

# Nature of the metal-support interface in supported metal catalysts: results from x-ray absorption spectroscopy

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Koningsberger, D. C., & Gates, B. C. (1992). Nature of the metal-support interface in supported metal catalysts: results from x-ray absorption spectroscopy. *Catalysis Letters*, 14(3-4), 271-277.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00769664>

**DOI:**

[10.1007/BF00769664](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00769664)

**Document status and date:**

Published: 01/01/1992

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

**Please check the document version of this publication:**

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.tue.nl/taverne](http://www.tue.nl/taverne)

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[openaccess@tue.nl](mailto:openaccess@tue.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# Nature of the metal–support interface in supported metal catalysts: results from X-ray absorption spectroscopy

D.C. Koningsberger <sup>1\*</sup> and B.C. Gates <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis, Debye Institute, University of Utrecht, PO Box 80083, 3508 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup> *Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität München, 8000 Munich 2, Sophienstrasse 11, Germany,*

*and Center for Catalytic Science and Technology, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA*

Received 14 January 1992; accepted 2 May 1992

X-ray absorption spectra characterizing the metal–support interface in supported metal complexes and supported metal catalysts are summarized and evaluated. Single-metal-atom transition metal complexes on non-reducible metal oxide supports are bonded with metal–oxygen bonds with metal–oxygen distances of approximately 2.15 Å; the bonding distance is only weakly sensitive to the oxidation state of the metal. Nearly this same metal–oxygen distance is characteristic of the metal–support interface in metal-oxide-supported metal clusters following high temperature reduction in H<sub>2</sub> (HTR:  $T > 450^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The metals at the interface may be polarized sufficiently that they bond with the oxygen of the support much as the cations in mononuclear complexes bond with it. When the supported metals are treated in H<sub>2</sub> at low temperatures (LTR:  $T < 350^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) or are prepared under He with partially hydroxylated supports, a longer metal–support oxygen distance is observed, typically 2.5–2.7 Å. This distance is suggested to characterize interactions between zero-valent metals and support oxygen. Changes in the performance of supported metal catalysts resulting from differences in the temperature of pretreatment in H<sub>2</sub> are attributed to changes in the electronic properties and/or morphology of the metal clusters, which are suggested to be related to the concomitant changes in the structure of the metal–support interface.

**Keywords:** Nature metal–support interface; hydrogen pretreatment; EXAFS

## 1. Introduction

Extensive research has been done to characterize metal–support interactions in catalysis by supported metal clusters and particles [1,2]. Only in the preceding

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

few years, however, has quantitative information about the nature and structure of the metal–support interface begun to appear. The information has been obtained by X-ray absorption spectroscopy of metal clusters on metal oxide and zeolite supports. X-ray absorption spectroscopy is particularly successful with these materials because the supported metal clusters are so small (in our usage, having less than about 10 atoms) that a large fraction of the metal atoms are in contact with the support and a significant part of the X-ray absorption spectrum is determined by the contribution of the metal–support interface. Because the spectra determine average structural data, the most reliable characterizations are obtained with supported metals that have nearly uniform structures.

Our goals here are to summarize key results characterizing the metal–support interface by X-ray absorption spectroscopy and to state some implications for catalysis and raise questions that may indicate research opportunities.

## 2. Structural data from X-ray absorption spectroscopy (EXAFS)

### 2.1. MONONUCLEAR TRANSITION METAL COMPLEXES ON METAL OXIDES

Quantitative characterizations of metal–support interfaces by extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy have been reported for mononuclear (single-metal-atom) complexes on metal oxide supports [3]. Table 1 is a summary of average metal–support oxygen distances in metal subcarbonyls; the metals, present as cations (typically with a formal charge of +1) are Ru, Rh, Re, and Os, and the supports are  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{MgO}$ . The distance between the metal ion and the oxygen of the support is typically in the range 2.1–2.2 Å. EXAFS data characterizing rhenium subcarbonyls on  $\text{MgO}$  indicate that the Re–O distance is virtually the same for an almost fully hydroxylated support as for a largely dehydroxylated support; evidently, the  $\text{O}^{2-}$  and  $\text{OH}^-$  ligands of the support surface bond to the metal at essentially the same distance [9].

Table 1  
Metal–support oxygen distances determined by EXAFS spectroscopy

Metal	Support	Proposed surface structure <sup>a</sup>	Average metal–support oxygen distance (Å)	Ref.
$\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$	$\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2\{\text{OAl}\}_2$	2.17	[4]
$\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$	$\text{SiO}_2$	$\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2\{\text{OSi}\}_n$	2.08	[5]
$\text{RhCl}_3$	$\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\{\text{OAl}\}_3$	2.12	[6]
$\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$	$\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_2\{\text{OAl}\}_3$	2.04	[5]
$\text{H}_3\text{Re}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$	$\text{MgO}$	$\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\{\text{OMg}\}\{\text{HOMg}\}_2$	2.15	[7]
$\text{HRe}(\text{CO})_5$	$\text{MgO}$	$\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3\{\text{OMg}\}\{\text{HOMg}\}$	2.13	[3]
$\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$	$\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Os}(\text{CO})_{2-3}\{\text{OAl}\}_3$	2.17	[8]

<sup>a</sup> The braces refer to groups terminating the metal oxide support.

Table 2  
Metal–support oxygen distances in supported metal catalysts determined by EXAFS spectroscopy

Metal	Support	Precursor	Pretreatment	Metal–oxygen distance <sup>a</sup> (Å)		Ref.
Pd	X zeolite	(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> PdCl <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub> , 350°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 150°C	2.28	2.80	[11]
Pt	Y zeolite	(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> PtCl <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub> , 360°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 360°C	ND	2.65	[12]
			O <sub>2</sub> , 550°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 550°C	ND	2.65	
Pt	BaKL zeolite	(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> Pt(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , 450°C	2.14	2.71	[13]
Pt	γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> Pt(OH) <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub> , 400°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 400°C	2.05 <sup>b</sup>	2.65	[14]
Pt	KL	(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> Pt(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , 300°C	ND	2.72	[15]
Rh	γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	RhCl <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , 300°C	ND	2.74	[16]
Rh	γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Rh(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	O <sub>2</sub> , 350°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 200°C	2.05 <sup>b</sup>	2.68	[17]
			O <sub>2</sub> , 350°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 400°C	ND	2.68	
Rh	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Rh(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	O <sub>2</sub> , 350°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 200°C	2.08 <sup>b</sup>	2.78	[18]
			O <sub>2</sub> , 350°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 450°C	ND	2.61	
Ir	γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	IrCl <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , 350°C	2.16	2.55	[19]
			vacuum, 350°C	2.19	ND	[20]
Ir	γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Ir <sub>4</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub>	vacuum, 200°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 350°C	–	2.58	[21]
Os	MgO	H <sub>2</sub> OsCl <sub>6</sub>	He, 300°C; H <sub>2</sub> , 300°C	2.09	2.63	[22]
Re	MgO	[H <sub>2</sub> Re <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub> ]	H <sub>2</sub> , 500°C	2.06 <sup>b</sup>	2.60	[7]

<sup>a</sup> ND none detected.

<sup>b</sup> Sample not fully reduced.

X-ray diffraction crystallographic data characterizing numerous transition metal complexes with various oxygen-containing ligands show that this metal–oxygen distance is generally characteristic of compounds of transition metals that lie to the right of Mo and W in the periodic table [3]. Compounds such as polyoxometallates (Keggin ions, which are metal oxide clusters) with metal subcarbonyls bonded at their surfaces are characterized by essentially this same metal–oxygen distance [10]. The polyoxometallates seem to be precise models of the metal–support interface when the metals in the bound complexes are cationic and the complexes are mononuclear [3].

## 2.2. HIGHLY DISPERSED TRANSITION METALS ON METAL OXIDE SUPPORTS

EXAFS spectroscopy has been used to characterize the metal–support interface in highly dispersed supported metals (table 2). The metals are Ni, Rh, Pd, Re, Os, Ir, and Pt, and the supports are SiO<sub>2</sub>, γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, MgO, and L and X zeolites. The following pattern has been observed: When the EXAFS data were collected with samples in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> after reduction at relatively low temperatures (< 350°C, designated as LTR, low-temperature reduction), metal–support oxygen distances of 2.5–2.7 Å were generally observed. The shorter metal–support oxygen distances (about 2.15 Å) mentioned above were also generally observed. The relative intensities of the EXAFS signals indicating these two different distances depend on the type of support and the metal.

Table 3

Metal-support oxygen distances in supported Pt catalysts after high-temperature reduction

Support	Precursor	Treatment	Metal-oxygen distance (Å)	Ref.
$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> PtCl <sub>6</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , 480°C	2.07 <sup>a</sup>	24
SiO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> PtCl <sub>6</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , 500°C	1.91 <sup>a</sup>	25
KL	(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> Pt(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , 600°C	2.24	15

<sup>a</sup> Samples may not have been fully reduced.

(Metal-oxygen distances less than about 2.05 Å are indicative of oxidic structures present in less than fully reduced catalysts, including some listed in table 2 that were calcined before treatment in H<sub>2</sub>.)

An example of a catalyst characterized by both the long and shorter metal-support oxygen distances is Ir/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after treatment in H<sub>2</sub> at 350°C; the metal-support oxygen distances are 2.16 and 2.55 Å, with the EXAFS signal for the former being very low in intensity [19]. However, after this sample had been evacuated, the longer metal-oxygen distance was no longer detectable; only a short metal-support oxygen distance (2.19 Å) was observed [20]. It was suggested [20] that the nearly hemispherical metal particles formed as a result of reduction of the sample in H<sub>2</sub> and that these were transformed upon evacuation into raft-like structures that wetted the support surface as a result of a stronger interaction with it. When O<sub>2</sub> was admitted to this evacuated sample at liquid nitrogen temperature, there was an increase in the intensity of the EXAFS contribution corresponding to this shorter distance [20].

The longer metal-support oxygen distance is also characteristic of some samples that had not been treated in H<sub>2</sub> at relatively low temperatures. These samples were synthesized from Pt precursors on partially hydroxylated MgO [23].

Pt supported in KL zeolite that had been fully reduced in H<sub>2</sub> at high temperature (a HTR catalyst) is characterized by a metal-support oxygen distance of 2.24 Å (table 3). Even shorter metal-oxygen distances than about 2.1 Å have also been observed (table 3). We speculate that these are indications of oxidic species in less than fully reduced samples, possibly those that had been brought in contact with trace amounts of O<sub>2</sub> during the EXAFS experiments. Longer metal-support oxygen distances were not observed in the HTR catalysts (table 3). The reduction temperature required to cause removal of the longer metal-support oxygen distance depends on the metal and the support.

### 3. Implications of the structure data

Many supported metal clusters and particles are characterized by a metal-support oxygen distance in the range 2.1–2.2 Å. This metal-oxygen distance,

observed for supported metal clusters and particles after they have been treated in  $H_2$  at high temperature, or after they have been evacuated following low-temperature reduction, is indistinguishable from the metal–oxygen distances observed for the monocular metal complexes mentioned above. This comparison suggests that the metals in clusters at the metal–metal oxide interface may be sufficiently polarized to bear slight but significant positive charges and to bond to the oxygen of the support, helping to anchor the metal clusters and stabilize their dispersion. This polarization and bonding might be especially pronounced for metals in raft-like structures.

The crystallographic data [3] characterizing transition metal complexes with oxygen-containing ligands suggest that in complexes with the metals in low positive oxidation states the metal–oxygen distance is only weakly sensitive to the metal oxidation state [3,9]. It is thus tempting to suggest that the metal–oxygen distance of about 2.15 Å pertains with some generality to transition metals that (1) are in low, positive oxidation states, (2) are relatively noble and not strongly oxyphilic (i.e. are to the right of Mo and W in the periodic table), and (3) are present on nonreducible metal oxide supports [3].

The EXAFS results show that the metal–oxygen distance of 2.5–2.7 Å is observed when hydrogen is chemisorbed on the metal and/or when the metal oxide surface is sufficiently hydroxylated. Perhaps hydrogen reduces the charge on the metal sufficiently to increase the distance characteristic of the interaction with the support oxygen. Thus, the metal–support oxygen distance in these samples may be characteristic of pure metallic species in direct contact with the metal oxide support. For example, the radius of  $Pt^0$  is approximately 1.4 Å and that of  $O^{2-}$  is approximately 1.3 Å; the sum of these is nearly the observed longer metal–oxygen distance.

It is also possible that hydrogen is present at the interface between the metal and oxygen atoms, thereby increasing the metal–oxygen distance. There are several limiting cases for the nature of hydrogen at the metal–oxygen interface: (1) it may be present essentially as protons in hydroxyl groups that interact with metal atoms; (2) it may be adsorbed on the metal as hydride ligands, as in metal hydride complexes; and (3) it may be adsorbed in the interface as a neutral atomic species, resembling hydrogen on the surface of a metal.

The nature of the postulated interfacial hydrogen remains to be determined, but we speculate that the limiting cases are too simplified and the hydrogen interacting with both the metal and the oxygen at the interface has an intermediate character; theory may help to elucidate its nature.

#### 4. Implications for catalysis

The degree of reduction of the metal atoms at the interface or hydrogen that is postulated to exist at the metal–support interface may influence the electronic

properties of metal clusters and thus their reactivity for adsorption of hydrocarbons and other reactants and their catalytic properties. The cluster morphology may also depend on the degree of reduction and the presence of hydrogen, and hydrogen present at the metal–metal oxide interface may have a different reactivity from that present elsewhere, thus influencing the catalytic properties.

Consistent with these hypotheses, there is a mass of experimental evidence indicating that the conditions of pretreatment of supported metals with  $H_2$  influence the catalytic activity for reactions of  $H_2$ . Examples of catalytic results that show the influence of the  $H_2$  pretreatment are the following: den Otter and Dautzenberg [26] and Menon and Froment [27] reported large decreases in the hydrogenolysis activity of a Pt/ $\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  catalyst as the temperature of reduction was increased to temperatures higher than 500°C. Margitfalvi et al. [28] observed that high-temperature treatment of Pt/ $\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  in  $H_2$  resulted in a significant change in the selectivity for hydrocarbon aromatization relative to that observed after lower-temperature pretreatment. Kramer and Fischbacher [29] showed the effects of  $H_2$  pretreatment temperature on the methylcyclopentane hydrogenolysis activity of Pt catalysts with various dispersions prepared by deposition on amorphous silica and alumina films; pretreatment in  $H_2$  at 400°C led to a lower activity and a lower selectivity for *n*-hexane formation than reduction at 275°C.

We infer from the results stated above that these changes in catalytic performance resulting from changes in the conditions of pretreatment in  $H_2$  may be related to concomitant changes in the nature and structure of the metal–support interface. The nature of the interaction of the metal clusters with the support is expected to influence both the electronic properties and the morphology of the clusters and thus their catalytic properties. We recommend investigations of the relationships between the structure of the metal–support interface (including the amount and nature of the interfacial hydrogen), the electronic properties and morphology of the metal clusters, and the catalytic properties of supported metals. Experiments might be done with EXAFS spectroscopy, temperature programmed reduction and desorption, and infrared spectroscopy in combination with chemisorption and catalytic activity measurements. Understanding of these effects may also be expected to help clarify the issues of structure sensitivity in catalysis by supported metals.

### Acknowledgement

We thank Professor van Santen of the Eindhoven University of Technology and Dr. O'Grady of the Naval Research Laboratory for helpful and stimulating discussions. The NWO in the Netherlands, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in Germany, and the Department of Energy in the USA (DE-FG02-87ER13790), and a NATO travel grant supported the research.

## References

- [1] S.A. Stevenson, J.A. Dumesic, R.T.K. Baker and E. Ruckenstein, eds., *Metal–Support Interactions in Catalysis, Sintering, and Redispersion* (Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1987).
- [2] G.L. Haller and D.E. Resasco, *Adv. Catal.* 36 (1989) 173.
- [3] J.R. Chang, L.U. Gron, A. Honji, K.M. Sanchez and B.C. Gates, *J. Phys. Chem.* 95 (1991) 9944.
- [4] Y. Asakura, M. Yamada, and Y. Iwasawa, *Chem. Lett.* (1985) 511.
- [5] N. Binsted, J. Evans, G.N. Greaves and R.J. Price, *Organometallics* 8 (1989) 613.
- [6] H.F. van't Blik, H.F.J. van Zon, J.B.A.D. Huizinga, J.C. Vis, D.C. Koningsberger and R. Prins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 107 (1985) 3139.
- [7] P.S. Kirlin, F.B.M. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger and B.C. Gates, *J. Phys. Chem.* 94 (1990) 8439.
- [8] F.B.M. Duivenvoorden, D.C. Koningsberger, Y.S. Uh and B.C. Gates, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 108 (1986) 6254.
- [9] A. Honji, L.U. Gron, J.-R. Chang and B.C. Gates, to be published.
- [10] V.W. Day, W.G. Klemperer and D.J. Main, *Inorg. Chem.* 29 (1990) 2345.
- [11] K. Moller, D.C. Koningsberger and T. Bein, *J. Phys. Chem.* 93 (1989) 6116.
- [12] M.S. Tzou, B.K. Teo and W.M.H. Sachtler, *J. Catal.* 113 (1988) 220.
- [13] M. Vaarkamp, J. van Grondelle, J.T. Miller, D.J. Sjakowski, F.S. Modica, G.S. Lane, B.C. Gates and D.C. Koningsberger, *Catal. Lett.* 6 (1990) 369.
- [14] D.C. Koningsberger and D.E. Sayers, *Solid State Ionics* 16 (1985) 23.
- [15] M. Vaarkamp, J. van Grondelle, R.A. van Santen, J.T. Miller, B.L. Meyers, F.S. Modica, G.S. Lane and D.C. Koningsberger, *Proc. Int. Zeolite Conference*, Montreal, July 1991, accepted.
- [16] J.B.A.D. van Zon, D.C. Koningsberger, H.F.J. van't Blik, R. Prins and D.E. Sayers, *J. Chem. Phys.* 80 (1984) 3914.
- [17] D. C. Koningsberger, J.B.A.D. van Zon, H.F.J. van't Blik, G.J. Visser, R. Prins, A.N. Mansour, D.E. Sayers, D.R. Short and J.R. Katzer, *J. Phys. Chem.* 89 (1985) 4075.
- [18] J.H.A. Martens, R. Prins, H. Zandbergen and D.C. Koningsberger, *J. Phys. Chem.* 92 (1988) 1903.
- [19] F.B.M. van Zon, Ph.D. Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands (1988).
- [20] F.W.H. Kampers and D.C. Koningsberger, *Faraday Discussions Chem. Soc.* 89 (1990) 137.
- [21] F.B.M. van Zon, G. Visser and D.C. Koningsberger, *Proc. 9th Int. Congr. Catal.*, Vol. 3, eds. M.J. Phillips and M. Ternan (Chemical Institute of Canada, Ottawa, 1988) p. 1386.
- [22] H.H. Lamb, M. Wolfer and B.C. Gates, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* (1990) 1296.
- [23] J.-R. Chang, D.C. Koningsberger and B.C. Gates, to be published.
- [24] P. Lagarde, T. Muraka, G. Vlaic, E. Freund, H. Dexpert and J.B. Bournonville, *J. Catal.* 84 (1983) 333.
- [25] F.W. Lytle, R.B. Greegor, E.L. Marques, D.R. Sanstrom, G.H. Via and J.H. Sinfelt, *J. Catal.* 95 (1985) 346.
- [26] G.J. Den Otter and F.M. Dautzenberg, *J. Catal.* 53 (1978) 116.
- [27] P.G. Menon and G.F. Froment, *J. Catal.* 59 (1979) 138.
- [28] J. Margitfalvi, P. Szedlaczek, M. Hegedüs and F. Nagy, *Appl. Catal.* 15 (1985) 69.
- [29] R. Kramer and M. Fischbacher, *J. Mol. Catal.* 51 (1989) 247.