

23 **Abstract**

24 Gambling disorder affects 0.4 to 1.6% of adults worldwide, and is highly comorbid with other
25 mental health disorders. This article provides a concise primer on the neural and psychological
26 underpinnings of gambling disorder based on a selective review of the literature. Gambling
27 disorder is associated with dysfunction across multiple cognitive domains which can be
28 considered in terms of impulsivity and compulsivity. Neuroimaging data suggest structural and
29 functional abnormalities of networks involved in reward processing and top-down control.
30 Gambling disorder shows 50-60% heritability and it is likely that various neurochemical systems
31 are implicated in the pathophysiology (including dopaminergic, glutamatergic, serotonergic,
32 noradrenergic, and opioidergic). Elevated rates of certain personality traits (e.g. negative
33 urgency, disinhibition), and personality disorders, are found. More research is required to
34 evaluate whether cognitive dysfunction and personality aspects influence the longitudinal course
35 and treatment outcome for gambling disorder. It is hoped that improved understanding of the
36 biological and psychological components of gambling disorder, and their interactions, may lead
37 to improved treatment approaches and raise the profile of this neglected condition.

38

39 **Keywords:** gambling; cognition; personality; genetics; imaging

40

41 **1. Introduction**

42 Gambling disorder is characterized by persistent and recurrent maladaptive patterns of gambling
43 behavior, leading to impaired functioning (1). Although most people who engage in gambling do
44 so responsibly and without consequent functional impairment, some individuals find that they
45 become preoccupied with gambling and cannot control their behavior despite multiple negative
46 consequences (2). Surveys suggest that the prevalence of gambling disorder in the general United
47 States population ranges from 0.42% to 1.9%, and similar rates have been reported worldwide
48 (3-5). As such, recognition of why some individuals cannot control their gambling behavior
49 appears worthy of attention from a global public health perspective (6). In recognition of
50 Gambling disorder representing a prototypical ‘behavioral addiction’, it has been recently
51 reclassified as a ‘Substance-Related and Addictive Disorder) in the Diagnostic and Statistical
52 Manual Version 5 (DSM-5) (1).

53
54 There exist several comprehensive reviews of specific aspects of gambling disorder (7-12). The
55 aim of this paper is to provide a concise primer examining the neurobiological and psychological
56 underpinnings of gambling disorder, incorporating recent evidence derived from the
57 neurosciences. We highlight implications for new treatment directions, along with limitations of
58 this approach and areas in which research is lacking.

59

60 **2. Pathophysiology of gambling disorder**

61 The behaviors that characterize gambling disorder can be regarded as impulsive, in that they are
62 often poorly thought out (or undertaken without adequate forethought), risky, and result in
63 deleterious long-term outcomes (13). Developmentally, impulsive behavior that underlies

64 gambling disorder tends to begin during late adolescence or early adulthood (14). While the
65 longitudinal profile of Gambling disorder has received little research attention, for some
66 individuals it is likely that patterns of behavior become ingrained and persist over time,
67 especially in the absence of prompt treatment interventions (3, 9).

68

69 **2.1. Neurocognition**

70 People with gambling disorder often manifest cognitive deficits consistent with tendencies
71 towards impulsivity. Objective brain-based measurable traits that deconstruct top-level
72 phenotypes into meaningful markers more closely related to the underlying etiology are
73 important in trying to understand the neurobiology of Gambling disorder and its relationship
74 with other conditions (15). Deficits in aspects of inhibition, working memory, planning,
75 cognitive flexibility, and time management/estimation have been reported in individuals with
76 gambling disorder compared to healthy volunteers (12). Individuals with gambling disorder also
77 tend to prefer small immediate rewards rather than larger delayed rewards, to the detriment of
78 long-term task outcomes (i.e. they show abnormally elevated ‘delay discounting’) (16).

79

80 Impulsivity is not the only aspect of gambling disorder with other cognitive domains likely
81 present to varying degrees in gambling disorder. Gambling disorder for many individuals, for
82 example, is associated with features of compulsivity (17). People with gambling disorder often
83 describe the behavior in ritualistic terms such as the need for “lucky” numbers or clothing to
84 result in favorable outcome. In addition, the nature of gambling behavior may change over time,
85 with early gambling being driven by reward, and later (more chronic) gambling being triggered
86 by aversive/stressful stimuli (3), or being undertaken in order to avert anxiety (17). As such,

87 there may be a shift from an initial behavior that is reward-seeking (impulsive) towards one that
88 persists to avoid negative consequences or in a habitual fashion (compulsive). Individuals with
89 gambling disorder often score high on the Padua Inventory, a measure of compulsivity (18) and
90 display marked response perseveration (19,20) and difficulties with cognitive flexibility (21).

91
92 Although studies of gambling disorder demonstrate that the behavior is associated with
93 diminished performance on inhibition, time estimation, cognitive flexibility, decision-making,
94 spatial working memory, and planning tasks, a temporal relationship has not been established
95 between cognitive deficits and clinically significant symptoms. Most likely, some cognitive
96 deficits predispose (perhaps running in families and representing candidate ‘endophenotypes’ or
97 intermediate markers of risk), while others could be a consequence of recurrent engagement in
98 gambling itself. While studies of cognitive functioning in unaffected close relatives of people
99 with gambling disorder are lacking, findings from people ‘at-risk’ of gambling disorders suggest
100 that deficits in decision-making (dependent on neural circuitry including the orbitofrontal and
101 insular cortices) are evident before the illness, while some other domains may be relatively
102 spared (22). Gambling addiction represents a useful model for exploring the ‘cause versus effect’
103 issue in addiction more broadly, since chronic gambling is presumably unlikely to exert toxic
104 effects on the brain, as compared to chronic substance misuse.

105

106 **2.2. Neuroimaging**

107 A sparse amount of research on possible neurobiological correlates of gambling disorder
108 currently exists (for reviews, please see 11-12). Most studies have focused on functional rather
109 than structural neuroimaging abnormalities. One functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)

110 study of gambling urges in male pathological gamblers suggested that gambling disorder is
111 associated with relatively decreased activation within cortical, basal ganglionic and thalamic
112 brain regions compared to control subjects (23). Recent neuroimaging studies have demonstrated
113 that gamblers also show hyporesponsiveness of the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex compared to
114 healthy controls during successful (as well as failed) response inhibition, along with a
115 hypoactive reward system (24-26). Using a graph theoretical approach (network modeling), there
116 was evidence for abnormalities in distributed brain networks in gambling disorder versus
117 controls, such as reduced local efficiency in the left supplementary motor area, and
118 hyperconnectivity between frontal brain regions including the right inferior frontal gyrus (27).
119 In terms of brain structure, there is some evidence that gambling disorder is associated with
120 excess volume of the ventral striatum and right prefrontal cortex (28).

121
122 Another area of neuroimaging research in gambling disorder is the use of radioligand measures
123 in conjunction with positron emission tomography (PET). Using this technique, the status of
124 neurochemical systems in people with gambling disorder, both in the resting state and in
125 response to pharmacological challenge, can be explored. Research so far has focused on the
126 dopamine system, given its established importance in substance addiction and more generally in
127 reward-processing (29). In substance addictions, there is considerable evidence that chronic
128 substance intake is associated with downregulation of striatal D2 receptors (30). Interestingly,
129 radioligand studies so far suggest that gambling disorder is not associated with such
130 dopaminergic D2 downregulation. In a study using raclopride (D2/D3 receptor binding) and
131 propyl-hexahydro-naphtho-oxazin (PHNO; D3 receptor binding), no significant differences in
132 inferred striatal dopamine receptor binding were found between people with gambling disorder

133 and healthy controls (31). However, PHNO binding in the substantia nigra correlated
134 significantly with gambling symptom severity. In another study, using raclopride (D2/D3
135 receptor binding), no significant differences were found between gambling disorder subjects and
136 controls in terms of inferred striatal dopamine receptor binding (32); but ‘urgency’ correlated
137 negatively with raclopride binding in the gambling disorder group. Another study, using
138 raclopride, similarly reported no group differences between gambling disorder and controls; but
139 did find that dopamine receptor binding was associated with sensation-seeking in general (33). In
140 all, these radioligand studies suggest that D2 receptor downregulation is not a general feature of
141 gambling disorder, in contrast to findings in substance use disorders. This is consistent with the
142 view that D2/D3 receptor abnormalities in substance use disorders are a consequence of the
143 effects of chronic drug intake on the reward pathways. Dopamine status is relevant to
144 personality-related factors (e.g. sensation-seeking) implicated in the development of gambling
145 disorder. It may also be that other aspects of the dopamine system, not measured using the above
146 ligands, are abnormal in gambling disorder. For example, one raclopride-PET study found an
147 inverted ‘U’ relationship between striatal dopamine release and gambling task performance in
148 pathological gamblers but not in controls, suggesting enhanced dopaminergic sensitivity to
149 uncertainty in gamblers (34).

150

151 Neuroimaging studies to date, do not permit characterization of the temporal relationship
152 between the manifestation of neural abnormalities and the symptoms that comprise gambling
153 disorder. As with the neurocognitive findings, abnormal brain structure and function could occur
154 in people ‘at-risk’ before symptoms develop, alternatively stem from the disorder itself, or
155 perhaps even reflect a secondary or incidental epiphenomenon.

156

157 **2.3. Genetic predisposition**

158 Studies have found that approximately 20% of the first-degree relatives of individuals with
159 gambling disorder also have gambling disorder (3). Research examining familial aggregation of
160 gambling disorder found that individuals with a problem gambling parent were 3.3 times more
161 likely to have gambling disorder (35). In a study using a control group to examine familial
162 aggregation, lifetime estimates of gambling disorder were significantly higher in family members
163 of gamblers (8.3%) compared to control subjects (2.1%) (36). Data from the Vietnam Era Twin
164 Registry (male adults) have shown that the heritability of gambling disorder is approximately 50-
165 60% (37-38). Further analyses of personality features and their association with the heritability
166 of gambling disorder have found that low self-control is associated with the genetic risk for
167 gambling disorder in women (39). As discussed in the subsequent section, various
168 polymorphisms in genes coding for components of brain neurochemical systems (e.g.
169 dopaminergic and serotonergic systems) have been associated with gambling disorder.

170

171 **2.4. Neurobiological factors**

172 Multiple neurotransmitter systems (e.g., dopaminergic, glutamatergic, serotonergic,
173 noradrenergic, opioidergic) have been implicated in the pathophysiology of gambling disorder
174 (3, 40-41). Dopamine is involved in learning, motivation, and the salience of stimuli, including
175 rewards. As discussed in section 2.3, radioligand PET studies militate against an obvious D2/D3
176 receptor binding abnormality being evident in gambling disorder in the resting state.
177 Nonetheless, alterations in dopaminergic pathways have been proposed as underlying the seeking
178 of rewards that trigger the release of dopamine and produce feelings of pleasure. In addition,

179 neuroimaging studies examining pharmacological challenges using dopamine agonists have
180 reported that during the anticipation of monetary rewards, a dopamine agonist increases the
181 activity of the nucleus accumbens and weakens the interaction between the nucleus accumbens
182 and the prefrontal cortex, leading to an increase in impulsive behaviors (42). Dopamine receptor
183 agonist medication appears to predispose the dopaminergic reward system to mediate an
184 increased appetitive drive leading to changed neural processing of negative consequences and
185 learning of contingencies (43). In terms of molecular genetic studies, the D2A1 allele of the D2
186 dopamine receptor gene (DRD2) has been reported as increased in frequency in individuals with
187 gambling disorder (for a review see 39). Other research has also implicated allelic variants of the
188 DRD1 and DRD3 genes as having an association with gambling disorder (3).

189
190 There is also a persuasive body of preclinical evidence suggesting a critical role for glutamate
191 transmission and glutamate receptors in drug reward, reinforcement, and relapse. Glutamate
192 appears to be implicated in long-lasting neuroadaptations in the corticostriatal circuitry (44). An
193 imbalance in glutamate homeostasis results in changes in neuroplasticity that adversely affects
194 communication between the prefrontal cortex and the nucleus accumbens, thereby resulting in
195 reward-seeking behaviors (45). Glutamate is also involved in associative learning between
196 stimuli and promotes the immediate approach response through its link to the
197 dopamine reward system (41). Data from cerebrospinal fluid studies also suggest a
198 dysfunctional glutamate system in gambling disorder (46).

199
200 Animal studies of gambling behavior provide evidence that the serotonergic system also appears
201 to play a role in poor decision-making (47) and impaired performance on a gambling task (48).

202 Serotonin is known as a modulator of neuroplasticity events. A polymorphism in the serotonin
203 transporter gene has been associated with gambling disorder and is found more frequently in
204 males with gambling disorder (49). More recent research found a significant association of the
205 C/C genotype of the serotonin receptor 2A T102C (rs 6313) polymorphism and the gambling
206 disorder phenotype (50). Other support for dysfunction within the serotonergic system in
207 gambling disorder has been shown with decreased levels of platelet monoamine oxidase B
208 (MAO-B) (a peripheral marker of serotonergic function), low levels of serotonin metabolites (5-
209 HIAA) in the cerebrospinal fluid, and a euphoric response to serotonergic pharmacologic
210 challenge studies (3, 40).

211

212 Norepinephrine (noradrenaline) appears to be especially involved in decision-making when
213 contingencies are unexpectedly changed and alternatives are explored (51-52). Selective
214 inhibition of norepinephrine reuptake results in reduced premature responding, especially under
215 circumstances when task performance is suboptimal due to demanding task conditions or
216 inherently high baseline levels of impulsive action (53-54). Studies have found that individuals
217 with gambling disorder have significantly higher cerebrospinal fluid levels of 3-methoxy-4-
218 hydroxy-phenylglycol, the main metabolite of the noradrenergic system (55). In addition,
219 individuals with gambling disorder maintained significantly higher noradrenergic levels
220 throughout an entire gambling session whereas healthy controls exhibited elevated levels only at
221 the onset of the gambling session (56).

222

223 Preclinical evidence indicates that opioid receptors are distributed widely in the mesolimbic
224 system, and are implicated in the hedonic aspects of reward processing (57-58).

225

226 An fMRI study of the μ -opioid antagonist naloxone found attenuated reward-related responses in
227 the ventral striatum and enhanced loss-related activity in the medial prefrontal cortex on a wheel
228 of fortune task in healthy volunteers (59). Specifically, the authors used an fMRI gambling task
229 and found that naloxone reduced pleasure ratings for larger rewards and dampened the associated
230 brain responses in the anterior cingulate cortex. Naloxone was also associated with negative
231 outcomes being rated as being more unpleasant, implicating the opioid system both in reward-
232 and aversive-processing (59). Gambling has been associated with elevated blood levels of the
233 endogenous opioid β -endorphin (60), and modulation of the opioid system through opioid
234 receptor antagonists (61) and partial agonists (62-63) has shown significant promise in the
235 treatment of gambling disorder.

236

237 **3. Psychological aspects of gambling disorder**

238 Relationships between gambling disorder and aspects of personality can be considered from
239 several perspectives, including in relation to personality traits (typically measured using
240 questionnaires such as the Barratt Impulsivity Questionnaire), in relation to formal personality
241 disorders, and in relation to other potentially life-long enduring traits (such as aspects of
242 cognition).

243

244 **3.1. Gambling disorder and personality traits**

245 The assessment of personality traits is an evolving field. While questionnaire-based measures
246 relating to personality have proven useful in exploring aspects of gambling disorder, it can be
247 difficult to relate them to underlying brain function (64-65).

248

249 Support for impulsivity as a personality characteristic of individuals with gambling disorder
250 rather than transient impulsive behavior, comes from numerous studies over the years (for a
251 review, please see 66), including a recent study of 37 individuals which found that trait, rather
252 than state, questionnaire-based impulsivity is associated with gambling disorder (67).

253

254 The relationship between impulsivity and gambling, however, may be impacted by a variety of
255 factors, including socioeconomic status, age of onset, and gender. One study found that self-
256 reported impulsivity was associated with the onset of gambling behavior but only in the case of
257 individuals reporting a low socioeconomic background (68). Similarly, in a sample of 1004
258 males from low socioeconomic status areas, impulsivity at age 14 was related to gambling
259 problems at age 17 (69). With respect to age of onset, one study found that early onset gambling
260 disorder was associated with a more severe clinical presentation and with higher novelty seeking
261 and lower self-directedness (70). In addition, gender appears to have an influence on impulsivity,
262 as men with gambling problems may be more impulsive and score higher on measures of
263 sensation-seeking compared to women (71).

264

265 Several researchers have attempted to categorize gambling disorder based on dimensions of
266 personality, such as impulsivity, and co-occurring psychopathology. One study identified three
267 subtypes of gambling disorder based on self-report questionnaires measuring impulsivity,
268 depression, and anxiety (72). The first subtype consists of behaviorally conditioned gamblers,
269 who develop gambling disorder through continual exposure to gambling and is the least severe
270 type of gambling disorder. A second type, the emotionally vulnerable individual, has poor coping

271 skills, and gambles to regulate emotions. Third, antisocial impulsivity gamblers gamble to
272 regulate affect, but are also characterized by high rates of psychopathology and impulsivity.

273

274 Another study sought to categorize gamblers into four groups (73): Cluster 1 had high
275 impulsivity, rates of psychopathology, early onset, and severe gambling problems; Cluster 2 had
276 low sensation seeking and high avoidant, controlling, and distant behavior, with high rates of
277 alcohol abuse; Cluster 3 was characterized by high impulsivity and early onset, but also had high
278 rates of sensation seeking without psychopathological impairments; and Cluster 4 was defined by
279 low impulsivity and psychopathology, and a late age of onset.

280

281 In a meta-analysis of studies, significantly higher rates of several personality traits were
282 identified in people with gambling disorder compared to controls (medium-large effect sizes),
283 including negative urgency, low premeditation, unconscientious disinhibition (low
284 conscientiousness), negative affect, and disagreeable disinhibition (low agreeableness) (74). The
285 authors suggested that these findings in gambling disorder were similar to those observed in
286 substance use disorders, suggesting that it may be part of a broader group of conditions
287 characterized by externalizing psychopathology.

288

289 Some personality traits have been found to correlate with dopamine functioning. For example, in
290 healthy males, it was found that striatal dopamine receptor binding (measured using raclopride-
291 PET) correlated with sensation-seeking according to an inverted 'U' shaped model (75). As
292 noted in section 2.2, dopamine receptor binding – again with raclopride-PET – was associated
293 with sensation-seeking across gambling disorder and control subjects (33).

294 Current research has just begun to examine how personality dimensions and disorders influence
295 treatment outcome. One study found that treatment dropout was significantly related to
296 impulsivity (76). Other studies have found that although certain personality aspects such as high
297 novelty seeking have been associated with more severe gambling and a young age of gambling
298 disorder onset, these variables were not associated with treatment outcome (70).

299

300 **3.2. Gambling disorder and personality disorders**

301

302 Personality disorders appear to be relatively common in people with gambling disorder, and are
303 likely to contribute to chronic symptoms. In one study, 45.5% of individuals with gambling
304 disorder met criteria for at least one personality disorder (76). However, the presence of a
305 personality disorder was not clearly related with the severity of gambling symptoms.

306

307 There is evidence that rates of personality disorders in gambling disorder may be influenced by
308 other psychiatric comorbidities. In a sample derived from a national survey, one or more
309 personality disorders was evident in 71.4% of gambling disordered individuals with a comorbid
310 anxiety disorder (versus 40.86% of low frequency gamblers with an anxiety disorder), and in
311 52.9% of gambling disordered individuals without a comorbid anxiety disorder (versus 11.3% of
312 low frequency gamblers without an anxiety disorder) (77).

313

314

315 **3.3. Gambling disorder and other potentially enduring traits**

316 It is conceivable that some of the cognitive deficits that occur in Gambling disorder could
317 represent enduring traits that predispose towards the development of symptoms. As such,
318 cognitive measures may be useful as proxy ‘personality measures’ in that they may be enduring
319 and more readily linked to underlying neurobiology than formal personality disorders or scores
320 from personality questionnaires. In order to examine impulsivity at an endophenotypic level,
321 cognitive research has attempted to delineate the complex construct of impulsivity observed in
322 individuals with gambling disorder. Individuals with gambling disorder demonstrate deficiencies
323 in planning, decision-making, motor inhibition, and cognitive flexibility (3). Perceived inability
324 to stop gambling and positive gambling expectancies have also been associated with high school
325 students, college students, and adults with gambling disorder (78). However, it is not known the
326 extent to which these different deficits are trait in nature. To address this issue would require
327 studies in unaffected first degree relatives and also, ideally, longitudinal studies capturing
328 cognitive function before, during, and after the development of Gambling disorder. There is
329 some evidence that decision-making deficits could represent a trait marker, based on findings in
330 people at risk of gambling disorder but without fully developed pathological symptoms (22).

331
332

333 **4. Conclusions**

334 The literature suggests that gambling disorder is a heterogeneous condition; however,
335 impulsivity appears to be characteristic of the majority of individuals with gambling disorder.
336 The relatively paucity of neuroimaging data (especially functional imaging), genetic studies, and
337 translational studies from animals to humans in gambling disorder, however, limits our ability in
338 defining gambling disorder as a deficit of a particular component(s) of the brain although

339 dysfunction in dopaminergic, glutamatergic, and serotonergic transmission have all been
340 implicated. Further, the evidence of a genetic link between gambling disorder and other
341 addictive behaviors is supported by high rates of familial transmission and the cross-beneficial
342 efficacy of opioid antagonists and partial agonists in gambling and substance addiction. More
343 holistic studies involving a number of research paradigms (genetics, cognition, imaging, etc) that
344 explore the pathology of gambling disorder over time may be useful in furthering our
345 understanding of the onset and course of gambling disorder.
346

347 **Declaration of interest**

348 The authors declare that there are no competing financial interests in relation to the submitted
349 work. No assistance was provided in the writing of this article. Dr. Grant has received research
350 grant support from NIDA, NCRG, Psyadon Pharmaceuticals, Forest Pharmaceuticals, Roche
351 Pharmaceuticals, and Transcept Pharmaceuticals. He has also received royalties from American
352 Psychiatric Publishing Inc, Oxford University Press, Norton, and McGraw Hill Publishers. Mr.
353 Odlaug has received research grant support from the Trichotillomania Learning Center, has
354 consulted for Lundbeck Pharmaceuticals and has received royalties from Oxford University
355 Press. Dr. Chamberlain has consulted for Cambridge Cognition.

356

357 **Authors and contributors**

358 JEG drafted the first version of the manuscript. BLO and SRC critically revised the initial
359 version of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors approve the final
360 version of the manuscript.

361

362 **Acknowledgements**

363 This research was supported by a Center for Excellence in Gambling Research grant by the
364 National Center for Responsible Gaming to Dr. Grant, by and a research grant from the
365 Trichotillomania Learning Center to Mr. Odlaug, and by a research grant from the Academy of
366 Medical Sciences (UK) to Dr. Chamberlain.

367 **References**

- 368 1. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th*
369 *edition*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Press (2013).
370
- 371 2. Grant JE, Kim SW. Demographic and clinical features of 131 adult pathological gamblers. *J*
372 *Clin Psychiatry* (2001) **62**(12): 957-62.
373
- 374 3. Hodgins DC, Stea JN, Grant JE. Gambling disorders. *Lancet* (2011) **378**(9806): 1874-84.
375
- 376 4. Petry NM, Stinson FS, Grant BF. Comorbidity of DSM-IV pathological gambling and other
377 psychiatric disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related
378 Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* (2005) **66**(5): 564-74.
379
- 380 5. Shaffer HJ, Hall MN, Vander Bilt J. Estimating the prevalence of disordered gambling
381 behavior in the United States and Canada: a research synthesis. *Am J Public Health* (1999) **89**(9):
382 1369-76.
383
- 384 6. Shaffer, H. J., & Kidman, R. Gambling and the public health. In J. E. Grant & M. N. Potenza
385 (Eds.), *Pathological gambling: A clinical guide to treatment*. Washington, DC: American
386 Psychiatric Publishing, Inc. (2004).
387
- 388 7. Ashley LL, Boehlke KK. Pathological gambling: a general overview. *J Psychoact*
389 *Drugs* (2012) **44**(1):27-37.
390
- 391 8. Conversano C, Marazziti D, Carmassi C, Baldini S, Barnabei G, Dell'Osso L. Pathological
392 gambling: a systematic review of biochemical, neuroimaging, and neuropsychological findings.
393 *Harv Rev Psychiatry* (2012) **20**(3): 130-48.
394
- 395 9. Shaffer HJ, Martin R. Disordered gambling: etiology, trajectory, and clinical considerations.
396 *Ann Rev Clin Psychol* (2011) **7**: 483-510.
397
- 398 10. el-Guebaly N, Mudry T, Zohar J, Tavares H, Potenza MN. Compulsive features in
399 behavioural
400 addictions: the case of pathological gambling. *Addiction* (2012) **107**(10): 1726-34.
401
- 402 11. van Holst RJ, van den Brink W, Veltman DJ, Goudriaan AE. Brain imaging studies in
403 pathological gambling. *Current Psychiatr Rep* (2010) **12**(5): 418-25.
404
- 405 12. van Holst RJ, van den Brink W, Veltman DJ, Goudriaan AE. Why gamblers fail to win: a
406 review of cognitive and neuroimaging findings in pathological gambling. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*
407 (2010) **34**(1): 87-107.
408
- 409 13. Chamberlain SR, Sahakian BJ. The neuropsychiatry of impulsivity. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*
410 (2007) **20**(3): 255-61.
411

- 412 14. Chambers RA, Taylor JR, Potenza MN. Developmental neurocircuitry of motivation in
413 adolescence: a critical period of addiction vulnerability. *Am J Psychiatry* (2003) **160**(6): 1041-
414 52.
- 415
- 416 15. Chamberlain SR, Menzies L, Hampshire A, Suckling J, Fineberg NA, del Campo N, Aitken
417 M, Craig K, Owen AM, Bullmore ET, Robbins TW, Sahakian BJ. Orbitofrontal dysfunction in
418 patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder and their unaffected relatives. *Science* (2008)
419 **321**(5887): 421-2.
- 420
- 421 16. Petry NM. Pathological gamblers, with and without substance use disorders, discount
422 delayed rewards at high rates. *J Abnorm Psychol* (2001) **110**(3): 482-7.
- 423
- 424 17. Grant JE, Potenza MN. Compulsive aspects of impulse-control disorders. *Psychiatr Clin*
425 *North Am* (2006) **29**(2): 539-51
- 426
- 427 18. Blaszczynski A. Pathological gambling and obsessive compulsive spectrum disorders.
428 *Psychol Rep* (1999) **84**: 107-13.
- 429
- 430 19. Frost RO, Meagher BM, Riskind JH. Obsessive compulsive features in pathological lottery
431 and scratch-ticket gamblers. *J Gambl Stud* (2001) **17**: 5-19.
- 432
- 433 20. Goudriaan AE, Oosterlaan J, de Beurs E, van den Brink W. Decision making in pathological
434 gambling: a comparison between pathological gamblers, alcohol dependents, persons with
435 Tourette syndrome, and normal controls. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* (2005) **23**(1): 137-51.
- 436
- 437 21. Odlaug BL, Chamberlain SR, Kim SW, Schreiber LR, Grant JE. A neurocognitive
438 comparison of cognitive flexibility and response inhibition in gamblers with varying degrees of
439 clinical severity. *Psychol Med* (2011) **41**(10): 2111-9.
- 440
- 441 22. Grant JE, Chamberlain SR, Schreiber LR, Odlaug BL, Kim SW. Selective decision-making
442 deficits in at-risk gamblers. *Psychiatry Res* (2011) **189**(1): 115-20.
- 443
- 444 23. Potenza MN, Leung HC, Blumberg HP, Peterson BS, Fulbright RK, Lacadie CM, Skudlarski
445 P, Gore JC. An FMRI Stroop task study of ventromedial prefrontal cortical function in
446 pathological gamblers. *Am J Psychiatry* (2003) **160**: 1990-4.
- 447
- 448 24. de Ruiter MB, Veltman DJ, Goudriaan AE, Oosterlaan J, Sjoerds Z, van den Brink W.
449 Response perseveration and ventral prefrontal sensitivity to reward and punishment in male
450 problem gamblers and smokers. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2009) **34**(4): 1027-38.
- 451
- 452 25. Goudriaan AE, de Ruiter MB, van den Brink W, Oosterlaan J, Veltman DJ. Brain activation
453 patterns associated with cue reactivity and craving in abstinent problem gamblers, heavy
454 smokers and healthy controls: an fMRI study. *Addict Biol* (2010) **15**(4): 491-503.
- 455
- 456 26. Miedl SF, Peters J, Büchel C. Altered neural reward representations in pathological gamblers
457 revealed by delay and probability discounting. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* (2012) **69**(2): 177-86.

- 458
459 27. Tschernegg M, Crone JS, Eigenberger T, Schwartenbeck P, Fauth-Buhler M, Lemenager T,
460 et al., Abnormalities of functional brain networks in pathological gambling: a graph-theoretical
461 approach. *Front Hum Neurosci* (2013); **7**:625. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00625.
462
- 463 28. Koehler S, Hasselmann E, Wüstenberg T, Heinz A, Romanczuk-Seiferth N. Higher volume
464 of ventral striatum and right prefrontal cortex in pathological gambling. *Brain Struct Funct*. 2013
465 Nov 16. [Epub ahead of print]
466
- 467 29. Robbins TW, Everitt BJ, Nutt DJ. Introduction. The neurobiology of drug addiction: new
468 vistas. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2008 Oct 12;363(1507):3109-11.
469
- 470 30. Volkow ND, Baler RD. Addiction science: Uncovering neurobiological complexity.
471 *Neuropharmacology*. 2014 Jan;76 Pt B:235-49.
472
- 473 31. Boileau I, Payer D, Chugani B, Lobo D, Behzadi A, Rusjan PM, Houle S, Wilson AA, Warsh
474 J, Kish SJ, Zack M. The D2/3 dopamine receptor in pathological gambling: a positron emission
475 tomography study with [¹¹C]-(+)-propyl-hexahydro-naphtho-oxazin and [¹¹C]raclopride.
476 *Addiction*. 2013 May;108(5):953-63.
477
- 478 32. Clark L, Stokes PR, Wu K, Michalczuk R, Benecke A, Watson BJ, Egerton A, Piccini P,
479 Nutt = DJ, Bowden-Jones H, Lingford-Hughes AR Striatal dopamine D₂/D₃ receptor binding in
480 pathological gambling is correlated with mood-related impulsivity. *Neuroimage*. 2012 Oct
481 15;63(1):40-6.
482
- 483 33. Peterson E, Møller A, Doudet DJ, Bailey CJ, Hansen KV, Rodell A, Linnet J, Gjedde A.
484 Pathological gambling: relation of skin conductance response to dopaminergic neurotransmission
485 and sensation-seeking. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2010 Nov;20(11):766-75.
486
- 487 34. Linnet, J., Mouridsen, K., Peterson, E., Møller, A., Doudet, D., & Gjedde, A. (2012). Striatal
488 Dopamine Release Codes Uncertainty in Pathological Gambling. *Psychiatry Research:*
489 *Neuroimaging*, 204, 55-60.
490
- 491 35. Leeman RF, Potenza MN. A targeted review of the neurobiology and genetics of behavioural
492 addictions: an emerging area of research. *Can J Psychiatry* (2013) **58**(5): 260-73.
493
- 494 36. Black DW, Monahan PO, Temkit M, Shaw M. A family study of pathological gambling.
495 *Psychiatry Res* (2006) **141**(3): 295-303.
496
- 497 37. Lobo DS, Kennedy JL. Genetic aspects of pathological gambling: a complex disorder with
498 shared genetic vulnerabilities. *Addiction* (2009) **104**(9): 1454-65.
499
- 500 38. Slutske WS, Ellingson JM, Richmond-Rakerd LS, Zhu G, Martin NG. Shared genetic
501 vulnerability for disordered gambling and alcohol use disorder in men and women: evidence
502 from a national community-based Australian Twin Study. *Twin Res Hum Genet* (2013) **16**(2):
503 525-34.

- 504
505 39. Gyollai A, Griffiths MD, Barta C, Vereczkei A, Urbán R, Kun B, Kökönyei G, Székely A,
506 Sasvári-Székely M, Blum K, Demetrovics Z. The Genetics of Problem and Pathological
507 Gambling: A Systematic Review. *Curr Pharm Des* (2013) [Epub ahead of print].
508
- 509 40. Goudriaan AE, Oosterlaan J, de Beurs E, Van den Brink W. Pathological gambling: a
510 comprehensive review of biobehavioral findings. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* (2004) **28**(2):123-41
511
- 512 41. Nussbaum D, Honarmand K, Govoni R, Kalahani-Bargis M, Bass S, Ni X, Laforge K,
513 Burden A, Romero K, Basarke S, Courbasson C, Deamond W. An eight component decision-
514 making model for problem gambling: a systems approach to stimulate integrative research. *J*
515 *Gambl Stud* (2011) **27**(4): 523-63.
516
- 517 42. Ye Z, Hammer A, Camara E, Münte TF. Pramipexole modulates the neural network of
518 reward anticipation. *Hum Brain Mapp* (2011) **32**(5):800-11.
519
- 520 43. Abler B, Hahlbrock R, Unrath A, Grön G, Kassubek J. At-risk for pathological gambling:
521 imaging neural reward processing under chronic dopamine agonists. *Brain* (2009) **132**(Pt 9):
522 2396-402.
523
- 524 44. Kalivas PW. The glutamate homeostasis hypothesis of addiction. *Nat Rev Neurosci* (2009)
525 **10**(8): 561-72.
526
- 527 45. Kalivas PW, Volkow ND. New medications for drug addiction hiding in glutamatergic
528 neuroplasticity. *Mol Psychiatry* (2011) **16**(10): 974-86.
529
- 530 46. Nordin C, Gupta RC, Sjödin I. Cerebrospinal fluid amino acids in pathological gamblers and
531 healthy controls. *Neuropsychobiology* (2007) **56**(2-3): 152-8.
532
- 533 47. Koot S, Zoratto F, Cassano T, Colangeli R, Laviola G, van den Bos R, Adriani W.
534 Compromised decision-making and increased gambling proneness following dietary serotonin
535 depletion in rats. *Neuropharmacology* (2012) **62**(4): 1640-50.
536
- 537 48. Zeeb FD, Robbins TW, Winstanley CA. Serotonergic and dopaminergic modulation of
538 gambling behavior as assessed using a novel rat gambling task. *Neuropsychopharmacology*
539 (2009) **34**(10): 2329-43.
540
- 541 49. Ibáñez A, Blanco C, Perez de Castro I, Fernandez-Piqueras J, Sáiz-Ruiz J. Genetics of
542 pathological gambling. *J Gambl Stud* (2003) **19**(1): 11-22.
543
- 544 50. Wilson D, da Silva Lobo DS, Tavares H, Gentil V, Vallada H. Family-based association
545 analysis of serotonin genes in pathological gambling disorder: evidence of vulnerability risk in
546 the 5HT-2A receptor gene. *J Mol Neurosci* (2013) **49**(3): 550-3.
547

- 548 51. Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD. Adaptive gain and the role of the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine
549 system in optimal performance. *J Comp Neurol* (2005) **493**(1): 99-110.
550
- 551 52. Bouret S, Sara SJ. Network reset: a simplified overarching theory of locus coeruleus
552 noradrenaline function. *Trends Neurosci* (2005) **28**(11): 574-82.
553
- 554 53. Baarendse PJ, Vanderschuren LJ. Dissociable effects of monoamine reuptake inhibitors on
555 distinct forms of impulsive behavior in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (2012) **219**(2): 313-26.
556
- 557 54. Fernando AB, Economidou D, Theobald DE, Zou MF, Newman AH, Spoelder M, Caprioli
558 D, Moreno M, Hipólito L, Aspinall AT, Robbins TW, Dalley JW. Modulation of high
559 impulsivity and attentional performance in rats by selective direct and indirect dopaminergic and
560 noradrenergic receptor agonists. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2012 **219**(2): 341-52.
561
- 562 55. Roy A, DeJong J, Ferraro T, Adinoff B, Gold P, Rubinow D, Linnoila M. CSF GABA and
563 neuropeptides in pathological gamblers and normal controls. *Psychiatry Res* (1989) **30**(2): 137-
564 44.
565
- 566 56. Pallanti S, Bernardi S, Allen A, Chaplin W, Watner D, DeCaria CM, Hollander E.
567 Noradrenergic function in pathological gambling: blunted growth hormone response to
568 clonidine. *J Psychopharmacol* (2010) **24**(6): 847-53.
569
- 570 57. Peciña S, Smith KS, Berridge KC. Hedonic hot spots in the brain. *Neuroscientist* (2006)
571 **12**(6): 500-11.
572
- 573 58. Barbano MF, Cador M. Opioids for hedonic experience and dopamine to get ready for it.
574 *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* (2007) **191**(3): 497-506.
575
- 576 59. Petrovic P, Pleger B, Seymour B, Klöppel S, De Martino B, Critchley H, Dolan RJ. Blocking
577 central opiate function modulates hedonic impact and anterior cingulate response to rewards and
578 losses. *J Neurosci* (2008) **28**(42): 10509-16.
579
- 580 60. Shinohara K, Yanagisawa A, Kagota Y, Gomi A, Nemoto K, Moriya E, Furusawa E, Furuya
581 K, Terasawa K. Physiological changes in Pachinko players; beta-endorphin, catecholamines,
582 immune system substances and heart rate. *Appl Human Sci* (1999) **18**(2): 37-42.
583
- 584 61. Grant JE, Kim SW, Hartman BK. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the opiate
585 antagonist naltrexone in the treatment of pathological gambling urges. *J Clin Psychiatry* (2008)
586 **69**: 783-9.
587
- 588 62. Grant JE, Odlaug BL, Potenza MN, Hollander E, Kim SW. Nalmefene in the treatment of
589 pathological gambling: multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Br J Psychiatry*
590 (2010) **197**: 330-1.
591
- 592 63. Grant JE, Potenza MN, Hollander E, Cunningham-Williams R, Nurminen T, Smits G, Kallio
593 A. Multicenter investigation of the opioid antagonist nalmefene in the treatment of pathological

- 594 gambling. *Am J Psychiatry* (2006) **163**: 303-12.
595
- 596 64. Gottesman, II, Gould TD. The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: etymology and strategic
597 intentions. *Am J Psychiatry* (2003) **160**(4): 636-45.
598
- 599 65. Chamberlain SR, Menzies L. Endophenotypes of obsessive-compulsive disorder: rationale,
600 evidence and future potential. *Exp Rev Neurother* (2009) **9**(8): 1133-46.
601
- 602 66. Clark L. "Epidemiology and phenomenology of pathological gambling," in Grant JE,
603 Potenza MN, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Impulse Control Disorders*. New York, Oxford
604 University Press (2012). p. 94-116.
605
- 606 67. Lai FD, Ip AK, Lee TM. Impulsivity and pathological gambling: is it a state or a trait
607 problem. *BMC Res Notes* (2011) **13**: 492.
608
- 609 68. Auger N, Lo E, Cantinotti M, O'Loughlin J. Impulsivity and socio-economic status interact
610 to increase the risk of gambling onset among youth. *Addiction* (2010) **105**: 2176-83.
611
- 612 69. Dussault F, Brendgen M, Vitaro F, Wanner B, Tremblay RE. Longitudinal links between
613 impulsivity, gambling problems and depressive symptoms: a transactional model from
614 adolescence to early adulthood. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* (2011) **52**: 130-8.
615
- 616 70. Jiménez-Murcia S, Alvarez-Moya EM, Stinchfield R, Fernández-Aranda F, Granero R,
617 Aymamí N, Gómez-Peña M, Jaurrieta N, Bove F, Menchón JM. Age of onset in pathological
618 gambling: clinical, therapeutic and personality correlates. *J Gambl Stud* (2010) **26**: 235-48.
619
- 620 71. Echeburúa E, González-Ortega I, de Corral P, Polo-López R. Clinical gender differences
621 among adult pathological gamblers seeking treatment. *J Gambl Stud* (2011) **27**(2): 215-27.
622
- 623 72. Ledgerwood DM, Petry NM. Subtyping pathological gamblers based on impulsivity,
624 depression, and anxiety. *Psychol Addict Behav* (2010) **24**: 680-8.
625
- 626 73. Álvarez-Moya EM, Ochoa C, Jiménez-Murcia S, Aymamí MN, Gómez-Peña M, Fernández-
627 Aranda F, Santamaría J, Moragas L, Bove F, Menchón JM. Effect of executive functioning,
628 decision-making and self-reported impulsivity on the treatment outcome of pathological
629 gambling. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* (2011) **36**: 165-75.
630
- 631 74. Maclaren VV, Fugelsang JA, Harrigan KA, Dixon MJ. The personality of pathological
632 gamblers: a meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* (2011) **31**(6):1057-67.
633
- 634 75. Gjedde A, Kumakura Y, Cumming P, Linnet J, Møller A. Inverted-U-shaped correlation
635 between dopamine receptor availability in striatum and sensation seeking. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
636 *S A*. 2010 Feb 23;107(8):3870-5.
- 637 76. Odlaug BL, Schreiber LR, Grant JE. Personality disorders and dimensions in pathological
638 gambling. *J Pers Disord*. 2012 Apr 11. [Epub ahead of print]
639

- 640 77. Giddens JL, Stefanovics E, Pilver CE, Desai R, Potenza MN. Pathological gambling severity
641 and co-occurring psychiatric disorders in individuals with and without anxiety disorders in a
642 nationally representative sample. *Psychiatry Res* (2012);**199**(1):58-64.
643
- 644 78. Tang CS, Wu AM. Gambling-related cognitive biases and pathological gambling among
645 youths, young adults, and mature adults in Chinese societies. *J Gambl Stud* (2012) **28**(1):139-54.