


Neutral Ligands with Exceptional Donor Ability for Palladium-Catalyzed Alkene Hydrogenation**

Marion Heckenroth, Evelyne Kluser, Antonia Neels, and Martin Albrecht*

The metal-mediated (catalytic) activation of strong and typically unreactive bonds under mild conditions requires the development of powerful ligand sets. Two particularly useful strategies have been developed during the last few years.^[1] The first relies on electron-deficient systems with high-valent early transition metals, often in a d^0 configuration.^[2] Such metal centers have been shown to activate unreactive bonds through agostic interactions and subsequent σ -bond metathesis. In a second strategy, electron-rich metal centers are used to promote bond activation through oxidative addition, and late transition metals such as the platinum group metals typically accommodate the required electron density for these reactions.^[3] The relative basicity can be further increased by using acidic media^[4] and by installing strongly donating nontransferable ligands in the metal coordination sphere.^[5]

N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) represent a particularly attractive class of ligands for such applications, since they belong to the class of the strongest neutral donors known to date.^[6] Generally, carbenes are bound to the transition metal (M) through the C(2) carbon atom (A). Pioneering work has




recently shown that the donor power is further enhanced by reducing the number of stabilizing heteroatoms to a single nitrogen atom, such as in **B**^[7] or in pyridylidene-type carbenes (**C** and **D**).^[8] Similarly, displacing one of the two heteroatoms

to a more remote position is expected to impose a higher electron density at the metal center. For example, C(4)-bound carbenes (**E**) were shown to be significantly stronger donors than their classical C(2)-bound homologues.^[9]

Here, we have expanded this approach to *cis*-chelating dicarbene systems in which two imidazolium-derived carbenes are unusually coordinated through the C(4) atom (**E**). The coordinated palladium center appears to be highly basic in such a coordination environment and shows—unlike the corresponding C(2)-bound analogue—appreciable catalytic activity in olefin hydrogenation.

Metalation of the C(2)-methylated diimidazolium salt **1** with $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ in DMSO afforded the C(4)-bound dicarbene palladium diiodide **2** in high yield (Scheme 1). The corre-



Scheme 1. Synthesis of palladium dicarbene complexes **2** with C(4) bonding as opposed to C(2) bonding (in **3**). Mes = mesityl = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl.

sponding BF_4^- salt of **1** failed to yield any metalated product. Formation of an inorganic palladate precursor seems to be essential,^[10] presumably since palladation of such imidazolium salts is reminiscent of nucleophilic aromatic substitution. Complexes **2** are highly soluble in polar organic solvents such as DMSO and MeOH, yet only sparingly so in CHCl_3 . The signal for the metal-bound carbon atom appears in the ^{13}C NMR spectrum around $\delta = 125$ ppm, and hence at considerably higher field than in C(2)-bound NHC palladium complexes (for example, in **3b** $\delta = 160$ ppm).

A single-crystal X-ray analysis of **2b** unequivocally confirmed the presumed ligand bonding mode (Figure 1).^[11] The bite angle of the dicarbene is $83.8(5)^\circ$, very similar to that of the analogous C(2)-bound dicarbene complex **3b**.^[12] The Pd–C bond lengths in **2b** are 1.932(12) and 1.963(11) Å, which are at the shorter end of the range typically observed for C(2)-bound analogues.^[12,13] The Pd–I bonds are slightly longer than in classical carbenes (2.68 Å versus 2.65 Å) and indicate a higher *trans* influence in the C(4)-bound carbenes. Most significantly, the heterocyclic C–C bond in **2b** corresponds to a delocalized double bond (ca. 1.40(2) Å) rather

[*] M. Heckenroth, E. Kluser, Prof. Dr. M. Albrecht
Département de chimie
Université de Fribourg
Ch. du Musée 9, 1700 Fribourg (Switzerland)
Fax: (+41) 26-300-9738
E-mail: martin.albrecht@unifr.ch
Homepage: <http://www.chem.unifr.ch/alb>
Dr. A. Neels
Institut de microtechnique
Université de Neuchâtel
Rue Jaquet Droz 1, 2002 Neuchâtel (Switzerland)

[**] We thank Prof. P. Aebi and Dr. G. M. Garnier (University of Neuchâtel) for XPS measurements and the Swiss National Science Foundation for financial support. M.A. is very grateful for an Alfred Werner Assistant Professorship.





Figure 1. Ortep representation of one of the two crystallographically independent molecules of **2b** (50% probability; H atoms and cocrystallized solvent molecules are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å]: Pd1-C1 1.963(11), Pd1-C11 1.937(13), Pd1-I1 2.6797(12), Pd1-I2 2.6812(14), C1-C2 1.388(16), C10-C11 1.41(2).

than a localized C=C bond as observed in C(2)-bound carbenes (typically 1.32 Å).

Complex **2b** is considerably less stable towards acids than the C(2)-bound analogue **3b**. Addition of sulfuric acid induced rapid dissociation of the complex at room temperature and concomitant formation of **1**, presumably as the bisulfate salt. In contrast, complex **3b** is stable for several days under identical conditions. Steric effects may account for this difference in reactivity, as the Pd-C bond is less shielded by the isopropyl groups in **2b**. Furthermore, the increased polarization of the C(4)-Pd bond relative to that of C(2)-Pd enhances the electron density at the Pd center. Accordingly, proton binding may initially occur through the high-lying metal d_{z^2} orbital in **2b**. Subsequent hydrogen migration to the metal-bound carbon atom then cleaves the Pd-C bond to yield **1**.

While we have not succeeded in detecting a metal-bound hydrogen atom spectroscopically, we find support for the feasibility of such a reaction pathway from reactions of **2** with other Lewis acids. For example, complex **2b** rapidly transforms in the presence of AgBF_4 (MeCN solution) into the bimetallic complex **4**, which possesses a Pd...Ag interaction (Scheme 2). Atomic absorption spectroscopic analysis of amorphous material indicated the presence of equimolar quantities of Ag and Pd. An X-ray diffraction analysis of single crystals^[11] revealed the unambiguous formation of an Ag adduct with an unusually short Pd...Ag contact of 2.8701(6) Å (Figure 2).^[14] Two MeCN solvent molecules and a fluoride ion from BF_4^- complete the formally tetrahedral coordination geometry around the silver nucleus. Removal of the Ag^+ ion to afford the bis-solvento complex **5** can be



Scheme 2. Formation of the bis-solvento complexes **4** and **5**.




Figure 2. Ortep representation of the dimetallic complex **4** (30% probability; H atoms and two BF_4^- ions are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Pd1-Ag1 2.8701(6), Pd1-C1 1.981(5), Pd1-C7 1.972(4), Pd1-N5 2.085(4), Pd1-N6 2.085(4), C1-C2 1.361(7), C6-C7 1.368(6); C1-Pd1-C7 85.95(18), Ag1-Pd1-C1 65.77(13), Ag1-Pd1-C7 68.32(13).

achieved by dissolving the adduct **4** in DMSO or MeOH. These solvents apparently successfully compete with the palladium center as a Lewis base for Ag complexation.

The formation of a silver adduct with **2** but not with **3** suggests there is a higher electron density at the palladium center in C(4)-bound carbene complexes. We have quantified this effect by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Figure 3). The bonding energies of both the 3d and the 3p





Figure 3. XPS spectra (3d level) of the C(4)-bound dicarbene complex **2b** (black) and its C(2)-bound analogue **3b** (gray).

palladium electrons in **2** are exceptionally low compared with other palladium(II) complexes,^[15] including **3**. This weaker electron bonding reflects the strong donor ability of C(4)-bound carbenes and corroborates previous results obtained from CO vibrations in related iridium(I) complexes.^[9]

We have exploited the unique properties of these complexes in catalytic olefin hydrogenation, which involves the activation of H_2 as a key step.^[16] Preliminary experiments have been carried out using complexes **2** and **5** as catalyst precursors under mild conditions, that is, at room temperature and 1 atm H_2 . The hydrogenation of cyclooctene to cyclo-

octane [Eq. (1)] is observed with the bis-solvent complex **5**, while the neutral homologue **2** was inactive under these



conditions. Comparative experiments have been performed with the bis-solvent complex of **3b**, that is, the C(2)-bound complex **6**.^[12] Irrespective of the solvent, the conversions are substantially lower with **6** than with the C(4)-bound complex **5** (Table 1, entries 1–5). The hydrogenation of cyclooctene

Table 1: Catalytic hydrogenation of cyclooctene with complexes **5** and **6**.^[a]

Entry	Solvent	t [h]	cat. loading ^[b]	Conversion ^[c] [%]	
				5	6
1	MeOH	8 (24)	1%	78 (100)	24
2	EtOH	4.5	1%	100	19
3	THF	8 (24)	1%	50 (100)	9
4	CH ₂ Cl ₂	8 (24)	1%	30 (100)	0
5	toluene	8 (24)	1%	0 (34)	0
6	EtOH	2.5	3%	100	n.d. ^[d]
7	EtOH	26	0.1%	66	n.d.
8	EtOH	72	0.01%	< 5	n.d.

[a] General conditions: cyclooctene (2.0 mmol), Pd complex (1 mol%), EtOH (6 mL), RT, 1 atm H₂; catalyst precursor **6** is the product from an AgBF₄-mediated halide abstraction from **3b** (Scheme 1, see also Ref. [12]). [b] In mol equiv relative to cyclooctene. [c] Determined by GC. [d] Not determined.

with **5** in polar solvents such as EtOH is complete within less than 5 h. Longer reaction times are required to achieve full conversion when the catalyst loading is reduced below 1 mol% (Table 1, entries 6–8). Catalytic activity essentially ceases at a 0.01 mol% loading. This finding suggests that complex **5** is a true catalyst precursor in this transformation. Computational as well as mechanistic studies are ongoing to elucidate further details of this catalytic reaction.^[17]

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient route to novel complexes comprising highly electron-rich palladium(II) centers. Key to these unique electronic features is a rigid *cis*-chelating, strongly donating, and neutral dicarbene ligand that is bound through C(4) as opposed to C(2), as is normally observed with imidazolium-derived heterocyclic carbenes. The high electron density imparted by this bonding mode affects the stability as well as the catalytic activity of the coordinated metal center and allows for the activation of less reactive bonds such as in H₂. Currently, we are extending this approach to other platinum group metals to target the activation of strong C–H and C–C bonds. Carbene-type ligands bound through the C(4) atom are particularly attractive for designing new catalyst systems for this purpose.

- [1] J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *Nature* **2002**, *417*, 507; R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 987.
- [2] a) P. L. Watson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 6491; b) M. E. Thompson, S. M. Baxter, A. R. Bulls, B. J. Burger, M. C. Nolan, B. D. Santarsiero, W. P. Schaefer, J. E. Bercaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 203; c) J. Y. K. Tsang, M. S. A. Buschhaus, P. Legzdins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 5372.
- [3] a) A. E. Shilov, G. B. Shul'pin, *Activation and Catalytic Reactions of Saturated Hydrocarbons in the Presence of Metal Complexes*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, **2000**; b) "Activation and Functionalization of C–H Bonds": *ACS Symp. Ser.* **2004**, 885 (Eds.: K. I. Goldberg, A. S. Goldman).
- [4] R. A. Periana, D. J. Taube, S. Gamble, H. Taube, T. Satoh, H. Fujii, *Science* **1998**, *280*, 560.
- [5] a) R. G. Bergman, *Science* **1984**, *223*, 902; b) C. M. Jensen, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 2443; c) I. Göttker-Schnetmann, P. White, M. Brookhart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 1804.
- [6] a) D. Bourissou, O. Guerret, F. P. Gabbaï, G. Bertrand, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 39; b) W. A. Herrmann, *Angew. Chem.* **2002**, *114*, 1342; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1290; c) *N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Synthesis* (Ed.: S. P. Nolan), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2006**.
- [7] a) V. Lavallo, J. Mafhouz, Y. Canac, B. Donnadieu, W. W. Schoeller, G. Bertrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 8670; b) V. Lavallo, Y. Canac, C. Präsang, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 5851; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5705; c) V. Lavallo, Y. Canac, A. Dehope, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 7402; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 7236.
- [8] a) J. S. Owen, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 8247; b) W. H. Meyer, M. Deetlefs, M. Pohlmann, R. Scholtz, M. W. Esterhuysen, G. R. Julius, H. G. Raubenheimer, *Dalton Trans.* **2004**, 413; c) M. Albrecht, H. Stoeckli-Evans, *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4705; d) S. K. Schneider, G. R. Julius, C. Loschen, H. G. Raubenheimer, G. Frenking, W. A. Herrmann, *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 1226; e) S. K. Schneider, P. Roembke, G. R. Julius, H. G. Raubenheimer, W. A. Herrmann, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 1862.
- [9] a) S. Gründemann, A. Kovacevic, M. Albrecht, J. W. Faller, R. H. Crabtree, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10473; b) A. R. Chianese, A. Kovacevic, B. M. Zeglis, J. W. Faller, R. H. Crabtree, *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 2461; c) H. Lebel, M. K. Janes, A. B. Charette, S. P. Nolan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 5046; d) D. Bacciu, K. J. Cavell, I. A. Fallis, L. Ooi, *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 5416; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5282; e) J. Schütz, E. Herdtweck, W. A. Herrmann, *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 6084.
- [10] W. A. Herrmann, J. Schwarz, M. G. Gardiner, *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 4082.
- [11] See the Supporting Information for details of the X-ray crystal structures of **2b** and **4** and of the catalytic experiments.
- [12] M. Heckenroth, A. Neels, H. Stoeckli-Evans, M. Albrecht, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2006**, *359*, 1929.
- [13] a) W. A. Herrmann, J. Schwarz, M. G. Gardiner, M. Spiegler, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *575*, 80; b) A. M. Magill, D. S. McGuinness, K. J. Cavell, G. J. P. Britovsek, V. C. Gibson, A. J. White, D. J. Williams, A. H. White, B. W. Skelton, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *617*, 546; c) S. Ahrens, A. Zeller, M. Taige, T. Strassner, *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 5409; d) T. Scherg, S. K. Schneider, G. D. Frey, J. Schwarz, E. Herdtweck, W. A. Herrmann, *Synlett* **2006**, 2894.
- [14] A structure search of the CSD returned only one compound with shorter Ag...Pd interactions: a) Y. Liu, K. H. Lee, J. J. Vittal, T. S. A. Hor, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **2002**, 2747; see also: b) J. Forniés, A. Martín in *Metal Clusters in Chemistry, Vol. 1*

(Eds.: P. Braunstein, L. A. Oro, P. R. Raithby), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1999**, p. 417.

- [15] C. D. Wagner, W. M. Riggs, L. E. Davis, J. E. Moulder in *Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy* (Ed.: G. E. Muilenberg), Perkin-Elmer, Minnesota, **1979**.
- [16] P. Pelagatti in *Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation, Vol. 1* (Eds.: G. de Vries, C. J. Elsevier), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2007**, p. 71.
- [17] Different mechanisms are conceivable, including: a) H₂ polarization involving singlet carbenes,^[18] b) oxidative addition of H₂ to **5** and formation of an intermediate dicarbene dihydride palladium(IV) species followed by migratory insertion of the π -acceptor ligand into the Pd-H bond;^[19] c) carbene insertion into the Pd-H bond and subsequent reductive elimination of an imidazolium group,^[20] thus yielding iminium-stabilized Pd nanoparticles as catalytically active species.^[21]
- [18] G. D. Frey, V. Lavallo, B. Donnadieu, W. W. Schoeller, G. Bertrand, *Science* **2007**, *316*, 439.
- [19] D. S. McGuinness, M. J. Green, K. J. Cavell, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *565*, 165; D. C. Graham, K. J. Cavell, B. F. Yates, *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 1768.
- [20] D. S. McGuinness, N. Saendig, B. F. Yates, K. J. Cavell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 4029.
- [21] a) J. Kiwi, M. Grätzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 7214; b) S. Klingenhöfer, W. Heitz, A. Greiner, S. Oestreich, S. Föster, M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 10116; c) J. Dupont, R. F. de Souza, P. A. Z. Suarez, *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 3667.
-

Neutral Ligands with Exceptional Donor Ability for Palladium-Catalyzed Alkene Hydrogenation

Marion Heckenroth, Evelyne Kluser, Antonia Neels, Martin Albrecht*

General Synthesis of 2

A mixture of [Pd(OAc)₂] (2.0 mmol) and **1** (2.0 mmol) was stirred in DMSO (5–10 mL) at 50°C for 2h and at 110°C for another 3h. Addition of CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) followed by Et₂O (50 mL) initiated precipitation of the product. Repetition of the precipitation (2×) gave the product as an off-white solid.

Analytical data for 2a: Yield: 1.05 g, 93% (recrystallized from MeOH/Et₂O). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO, 25°C, TMS): δ 7.06 (s, 2H; H_{im}), 6.08 (s, 2H; CH₂), 3.66 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.65 (s, 6H; C(CH₃)); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO, 25°C, TMS): δ 142.2 (C²), 123.1 (C_{carbene}), 121.0 (C⁵), 59.7 (CH₂), 34.0 (NCH₃), 9.7 (CH₃); Elem. anal. calcd. for C₁₁H₁₆I₂N₄Pd (563.85): C 23.40, H 2.86, N 9.93; found: C: 23.45, H 2.89, N 9.82.

Analytical data for 2b: Yield: 1.17 g, 95% (recrystallized from DMSO/MecN/Et₂O). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO, 25°C, TMS): δ 7.16 (s, 2H; H_{im}), 6.08 (s, 2H; CH₂), 4.55 (sept, ³J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 2H; CHMe₂), 2.71 (s, 6H; CH₃), 1.37 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 12H; CH(CH₃)₂); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO, 90°C, TMS): δ 140.7 (C²), 121.9 (C_{carbene}), 118.7 (C⁵), 59.4 (CH₂), 49.1 (CHMe₂), 22.1 (C(CH₃)₂), 9.9 (CH₃); Elem. anal. calcd. for C₁₅H₂₄I₂N₄Pd (619.91): C 28.21, H 3.90, N 9.03; found: C: 28.44, H 3.76, N 8.70.

Analytical data for 2c: Yield: 1.22 g, 72% (recrystallized from MeCN/Et₂O). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO, 25°C, TMS): δ 7.14 (s, 4H; H_{mes}), 7.06 (s, 2H; H_{imi}), 6.28 (s, 2H; CH₂), 2.47 (s, 6H; C(CH₃)), 2.32 (s, 6H, ArCH₃), 1.98 (s, 12H, ArCH₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO, 25°C, TMS): δ 142.5 (C²), 140.0 (C_{aryl}), 134.7 (C_{aryl}), 130.8 (C_{aryl}), 129.3 (C_{aryl}), 123.5 (C_{carbene}), 121.7 (C⁵), 60.5 (CH₂), 20.6 (ArCH₃), 16.9 (ArCH₃), 9.9 (CH₃).

Synthesis of 4

A mixture of **2b** (0.45 g, 0.7 mmol) and AgBF₄ (0.42 g, 2.2 mmol) was stirred in MeCN (20 mL) at RT for 18 h. After filtration through Celite, the product was precipitated by the addition of Et₂O (80 mL). Subsequent washing by addition of MeCN (20 mL) followed by reprecipitation with Et₂O (80 mL) gave **4** (0.61 g, 98%). Recrystallization by slow diffusion of Et₂O into a saturated solution of **4** in MeCN gave analytically pure material. ¹H NMR (360 MHz, [D₃]MeCN, 25°C, TMS): δ 7.04 (s, 2H; H_{im}), 5.84 (s, 2H; CH₂), 4.51 (sept, ³J_{HH} = 6.6 Hz, 2H; CHMe₂), 2.59 (s, 6H; CH₃), 1.44 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.6 Hz, 12H; CH(CH₃)₂); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50 MHz, [D₃]MeCN, 25°C, TMS): δ 143.2 (C²), 127.8 (C_{carbene}), 121.0 (C⁵), 60.4 (CH₂), 51.3 (CHMe₂), 22.4 (C(CH₃)₂), 10.6 (CH₃); Elem. anal. calcd. for C₂₃H₃₆AgB₃F₁₂N₈Pd (899.29): C 30.72, H 4.03, N 12.46; found: C: 30.85, H 4.17, N 12.32.

Synthesis of 5

Complex **4** (0.61 g, 0.68 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL). Addition of Et₂O (80 mL) gave a precipitate which was isolated by filtration. Repetition of the precipitation (2×) followed by recrystallization from MeCN/Et₂O gave **5** as an off-white solid (0.42 g,

93%). ^1H NMR (360 MHz, $[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$, 25°C, TMS): δ 7.09 (s, 2H; H_{im}), 6.06 (s, 2H; CH_2), 4.57 (sept, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.5$ Hz, 2H; CHMe_2), 2.70 (s, 6H; CH_3), 1.39 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.5$ Hz, 12H; $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (50 MHz, $[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$, 25°C, TMS): δ 141.8 (C^2), 127.0 ($\text{C}_{\text{carbene}}$), 118.7 (C^5), 59.5 (CH_2), 49.4 (CHMe_2), 22.0 ($\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 9.8 (CH_3); Elem. anal. calcd. for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{30}\text{B}_2\text{F}_8\text{N}_6\text{Pd}$ (622.51): C 36.66, H 4.86, N 13.50; found: C: 36.69, H 4.97, N 13.40.

General procedure for alkene hydrogenation

A solution of catalyst precursor (12 mg, 20 μmol) and olefin (2.0 mmol) in EtOH (6 mL) was saturated with H_2 for 4 min and stirred at RT under an atmosphere of H_2 (1 bar). Samples (0.1 mL) were withdrawn at regular time intervals and filtered through a short pad of SiO_2 and analyzed by GC-MS (volatile substrates) or by ^1H NMR.

Crystallographic details

Crystal data for **2b**: $\text{C}_{15.25}\text{H}_{25.25}\text{I}_2\text{N}_4\text{O}_{0.375}\text{Pd}$, $M_r = 630.84$, monoclinic $C2/c$, $a = 22.406(3)$, $b = 16.037(2)$, $c = 25.188(4)$, $\beta = 101.64(2)$, $V = 8865(2)$, $Z = 16$, $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.891$ g cm^{-3} , $\mu(\text{MoK}\alpha) = 0.71073$ \AA , $T = 173(2)$ K, 23299 reflections collected, 8700 independent ($R(\text{int})$ 0.1550), full-matrix least-squares refinement on F^2 , $R_1 = 0.0383$, $wR_2 = 0.0615$ for $l > 2\sigma(l)$, 0 restraints and 408 refined parameters. The compound crystallised with two independent Pd(II) complex molecules, one methanol and one water molecule in the asymmetric unit. All atom positions of the methanol and the water molecule are half occupied, the water molecule lies on a special position.

Crystal data for **4**: $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{36}\text{AgB}_3\text{F}_{12}\text{N}_8\text{Pd}$, $M_r = 899.30$, monoclinic $P2_1/n$, $a = 19.5940(16)$, $b = 7.6372(4)$, $c = 24.462(2)$, $\beta = 104.977(7)$, $V = 3489.9(4)$, $Z = 4$, $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.712$ g cm^{-3} , $\mu(\text{MoK}\alpha) = 0.71073$ \AA , $T = 173(2)$ K, 43337 reflections collected, 6219 independent ($R(\text{int})$ 0.0396), full-matrix least-squares refinement on F^2 , $R_1 = 0.0469$, $wR_2 = 0.1237$ for $l > 2\sigma(l)$, 20 restraints and 433 refined parameters.

CCDC-603583 (**2b**) and CCDC-645302 (**4**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.