

Originally published as:

Beurlen, H., Da Silva, M. R. R., Thomas, R., Soares, D. R., Olivier, P. (2008): Nb-Ta-(Ti-Sn) oxide mineral chemistry as tracer of rare-element granitic pegmatite fractionation in the Borborema Province, Northeastern Brazil. - Mineralium Deposita, 43, 2, 207-228,

DOI: 10.1007/s00126-007-0152-4.

## ARTICLE

# Nb–Ta–(Ti–Sn) oxide mineral chemistry as tracer of rareelement granitic pegmatite fractionation in the Borborema Province, Northeastern Brazil

Hartmut Beurlen • Marcelo R. R. Da Silva • Rainer Thomas • Dwight R. Soares • Patrick Olivier

Received: 30 November 2005 / Accepted: 10 June 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract The Borborema Pegmatitic Province (BPP), northeastern Brazil, is historically important for tantalum mining and also famous for top-quality specimens of exotic Nb-Ta oxides and, more recently, for the production of gem quality, turquoise blue, 'Paraíba Elbaite.' With more than 750 registered mineralized rare-element granitic pegmatites, the BPP extends over an area of about 75 by 150 km in the eastern part of the Neoproterozoic Seridó Belt. The Late Cambrian pegmatites are mostly hosted by a sequence of Neoproterozoic cordierite-sillimanite biotite schists of the Seridó Formation and quartzites and metaconglomerates of the Equador Formation. The trace-element ratios in feldspar and micas allow to classify most pegmatites as belonging to the beryl-columbite phosphate subtype. Electron microprobe analyses (EMPA) of columbite, tapiolite, niobiantantalian rutile, ixiolite and wodginite group minerals from 28 pegmatites in the BPP are used to evaluate the

Editorial handling: S. Hagemann

H. Beurlen (⊠) · D. R. Soares
Programa de Pós-Graduação em Geociências, Universidade
Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE),
Rua Acadêmico Hélio Ramos s.n., Cidade Universitária,
50740-530 Recife, PE, Brazil
e-mail: beurlen@terra.com.br

M. R. R. Da Silva · P. Olivier
Departamento de Geologia
Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE),
Rua Acadêmico Hélio Ramos s.n., Cidade Universitária,
50740-530 Recife, PE, Brazil

M. R. R. Da Silva e-mail: marcelor@ufpe.br

R. Thomas GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany effectiveness of Nb-Ta oxide chemistry as a possible exploration tool, to trace the degree of pegmatite fractionation and to classify the pegmatites. The columbite group mineral composition allows to establish a compositional trend from manganoan ferrocolumbite to manganocolumbite and on to manganotantalite. This trend is typical of complex spodumene- and/or lepidolite-subtype pegmatites. It clearly contrasts with another trend, from ferrocolumbite through ferrotantalite to ferrowodginite and ferrotapiolite compositions, typical of pegmatites of the beryl-columbite phosphate subtype. Large scatter and anomalous trends in zoned crystals partially overlap and conceal the two main evolution patterns. This indicates that a large representative data set of heavy mineral concentrate samples, collected systematically along cross-sections, would be necessary to predict the metallogenetic potential of individual pegmatites. Other mineral species, e.g. garnets and/or tourmaline, with a more regular distribution than Nb-Ta oxides, would be more appropriate and less expensive for routine exploration purposes. The currently available Nb-Ta oxide chemistry data suggest the potential for highly fractionated Ta-Li-Cs pegmatites in the BPP, so far undiscovered, and encourages further, more detailed research.

**Keywords** Nb–Ta–Ti–Sn oxides · Rare-element granitic pegmatites · Borborema Pegmatitic Province · Brazil

#### Introduction

Niobium–Ta–(Ti–Sn) oxide mineral chemistry data, based mainly on minerals of the columbite, tapiolite and ixiolite groups, are commonly used in pegmatite provinces worldwide as tracers of pegmatite magma fractionation, for the prediction of the metallogenetic potential or petrological classification purposes (Černý and Ercit 1985, 1989; Tindle and Breaks 2000; Černý 1989b, 1992, 1998). This kind of study may gain in importance because the potential for high grade Ta, Li and Cs ore increases with the degree of pegmatite magma fractionation.

The Borborema Pegmatitic Province (BPP) in northeastern Brazil is known since the beginning of the last century, when it was exploited for mica during World War I. It became well known during World War II as one of the world's most important Ta–Be producers, and during 1940– 1950 for the production of beautiful specimens (Pough 1945; Johnston 1945; Rolff 1946) of 'exotic' tantalates, being referred to as type locality for many of them. Until today, with more than 750 mineralized rare-element granitic pegmatites, the BPP remains a main source of Ta in Brazil, the second most important tantalum-producing country in the world (Magyar 2007). It is also an important resource of raw materials for the Brazilian ceramics industry and of gemstones, including aquamarine, morganite and the highquality turquoise-blue 'Paraíba Elbaite.'

The estimated historic minimum bulk production is on the order of 3,000 tons of tantalite, 20,000 tons of beryl and 1,000 tons of cassiterite. Today, rare-element mineral concentrates are exploited only sporadically as byproducts of kaolin and feldspar mining and from gem quality tourmaline mining in a few pegmatite bodies.

Mineral chemistry data on Nb–Ta–(Ti–Sn) oxides from the BPP are scarce, as reported by Adusumili (1978, and older references therein). Rare, individual data on exotic mineral species were obtained for mineralogical purposes (Burke et al. 1969, 1970; Ercit et al. 1985, 1986, 1992a, b). So far, no attempts were made to relate the mineralogical data to the geological framework or to provide a pegmatite type (and subtype) classification.

This paper presents more than 550 new mineral chemistry data obtained on samples that contain columbite, ixiolite, tapiolite wodginite and rutile group minerals from 33 different pegmatites (including data from previous works). The data was used to evaluate the usefulness of Nb–Ta–(Ti–Sn) oxide mineral chemistry in the BPP as tracer for the degree of pegmatite fractionation, classification and, mainly, as a tool for a distinction between barren and fertile pegmatites.

## Geology

The BPP, as defined by Scorza (1944), extends over an area of approximately  $75 \times 150$  km, in the eastern–southeastern part of the Seridó foldbelt within the Rio Grande do Norte Tectonic Domain, in the States of Paraíba and Rio Grande do Norte, in northeastern Brazil (Fig. 1). The Seridó foldbelt in this area is made up by the Jucurutú, Equador

and Seridó Formations of the Neoproterozoic Seridó Group (Van Schmus et al. 2003). The formation of the mineralized pegmatites is proposed to be related to granites of a late- to post-tectonic phase (Araújo et al. 2001), distinguished as G4 granites, according to the tectonic granite classification by Jardim de Sá et al. (1981) and discussed below in detail.

About 80% of the mineralized rare-element granitic pegmatites are intruded in garnet-cordierite and/or sillimanite-biotite schists of the uppermost Seridó Formation, about 11% in the directly underlying quartzites, metarkoses and metaconglomerates of the Equador Formation (Da Silva et al. 1995). The remaining 9% of the pegmatites are hosted by gneisses and skarns of the Jucurutú Formation, by late G3 and G4 granites (Jardim de Sá et al. 1981), or by gneisses and migmatites of the Paleoproterozoic basement sequence. The regional metamorphism of the Seridó Group reached the low pressure-high temperature Abukuma-type metamorphism of amphibolite grade (Lima 1986). Retro-grade metamorphism to the upper greenschist facies is observed and related to the D4 deformation phase.

A regional zoned distribution of the mineralized pegmatites was postulated by Cunha e Silva (1981, 1983), where some small distal fields of rare-earth element-bearing pegmatites are successively followed inwards by a zone dominated by (Li-)Sn-bearing pegmatites, an intermediate zone dominated by beryl-bearing pegmatites and an inner, beryl-tantalite-bearing zone with small central areas of pegmatites dominated by tantalite mineralization. This zoning model, however, is not consistent because: (1) in the so-called (Li-)Sn zone, there is a considerable number of pegmatites that are devoid of cassiterite and only mined for tantalite/tapiolite, (2) cassiterite is a common accessory mineral, associated with columbite group minerals in the inner, so called Ta-Be zone (Da Silva et al. 1995), (3) a large central source pluton, as expected, does not exist or is not exposed and (4) the central position in the BPP according to this model is occupied by the most fractionated, Ta-richest pegmatites, whereas less fractionated, Be-rich and rare-earth element-rich pegmatites are found in a more distal position, in disagreement with regional zoning models proposed in the literature elsewhere (e.g. Černý 1989b).

Jardim de Sá et al. (1981) classified the numerous granite types found in the Seridó Belt and the BPP in four main groups, G1 to G4, according to their relationship with the four main deformation phases (D1 to D4) in the area. Granites of the G1 group are represented by orthogneisses (mostly augen–gneisses), with their occurrence restricted to the Paleoproterozoic basement of the Caicó Group, and are deformed by the first (D1) and all subsequent deformational events. The G2 group granites, including three subgroups, correspond to pre- or early-tectonic orthogneisses intruded in meta-sedimentary rocks of the Seridó Group, intensively deformed by isoclinal folding (thrust-related, 'tangencial',



Fig. 1 Simplified geological map of the Borborema Pegmatitic Province with location of the studied pegmatites, adapted from Brasil (1998, 2002)

D2 deformation) and later events. The G3 group granites (including subgroups G3A to G3C) are syn- to late-tectonic with regards to the third deformation event (D3) in the area, characterized by transcurrent shearing and upright, normal folding with vertical to sub-vertical axial planes. The G4 group granites are late to post-tectonic, with respect to the D3 deformation.

The four subgroups of Neoproterozoic ('Brasiliano Cycle')-aged granites relate to the phases G3 and G4 (Jardim de Sá et al. 1981) and occur as several independent intrusions of random distribution. Field relationships do not allow inferring any correlation between the pegmatite mineralization and the intrusion(s). The lack of connection to a central granite intrusion led Ebert (1970) to postulate a palingenetic origin for the mineralized pegmatites in the BPP.

Most authors (Da Silva 1993; Da Silva et al. 1995; Jardim de Sá et al. 1981; Araújo et al. 2001) agree that small stocks and dykes (usually less than 0.3 km<sup>2</sup> in area

but in a few cases reaching up to 40 km<sup>2</sup>) of leucocratic (barren) pegmatitic granites (apparently related to granites of the G4 phase of Jardim de Sá et al. 1981), and synchronous with the D4 deformation event are the most probable parental granites of the mineralized pegmatites because of their peraluminous character and trace-element geochemistry. No volumetrically larger granite source for these small pegmatitic granite stocks has so far been proposed.

Uranium–Pb (uraninite) and Rb–Sr ages of the pegmatites (Ebert 1969; Almeida et al. 1968) indicate their formation between 450 and 530 Ma. The Ar–Ar biotite ages (Araújo et al. 2005) and U–Pb columbite ages (Baumgartner et al. 2006), more recently obtained on pegmatites with low degree of fractionation and weak internal zoning, are 525 and 509–515 Ma, respectively. All these geochronological data of pegmatites are much younger than the 570-Ma (or older) ages, so far obtained for some of the main, larger, G3 and G4 granite intrusions (Legrand et al. 1991; Jardim de Sá 1994; Jardim de Sá et al. 1986). The U–Pb monazite ages of  $535\pm4.1$  Ma obtained by Baumgartner et al. (2001) or of  $528\pm12$  Ma, according to Baumgartner et al. (2006) for one of these small peraluminous pegmatititic granite stocks, do not support the interpretation of this granite subtype being the source for the pegmatites. The high frequency of small granite bodies in the area allows the interpretation that the BPP is composed of several smaller pegmatite fields, each one with its own separate source and zonal distribution, overlapping each other in many locations. This latter aspect may explain the inefficiency in trying to establish a unique regional zoning model. The geochronological data of pegmatites and related granites in the BPP are quite similar to those summarized by Morteani et al. (2000) for the

Minas Gerais, southeastern Brazil. The pegmatites of the BPP were first classified, based on field observations, as homogeneous (usually barren, frequently concordant, without internal structure) or heterogeneous, often mineralized pegmatites (Johnston 1945). Later,

'Eastern Brazilian Pegmatite Province' in the State of

the term 'intermediate' (Rolff 1946) or 'mixed' (Rov et al. 1964) pegmatites was introduced for those mineralized pegmatites that showed an incomplete or unclear internal zoning pattern. This classification is problematic because the designation as homogeneous is locally used for both poorly fractionated pegmatites with incomplete zoning and highly fractionated mineralized pegmatites where the original zoned structure is partially or completely erased by late replacement pockets. Another problem with the classification of the pegmatites according to internal structure is that the zoning pattern clearly changes along the vertical or horizontal extent of individual pegmatite bodies, as shown in Fig. 2. In the Boqueirão pegmatite, the complete sequence of four zones of the model by Johnston (1945) is only observed in the median part of the pegmatite, whereas the western part (likely representing the root of the pegmatite) is poorly zoned or unzoned, like the homogeneous, barren pegmatites. The eastern (apical?) part of the pegmatite becomes gradually homogeneous by increasing abundance of albite- and tourmaline-rich 'replacement pockets.' This change in the zoning pattern parallel to the



Fig. 2 Geologic map and schematic section of the Boqueirão pegmatite as an example of a typical heterogeneous, mineralized rare-element granitic pegmatite of the Borborema Pegmatitic Province, adapted from Soares (2004) and Tavares (2001)

Miner Deposita

Table 1	Description	of the main	characteristics	of the	studied pe	gmatites
---------	-------------	-------------	-----------------	--------	------------	----------

Pegmatite/sample	Туре	Dip/Dip direction	Formation, host	Type minerals	Sample type/ zone	Special intergrowths	Ore mineral association
Fortuna/A8		85°/0°	Seridó, schists	Brl,	Crystal/dump		Tap, Wdg
Bento/A25 Serraria/A27, A31	Be Be	90°/80° 50°/SW	Seridó, schists Seridó, schists	Brl Brl	Concentrate Concentrate	Exsol Wdg in Cst Mcl crystals, Rt (Nb)+Mic alt	Tap, Wdg, Cst Mcl, Tap
Soares/A-29	Be	90°/150°	Seridó, schists	Brl, Tpl	Concentrate		Тар
Seridozinho/ DRX-01	CplxSpod	65°/315°	Seridó, schists	Brl,Spd, Mtn	Crystal/dump	Wdg exsol in Cst	Tap, Cst, Rt(Ta), Wdg, Mcl,
Quintos/QB-08, 07, 15	CplxSpod	60°/ENE	Equador quartzite	Brl, Spd	Crystal/wall	Ixl cryst Rt(Nb) rim,	Mgt, Ilm, Mic, Ixl, Mic (Bi) Mict(U), Gah, Brn
Caiçara/HB-02	CplxSpod		Seridó, schists	Brl, Mtn, Mic	Concentrate	Exsol Btn in Mic (Bi), Tap exsol in Cst	Tap, Mic(Bi), Btn, Mtn, Mcl, Gah, Cst
Cortume/HB03, 28	CplxSpod	80°/10°	Seridó, schists	Brl	Concentrate		Fcl, Mcl
Feio/HB04	Be	70°/310°	Seridó schists	Brl,Ap	Concentrate	Rt(Nb) cryst Ixl, Fcl alt to Frs	Fcl, Frs, Rt(Nb), Cst,
Branco/HB05	CplxSpod	70°/140°	Seridó schists,	Brl, Mtn, Mic	Concentrate,	Reverse zoning Mtn	Mtn,
Pitombeira/HB- 06, Mourão/ HB07	Be	35°/NE	Acarí Granite, Seridó schists	Brl	Crystal/wall+ Concentrate	Ixl exsol in Rt(Ta) criystals	Mgt, Ilm, Fcl, Tap
Taboa/HB09	Be	75°/90°	Seridó schists	Brl	Concentrate	Ixl+Cst exsol in Ilm	Ilm, Fcl, Mcl ,Tap
Salgadinho/HB11	CplxSpod	80°/0°	Seridó schists	Brl,Spd, Amb	Crystal/dump	Patchy zonation	Тар
Boqueirão 1 and 2/HB12, 01	CplxSpod	75°/0°	Equador conglo- merate	Brl,Spd, Tpl	Crystal/ intermediate	Mcl, alteration to Rt (Nb) +Mic	Mcl, Mtn
Giz/HB14, A40	CplxSpod	35°/290°	Equador quartzite	Brl,Spd, Mtn	Crystal/dump	Reverse zoning	Mtn, Smp, Mrl, Mic (Ba)
Amâncio/HB17	CplxSpod	90°/310°	Equador quartzite	Brl,Mtn	Crystal/dump	Reverse zoning	Mtn, Mcl
Mamões/HB18	CplxSpod	85°/305°	Equador quartzite	Brl, Mtn, Mic	Crystal/dump	Reverse zoning	Mtn
Canoa/HB19, 32	Be	45°/NE	Acari Granite	Brl	Crystal/wall	Ixl exsol in Rt(Ta) crystals	Mgt, Ilm, Rt(Ta),.Ixl
Carcará/HB20, 07		80°/20°			Crystal/dump	Tap crystal, Mic+ Ftn alt	Tap, Mlt
Capoeiras 1, 2 and 3/HB21, CA-03	CplxSpod	50°/0°	Equador conglo- merate	Brl,Spd	Crystal/ intermediate+ elluvium	Ixl exsol in Rt(Nb).	Mt, Ilm, Mic,Gah, Mcl, Ixl
Túneis/HB22	CplxSpod	80°/70°	Equador quartzite	Mtn, Mic	Crystal/core+ concentrate	Reverse zoning Mtn, patchy	Mtn, Mic(U).
Corredor/HB-24B	Be	70°/ESE	Seridó schists	Brl, Cbl,	Crystal/border	Rt(Ta), Wdg exsol. in Cst	Fcl, Tap, Wdg, Cst, Rt (Ta).
Roncadeira/HB- 24A, A-28	Be	70°/ESE	Seridó schists	Cbl	Crystal/wall+ concentrate	Ixl+Rt(Nb) zoned crystals	Fcl , Tap, Cast, Wdg, Ftn,

Classification based mainly on mineral chemistry, including Nb-Ta oxide information provided in this paper.

Pegmatite types: *Be* Beryl type, beryl–columbite phosphate subtype; *CplxSpod* complex spodumene or lepidolite subtype. Mineral abbreviations, according to Kretz (1983) when possible: *Tap* ferrotapiolite, *Wdg* wodginite, *Cst* cassiterite, *Mcl* manganocolumbite, *Rt(Ta)* strüverite, *Mic* microlite, *Mgt* magnetite, *Ilm* ilmenite, *Gah* gahnite, *Fcl* ferrocolumbite, *Frs* fersmite, *Brn* brannerite, *Ixl* titanian ixiolite, *Mtn* manganotantalite, *Smp* simpsonite, *Rt(Nb)* Niobian rutile, *Btn* Bismutotantalite, *Amb* amblygonite, montebrasite, *Ap* apatite, *Brl* beryl, *Cbl* Chrysoberyl, *Spd* spodumene, *Tpl* triplite, litiophylite, litiophylite, *exsol* exsolution bodies, *alt* alteration, *Obs*. the column on type minerals includes non-opaque minerals referred to in the literature or observed by the authors



**Fig. 3 a** Photo of large tabular spodumene (sp) crystals (up to 80 cm long) with radial arrangement, with the roots in the intermediate blocky K-spar zone (*KF*) and growing into the former open space, which is now filled by the quartz core (*Qz*), from the Boqueirão pegmatite. **b** Cross-section of the Capoeira 2 pegmatite. The contact with the metaconglomeratic host rock at the right (*Cg*) starts with a border zone composed of sacharoidal fine-grained K-feldspar+albite+ quartz±garnet±black tournaline (dravite) and culminates with a discontinuous fringe of comb-textured to radially textured dravite, followed by a medium to coarse-grained wall zone (*W*) composed by K-feldspar>quartz>albite>schorlite–dravite. The wall zone grades inwards to a coarse-grained intermediate zone enriched in blocky K-feldspar (*Kf*), followed by a new fringe of rosette-like textured schorlite–dravite (*tu*) at the transition to an albite-rich, inner

intermediate zone (*ab*). At the upper part the albite-rich zone invades the wall zone, cross-cutting the tourmaline fringe. Note also the small s-shaped albite-rich vein in the metaconglomerate, considered to be an apophysis (*ap*). **c** Detail of an albite-rich vein cross-cutting the wall and border zones to form large apophyses (2 m high by 1 m thick) of albitic pegmatite with comb-textured tourmaline forming a border zone. **d** Partial cross-section of the Carrascão pegmatite, with a wall zone (*W*) composed by a medium-grained aggregate of K-feldspar+ quartz+muscovite±albite±garnet, at the *left*, grading into a poorly developed zone of blocky K-feldspar (*Kf*) followed by a zone of rosette-like aggregates of cleavelandite (*Ab*) and columnar lepidolite (*lp*), growing inwards into the (former open space) quartz core (*Qz*) seen in detail in **e**. **e** Deatil of quartz core (scale: size of the tourmaline crystals up to 15 cm)

longitudinal extent of the pegmatite illustrates the need of careful 3D examination of a pegmatite, before classification. Indeed, the geological map of this pegmatite is similar to the model of pegmatite classification proposed by Vlasov (1952), which synthesizes four pegmatite types into one composite body. Another attempt to classify the pegmatites of the BPP based on main ore minerals is implicitly proposed by the regional zoning model (Cunha e Silva 1983), discussed above. Because of the incomplete record of accessory minerals other than beryl, columbite-tantalite and spodumene, observed or documented during the main mining periods in the decades 1940 to 1960, it is almost impossible to try to improve this kind of classification today.

A first attempt to classify the mineralized pegmatites of the BPP based mainly on trace-element geochemistry in feldspars and micas was performed on ten mineralized pegmatites by Da Silva (1993) and Da Silva et al. (1995). Based on geochemical data, these authors assigned the pegmatites of the BPP to the beryl-columbite phosphate subtype of the rare-element pegmatite group as proposed by Černý (1991), regardless of the presence or absence of phosphates. As a consequence, a poor to moderate degree of differentiation was assumed for all pegmatites of the BPP until recently, when mineral chemistry data on tourmalines, garnet and spinel and trace-element geochemistry in micas and feldspar indicated a higher degree of fractionation for the mineralized Quintos and Capoeira 2 pegmatites, which Soares (2004) classified as spodumene or lepidolite subtype, in agreement with their important spodumene and lepidolite mineralization.

According to Johnston (1945), in a typical "heterogeneous" (zoned) and mineralized granitic pegmatite of the BPP, the following zones, from the margins to the center, may be observed: Zone I is composed typically of combtextured muscovite (or tourmaline, and/or laminar ferrocolumbite and/or biotite), intergrown with medium-grained albite–oligoclase and quartz, zone II is defined by a homogeneous, medium-grained K-spar+quartz±albite pegmatite with frequent graphic inter-growths of quartz and perthite and inwards increasing grain size, zone III is composed almost exclusively of large perthite crystals (blocky feldspar zone), and zone IV corresponds to a monomineralic nucleus of massive (milky and/or rose) quartz. This schematic model of internal structure is almost identical to the worldwide accepted model by Cameron et al. (1949), i.e. border, wall, intermediate, and core zone. Most of the pegmatites studied in the present paper are classical examples of this zonation (Fig. 2, Table 1). The contact between zones III and IV was recognized as the preferential site of mineralization by Johnston (1945). The frequently mineralized 'replacement bodies' (Cameron et al. 1949) in the BPP also occur preferentially close to this contact (Da Silva et al. 1995). They consist of decimetre- to metre-sized irregular pockets of medium- to fine-grained cleavelandite and/or muscovite and/or lepidolite selvages (recognized as 'MP' units=mica pockets, according to Roy et al. 1964), with some phosphates and disseminated ore minerals. The highest Ta ore concentrations and grades in the BPP occur around this contact, within or unrelated to the replacement pockets. Huge individual crystals of beryl (up to 50 tons), spodumene (several tons), tantalite (up to 1,000 kg) or cassiterite (up to 400 kg) are also found at this contact (Rolff 1946). Frequently, the roots of the crystals in the transition from zone III to IV (cleavelandite and lepidolite roses, radial tourmaline aggregates and spodumene, beryl, tantalite crystals) start growing in the blocky feldspar zone, whereas the crystal tips grow idiomorphically into the former open space, now filled by the quartz core. These textural relations are in accordance to the interpretation by London (1992) and indicate that these minerals may be primary crystallization products before the formation of the quartz core and do not always belong to a replacement body (Figs. 3a-e, 4a,b) as generally proposed in the BPP for cleavelandite, lepidolite and tourmaline aggregates. A primary origin of some of these albite+mica-



Fig. 4 a Identical textural relations as in Fig. 3 are now observed between cleavelandite (*Ab*), bi-colored elbaite (rose and light blue "Paraíba elbaite", tu) growing from the inner intermediate zone towards the quartz (*Qz*) of the core from the Quintos pegmatite (photo from Barreto 2000). Scale: tourmaline crystal 17 cm long. b Detail of a,

showing the pinacoidal terminations of the zoned tourmaline crystals idiomorphic against quartz and tabular albite at the transition to the quartz core and the imbricate inter-growth with albite and quartz at the roots of the crystals, in the inner intermediate zone

ВРР
the
from
oxides
Ti-Sn)
b-Ta-(
of NI
data
EMPA
representative
Selected
Table 2

Sample         0401-5         0401-4         0405-1         0610-1         061-1	Sample										
Weight percent         31.1         38.4         2.61         1.6.3         3.7.28         3.3.16         3.8.4         3.0.5         3.1.6         3.4.1         3.0.5         3.1.6         3.4.1         3.0.5         3.1.6         3.4.1         3.0.5         3.1.6         3.4.1         3.0.5         3.1.6         3.4.1         3.5.4.3         6.1.61         4.1.5 $4.7.50$ $4.2.82$ 3.0.6         3.0.4.5         3.0.5         3.		0401-5	0401-4	0405-1	0610-1	090101	0909-4	0935-2	0943-9	24A1-1	24A1-1
ByOs         31,11         58,45         32,01         10,35         37,28         35,10         38,40         34,15         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,23         30,33 <th< td=""><td>weignt percent</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	weignt percent										
NEQG         51.16         44.13         55.43         0.161         1.15         1.56         0.43         0.43         2.82         2.606         0.443         2.73         2.73         2.761         0.441         2.761         0.441         0.451         0.443         2.17         1.249         1.156         6.00         1.441         2.17         1.249         1.156         6.00         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.451         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.41         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.411         0.441         0.411	1a <sub>2</sub> U <sub>5</sub>	51.11	58.45	23.01	10.33	51.28	33.10	38.40	CI.4C	C7.0C	1/.60
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Nb_2O_5$	51.16	44.13	55.43	61.61	41.55	47.50	42.82	26.06	30.45	22.46
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$TiO_2$	0.74	0.66	1.76	2.09	1.33	0.57	0.54	1.51	0.64	0.88
Mico $(5.9)$ $3.38$ $5.08$ $4.17$ $2.97$ $3.57$ $12.90$ $11.56$ $6.60$ $4.9$ FeO $11.77$ $13.49$ $13.56$ $4.17$ $2.97$ $13.76$ $3.73$ $9.79$ $11.77$ SrO $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.02$	$ZrO_2$	0.21	bdl	0.41	0.19	0.08	lbdl	bdl	0.45	0.49	0.31
FeO         11.77         13.49         13.56         14.99         14.50         13.76         3.64         3.73         9.79         1           SNO2         MH         0.00         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.03         0.02         0.03         0.27         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.02         0.01         0.27         0.01         0.02         0.01         0.02         0.01         0.02         0.01         0.01         0.02         0.01         0.01         0.02         0.01         0	MnO	6.39	3.98	5.08	4.17	2.97	3.57	12.99	11.56	6.60	4.13
SiO2         bdl         000         002         002         001         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         027         00         000         027         00         020         000         020         000         020         000         020         000         027         00         027         00         000         021         023         023         032         032         032         032         030         031         030         031         030         031         030         031         030         031         03	FeO	11.77	13.49	13.56	14.99	14.50	13.76	3.64	3.73	9.79	11.74
Mg0         0.35         0.22         0.29         0.16         0.31         0.25         0.02         0.00         0.02         0.01 <th< td=""><td><math>SnO_2</math></td><td>lbdl</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.27</td><td>0.35</td></th<>	$SnO_2$	lbdl	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.27	0.35
	MgO	0.35	0.52	0.29	0.16	0.31	0.25	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.43
SeQ3         0.13         0.17         0.11         0.09         0.23         0.15         0.15         0.24         0.20         0.0         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.02         0.03         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.02         0.35         bld	CaO	0.01	0.01	bdl	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	bdl	0.01	0.01
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Sc_2O_3$	0.13	0.17	0.11	0.09	0.23	0.15	0.15	0.24	0.20	0.26
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$UO_2$	0.02	0.02	0.37	0.16	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.55	bdl	bdl
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Y_2O_3$	lbdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	lbdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
	$\Sigma$ others	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
	Total	101.88	101.43	100.62	99.80	98.31	99.02	98.64	98.25	98.72	100.29
APFU         Ta         2.11         2.70         1.56         1.06         2.69         2.35         2.78         4.25         3.88         4.4           Nb         5.77         5.15         6.11         6.63         4.98         5.59         5.16         3.40         3.91         2.0           T         0.14         0.13         0.32         0.37         0.26         0.11         0.11         0.14         0.13         3.91         2.78         3.40         3.91         2.9           T         0.13         0.32         0.37         0.26         0.01         0.11         0.14         0.13         0.12         0.14         0.13         0.11         0.14         0.13         0.11         0.14         0.10         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.02         0.02         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.01         0.06         0.02         0.06         0.06 <t< td=""><td>Mineral</td><td>Fcl</td><td>Fcl</td><td>Fcl</td><td>Fcl</td><td>Fcl</td><td>Fcl</td><td>McI</td><td>Mtl</td><td>Fcl</td><td>Ftn</td></t<>	Mineral	Fcl	Fcl	Fcl	Fcl	Fcl	Fcl	McI	Mtl	Fcl	Ftn
Ta         2.11         2.70         1.56         1.06         2.69         2.35         2.78         4.25         3.88         4.           Nb         5.77         5.15         6.11         6.63         4.98         5.59         5.16         3.40         3.91         2           Ti         0.14         0.13         0.32         0.37         0.26         0.11         0.14         0.05         3.91         2         2           Ti         0.14         0.13         0.32         0.37         0.26         0.11         0.14         0.14         0.2           Ti         1.35         0.87         1.05         0.84         0.67         0.79         3.02         2.91         1.59         1.1 $Fe^{3+}$ 0.02         0.17         0.10         0.40         0.07         0.07         0.07         0.07         0.0 $Fe^{3+}$ 0.13         0.20         0.10         0.44         0.25         2.91         1.59         1.1 $Fe^{3+}$ 0.13         0.20         0.01         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.01         0.00         0.01         0.05         0.01         0.00 <td>APFU</td> <td></td>	APFU										
Nb 5.77 5.15 6.11 6.63 4.98 5.59 5.16 3.40 3.91 2. Ti $0.14$ 0.13 0.32 0.37 0.26 0.11 0.11 0.34 0.14 0. Km $1.35$ 0.87 1.05 0.02 0.01 bdl bdl 0.06 0.07 0. Fe <sup>2++</sup> 2.43 2.75 2.59 2.81 2.99 0.84 0.30 0.10 0.01 0.0 Mg 0.13 0.02 0.10 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.0 Kg 0.13 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Ta	2.11	2.70	1.56	1.06	2.69	2.35	2.78	4.25	3.88	4.69
Ti $0.14$ $0.13$ $0.32$ $0.37$ $0.26$ $0.11$ $0.11$ $0.34$ $0.14$ $0.0$ Zr $0.03$ $bdl$ $0.05$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $bdl$ $bdl$ $0.06$ $0.07$ $0.0$ Km $1.35$ $0.87$ $1.05$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.67$ $0.79$ $3.02$ $2.91$ $1.59$ $1.1$ $Fe^{3+}$ $0.02$ $0.16$ $0.17$ $0.10$ $0.40$ $0.79$ $3.02$ $2.91$ $1.59$ $1.1$ $Fe^{3+}$ $2.75$ $2.75$ $2.89$ $2.81$ $2.99$ $0.84$ $0.84$ $2.222$ $2.2$ Sn $bdl$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.01$ Mg $0.113$ $0.20$ $0.10$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.03$ $0.02$ Sc $0.03$ $0.04$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $0.02$ Vbdlbdlbdlbdlbdlbdl $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ Sc $0.03$ $0.04$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.02$ Vbdlbdlbdlbdlbdlbdlbdl $0.00$ $0.00$ V $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $0.04$ $0.05$ Vbdlbdlbdlbdlbdlbdlbdl $0.00$ $0.01$ $0.00$	Nb	5.77	5.15	6.11	6.63	4.98	5.59	5.16	3.40	3.91	2.93
Zr         0.03         bdl         0.05         0.02         0.01         bdl         bdl         0.06         0.07         0.0           Mn         1.35         0.87         1.05         0.84         0.67         0.79         3.02         2.91         1.59         1.1           Fe <sup>3+</sup> 0.02         0.16         0.17         0.10         0.40         3.02         2.91         1.59         1.1           Fe <sup>3+</sup> 0.02         0.16         0.17         0.10         0.40         3.02         2.91         1.59         1.1           Fe <sup>3+</sup> 2.43         2.75         2.59         2.89         2.81         2.99         0.84         0.20         0.10         0.0	Ti	0.14	0.13	0.32	0.37	0.26	0.11	0.11	0.34	0.14	0.19
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zr	0.03	bdl	0.05	0.02	0.01	lbdl	bdl	0.06	0.07	0.04
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Mn	1.35	0.87	1.05	0.84	0.67	0.79	3.02	2.91	1.59	1.01
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$	0.02	0.16	0.17	0.10	0.40			0.09	0.10	0.51
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2^+}$	2.43	2.75	2.59	2.89	2.81	2.99	0.84	0.84	2.22	2.32
	Sn	lbdl	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mg	0.13	0.20	0.10	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.18
Sc $0.03$ $0.04$ $0.02$ $0.02$ $0.05$ $0.03$ $0.04$ $0.06$ $0.05$ $0.0$ U $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.00$ $0.06$ $0.05$ $0.1$ Y         bdl         bdl <td>Ca</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>bdl</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>bdl</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td>	Ca	0.00	0.00	bdl	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	bdl	0.00	0.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sc	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.07
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	U	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	Bdl	bdl
$ \begin{tabular}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Y	lbdl	bdl	bdl	lbdl	bdl	bdl	lþdl	bdl	Bdl	bdl
	$\sum$ others	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	па
Mn(Fe+Mn) 0.35 0.23 0.27 0.22 0.17 0.21 0.78 0.76 0.41 0.	Total	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	11.96	11.96	12.00	12.00	12.00
	Mn/(Fe+Mn)	0.35	0.23	0.27	0.22	0.17	0.21	0.78	0.76	0.41	0.26
Ta/(Ta+Nb) 0.27 0.34 0.20 0.14 0.35 0.30 0.35 0.56 0.50 0.	Ta/(Ta+Nb)	0.27	0.34	0.20	0.14	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.56	0.50	0.62
	Sample numbers not b	eginning with le	tter in this table	are referred to els	ewhere, preceded	hv HB eg 0401	-3=HB0401-3 w	zhere HR04 refers	to the neomatite	(Fein) 01 to the	

Spodumene	or lepidolite :	subtype (mange	moan suite)										
0502-1	0505-3	0513-1	0513-6	20-11	20-12	21-5	21-17	2102-30	2201-4	2202-2	2202-4	L7-35	L7-39
43.88	15.26	47.88	84.67	55.31	52.39	5.34	5.02	9.19	84.45	53.98	69.06	20.81	33.65
39.34	62.64	34.69	0.47	26.76	28.28	68.74	72.17	67.90	0.17	28.82	14.12	55.22	42.40
0.21	1.56	0.14	0.07	1.43	1.43	4.90	3.19	2.73	0.15	0.38	0.06	3.84	4.57
bdl	bdl	bdl	0.24	0.15	0.11	0.40	0.09	0.38	0.20	bdl	0.03	0.19	0.11
15.51	10.53	15.26	13.23	8.09	8.34	9.34	10.15	12.12	12.93	12.36	14.08	14.84	12.35
2.06	9.48	1.81	0.53	8.61	8.74	10.73	9.83	7.74	0.67	3.78	0.64	2.80	4.84
lbdl	bdl	bdl	0.01	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.03	lbdl	0.08	0.02
0.00	0.14	bdl	lbdl	0.05	0.06	0.48	0.47	0.30	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.16	0.62
0.02	bdl	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	bdl	0.01	lbdl	0.02	lbdl	1.02	0.11
0.19	0.07	0.22	0.36	0.23	0.21	0.32	0.26	0.06	0.35	0.22	0.23	0.19	0.10
bdl	0.07	0.09	0.05	bdl	bdl	0.40	0.23	0.15	0.01	0.06	lbdl	0.12	0.77
lbdl	bdl	bdl	lbdl	bdl	bdl	lbdl	bdl	bdl	lbdl	bdl	lbdl	na	na
na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	0.28	0.32
101.21	99.75	100.10	99.62	100.73	99.70	100.76	101.45	100.59	98.97	69.66	98.22	99.55	99.87
Mcl	Mcl	McI	Mtn	Mtn	Ftn	Fcl	Mcl	Mcl	Mtn	Mtn	Mtn	Mcl	Mcl
3.19	0.98	3.59	7.81	4.23	3.99	0.32	0.30	0.57	7.85	4.20	5.95	1.35	2.29
4.75	6.69	4.33	0.07	3.40	3.58	6.85	7.22	6.99	0.03	3.73	2.02	5.96	4.80
0.04	0.28	0.03	0.02	0.30	0.30	0.81	0.53	0.47	0.04	0.08	0.01	0.69	0.86
lbdl	bdl	bdl	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.03	bdl	0.00	0.02	0.01
3.51	2.11	3.57	3.80	1.93	1.98	1.74	1.90	2.34	3.74	3.00	3.78	3.00	2.62
0.05	0.40	0.11	0.12	0.38	0.59	0.66	0.28	0.29	0.11			0.54	0.82
0.41	1.48	0.31	0.03	1.64	1.45	1.32	1.54	1.19	0.08	0.91	0.17	0.02	0.20
bdl	bdl	bdl	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	lbdl	0.01	0.00
0.00	0.05	bdl	lbdl	0.02	0.03	0.16	0.16	0.10	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.06	0.23
0.00	bdl	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	bdl	0.00	lbdl	0.00	lbdl	0.26	0.03
0.04	0.01	0.05	0.11	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.02
lbdl	0.00	0.01	0.00	bdl	bdl	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	lbdl	0.01	0.05
lþd	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	na	na
na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	0.04	0.06
12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	11.99	12.00	12.00	12.00
0.88	0.53	0.89	0.96	0.49	0.49	0.47	0.51	0.61	0.95	0.77	0.96	0.84	0.72
0.40	0.13	0.45	0.99	0.55	0.53	0.04	0.04	0.08	1.00	0.53	0.75	0.18	0.32

Table 2 (continued)

Table 3 Selected representative EMPA data of Nb-Ta-(Ti-Sn) oxides from the BPP (continued)

	Anomalou	us (reverse)-2	zoned crysta	ls						
Sample	0923-6	0923-7	0508-1	0508-4	L7-1	L7-25	L7-32	L7-47	24B4-8	24B4-6
Weight percent										
Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	26.09	40.56	45.27	85.08	11.08	19.43	35.11	43.06	44.34	55.62
$Nb_2O_5$	55.23	40.58	37.58	0.72	34.25	30.46	25.33	16.53	12.36	28.29
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.43	0.70	0.17	0.17	32.05	27.74	15.83	20.10	22.72	0.55
$ZrO_2$	bdl	0.04	bdl	0.19	0.20	0.25	0.46	0.55	0.08	0.02
MnO	4.32	3.48	15.27	13.19	0.48	0.53	1.43	1.82	0.06	3.54
FeO	13.80	13.22	1.81	0.51	20.06	19.86	19.59	16.68	14.46	12.19
$SnO_2$	0.02	0.04	bdl	0.02	0.39	0.46	0.12	0.10	4.68	0.11
MgO	0.21	0.25	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.17	0.36	0.39	0.03	0.62
CaO	bdl	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	bdl	bdl	0.01
$Sc_2O_3$	0.10	0.18	0.19	0.34	0.12	0.13	0.06	0.09	0.19	0.26
UO <sub>2</sub>	0.03	0.07	bdl	bdl	0.19	0.24	0.40	0.43	bdl	0.03
$Y_2O_3$	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
$\sum$ others	na	na	na	na	0.30	0.27	0.46	0.59	na	na
Total	100.23	99.12	100.33	100.25	99.26	99.55	99.14	100.33	98.91	101.23
Mineral	Fcl	Fcl	Mcl	Mtn	Rt(Nb)	Rt(Nb)	Ixl(+Nb)	Ixl(+Ta)	Ixl(Ti)	Ftn
APFU	rim	←core	rim	←core	rim	←outer	core	←core	rim	←core
Та	1.76	2.95	3.35	7.79	0.60	1.09	2.21	2.73	2.95	4.25
Nb	6.18	4.90	4.62	0.11	3.06	2.85	2.65	1.74	1.37	3.59
Ti	0.08	0.15	0.04	0.04	4.77	4.32	2.75	3.53	4.19	0.12
Zr	bdl	0.00	bdl	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.00
Mn	0.93	0.81	3.52	3.76	0.08	0.09	0.28	0.36	0.01	0.84
Fe <sup>3+</sup>		0.11		0.04	3.29	3.29	3.66	3.19	1.69	0.16
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	2.94	2.93	0.41	0.11	0.03	0.14	0.13	0.07	1.27	2.71
Sn	0.00	0.00	bdl	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.46	0.01
Mg	0.08	0.10	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.26
Ca	bdl	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	bdl	bdl	0.00
Sc	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.06
U	0.00	0.00	bdl	bdl	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	bdl	0.00
Y	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
$\sum$ others	na	na	na	na	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.13	na	na
Total	12.00	12.00	11.99	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
Mn/(Fe+Mn)	0.24	0.21	0.90	0.96	0.02	0.03	0.07	0.10	0.00	0.23
Ta/(Ta+Nb)	0.22	0.38	0.42	0.99	0.16	0.28	0.45	0.61	0.68	0.54

rich aggregates is also in agreement with the interpretation of experimental results on pegmatitic or aplogranitic magmas (London 1992, 1996, 2005). Examples of beryl and tourmaline mineralization at the gradational contact between zones II and III and of spodumene and/or cassiterite in zones I and II are less common.

## Sampling and analytical methods

We collected in situ samples of Nb–Ta–(Ti–Sn) oxides of the Boqueirão (bodies 1 and 2), Quintos, Corredor, Pitombeiras (body 1), Canoa, Túneis and Capoeiras (bodies 2 and 3) pegmatites. In these cases, the exact location and paragenetic position of the minerals in the structure of the

Deringer

host pegmatites are known. Samples of the Mamões, Amâncio, Brennand, Cortume, Roncadeira, Fortuna, Giz, Mourão, Feio, Serraria (1 and 2), Serra Branca and Capoeira 1 pegmatites were either collected from dumps or obtained directly from miners and *garimpeiros* (precious stone seekers) at the entrance of the 'mine' excavations. In the first six pegmatites of this group, the position of the sample within the structure (and paragenetic position) of these pegmatites can be induced because the oxides are part of a larger sample with typical pegmatite minerals and/or textures. In the case of samples from other pegmatites referred to in Table 1, the location was provided by *garimpeiros* and mineral dealers.

In a first step, polished sections of crystals and heavy mineral concentrates (embedded in acrylic resin) were

#### Table 3 (continued)

Normal-zoned Ixiolite exsolutions					Phases with special compositions								
0408-1	0408-4	21-1	21-3	19-60	19-50	24A1-7	24A1-18	0615-9	0907-1	A40L1-7	A4012 10	A40L2-6	20-05
68.07	56.11	6.31	6.07	27.88	30.92	53.47	59.77	39.63	10.72	61.27	63.83	45.19	77.65
5.71	4.93	30.06	43.74	13.18	41.84	17.38	16.11	34.23	61.20	10.90	9.83	7.06	6.07
10.59	23.09	47.16	26.82	43.28	6.64	1.47	1.19	6.05	6.09	1.22	0.56	28.99	1.29
0.26	0.25	bdl	0.36	0.06	0.72	0.10	0.89	0.55	0.55	0.68	0.27	0.17	0.06
0.20	0.10	0.10	0.79	0.03	7.16	0.97	2.22	7.75	9.03	2.28	5.19	0.06	1.02
12.96	11.14	15.18	20.52	12.72	8.64	22.03	16.04	6.75	6.49	12.57	8.83	12.30	13.72
2.79	4.47	0.32	0.39	1.35	1.05	2.67	2.04	1.73	0.20	11.55	11.25	6.27	0.07
0.04	0.02	0.02	0.16	bdl	0.09	0.09	0.29	0.11	0.09	0.34	0.14	0.04	0.01
bdl	bdl	0.01	0.01	bdl	0.06	bdl	bdl	0.02	0.00	bdl	bdl	bdl	0.02
0.29	0.23	0.06	0.14	0.39	3.17	0.22	0.25	2.73	4.62	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.32
bdl	bdl	0.03	0.13	0.02	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	0.01
bdl	bdl	0.01	0.02	bdl	0.04	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	na	na	na	bdl
na	na	na	na	0.15	0.66	na	na	na	na	0.34	0.23	0.24	na
100.91	100.34	99.25	99.14	99.07	100.98	98.38	98.80	99.53	99.00	101.25	100.21	100.43	100.2
Wdg(Ti)	Rt(Ta)	Rt(Nb)	Ixl	Rt(Ta)	Ixl(Ti)	Ixl?Fe?	Ixl?(Fe)	Ixl ?(Sc)	Ixl(Sc)	Wdg	Wdg	Rt(Ta)	Тар
rim	←core	host	exsol.	host	exsol.					exsol.	exsol.		
5.34	3.95	0.32	0.33	1.57	2.03	3.95	4.69	2.78	0.66	4.92	5.34	2.92	6.75
0.74	0.58	2.56	3.91	1.24	4.58	2.13	2.10	3.99	6.29	1.45	1.37	0.76	0.88
2.30	4.49	6.67	3.99	6.76	1.21	0.30	0.26	1.17	1.04	0.27	0.13	5.18	0.31
0.04	0.03	bdl	0.04	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.13	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.01
0.05	0.02	0.02	0.14	0.01	1.47	0.22	0.54	1.69	1.74	0.57	1.35	0.01	0.28
0.37	0.41	1.95	3.15	1.74	0.70	4.49	2.34	0.23		1.33	0.72	1.30	0.37
2.75	2.00	0.44	0.34	0.47	1.05	0.51	1.52	1.22	1.23	1.77	1.55	1.15	3.30
0.32	0.46	0.02	0.03	0.11	0.10	0.29	0.23	0.18	0.02	1.36	1.38	0.59	0.01
0.02	0.01	0.00	0.05	bdl	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.04	0.03	0.15	0.06	0.01	0.00
bdl	bdl	0.00	0.00	bdl	0.02	bdl	bdl	0.01	0.00	bdl	bdl	bdl	0.01
0.07	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.67	0.05	0.06	0.61	0.91	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.09
bdl	bdl	0.00	0.01	0.00	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	0.00	0.00
bdl	bdl	0.00	0.00	bdl	0.01	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	na	na	bdl	bdl
na	na	na	na	0.03	0.07	na	na	na	na	0.06	0.04	0.04	na
12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	11.99	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.46	0.04	0.12	0.54	0.58	0.15	0.37	0.01	0.89
0.88	0.87	0.11	0.08	0.56	0.31	0.65	0.69	0.41	0.10	0.77	0.80	0.79	0.07

prepared and petrographically studied, and X-ray diffractograms were obtained from pulverized parts of the samples. Those samples where the X-ray diffractograms and/or the petrographic characteristics indicated the presence of phases other than columbite/tantalite or cassiterite were analysed by scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) and preliminary electron microprobe analyses (EMPA) aiming to identify the unknown phases. This procedure resulted in the identification of several Nb-Ta-(Ti-Sn) oxides that were previously unknown in the BPP, e.g. high-titanian ixiolite, fersmite, brannerite, strüverite, caesian natrobistantite or bismutomicrolite, plumbomicrolite, stibiomicrolite) and several new occurrences of ferrotapiolite, ferrowodginite and niobian rutile (Beurlen et al. 2003a, b, 2004, 2005). These findings were a clear indication that a systematic study of the Nb-Ta(Ti–Sn) oxides (and other "black ores") in the BPP is still of great interest. In the present paper, only data of the columbite, ixiolite, tapiolite, rutile and wodginite group minerals are considered.

The X-ray diffractograms were obtained using a D 5000 Siemens X-ray diffractometer and a CuK $\alpha$  tube in the Department of Fundamental Chemistry of the Federal University of Pernambuco. Preliminary EMPA were obtained with a JEOL JXA 8600 in the EMPA laboratory of the University of São Paulo with the following operating conditions: 20 kV, 40 nA and acquisition times of 20 s for major, 30 s for minor and 40 s for trace elements. The following standards were used: TiO<sub>2</sub> Ti (Ti K $\alpha$ ), Nb (NbL $\alpha$ ), Ta (TaM $\alpha$ ), Sn (SnL $\alpha$ ), Anorthite (AlK $\alpha$ ), Olivine (FeK $\alpha$ ), Wollastonite (CaK $\alpha$ ), Spessartite (MnK $\alpha$ ) and Bi (BiM $\alpha$ ).



◄ Fig. 5 a Columbite quadrilateral, binary plot of Mn/(Mn+Fe) against Ta/(Ta+Nb) APFU of more than 550 EMPA analyses of minerals from the columbite, tapiolite, ixiolite wodginite and rutile groups of the BPP. b After exclusion of most of the ixiolite and rutile group data, the following trends are outlined: *Trends 1* and 2 correspond to the trends of beryl–columbite phosphate and spodumene and/or lepidolite subtype pegmatites, respectively (Černý 1989a, b). Additional, anomalous trends are defined by data of zoned crystals with late Nb and/or Ti and Fe enrichment at crystal borders (*trend 3*, ixiolite from Quintos, *trend 4*, manganotantalite from Giz, Túneis and Branco and *trend 6*, ferrocolumbite from Pitombeiras). *Trend 5* is defined by data of a zoned ferrowodginite crystal

Follow-up EMPA data were obtained at the GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Germany, using a Cameca SX 50 and SX 100 at 20 kV and 40 nA, with acquisition times of 20 s for Fe (K $\alpha$ ), Mn (K $\alpha$ ), Ti (K $\alpha$ ), Sc (K $\alpha$ ), Mg (K $\alpha$ ), Al (K $\alpha$ ), Ca (K $\alpha$ ), Na (K $\alpha$ ), Si (K $\alpha$ ), K (K $\alpha$ ), 30 s for Nb  $(L\alpha)$ , Sn  $(L\alpha)$ , Sb  $(L\alpha)$ , Zr  $(L\alpha)$ , Hf  $(M\alpha)$ , Y  $(L\alpha)$ , Cs  $(L\alpha)$ , Ba  $(L\alpha)$  and 50 s for Ta  $(L\alpha)$ , Bi  $(M\alpha)$ , U  $(M\alpha)$ , Pb  $(M\alpha)$ , Th  $(M\alpha)$ , Ce  $(L\alpha)$ , La  $(L\alpha)$ . The following standards were used: albite (Na), ilmenite (Fe,Ti), cassiterite, orthoclase (K,Al), titanite (Si,Ca,Ti,), zircon, Nb, Ta, Th, U, vanadinite, BaSO<sub>4</sub>, CePO<sub>4</sub>, LaPO<sub>4</sub>, YPO<sub>4</sub>, ScPO<sub>4</sub>, InSb, MgO, HfO<sub>2</sub>, MnTiO<sub>3</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and pollucite. Usually, only EMPA analyses with oxide weight percent totals between 102 and 98% were used for interpretation, but the great majority of the data have totals between 99 and 101%. In a few cases, data of particular interest were included even with totals in the range of 97-103%.

The SEM analyses were obtained at the University of Campinas São Paulo using a SEM Leo 430i, Cambridge, EDS mod. Cat. B, using the following working conditions: 20 kV, 30 s acquisition time, using the following standards: Ta (Ta M $\alpha$ ), Nb (Nb L $\alpha$ ), Sn (Sn L $\alpha$ ), Ti (Ti K $\alpha$ ), V (V K $\alpha$ ), Sb (SbL  $\alpha$ ), Bi (Bi M $\alpha$ ) Zr (Zr L $\alpha$ ), U (UM $\alpha$ ), Hf (Hf M $\alpha$ ), PbF<sub>2</sub> (PbM $\alpha$ ), BCR2 (Fe K $\alpha$ , Mn K $\alpha$ , Al K $\alpha$ , Ca (K $\alpha$ ), Na (K $\alpha$ ), Si (K $\alpha$ ) and K (K $\alpha$ ).

The calculation procedure to obtain the cationic composition in atoms per formula unit (APFU) listed in Tables 2 and 3 included in a first step the normalization of the data to a sum of 24 oxygen ions for most tantalates. In a second step, in cases where the obtained cation sum surpassed the corresponding theoretical value (12 cations for phases of the columbite, tapiolite and ixiolite groups and niobian rutile-strüverite, etc.), the Fe<sup>2+</sup> content was partially converted to Fe<sup>3+</sup> (according to Ercit et al. 1992c, d) by trial and error until the cation sum reached the value of 12.000±0.002 cations. In the case of wodginite group minerals, the normalization was made recalculating  $Fe^{2+}$  to  $Fe^{3+}$  cations as much as necessary to complete the B+C sites to 9.000 (for easier comparison with other minerals, for a 24-oxygen formula instead of the 32-oxygen formula required for the unit cell). The effectiveness of the  $Fe^{3+}$  correction procedure was checked by repeating some calculations using the method proposed by Droop (1987).

#### Interpretation of the mineral chemistry data

More than 550 EMPA data were transformed to APFU for 24-oxygen formulas according to the procedures described above. The commonly used atomic compositions and ratios were calculated and plotted on diagrams such as the 'columbite quadrilateral' and the ternary plots (Nb+Ta)/(Ti+Sn)/(Fe\*+Mn), (Ti+Sn)/Nb/Ta and Ti/Nb/Ta. Selected representative data are listed in Tables 2 and 3.

The ternary plots do not display distinct fractionation trends for columbite group minerals. This is because these diagrams do not account for changes in the Fe/Mn ratios. They are presented because they allow observation of eventual Ti and/or Sn trends in columbite and tapiolite group minerals and, in addition, a more precise distinction between ixiolite, wodginite and rutile group minerals, not shown in the columbite quadrilateral.

In the 'columbite quadrilateral' (Fig. 5a), the complete data set (excluding the pyrochlore group data) covers the entire range, and at first glance, the distribution apppears to be more or less chaotic. However, if the data of a few compositionally zoned columbite–tantalite crystals and wodginite, rutile and ixiolite are discarded, two main trends of columbite–tantalite compositions may be clearly visualized, as indicated by the arrows 1 and 2 in Fig. 5b. A few data located away from these two trends in Fig. 5b refer to alteration products of ferrotapiolite (area identified as alt in Fig. 5b) and of ferrotantalite (identified as ix, including probable ixiolite and possibly a new mineral with a composition close to  $Fe^{3+}TaO_4$ ) and not to crystals of primary origin.

The main trends 1 and 2 are similar to those identified by Černý (1989a, 1992) for the beryl-columbite phosphate (or F-poor spodumene subtype) and the lepidolite and/or spodumene (F-rich) subtype, respectively, of rare-element granitic pegmatites worldwide. Similar trends were distinguished as the 'ferroan' and 'manganoan' trends, respectively, in the Separation Rapids pegmatite field, northwestern Ontario, Canada (Tindle and Breaks 1998, 2000). Both trends begin with ferrocolumbite compositions for the apparently less fractionated pegmatites and evolve to ferrotantalite and local wodginite and ferrotapiolite (trend 1) and to manganocolumbite and manganotantalite (trend 2) for the more fractionated pegmatites. Both trends evolve with strong Ta enrichment, but only trend 2 shows also a distinct Mn enrichment, with increasing fractionation. The increase in the Ta/(Ta+Nb) ratio with the evolution of pegmatite crystallization and/or with the degree of fractionation of the source magma can be explained by the lower



Fig. 6 a Sample of the contact of the Quintos pegmatite with quartzites (qz). A comb-textured black tournaline fringe (dravite, see *arrow tu*) marks the contact of the border zone with the wall zone, which contains sporadic millimetre-sized tabular, idiomorphic (thus primary), high-titanian ixiolite crystals (*arrow ix*). b SEM-BSEI of one of the high-titanian ixiolite crystals of the Quintos pegmatite, with oscillating compositional zoning (*lighter zones*, Ta rich and *darker*)

zones, Nb or/and Ti rich) with niobian rutile borders; **c** EMPA crosssection of the same crystal as indicated in **b** (equidistance of 20  $\mu$ m). Data in APFU for a 12-cation formula indicate a complex interaction of several alternating substitution mechanisms (Nb $\leftrightarrow$ Ta, Fe $\leftrightarrow$ Mn, 2Ti $\leftrightarrow$ Ta+Fe<sup>3+</sup>, 2Ti $\leftrightarrow$ Nb+Fe<sup>3+</sup>,3Fe<sup>3+</sup> $\leftrightarrow$ Ta+2Fe,Mn) or acting with oscillating intensity

solubility of Nb-rich columbite group members in peraluminous granite/pegmatite melts (Linnen and Keppler 1997) in comparison with Ta-rich members. In addition, the observation that the solubility of both Ta- and Nb-rich members increases equally with temperature and F- and Li contents in the melt (Linnen 1998) is in agreement with the later crystallization of Ta-rich members, after the increase in the Ta/Nb ratio in the melt because of the early columbite precipitation. This usually occurs at lower temperatures and when Li and F contents in the melt decreased during or after the formation of Li- and F-bearing minerals (e.g. spodumene, amblygonite, lithiophilite, lepidolite, elbaite), in the inner intermediate zone and/or in the replacement bodies of the pegmatites. The common association of Tarich ores with replacement bodies near the pegmatite core is known worldwide and was also recognized in the BPP (Da Silva et al. 1995). More difficult is the explanation of the increasing Mn/(Mn+Fe) ratio with fractionation, as shown in trend 2 of Fig. 5b, and established for F-rich pegmatite subtypes elsewhere (Černý 1989a). The difficulty arises



Fig. 7 Ternary  $(Nb+Ta)/(Ti+Sn)/(Fe^{(total)}+Mn)$  plot for the same EMPA data as in Fig. 5a. Two outstanding features are a series of ixiolite and niobian rutile data from the Quintos pegmatite filling the usually encountered gap between these coexisting phases on the (Ti,

Sn)O<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sup>3+</sup>Nb,TaO<sub>4</sub> tie line (space between the *grey bars, dotted* according to Uher et al. 1998 and *straight line* according to Černý et al. 1998) and a group of data close to the Fe<sup>3+</sup>Nb,TaO<sub>4</sub> end member

because the solubility of the Fe-rich members of the columbite group minerals in the melt is larger than that of Mn-rich members, according to Linnen (2004a, b). This fractionation trend must therefore be controlled by other Febearing minerals formed during the pegmatite evolution, such as tourmaline or biotite, according to London et al. (2001). In the BPP, the frequent occurrence of schorlite and Fe-rich Li phosphates in replacement bodies supports this argument. Unfortunately, our data are not yet sufficient to establish a statistical correlation of Nb–Ta oxide mineral chemistry and variation of the modal composition along the different pegmatite zones.

The new results, even if based on only 28 of a total of more than 750 mineralized pegmatites in the BPP, indicate that at least two different subtypes of mineralized pegmatites of apparently different degree of fractionation may be distinguished in this province. The analytical data on Nb–Ta oxides from 22 of the 28 studied pegmatites are aligned along one of the two main trends identified (Fig. 5b). Data of the other six pegmatites can not be assigned to these trends because only tapiolite or ixiolite data are available.

Trend 1 in the BPP is based on data from the Corredor, Roncadeira, Feio, Serraria 1, Pitombeiras (1, 2 and 3), Canoa, Carcará and Taboa pegmatites, whereas trend 2 includes data from Boqueirão (1 and 2), Giz, Amâncio, Serraria 2, Quintos, Caiçara, Branco, Capoeira (1 and 2), Túneis and Cortume (Fig. 5b). Because of the lack of a well-established model of a regional zonal distribution of different pegmatite subtypes in the BPP, it is not possible to verify if the difference in the Fe/Mn behaviour in the two trends is related to the distance to a common source pluton. Such a zonal distribution of the two pegmatite groups could be related to the difference in the degree of fractionation, but the geographical distribution of pegmatites of both trends in the BPP overlaps and does not show a regular zonation pattern. The coincidence of the two main identified trends in pegmatites from the BPP with those established in the literature as representative for the berylcolumbite phosphate and complex spodumene subtype pegmatites, respectively (e.g. Černý 1989a, 1992; Tindle and Breaks 2000), indicates that the difference in the degree of fractionation could also be the most likely cause for the distinction of these two groups of BPP pegmatites. At least in two pegmatites, e.g. Quintos and Capoeira 2, other mineral chemistry data on feldspar, white mica, garnet, tourmaline and gahnite give additional support to this explanation (Soares 2004; Soares et al. 2007).

Otherwise, it is observed that pegmatites of trend 1 in the BPP are mainly hosted by biotite schists (six out of ten pegmatite bodies), whereas those of trend 2 (complex spodumene and/or lepidolite subtypes?) are preferentially hosted in quartzites and meta-conglomerates (8 out of 12 pegmatites). Because of this difference in host rocks, it

ions

0.03

0.02

1.02

4.00

ions

0.02

0.95

0 10

0.59

0.43

4.00

ECation 2.24

ions

0.02

0.93

0.11

0.76

0.27

4.00

ECation 2.23

Nb Nb Energy keV

0.98



Fig. 8 a SEM-BSE image of a zoned manganotantalite crystal with a homogeneous core of manganotantalite with near end-member composition (frequently of gem quality, deep vine red colored), overgrown by a rim of manganocolumbite (usually opaque, black)

with oscillatory compositional growth zoning from the Branco pegmatite. b Semi-quantitative SEM analyses and spectra of the points indicated in a, representing an example of the reverse trend 4 in Fig. 5

cannot be completely ruled out that the difference in the behaviour of Fe is in part influenced by interactions with the host rocks (e.g. Fe assimilation in pegmatites hosted by biotite schists).

Considering the remaining data, apart from trends 1 and 2 in Fig. 5a, three anomalous trends with strong Nb enrichment from core to rim of some zoned crystals are displayed by trends 3 (titanian ixiolite from the Quintos pegmatite), 4 (manganotantalite from Giz, Túneis, Giz and Brennand pegmatites) and 6 (ferrocolumbite from the Pitombeiras pegmatite). Trend 5 in Fig. 5a corresponds to ferrowodginite data from Fortuna, Corredor, Bento and Roncadeira pegmatites, including a zoned wodginite crystal (parallel to arrow 5) included in cassiterite of the Corredor pegmatite.

Trend 3 is exemplified by an EMPA section, with a data point equidistance of 20 µm, across one of several primary titanian ixiolite crystals collected in the wall zone of the Quintos pegmatite (Fig. 6a). This is a highly evolved/ fractionated pegmatite based on tourmaline and spinel mineral chemistry, trace-element ratios in feldspars and white micas (Soares 2004) and its mineralogy (rich in spodumene, lepidolite, gem quality elbaite and sporadic caesian bismutomicrolite or natrobistantite). The SEM- backscattered electron image indicates an oscillating compositional zonation, with a general trend ranging from a Ta-rich core and a darker Ti-Nb-rich border (Fig. 6b). The EMPA (Fig. 6c) data confirm the oscillatory pattern of the zoning and present a general range from an ixiolite core with Ta>Nb>Ti, to intermediate zones with increasing Nb-Ti contents (Nb>Ti>Ta) and rims of niobian rutile (Ti>Nb> Ta) compositions. A detailed observation of the EMPA section indicates a complex interaction of several substitution mechanisms like Nb $\leftrightarrow$ Ta, Fe $\leftrightarrow$ Mn, 2Ti $\leftrightarrow$ Ta,Nb+Fe<sup>3+</sup>,  $(Mn,Fe)+2(Ta,Nb)\leftrightarrow 3Ti$ , acting successively and/or with oscillatory intensity (Beurlen et al. 2003a). At the left part of the section (crystal), the compositional variation is gradual, whereas at the opposite part, a gap between the rutile border and the ixiolite phase in the core is observed. In the 'columbite quadrilateral,' the data follow an almost continuous rectilinear trend from Mn/(Mn+Fe\*) of 0.12 and Ta/ (Ta+Nb) of 0.6 at the core to 0.01 and 0.12 APFU at the rim, respectively. In this sample the distinction between ixiolite and columbite was made using the chemical criteria suggested by Wise et al. (1998).

Trend 3 in the ternary plot of (Nb+Ta)/(Ti+Sn)/(Fe\*+ Mn) (Fig. 7) is also rectilinear, very close to the 'tie line'



Fig. 9 SEM-BSE image of a ferrocolumbite crystal with oscillatory compositional growth zoning and peripheral replacement by a Nb-(+Ti)-richer rim, corresponding to the reverse trend 6 in Fig. 5

between rutile and Fe<sup>3+</sup>(Nb,Ta)O<sub>4</sub>, indicating the presence of a high  $Fe^{+3}/Fe^{+2}$  ratio, ranging from about 3 in the core and 6 in the intermediate zone to about 10 at the rim. The increasing proportion of Fe<sup>+3</sup> from core to rim indicates increasing oxygen fugacity during crystal growth. The unusual compositional range of this trend fills the gap observed elsewhere between ixiolite and coexisting niobian rutile phases (Uher et al. 1998; Černý et al. 1998). The oscillatory nature of the zonation in these ixiolite crystals as demonstrated in Fig. 6b and 6c is well shown only in diagrams that account for independent Ti, Nb and Ta variations. A similar enrichment of Ti+Nb+Fe at the expense of Ta+Mn from core to rim in Nb-Ta-(Ti-Sn) oxide crystals was recognized as a 'reverse trend' (Tindle and Breaks 1998) in comparison to the normal progressive Ta, Mn enrichment (Nb, Fe, Ti reduction) usually observed with increasing fractionation in wodginite (Tindle et al. 1998) and in columbite group minerals of the Separation Rapids pegmatite field (Tindle and Breaks 1998, 2000). This reverse trend is usually explained as a result of late replacement of former 'normal'-zoned primary tantalates during hydrothermal alteration or even metamorphism (Tindle and Breaks 2000; Černý et al. 1992). In the present case, the absence of the patchy intergrowths referred by these authors as typical of the late replacement and the simple planar limits observed between the growth zones, parallel to gradual changes in composition, appear to be in better agreement with a primary origin. A primary origin of these idiomorphic, tabular crystals is also indicated by their occurrence in the transition between the border and wall zone of the pegmatite and the absence of signs of hydrothermal alteration of the enveloping feldspar, muscovite and tourmaline grains.

Other high-titanian ixiolite data obtained from cuneiform, exsolution-like inclusions in niobian rutile host crystals from the Capoeira and Canoas pegmatites and from zoned ixiolite–ferrotantalite and titanowodginite– niobian rutile composite crystals from the Roncadeira and Feio pegmatites, respectively, do not form any particular trend, neither in the 'columbite quadrilateral' nor in the



Fig. 10 (Ti, Sn)–Nb–Ta ternary plot for the EMPA data shown in Fig. 5a. In addition to an easier distinction of ixiolite and wodginite group mineral phases, this diagram also allows the observation of the

ternary diagrams. The only common features of these ixiolite-rutile inter-growths are that the orthorhombic phases are always richer in Nb and Mn (and Sc, Zr) than the coexisting niobian rutile or strüverite hosts. This is in agreement with observations in other pegmatite fields worldwide (Černý et al. 1998) but contrasting with the

oscillatory character of the high titanian ixiolite (*trend 3, wavy line*) of the Quintos pegmatite and a positive correlation of Ti and Nb in the tapiolite group minerals (*trend 7*, in the *inset*)

atypical relation in the zoned crystal of the Quintos pegmatite (Ta enriched in ixiolite and depleted in coexisting rutile) described above (Fig. 6). An additional outstanding feature is that the compositional 'gaps' in ixiolite-rutile pairs observed so far in pegmatites from the BPP are very large in some cases (e.g. Canoas, Capoeira)



Fig. 11 Ti-Nb-Ta ternary plot for the EMPA data shown in Fig. 5a. This plot in comparison to Fig. 10 confirms that trend 7 is due mainly to a variation in Ti contents rather than Sn

but very small in others (e.g. Feio) and, in the case of the Quintos and Capoeiras pegmatites, form a 'quasi-continuum' (Fig. 7) in the area where compositional gaps are observed in data sets from other pegmatite provinces (Černý et al. 1998; Uher et al. 1998).

Trend 4 in the 'quadrilateral' (Fig. 5a) corresponds to a frequently observed black opaque (Nb rich with traces of Ti) border of gem quality, deep wine red, near end-member manganotantalite crystals (e.g. Giz, Amâncio, Brennand, Túneis and Mamões pegmatites). In most cases, this submillimetre- to millimetre-sized manganocolumbite border clearly replaces the large (centimetre-sized) homogeneous manganotantalite core, indicating the formation during a late hydrothermal, possibly secondary stage, as suggested from similar observations in other pegmatite provinces (Tindle and Breaks 2000; Černý et al. 1992; Tindle et al. 1998). In some crystals, however, these Nb-rich borders form an overgrowth with oscillatory compositional zoning (as shown in Fig. 8), suggesting a very late but still primary crystallization process.

Trend 6 (Fig. 5b) corresponds to one of several oscillatory-zoned ferrocolumbite grains (e.g. Fig. 9) of an ilmenite-rich pan concentrate from the Pitombeira pegmatite. A general 'reverse trend' is also observed, with Nb enrichment from core to rim (Ta/(Ta+Nb) ranging from 0.38 to 0.21 APFU, whereas the Fe/(Fe+Mn) ratio remains nearly constant between 0.28 and 0.30 APFU.

Trend 5 (Fig. 5a) is a normal trend observed in some millimetre-sized ferrowodginite inclusions in cassiterite collected in the border zone of the Corredor pegmatite, with progressive Ta and Mn enrichment from core to rim, together with reduction in small contents in Ti. In some cassiterite ('pseudostaringite') crystals, a primary compositional zoning was also preserved, now defined by variations in frequency and type of fine (micrometer sized) to ultrafine sub-microscopic (0.1 µm or less) exsolutions, with lamellar columbite exsolutions in the core (thus Nbsaturated cassiterite host) and emulsion-like ferrowodginite exsolutions at the rim (thus Ta-saturated, Beurlen et al. 2004). It is remarkable that in other samples of the border zone of the same pegmatite, a reverse trend is observed in idiomorphic crystals with ferrotantalite cores, enveloped by a Ti-Nb-rich rim of ixiolite (electron diffraction analyses in a high resolution transmission electron microscope confirmed the phase determination, using the FIB sampling method described by Wirth (2004)).

Another trend, formed by the tapiolite data (trend 7 in Figs. 10 and 11), is not visible in the 'quadrilateral' (Fig. 5) but indicates a positive correlation between Ti+Sn and Nb. The latter may be used as a tracer for the degree of fractionation, which apparently increases with decreasing contents in Ti, Sn and Nb and increase in Ta. More data are needed to confirm this possibility.

Besides the interpretations of the compositional variations of columbite-ixiolite and rutile-wodginite group phases, some EMPA data obtained in small irregular shaped inclusions along cleavage planes and fractures in ferrocolumbite from the Roncadeira pegmatite are preliminarily identified as 'titanian ferrotantalite.' They have a composition that is very close to the  $Fe^{+3}(Ta,Nb)O_4$  end member, shown in Fig. 7. This composition is presently unknown as a natural mineral. There is no possibility to assign a columbite or tapiolite group formula to these data because the observed total iron exceeds the required 0.5 (Fe+Mn)/(Ta+Nb) ratio. The observed  $(Fe^{2+}+Fe^{3+}+Mn+Ti+Sn+$ Sc)/(Ta+Nb) ratio is indeed near to 1.0 and would allow to propose a 'ferri-ferri-wodginite'-like formula according to the wodginite group nomenclature (Ercit et al. 1992d), with  $Fe^{3+}$  dominating both the A and B sites (in the general ABC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> formula), or a disordered 'ferri-ixiolite' formula. Because of the small size of the inclusions, it is not possible to obtain diffractometric results.

The identification of complex spodumene subtype pegmatites in the BPP based on the compositional variation in columbite group minerals (trend 2) as proposed here is of economic importance because according to Černý (1989a, 1992) only the lepidolite/spodumene/ petalite/amblygonite-subtype pegmatites may reach economic grades of Ta ores. This is in contrast to the Separation Rapids pegmatite field (northwestern Ontario, Canada) where Ta- and Li-ore grades were observed in highly fractionated pegmatite bodies of the 'ferroan trend' (Tindle and Breaks 2000), with Ta/(Ta+Nb) ratios ranges falling along the same trend that are established for the beryl–columbite phosphate subtype pegmatites by Černý (op. cit.).

### Conclusions

The EMPA data so far obtained on Nb–Ta–Sn–Ti oxides (excluding pyrochlore group minerals) from 28 different pegmatites of the BPP exhibit, at first glance, an apparently chaotic distribution in the tantalite–columbite group 'quad-rilateral.'

This complex scenario is the result of the superposition of many erratic data, a few distinct anomalous trends outlined by single-zoned crystal data obtained on ixiolite, wodginite, manganotantalite and ferrotantalite samples, which overlap and camouflage the two main trends, outlined by the majority of data of the columbite group minerals of several pegmatites. The anomalous trends are explained by the overall decrease in the Ta/Nb ratio from core to rim of zoned crystals, in contrast to the 'normal' Ta enrichment with increasing fractionation. In many cases where oscillatory compositional-zoning patterns are observed, it is proposed that these result mostly from disequilibrium conditions during crystallization.

The two 'normal' trends in Fig. 5b nearly match the trends of beryl-type, beryl-columbite phosphate-subtype (and/or F-poor complex spodumene subtype) and complex lepidolite and/or F-rich spodumene-subtype pegmatites recognized elsewhere by Černý (1989a, 1992) or the ferroan and manganoan trends found in the Separation Rapids pegmatite field in northwestern Ontario, Canada (Tindle et al. 1998; Tindle and Breaks 2000). These results indicate the existence of, at least, two distinct subtypes of mineralized rare-element granitic pegmatites in the BPP. They also indicate a much more evolved degree of fractionation for many pegmatites in the BPP (e.g. those of trend 2), than earlier suggested by Da Silva et al. (1995), who classified the BPP pegmatites as belonging to the beryl-columbite phosphate subtype, based mainly on feldspar and mica chemistry. The evidence that higher degrees of fractionation were reached in the BPP agrees with geochemical data obtained on white micas, garnets, tourmaline and gahnite (Soares 2004) and the widespread occurrence of primary Nb-Ta oxide minerals, which are typical of pegmatites with a high degree of fractionation (e.g. end-member manganotantalite, simpsonite, several microlite subgroup species, stibio- and bismutotantalite). Because of scarce geochronological data, it is still an open question if these two pegmatite (sub-) types are of the same age and originate from the same, still unknown but likely granitic, source. Some field evidence indicates that some highly fractionated (second-stage) pegmatites may have formed from magmas generated from previous pegmatites before their complete crystallization (Figs. 3b,c).

The presence of anomalous, 'reverse' trends with latestage Nb-Fe-Sn-Ti enrichment, also observed in other pegmatite provinces (Tindle et al. 1998; Smeds et al. 1999; Černý et al. 2004), is usually considered the result of late, subsolidus, hydrothermal alteration, partially replacing primary crystals, or the result of pegmatite/wall rock interaction. In the BPP, some cases present textures strongly suggestive of a primary nature of these anomalous trends (e.g. primary crystals with gradual oscillatory compositional variations parallel to crystallographic planes). In other cases, textures clearly indicate late replacement processes. However, there are no observations in the BPP to support pegmatite/wall rock interaction as the cause for the frequently observed primary or late Ti-Fe enrichment of Nb-Ta oxides, as suggested elsewhere based on the correlation with mafic host rocks (Černý and Nemec 1995; Uher and Broska 1995).

Independent of the origin, the frequent observation of anomalous trends in single Nb–Ta oxide crystals and the compositional variation between samples from different zones in the pegmatites in the BPP indicate that for routine exploration purposes, the mineral chemistry of Nb–Ta oxides would be of diagnostic value only if a large data set of representative samples (heavy mineral concentrates rather than isolated crystals), systematically collected parallel to pegmatite cross-sections, is available. Because of this complex sampling procedure, the use of the Nb–Ta oxide chemistry as an exploration tool would likely be more difficult and more expensive than using other much more frequent accessory minerals such as garnet, spinel and tourmaline, which are less susceptible to alteration. However, Nb–Ta oxide chemistry can provide additional key information for a metallogenetic/petrogenetic understanding.

This first study of the Nb–Ta–(Ti–Sn) oxide mineral chemistry on pegmatites from the BPP opens new perspectives on the metallogenetic potential of this province. The possibility of undiscovered Li- and/or Cs-rich ores and larger and higher-grade Ta ore reserves must be seriously considered, and more detailed research work is necessary in the BPP.

Acknowledgements This study became possible because of financial support of the Brazilian Research Council-CNPq, through grants APQ 470199/01 e PQ 352181/92-3 and by CAPES (grant AEX 0728/ 04-7). We are also indebted to Prof. W. Heinrich of the Geo-ForschungsZentrum Potsdam (GFZ) in Germany for the free use of the Microprobe facility, to O. Appelt and G. Rhede of the GFZ for the technical support during the microprobe analyses, to Prof. Bernardino R. Figueiredo of the Instituto de Geociências of the University of Campinas, Brazil (IGE-UNICAMP), for authorization and Dailto Silva for the SEM analyses at the IGE-UNICAMP. We thank Claudio de Castro (Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil-UFPE) for providing several samples for this study. Two anonymous reviewers and David London (University of Oklahoma, School of Geology and Geophisics), Steffen Hagemann (University of Western Australia, Centre for Exploration Targeting) and Gorki Mariano and Ignez P. Guimarães (UFPE) are greatly acknowledged for critical reading and very helpful and constructive discussion.

## References

- Adusumili MPS (1978) Nióbio-tantalatos do Nordeste do Brasil: a série columbita-tantalita. J Mineral 7:195-225
- Almeida FFM, Melcher GC, Cordani UG, Kawashita K, Vandoros P (1968) Radiometric age determinations from Northern Brazil. São Paulo. Soc Bras Geol Bol 17:3–15
- Araújo MNC, Silva FCA, Jardim de Sá EF (2001) Pegmatite emplacement in the Seridó Belt, Northeaster Brazil: late stage tectonics of the Brasiliano Orogen. Gondwana Res 4:75–85
- Araújo MNC, Vasconcelos PM, Silva FCA, Jardim de Sá EF, Sá JM (2005) 40Ar/39Ar geochronology of gold mineralization in Brasiliano strike–slip shear zones in the Borborema province, NE Brazil. J South Am Earth Sci 19:445–460
- Baumgartner R, Moritz R, Romer R, Sallet R (2001) Mineralogy and U–Pb geochronology of beryl and columbo–tantalite pegmatites in the Serido pegmatite district, northeastern Brazil. In: Piestrzynski A et al. (eds) Mineral deposits at the beginning of the 21st century, Proceedings of the 6th biennial SGA meeting, Krakow, Poland, 26–29 August 2001, Balkema Rotterdam, pp 371–375

- Baumgartner R, Moritz R, Romer R, Sallet R (2006) Mineralogy and U–Pb geochronology of beryl and columbo–tantalite pegmatites in the Serido pegmatite district, northeastern Brazil. Can Mineral 44:69–86
- Beurlen H, Soares DR, Prado-Borges LE, Da Silva MRR (2003a) Análise de mecanismos de substituição em tantalato exótico : provável titano–ixiolita na Província Pegamtítica da Borborema. Rev Geol (UFCE) 16(2):7–18
- Beurlen H, Thomas R, Barreto SB, Da Silva MRR (2003b) Nova ocorrência de ferrowodginita em associação com cassiterita, strüverita e tapiolita na Província Pegmatítica da Borborema, Nordeste do Brasil. Est Geol 13:35–45
- Beurlen H, Castro C, Thomas R, Da Silva MRR, Prado-Borges LE (2004) Strüverite and Scandium bearing titanian ixiolite from the Canoas Pegmatite (Acari—Rio Grande Do Norte) in the Borborema Pegmatitic Province, Ne-Brazil. Est Geol 14:20–31
- Beurlen H, Soares DR, Thomas R, Prado-Borges LE, Castro C (2005) Mineral chemistry of tantalate species new in the Borborema Pegmatitic Province, Northeast Brazil. An Acad Bras Ciênc 77:169–182
- Brasil (1998) Mapa geológico do Estado do Rio Grande do Norte. DNPM-CPRM/UFRN, Brasil
- Brasil (2002) Mapa geológico do Estado da Paraíba. DNPM-CPRM/ CDRM, Brasil
- Burke EAJ, Kieft C, Ofelius R, Adusumili MPS (1969) Staringite, a new Sn–Ta mineral from north-eastern Brazil. Mineral Mag 37:447–452
- Burke EAJ, Kieft C, Ofelius R, Adusumili MPS (1970) Wodginite from Northeastern Brazil. Geol Mijnb 49:235–240
- Cameron EM, Jahns RH, Mc Nair H, Page LR (1949) Internal structure of granitic pegmatites. Econ Geol Monogr 2:115 p
- Černý P (1989a) Characteristics of pegmatite deposits of tantalum. In: Moeller P, Černý P, Saupé F (eds) Lantanides, tantalum, niobium. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 195–235
- Černý P (1989b) Exploration strategy and methods for pegmatite deposits of tantalum. In: Moeller P, Černý P, Saupé F (eds) Lantanides, tantalum, niobium. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 274–302
- Černý P (1991) Rare element granitic pegmatites. Part 1: anatomy and internal evolution of pegmatite deposits. Geosci Can 18:49–67
- Černý P (1992) Geochemical and petrogenetic features of mineralization in rare-element granitic pegmatites in the light of current research. Appl Geochem 7(5):393–416
- Černý P (1998) Magmatic vs metamorphic derivation of rare-element granitic pegmatites. Krystalinikum 24:7–36
- Černý P, Ercit TS (1985) Some recent advances in the mineralogy and geochemistry of Nb and Ta in rare-element granitic pegmatites. Bull Mineral 108:499–532
- Černý P, Ercit TS (1989) Mineralogy of Niobium and Tantalum: crystal chemical relationships, paragenetic aspects and their implications. In: Moeller P, Černý P, Saupé F (eds) Lantanides, tantalum, niobium. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 27–79
- Černý P, Nemec (1995) Pristine vs. contaminated trends in Nb,Taoxide minerals of the Jihlava pegamatite District, Czech Republic. Mineral Petrol 55:117–129
- Černý P, Novak M, Chapman P (1992) Effects of sillimanite-grade metamorphism and shearing on Nb–Ta-oxide minerals in granite pegmatites: Marsikov, Northern Moravia., Czechoslovakia. Can Mineral 30:699–718
- Černý P, Ercit TS, Wise MA, Chapman R, Buck, HM (1998) Compositional structural and phase relationships in titanian ixiolite and titanian columbite-tantalite. Can Mineral 36:547– 562
- Černý P, Chapman R, Ferreira K, Smeds S (2004) Geochemistry of oxide minerals of Nb, Ta, Sn and Sb in the Varuträsk granitic

pegmatite, Sweden: the case of an anomalous columbite-tantalite trend. Am Mineral 89:505-518

- Cunha e Silva J (1981) Formação polimetalífera da região da Borborema, Estados do RioGrande do Norte e Paríba. CPRM, Recife, 135 pp
- Cunha e Silva J (1983) Zonação polimetalífera da Região da Borborema, Estado do Rio Grande do Norte e Paraiba. Mineração e Metalurgia 47(445):24–36
- Da Silva MRR (1993) Petrographical and geochemical investigations of pegmatites in the Borborema Pegmatitic province of Northeastern Brasil. Thesis, Ludw. Max. University, München, 306 pp
- Da Silva MRR, Höll R, Beurlen H (1995) Borborema Pegmatitic Province: geological and geochemical characteristics. J South Am Earth Sci 8:355–364
- Droop GTR (1987) A general equation for estimating Fe<sup>3+</sup> concentrations in ferromagnesian silicates and oxides from microprobe analyses using stoichiometric criteria. Mineral Mag 51:431–435
- Ebert H (1969) Geologia do Alto Seridó. Recife, Brazil. SUDENE Serie Geol Reg 11:120
- Ebert H (1970) The Precambrian geology of the "Borborema" belt (States of Paraíba and Rio Grande do Norte) and the origin of its mineral provinces. Int J Earth Sci 59:1292–1326
- Ercit TS, Hawthorne FC, Černý P (1985) The crystal structure of synthetic natrotantite. Bull Mineral 108:541–549
- Ercit TS, Hawthorne FC, Černý P (1986) Parabariomicrolite, a new species and its structural relationship to the pyrochlore group. Can Mineral 24:655–663
- Ercit TS, Hawthorne FC, Černý P (1992a) The crystal structure of alumotantite its relations to the structure of simpsonite and the (AlGa)(TaNb)O4 compounds. Can Mineral 30:653–662
- Ercit TS, Černý P, Hawthorne FC (1992b) The crystal chemistry of simpsonite. Can Mineral 30:663–672
- Ercit TS, Černý P, Hawthorne FC, Mc Cammon CA (1992c) The wodginite group II: crystal chemistry. Can Mineral 30:613–632
- Ercit TS, Černý P, Hawthorne FC (1992d) The wodginite group III: classification and new species. Can Mineral 30:633–638
- Jardim de Sá EF (1994) A Faixa Seridó (Província Borborema, NE do Brasil) e seu significado geodinâmico na cadeia Brasiliana/ Panafricana. Ph.D. thesis, UnB, Brazil, 762 pp
- Jardim de Sá EF, Legrand JM, McReath I (1981) Estratigrafia de rochas granitóides na Região do Seridó (RN-PB) com base em critérios estruturais. Rev Bras Geocienc 11:50–57
- Jardim de Sá EF, Legrand JM, Galindo AC, Hackspacker PC (1986) Granitogênese Brasiliana no Seridó: o maciço de Acari (RN). Rev Bras Geocienc 16:95–105
- Johnston WD (1945) Beryl-tantalite pegmatites of Northeastern Brazil. Geol Soc Amer Bull 56:1015–1070
- Kretz R (1983) Symbols for rock-forming minerals. Am Mineral 68:277–279
- Legrand JM, Deutsch S, Souza LC (1991) Datação U/Pb e granitogênese do maciço Acari (RN). In: SBG 14° Simp. Geol. Nordeste Atas Bol, vol 12, pp 172–174
- Lima ES (1986) Metamorphism and tectonic evolution in the Seridó Region, Northeastern Brazil. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 215 pp
- Linnen RL (1998) The solubility of Nb–Ta–Zr–Hf–W in granitic melts with Li +F: constraints for mineralization in rare metal granites and pegmatites. Econ Geol 93:1013–1025
- Linnen RL (2004a) PIG comments and questions, reply. http://www. minsocam.org/MSA/Special/Pig/Pig CQ/PIG CQ/Kjellman.html
- Linnen RL (2004b) Ferrocolumbite-manganotantalite trends in granites and pegmatites: experimental and natural constraints. Geol Soc Amer Progr Abstr 36
- Linnen RL, Keppler H (1997) Columbite stability in granitic melts: consequences for the enrichment and fractionation of Nb and Ta in the earths crust. Contrib Mineral Petrol 128:213–227

- London D (1992) The application of experimental petrology to the genesis and crystallization of granitic pegmatites. Can Mineral 30:440–499
- London D (1996) Granitic pegmatites. Trans R Soc Edinb Earth Sci 87:305–319
- London D (2005) Granitic pegmatites: an assessment of current concepts and directions for the future. Lithos 80:281–303
- London D, Evensen JM, Fritz E, Icenhower JP, Morgan GB, Wolf MB (2001) Enrichment and accommodation of manganese in granite– pegmatite systems. 11th An. Goldschmidt Conf Abstr 3369. CDR PDF version
- Magyar MJ (2007) Tantalum. In: Commodity Summaries 2007, US Geol Survey, pp 164–165
- Morteani G, Preinfalk C, Horn AC (2000) Classification and mineralization potencial of the pegmatites of the Eastern Brazilian Pegmatite Province. Miner Deposit 35:638–655
- Pough MW (1945) Simpsonite and the Northern Brazilian pegmatite region. Bull Geol Soc Am 56:505–514
- Rolff PMA (1946) Minerais dos pegmatitos da Borborema. DNPM Div Fom Prod Min Bol, vol. 78, pp 23–76, Rio de Janeiro
- Roy PL, Dottin O, Madon HL (1964) Estudo dos pegmatitos do Rio Grande do Norte e da Paraíba. SUDENE Série Geol Econom 1:124
- Scorza EP (1944) Província Pegmatítica da Borborema. DNPM, Div Geol Min Boletim vol. 112, p 57, Rio de Janeiro
- Smeds S, Černý P, Chapman R (1999) Niobian calciotantite and plumboan–stannoan cesstibitantite from the island of Uto, Stockholm archipelago, Sweden. Can Mineral 37:665–672
- Soares DR (2004) Contribuição à petrologia de pegmatitos mineralizados em elementos raros e elbaítas gemológicas da Província Pegmatítica da Borborema, NE-Brasil. Tese Dout. UFPE, p 271
- Soares DR, Beurlen H, Ferreira ACM, Da Silva MRR (2007) Chemical composition of gahnite and degree of pegmatite

fractionation in the Borborema Pegmatitic Province, Northeastern Brazil. An Acad Bras Ciên 79(3):1-10

- Tavares JF (2001) Relatório de graduação do Curso de Engenharia de Minas. Unpubl. Final Report, Mining Engineering Undergraduate Course, UFPB (Federal University of Campina Grande-PB, Brazil), 44 pp
- Tindle AG, Breaks FW (1998) Oxide minerals from the Separation Rapids rare-element granitic pegmatite group, Northwestern Ontario. Can Mineral 36:613–636
- Tindle AG, Breaks FW (2000) Columbite–tantalite mineral chemistry from rare element granitic pegmatites: Separation Lake area, NW Ontario, Canada. Mineral Petrol 70:165–198
- Tindle AG, Breaks FW, Webb PC (1998) Wodginite-group minerals from the Separation Rapids rare-element granitic pegmatite group, Northwestern Ontario. Can Mineral 36:637–658
- Uher P, Broska I (1995) Pegmatites in two suites of Variscan orogenic granitic rocks. Mineral Petrol 55:127–136
- Uher P, Černý P, Chapman R, Hatar J, Miko O (1998) Evolution of Nb,Ta-oxide minerals in the Prasiva Granitic Pegmatites Slovakia. I. Primary Fe,Ti-rich assemblage. Can Mineral 36:525–534
- Van Schmus WR, Brito Neves BB, Williams IS Hackspacher PC, Fetter AH, Dantas EL, Babinski M (2003) The Seridó Group of NE Brazil, a late Neoproterozoic pre- to syn-collisional basin in West Gondwana: insights from SHRIMP U–Pb detrital zircon ages and Sm–Nd crustal residence (T<sub>DM</sub>) ages. Precambrian Res 127:287–327
- Vlasov KA (1952) Texturelle und paragenetische Gliederung der Pegmatite. Mitt Akad Wiss USSR Geol Ser 2:30–55
- Wirth R (2004) Focused ion beam (FIB): a nouvel technology for advanced application of micro- and nanoanalysis in geosciences and applied mineralogy. Eur J Mineral 16(6):863–876
- Wise MA, Černý P, Falster AU (1998) Scandium substitution in columbite-group minerals and ixiolite. Can Mineral 36:673–680