

## Neural systems and hormones mediating attraction to infant and child faces

Lizhu Luo, Xiaole Ma, Xiaoxiao Zheng, Weihua Zhao, Lei Xu, Benjamin Becker and Keith M. Kendrick  $^{\ast}$ 

Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of Education, Center for Information in BioMedicine, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Andrea Hildebrandt, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universität Greifswald, Germany

#### Reviewed by:

Marta Borgi, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italy Jennifer Streiffer Mascaro, Emory University, USA

#### \*Correspondence:

Keith M. Kendrick, Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of Education, Center for Information in BioMedicine, University of ElectronicScience and Technology of China, No.2006, Xiyuan Ave., West Hi-Tech Zone, Chengdu, Sichuan 611731, China k.kendrick.uestc@gmail.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Perception Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

**Received:** 15 April 2015 **Accepted:** 28 June 2015 **Published:** 17 July 2015

#### Citation:

Luo L, Ma X, Zheng X, Zhao W, Xu L, Becker B and Kendrick KM (2015) Neural systems and hormones mediating attraction to infant and child faces. Front. Psychol. 6:970. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00970

We find infant faces highly attractive as a result of specific features which Konrad Lorenz termed "Kindchenschema" or "baby schema," and this is considered to be an important adaptive trait for promoting protective and caregiving behaviors in adults, thereby increasing the chances of infant survival. This review first examines the behavioral support for this effect and physical and behavioral factors which can influence it. It then provides details of the increasing number of neuroimaging and electrophysiological studies investigating the neural circuitry underlying this baby schema effect in parents and non-parents of both sexes. Next it considers potential hormonal contributions to the baby schema effect in both sexes and the neural effects associated with reduced responses to infant cues in post-partum depression, anxiety and drug taking. Overall the findings reviewed reveal a very extensive neural circuitry involved in our perception of cuteness in infant faces, with enhanced activation compared to adult faces being found in brain regions involved in face perception, attention, emotion, empathy, memory, reward and attachment, theory of mind and also control of motor responses. Both mothers and fathers also show evidence for enhanced responses in these same neural systems when viewing their own as opposed to another child. Furthermore, responses to infant cues in many of these neural systems are reduced in mothers with post-partum depression or anxiety or have taken addictive drugs throughout pregnancy. In general reproductively active women tend to rate infant faces as cuter than men, which may reflect both heightened attention to relevant cues and a stronger activation in their brain reward circuitry. Perception of infant cuteness may also be influenced by reproductive hormones with the hypothalamic neuropeptide oxytocin being most strongly associated to date with increased attention and attraction to infant cues in both sexes.

Keywords: baby schema, infant face, neural circuitry, parental behavior, hormones

## Introduction

The faces of both infants and young children are potent cross-cultural emotive stimuli that adults find both very cute and highly likeable and evoke feelings of protectiveness and care which thereby serve to aid survival of these vulnerable individuals (Brosch et al., 2007; Luo et al., 2011, 2014; Proverbio et al., 2011a; Borgi et al., 2014). Konrad Lorenz (1943) has defined this as the so-called "baby schema" or "Kindchenschema" effect.

1

Baby schema are considered to be a set of prominent infantile facial physical features, including large head, round face, high and protruding forehead, big eyes, small nose, small mouth, etc., that evoke rapid cognitive, affective and behavioral responses in adults. This may serve as an innate releasing mechanism, a fundamental social instinct which serves to initiate and maintain a parent/carer-infant relationship, particularly during the period of early development when a child is unable to care for itself and is therefore highly vulnerable (Parsons et al., 2010). As such this mechanism helps infant individuals develop secure and cooperative relationships, improves their adaptation to the society and thereby enhances offspring/species survival (Darwin, 1872; Parsons et al., 2010). A number of studies have also reported correlations between perceived cuteness of infant faces and health (Yamamoto et al., 2009), including across cultures (Volk and Quinsey, 2002; Volk, 2009; Golle et al., 2015). Since adult facial attractiveness has often been associated with having "good genes" (Weeden and Sabini, 2005; Rhodes, 2006), it is possible that prominent baby schema may also signal that infants are genetically healthy. Thus, as has been proposed by Golle et al. (2015), cute babies by being perceived as more healthy may promote greater protective and nurturing responses in carers, thereby serving to strengthen a community gene pool.

It is well established that viewing infant and child faces produces positive effects in adult observers both within and across different cultures in terms of automatically and rapidly capturing their attention (Brosch et al., 2007, 2008; Proverbio et al., 2011a), evoking smiling (Schleidt et al., 1980), protective reactions (Alley, 1983), close approach and exaggerated greeting responses (Eibl-Eibesfeldt, 1989). Studies demonstrating increased attentional allocation to infant faces have used a wide variety of paradigms, including a "key-press," or "wanting" task (as in Parsons et al., 2014), an attentional capture task (as in Thompson-Booth et al., 2014), eye-tracking (as in Borgi et al., 2014), and the dot-probe task (Brosch et al., 2007).

Adult Caucasian observers presented with a choice between a cute and less cute infant face exhibit a preference for giving a toy to, or adopting, the cute infant irrespective of whether the infant is Caucasian or African, or even a dog puppy (Golle et al., 2015). A recent study has also shown that faces with baby schema not only evoke positive emotions and caring behaviors in adults but can even evoke enhanced ratings of cuteness in young children aged 3-6 years old (Borgi et al., 2014). Overall, children whose faces display strong baby schema features are perceived as being cuter, friendlier, healthier, more attractive, trustworthy, and adoptable (Karraker and Stern, 1990; Ritter et al., 1991; Chin et al., 2006; Glocker et al., 2009a; Little, 2012; Golle et al., 2015). A recent study using an infrared thermography technique revealed that in both Italian and Japanese subjects facial temperature, a physiological index of arousal, was significantly increased during perception of infant faces from both in-group and out-group cultures, whereas with adult faces this was only the case for those from in-group members (Esposito et al., 2014). Finally, in terms of a potential direct influence of baby schema on parental behavior, a study has reported that mothers with cute infants showed greater affection and playfulness toward them than did mothers with infants who were less cute (Langlois et al., 1995).

While it was originally thought that the effects of baby schema on perceivers were limited to young infants of <1 year of age, it is now clear that they can also extend to faces of children of up to 4.5 years of age (Luo et al., 2011). Indeed, even adult faces with "babyish," immature features are considered more attractive, lovable, warm, submissive, physically weak and naive (McArthur and Apatow, 1984; Berry and McArthur, 1986). Imagined interactions with individuals exhibiting these babyish features are also associated with an increased feeling of social belonging in observers (Sacco et al., 2014). Thus findings suggest a generalization of attractiveness of infantile face features across both children and adults (Zebrowitz et al., 2009). The baby schema effect also appears to extend to our perception of cuteness in the young of other species (Golle et al., 2013, 2015; Lehmann et al., 2013; Borgi et al., 2014), and exhibits high level perceptual "after effects" similar to observations for a number of key features in adult faces (i.e., prolonged perception of cute infant faces lowers attraction ratings given to less cute ones presented subsequently and vice versa- Golle et al., 2013).

The baby schema effect is not just dependent upon the presence of the relevant salient physical facial features (Glocker et al., 2009b; Komori and Nittono, 2013) and can, for example, be weakened by the presence of some form of facial disfigurement (Baeken et al., 2010a). A study has also investigated the influence of temperament on attractiveness of neutral expression infant faces by pairing them with happy or sad facial expressions and equivalent vocalizations. Infant faces paired with mostly happy faces and vocalizations are perceived as even cuter and adult observers are prepared to exert greater effort to view them. On the other hand observers of infant faces paired with mostly sad face expressions and vocalizations don't rate them as cuter and are less prepared to make an effort to view them (Parsons et al., 2014). Thus an infant's temperament can enhance or decrease their perceived attractiveness.

The baby schema effect can also be influenced by an observer's social experience. Adults raised together with siblings like infant and child faces more than those who were not, and the smaller the mean age difference between them and their siblings the greater this effect is (Luo et al., 2014). Similarly, Caucasian children with older siblings had a higher accuracy in recognizing unfamiliar Caucasian child faces relative to Asian ones, while those without them didn't show any such recognition difference between the faces (Macchi Cassia et al., 2014). Parental experience is also influential since mothers in an attention capture paradigm showed longer reaction times to infant vs. adult faces, suggesting that they were more attentive toward infant faces. Reaction times were also negatively correlated with self-reported parental distress in mothers (Thompson-Booth et al., 2014). However, another study did not find significant reaction time differences in mothers and non-mothers in judging infant face expressions (Nishitani et al., 2011).

Personality of the observer can also influence the effects of baby schema. Individuals with higher levels of trait empathy, interpersonal closeness and needing to belong rate infant faces more positively, while personality attributes such as narcissism and insecure attachment have no influence (Lehmann et al., 2013). Finally, degree of resemblance of a child's face to that of the observer has also been reported to increase their perceived attractiveness in both men and women (DeBruine, 2004).

In recent years there has been increasing interest in establishing the neural (Nitschke et al., 2004; Glocker et al., 2009b; Malak et al., 2015) and hormonal influences (Bhandari et al., 2014; Hahn et al., 2014) underlying attraction to baby schema in infant and child faces. There is also an increasing focus on understanding changes in the early post-partum period which are crucial for the formation of mother-infant bonds and factors which may contribute to the development of postpartum depression. For example, studies suggest that an appraisal bias might underlie some of the difficulties mothers with postpartum depression have in responding to cues from their own infant's signals, since they are more likely to rate less cute infant faces negatively (Stein et al., 2010), and rate even average ones more negatively than other mothers (Gil et al., 2011). Substance abuse has also been associated with altered behavioral and neural responses to infant cues (Landi et al., 2011). In the present review we will therefore summarize and discuss the various neural and hormonal influences on infant and child facial processing and attraction established in healthy observers and also clinical research findings in disorders where there is some impairment involved.

## Neural Responses to Infant Faces: Baby Schema

As would be expected, emotive and salient social stimuli such as infant faces provoke widespread activation in brain systems involved in face perception, attention, emotion, empathy, memory, reward and attachment, theory of mind and also control of motor responses (see Table 1). The question of whether there is something special about brain processing of infant faces is therefore difficult to address given the involvement of so many different systems. Very few studies have investigated the influence of baby schema per se but have simply investigated neural responses to infant or child faces either alone or in comparison to adult human faces or young and adult faces from other species (Barrett et al., 2012; Caria et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014). The experimental protocols used in these studies are summarized in Table 1 where it can be seen that the majority use a simple face viewing paradigm, although some have also used a oneback working memory task with faces, an oddball task design, face expression judgments or an affect rating task. Only Glocker and her colleagues have objectively quantified and parametrically manipulated the impact of baby schema content on patterns of brain activations (Glocker et al., 2009a,b). Thus, conclusions drawn from the present review are primarily based on the majority of findings reporting differences in neural responses between viewing infant as opposed to adult faces, or between viewing the faces of own as opposed to other infants.

In general neuroimaging studies, primarily using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), have shown that there is a degree of overlap in neural processing of infant and adult faces since both activate primary visual processing areas as well as those more specifically associated with face perception, such as

the fusiform face area (FFA) (for abbreviations of brain regions see Table 2) (Kringelbach et al., 2008; Glocker et al., 2009b; Baeken et al., 2010a; Stoeckel et al., 2014). However, infant faces generally elicit more rapid responses and greater activity changes in these brain areas and additionally recruit other regions (Parsons et al., 2010; Caria et al., 2012; Hahn et al., 2014). In regions showing activation in response to both adult and infant faces stronger responses to infant/child faces have been reported in the fusiform gyrus [FFG-Brodmann area (BA) 41/37/19] (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Kringelbach et al., 2008; Caria et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014), middle occipital gyrus (MOG-BA 19/37) (Ranote et al., 2004; Caria et al., 2012), medial temporal gyrus (MTG-BA 21/37/39) (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Ranote et al., 2004; Caria et al., 2012) and superior temporal gyrus (STG-BA 38) (Ranote et al., 2004; Stoeckel et al., 2014) (Figure 1A). Of these regions, the right FFG in particular is of key importance to face processing (see Leopold and Rhodes, 2010) and may play a vital role in encoding baby schema facial features (Hoffman and Haxby, 2000; Glocker et al., 2009b; Stoeckel et al., 2014). These visual cortical areas may serve as an entry node to forward the processed baby face information to other brain regions associated with attention, emotion and memory for further processing and control of behavioral responses (Glocker et al., 2009b).

Infant and child faces also enhance attention and this is reflected in stronger activation of parietal areas involved both bottom up and top down processing of attention orientation (Shomstein, 2012) including the intraparietal sulcus (IPS-BA 19/7) (Leibenluft et al., 2004), precuneus (PCU-BA 7/31) (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Glocker et al., 2009b), and posterior cingulate cortex (PCC-BA 23) (Leibenluft et al., 2004) (Figure 1B). Greater activation of these parietal regions selectively help allocate more automatic and cognitive attentional resources to faces with baby schema features resulting in an attentional bias toward the infant faces (Brosch et al., 2007; Glocker et al., 2009b; Caria et al., 2012). An evoked-related potential (ERP) study has also reported stronger activation in response to neutral expression faces of unfamiliar infants at central-frontal (P3a) and occipital-lateral (N170) sites providing further support for increased attention toward infant cues (Weisman et al., 2012c).

There is also evidence that infant faces elicit strong activation in brain regions associated with core aspects of emotion processing (see Lindquist et al., 2012) including the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC-BA 33/24) (Glocker et al., 2009b) and medial cingulate cortex (MCC-BA 31/23/24) (Caria et al., 2012), insula (INS-BA 48/47/13) (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Glocker et al., 2009b; Caria et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014), amygdala (AMY; Lenzi et al., 2009; Barrett et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2014), orbitofrontal cortex (OFC-BA 11/47) (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Nitschke et al., 2004; Kringelbach et al., 2008; Minagawa-Kawai et al., 2009; Kuo et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2014) (Figure 1C). The OFC also plays an important role in learning the emotional value of information and tracking changing emotions (Goodkind et al., 2012), as well as with judgments of pleasantness (Bartels and Zeki, 2004). Thus, the left OFC (BA 11) is more strongly activated by happy vs. neutral infant faces while the right OFC (BA 11) to sad vs. neutral faces (Montoya et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al.,

| Study                      | Participants<br>(Age; N:<br>M/F)                | Face<br>age  | Stimuli  | Design  | Face<br>duration                 | Paradigm                    | Contrasts   | Findings: activated<br>brain regions<br>(Brodmann area)   | Corrections                |                        |   |  |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| FUNCTION                   | IAL MAGNETIC F                                  | RESONANCE  | IMAGING (fMRI) S   | TUDIES  |                                  |                             |   |   |                            |                        |   |  |
| Bartels and<br>Zeki, 2004  | Healthy<br>mothers<br>(27–49 years;<br>20:0/20) | ealthy 9 months<br>nothers to 6<br>(7–49 years; years<br>0:0/20) | Ithy 9 months<br>hers to 6<br>-49 years; years<br>)/20)            | y 9 months<br>vrs to 6<br>9 years; years<br>20) | 9 months<br>to 6<br>years; years | nths Child face<br>pictures | Block   | 2.5s  | View                       | Own > acquainted child | Middle INS (14)*<br>Dorsal ACC (24)*<br>Ventral ACC (24)<br>dorsal CAU*<br>medial PUT/GP*<br>Lateral THA<br>R SNi*<br>Lateral OFC | p < 0.001<br>(uncorrected)<br>* $p < 0.05$<br>(SVC<br>corrected) |
|                            |   |  |  |   |                                  |                             | Acquainted > own<br>child                                     | STS (39, 40)*<br>PCC (29, 30)*<br>Medial PCU (7/31)*<br>MTG (21)*<br>AMY*   |                            |                        |   |  |
|                            |   |  |  |   |                                  |                             | (Own ><br>acquainted child)<br>> (loved partner ><br>friends) | Lateral OFC   |                            |                        |   |  |
| Leibenluft<br>et al., 2004 | Healthy<br>mothers<br>(20–40 years;<br>7:0/7)   | 5–12<br>years  | Pictures (friend,<br>unfamiliar child,<br>and unfamiliar<br>adult) | Event   | 1.5s                             | One-back<br>memory          | Own > familiar<br>child                                       | L ACC (32)<br>L preCG<br>SFG (6)<br>L pSTS (39)<br>R STG (22)<br>MTG (21)<br>R PCC (23)<br>R PCU (31)<br>L SMG (40)<br>R AMY<br>L INS<br>THA<br>GP<br>R PUT<br>Cerebellum   | ρ < 0.025<br>(uncorrected) |                        |   |  |
|                            |   |  |  |   |                                  |                             | Familiar ><br>unfamiliar children                             | R ACC (32)<br>R SFG (8)<br>MFG (46/9/47)<br>L posterior OFC (11)<br>L pSTS (39)<br>R MTG (21)<br>L PCC-PCU (39)<br>SMG (40)<br>IPS (40)<br>R MOG (18)<br>R FFG (37)<br>L AMY<br>INS<br>THA<br>L CAU<br>Cerebellum |                            |                        |   |  |
|                            |   |  |  |   |                                  |                             | Unfamiliar children<br>> unfamiliar adults                    | L posterior OFC (11)<br>R pSTS (39)<br>L MTG (21)<br>L PCC-PCU (23/31)<br>R SMG (40)<br>L FFG (37)<br>L INS<br>R THA  |                            |                        |   |  |

#### TABLE 1 | Neural responses to infant and child faces.

| Study                    | Participants<br>(Age; N:<br>M/F)                     | Face<br>age  | Stimuli  | Design  | Face<br>duration  | Paradigm       | Contrasts                                   | Findings: activated<br>brain regions<br>(Brodmann area)                     | Corrections   |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|----------------|---|---|---|
| Nitschke<br>et al., 2004 | Healthy<br>mothers (-; 6:<br>0/6)                    | 3–5<br>months  | Happy faces<br>neutral images                      | 30-s<br>block<br>design                       | 6s  | Mood<br>rating | Own infants ><br>baseline (blank<br>screen) | Lateral OFC (all 6<br>subjects  | p < 0.05<br>(uncorrected)   |
|                          |  |  |  |   |   |                | Unfamiliar infants<br>> baseline            | Lateral OFC (only 2 subjects)   | *p < 0.001<br>(corrected)   |
|                          |  |  |  |   |   |                | Own > unfamiliar<br>infants                 | Lateral OFC (11/47)*<br>Cerebellum*   |   |
|                          |  |  |  |   |   |                | Unfamiliar > own<br>infants                 | ATC (20/21) *   |   |
| Ranote<br>et al., 2004   | Healthy<br>mothers<br>(19–35 years;<br>10: 0/10)     | 4–8<br>months  | Video stimuli<br>s                                 | Block   | 40s   | View           | Infants > neutral                           | Cerebellum<br>MOG (19)<br>L MTG (21/37/39)<br>STG (38)<br>postCG (4)        | p < 0.001<br>(uncorrected)<br>*p < 0.05<br>(SVC<br>corrected)     |
|                          |  |  |  |   |   |                | Own > unknown<br>infants                    | R MOG (19)<br>L AMY*  |   |
|                          |  |  |  |   |   |                | Unknown > own<br>infants                    | lateral OFC (47)<br>R MTG (21)<br>L PCU (18)<br>L postCG (7)                |   |
| Noriuchi<br>et al., 2008 | Healthy<br>mothers (31.1<br>± 2.2 years;<br>13:0/13) | ealthy 16.5 ± Video stimuli Block 32 s V<br>iothers (31.1 3.8 (smile, cry)<br>2.2 years; months<br>3:0/13) | 16.5 ± Video stimuli<br>3.8 (smile, cry)<br>months | Block   | 32 s  | View           | Own > other infant                          | OFC (47)*<br>R MTG (39)<br>R anterior INS*<br>L PCC (30)<br>L THA*<br>L PUT | p < 0.001,<br>(uncorrected)<br>* $p < 0.05$<br>(FWE<br>corrected) |
|                          |  |  |  |   |   |                | Other > own infant                          | R STG (22)<br>L IPS (40)<br>L PCU (7)<br>L FFG (36)<br>L HIPP (37)<br>PUT*  |   |
|                          |  |  |  | Own infant:<br>separation > play<br>situation | SL CAU*<br>IPS (40)<br>L PCC (31)<br>L SNI*<br>R MTG (21)<br>L OFC (47)<br>PCU (7/31)<br>PREC (4)<br>R ACC (32)<br>SFG (6)<br>STG (22/39/42)<br>STS (21/22)<br>THA* |                |   |   |   |
|                          |  |  |  |   |   |                | own infant: play > separation situation     | R MTG (22/41)<br>SMG<br>preCG (4)<br>L hypothalamus*                        |   |

| Study                       | Participants<br>(Age; N:<br>M/F)                                    | Face<br>age    | Stimuli   | Design | Face<br>duration | Paradigm           | Contrasts   | Findings: activated<br>brain regions<br>(Brodmann area)   | Corrections                    |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------|---|--------|------------------|--------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Strathearn<br>et al., 2008  | Healthy<br>first-time<br>mothers<br>(20–42 years;<br>28:0/28)       | 5–10<br>months | Baby face<br>pictures (happy,<br>neutral, sad)              | Event  | 2s               | View               | Own > unknown<br>baby                                       | L lateral OFC (47)<br>R preCG (4)<br>postCG<br>MTG (21/38)<br>STG (22/21)<br>PUT<br>dorsal CAU<br>THA<br>Lateral superior AMY<br>HIPP (36)<br>INS<br>ACC (24/32)<br>MCC (24)<br>PCC (31/17)<br>VTA<br>SNi<br>Cerebellum | p < 0.05<br>(FDR<br>corrected) |
|                             |   |                |   |        |                  |                    | Own > unknown<br>happy infant faces                         | PUT<br>L SNi<br>R THA<br>L AMY  |                                |
|                             |   |                |   |        |                  |                    | Own > unknown<br>neutral infant faces                       | R PUT<br>R medial<br>dorsal/ventrolateral<br>THA<br>L dorsal PUT  |                                |
| Glocker<br>et al.,<br>2009b | Healthy<br>mothers<br>(20–28 year;<br>16:0/16)                      | 7–13<br>months | Baby schema<br>pictures (high,<br>low, original)            | Event  | 3s               | Cuteness<br>rating | Infant faces ><br>cross                                     | THA<br>INS (13)<br>SFG (6)<br>Cerebellum<br>PCU (7)<br>postCG (2)<br>preCG (6)  | ρ < 0.05<br>(FWE<br>corrected) |
|                             |   |                |   |        |                  |                    | High baby schema<br>> unmanipulated<br>& low baby<br>schema | L ACC<br>L PCU<br>L FFG<br>R NAcc   |                                |
| Caria et al.,<br>2012       | Healthy adult<br>non-parents<br>(28.06 $\pm$ 5.66<br>years; 16:7/9) | -              | Infant and adult<br>human and<br>animal face<br>pictures    | Event  | 4s               | View               | Infant > adult  | SMA (6)<br>FFG (37/19)<br>preCG (6)<br>MCC (31/24)<br>L anterior INS (48)<br>THA  | p < 0.01<br>(FWE<br>corrected) |
| Montoya<br>et al., 2012     | Healthy<br>nulliparous<br>women<br>(19–29 years;<br>17:0/17)        | 5–10<br>months | Unknown infant<br>face pictures<br>(happy, sad,<br>neutral) | Event  | 1s               | One-back<br>memory | Happy > neutral   | L OFC (11)  | p < 0.05<br>(FWE<br>corrected) |
|                             |   |                |   |        |                  |                    | Neutral > happy   | R STG (22)<br>L INS (13)<br>L preCG (4)   |                                |
|                             |   |                |   |        |                  |                    | Sad > neutral   | R PCU (31)<br>R MTG (39)<br>L preCG (6)<br>R SFG (10)<br>R OFC (11)   |                                |

| Study                      | Participants<br>(Age; N:<br>M/F)                | Face<br>age    | Stimuli  | Design          | Face<br>duration | Paradigm                        | Contrasts                               | Findings: activated<br>brain regions<br>(Brodmann area) | Corrections                      |  |
|----------------------------|---|----------------|--|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Neutral > sad                           | L INS (13)<br>R STG                                     |                                  |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Sad > happy                             | R FFG (19)<br>R STG (39)<br>R preCG (6)<br>R FFG (19)   |                                  |  |
| Baeken                     | Healthy   | 5.5 ± 4        | Baby face  | Block           | 3.6s             | view                            | Positive > neutral                      | FFG (37/19)   | p < 0.001                        |  |
| et al.,<br>2010a           | temales (26.6<br>$\pm$ 6.9 years;<br>20:0/20)   | months         | pictures<br>(neutral,<br>positive,<br>negative)                                |                 |                  |                                 | Negative > neutral                      | FFG (18/37/19)  | (unconected)                     |  |
| Barrett<br>et al., 2012    | Healthy<br>mothers<br>(25–35 years;<br>22:0/22) | ~3<br>months   | Baby face<br>pictures<br>(positive,<br>negative)                               | Block           | 3s               | Affect-<br>rating task<br>(ART) | Positive: own ><br>unfamiliar           | Cerebellum<br>STG (38)<br>MTG (21)<br>AMY<br>TH         | p < 0.001<br>(uncorrected)       |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Negative: own ><br>unfamiliar           | PUT<br>postCG (3)<br>STG (38)<br>Cerebellum             |                                  |  |
| Lenzi et al.,<br>2009      | Healthy<br>mothers<br>(23–42 years;<br>16:0/16) | 6–12<br>months | 6–12 Baby face<br>months pictures (joy,<br>distress,<br>ambiguous,<br>neutral) | Block           | 2s               | View/imitate                    | Imitation: emotive<br>> neutral         | STS<br>AMY  | p < 0.05 (FWE,<br>SVC corrected) |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Observation:<br>emotive > neutral       | IPS<br>INS<br>AMY                                       |                                  |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Observation: own > other child          | IPS<br>Anterior INS<br>STS                              |                                  |  |
| Strathearn<br>et al., 2009 | Primiparous<br>mothers (N/A;<br>30:0/30)        | ~11<br>months  | Infant face<br>pictures (happy,<br>neutral, sad)                               | Event           | 2s               | View                            | Own > unknown<br>(secure ><br>insecure) | Hypothalamus  | p = 0.0001                       |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Own > unknown<br>(insecure ><br>secure) | anterior INS (13)                                       |                                  |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Own happy faces:<br>secure > insecure   | OFC (10/45/46)  |                                  |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Own happy faces:<br>insecure > secure   | dIPFC (9/46)<br>SCG                                     |                                  |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Own sad faces:<br>secure > insecure     | IPFC (9)<br>Ventral Striatum /<br>NAcc                  |                                  |  |
|                            |   |                |  |                 |                  |                                 | Own sad faces:<br>insecure > secure     | anterior INS (13)                                       |                                  |  |
| Zebrowitz<br>et al., 2009  | Healthy<br>subjects<br>(21–36<br>years;17:8/9)  | 5–9<br>months  | Babies,<br>babyfaced/mature<br>men pictures                                    | Block<br>efaced | 200 ms           | View                            | Baby faces > mature faced adults        | AMY   | p < 0.05                         |  |

| Study                          | Participants<br>(Age; N:<br>M/F)  | Face<br>age                        | Stimuli   | Design | Face<br>duration | Paradigm                   | Contrasts  | Findings: activated<br>brain regions<br>(Brodmann area)  | Corrections                     |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--------|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
|                                |   |                                    |   |        |                  |                            | Baby faced adults<br>> mature faced<br>adults  | AMY  |                                 |
| Landi<br>et al., 2011          | Substance-<br>using mothers<br>(26:0/26);<br>non-using<br>mothers<br>(28:0/28);<br>17–42 years; | 5–10<br>months                     | Infant faces<br>(happy, neutral,<br>sad)          | Event  | 1s               | One-back<br>memory<br>task | Happy infant<br>faces: non-<br>using>substance-<br>using<br>mothers  | R postCG<br>L SFG<br>R HIPP/<br>parahippocampus<br>L cerebellum  | p < 0.05<br>(corrected)         |
|                                |   |                                    |   |        |                  |                            | Happy infant<br>faces: substance-<br>using>non-using<br>mothers  | L. posterior<br>parahippocampal<br>gyrus   |                                 |
|                                |   |                                    |   |        |                  |                            | Sad infant faces:<br>non-<br>using>substance-<br>using<br>mothers  | medial OFC<br>MTG/STG<br>PCC<br>R AMY<br>Parahippocampal<br>gyrus  |                                 |
| Kuo et al.,<br>2012            | Healthy<br>fathers<br>(28–44 years;   | thy 8–19<br>ers weeks<br>44 years; | Videos stimuli<br>(neutral or slight<br>positive) | Block  | 15s              | View                       | Own > other infant   | R SFG<br>CAU<br>R OFC  | ρ < 0.05<br>(FDR<br>corrected)  |
|                                | 10:10/0)  |                                    |   |        |                  |                            | Other > own infant   | FFG  |                                 |
| Laurent<br>and Ablow,<br>2013  | Primiparous<br>mothers (24.1<br>$\pm$ 4.1 years;<br>22:0/22) Half<br>with<br>depressive         | 15–18<br>months                    | Infant face<br>pictures (joy,<br>distress)        | Block  | 6s               | View                       | Own > other infant<br>joy faces (mothers<br>with lower current<br>self-reported<br>depressive<br>symptoms)       | R INS<br>L inferior OFC (11)   | p < 0.05 (FDR<br>corrected)     |
|                                | symptoms  | 15                                 |   |        |                  |                            | Own > other infant<br>distress faces<br>(non-depressed ><br>depressed<br>mothers)                                | L dorsal ACC (32)  |                                 |
|                                |   |                                    |   |        |                  |                            | Own infant joy ><br>distress faces<br>(mothers with<br>lower current<br>self-reported<br>depressive<br>symptoms) | L INS- PUT<br>L dorsal ACC-SMA<br>(24/6)<br>L SMG (40)   |                                 |
| Strathearn<br>and Kim,<br>2013 | Healthy<br>primiparous<br>mothers (28.5<br>± 0.8 years;<br>39:0/39)                             | 6.8 ± 0.3<br>months                | Infant face<br>pictures (happy,<br>neutral, sad)  | Event  | 2s               | View                       | Own > unknown<br>happy infant faces  | R preCG (4)<br>R SFG (6)<br>L postCG (3)<br>R STG (38)<br>R PUT<br>L AMY / Dorsal<br>striatum<br>R Dorsal CAU<br>R Dorsal PUT<br>SNi/VTA | p < 0.005<br>(FDR<br>corrected) |

| Study                         | Participants<br>(Age; N:<br>M/F)  | Face<br>age                     | Stimuli  | Design   | Face<br>duration   | Paradigm                            | Contrasts  | Findings: activated<br>brain regions<br>(Brodmann area)                                     | Corrections   |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Stoeckel<br>et al., 2014      | ckel Healthy 2–10 Dog and child Block 4s View<br>, 2014 mothers years pictures<br>(22–45 years;<br>14:0/14) | 2–10<br>years                   | Dog and child<br>pictures  | Block  | 4s                 | View                                | Own > unfamiliar<br>child  | FFG (37)*<br>PUT*<br>SNi/VTA<br>THA*  | <ul> <li>ρ &lt; 0.05 (FWE corrected)</li> <li>*ρ &lt; 0.01</li> </ul>                 |
|                               |   |                                 | Own child ><br>fixation  | AMY*<br>FFG*<br>R HIPP*<br>Medial OFC*<br>PUT*<br>SNi/VTA*<br>THA* | (FWE<br>corrected) |                                     |  |   |   |
| Wan et al.,<br>2014           | Healthy<br>mothers<br>(20–43 years;   | 4–9<br>months<br>rs;            | Own and<br>unfamiliar infant<br>videos with<br>neutral to mildly<br>positive affect,<br>and emotionally<br>neutral stimuli<br>(moving traffic) | Block  | 30 s               | View<br>(Infant<br>video            | Infants > moving<br>traffic  | L Cerebellum (19)*<br>R MTG (39)*<br>R FFG (37)*  | p < 0.001<br>(uncorrected)  |
|                               | 20:0/20)  |                                 |  |  |                    | activation<br>paradigm)             | Own > unknown<br>infant  | Cerebellum<br>PCU (7)<br>R STG (38)<br>R IPS (40)<br>R postCG (1)<br>preCG (4/6)<br>L AMY** | * <i>p</i> < 0.05 (FDR<br>corrected)<br>** <i>p</i> < 0.05<br>(SVC, FWE<br>corrected) |
|                               |   |                                 |  |  |                    |                                     | Unknown > own<br>infant  | MTG (21);<br>Cerebellum   |   |
|                               |   |                                 |  |  |                    |                                     | Own > unknown<br>infant (correlates<br>with maternal<br>nondirectiveness)                  | FFG (18)<br>PUT   |   |
|                               |   |                                 |  |  |                    |                                     | Own > unknown<br>infant (correlates<br>with infant<br>interactive<br>behavior)             | preCG (4)<br>THA  |   |
|                               |   |                                 |  |  |                    |                                     | Own > unknown<br>infant (correlates<br>with mothers'<br>perceived warmth<br>of her infant) | PCU (7)<br>INS (13)   |   |
| MAGNETO                       | ENCEPHALOGR   | APHY (MEG)                      | STUDIES  |  |                    |                                     |  |   |   |
| Kringelbach<br>et al., 2008   | Healthy<br>subjects (29.5<br>years; 12:5/7)   | 3–12<br>months                  | Positive,<br>negative,<br>neutral  | Block  | 300 ms             | View                                | Specially found on<br>infant faces, not<br>adult faces                                     | L mOFC: 10–15 Hz,<br>130 ms<br>R FFG: 20–25 Hz,<br>165 ms                                   |   |
| EVENT-REL                     | ATED POTENTI  | ALS (ERP) / I                   | ELECTROENCEPH  | ALOGRAP  | 'H (EEG) ST        | UDIES                               |  |   |   |
| Doi and<br>Shinohara,<br>2012 | Healthy<br>mothers (33.7<br>$\pm$ 4.3 years;  | M = 5.6<br>7 $\pm 0.8$<br>years | Children face<br>pictures (eyes<br>closed, gaze  | Block  | 1000 ms            | Oddball<br>paradigm<br>(Respond     | Straight > averted<br>gazes  | Own child (but not an<br>unfamiliar child):<br>N170   |   |
|                               | 16: 0/16)   |                                 | straight, gaze<br>averted)   |  |                    | to a face<br>with its<br>eyes open) | Unfamiliar >own<br>child   | Straight gaze: P3   |   |
| Proverbio                     | Healthy   | N/A                             | Adults, children,  | Event  | 800 ms             | An implicit                         | Infants > adults   | N1  |   |
| et al.,<br>2011b              | subjects<br>(19–27 years;<br>40·20/20)  |                                 | infants, objects,<br>landscape   |  |                    | task with<br>key press              | Infants > children   | Female: anterior N2   |   |
|                               | 70.20/20J   |                                 | pioraico   |  |                    | landscape<br>pictures               | Children > adults  | Male: anterior N2   |   |

| Study                              | Participants<br>(Age; N:<br>M/F)  | Face<br>age    | Stimuli  | Design | Face<br>duration | Paradigm                                      | Contrasts   | Findings: activated<br>brain regions<br>(Brodmann area)  | Corrections |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------|--|--------|------------------|---|---|--|-------------|
| Weisman<br>et al.,<br>2012c        | Healthy<br>parents<br>(19–33 years;<br>24:13/11)<br>Healthy lovers<br>(19–33 years;<br>19:10/9)<br>Healthy<br>singles<br>(19–33 years;<br>22:12/10) | 6 months       | Infant face<br>pictures<br>(neutral);<br>standard  | Event  | 300 ms           | Oddball<br>paradigm<br>(Press a<br>key        | Unfamiliar infant<br>faces: parents &<br>lovers > singles<br>(subjects) | Occipital–lateral<br>(N170);<br>central–frontal (P3a)<br>sites;  |             |
|                                    |   |                | stimuli  |        |                  | an infant's<br>face<br>appeared<br>on screen) | Own > unfamiliar  | Parietal-distributed<br>P300 component   |             |
| Esposito<br>et al., 2015           | Healthy<br>primiparous  | 3–6<br>months  | Infant faces<br>pictures   | Event  | 500 ms           | View  | Own > unfamiliar  | Midline occipital (Oz)<br>cluster;   |             |
|                                    | mothers<br>( $32.06 \pm 4.66$<br>years;<br>21:0/21)   |                | (neutral)  |        |                  |   | Unfamiliar > own  | Right temporal (Tr)<br>cluster; left temporal<br>(TI) cluster;   |             |
| Malak<br>et al., 2015              | Mothers (28<br>± 5.7 years;<br>47:0/47)   | 1–23<br>months | Unfamiliar infant<br>faces pictures<br>(neutral,<br>distressed)                                | Event  | 1500 ms          | View  | Distressed ><br>neutral   | ↑ LPP <ul> <li>The degree of late</li> <li>Positive potential</li> <li>(LPP) amplitude</li> <li>elicited by neutral</li> <li>infant faces was</li> <li>positively correlated</li> <li>with state anxiety.</li> </ul> |             |
| NEAR-INFR                          | ARED SPECTRO  | OSCOPY (NIF    | RS) STUDIES  |        |                  |   |   |  |             |
| Minagawa-<br>Kawai<br>et al., 2009 | Healthy<br>mothers<br>(28–42 years;<br>18:0/18)   | 9–13<br>months | Video stimuli<br>(neutral, smile)  | Block  | 30 s             | View  | Own > unfamiliar  | OFC  |             |
| Nishitani<br>et al., 2011          | 14 healthy<br>mothers<br>(20–42 years;<br>14:0/14); 14<br>healthy<br>non-mothers<br>(20–42 years;<br>14:0/14)                                       | 9–36<br>months | Infant and adult<br>face pictures<br>(happy, angry,<br>sad, fearful,<br>surprised,<br>neutral) | Event  | N/A              | Expression<br>judgment                        | N/A   | ↑ R PFC activity<br>(discriminating infant<br>facial emotions but<br>not adult facial<br>emotions)   |             |

N, numbers of subjects; M, male; F, female; L, left; R, right. Abbreviations of brain regions: see Table 2.

2014). Finally, a repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) study has found that a single high frequency session with stimulation applied to the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex enhanced processing of positive emotions on baby faces and reduced that for negative emotions (Baeken et al., 2010b). Thus infant faces elicit stronger responses in emotional brain circuitry involved with processing both valence and arousal. This would suggest that overall infant faces evoke both stronger arousal and enhanced responses to both positive and negative cues from the infant. The OFC and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in particular appear to play a key role in mediating differential responses to positive and negative valence infant faces.

Many of the core brain regions engaged in emotion processing are also involved with core processing of empathy, notably

the MCC, INS, and OFC (Fan et al., 2011), and other regions in the empathy network also show strong activation in response to infant faces, notably the posterior superior temporal sulcus (pSTS; Leibenluft et al., 2004), supplementary motor area (SMA—BA 6) and precentral gyrus (preCG; Caria et al., 2012), STG (Ranote et al., 2004; Stoeckel et al., 2014), PCU (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Glocker et al., 2009b), right supramarginal gyrus (SMG—BA 40) (Leibenluft et al., 2004), and also cerebellum (Ranote et al., 2004; Glocker et al., 2009b) (**Figure 1C**). Overall this pattern of enhanced activity in empathy processing regions suggests that it may contribute to better identification of emotions being expressed (cognitive empathy) and enhanced empathic feelings toward the infant (affective empathy). Furthermore, increased activity in preCG and SMA

TABLE 2 | The abbreviations and full names of activated brain regions.

| Abbreviations | Full names                         |
|---------------|------------------------------------|
| ACC           | Anterior Cingulate Cortex          |
| AMY           | Amygdala                           |
| ATC           | Anterior Temporal Cortex           |
| ATP           | Anterior Temporal Pole             |
| APC           | Anterior Paracingulate Cortex      |
| CAU           | Caudate                            |
| dIPFC         | Dorsal Lateral Prefrontal Cortex   |
| FFG           | Fusiform Gyrus                     |
| GP            | Globus Pallidus                    |
| GM            | Gray Matter                        |
| HIPP          | Hippocampus                        |
| IFG           | Inferior Frontal Gyrus             |
| INS           | Insula                             |
| IPL           | Inferior Parietal Lobule           |
| IPS           | Intraparietal Sulcus               |
| IPFC          | Lateral Prefrontal Cortex          |
| MCC           | Medial Cingulate Cortex            |
| MFG           | Medial Frontal Gyrus               |
| MOG           | Middle Occipital Gyrus             |
| MTG           | Middle Temporal Gyrus              |
| mPFC          | Medial Prefrontal Cortex           |
| MTG           | Medial Temporal Gyrus              |
| NAcc          | Nucleus Accumbens                  |
| OFC           | Orbitofrontal Cortex               |
| PAG           | Periaqueductal Gray                |
| PCC           | Posterior Cingulate Cortex         |
| PCU           | Precuneus                          |
| PFC           | Prefrontal Cortex                  |
| PHG           | Parahippocampal Gyrus              |
| preCG         | Precentral Gyrus                   |
| postCG        | Postcentral Gyrus                  |
| pSTS          | Posterior Superior Temporal Sulcus |
| PUT           | Putamen                            |
| SCG           | Subcallosal Gyrus                  |
| SFG           | Superior Frontal Gyrus             |
| SMA           | Supplementary Motor Area           |
| SMG           | Supramarginal Gyrus                |
| SNi           | Substantia Nigra                   |
| SPL           | Superior Parietal Lobe             |
| STG           | Superior Temporal Gyrus            |
| TC            | Temporal Cortex                    |
| THA           | Thalamus                           |
| VTA           | Ventral Tegmental Area             |

might lead to increased motor empathy in terms of mimicry of facial expressions. Interestingly, a recent paper has shown that 18 month old infants exposed to a higher level of mimicry by their mothers exhibited increased prosocial behavior (Carpenter et al., 2013).

Enhanced activity changes in response to infant faces in the pSTS, PCU and PCC (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Glocker et al., 2009b)

may also reflect an impact on core processes for theory of mind (Schurz et al., 2014). Theory of mind refers to the capacity to attribute mental states to oneself or others, and to predict and account for other people's behavior based upon understanding of their intentions and mental states (Premack and Woodruff, 1978; Leibenluft et al., 2004). Greater activation in these areas may therefore help adults utilize previous memorized experience and all the skills they have to better understand and respond toward the infant and successfully manage their social communication and relationship. Linked to this there is also evidence that infant faces evoke greater activation in regions associated with episodic memory including the hippocampus (HIPP; Stoeckel et al., 2014), PCU (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Glocker et al., 2009b) and thalamus (THA; Leibenluft et al., 2004; Caria et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014) (Figure 1D). Enhanced activation in FFG (BA 41/37/19) (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Kringelbach et al., 2008; Caria et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014) and STG (Ranote et al., 2004; Stoeckel et al., 2014) may additionally contribute through their role in social cognition.

A number of studies have addressed the question of whether infant faces are particularly rewarding. Findings have consistently shown that infant faces appear to evoke greater activation of regions involved in reward and attachment, including the OFC (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Nitschke et al., 2004; Kringelbach et al., 2008; Minagawa-Kawai et al., 2009; Kuo et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014; Wan et al., 2014), substantia nigra/ventral tegmental area (SNi/VTA; Stoeckel et al., 2014), nucleus accumbens (NAcc)/ventral striatum (Stoeckel et al., 2014), caudate (CAU; Glocker et al., 2009b; Kuo et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014), putamen (PUT) (Glocker et al., 2009b; Stoeckel et al., 2014), globus pallidus (GP) (Glocker et al., 2009b; Stoeckel et al., 2014) and the THA (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Caria et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014) (Figure 1E). Although the OFC is also involved in emotion processing, its contribution to enhanced rewarding properties of infant faces has been particularly emphasized. The NAcc and VTA are key interconnected regions in the dopaminergic brain reward system (Bourdy and Barrot, 2012) which are selectively activated during both overt perception and mental imagery of rewarding and reinforcing stimuli, especially pleasant and emotionally arousing ones such as infant faces (Costa et al., 2010). Furthermore, lesions in NAcc have been reported to impair the baby schema effect (Numan, 2007). The NAcc and VTA are important for reward-mediated attachment and affiliation (Stoeckel et al., 2014) and also appear to be important targets whereby prosocial hormones such as oxytocin and vasopressin exert their effects on social reward (Scheele et al., 2013).

One of the most notable effects of infant faces is that they evoke stronger activation in brain motor areas than adult faces, including the SMA (BA 6) (Caria et al., 2012), precentral gyrus (preCG—BA 6) (Caria et al., 2012; Glocker et al., 2009b), postcentral gyrus (postCG—BA 2/3/4) (Glocker et al., 2009b; Ranote et al., 2004), superior frontal gyrus (SFG—BA 6) (Glocker et al., 2009b; Caria et al., 2012; Kuo et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2014), THA (Leibenluft et al., 2004; Caria et al., 2012; Stoeckel et al., 2014) and cerebellum (Ranote et al., 2004; Glocker et al., 2009b) (**Figure 1F**). These interconnected areas form the core motor



circuit for preparation and planning and execution of intentional movements and speech (Goldberg, 1985; Caria et al., 2012). The SFG contains a number of different sub-regions with different patterns of connectivity with regions involved in motor control, working memory and attention and self-awareness (Li et al., 2013), and may play an important role in integrating perception

and action (Goldberg et al., 2006). Overall the extensive pattern of activation in motor control circuitry seen in response to infant faces may be particularly important in mediating unconscious, intuitive and virtually unavoidable patterns of approach behavior in adults to protect infants and promote both physical and language interactions with them (Ackermann and Ziegler, 2010; Caria et al., 2012). As already discussed above in relation to motor empathy, adult observers may also show a greater propensity to mimic infant face expressions thereby aiding infant prosocial development (Carpenter et al., 2013).

Only a small number of studies have specifically investigated the impact of the intensity of baby schema features in infant faces either by comparing responses to the faces of infants (high baby schema) and children (low baby schema) or through direct manipulation of baby schema features in specific face stimuli. From these studies there is evidence that intensity of baby schema does influence neural responses in visual and face processing regions as well as in regions controlling emotional responses, attention and memory, theory of mind and reward. An ERP study found that N1 amplitude localized in the FFG was increased in response to infant faces compared to adult, although not child faces, in both males and females. On the other hand N2 amplitude localized in the medial occipital cortex and FFG, uncus and medial OFC was increased in response to infant compared with child and adult faces, although only in females (Proverbio et al., 2011b). An fMRI study which specifically manipulated the intensity of baby features found that infant faces with high baby schema features, and which were rated to be very cute, produced stronger activation than ones with low baby schema features in the right NAcc, left ACC (BA 24), left PCU (BA 7), and left FFG (Glocker et al., 2009b). Interestingly, another fMRI study showed that adult faces with a baby-faced appearance and infant faces evoked greater activation in the same brain regions (AMY and FFA) than more mature looking adult faces, and also stimulated greater functional connectivity between them (Zebrowitz et al., 2009). However, it should be noted that the enhanced impact of baby faces on AMY and OFC responses is disrupted if the infant face has physical anomalies, which disrupt the baby schema (Baeken et al., 2010a; Parsons et al., 2013). Overall therefore evidence from these studies indicates that infant faces with high baby schema are more likely to evoke greater responses in brain regions processing faces, attention, emotion and positive reward than those with low baby schema.

## Specific Neural Responses to Own Infant Faces: Parental Love

In addition to the general impact of baby schema on neural processing discussed above there is widespread evidence for further enhanced effects when parents view their own as opposed to other infants. Thus, when mothers view their own infants there is greater activation observed in many of the same brain areas discussed above associated with visual processing, emotion, empathy, theory of mind, reward processing, social cognition and motor control (Bartels and Zeki, 2004; Leibenluft et al., 2004; Nitschke et al., 2004; Noriuchi et al., 2008; Minagawa-Kawai et al., 2009; Laurent and Ablow, 2013; Stoeckel et al., 2014; Wan et al., 2014; Esposito et al., 2015).

There are also some additional brain areas activated when mothers view their babies, including visual processing regions such as the occipital and temporal cortices (BA 17/18/19/37) (Nitschke et al., 2004), the right anterior temporal pole (ATP-BA 38) (Ranote et al., 2004) involved in emotional processing, periaqueductal gray (PAG) (Bartels and Zeki, 2004; Noriuchi et al., 2008), lateral OFC and lateral prefrontal cortex (IPFC-BA 11/47/46/45) (Bartels and Zeki, 2004) involved in maternal responses and emotion/reward processing and anterior paracingulate cortex (APC-BA 9) (Leibenluft et al., 2004) involved in theory of mind and cognitive processing. Moreover, ERP studies have found that both amplitudes of the parietal-distributed P300, involved in attention, and the temporal N170 component implicated in face encoding, were increased during viewing of own infant/child but not of unfamiliar infants/children (Doi and Shinohara, 2012; Weisman et al., 2012c). These specific neural responses toward own infant/child faces may represent components of maternal love/attachment which are clearly very important for the socio-emotional and cognitive development of infants, especially during the early post-partum period.

One of the most consistent findings in terms of an own infant-specific neural response in mothers is increased activation in the OFC which plays a key role both in emotion and reward processing (Bartels and Zeki, 2004; Nitschke et al., 2004; Kringelbach et al., 2008; Stoeckel et al., 2014). The OFC includes medial (BA 25/14/10) and lateral (BA 47/12/11/10) areas and in accordance with its anatomy and connectivity the lateral portion has been further subdivided into another three sub-regions: anterior, posterior and caudal (Elliott et al., 2000). The medial portion is particularly involved in monitoring reward value and making stimulus-reward associations while the lateral portion is more related to stimulus-outcome associations and rewardrelated response suppression (Elliott et al., 2000; Walton et al., 2010). Overall, studies have found that maternal love (in terms of maternal responses to own babies) is mostly associated with increased activation of the lateral OFC. Thus bilateral lateral OFC (BA 11/47) activation occurs when mothers passively view images of their own as opposed to another familiar infant (Bartels and Zeki, 2004); happy faces of their own compared to another unfamiliar happy infant (Nitschke et al., 2004) or video clips of their own compared to unknown infants (Noriuchi et al., 2008; Minagawa-Kawai et al., 2009). Another study has also reported greater activation in the left inferior OFC (BA 11) with own vs. other joyful infant faces in mothers with low self-reported current depressive symptoms (Laurent and Ablow, 2013). Finally there is also a study showing increased activations in response to viewing an own infant in the anterior portion of the OFC (Minagawa-Kawai et al., 2009).

An increasing number of studies have investigated the neural substrates of paternal love in recent years (Atzil et al., 2012; Lamb and Lewis, 2013; Leidy et al., 2013; Mascaro et al., 2014). Neuroimaging studies on fathers viewing own infant/child faces have found increased activity in brain areas including medial and lateral frontal cortex (IFG, MFG, SFG, OFC), SMG, MTG,

INS, cingulate, striatum (CAU) and AMY (Atzil et al., 2012; Kuo et al., 2012; Mascaro et al., 2014). All of these regions also show increased activation in mothers viewing their own infant/child faces. The only study to directly compare neural responses in mothers and fathers to videos of their own as opposed to other young infants also reported a considerable similarity between them (Atzil et al., 2012). However, this study also found significantly greater activation in mothers in a number of regions in the right hemisphere (STG, PostCG, FFG, MTG, AMY, lentiform nucleus, Cuneus, and CAU). On the other hand in fathers greater activation was found in the left MFG, inferior parietal gyrus, superior occipital gyrus and precuneus and in part of the right MTG and STG. This possibly suggests more right hemisphere dominated responses in mothers and left hemisphere dominated ones on father. Further evidence for differences between fathers and mothers has also been reported in response to own vs. other infant laughing of crying. In this case a deactivation response in the ACC, which is an important region in the control of emotion, was only found to occur in mothers (Seifritz et al., 2003). Somewhat surprisingly one study has reported that greater parental sensitivity and reciprocity in fathers was negatively associated with the activation in the right OFC for own compared with other infants (Kuo et al., 2012). This seems to imply that more responsive fathers may find all infants more rewarding, not just their own. However, only 10 subjects were included in this study and so some caution should be attached to interpreting this finding. Another a recent study has also investigated changes in regional gray matter (GM) volume in fathers from 2-4 to 12-16 weeks postpartum as an indication of potential neural plasticity changes. Results showed increased GM volume in the hypothalamus, AMY, striatum and lateral frontal cortex although a decrease in the OFC, PCC, and INS (Kim et al., 2014).

In summary, all the neuroimaging and electrophysiological findings described above support the conclusion that baby faces contain highly salient, affective and rewarding information that particularly engages the extensive neural processing systems involved in these functions in adult observers. These systems in turn mediate changes in motor preparation and response circuitry to promote approach, protection and nurturing behavior and the whole system undergoes plasticity changes to further strengthen the social bond with the infant and facilitate subsequent behavioral responses. Evidence to date suggests that the neural circuitry involved in maternal and paternal responses to own infants/children appears to be very similar, although there is some evidence for differential responses in some frontal, temporal, limbic, and brain reward regions. However further studies are clearly needed provide more extensive evidence for such parental sex differences.

## Hormonal Correlates of Attraction to Infant Faces

A number of cultural, experiential and physiological factors could potentially contribute to observed sex differences in responses to infant and child faces. In particular, differential responses in men and women as a result of cultural norms and expectations may play a significant role (Lytton and Romney, 1991), although this has not been systematically investigated in the context of the baby schema effect. However, there is also a growing amount of evidence for hormonal influences on responses to infant cues in terms of sex differences, effects of puberty, the menstrual cycle and pregnancy, sex hormones and also neuropeptides, such as oxytocin and vasopressin, involved in the control of parental behavior and social bonds.

There is increasing behavioral and neural evidence for sex differences in response to infant faces. Behavioral findings have shown that while both males and females find infant faces cute, females tend to be more sensitive to the cuteness of infant faces than males (Glocker et al., 2009a; Lehmann et al., 2013), have stronger reactions and attentional bias toward them (Seifritz et al., 2003; Cárdenas et al., 2013), exhibit a higher preference for and liking of them (Maestripieri and Pelka, 2002; Parsons et al., 2011; Charles et al., 2013) and make more effort and have a stronger motivation to view them (Hahn et al., 2013). However, it should be noted that one study has failed to find a gender difference in attraction to infant faces, although this might possibly reflect the young age of the male participants or possibly the rather limited 5-point Likert scale used (Sprengelmeyer et al., 2013).

This observed gender difference in the perceived attractiveness of infant faces may to some extent be attributed to increased responsiveness in brain reward regions in mothers compared to fathers (Atzil et al., 2012), and also to the larger size of the OFC in females relative to males (Gur et al., 2002; Proverbio et al., 2011b). Indeed, support for a bias in women finding infant faces more rewarding, rather than being more sensitive to recognizing them, comes from a study showing that females were only better than males at choosing which of a pair of infant faces was cuter, but not when deciding which was the younger or the happier one (Lobmaier et al., 2010).

Preferences for infant faces also vary in women across their life cycle, and particularly with regard to their reproductive status. Two studies have reported that while females overall exhibit an overall higher preference for infant faces than males this preference varies with age. The first of these studies reported that during childhood (6-10 years) and adolescence (11-15 years) females exhibited the highest preference, but that this declined thereafter during early (19-35 years) and later (46-75 years) adulthood. Interestingly males showed a relatively constant preference across all four age groups (Maestripieri and Pelka, 2002). A second study reported that young women (19-26 years) are more sensitive to infant cuteness than men aged 19-26 and 53-60 years old. Women aged 45-51 years were the same as younger women whereas those aged 53-60 years old showed a reduced cuteness sensitivity that was equivalent to men (Sprengelmeyer et al., 2009). Thus both studies suggest that female reproductive hormones may play an important role in increasing perceived cuteness of infant faces. This explains the sex difference between young women and men and the decline seen in older women who are likely to have undergone menopause.

Puberty and age of puberty have also been shown to influence perception of infant cuteness. Post-menarcheal girls

have a higher preference for infant faces and rated them more positively than pre-menarcheal peers and boys, suggesting that the onset of menstruation may increase attention toward infantile features (Goldberg et al., 1982). Furthermore, girls who had an early menarche also exhibited a greater subsequent preference for infant faces than those who had a later onset menarche (Maestripieri, 2004; Maestripieri et al., 2004). This latter finding is perhaps a little surprising given that early puberty is generally more associated with a negative impact in terms of increased likelihood of depression and behavioral problems (Copeland et al., 2010). However, it was also found that both early menarche and increased perception of cuteness were associated with early paternal absence from the home and so it is argued that this may represent an adaptation in terms of an earlier readiness for reproduction and parenting (Maestripieri et al., 2004).

Two studies to date have investigated potential differences in responses to infant faces across the menstrual cycle. One of these did not find any effects (Sprengelmeyer et al., 2013), however the other using a forced-choice paradigm where subjects indicated which of two infant faces was cuter, found that women were more likely to choose the cuter baby during their ovulatory than luteal phase of the cycle (Lobmaier et al., 2015). Nevertheless, cuteness discrimination was not associated with saliva concentrations of oestradiol, progesterone or testosterone, leading the authors to speculate that this menstrual cycle phase effect might be associated with other relevant hormones which change during the cycle, such as oxytocin or prolactin. To date no studies have looked at changes across pregnancy. However, overall findings do suggest some potential links between female reproductive hormones and their sensitivity to the cuteness of infant faces and that this can therefore contribute to facilitation of parental caregiving in individuals who have the reproductive potential to produce children (Sprengelmeyer et al., 2010).

In fathers two studies have reported correlations between blood testosterone concentrations and neural responses to infant cues. Testosterone concentrations were found to be decreased in fathers compared to non-fathers, and negatively associated with activation of the MFG in response to pictures of young children (Mascaro et al., 2014). On the other hand a study has also reported a positive association between testosterone concentrations in fathers and activation of a brain reward area, the left CAU, following interaction with an infant (Kuo et al., 2012). Thus the relationship between testosterone and neural responses to infant cues in fathers is somewhat unclear.

# Effects of Sex Hormones on Attraction to Infant Faces

While no studies have systematically investigated the effects of exogenous treatments with either estradiol or progesterone on sensitivity to cuteness in infant faces one has reported that women using oral contraceptives (which contain estrogen and progesterone) are more sensitive compared to those who do not (Sprengelmeyer et al., 2009). However, the same group in a subsequent study failed to find an effect of oral contraceptives

on the aesthetic and incentive salience of cute infant faces (Sprengelmeyer et al., 2013).

Another important sex hormone which influences parenting behaviors is testosterone (Bos et al., 2010; Kuo et al., 2012). Testosterone may play a role in regulating females' reward sensitivity since it has been shown to increase the reward value of financial incentives through testosterone administration (Hermans et al., 2010). While no studies to date have investigated effects of testosterone administration on viewing baby faces several have attempted to find any associations with salivary testosterone concentrations. Thus higher salivary testosterone concentrations in women were associated with greater reward scores given to cute infant faces and this effect was independent of progesterone and estradiol concentrations (Hahn et al., 2014). Higher salivary testosterone concentrations in fathers during interactions with infants have also been associated with greater activation in brain reward regions such as the CAU when processing own vs. other infant faces (Kuo et al., 2012).

## Effects of Oxytocin and Vasopressin on Attraction to Infant Faces

There has been considerable interest in the role of the evolutionary conserved hypothalamic neuropeptides oxytocin and vasopressin in recent years and a large number of studies have investigated their importance for a wide range of human social and emotional behaviors. The majority of studies have focused on oxytocin (OXT) and its effects on trust, cooperation, face emotion recognition, empathy, in-group preferences and also on social bonds and maternal attachment (Bartz et al., 2011; Kemp and Guastella, 2011; Striepens et al., 2011; Weisman et al., 2012a,b; Scheele et al., 2014; Wigton et al., 2015). Oxytocin may play an important role in human parental responses, with higher plasma concentrations of maternal oxytocin across pregnancy being predictive of higher quality of postpartum maternal care (Feldman et al., 2007). Increased plasma concentrations of oxytocin across the first 6 months following the birth of a child have also been correlated with various, although differing, positive aspects of parental responsiveness in both mothers and fathers (Gordon et al., 2010). Associations between oxytocin receptor polymorphisms (Riem et al., 2011b; Feldman et al., 2012) and the vasopressin V1a receptor (Bisceglia et al., 2012) and sensitive parenting have also been reported. Oxytocin released during breast-feeding may also have stress-reducing effects (Heinrichs et al., 2001, 2002). In the context of the current review associations between oxytocin and vasopressin and attraction to infant faces have been shown by demonstrating correlations between plasma or saliva concentrations or associations with receptor polymorphisms or neural and behavioral responses to exogenous treatment using intranasal application.

Salivary oxytocin concentrations have been found to be positively correlated to mood ratings of happy but not sad infant faces in women (Bhandari et al., 2014). In an ERP study, urinary oxytocin concentrations in foster mothers following a cuddle interaction with their infants were also shown to be positively correlated with P300 amplitude in response to viewing all infant faces (Bick et al., 2013). However, another recent study which failed to demonstrate differences in responses to infant compared with adult faces in a facial visual research task found that urinary oxytocin concentrations were positively correlated with performance on both types of faces (Saito et al., 2014). Higher concentrations of plasma oxytocin have also been found to be related with stronger maternal response in terms of increased gaze toward the infant face in postpartum mothers (Feldman et al., 2007; Gordon et al., 2010), and greater activation in brain reward regions such as the ventral striatum, OFC and medial frontal cortex as well as in hypothalamic/pituitary regions in first-time mothers viewing own vs. unknown infant faces (Strathearn et al., 2009). Furthermore, those mothers with lower plasma oxytocin concentrations when viewing their own vs. unknown infant faces were found to be more likely to have insecure attachment and also reduced activation of the mesocorticolimbic dopamine reward system in response to infant face cues (Strathearn et al., 2008, 2009; Strathearn, 2011).

Thus while plasma, salivary and urinary concentrations of oxytocin may not necessarily always accurately reflect those in the brain (see Striepens et al., 2011) there does seem to be some association between higher endogenous concentrations of the peptide and enhanced responses to infant face cues, at least in post-partum mothers. In line with the potential role of oxytocin in influencing social reward and modulating activity in brain reward systems (Scheele et al., 2013; Striepens et al., 2014), increased peripheral concentrations also seem to be associated with greater responses to own vs. other infant faces and cues in dopaminergic reward pathways (Rilling, 2009; Strathearn et al., 2009; Strathearn, 2011). However, this relationship between oxytocin and enhanced activation in brain reward systems is not specific to parent-infant bonds since it has also been reported for romantic bonds in terms of men viewing the face of their female partner compared with another either familiar or unfamiliar woman (Scheele et al., 2013).

Intranasal oxytocin administration has been found to enhance responses to important infant cues such as crying (Riem et al., 2011a, 2014) and laughing (Riem et al., 2012). Oxytocin administration has also been reported to increase preference for infant faces in homozygous GG allele carriers for the rs53576 polymorphism of the oxytocin receptor, whereas rs53576A allele carriers showed the opposite pattern (Marsh et al., 2012). An ERP study has linked the rs53576 polymorphism with sensitivity to infant cues since in both mothers and nulliparous women who were GG allele carriers an early ( $\sim 100 \text{ ms}$ ) differential frontal ERP response to strong intensity infant face expressions was associated with faster emotion recognition performance (Peltola et al., 2014). The same study found that mothers exhibited modulation of the early posterior negativity component (EPN) by negative valence faces. Intranasal OXT administration has also been shown to enhance subjective arousal ratings for infant photos in nulliparous women, and their ratings were positively correlated with their AMY activation in the oxytocin but not placebo treatment group (Rupp et al., 2013). Another study using the Infant Facial Expressions of Emotions from Looking at Pictures (IFEEL) task showed that oxytocin increased activation in empathy-related brain regions such as the left inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), MTG, and STG when women judged the emotion vs. gender of the infant faces. However, surprisingly it decreased behavioral performance on the face emotion recognition task independent of the difficulty level (Voorthuis et al., 2014). Oxytocin has also been reported to increase activity in the VTA, but not accumbens, of both nulliparous women and postpartum mothers during viewing of infant faces (Gregory et al., 2015). Studies investigating both neural and behavioral effects of intranasal oxytocin on responses to infant faces are summarized in **Table 3**.

While fewer studies have been carried out on men/fathers, one has reported the intranasal oxytocin treatment actually reduced activation in reward- and attachment-related brain regions, such as the left GP, when biological fathers passively viewed their own vs. an unfamiliar child (3-6 years) or an unfamiliar vs. familiar child. Oxytocin also decreased functional connectivity within a fronto-pallido-hippocampal network for own vs. unfamiliar child (Wittfoth-Schardt et al., 2012). Therefore, oxytocin may have differential effects on mothers and fathers by selectively modulating functional brain responses and connectivity to infant faces in regions associated with emotion, attachment, novelty and reward processing (Wittfoth-Schardt et al., 2012). Another study has also reported different associations between plasma oxytocin concentrations in mothers and fathers and brain regions showing greater responses to videos of own vs. other infants (Atzil et al., 2012). Thus, while higher AMY activation in mothers was positively associated with plasma oxytocin concentrations, this was not the case in fathers. In mothers oxytocin concentrations were positively associated with activity in the left INS, left inferior parietal lobule (IPL), bilateral temporal cortex (TC), left ventral ACC and left NAcc. In fathers on the other hand activation in the left IFG, SFG and medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), left postCG and left ACC was negatively associated with oxytocin concentrations. These findings again support the possibility that oxytocin may be influencing brain regions associated with attention, emotion, reward and even motor processing differently in mothers and fathers, although obviously some caution needs to be applied to such simple correlational analyses of this kind.

A number of studies have reported effects of intranasal oxytocin on reducing AMY responses to negative emotional faces (see Striepens et al., 2011) and also to both laughing (Riem et al., 2012) and crying (Riem et al., 2011a) infants. However despite the fact that greater AMY activation has been reported in response to own infant faces in mothers (Atzil et al., 2012; Strathearn and Kim, 2013), effects of oxytocin on AMY responses to infant faces have so far not been found.

Vasopressin, which is closely associated with oxytocin, has also been shown to influence social behaviors (Hammock, 2015; Patel et al., 2015). In rats, for example, it plays a potent role in facilitating maternal behavior, independent of trait anxiety (Bosch and Neumann, 2008). However, to date few studies have investigated potential effects of vasopressin on the attractiveness of infant cues. One study has reported some overlapping but also different patterns of negative associations between plasma vasopressin concentrations and activity in brain regions responding more strongly to videos of own vs. other infants (Atzil et al., 2012). In mothers, associations were found in bilateral SFG, right MFG and right middle temporal gyrus (MTG), whereas in fathers they were found in the right IPL,

| Study                     | Participants   | Face age  | OXT dose | Face<br>presentation | Behavior task  | Contrasts   | WB/ROI                               | Neural effect<br>of OXT  |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|----------|----------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Voorthuis et al.,<br>2014 | 50 nulliparous<br>women: 18–27<br>years  | N/A       | 16 IU    | 55                   | Adapted version of the<br>Infant Facial<br>Expressions of<br>Emotions from Looking<br>at Pictures (IFEEL<br>pictures) task: to<br>indicate the child's<br>emotional state or the<br>gender | Emotion > gender<br>judgment                      | ROI<br>ROI                           | ↑L STG<br>↑L MTG   |
| Wittfoth-Schardt          | 21 Fathers: 39.3 ±   | 3–6 years | 24 IU    | 2 s                  | Implicit facial  | Own > familiar child                              | ROI                                  | ↓ L GP   |
| et al., 2012              | 0.2 years  |           |          |                      | processing   | Unfamiliar > familiar<br>child                    | ROI<br>WB<br>ROI<br>WB<br>WB<br>WB   | ↓ L GP (PUT)<br>↓ L preCG<br>↓ L HIPP (AMY)<br>↓ L/R MTG<br>↓ L STG<br>↓ L SMG (IPS) |
|                           |  |           |          |                      |  | Own > unfamiliar child                            | WB                                   | ↑ L CAU  |
|                           |  |           |          |                      |  | Own > familiar child<br>(functional connectivity) | ROI/WB<br>ROI/WB<br>ROI/WB<br>ROI/WB | ↓ L GP, R GP<br>↓ L GP, L MFG<br>↓ L GP, L HIPP<br>↓ L GP, R SPL                     |
| Gregory et al.,<br>2015   | 30 nulliparous<br>female; 29<br>postpartum female<br>(16 primiparous,<br>13 multiparous);<br>20-40 years | N/A       | 24 IU    | 2s                   | One-back matching<br>task: A sexually explicit,<br>crying infant, smiling<br>infant and neutral<br>photos.   | Crying infant > fixation                          | ROI                                  | ↓VTA   |

OXT, oxytocin; IU, international unit; WB, whole brain analysis; ROI, regions of interest analysis; L, left; R, right;  $\uparrow$ , increased;  $\downarrow$ , decreased. Abbreviations of brain regions: see Table 2.

right inferior and medial frontal gyri, left INS and right temporal lobe. Thus, as with oxytocin, there may be different responses to vasopressin in maternal and paternal brains, although this clearly needs more detailed confirmation. While at this stage it is unclear whether vasopressin may play an important role in influencing responses to infant cues by either males or females, one speculation might be that it could serve to enhance empathic responses, particularly in those individuals whose parental response sensitivity is high. For example, a recent study has reported that intranasal vasopressin, but not oxytocin, increased empathic concern in both male and female subjects. Interestingly this effect was strongest in individuals who had received higher levels of paternal warmth during their childhood (Tabak et al., 2014).

## Altered Responses to Infant Cues in Post-partum Depression and Substance Abuse

Post-partum depression affects between 6.5 and 8.5% of mothers (Yonkers et al., 2001) and is associated with reduced positive interest and responses to infant cues, which in turn can lead

to weakening the relationship between a mother and her child. Studies have shown that mothers suffering from postnatal depression are more likely to rate negative emotion infant faces more negatively (Stein et al., 2010), and even neutral expression ones more negative than controls (Gil et al., 2011). Mothers with post-partum depression are also less accurate when identifying unfamiliar happy infant faces than healthy mothers, although there were no differences found when identifying sad faces (Arteche et al., 2011). While paternal postpartum depression is also moderately and positively correlated with maternal depression (Paulson and Bazemore, 2010), no study to date has investigated altered responses of fathers with depression to infant cues. This should be an important area for future studies.

Neuroimaging and electrophysiological studies have found evidence for a reduced effect of infant faces in a number of the same brain regions involved in attention, emotional and empathic responses and reward discussed above. Thus, depressed mothers compared to healthy controls showed a slower response in the dorsal ACC when viewing the distressed face of their own infant. Also, those with higher levels of current symptomatology showed reduced responses in the OFC and INS toward their own infant's joyful faces. Symptom severity could also predict lower responses to their own infant in left prefrontal and insula/striatal regions (Laurent and Ablow, 2013). On the other hand an ERP study has reported that the face-sensitive N170 component elicited in response to infant face stimuli was positively related with depression symptom severity (Noll et al., 2012). This perhaps implies an increased initial automatic perceptual sensitivity to infant faces in mothers with a greater severity of post-partum depression, but a subsequent suppression of responses in brain regions controlling positive attentional, emotional and reward responses to infants.

Anxiety disorders can also impact negatively on maternal responses to infants and one study has shown that mothers with generalized anxiety disorder are inclined to rate the intensity of happy infant faces lower than controls (Arteche et al., 2011). Furthermore, the babies of anxious mothers appear to be less willing to look at their face since maternal anxiety scores have been shown to be negatively correlated with the amount of time babies looking at their mother's face (Jones et al., 2013). Thus babies also appear to be sensitive to reduced interest in them by anxious, and probably also depressed mothers, thereby further increasing the potential threat to the parent-infant bond.

Key regions exhibiting altered responses to negative emotional stimuli in patients with anxiety and depression disorders notably include those involved in responses to infant faces, such as the ACC, INS, and AMY (Jaworska et al., 2014; Oathes et al., 2015).

Drug addiction has also been shown to influence responses to infant faces. The National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) in 2007 found that 5.2% of pregnant women reported using illicit drugs during pregnancy; and an additional 11.6% reported using alcohol and 16.4% tobacco. Mothers using cocaine during pregnancy have been found to respond more passively and in a more disengaged way to their babies (Gottwald and Thurman, 1994), and similar patterns of reduced responsivity in substance-using mothers have been reported in terms of parenting children even beyond infancy (Johnson et al., 2002; Molitor and Mayes, 2010). An fMRI study has shown that mothers using drugs during pregnancy (tobacco, heroin, marijuana, opiates, cocaine, and alcohol) had reduced responses to neutral and emotional infant faces in many of the regions discussed above which show enhanced responses to infant faces. For happy faces reduced responses were found in frontal regions involved in attention, salience and reward (ventromedial, dorsolateral and dorsomedial frontal cortex) as well as in early visual processing (occipital gyrus). For sad faces similar reductions were seen in frontal regions (dorsolateral frontal cortex, inferior and medial frontal gyri and medial OFC), although additionally in sensorimotor regions, MTG, STG, and PCC, as well as the AMY and parahippocampal gyrus (PHG). For neutral expression faces again there were extensive frontal reductions in responses (ventromedial, dorsomedial, and dorsolateral frontal cortex and inferior frontal gyrus) as well as in sensorimotor regions, PCC, GP, AMY, and PHG. There was also reduced responsiveness a primary visual processing region, the cuneus (Landi et al., 2011). Thus overall, drug taking appears to have an even more pronounced effect in reducing responsiveness in brain circuitry to infant faces than either post-partum depression or general anxiety.

## **Conclusions and Future Directions**

In line with the potent impact of facial baby schema on adult attraction, protection and caregiving behaviors, neuroimaging and electrophysiological studies reveal an extensive neural circuitry involved in our perception of infant faces. Enhanced activation in response to infant compared to adult faces is found in cortical and sub-cortical brain regions involved in face perception, attention, emotion, empathy, memory, reward and attachment, theory of mind and also control of motor responses. Both mothers and fathers also show evidence for enhanced responses in these same neural systems when viewing their own as opposed to another child. Importantly postpartum depression, anxiety and drug-taking all tend to reduce responsivity in this neural circuitry involved in processing and responding to infant face cues, with the most extensive changes in this respect appearing to occur in women taking addictive drugs during pregnancy.

Reproductively active women tend to rate infant faces as cuter than men and this may be mainly a reflection of both heightened attention to relevant cues and a stronger activation in their brain reward circuitry. In both sexes perceived cuteness of infant faces is influenced by reproductive hormones, with women in particular showing an ovulatory peak in interest during their cycle and an apparent decline post-menopause. To date evidence does not support major roles for the gonadal hormones estradiol, progesterone and testosterone in influencing responsivity to infant faces, although there is increasing evidence linking oxytocin with facilitation of attention toward and attractiveness of infant cues in both sexes.

Future studies need to explore in more detail the functional relevance of specific components of the widespread neural circuitry associated with the enhanced responses to infant faces. To date, for example, only one study has demonstrated the functional importance of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in the processing of emotional baby faces using rTMS (Baeken et al., 2010b). A particular focus should be on the circuitry involved with face processing, attention, emotion, empathy and reward processing since this would appear to be affected in reduced responses observed in post-partum depression, anxiety and drug use. It is also important to establish the functional roles of the neuropeptides oxytocin and vasopressin in mediating enhanced neural and behavioral responses to infant faces and other salient cues since they could in future represent potential therapeutic agents.

## References

- Ackermann, H., and Ziegler, W. (2010). "Brain mechanisms underlying speech motor control," in *The Handbook of Phonetic Sciences, 2nd Edn.*, eds W. J. Hardcastle, J. Laver, and F. Gibbons (Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd), 202–250. doi: 10.1002/9781444317251.ch6
- Alley, T. R. (1983). Infantile head shape as an elicitor of adult protection. *Merrill-Palmer Q*. 29, 411–427.
- Arteche, A., Joormann, J., Harvey, A., Craske, M., Gotlib, I. H., Lehtonen, A., et al. (2011). The effects of postnatal maternal depression and anxiety on the processing of infant faces. J. Affect. Disord. 133, 197–203. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2011.04.015
- Atzil, S., Hendler, T., Zagoory-Sharon, O., Winetraub, Y., and Feldman, R. (2012). Synchrony and specificity in the maternal and the paternal brain: relations to oxytocin and vasopressin. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 51, 798–811. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2012.06.008
- Baeken, C., Van Schuerbeek, P., De Raedt, R., Bossuyt, A., Vanderhasselt, M. A., De Mey, J., et al. (2010a). Passively viewing negatively valenced baby faces attenuates left amygdala activity in healthy females scoring high on 'Harm Avoidance.' *Neurosci. Lett.* 478, 97–101. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2010. 04.073
- Baeken, C., Van Schuerbeek, P., De Raedt, R., DeMey, J., Vanderhasselt, M. A., Bossuyt, A., et al. (2010b). The effect of one left-sided dorsolateral prefrontal cortical HF-rTMS session on emotional brain processes in women. *Psychiatria Danubina* 22, S163.
- Barrett, J., Wonch, K. E., Gonzalez, A., Ali, N., Steiner, M., Hall, G. B., et al. (2012). Maternal affect and quality of parenting experiences are related to amygdala response to infant faces. *Soc. Neurosci.* 7, 252–268. doi: 10.1080/17470919.2011.609907
- Bartels, A., and Zeki, S. (2004). The neural correlates of maternal and romanticlove. *Neuroimage* 21, 1155–1166. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.11.00
- Bartz, J. A., Zaki, J., Bolger, N., and Ochsner, K. N. (2011). Social effects of oxytocin in humans: context and person matter. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 15, 301–309. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2011.05.002
- Berry, D. S., and McArthur, L. Z. (1986). Perceiving character in faces: the impact of age-related craniofacial changes on social perception. *Psychol. Bull.* 100, 3–18. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.100.1.3
- Bhandari, R., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., van der Veen, R., Parsons, C. E., Young, K. S., Grewen, K. M., et al. (2014). Salivary oxytocin mediates the association between emotional maltreatment and responses to emotional infant faces. *Physiol. Behav.* 131, 123–128. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.04.028
- Bick, J., Dozier, M., Bernard, K., Grasso, D., and Simons, R. (2013). Foster motherinfant bonding: associations between foster mothers' oxytocin production, electrophysiological brain activity, feelings of commitment, and caregiving quality. *Child Dev.* 84, 826–840. doi: 10.1111/cdev.12008
- Bisceglia, R., Jenkins, J. M., Wigg, K. G., O'Connor, T. G., Moran, G., and Barr, C. L. (2012). Arginine vasopressin 1a receptor gene and maternal behavior: evidence of association and moderation. *Genes Brain Behav.* 11, 262–268. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-183X.2012.00769.x
- Borgi, M., Cogliati-Dezza, I., Brelsford, V., Meints, K., and Cirulli, F. (2014). Baby schema in human and animal faces induces cuteness perception and gaze allocation in children. *Front. Psychol.* 5:411. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00411
- Bos, P. A., Hermans, E. J., Montoya, E. R., Ramsey, N. F., and Van Honk, J. (2010). Testosterone administration modulates neural responses to crying infants in youngfemales. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 35, 114–121. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.09.013
- Bosch, O. J., and Neumann, I. D. (2008). Brain vasopressin is an important regulator of maternal behavior independent of dams' trait anxiety. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 17139–17144. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0807412105
- Bourdy, R., and Barrot, M. (2012). A new control center for dopaminergic systems: pulling the VTA by the tail. *Trends Neurosci.* 35, 681–690. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2012.06.007
- Brosch, T., Sander, D., Pourtois, G., and Scherer, K. R. (2008). Beyond fear: rapid spatial orienting toward positive emotional stimuli. *Psychol. Sci.* 19, 392–370. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02094.x
- Brosch, T., Sander, D., and Scherer, K. R. (2007). That baby caught my eye... attention capture by infant faces. *Emotion* 7, 685–689. doi: 10.1037/1528-3542.7.3.685

- Cárdenas, R. A., Harris, L. J., and Becker, M. W. (2013). Sex differences in visual attention toward infant faces. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* 34, 280–287. doi: 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2013.04.001
- Carpenter, M., Vebel, J., and Tomasello, M. (2013). Being mimicked increases prosocial behavior in 18-month-old infants. *Child Dev.* 84, 1511–1518. doi: 10.1111/cdev.12083
- Caria, A., de Falco, S., Venuti, P., Lee, S., Esposito, G., Rigo, P., et al. (2012). Species-specific response to human infant faces in the premotor cortex. *Neuroimage* 60, 884–893. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.12.068
- Charles, N. E., Alexander, G. M., and Saenz, J. (2013). Motivational value and salience of images of infants. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* 34, 373–381. doi: 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2013.06.005
- Chin, S. F., Wade, T. J., and French, K. (2006). Race and facial attractiveness: individual differences in perceived adoptability of children. J. Cult. Evol. Psychol. 4, 215–229. doi: 10.1556/JCEP.4.2006.3-4.2
- Copeland, W., Shanahan, L., Miller, S., Costello, E. J., Angold, A., and Maughan, B. (2010). Outcomes of early pubertal timing in young women: a prospective population-based study. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 167, 1218–1225. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09081190
- Costa, V. D., Lang, P. J., Sabatinelli, D., Versace, F., and Bradley, M. M. (2010). Emotional imagery: assessing pleasure and arousal in the brain's reward circuitry. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 31, 1446–1457. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20948
- Darwin, C. (ed.). (1872). The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- DeBruine, L. M. (2004). Resemblance to self increases the appeal of child faces to both men and women. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* 25, 142–154. doi: 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2004.03.003
- Doi, H., and Shinohara, K. (2012). Electrophysiological responses in mothers to their own and unfamiliar child's gaze information. *Brain Cogn.* 80, 266–276. doi: 10.1016/j.bandc.2012.07.009

Eibl-Eibesfeldt, I. (1989). Human Ethology. Hawthorne, NY: Aldine de Gruyter.

Elliott, R., Dolan, R. J., and Frith, C. D. (2000). Dissociable functions in the medial and lateral orbitofrontal cortex: evidence from human neuroimaging studies. *Cereb. Cortex* 10, 308–317. doi: 10.1093/cercor/10.3.308

- Esposito, G., Nakazawa, J., Ogawa, S., Stival, R., Kawashima, A., Putnick, D. L., et al. (2014). Baby, You Light-Up My Face: culture-general physiological responses to infants and culture-specific cognitive judgements of adults. *PLoS ONE* 9:e106705. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0106705
- Esposito, G., Valenzi, S., Islam, T., Mash, C., and Bornstein, M. H. (2015). Immediate and selective maternal brain responses to own infant faces. *Behav. Brain Res.* 278, 40–43. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2014.09.018
- Fan, Y., Duncan, N. W., de Greck, M., and Northoff, G. (2011). Is there a core neural network in empathy? An fMRI based quantitative metaanalysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 35, 903–911. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010. 10.009
- Feldman, R., Weller, A., Zagoory-Sharon, O., and Levine, A. (2007). Evidence for a neuroendocrinological foundation of human affiliation plasma oxytocin levels across pregnancy and the postpartum period predict mother-infant bonding. *Psychol. Sci.* 18, 965–970. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.02010.x
- Feldman, R., Zagoory-Sharon, O., Weisman, O., Schneiderman, I., Gordon, I., Maoz, R., et al. (2012). Sensitive parenting is associated with plasma oxytocin and polymorphisms in the OXTR and CD38 genes. *Biol. Psychiatry* 72, 175–181. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.12.025
- Gil, S., Teissèdre, F., Chambres, P., and Droit-Volet, S. (2011). The evaluation of emotional facial expressions in early postpartum depression mood: a difference between adult and baby faces? *Psychiatry Res.* 186, 281–286. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2010.06.015
- Glocker, M. L., Langleben, D. D., Ruparel, K., Loughead, J. W., Gur, R. C., and Sachser, N. (2009a). Baby schema in infant faces induces cuteness perception and motivation for caretaking in adults. *Ethology* 115, 257–263. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.2008.01603.x
- Glocker, M. L., Langleben, D. D., Ruparel, K., Loughead, J. W., Valdez, J. N., Griffin, M. D., et al. (2009b). Baby schema modulates the brain reward system in nulliparous women. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 9115–9119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0811620106
- Golle, J., Lisibach, S., Mast, F. W., and Lobmaier, J. S. (2013). Sweet puppies and cute babies: perceptual adaptation to babyfacedness transfers across species. *PLoS ONE* 8:e58248. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058248

- Golle, J., Probst, F., Mast, F. W., and Lobmaier, J. S. (2015). Preference for cute infants does not depend on their ethnicity or species: evidence from hypothetical adoption and donation paradigms. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0121554. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121554
- Goldberg, G. (1985). Supplementary motor area structure and function: review and hypotheses. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 8, 567–588. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X00045167
- Goldberg, I. I., Harel, M., and Malach, R. (2006). When the brain loses its self: prefrontal inactivation during sensorimotor processing. *Neuron* 50, 329–339. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.03.015
- Goldberg, S., Blumberg, S. L., and Kriger, A. (1982). Menarche and interest in infants: biological and social influences. *Child Dev.* 53, 1544–1550.
- Goodkind, M. S., Sollberger, M., Gyurak, A., Rosen, H. J., Rankin, K. P., Miller, B., et al. (2012). Tracking emotional valence: the role of the orbitofrontal cortex. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 33, 753–762. doi: 10.1002/hbm.21251
- Gordon, I., Zagoory-Sharon, O., Leckman, J. F., and Feldman, R. (2010). Oxytocin and the development of parenting in humans. *Biol. Psychiatry* 68, 377–382. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.02.005
- Gottwald, S. R., and Thurman, S. K. (1994). The effects of prenatal cocaine exposure on mother-infant interaction and infant arousal in the newborn period. *Topics Early Child. Spec. Educ.* 14, 217–231. doi: 10.1177/0271121494014 00206
- Gregory, R., Cheng, H., Rupp, H. A., Senelaub, D., and Heiman, J. R. (2015). Oxytocin increases VTA activation to infant and sexual stimuli in nulliparous and postpartum women. *Horm. Behav.* 69, 82–88. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2014.12.009
- Gur, R. C., Dixon, F. G., Bilker, W. B., and Gur, R. E. (2002). Sex differences in temporo-limbic and frontal brain volumes of healthy adults. *Cereb. Cortex* 12, 998–1003. doi: 10.1093/cercor/12.9.998
- Hahn, A. C., DeBruine, L. M., Fisher, C. I., and Jones, B. C. (2014). The reward value of infant facial cuteness tracks within-subject changes in women's salivary testosterone. *Horm. Behav.* 67, 54–59. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2014.11.010
- Hahn, A. C., Xiao, D., Sprengelmeyer, R., and Perrett, D. I. (2013). Gender differences in the incentive salience of adult and infant faces. Q. J. Exp. Psychol. 66, 200–208. doi: 10.1080/17470218.2012.705860
- Hammock, E. A. (2015). Developmental perspectives on oxytocin and vasopressin. Neuropsychopharmacology 40, 24–42. doi: 10.1038/npp.2014.120
- Heinrichs, M., Meinlschmidt, G., Neumann, I., Wagner, S., Kirschbaum, C., Ehlert, U., et al. (2001). Effects of suckling on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis responses to psychosocial stress in postpartum lactating women. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 86, 4798–4804.
- Heinrichs, M., Neumann, I., and Ehlert, U. (2002). Lactation and stress: protective effects of breast-feeding in humans. *Stress* 5, 195–203. doi: 10.1080/1025389021000010530
- Hermans, E. J., Bos, P. A., Ossewaarde, L., Ramsey, N. F., Fernández, G., and Van Honk, J. (2010). Effects of exogenous testosterone on the ventral striatal BOLD response during reward anticipation in healthy women. *Neuroimage* 52, 277–283. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.019
- Hoffman, E. A., and Haxby, J. V. (2000). Distinct representations of eye gaze and identity in the distributed human neural system for face perception. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 80–84. doi: 10.1038/71152
- Jaworska, N., Yang, X. R., Knott, V., and MacQueen, G. (2014). A review of fMRI studies during visual emotive processing in major depressive disorder. *World J. Biol. Psychiatry*. doi: 10.3109/15622975.2014.885659. [Epub ahead of print].
- Johnson, A. L., Morrow, C. E., Accornero, V. H., Xue, L., Anthony, J. C., and Bandstra, E. S. (2002). Maternal cocaine use: estimated effects on motherchildplay interactions in the preschool period. *J. Dev. Behav. Pediat.* 23, 191–202.
- Jones, R., Slade, P., Pascalis, O., and Herbert, J. S. (2013). Infant interest in their mother's face is associated with maternal psychological health. *Infant Behav. Dev.* 36, 686–693. doi: 10.1016/j.infbeh.2013.07.002
- Karraker, K. H., and Stern, M. (1990). Infant physical attractiveness and facial expression: effects on adult perceptions. *Basic Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 11, 371–385. doi: 10.1207/s15324834basp1104\_2
- Kemp, A. H., and Guastella, A. J. (2011). The role of oxytocin in human affect a novel hypothesis. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 20, 222–231. doi: 10.1177/0963721411417547

- Kim, P., Rigo, P., Mayes, L. C., Feldman, R., Leckman, J. F., and Swain, J. E. (2014). Neural plasticity in fathers of human infants. *Soc. Neurosci.* 9, 522–535. doi: 10.1080/17470919.2014.933713
- Komori, M., and Nittono, H. (2013). Influence of age-Independent facial traits on adult judgments of cuteness and infantility of a child's face. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* 97, 285–291. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.10.235
- Kringelbach, M. L., Lehtonen, A., Squire, S., Harvey, A. G., Craske, M. G., Holliday, I. E., et al. (2008). A specific and rapid neural signature for parental instinct. *PLoS ONE* 3:e1664. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001664
- Kuo, P. X., Carp, J., Light, K. C., and Grewen, K. M. (2012). Neural responses to infants linked with behavioral interactions and testosterone in fathers. *Biol. Psychol.* 91, 302–306. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.08.002
- Lamb, M. E., and Lewis, C. (2013). "Father-child relationships," in *Handbook of Father Involvement: Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, Vol. 2, eds N. J. Cabrera and C. S. Tamis-Lemonda (New York, NY: Routledge), 119–135.
- Landi, N., Montoya, J., Kober, H., Rutherford, H. J. V., Mencl, W. E., Worhunsky, P. D., et al. (2011). Maternal neural responses to infant cries and faces: relationships with substance use. *Front. Psychiatry* 2:32. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2011.00032
- Langlois, J. H., Ritter, J. M., Casey, R. J., and Swain, D. B. (1995). Infant attractiveness predicts maternal behaviours and attitudes. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 31, 464–472. doi: 10.1037/DD12-1649.31.3.464
- Laurent, H. K., and Ablow, J. C. (2013). A face a mother could love: depressionrelated maternal neural responses to infant emotion faces. Soc. Neurosci. 8, 228–239. doi: 10.1080/17470919.2012.762039
- Lehmann, V., Huisin't Veld, E. M., and Vingerhoets, A. J. (2013). The human and animal baby schema effect: correlates of individual differences. *Behav. Process.* 94, 99–108. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2013.01.001
- Leibenluft, E., Gobbini, M. I., Harrison, T., and Haxby, J. V. (2004). Mothers' neural activation in response to pictures of their children and other children. *Biol. Psychiatry* 56, 225–232. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.05.017
- Leidy, M. S., Schofield, T. J., and Parke, R. D. (2013). "Fathers' contributions to children's social development," in *Handbook of Father Involvement: Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, Vol. 2, eds N. J. Cabrera and C. S. Tamis-Lemonda (New York, NY: Routledge), 151–167.
- Lenzi, D., Trentini, C., Pantano, P., Macaluso, E., Iacoboni, M., Lenzi, G. L., et al. (2009). Neural basis of maternal communication and emotional expression processing during infant preverbal stage. *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 1124–1133. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhn153
- Leopold, D. A., and Rhodes, G. (2010). A comparative view of face perception. J. Compar. Psychol. 124, 233. doi: 10.1037/a0019460
- Lindquist, K. A., Wager, T. D., Kober, H., Bliss-Moreau, E., and Feldman Barrett, L. (2012). The brain basis of emotion: a meta-analytic review. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 35, 121–202. doi: 10.1017/s0140525x11000446
- Little, A. C. (2012). Manipulation of infant-like traits affects perceived cuteness of infant, adult and cat faces. *Ethology* 118, 775–782. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.2012.02068.x
- Li, W., Qin, W., Liu, H., Fan, L., Wang, J., Jiang, T., et al. (2013). Subregions of the human superior frontal gyrus and their connections. *Neuroimage* 78, 46–58. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.011
- Lobmaier, J. S., Probst, F., Perrett, D. I., and Heinrichs, M. (2015). Menstrual cycle phase affects discrimination of infant cuteness. *Horm. Behav.* 70, 1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2015.02.001
- Lobmaier, J. S., Sprengelmeyer, R., Wiffen, B., and Perrett, D. I. (2010). Female and male responses to cuteness, age and emotion in infant faces. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* 31, 16–21. doi: 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2009.05.004
- Lorenz, K. (1943). Die angeborenen Formenmöglicher Erfahrung. Zeitschrift f
  ür Tierpsychologie 5, 235–409.
- Luo, L. Z., Kendrick, K. M., Li, H., and Lee, K. (2014). Adults with siblings like children's faces more than those without. J. Exp. Child Psychol. 129, 148–156. doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2014.09.003
- Luo, L. Z., Li, H., and Lee, K. (2011). Are children's faces really more appealing than those of adults? Testing the baby schema hypothesis beyond infancy. J. Exp. Child Psychol. 110, 115–124. doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2011.04.002
- Lytton, H., and Romney, D. M. (1991). Parent's differential socialization of boys and girls: a meta-analysis. *Psychol. Bull.* 109, 267–296. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.109.2.296

- Macchi Cassia, V., Luo, L., Pisacane, A., Li, H., and Lee, K. (2014). How race and age experiences shape young children's face processing abilities. *J. Exp. Child Psychol.* 120, 87–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2013.11.016
- Maestripieri, D. (2004). Developmental and evolutionary aspects of female attraction to babies. *Psychol. Sci. Agenda* 18, 1–3.
- Maestripieri, D., and Pelka, S. (2002). Sex differences in interest in infants across the lifespan: a biological adaptation for parenting. *Hum. Nat.* 13, 327–344. doi: 10.1007/s12110-002-1018-1
- Maestripieri, D., Roney, J. R., DeBias, N., Durante, K. M., and Spaepen, G. M. (2004). Father absence, menarche and interest in infants among adolescent girls. *Dev. Sci.* 7, 560–566. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2004. 00380.x
- Malak, S. M., Crowley, M. J., Mayes, L. C., and Rutherford, H. J. (2015). Maternal anxiety and neural responses to infant faces. J. Affect. Disord. 172, 324–330. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2014.10.013
- Marsh, A. A., Henry, H. Y., Pine, D. S., Gorodetsky, E. K., Goldman, D., and Blair, R. J. R. (2012). The influence of oxytocin administration on responses to infant faces and potential moderation by OXTR genotype. *Psychopharmacology* 224, 469–476. doi: 10.1007/s00213-012-2775-0
- Mascaro, J. S., Hackett, P. D., and Rilling, J. K. (2014). Differential neural responses to child and sexual stimuli in human fathers and non-fathers and their hormonal correlates. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 46, 153–163. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.04.014
- McArthur, L. Z., and Apatow, K. (1984). Impressions of baby-faced adults. Soc. Cogn. 2, 315–342. doi: 10.152/soco.1984.2.4.315
- Minagawa-Kawai, Y., Matsuoka, S., Dan, I., Naoi, N., Nakamura, K., and Kojima, S. (2009). Prefrontal activation associated with social attachment: facialemotion recognition in mothers and infants. *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 284–292. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhn081
- Molitor, A., and Mayes, L. C. (2010). Problematic dyadic interaction among toddlers and their polydrug- cocaine-using mothers. *Infant Ment. Health J.* 31, 121–140. doi: 10.1002/imhj.20248
- Montoya, J. L., Landi, N., Kober, H., Worhunsky, P. D., Rutherford, H. J., Mencl, W. E., et al. (2012). Regional brain responses in nulliparous women to emotional infant stimuli. *PLoS ONE* 7:e36270. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036270
- Nishitani, S., Doi, H., Koyama, A., and Shinohara, K. (2011). Differential prefrontal response to infant facial emotions in mothers compared with non-mothers. *Neurosci. Res.* 70, 183–188. doi: 10.1016/j.neures.2011.02.007
- Nitschke, J. B., Nelson, E. E., Rusch, B. D., Fox, A. S., Oakes, T. R., and Davidson, R. J. (2004). Orbitofrontal cortex tracks positive mood in mothers viewing pictures of their newborn infants. *Neuroimage* 21, 583–592. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.10.005
- Noll, L. K., Mayes, L. C., and Rutherford, H. J. (2012). Investigating the impact of parental status and depression symptoms on the early perceptual coding of infant faces: an event-related potential study. *Soc. Neurosci.* 7, 525–536. doi: 10.1080/17470919.2012.672457
- Noriuchi, M., Kikuchi, Y., and Senoo, A. (2008). The functional neuroanatomy of maternal love: mother's response to infant's attachment behaviors. *Biol. Psychiatry* 63, 415–423. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.05.018
- Numan, M. (2007). Motivational systems and the neural circuitry of maternal behavior in the rat. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 49, 12–21. doi: 10.1002/dev. 20198
- Oathes, D. J., Patenaude, B., Schatzberg, A. F., and Etkin, A. (2015). Neurobiological signatures of anxiety and depression in resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Biol. Psychiatry* 77, 385–393. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.08.006
- Parsons, C. E., Young, K. S., Bhandari, R., van Ijzendoorn, M. H., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., Stein, A., et al. (2014). The bonnie baby: experimentally manipulated temperament affects perceived cuteness and motivation to view infant faces. *Dev. Sci.* 17, 257–269. doi: 10.1111/desc.12112
- Parsons, C. E., Young, K. S., Kumari, N., Stein, A., and Kringelbach, M. L. (2011). The motivational salience of infant faces is similar for men and women. *PLoS ONE* 6:e20632. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020632
- Parsons, C. E., Young, K. S., Mohseni, H., Woolrich, M. W., Thomsen, K. R., Joensson, M., et al. (2013). Minor structural abnormalities in the infant face disrupt neural processing: a unique window into early caregiving responses. *Soc. Neurosci.* 8, 268–274. doi: 10.1080/17470919.2013.795189

- Parsons, C. E., Young, K. S., Murray, L., Stein, A., and Kringelbach, M. L. (2010). The functional neuroanatomy of the evolving parent–infant relationship. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 91, 220–241. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2010.03.001
- Patel, N., Grillon, C., Pavletic, N., Rosen, D., Pine, D. S., and Ernst, M. (2015). Oxytocin and vasopressin modulate risk-taking. *Physiol. Behav.* 139, 254–260. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.11.018
- Paulson, J. F., and Bazemore, S. D. (2010). Prenatal and postpartum depression in fathers and its association with maternal depression: a Meta-analysis. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 303, 1961–1969. doi: 10.1001/jama.2010.605
- Peltola, M. J., Yrttiaho, S., Puura, K., Proverbio, A. M., Mononen, N., Lehtimäki, T., et al. (2014). Motherhood and oxytocin receptor genetic variation are associated with selective changes in electrocortical responses to infant facial expressions. *Emotion* 14, 469–477. doi: 10.1037/a0035959
- Premack, D., and Woodruff, G. (1978). Does the chimpanzee have a theory of mind? *Behav. Brain Sci.* 1, 515–526. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X00076512
- Proverbio, A. M., De Gabriele, V., Manfredi, M., and Adorni, R. (2011a). No race effect (ORE) in the automatic orienting toward baby faces: when ethnic group does not matter. *Psychology* 2, 931. doi: 10.4236/psych.2011.29140
- Proverbio, A. M., Riva, F., Zani, A., and Martin, E. (2011b). Is it a baby? Perceived age affects brain processing of faces differently in women and men. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 23, 3197–3208. doi: 10.1162/jocn\_a\_00041
- Ranote, S., Elliott, R., Abel, K. M., Mitchell, R., Deakin, J. F. W., and Appleby, L. (2004). The neural basis of maternal responsiveness to infants: an fMRI study. *Neuroreport* 15, 1825–1829. doi: 10.1097/01.wnr.0000137078.64128.6a
- Rhodes, G. (2006). The evolutionary psychology of facial beauty. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 57, 199–226. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.57.102904.190208
- Riem, M. M., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., Pieper, S., Tops, M., Boksem, M. A., Vermeiren, R. R., et al. (2011a). Oxytocin modulates amygdala, insula, and inferior frontal gyrus responses to infant crying: a randomized control trial. *Biol. Psychiatry* 70, 291–297. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.02.006
- Riem, M. M. E., Pieper, S., Out, D., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., and van Ijzendoorn, M. H. (2011b). Oxytocin receptor gene and depressive symptoms associated with physiological reactivity to infant crying. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 6, 294–300. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsq035
- Riem, M. M. E., van Ijzendoorn, M. H., Tops, M., Boksem, M. A. S., Rombouts, S. A. R. B., and Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (2012). No laughing matter: intranasal oxytocin administration changes functional brain connectivity during exposure to infant laughter. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37, 1257–1266. doi: 10.1038/npp.2011.1313
- Riem, M. M. E., Voorthuis, A., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., and van Ijzendoorn, M. H. (2014). Pity or peanuts? Oxytocin induces differential neural responses to the same infant crying labeled as sick or bored. *Dev. Sci.* 17, 248–256. doi: 10.1111/desc.2014.17.issue2/issuetoc
- Ritter, J. M., Casey, R. J., and Langlois, J. H. (1991). Adults' responses to infants varying in appearance of age and attractiveness. *Child Dev.* 62, 68–82. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1991.tb01515.x
- Rilling, J. K. (2009). A potential role for oxytocin in the intergenerational transmission of secure attachment. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 2621–2622. doi: 10.1038/npp.2009.136
- Rupp, H. A., James, T. W., Ketterson, E. D., Sengelaub, D. R., Ditzen, B., and Heiman, J. R. (2013). Lower sexual interest in postpartum women: relationship to amygdala activation and intranasal oxytocin. *Horm. Behav.* 63, 114–121. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.10.007
- Sacco, D., Wilson, J. P., Hugenberg, K., and Wirth, J. (2014). Overgeneralizing belonging: limited exposure to baby-faced targets increases the feeling of social belonging. J. Soc. Psychol. 154, 273–277. doi: 10.1080/00224545.2014.901286
- Saito, A., Hamada, H., Kikusui, T., Mogi, K., Nagasawa, M., Mitsui, S., et al. (2014). Urinary oxytocin positively correlates with performance in facial visual search in unmarried males, without specific reaction to infant face. *Front. Neurosci.* 8:217. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2014.00217
- Scheele, D., Wille, A., Kendrick, K. M., Stoffel-Wagner, B., Becker, B., Güntürkün, O., et al. (2013).Oxytocin enhances brain reward system responses in men viewing the face of their female partner. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 20308–20313. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1314190110
- Scheele, D., Kendrick, K. M., Khouri, C., Kretzer, E., Schläpfer, T. E., Stoffel-Wagner, B., et al. (2014). An oxytocin-induced facilitation of neural and emotional responses to social touch correlates inversely with autism traits. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39, 2078–2085. doi: 10.1038/npp.2014.78

- Schleidt, M., Schiefenhövel, W., Stanjek, K., and Krell, R. (1980). "Caring for a baby" behavior: reactions of passersby to a mother and baby. *Man-Environ. Syst.* 10, 73–82.
- Schurz, M., Radua, J., Aichhorn, M., Richlan, F., and Perner, J. (2014). Fractionating theory of mind: a meta-analysis of functional brain imaging studies. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 42, 9–34. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014. 01.009
- Seifritz, E., Esposito, F., Neuhoff, J. G., Lüthi, A., Mustovic, H., Dammann, G., et al. (2003). Differential sex-independent amygdala response to infant crying and laughing in parents versus nonparents. *Biol. Psychiatry* 54, 1367–1375. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(03)00697-8
- Shomstein, S. (2012). Cognitive functions of the posterior parietal cortex: topdown and bottom-up attention control. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 6:38. doi: 10.3389/fninf2012.00038
- Stein, A., Arteche, A., Lehtonen, A., Craske, M., Harvey, A., Counsell, N., et al. (2010). Interpretation of infant facial expression in the context of maternal postnatal depression. *Infant Behav. Dev.* 33, 273–278. doi: 10.1016/j.infbeh.2010.03.002
- Stoeckel, L. E., Palley, L. S., Gollub, R. L., Niemi, S. M., and Evins, A. E. (2014). Patterns of brain activation when mothers view their own child and dog: an fMRI study. *PLoS ONE* 9:e107205. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0107205
- Strathearn, L., Li, J., Fonagy, P., and Montague, P. R. (2008). What's in a smile? Maternal brain responses to infant facial cues. *Pediatrics* 122, 40–51. doi: 10.1542/peds.2007-1566
- Strathearn, L., Fonagy, P., Amico, J., and Montague, P. R. (2009). Adult attachment predicts maternal brain and oxytocin response to infant cues. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 2655–2666. doi: 10.1038/npp.2009.103
- Strathearn, L. (2011). Maternal neglect: oxytocin, dopamine and the neurobiology of attachment. J. Neuroendocrinol. 23, 1054–1065. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2826.2011.02228.x
- Strathearn, L., and Kim, S. (2013). Mothers' amygdala response to positive or negative infant affect is modulated by personal relevance. *Front. Neurosci.* 7:176. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00176
- Striepens, N., Kendrick, K. M., Maier, W., and Hurlemann, R. (2011). Prosocial effects of oxytocin and clinical evidence for its therapeutic potential. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 32, 426–450. doi: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2011.07.001
- Striepens, N., Matusch, A., Kendrick, K. M., Mihov, Y., Elmenhorst, D., Becker, B., et al. (2014). Oxytocin enhances attractiveness of unfamiliar female faces independent of the dopamine reward system. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 39, 74–87. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.09.026
- Sprengelmeyer, R. H., Perrett, D. I., and Young, A. W. (2010). Reproductive hormones modulate cuteness processing. *Psychol. Sci.* 21, 753–753. doi: 10.1177/0956797610368065
- Sprengelmeyer, R. H., Lewis, J., Hahn, A. C., and Perrett, D. I. (2013). Aesthetic and incentive salience of cute infant faces: studies of observer sex, oral contraception and menstrual cycle. *PLoS ONE* 8:e65844. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065844
- Sprengelmeyer, R. H., Perrett, D. I., Fagan, E. C., Cornwell, R. E., Lobmaier, J. S., Spren-gelmeyer, A., et al. (2009). The cutest little baby face: a hormonal link to sensitivity to cuteness in infant faces. *Psychol. Sci.* 20, 149–154. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02272.x
- Tabak, B. A., Meyer, M. L., Castle, E., Dutcher, J. M., Irwin, M. R., Han, J. H., et al. (2014). Vasopressin, but not oxytocin, increases empathic concern among individuals who received higher levels of paternal warmth: a randomized controlled trial. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 51, 253–261. doi: 10.1016/j.psychneuen.2014.10.006
- Thompson-Booth, C., Viding, E., Mayes, L. C., Rutherford, H. J., Hodsoll, S., and McCrory, E. J. (2014). Here's looking at you, kid: attention to infant emotional

faces in mothers and non-mothers. Dev. Sci. 17, 35–46. doi: 10.1111/desc.12090
Volk, A. A. (2009). Chinese infant facial cues. J. Evol. Psychol. 7, 225–240. doi: 10.1556/IEP.7.2009.3.3

- Volk, A. A., and Quinsey, V. L. (2002). The influence of infant facial cues on adoption preferences. *Hum. Nat.* 13, 437–455. doi: 10.1007/s12110-002-1002-9
- Voorthuis, A., Riem, M. M., Van IJzendoorn, M. H., and Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (2014). Reading the mind in the infant eyes: paradoxical effects of oxytocin on neural activity and emotion recognition in watching pictures of infant faces. *Brain Res.* 1580, 151–159. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2013.10.051
- Walton, M. E., Behrens, T. E., Buckley, M. J., Rudebeck, P. H., and Rushworth, M. F. (2010). Separable learning systems in the macaque brain and the role of orbitofrontal cortex in contingent learning. *Neuron* 65, 927–939. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.027
- Wan, M. W., Downey, D., Strachan, H., Elliott, R., Williams, S. R., and Abel, K. M. (2014). The neural basis of maternal bonding. *PLoS ONE* 9:e88436. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0088436
- Weeden, J., and Sabini, J. (2005). Physical attractiveness and health in western societies: a review. *Psychol. Bull.* 131, 635–653. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.131.5.635
- Weisman, O., Feldman, R., and Goldstein, A. (2012c). Parental and romantic attachment shape brain processing of infant cues. *Biol. Psychol.* 89, 533–538. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2011.11.008
- Weisman, O., Zagoory-Sharon, O., and Feldman, R. (2012a). Intranasal oxytocin administration is reflected in human saliva. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 37, 1582–1586. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.02.014
- Weisman, O., Zagoory-Sharon, O., and Feldman, R. (2012b). Oxytocin administration to parent enhances infant physiological and behavioral readiness for social engagement. *Biol. Psychiatry* 72, 982–989. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.06.011
- Wigton, R., Radua, J., Allen, P., Averbeck, B., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., McGuire, P., et al. (2015). Neurophysiological effects of acute oxytocin administration: systematic review and meta-analysis of placebo-controlled imaging studies. *J. Psychiatry Neurosci.* 40, E1–E22. doi: 10.1503/jpn.130289
- Wittfoth-Schardt, D., Gründing, J., Wittfoth, M., Lanfermann, H., Heinrichs, M., Domes, G., et al. (2012). Oxytocin modulates neural reactivity to children's faces as a function of social salience. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37, 1799–1807. doi: 10.1038/npp.2012.47
- Yamamoto, R., Ariely, D., Chi, W., Langleben, D. D., and Elman, I. (2009). Gender differences in the motivational processing of babies are determined by their facial attractiveness. *PLoS ONE* 4:e6042. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006042
- Yonkers, K. A., Ramin, S. M., Rush, A. J., Navarrete, C. A., Carmody, T., March, D., et al. (2001). Onset and persistence of post-partum depression in an innercity maternal health clinic system. Am. J. Psychiatry 158, 1856–1863. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.158.11.1856
- Zebrowitz, L. A., Luevano, V. X., Bronstad, P. M., and Aharon, I. (2009). Neural activation to babyfaced men matches activation to babies. *Soc. Neurosci.* 4, 1–10. doi: 10.1080/17470910701676236

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Luo, Ma, Zheng, Zhao, Xu, Becker and Kendrick. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.