

## Communication

# Net CO<sub>2</sub> Assimilation Rate of Grapevine Leaves in Response to Trunk Girdling and Gibberellic Acid Application<sup>1</sup>

Received for publication September 9, 1986

DEAN C. HARRELL AND LARRY E. WILLIAMS\*

Department of Viticulture and Enology, University of California, Davis, and The Kearney Agricultural Center, 9240 S. Riverband Avenue, Parlier, California 93648

### ABSTRACT

Net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (A), stomatal conductance (g<sub>s</sub>), and weight per unit leaf area (W) were determined on Thompson Seedless grapevines grown in the field. Treatments included fruit set applications of gibberellic acid (40 milligrams gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) per liter) to vines, shoots and clusters, alone and in combination with trunk girdling. Leaf A and g<sub>s</sub> were measured prior to and 3, 6, and 13 days after fruit set. Weight per unit leaf area was determined on leaves collected subsequent to gas exchange measurements. Leaf A of girdled vines was reduced approximately 30% when compared to the control 13 days after treatment. The reduction in A due to girdling was not as great when vines were sprayed with GA<sub>3</sub>. GA<sub>3</sub> sprays alone had no significant effect on A. Stomatal conductance was reduced by girdling 13 days after treatment. Weight per unit leaf area was 17% greater for trunk girdled vines when compared to the controls. Results indicate GA<sub>3</sub> affected net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate only on girdled vines, a treatment which increased weight per unit leaf area.

GA<sub>3</sub> on A of bean plants (1, 9). GA<sub>3</sub> application has been shown to change assimilate distribution in kikuyu grass (7). Cluster or vine GA<sub>3</sub> sprays also increased the amount of assimilates directed into the fruit of Black Corinth grapevines, from the leaf above the cluster (20).

There is no information as to the effect of a combination of GA<sub>3</sub> and girdling on grapevine leaf net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation despite their combined use to increase berry weight. The objectives of this study were to determine the effects of girdling, GA<sub>3</sub> application, and a combination of both practices on leaf A, stomatal conductance (g<sub>s</sub>), and weight per unit leaf area of Thompson Seedless grapevines in a field situation. This grape cultivar was chosen since its berry weight consistently has been increased by GA<sub>3</sub> applications and girdling (4, 17).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Vitis vinifera* L. (cv Thompson Seedless) grapevines used in this study were 16-year-old vines grown at the University of California, Kearney Agricultural Center near Fresno, CA. Pre-treatment cultural practices consisted of an application of GA<sub>3</sub> (Pro-Gibb 2%, containing 16 g GA<sub>3</sub>/L, Abbott Laboratories, Fresno, CA) at a rate of 18.6 g/ha during bloom. Cluster number was reduced to 18 per vine by hand thinning. Treatments at fruit set (average berry diameter 5.4 mm) included GA<sub>3</sub> sprayed vines (GA<sub>3</sub>-vine), shoots only (GA<sub>3</sub>-shoot), clusters only (GA<sub>3</sub>-cluster), and unsprayed controls. Additional treatments were imposed by trunk girdling alone, and in conjunction with GA<sub>3</sub> sprayed vines (Gird+GA<sub>3</sub>-vine), shoots only (Gird+GA<sub>3</sub>-shoot), and clusters only (Gird+GA<sub>3</sub>-cluster). The eight treatments were replicated six times in a randomized complete block design. The GA<sub>3</sub> solution (40 mg/L) was applied at the rate of 74.2 g/ha. For the shoot only sprayed treatments, clusters were shielded from the spray by covering with plastic bags and promptly removed after the application. A 40 mg/L GA<sub>3</sub> solution was sprayed on cluster treatments to the point of runoff. Vines were trunk girdled with a double-bladed 4.8 mm knife and rechecked for completeness.

Vines in each block were designated for either gas exchange or W measurements. Gas exchange measurements were made 2 d before, and 3, 6, and 13 d after fruit set. Leaf A and g<sub>s</sub> were determined in three of six blocks. The most recent, fully expanded leaves with exposure to solar radiation throughout the day were selected on each 'gas exchange' vine. Four leaves per vine were chosen on separate fruiting shoots and used repeatedly for the remainder of the study. Measurements commenced at 1000 h and were completed by approximately 1500 h. The photosynthetic photon fluence rate at the leaf surface exceeded 1.5 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> during all measurements. Leaf temperatures averaged 35°C throughout the study. Daily maximum ambient

Trunk girdling and GA<sub>3</sub> applications long have been used commercially to increase the weight of seedless grapes (4, 17). Presently both practices are used in conjunction with one another as their effects have been shown to be synergistic on increasing berry weight (17). It also has been shown these cultural practices affect net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (3, 5, 8, 16), stomatal conductance (2, 3), and carbohydrate distribution (7, 20) in various plant species including *Vitis vinifera*. Girdling and GA<sub>3</sub> application alters grapevine leaf (2, 8), shoot (15) and berry (19) hormone concentrations. Girdled grapevines have higher ABA concentrations in leaves (2, 8), and may or may not have higher GA<sub>3</sub> concentrations in fruit above the girdle (19) when compared to control vines. High concentrations of ABA in leaves have been shown to reduce stomatal conductance and leaf net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (2, 8, 14). The accumulation of carbohydrates above the girdle (5, 18) also has been implicated in reducing leaf net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate of grapevines (5). The association between A<sup>2</sup> and carbohydrate level is not unique to grapevines, and has been shown in numerous plants when girdled (5, 15). GA<sub>3</sub> sprays have been shown to increase A of several species (7, 16, 20), however, conflicting reports have been published on the effect of

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by a Jastro Shields Research Scholarship to D. C. H. and a Faculty Research grant to L. E. W.

<sup>2</sup> Abbreviations: DAT, days after treatment; A, net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate; W, weight per unit leaf area.

temperatures during the experimental period, May 24 to June 9, 1984, were in excess of 40°C. Leaf CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapor exchange were measured in blocks. Net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate, stomatal conductance and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration were determined in an open system and calculated similar to that described by Williams and Smith (21).

Weight per unit leaf area was determined on leaves at two shoot positions. The positions were located opposite the cluster and on the shoot at a position analogous to where A was measured. Leaves were collected from one shoot in the six blocks, on each sampling date. Each shoot was sampled once. Leaf samples for W were collected from 1900 to 2100 h. Leaf area was measured with a LiCor 3100 area meter, and leaves subsequently were dried in a forced air oven at 75°C and dry weights taken. Analyses of variance were conducted and comparisons among treatment means were made using least significant difference.

## RESULTS

Pretreatment A averaged 20  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates of these vines were unaffected by trunk girdling 3 d after fruit set, however, 6 and 13 DAT, girdled vines had significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower rates of A than did ungirdled vines (Table I). Net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates of most girdled treatments decreased each day measurements were taken after fruit set, but the greatest decrease was for girdled vines without GA<sub>3</sub> spray (Table I). Leaf A was not significantly affected by GA<sub>3</sub> treatments.

There were no significant treatment effects on  $g_s$ , 3 and 6 d after the treatments were imposed. Stomatal conductance was reduced on unsprayed, and GA<sub>3</sub> shoot and cluster sprayed vines that were girdled, however, it increased for GA<sub>3</sub> sprayed vines that were girdled, 13 DAT. This resulted in a significant interaction between girdling and GA. There were no significant differences in the intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration among treatments throughout the study.

The weight per unit leaf area of all vines averaged 5.2 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> 2 d prior to fruit set. Weight per unit leaf area from girdled and ungirdled vines averaged 5.8 and 5.3 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>, 13 DAT, respec-

Table I. Net CO<sub>2</sub> Assimilation rate and Weight per Unit Leaf Area of Field Grown Thompson Seedless Vines as Influenced by Girdling and GA<sub>3</sub> Application at Fruit Set (Day 0)

Leaves selected for net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate measurements were fully expanded and exposed to solar radiation throughout the day. Readings were taken in blocks on the same set of leaves each measurement day.

Treatment	Days from Treatment		
	CO <sub>2</sub> assimilation rate		Weight 13
	6	13	
	$\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$		$\text{mg cm}^{-2}$
1. Control	18.9	19.4	5.2
2. GA <sub>3</sub> -vine	19.6	17.9	5.2
3. GA <sub>3</sub> -shoot	20.2	21.2	5.4
4. GA <sub>3</sub> -cluster	21.0	20.3	5.4
5. Girdled	15.0	13.0	6.1
6. Gird + GA <sub>3</sub> -vine	18.2	15.2	5.6
7. Gird + GA <sub>3</sub> -shoot	18.2	15.1	5.8
8. Gird + GA <sub>3</sub> -cluster	18.0	15.8	5.6
LSD = 0.05			
Girdled	1.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.7 <sup>a</sup>	0.2 <sup>a</sup>
GA <sub>3</sub>	NS <sup>b</sup>	NS	NS
Interaction	NS	NS	NS

<sup>a</sup> Also significant at the 1% level. <sup>b</sup> NS, not significant.

tively. GA<sub>3</sub> alone did not directly affect W, however, it reduced W of girdled vines (Table I).

## DISCUSSION

Leaves of girdled Thompson Seedless grapevines grown in the field had lower net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates when compared to ungirdled vines by 6 DAT (Table I). These results are similar to what occurred when potted grapevines were girdled (5, 8). GA<sub>3</sub>, when used as the sole treatment had no effect on leaf CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate. Previously it had been demonstrated that A of several crop species (1, 16) was increased due to GA<sub>3</sub> application. GA<sub>3</sub> applications, however, reduced the depressing effect of girdling on A in this study. The relative effect of each GA treatment in conjunction with girdling, depended in part on areas of the vine sprayed with this phytohormone (Table I).

The reduction of A by girdling may be due to either ABA of feedback inhibition. ABA accumulation in leaf tissue can reduce the stomatal aperture of girdled plants (2), thus lowering the rates of net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (8, 14). Alternatively, feedback inhibition (10) may result from a buildup of carbohydrates in the leaves of girdled vines. Carbohydrate levels have been shown to increase above the girdle in several grape cultivars (5, 8, 18) as did weight per unit leaf area in this study (Table I). Leaf A may be reduced by an accumulation of photosynthetic products (6, 10). ABA accumulation and feedback inhibition appear to be distinct from one another, as carbohydrate accumulation is not a prerequisite for reduced stomatal aperture and ABA accumulation (14).

It has been shown that GA<sub>3</sub> may enhance the export of leaf carbohydrates in grape (20). The greatest increase of W in this study was due to girdling, with smaller increases when girdled vines were sprayed with GA<sub>3</sub>. The difference in W between girdled and girdled+GA<sub>3</sub> sprayed vines provides indirect evidence that GA<sub>3</sub> may have facilitated the export of carbohydrates from the leaves in this study. It previously has been shown that short-term increases in W are due to an accumulation of nonstructural carbohydrates and starch (11). Thus, the intermediate value of A measured on girdled vines when sprayed with GA<sub>3</sub> compared to the control and girdled only vines, suggest that feedback inhibition may have played an important role in regulating A of vines on which these cultural practices were performed. These results also may explain differences among studies with regard to the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on leaf CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (1, 7, 9, 16). GA<sub>3</sub> may enhance A only under conditions in which feedback inhibition may be regulating photosynthesis. At present, we know of no antagonistic effects of GA on ABA that also would explain the above results.

There was a significant reduction in stomatal conductance of the girdled vines 13 DAT. If A had been reduced without a concomitant decrease in  $g_s$ , intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $c_i$ ) would have increased. The lack of a significant difference in  $c_i$  among treatments 13 DAT, is consistent with the view that C<sub>3</sub> plants maintain constant  $c_i$  under various conditions (12). The stomatal response of these vines most likely was to maintain a constant  $c_i$  when the leaf's mesophyll capacity was reduced from girdling.

Results from this study demonstrated that A of field grown Thompson Seedless grapevines was reduced due to trunk girdling. The effect of girdling on A and W was altered by GA<sub>3</sub> applications. Additional studies are in progress to determine whether the alterations in leaf CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and weight per unit leaf area shortly after girdling and GA<sub>3</sub> applications, are related to the rapid increase in berry size that previously has been shown during the same time measurements were made in this experiment (13).

*Acknowledgment*—We thank Dr. T. M. DeJong for helpful suggestions during preparation of this manuscript.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. BIDWELL RGS, WB TURNER 1966 Effect of growth regulators on CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves, and its correlation with the budbreak response in photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol* 41: 267-270
2. DURING H 1978 Studies on the environmentally controlled stomatal transpiration in grape vines. II. Effects of girdling and temperatures. *Vitis* 17: 1-9
3. HOFACKER W 1978 Investigation on the photosynthesis of vines. Influence of defoliation, topping, girdling and removal of grapes. *Vitis* 17: 10-22
4. JACOB HE 1931 Girdling grapevines. *Calif Agric Ext Serv Circ* 56: 1-18
5. KRIEDEMANN PE, F LENZ 1972 The response of vine leaf photosynthesis to shoot tip excision and stem cincturing. *Vitis* 11: 193-197.
6. KRIEDEMANN PE, BR LOVEYS, WJS DOWNTON, JV POSSINGHAM, M SATOH 1976 Sink effects on stomatal physiology and photosynthesis. In MG Cook, LT Evans, eds, *Transport and Transfer Processes in Plants*. Academic Press, New York, pp 401-414
7. LESTER DC, OG CARTER, FM KELLEHER, DR LAING 1972 The effect of gibberellic acid on apparent photosynthesis and dark respiration of simulated swards of *Pennisetum clandestinum* Hochst. *Aust J Agric Res* 23: 205-213
8. LOVEYS BR, PE KRIEDEMANN 1974 Internal control of stomatal physiology and photosynthesis. I. Stomatal regulation and associated changes in endogenous levels of abscisic and phasic acids. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 1: 407-415
9. MARCELLE R, H CLUISTERS, G OBEN, R BRONCHART, JM MICHAEL 1974 Effects of CCC and GA<sub>3</sub> on photosynthesis of primary bean leaves. In *Proceedings, Plant Growth Substances VIIIth International Conference*. Hirokawa Publ. Co, Tokyo, pp 1169-1174
10. NEALES TF, LD INCOLL 1968 The control of leaf photosynthesis rate by the level of assimilate concentration in the leaf: a review of the hypothesis. *Bot Rev* 34:107-125
11. POTTER JR, PJ BREEN 1980 Maintenance of high photosynthetic rates during the accumulation of high leaf starch levels in sunflower and soybean. *Plant Physiol* 67: 257-260
12. RAMOS C, AE HALL 1982 Relationship between leaf conductance, intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rate in two C<sub>3</sub> and two C<sub>4</sub> plant species. *Photosynthetica* 16: 343-355
13. SACHS RM, RJ WEAVER 1968 Gibberellin and auxin-induced berry enlargement in *Vitis vinifera* L. *J Hort Sci* 43: 185-195
14. SETTER TL, WA BRUN, ML BRENNER 1980 Effect of obstructed translocation on leaf abscisic acid, and associated stomatal closure and photosynthesis decline. *Plant Physiol* 65: 1111-1115
15. SKENE KGM 1972 The effect of ringing on cytokinin activity in shoots of the grape vine. *J Exp Bot* 23: 768-774
16. TREHARNE KJ, JL STODDART 1968 Effects of gibberellin on photosynthesis in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.). *Nature* 220: 457-458
17. WEAVER RJ, SB MCCUNE 1959 Effect of gibberellin on seedless *Vitis vinifera*. *Hilgardia* 29: 247-275
18. WEAVER RJ, SB MCCUNE 1959 Girdling: its relation to carbohydrate nutrition and development of Thompson Seedless, Red Malaga, and Ribier grapes. *Hilgardia* 28: 421-456
19. WEAVER RJ, RM POOL 1965 Relation of seededness and ringing to gibberellin-like activity in berries of *Vitis vinifera*. *Plant Physiol* 40: 770-776
20. WEAVER RJ, W SHINDY, WM KLIEWER 1969 Growth regulator induced movement of photosynthetic products into fruits of 'Black Corinth' grapes. *Plant Physiol* 44: 183-188
21. WILLIAMS LE, RJ SMITH 1965 Net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate and nitrogen content of grape leaves subsequent of fruit harvest. *J Am Soc Hort Sci* 110: 846-850