



Swansea University
Prifysgol Abertawe



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:
Current Sports Medicine Reports

Cronfa URL for this paper:
<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa39549>

Paper:

Düking, P., Stammel, C., Sperlich, B., Sutehall, S., Muniz-Pardos, B., Lima, G., Kilduff, L., Keramitsoglou, I., Li, G., et al. (2018). Necessary Steps to Accelerate the Integration of Wearable Sensors Into Recreation and Competitive Sports. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, 17(6), 178-182.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0000000000000495>

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/>

1 **Integrating Wearable Sensors into Recreation and Competitive Sports**

2

3 Peter Düking^{1,2}, Christian Stammel², Billy Sperlich¹, Shaun Sutehall³, Borja Muniz-Pardos⁴,
4 Giscard Lima⁵, Liam Kilduff⁶, Iphigenia Keramitsoglou⁷, Guoping Li^{8,9}, Fabio Pigozzi^{5,9}, Yannis P
5 Pitsiladis^{5,9-10}

6

7 Peter Düking: peter.dueking@uni-wuerzburg.de

8 Christian Stammel: c.stammel@wearable-technologies.com

9 Billy Sperlich: billy.sperlich@uni-wuerzburg.de

10 Shaun Sutehall: STHSHA011@myuct.ac.za

11 Borja Muñiz: bmuniz@marianistas.net

12 Kilduff L.: l.kilduff@swansea.ac.uk

13 Iphigenia Keramitsoglou: ik@noa.gr

14 Guoping Li: ligp@263.net

15 Pigozzi Fabio: fabio.pigozzi@uniroma4.it

16 Giscard Lima: g.lima@studenti.uniroma4.it

17

18 ¹Integrative and Experimental Exercise Science & Training, Institute of Sport Science,
19 University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

20 ²Wearable Technologies AG, Herrsching, Germany

21 ³Division of Exercise Science and Sports Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town,
22 South Africa

23 ⁴GENUD Research Group, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

24 ⁵Department of Movement, Human and Health Sciences, University of Rome "Foro Italico,"
25 Rome, Italy

26 ⁶Applied Science, Technology, Exercise and Medicine (A-STEM) Research Centre, College of
27 Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea, UK

28 ⁷National Observatory of Athens, Athens, Greece

29 ⁸Chinese Association of Sports Medicine, Beijing, China

30 ⁹International Federation of Sports Medicine (FIMS), Lausanne, Switzerland

31 ¹⁰Collaborating Centre of Sports Medicine, University of Brighton, Eastbourne, UK

32

33

34 **Corresponding Author:**

35 Professor Yannis Pitsiladis

36 International Federation of Sports Medicine (FIMS)

37 Lausanne

38 Switzerland

39 Email: y.pitsiladis@brighton.ac.uk

40

41

42 **Keywords:** Reliability, Validity, GPS, Wearables, Wearable Technologies, Activity Tracker,

43 Sensors, Medical-grade wearables

44 **Abstract**

45 Wearable technology is going through a remarkable period of development by an ever-
46 increasing number of small start-ups to large established companies and an exciting array of
47 new applications in a variety of fields including exploration, fashion, gaming, military,
48 medical, sport and fitness are being introduced to the marketplace. Despite this
49 considerable interest the application of wearables, there are also well-founded concerns
50 among sport regulatory bodies and exercise scientists. For example, there is a lack of
51 empirical evidence to support the numerous and sometimes outlandish claims made by
52 some manufacturers of wearable companies. The potential partnerships between wearable
53 technology companies and the scientific community would help in the further advancement
54 and adoption of this technology across sports. Live streaming of real-time physiologic and
55 kinematic data is an advancement in wearable technology that shows great promise in many
56 aspects of health, fitness and sport. Backing up these advancements and claims with
57 rigorous scientific evidence will positively impact athletes, sports, scientists, the wearable
58 technology industry and sport.

59

60 **Background**

61 A variety of wearable sensor technologies (hereon in referred to as “wearables”) are being
62 developed by an ever-increasing number of companies and receiving considerable attention
63 from the athletic community. Wearables can be defined as small, lightweight devices worn
64 on, close to, or even in the body where they monitor, analyze, transmit and/or receive data
65 from other devices and/or cloud services to provide biofeedback real time to the user (1).
66 Wearables can be used by a wide range of individuals engaged in activities of daily living or
67 training and competing as amateur or professional athletes. Wearables may be used to
68 monitor and analyze physiological parameters and individualize training programs to
69 enhance performance and/or health (2-4). Pedometers were amongst the first wearables
70 developed to measure physical activity by the polymath Leonardo da Vinci some 500 years
71 ago (5). da Vinci’s mechanism was designed to measure vertical movements by moving a
72 lever up and down, resulting in the rotation of a gear and this remains the basis of modern
73 day devices. Major advances in technology over the past two decades have resulted in the
74 triaxial accelerometer that measures movements in the anteroposterior, mediolateral, and
75 vertical direction, alleviating the limitations of previous devices (6). Accelerometry-based

76 wearables are currently the recommended method to objectively assess physical activity and
77 interventions aimed at improving health-related outcomes (7).

78

79 In professional rugby union, a device that incorporates global navigation satellite systems
80 (GNSS), accelerometry, and gyroscope technology is now routinely fitted to the underside of
81 each player's jersey between the shoulder blades. These wearable microsensors allow player
82 movement to be recorded and reported live during match-play, providing team coaches with
83 key performance "metrics" such as total distance covered by a player in match-play, number
84 of accelerations and decelerations, and "impact" (26) during any given contact or tackle. It is
85 claimed that these performance metrics enable team coaches to track and plan the match
86 play strategy. Changes in sporting rules and regulations have facilitated the use of these
87 devices. For example, the Competition Rule 144 d of the International Association of
88 Athletics Federations (2018-2019) on assistance allows "Heart rate or speed distance
89 monitors or stride sensors or similar devices carried or worn personally by athletes during an
90 event, provided that such device cannot be used to communicate with any other person"
91 (8). Rules such as this promote the use of wearables in elite sport and encourage companies
92 to develop these tools to facilitate high-level performance.

93

94 Wearable technology emerged as the top fitness trend in a worldwide survey conducted
95 recently by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) (9), predicting sales of \$1.5 to
96 \$2.5 billion for some devices and prompting the statement that "it is unpredictable how
97 wearable technology will advance through the next decade". Advances in wearable
98 innovations are being presented by an increasing number of companies at international
99 wearable technology conferences (e.g., Medical Wearables 2018 (10)). The main marketing
100 claim being low cost and easy to use wearables that allow non- or minimally-invasive
101 monitoring of a variety of physiological and biomechanical parameters which in the past
102 were simply not possible without sophisticated, time consuming and costly laboratory
103 procedures. For example, contact lenses can continuously monitor glucose levels (11), soccer
104 shoes may be used to improve kicking accuracy (12), and fabrics may be commercially
105 available to monitor vital signs such as respiratory rate (13).

106

107 Despite the revolutionary potential of wearables, there are well-founded concerns about the
108 wearable industry (14). The main criticisms relate to the lack of evidence for the beneficial
109 effects of analysing a specific parameter in a given context or isolation, the quality of
110 hardware and provided data, information overload, data security, and exaggerated
111 marketing claims (1,14-16). For these reasons, athletes, regulatory bodies, and relevant
112 stakeholders are becoming increasingly sceptical about wearables. The shaky reputation of
113 some wearables is having a detrimental effect on the reputation of evidence-based devices.
114 Aggressive and exaggerated marketing claims and the hasty launch of wearable products
115 with only internal validation and reliability studies, and no external evaluation, is highly
116 problematic (14). Wearable devices that employ biological data for health purposes ought to
117 be required to undergo rigorous evaluation prior to being launched on the market similar to
118 the process pharmaceutical industries use to test their products (14). Backing up the
119 marketing claims of non-invasive wearable technology developers with independent
120 scientific evidence would positively impact sports, fitness, and health market. Failure to do
121 so should be subject to financial and other penalties (17,18). Wearable technology that is
122 backed by quality science will be more profitable and sustainable in the long run and the
123 companies involved will have a much higher return on their investment.

124

125 **Current applications**

126 A recent example used in elite sport and associated with the International Federation of
127 Sports Medicine (FIMS) is the mobile application developed by sport scientists and engineers
128 for the Sub2hrs marathon project (19,20). The Sub2hrs project is the first dedicated
129 international multidisciplinary research initiative to include scientists from academia, elite
130 athletes, and strategic industry partners with the aim of running a sub two-hour marathon
131 while promoting doping-free and fair sport. The Sub2 mobile application (Figure 1) was
132 developed to serve as a “hub” to aggregate a range of data feeds to assist elite runners and
133 their support teams to improve athletic performance. In addition, the “hub” is intended to
134 improve the experience of spectators through real time broadcasting of information
135 pertaining to the “live” performance. This application can provide highly precise real-time
136 measures for athletes and their support teams, such as distance run and speed using a
137 proprietary algorithm. A number of sensors to measure heart rate, running economy, and
138 core temperature along with other physiological and kinematic parameters (e.g., contact

139 time, cadence, strike angle) can be integrated to provide a holistic and compressive overview
140 of the activity and its impact upon the athlete. The app provides a live data feed of land and
141 air temperature based on geostationary satellite data as well as state-of-the-art machine
142 learning techniques. This is facilitated through a Cloud-based portal allowing the athlete
143 support team to view the data on a desktop, tablet, or a smartphone in real time anywhere
144 around the globe with internet access. The Sub2 mobile application runs on smartwatches
145 with the Android Wear 2.0 operating system and standalone connectivity, overcoming the
146 need for the smart watch to be paired to a smartphone (Figure 1). Historically, such capacity
147 to transmit biometric data such as body temperature, pace, cadence, heart rate, and
148 breathing rate in real-time during a race was only possible using tablets held by nearby
149 cyclists following the runners at all times (21) or by recording singular data points at
150 predetermined distances or times along the course. The app performance was tested on an
151 elite female athlete during the recent Seville marathon (Figure 2). Physiological and
152 biomechanical parameters were monitored and transmitted live to scientific support staff in
153 the UK, South Korea, and Ethiopia through the Sub2 mobile application.

154

155 Daily life is becoming increasingly sedentary, and physical inactivity is a global pandemic.
156 Applications and wearables have great potential as tools to promote and increase the levels
157 of daily physical activity (22). Although the use of this technology is a promising alternative
158 to combat inactivity, the efficacy of this approach remains to be determined. In a recent
159 review of 111 studies (23), less than one-third were optimized for effectiveness,
160 engagement, and acceptability and the review concluded that guidelines were needed to
161 facilitate the synthesis of evidence across disciplines.

162

163 **Scientific basis of wearable parameters**

164 The potential to measure almost every foreseeable parameter with a wearable is real.
165 However, not every parameter is meaningful to either the recreational and/or competitive
166 athlete (16). Using the prior Rugby Union example, monitoring the covered distance during
167 match play and/or training using GNSS may provide some interesting information but
168 knowing the covered distance *per se* is unlikely to optimize performance and/or reduce the
169 likelihood of injuries as claimed by the manufacturer. There are increased efforts to
170 understand the relationship between covered distances in different intensity zones and the

171 likelihood of injury (24,25,27,28). In this context, it is important not to confuse the
172 association between a parameter (in this case the covered distance) and an outcome (the
173 likelihood of injury) with the ability of a parameter to predict injury (29,30).

174

175 Research to develop evidence-based algorithms that support the use of specific parameters
176 to predict injuries and potentially aid in injury prevention is needed. It is important to
177 investigate the interaction between monitored parameters and aspects of performance
178 and/or health that wearables may detect. Collaborative efforts between sport practitioners,
179 engineers, data analysts, sports medicine personnel and other relevant groups will form a
180 science base for the application of this technology. Easy access to raw data from wearable
181 devices would speed advances and benefit the athlete, scientific community, manufacturer,
182 and practitioner. Wearable companies typically work in isolation to safeguard their
183 intellectual property. In the future, if wearable companies are to become more evidence-
184 based in their approach, they will need to develop multidisciplinary teams that place greater
185 value on research and development.

186

187 **Quality control**

188 Quality control of the hardware and the data generated is crucial for wearables to improve
189 athlete performance and health. While there are many wearables that claim to deliver
190 reliable and valid data to the user (31,32), few wearables have had rigorous independent
191 testing (1). Independent research institutions should validate the reliability of wearable
192 technology prior to releasing the products on the market (1,33). Recommendations exist for
193 the assessment of reliability, sensitivity and validity of data provided by wearables (34).

194 Hardware should also be tested to reduce the risk of harm to the user. Third party,
195 independently verified quality assurance, durability (battery life), survivability (water
196 resistance) and data protection would significantly enhance a products reputation and
197 potentially use (35,36). Good quality control of the hardware, the safety and privacy of the
198 data would increase the reliability of the data generated and improve the comparison
199 between devices.

200

201 **Improving user interface**

202 Wearables need to be simple and time-efficient for a high level of compliance and usage
203 (33). Monitoring simple subjective data (e.g., ratings of perceived exertion) can be done with
204 a touch interface and advancements in voice recognition allow more complex data to be
205 gathered verbally (37). Collaboration with athletes is needed to determine the most suitable
206 form of instant feedback, i.e. what information do they need to know to improve
207 performance while not being distracted from their surroundings. Regardless of the
208 presentation medium, smartwatch, phone/tablet, or computer screen, the information
209 needs to be in an informative and easily understandable format (39). This is critical when
210 elite athletes are the target and the slightest distraction may decrease performance in
211 disciplines where concentration is paramount to success (e.g., Formula 1, MotoGP, and
212 cycling) and participant safety. In the future, biofeedback that is not provided instantly could
213 possibly be provided in a virtual reality environment allowing the athlete to receive the
214 feedback and implement strategies and see if it makes a positive impact on performance
215 (38). Future studies are needed to evaluate the most useful and suitable form of feedback
216 for different athletic tasks and disciplines and to present the data in an understandable and
217 attractive format (39).

218

219 **Data collection and handling**

220 To enhance high-level performance a variety of multiple wearables will likely need to be
221 connected to gather the relevant data within a single database for interpretation. Data that
222 is standardised and easy to share will enhance and facilitate collaboration and big data
223 analytics may identify new relationships between the parameters measured, further
224 enhancing sports performance and health (1,40,41). Developing such large databases and
225 the algorithms they may produce will require the collaborative effort of data service
226 providers, exercise scientists, athletes, and data analysts to generate meaningful and useful
227 information. The motivation to use wearables varies between the populations using them.
228 However, if production of the device is not sustainable and the data is not reliable, valid
229 and/or actionable, no one will ever benefit from this technology.

230

231 **Concluding remarks**

232 In the future, athletes will have the option to use an increasing number of wearables and
233 each new device should add beneficial information to the training process with the goal of

234 helping sports scientists and health care providers improve their athlete's or patient's
235 performance. Sharing data between the athletes, exercise scientists, hardware and software
236 engineers, and other stakeholders has the potential to improve wearable devices and
237 technology for competitive athletes.

238

239 **Conflict of interest**

240 Wearable Technologies AG offers, together with TÜV SÜD, commercial quality control of
241 hardware employed by wearables.

242

243 **References**

244

245 1. Düking P, Hotho A, Holmberg HC, Fuss FK, Sperlich B. Comparison of non-invasive individual
246 monitoring of the training and health of athletes with commercially available wearable
247 technologies. *Front Physiol.* 2016;7:71.

248 2. Brud L. Amendments to the Laws of the Game – 2015/2016 and information on the
249 completed reform of The International Football Association Board. 2015 [cited 2018 Feb 19];
250 Available from:

251 http://resources.fifa.com/mm/document/affederation/ifab/02/60/91/38/circular_log_amen
252 [dments_2015_v1.0_en_neutral.pdf](http://resources.fifa.com/mm/document/affederation/ifab/02/60/91/38/circular_log_amen).

253 3. Düking P, Holmberg HC, Sperlich B. Instant biofeedback provided by wearable sensor
254 technology can help to optimize exercise and prevent injury and overuse. *Front Physiol.*
255 2017;8:167.

256 4. Baas J. Use digitization to promote health and the solidarity community. [cited 2017 Nov 5];
257 Available from:

258 <http://www.webcitation.org/query?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.tk.de%2Ftk%2Fthemen%2Fdi>
259 [gitale-gesundheit%2Fgesundheitsfoederung-durch-fitnesstracker-interview-dr-jens-](http://www.webcitation.org/query?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.tk.de%2Ftk%2Fthemen%2Fdi)
260 [baas%2F931248&date=2017-11-05](http://www.webcitation.org/query?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.tk.de%2Ftk%2Fthemen%2Fdi).

261 5. Montoye HJ. Measuring Physical Activity and Energy Expenditure. *J Hum Kinet.* 1996. p. 3-
262 118.

263 6. Plasqui G, Westerterp KR. Physical activity assessment with accelerometers: an evaluation
264 against doubly labeled water. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2007; 15(10):2371-9.

265 7. Ojiambo R, Konstabel K, Veidebaum T, et al. Validity of hip-mounted uniaxial accelerometry
266 with heart-rate monitoring vs. triaxial accelerometry in the assessment of free-living energy
267 expenditure in young children: the IDEFICS Validation Study. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2012;
268 113(10):1530-6.

269 8. IAAF Competition Rules 2018-2019, 144 (2017).

270 9. Thompson W. Worldwide survey of fitness trends for 2017. *ACSM's Health Fit J.* 2016;20(6):8-
271 17.

272 10. Medical Wearables, 3rd Annual Conference and Exhibition. 3rd Annual Conference and
273 Exhibition; 2018; Santa Clara, California: Medical Wearables, 2018.

274 11. Ascaso FJ, Huerva V. Noninvasive continuous monitoring of tear glucose using glucose-
275 sensing contact lenses. *Optom Vis Sci.* 2016 Apr;93(4):426-34.

276 12. Fuss FK, Düking P, Weizman Y. Discovery of a sweet spot on the foot with a smart wearable
277 soccer boot sensor that maximises the chances of scoring a curved kick in soccer. *Front*
278 *Physiol.* 2018;9:63.

- 279 13. Lo Presti D, Massaroni C, Saccomandi P, Caponero MA, Formica D, Schena E. A wearable
280 textile for respiratory monitoring: Feasibility assessment and analysis of sensors position on
281 system response. Conference proceedings: Annual International Conference of the IEEE
282 Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society
283 Annual Conference. 2017:4423-6.
- 284 14. Sperlich B, Holmberg HC. Wearable, yes, but able...?: It is time for evidence-based marketing
285 claims! *British J Sports Med*. 2017;51(16):1240.
- 286 15. Halson SL, Peake JM, Sullivan JP. Wearable technology for athletes: information overload and
287 pseudoscience? *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2016;11(6):705-6.
- 288 16. Meyer T. How Much Scientific Diagnostics for High-performance Football? *Sci Med Football*;
289 2017;1(2), p. 95.
- 290 17. Federal Trade Commission. Reebok to Pay \$25 Million in Customer Refunds To Settle FTC
291 Charges of Deceptive Advertising of EasyTone and RunTone Shoes. Federal Trade
292 Commission; 2011 [cited 2018 Feb 19]; Available from: [https://www.ftc.gov/news-](https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2011/09/reebok-pay-25-million-customer-refunds-settle-ftc-charges)
293 [events/press-releases/2011/09/reebok-pay-25-million-customer-refunds-settle-ftc-charges](https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2011/09/reebok-pay-25-million-customer-refunds-settle-ftc-charges).
- 294 18. Christensen CJ. Fitbit accuracy questioned in lawsuit. CNN; 2018; [cited 2018 Feb 19].
295 Available from: [https://www.cnn.com/2016/05/20/health/fitbit-accuracy-](https://www.cnn.com/2016/05/20/health/fitbit-accuracy-questioned/index.html)
296 [questioned/index.html](https://www.cnn.com/2016/05/20/health/fitbit-accuracy-questioned/index.html).
- 297 19. Group V. Vodafone joins attempt to break two hour marathon barrier. Vodafone; 2017; [cited
298 2018 Feb 19]. Available from: [http://www.vodafone.com/content/index/what/technology-](http://www.vodafone.com/content/index/what/technology-blog/sub2.html)
299 [blog/sub2.html](http://www.vodafone.com/content/index/what/technology-blog/sub2.html).
- 300 20. Pitsiladis Y, Ferriani I, Geistlinger M, de Hon O, Bosch A, Pigozzi F. A holistic antidoping
301 approach for a fairer future for sport. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2017;16(4):222-4.
- 302 21. Range of runners will supply biometric data for marathon's TV broadcast. Sports Business
303 Journal; 2016; Available from:
304 [https://www.sportsbusinessdaily.com/Journal/Issues/2016/10/31/Marketing-and-](https://www.sportsbusinessdaily.com/Journal/Issues/2016/10/31/Marketing-and-Sponsorship/NYC-Marathon-biometrics.aspx)
305 [Sponsorship/NYC-Marathon-biometrics.aspx](https://www.sportsbusinessdaily.com/Journal/Issues/2016/10/31/Marketing-and-Sponsorship/NYC-Marathon-biometrics.aspx).
- 306 22. Simons D, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Clarys P, et al. A smartphone app to promote an active
307 lifestyle in lower-educated working young adults: Development, Usability, Acceptability, and
308 Feasibility Study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2018;6(2).
- 309 23. McCallum C, Rooksby J, Gray CM. Evaluating the impact of physical activity apps and
310 wearables: Interdisciplinary review. *JMIR mHealth uHealth*. 2018;6(3):e58.
- 311 24. Chambers R, Gabbett TJ, Cole MH, Beard A. The use of wearable microsensors to quantify
312 sport-specific movements. *Sports Med*. 2015 Jul;45(7):1065-81.
- 313 25. Li RT, Kling SR, Salata MJ, Cupp SA, Sheehan J, Voos JE. Wearable performance devices in
314 sports medicine. *Sports Health*. 2016. p. 74-8.

- 315 26. Gabbett TJ. Quantifying the physical demands of collision sports: does microsensor
316 technology measure what it claims to measure? *J Strength Cond Res.* 2013; 27(8):2319-22.
- 317 27. Gabbett TJ, Ullah S. Relationship between running loads and soft-tissue injury in elite team
318 sport athletes. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012 Apr;26(4):953-60.
- 319 28. Gabbett TJ. The training-injury prevention paradox: should athletes be training smarter and
320 harder? *British J Sports Med.* 2016;50(5):273-80.
- 321 29. Bahr R. Why screening tests to predict injury do not work-and probably never will: a critical
322 review. *British J Sports Med.* 2016 Jul;50(13):776-80.
- 323 30. Fanchini M, Rampinini E, Riggio M, Coutts AJ, Pecci C, McCall A. Despite association, the
324 acute: chronic work load ratio does not predict non-contact injury in elite footballers. *Sci Med
325 Football.* 2018:1-7.
- 326 31. Giles D, Draper N, Neil W. Validity of the Polar V800 heart rate monitor to measure RR
327 intervals at rest. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2016;116(3):563-71.
- 328 32. Roe G, Darrall-Jones J, Black C, Shaw W, Till K, Jones B. Validity of 10 HZ GPS and timing gates
329 for assessing maximum velocity in professional rugby union players. *Int J Sports Physiol
330 Perform.* 2016; 13:1-14.
- 331 33. Starling LT, Lambert MI. Monitoring rugby players for fitness and fatigue: What do coaches
332 want? *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2017; 15:1-30.
- 333 34. Düking P, Fuss F, Holmberg H, Sperlich B. Recommendations for assessment of the reliability,
334 sensitivity and validity of data provided by wearable sensors. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.*
335 (Forthcoming).
- 336 35. Kang THaC. Demands Grow for Facebook to Explain Its Privacy Policies. 2018 20180326.
- 337 36. Sued T. Certification mark for fitness trackers. [cited 2018 March 14]. Available from:
338 [https://www.tuev-sued.de/product-testing/certificates/certification-mark-for-fitness-
339 trackers.](https://www.tuev-sued.de/product-testing/certificates/certification-mark-for-fitness-trackers)
- 340 37. MarketsandMarkets. Speech and Voice Recognition Market by Technology (Speech
341 Recognition, Voice Recognition), Vertical (Automotive, Consumer, Banking, Financial Services
342 and Insurance (BFSI), Retail, Education, Healthcare & Government) and Geography - Global
343 Forecast to 2023. 2017. Available from: [https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-
344 Reports/speech-voice-recognition-market-202401714.html.](https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/speech-voice-recognition-market-202401714.html)
- 345 38. Düking P, Holmberg H-C, Sperlich B. The potential usefulness of virtual reality systems for
346 athletes: A short SWOT analysis. *Front Physiol.* 2018; March-05;9:128.
- 347 39. Buchheit M. Want to see my report, coach. Sport Science Reporting in the Real World
348 Aspetar. *Sports Med J.* 2017;6:36-42.
- 349 40. Halson SL. Monitoring training load to understand fatigue in athletes. *Sports Med.* 2014;44
350 Suppl 2:S139-47.

351 41. Sperlich B, Holmberg HC. The responses of elite athletes to exercise: An all-day, 24-h
352 integrative view is required! *Front Physiol.* 2017;8:564.

353