] D. W. Micks, "Vorticella infestation of Anopheles atroparvus Larvae1," *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 215-216, 1955.
- [32] L. D. Amarasinghe and H. A. K. Ranasinghe, "Diversity and species composition of microbiota associated with mosquito breeding habitats: a study from Kurunegala district in Sri Lanka," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2019, Article ID 5897317, 12 pages, 2019.
- [33] H. A. K. Ranasinghe and L. D. Amarasinghe, "Naturally Occurring Microbiota Associated with Mosquito Breeding

Habitats and Potential Parasitic Species against Mosquito Larvae: A Study from Gampaha District, Sri Lanka," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2020, Article ID 4602084, 12 pages, 2020.

- [34] R. Kumar and J. S. Hwang, "Larvicidal efficiency of aquatic predators: a perspective for mosquito biocontrol," *Zoological Studies*, vol. 45, pp. 447–466, 2006.
- [35] N. Becker, D. Petric, M. Zgomba et al., *Mosquitoes and Their Control*, Springer, 2 edition, 2010.
- [36] F. J. R. Lardeux, Y. Sdchan, and B. H. Kay, "Release of Mesocyclops aspericornis (Copepoda) for control of larval Aedes polynesiensis (Diptera: Culicidae) in land crab burrows on an atoll of French Polynesia," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 29, pp. 371–376, 1992.
- [37] G. G. Marten, G. Borjas, M. Cush, E. Fernandez, and J. W. Reid, "Control of larval Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae) by cyclopoid copepods in peridomestic breeding containers," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 36–44, 1994.
- [38] G. G. Marten, E. S. Bordes, and M. Nguyen, "Use of cyclopoid copepods for mosquito control," *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 292-293, no. 1, pp. 491–496, 1994.
- [39] F. Riviere, B. H. Kay, J. M. Klein, and Y. Sechan, "Mesocyclops aspericornis (Copepoda) and Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis for the biological control of Aedes and Culex vectors (Diptera: Culicidae) breeding in crab holes, tree holes, and artificial containers," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 425–430, 1987.
- [40] G. G. Marten, "Evaluation of cyclopoid copepods for Aedes albopictus control in tires," *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 681–688, 1990.
- [41] G. G. Marten, "A survey of cyclopoid copepods for control of Aedes albopictus larvae," *Bulletin of Social Vector Ecology*, vol. 14, pp. 232–236, 1989.
- [42] G. G. Marten, "Impact of the copepod Mesocyclops leuckarti pilosa and the green alga Kirchneriella irregularis upon larval Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae)," *Bulletin of Social Vector Ecology*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 1984.
- [43] M. D. Brown, B. H. Kay, and J. K. Hendrikz, "Evaluation of Australian Mesocyclops (Cyclopoida: Cyclopidae) for mosquito control," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 618–623, 1991.
- [44] J. R. Rey, S. O'Connell, S. Suarez, Z. Menendez, L. P. Lounibos, and G. Byer, "Laboratory and field studies of Macrocyclops albidus (Crustacea: Copepoda) for biological control of mosquitoes in artificial containers in a subtropical environment," *Journal of Vector Ecology*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 124– 134, 2004.
- [45] U. R. Chansang, A. Bhumiratana, and P. Kittayapong, "Combination of Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides and Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis: a better approach for the control of Aedes aegypti larvae in water containers," *Journal of Vector Ecology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 218–226, 2004.
- [46] L. Udayanga, T. Ranathunga, M. C. M. Iqbal, W. Abeywickreme, and M. Hapugoda, "Predatory efficacy of five locally available copepods on Aedes larvae under laboratory settings: an approach towards bio-control of dengue in Sri Lanka," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 14, no. 5, article e0216140, 2019.
- [47] R. A. Ellis and J. H. Borden, "Predation by Notonecta undulata (Heteroptera: Notonectidae) on larvae of the yellow-

fever mosquito," Annals of the Entomological Society of America, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 963–973, 1970.

- [48] H. Quiroz Martinez and C. A. Rodriguez, "Aquatic insects as predators of mosquito larvae," *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, vol. 23, no. sp2, pp. 110–117, 2007.
- [49] A. Mockany and R. Shine, "Biological warfare in the garden pond: tadpoles suppress the growth of mosquito larvae," *Ecological Entomology*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 102–108, 2003.
- [50] J. M. Glime, "Arthropods: Crustacea Copepoda and Cladocera," *Ecology*, vol. 2, pp. 1–10, 2017.
- [51] R. Knoechel and L. B. Holtby, "Cladoceran filtering rate: body length relationships for bacterial and large algal particles," *Limnology and Oceanography*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 195–199, 1986.
- [52] M. J. Dole-Oliver, D. M. P. Galassi, P. Marmonier, and M. Creuze des Chateliers, "The biology and ecology of lotic microcrustaceans," *Freshwater Biology*, vol. 44, pp. 63–91, 2000.
- [53] D. D. Williams, *The Biology of Temporary Waters*, Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [54] I. Kroeger, M. Liess, and S. Duquesne, "Temporal and spatial habitat preferences and biotic interactions between mosquito larvae and antagonistic crustaceans in the field," *Journal of Vector Ecology*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 103–111, 2014.
- [55] S. Duquesne, I. Kroeger, M. Kutyniok, and M. Liess, "The potential of cladocerans as controphic competitors of the mosquito Culex pipiens," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 554–560, 2011.
- [56] T. M. Knight, J. M. Chase, C. W. Goss, and J. J. Knight, "Effects of interspecific competition, predation, and their interaction on survival and development time of immature Anopheles quadrimaculatus," *Journal of Vector Ecology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 277–284, 2004.
- [57] G. Stav, L. Blaustein, and Y. Margalit, "Individual and interactive effects of a predator and controphic species on mosquito populations," *Ecological Applications*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 587–598, 2005.
- [58] A. Brauer, *Die Susswasserfauna Deutschlands: Copepoda, Ostracoda, Malacostraca*, Gustav Fischer, 1909.
- [59] V. Rossi, G. Benassi, F. Belletti, and P. Menozzi, "Colonization, population dynamics, predatory behaviour and cannibalism in Heterocypris incongruens (Crustacea: Ostracoda)," *Journal of Limnology*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 102– 108, 2011.
- [60] D. Duguma, M. Kaufman, and D. A. Simas, "Aquatic microfauna alter larval food resources and affect development and biomass of West Nile and Saint Louis encephalitis vectorCulex nigripalpus(Diptera: Culicidae)," *Ecology and Evolution*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 3507–3519, 2017.
- [61] M. Laird, *The Natural History of Larval Mosquito Habitats*, Academic Press, London, 1988.
- [62] R. Merritt, R. Dadd, and E. Walker, "Feeding behavior, natural food, and nutritional relationships of larval mosquitoes," *Annual Review of Entomology*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 349–374, 1992.
- [63] I. Linenberg, G. K. Christophides, and M. Gendrin, "Larval diet affects mosquito development and permissiveness to _Plasmodium_ infection," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. ???, 2016.
- [64] R. Souza, F. Virginio, T. Riback, L. Suesdek, J. Barufi, and F. Genta, "Microorganism-based larval diets affect mosquito

development, size and nutritional reserves in the yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae)," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 10, p. 152, 2019.

- [65] G. G. Marten, "The potential of mosquito indigestible phytoplankton for mosquito control," *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 105-106, 1987.
- [66] Service MW, "Mortalities of the immature stages of species B of the Anopheles gambiae complex in Kenya: comparison between rice fields and temporary pools, identification of predators and effects of insecticidal spraying," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 13, no. 4-5, pp. 535–545, 1977.
- [67] M. G. Kaufman, E. Wanja, S. Maknojia, M. Nabiebayoh, J. M. Vulule, and E. D. Walker, "Importance of algal biomass to growth and development of Anopheles gambiaeLarvae," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 669–676, 2006.
- [68] G. G. Marten, "Mosquito control by plankton management: the potential of indigestible green algae," *The Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 89, no. 5, pp. 213–222, 1986.
- [69] G. G. Marten, "Larvicidal ALGAE," American Mosquito Control Association, vol. 23, no. sp2, pp. 177–183, 2007.
- [70] D. C. Garcia-Sánchez, G. A. Pinilla, and J. Quintero, "Ecological characterization of Aedes aegypti larval habitats (Diptera: Culicidae) in artificial water containers in Girardot, Colombia," *Journal of Vector Ecology*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 289–297, 2017.
- [71] E. Rejmánková, D. R. Roberts, S. Manguin, K. O. Pope, J. Komárek, and R. A. Post, "Anopheles albimanus (Diptera: Culicidae) and cyanobacteria: an example of larval habitat selection," *Population Ecology*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1058–1067, 1996.
- [72] I. Thiery, L. Nicolas, R. Rippka, and N. Tandeau de Marsac, "Selection of cyanobacteria isolated from mosquito breeding sites as a potential food source for mosquito larvae," *Applied* and Environmental Microbiology, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 1354– 1359, 1991.
- [73] M. G. Vázquez-martínez, M. H. Rodíguez, J. I. Arredondo-Jiménez, J. D. Méndez-Sánchez, J. G. Bond-Compeán, and M. Gold-Morgan, "Cyanobacteria associated with Anopheles albimanus (Diptera: Culicidae) larval habitats in southern Mexico," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 825–832, 2002.
- [74] J. Kiviranta and A. Abdel-Hameed, "Toxicity of the bluegreen alga Oscillatoria agardhii to the mosquito Aedes aegypti and the shrimp Artemia salina," *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 517–520, 1994.
- [75] J. G. Bond, J. C. Rojas, J. I. Arredondo-Jimenez, H. Quiroz-Martinez, J. Valle, and T. Williams, "Population control of malaria vector Anopheles pseudopunctipennis by habitat manipulation," *Proceedings of Royal Society, London*, vol. 271, pp. 2161–2169, 2004.
- [76] E. H. Hinman, "A study of the food of mosquito larvae (Culicidae)," American Journal of Hygiene, vol. 12, pp. 238– 270, 1930.
- [77] L. T. Coggleshall, "Relationship of plankton to anopheline larvae," *American Journal of Hygiene*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 556– 569, 1926.
- [78] L. K. J. Nilsson, M. R. de Oliveira, O. Marinotti et al., "Characterization of bacterial communities in breeding waters of Anopheles darlingi in Manaus in the Amazon Basin malaria-endemic area," *Microbial Ecology*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 781–791, 2019.

- [79] S. R. Christophers, Aedes aegypti (L.) The Yellow Fever Mosquito: Its Life History, Bionomics, and Structure. Cambridge, vol. 739, Cambridge University press, 1960.
- [80] M. Laird, "Studies of mosquitoes and freshwater ecology in the South Pacific," vol. 6, Bull R Soc, 1956.
- [81] L. E. Rozeboom, "The relation of bacteria and bacterial filtrates to the development of mosquito larvae," *American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 167–179, 1935.
- [82] A. M. Toure, A. J. Mackey, Z. X. Wang, and J. C. Beier, "Bactericidal effects of sugar-fed antibiotics on resident midgut bacteria of newly emerged anopheline mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae)," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 246–249, 2000.
- [83] L. Ponnusamy, N. Xu, S. Nojima, D. M. Wesson, C. Schal, and C. S. Apperson, "Identification of bacteria and bacteriaassociated chemical cues that mediate oviposition site preferences by Aedes aegypti," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 105, no. 27, pp. 9262–9267, 2008.
- [84] L. A. Sumba, T. O. Guda, A. L. Deng, A. Hassanali, J. C. Beier, and B. G. J. Knols, "Mediation of oviposition site selection in the African malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae) by semiochemicals of microbial origin," *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, vol. 24, pp. 260– 265, 2004.
- [85] J. Huang, J. R. Miller, S.-C. Chen, J. M. Vulule, and E. D. Walker, "Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae) oviposition in response to agarose media and cultured bacterial volatiles," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 498–504, 2006.
- [86] S. Chen, D. Zhang, A. Augustinos et al., "Multiple factors determine the structure of bacterial communities associated with Aedes albopictus under artificial rearing conditions," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 11, p. 605, 2020.
- [87] E. Crotti, C. Damiani, M. Pajoro et al., "Asaia, a versatile acetic acid bacterial symbiont, capable of cross-colonizing insects of phylogenetically distant genera and orders," *Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 3252–3264, 2009.
- [88] Y. Dong, F. Manfredini, and G. Dimopoulos, "Implication of the mosquito midgut microbiota in the defense against malaria parasites," *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 5, no. 5, article e1000423, 2009.
- [89] G. Favia, I. Ricci, C. Damiani et al., "Bacteria of the genus Asaia stably associate with Anopheles stephensi, an Asian malarial mosquito vector," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 104, no. 21, pp. 9047–9051, 2007.
- [90] D. S. Gusmão, A. V. Santos, D. C. Marini, M. Bacci Jr., M. A. Berbert-Molina, and F. J. A. Lemos, "Culture-dependent and culture-independent characterization of microorganisms associated with _Aedes aegypti_ (Diptera: Culicidae) (L.) and dynamics of bacterial colonization in the midgut," Acta Tropica, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 275–281, 2010.
- [91] A. Rani, A. Sharma, R. Rajagopal, T. Adak, and R. K. Bhatnagar, "Bacterial diversity analysis of larvae and adult midgut micro-flora using culture-dependent and cultureindependent methods in lab-reared and field-collected Anopheles stephensi-an Asian malarial vector," *BMC Microbiology*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 96, 2009.
- [92] K. Chandel, M. J. Mendki, R. Y. Parikh et al., "Midgut microbial community of Culex quinquefasciatus mosquito populations from India," *PLoS One*, vol. 8, no. 11, article e80453, 2013.
- [93] C. B. Pumpuni, J. Demaio, M. Kent, J. R. Davis, and J. C. Beier, "Bacterial population dynamics in three anopheline

species: the impact on Plasmodium sporogonic development," *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 214–218, 1996.

- [94] M. Koosha, H. Vatandoost, F. Karimian, N. Choubdar, and M. A. Oshaghi, "Delivery of a genetically marked Serratia AS1 to medically important arthropods for use in RNAi and Paratransgenic control strategies," *Microbial Ecology*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 185–194, 2019.
- [95] V. J. Pidiyar, K. Jangid, M. S. Patole, and Y. S. Shouche, "Studies on cultured and uncultured microbiota of wild Culex quinquefasciatus mosquito midgut based on 16s ribosomal RNA gene analysis," *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 597–603, 2004.
- [96] A. Boissiere, M. T. Tchioffo, D. Bachar et al., "Midgut microbiota of the malaria mosquito vector Anopheles gambiae and interactions with Plasmodium falciparum infection," *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 8, article e1002742, 2012.
- [97] G. Gimonneau, M. T. Tchioffo, L. Abate et al., "Composition of Anopheles coluzzii and Anopheles gambiae microbiota from larval to adult stages," *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, vol. 28, pp. 715–724, 2014.
- [98] M. Strand, "Composition and functional roles of the gut microbiota in mosquitoes," *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, vol. 28, pp. 59–65, 2018.
- [99] L. Gonzalez-Ceron, F. Santillan, M. H. Rodriguez, D. Mendez, and J. E. Hernandez-Avila, "Bacteria in midguts of field-collected Anopheles albimanus block Plasmodium vivax sporogonic development," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 371–374, 2003.
- [100] R. Abdul-Ghani, A. M. al-Mekhlafi, and M. S. Alabsi, "Microbial control of malaria: biological warfare against the parasite and its vector," *Acta Tropica*, vol. 121, no. 2, pp. 71–84, 2012.
- [101] I. V. Coutinho-Abreu, K. Y. Zhu, and M. Ramalho-Ortigao, "Transgenesis and paratransgenesis to control insect-borne diseases: current status and future challenges," *Parasitology International*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2010.
- [102] Y. Dong, R. Aguilar, Z. Xi, E. Warr, E. Mongin, and G. Dimopoulos, "Anopheles gambiae immune responses to human and rodent plasmodium parasite species," *PLoS Path*ogens, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. e52–525, 2006.
- [103] C. Finney, S. Kamhawi, and J. Wasmuth, "Does the arthropod microbiota impact the establishment of vector-borne diseases in mammalian hosts?," *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 11, no. 4, article e1004646, 2015.
- [104] J. L. Rasgon, "Using infections to fight infections: paratransgenic fungi can block malaria transmission in mosquitoes," *Future Microbiology*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 851–853, 2011.
- [105] X. Ren, E. Hoiczyk, and J. L. Rasgon, "Viral paratransgenesis in the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae," *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 4, no. 8, article e1000135, 2008.
- [106] Z. Xi, J. L. Ramirez, and G. Dimopoulos, "The Aedes aegypti toll pathway controls dengue virus infection," *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 4, no. 7, article e1000098, 2008.
- [107] K. K. Yadav, A. Naglot, K. Chandel et al., "Molecular characterization of midgut bacteria of Aedes albopictus exhibiting swarming motility property," *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, vol. 10, no. 25, pp. 949–959, 2016.
- [108] S. P. Sinkins, "Wolbachia and arbovirus inhibition in mosquitoes," *Future Microbiology*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 1249–1256, 2013.

- [109] A. M. Briones, J. Shililu, J. Githure, R. Novak, and L. Raskin, "_Thorsellia anophelis_ is the dominant bacterium in a Kenyan population of adult _Anopheles gambiae_ mosquitoes," *The ISME Journal*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 74–82, 2008.
- [110] P. Bascunan, J. P. Nino-Garcia, Y. Galeano-Castaneda, D. Serre, and M. M. Correa, "Factors shaping the gut bacterial community assembly in two main Colombian malaria vectors," *Microbiome*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 148, 2018.
- [111] L. K. J. Nilsson, A. Sharma, R. K. Bhatnagar, S. Bertilsson, and O. Terenius, "Presence of Aedes and Anopheles mosquito larvae is correlated to bacteria found in domestic water- storage containers," *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, vol. 94, p. 58, 2018.
- [112] T. O. Onchuru, Y. U. Ajamma, M. Burugu, M. Kaltenpoth, D. Masiga, and J. Villinger, "Chemical parameters and bacterial communities associated with larval habitats of Anopheles, Culex and Aedes mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in western Kenya," *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 146–160, 2016.
- [113] N. Dada, N. Vannavong, R. Seidu et al., "Relationship between Aedes aegypti production and occurrence of Escherichia coli in domestic water storage containers in rural and sub-urban villages in Thailand and Laos," *Acta Tropica*, vol. 126, no. 3, pp. 177–185, 2013.
- [114] A. L. M. Elono, M. Liess, and S. Duquesne, "Influence of competing and predatory invertebrate taxa on larval populations of mosquitoes in temporary ponds of wetland areas in Germany," *Journal of Vector Ecology*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 419– 427, 2010.
- [115] L. D. Amarasinghe and A. L. R. K. Rathnayake, "Prevalence of micro fauna associated with different mosquito breeding habitats in a selected area of Sri Lanka," *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 587–598, 2014.
- [116] L. C. Gouagna, M. Rakotondranary, S. Boyer, G. Lempérière, J. S. Dehecq, and D. Fontenille, "Abiotic and biotic factors associated with the presence of Anopheles arabiensis immatures and their abundance in naturally occurring and manmade aquatic habitats," *Parasites & Vectors*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. ???, 2012.
- [117] C. N. B. Bambaradeniya, J. P. Edirisinghe, D. N. de Silva, C. V. S. Gunatilleke, K. B. Ranawana, and S. Wijekoon, "Biodiversity associated with an irrigated rice agro-ecosystem in Sri Lanka," *Biodiversity and Conservation*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 1715–1753, 2004.
- [118] V. Charles, V. A. Vijayan, A. Ali Ashraf, and S. P. Hosmani, "Feeding habitats of mosquito larvae and their gut flora at Mysore," *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 219–224, 2011.
- [119] J. B. Wallace and R. W. Merritt, "Influence of microclimate, food, and predation onAnopheles quadrimaculatus(Diptera: Culicidae) growth and development rates, survivorship, and adult size in a Michigan pond," *Environmental Entomology*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 233–239, 1999.