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#### Abstract

The crossing number, $\operatorname{cr}(G)$, of a graph $G$ is the least number of crossing points in any drawing of $G$ in the plane. Denote by $\kappa(n, e)$ the minimum of $\operatorname{cr}(G)$ taken over all graphs with $n$ vertices and at least $e$ edges. We prove a conjecture of Erdős and Guy by showing that $\kappa(n, e) n^{2} / e^{3}$ tends to a positive constant as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \ll e \ll n^{2}$. Similar results hold for graph drawings on any other surface of fixed genus.

We prove better bounds for graphs satisfying some monotone properties. In particular, we show that if $G$ is a graph with $n$ vertices and $e \geq 4 n$ edges, which does not contain a cycle of length four (resp. six), then its crossing number is at least $c e^{4} / n^{3}$ (resp. $c e^{5} / n^{4}$ ), where $c>0$ is a suitable constant. These results cannot be improved, apart from the value of the constant. This settles a question of Simonovits.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a simple undirected graph with $n(G)$ nodes (vertices) and $e(G)$ edges. A drawing of $G$ in the plane is a mapping $f$ that assigns to each vertex of $G$ a distinct point in the plane and to each edge $u v$ a continuous arc connecting $f(u)$ and $f(v)$, not passing through the image of any other vertex. For simplicity, the arc assigned to $u v$ is also called an edge, and if this leads to no confusion, it is also denoted by $u v$. We assume

[^0]that no three edges have an interior point in common. The crossing number, $\operatorname{cr}(G)$, of $G$ is the minimum number of crossing points in any drawing of $G$.

The determination of $\operatorname{cr}(G)$ is an NP-complete problem [GJ]. It was discovered by Leighton [L2] that the crossing number can be used to estimate the chip area required for the VLSI circuit layout of a graph. He proved the following general lower bound for $\operatorname{cr}(G)$, which was discovered independently by Ajtai et al. [ACNS]. The best known constant, $1 / 33.75$, in the theorem is due to Pach and Tóth.

Theorem A [ACNS], [L2], [PT]. Let $G$ be a graph with $n(G)=n$ nodes and $e(G)=e$ edges, $e \geq 7.5 n$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq \frac{1}{33.75} \frac{e^{3}}{n^{2}}
$$

Theorem A can be used to deduce the best known upper bounds for the number of unit distances determined by $n$ points in the plane [S3], for the number of different ways how a line can split a set of $n$ points into two equal parts [D], and it has some other interesting corollaries [PS].

It is easy to see that the bound in Theorem A is tight, apart from the value of the constant. However, as was suggested by Simonovits [S1], it may be possible to strengthen the theorem for some special classes of graphs, e.g., for graphs not containing some fixed, so-called forbidden subgraph. In Sections 2 and 3 of this paper we verify this conjecture.

A graph property $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be monotone if

- whenever a graph $G$ satisfies $\mathcal{P}$, then every subgraph of $G$ also satisfies $\mathcal{P}$;
- whenever $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ satisfy $\mathcal{P}$, then their disjoint union also satisfies $\mathcal{P}$.

For any monotone property $\mathcal{P}$, let ex $(n, \mathcal{P})$ denote the maximum number of edges that a graph of $n$ vertices can have if it satisfies $\mathcal{P}$. In the special case when $\mathcal{P}$ is the property that the graph does not contain a subgraph isomorphic to a fixed forbidden subgraph $H$, we write ex $(n, H)$ for ex $(n, \mathcal{P})$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a monotone graph property with $\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{P})=O\left(n^{1+\alpha}\right)$ for some $\alpha>0$. Then there exist two constants $c, c^{\prime}>0$ such that the crossing number of any graph $G$ with property $\mathcal{P}$, which has $n$ vertices and $e \geq c n \log ^{2} n$ edges, satisfies

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq c^{\prime} \frac{e^{2+1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}}
$$

If $\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{P})=\Theta\left(n^{1+\alpha}\right)$, then this bound is asymptotically tight, up to a constant factor.
In some interesting special cases when we know the precise order of magnitude of the function ex $(n, \mathcal{P})$, we obtain some slightly stronger results. The girth of a graph is the length of its shortest cycle.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $e \geq 4 n$ edges, whose girth is larger than $2 r$,for some $r>0$ integer. Then the crossing number of $G$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq c_{r} \frac{e^{r+2}}{n^{r+1}}
$$

where $c_{r}>0$ is a suitable constant. For $r=2,3$, and 5, these bounds are asymptotically tight, up to a constant factor.

What happens if the girth of $G$ is larger than $2 r+1$ ? Since one can destroy every odd cycle of a graph by deleting at most half of its edges, even in this case we cannot expect an asymptotically better lower bound for the crossing number of $G$ than the bound given in Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $e \geq 4 n$ edges, which does not contain a complete bipartite subgraph $K_{r, s}$ with $r$ and $s$ vertices in its classes, $s \geq r$. Then the crossing number of $G$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq c_{r, s} \frac{e^{3+1 /(r-1)}}{n^{2+1 /(r-1)}}
$$

where $c_{r, s}>0$ is a suitable constant. These bounds are tight up to a constant factor if $r=2,3$, or if $r$ is arbitrary and $s>(r-1)$ !.

The bisection width, $b(G)$, of a graph $G$ is defined as the minimum number of edges whose removal splits the graph into two roughly equal subgraphs. More precisely, $b(G)$ is the minimum number of edges running between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, over all partitions of the vertex set of $G$ into two parts $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ such that $\left|V_{1}\right|,\left|V_{2}\right| \geq n(G) / 3$.

Leighton [L1] observed that there is an intimate relationship between the bisection width and the crossing number of a graph, which is based on the Lipton-Tarjan separator theorem for planar graphs [LT]. The proofs of Theorems 1-3 are based on repeated application of the following version of this relationship.

Theorem B [PSS]. Let $G$ be a graph of $n$ vertices, whose degrees are $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$. Then

$$
b(G) \leq 10 \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}(G)}+2 \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}}
$$

Let $\kappa(n, e)$ denote the minimum crossing number of a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and at least $e$ edges. That is,

$$
\kappa(n, e)=\min _{\substack{n(G)=n \\ e(G) \geq e}} \operatorname{cr}(G)
$$

It follows from Theorem A that, for $e \geq 4 n, \kappa(n, e) n^{2} / e^{3}$ is bounded from below and from above by two positive constants. Erdős and Guy [EG] conjectured that if $e \gg n$, then $\lim \kappa(n, e) n^{2} / e^{3}$ exists. (We use the notation $f(n) \gg g(n)$ to express that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) / g(n)=\infty$.) In Section 4, we settle this problem.

Theorem 4. If $n \ll e \ll n^{2}$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(n, e) \frac{n^{2}}{e^{3}}=C>0
$$

exists.
We call the constant $C>0$ in Theorem 4 the midrange crossing constant. It is necessary to limit the range of $e$ from below and from above. (See Remark 4.4 at the end of Section 4.)

All of the above problems can be reformulated for graph drawings on other surfaces. Let $S_{g}$ denote a torus with $g$ holes, i.e., a compact oriented surface of genus $g$ with no boundary. Define $\mathrm{cr}_{g}(G)$, the crossing number of $G$ on $S_{g}$, as the minimum number of crossing points in any drawing of $G$ on $S_{g}$. Let

$$
\kappa_{g}(n, e)=\min _{\substack{n(G)=n \\ e(G) \geq e}} \operatorname{cr}_{g}(G)
$$

With this notation, $\mathrm{cr}_{0}(G)$ is the planar crossing number and $\kappa_{0}(n, e)=\kappa(n, e)$.
In Section 5 we prove that there is a midrange crossing constant for graph drawings on any surface $S_{g}$ of fixed genus $g \geq 0$.

Theorem 5. For every $g \geq 0$, if $n \ll e \ll n^{2}$, then the limit

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{g}(n, e) \frac{n^{2}}{e^{3}}
$$

exists and is equal to the constant $C>0$ in Theorem 4.
To prove this result, we have to generalize Theorem B.
Theorem 6. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, whose degrees are $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$. Then

$$
b(G) \leq 300\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}_{g}(G)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}}
$$

For more problems and results on crossing numbers, see [RT] and [WB].

## 2. Crossing Numbers and Monotone Properties—Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a monotone graph property with ex $(n, \mathcal{P}) \leq A n^{1+\alpha}$, for some $A, \alpha>0$. Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$, where $|V(G)|=n(G)=n$ and $|E(G)|=e(G)=e$. Suppose that $G$ satisfies property $\mathcal{P}$ and $e \geq c n \log ^{2} n$. To prove Theorem 1, we assume that

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G)<c^{\prime} \frac{e^{2+1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}}
$$

and, if $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ are suitable constant, we will obtain a contradiction.

We break $G$ into smaller components, according to the following procedure.

## DECOMPOSITION ALGORITHM

Step 0 . Let $G^{0}=G, G_{1}^{0}=G, M_{0}=1, m_{0}=1$.
Suppose that we have already executed Step $i$, and that the resulting graph, $G^{i}$, consists of $M_{i}$ components, $G_{1}^{i}, G_{2}^{i}, \ldots, G_{M_{i}}^{i}$, each of at most $(2 / 3)^{i} n$ vertices. Assume, without loss of generality, that the first $m_{i}$ components of $G^{i}$ have at least $(2 / 3)^{i+1} n$ vertices and the remaining $M_{i}-m_{i}$ have fewer. Then

$$
(2 / 3)^{i+1} n(G) \leq n\left(G_{j}^{i}\right) \leq(2 / 3)^{i} n(G) \quad\left(j=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right)
$$

Thus, we have that $m_{i} \leq(3 / 2)^{i+1}$.
Step $i+1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i}<\frac{1}{(2 A)^{1 / \alpha}} \cdot \frac{e^{1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then STOP. Inequality (1) is called the stopping rule.
Else, for $j=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}$, delete $b\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)$ edges from $G_{j}^{i}$ such that $G_{j}^{i}$ falls into two components, each of at most $(2 / 3) n\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)$ vertices. Let $G^{i+1}$ denote the resulting graph on the original set of $n$ vertices. Clearly, each component of $G^{i+1}$ has at most $(2 / 3)^{i+1} n$ vertices.

Suppose that the Decomposition Algorithm terminates in Step $k+1$. If $k>0$, then

$$
\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k}<\frac{1}{(2 A)^{1 / \alpha}} \cdot \frac{e^{1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}} \leq\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k-1}
$$

First, we give an upper bound on the total number of edges deleted from $G$.
Using that, for any nonnegative reals $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{a_{j}} \leq \sqrt{m \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain that, for any $0 \leq i<k$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} \leq \sqrt{m_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \operatorname{cr}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}(G)}<\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\frac{c^{\prime} e^{2+1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}}}
$$

Denoting by $d\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)$ the degree of vertex $v$ in $G_{j}^{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)} & \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G^{i}\right)} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\max _{v \in V\left(G^{i}\right)} d\left(v, G^{i}\right) \sum_{v \in V\left(G^{i}\right)} d\left(v, G^{i}\right)} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i} n(2 e)}=\sqrt{3 e n}
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Theorem B in the Introduction, the total number of edges deleted during the procedure is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} b\left(G_{j}^{i}\right) & \leq 10 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)}+2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)} \\
& <10 \sqrt{c^{\prime}} \sqrt{\frac{e^{2+1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i}}+2 k \sqrt{3 e n}} \\
& \leq 250 \sqrt{c^{\prime}} \sqrt{\frac{e^{2+1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}}} \sqrt{(2 A)^{1 / \alpha} \frac{n^{1+1 / \alpha}}{e^{1 / \alpha}}}+2 k \sqrt{3 e n} \leq \frac{e}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that $c^{\prime}$ is sufficiently small and $c$ is sufficiently large.
Therefore, the number of edges of the graph $G^{k}$ obtained in the final Step of the algorithm satisfies

$$
e\left(G^{k}\right) \geq \frac{e}{2}
$$

(Note that this inequality trivially holds if the algorithm terminates in the very first Step, i.e., when $k=0$.)

Next we give a lower bound on $e\left(G^{k}\right)$. The number of vertices of each connected component of $G^{k}$ satisfies

$$
n\left(G_{j}^{k}\right) \leq\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k} n<\frac{1}{(2 A)^{1 / \alpha}} \cdot \frac{e^{1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}} n=\left(\frac{e}{2 A n}\right)^{1 / \alpha} \quad\left(j=1,2, \ldots, M_{k}\right)
$$

Since each $G_{j}^{k}$ has property $\mathcal{P}$, it follows that

$$
e\left(G_{j}^{k}\right) \leq A n^{1+\alpha}\left(G_{j}^{k}\right)<A n\left(G_{j}^{k}\right) \cdot \frac{e}{2 A n}
$$

Therefore, for the total number of edges of $G_{k}$, we have

$$
e\left(G^{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{M_{k}} e\left(G_{j}^{k}\right)<A \frac{e}{2 A n} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{k}} n\left(G_{j}^{k}\right)=\frac{e}{2}
$$

the desired contradiction. This proves the bound of Theorem 1.
It remains to show that the bound is tight up to a constant factor. Suppose that $\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{P}) \geq A^{\prime} n^{1+\alpha}$. For every $e\left(c n<e \leq A n^{1+\alpha}\right)$, we construct a graph $G$ of at most $n$ vertices and at least $e$ edges, which has property $\mathcal{P}$ and crossing number

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c^{\prime \prime} \frac{e^{2+1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}}
$$

for a suitable constant $c^{\prime \prime}=c^{\prime \prime}\left(A^{\prime}, \alpha\right)$.
Let

$$
k=\left\lceil\frac{2 e}{A^{\prime} n}\right\rceil^{1 / \alpha}
$$

and let $G_{k}$ denote a graph of $k$ vertices and at least $A^{\prime} k^{1+\alpha}$ edges, which has property $\mathcal{P}$. Clearly,

$$
\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{k}\right) \leq e^{2}\left(G_{k}\right) \leq\left(A k^{1+\alpha}\right)^{2}=A^{2} k^{2+2 \alpha} .
$$

Let $G$ be the union of $\lfloor n / k\rfloor$ disjoint copies of $G_{k}$. Then $n(G)=\lfloor n / k\rfloor k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
e(G)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor e\left(G_{k}\right) \geq \frac{n}{2 k} A^{\prime} k k^{\alpha} \geq e, \\
\operatorname{cr}(G)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor \operatorname{cr}\left(G_{k}\right) \leq \frac{n}{k} A^{2} k^{2+2 \alpha} \leq A^{2} n\left(2\left(\frac{2 e}{A^{\prime} n}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\right)^{1+2 \alpha}=\frac{2^{3+2 \alpha+1 / \alpha} A^{2}}{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2+1 / \alpha}} \cdot \frac{e^{2+1 / \alpha}}{n^{1+1 / \alpha}},
\end{gathered}
$$

as required.

## 3. Forbidden Subgraphs—Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

In Section 1 we established Theorem 1 under the assumption $e \geq c n \log ^{2} n$, where $c$ is a suitable constant depending on property $\mathcal{P}$. It seems very likely that the same result is true for every $e \geq c n$. The appearance of the $\log ^{2} n$ factor was due to the fact that to estimate the total number of edges deleted during the Decomposition Algorithm, we applied Theorem B. We used a poor upper bound on the term $\sum d_{i}^{2}$, because some of the degrees $d_{i}$ may be very large. However, in some interesting special cases, this difficulty can be avoided by a simple trick. We can split each vertex of high degree into vertices of "average degree," unless the new graph ceases to have property $\mathcal{P}$.

We illustrate this technique by proving the following result, which is the $r=s=2$ special case of Theorem 3 and a slight modification of Theorem 2 for $r=2$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be a $K_{2,2}$-free ( $C_{4}$-free) graph with $n(G)=n$ vertices and $e(G)=e$ edges, $e \geq 1000 n$. Then

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq \frac{1}{10^{8}} \frac{e^{4}}{n^{3}}
$$

This bound is tight up to a constant factor.
Proof. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $e \geq 1000 n$ edges, which does not contain $K_{2,2}$ as a subgraph. Suppose, in order to obtain a contradiction, that

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G)<\frac{1}{10^{8}} \frac{e^{4}}{n^{3}}
$$

and $G$ is drawn in the plane with $\operatorname{cr}(G)$ crossings.
First, we split every vertex of $G$ whose degree exceeds $\bar{d}:=2 e / n$ into vertices of degree at most $\bar{d}$, as follows. Let $v$ be a vertex of $G$ with degree $d(v, G)=d(v)=d>\bar{d}$, and let $v w_{1}, v w_{2}, \ldots, v w_{d}$ be the edges incident to $v$, listed in clockwise order. Replace $v$ by $\lceil d / \bar{d}\rceil$ new vertices, $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\lceil d / \bar{d}\rceil}$, placed in clockwise order on a very small circle around $v$. Without introducing any new crossings, connect $w_{j}$ to $v_{i}$ if and only if $\bar{d}(i-1)<j \leq \bar{d} i(1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq i \leq\lceil d / \bar{d}\rceil)$. Repeat this procedure for every vertex whose degree exceeds $\bar{d}$, and denote the resulting graph by $G^{\prime}$.

Obviously, $G^{\prime}$ is also $K_{2,2}$-free, $e\left(G^{\prime}\right)=e(G)=e$, and

$$
\operatorname{cr}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{cr}(G)<\frac{1}{10^{8}} \frac{e^{4}(G)}{n^{3}(G)}
$$

Since all but at most $n$ vertices of $G^{\prime}$ have degree $\bar{d}$, we have $n\left(G^{\prime}\right)<2 n(G)=2 n$.
Apply the DECOMPOSITION ALGORITHM described in the previous section to the graph $G^{\prime}$ with the difference that, instead of (1), use the following stopping rule: STOP in Step $i+1$ if

$$
\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i}<\frac{e^{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)}{16 n^{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Suppose that the algorithm terminates in Step $k+1$. If $k>0$, then

$$
\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k}<\frac{e^{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)}{16 n^{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \leq\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k-1}
$$

Just like in the proof of Theorem 1, for every $i<k$, we have that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}(G)}<\frac{1}{10^{4}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \frac{e^{2}}{n^{3 / 2}}
$$

and, using the fact that the maximum degree in $G^{\prime}$ is at most $\bar{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)} & \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\bar{d} 2 e\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \leq 2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \frac{e}{\sqrt{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Theorem B, the total number of edges deleted during the algorithm is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} b\left(G_{j}^{i}\right) & \leq 10 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)}+2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)} \\
& <\frac{1}{1000} \frac{e^{2}}{n^{3 / 2}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}}+4 \frac{e}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{(3 / 2)^{k}}-1}{\sqrt{3 / 2}-1}\left(\frac{e^{2}}{1000 n^{3 / 2}}+\frac{4 e}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
& <100 \frac{n^{3 / 2}}{e}\left(\frac{e^{2}}{1000 n^{3 / 2}}+\frac{4 e}{\sqrt{n}}\right)<\frac{e}{10}+400 n<\frac{e}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for the resulting graph,

$$
e\left(G^{k}\right) \geq \frac{e}{2} .
$$

On the other hand, each component of $G^{k}$ has relatively few vertices:

$$
n\left(G_{j}^{k}\right)<\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k} n\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\frac{e^{2}}{16 n^{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{e^{2}}{16 n^{2}\left(G^{k}\right)} \quad\left(j=1,2, \ldots, M_{k}\right)
$$

Claim C [R]. Let ex $\left(n, K_{2,2}\right)$ denote the maximum number of edges that a $K_{2,2}$-free graph with $n$ vertices can have. Then

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{2,2}\right) \leq \frac{n(1+\sqrt{4 n-3})}{4} \leq n^{3 / 2}
$$

Applying the claim to each $G_{k}^{j}$, we obtain

$$
e\left(G_{j}^{k}\right) \leq n^{3 / 2}\left(G_{j}^{k}\right)<n\left(G_{j}^{k}\right) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{e^{2}}{16 n^{2}\left(G^{k}\right)}},
$$

therefore,

$$
e\left(G^{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{M_{k}} e\left(G_{j}^{k}\right)<\frac{e}{4 n\left(G^{k}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{k}} n\left(G_{j}^{k}\right)=\frac{e}{4},
$$

the desired contradiction. The tightness of Theorem 3.1 immediately follows from the fact that Theorem 1 was tight.

Theorems 2 and 3 can be proved similarly. It is enough to notice that splitting a vertex of high degree does not decrease the girth of a graph $G$ and does not create a subgraph
isomorphic to $K_{r, s}$. Instead of Claim C, now we need
Claim $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}[\mathrm{BS}],[\mathrm{B} 2],[\mathrm{B} 1],[\mathrm{S} 2],[\mathrm{W}]$. For a fixed positive integer $r$, let $\mathcal{G}_{2 r}$ denote the property that the girth of a graph is larger than $2 r$. Then the maximum number of edges of a graph with $n$ vertices, which has property $\mathcal{G}_{2 r}$, satisfies

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, \mathcal{G}_{2 r}\right)=O\left(n^{1+1 / r}\right)
$$

For $r=2,3$, and 5, this bound is tight.
Claim C" [KST], [F], [ER], [B2], [ARS]. For any integers $s \geq r \geq 2$, the maximum number of edges of a $K_{r, s}$-free graph of $n$ vertices, satisfies

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{r, s}\right)=O\left(n^{2-1 / r}\right)
$$

This bound is tight for $s>(r-1)$ !.
In case $r=3$, we obtain the following slight generalization of Theorem 2.
Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be a graph of $n$ vertices and $e \geq 4 n$ edges, which contains no cycle $C_{6}$ of length 6 .

Then, for a suitable constant $c_{6}^{\prime}>0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq c_{6}^{\prime} \frac{e^{5}}{n^{4}}
$$

To establish Theorem 3.2, it is enough to modify the proof of Theorem 2 at one point. Before splitting the high-degree vertices of $G$ and running the DECOMPOSITION Algorithm, we have to turn $G$ into a bipartite graph, by deleting at most half of its edges. After that, splitting a vertex cannot create a $C_{6}$, and the rest of the above argument shows that the crossing number of the remaining graph still exceeds $c_{6}^{\prime} e^{5} / n^{4}$.

We do not see, however, how to obtain the analogous generalization of Theorem 2 for $r>3$.

## 4. Midrange Crossing Constant in the Plane—Proof of Theorem 4

## Lemma 4.1.

(i) For any $a>0$, the limit

$$
\gamma[a]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\kappa(n, n a)}{n}
$$

exists and is finite.
(ii) $\gamma[a]$ is a convex continuous function.
(iii) For any $a \geq 4,1>\delta>0$,

$$
\gamma[a]-\gamma[a(1-\delta)] \leq \gamma[a(1+\delta)]-\gamma[a] \leq 10^{3} \delta \gamma[a] .
$$

Proof. Clearly, any two graphs, $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, can be drawn in the plane so that the edges of $G_{1}$ do not intersect the edges of $G_{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left(n_{1}+n_{2}, e_{1}+e_{2}\right) \leqq \kappa\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)+\kappa\left(n_{2}, e_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the function $f_{a}(n)=\kappa(n, n a)$ is subadditive and hence the limit

$$
\gamma[a]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\kappa(n, n a)}{n}
$$

exists and is finite for every fixed $a>0$. It also follows from (3) that, for any $a, b>0$ and $1>\alpha>0$, if $n$ and $\alpha n$ are both integers,

$$
\kappa(n,(\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b) n) \leqq \kappa(\alpha n, \alpha a n)+\kappa((1-\alpha) n,(1-\alpha) b n),
$$

so, for any $1>\alpha>0$ rational,

$$
\gamma[\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b] \leq \alpha \gamma[a]+(1-\alpha) \gamma[b] .
$$

However, since the function $\gamma[a]$ is monotone increasing, it follows that, for any $1>$ $\alpha>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma[\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b] \leq \alpha \gamma[a]+(1-\alpha) \gamma[b] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, the function $\gamma[a]$ is convex. In particular, for every $1>\delta>0$, we have

$$
\gamma[a]-\gamma[a(1-\delta)] \leq \gamma[a(1+\delta)]-\gamma[a]
$$

It is known that, for any $a \geq 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a^{3} n}{100} \leq \kappa(n, a n) \leq a^{3} n \Rightarrow \frac{a^{3}}{100} \leq \gamma[a] \leq a^{3} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, e.g., [PT]). Let $a \geq 4,1>\delta>0$. By (4),

$$
\gamma[a(1+\delta)] \leq(1-\delta) \gamma[a]+\delta \gamma[2 a] .
$$

Therefore, using (5),

$$
\gamma[a(1+\delta)]-\gamma[a] \leq \delta \gamma[2 a] \leq \delta 8 a^{3}<10^{3} \delta \gamma[a]
$$

Set

$$
C:=\limsup _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma[a]}{a^{3}} .
$$

By (5), we have that $C<1$.
Lemma 4.2. For any $0<\varepsilon<1$, there exists $N=N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\kappa(n, e)>$ $C\left(e^{3} / n^{2}\right)(1-\varepsilon)$, whenever $\min \left\{n, e / n, n^{2} / e\right\}>N$.

Proof. Let $A>10^{9} / \varepsilon^{3}$ be a rational number satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma[A]}{A^{3}}>C\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N=N(\varepsilon) \geq A$ such that, if $n>N, e=n A^{\prime}$, and $\left|A-A^{\prime}\right| \leq A \varepsilon$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(n, e)>\gamma\left[A^{\prime}\right]\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) n \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n$ and $e$ be fixed, $\min \left\{n, e / n, n^{2} / e\right\}>N$ and let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with $|V|=n$ vertices and $|E|=e$ edges, drawn in the plane with $\kappa(n, e)$ crossings. Set $p=A n / e$. Let $U$ be a randomly chosen subset of $V$ with $\operatorname{Pr}[v \in U]=p$, independently for all $v \in V$. Let $v=|U|$, and let $\eta$ (resp. $\xi$ ) be the number of edges (resp. crossings) in the (drawing of the) subgraph of $G$ induced by the elements of $U$.
$v$ has mean $p n$ and variance $p(1-p) n \leqq p n$, so, by the Chebyshev Inequality,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[|v-p n|>\frac{\varepsilon}{10^{4}} p n\right]<\frac{\varepsilon}{10}
$$

Write $\eta=\sum I_{u v}$, where the sum is taken over all edges $u v=v u \in E$, and $I_{u v}$ denotes the indicator for the event $u, v \in U$. Obviously, $E[\eta]=\sum_{u v \in E} E\left[I_{u v}\right]=e p^{2}$. We decompose

$$
\operatorname{Var}[\eta]=\sum_{u v \in E} \operatorname{Var}\left[I_{u v}\right]+\sum_{u v, u w \in E} \operatorname{Cov}\left[I_{u v}, I_{u w}\right],
$$

as $\operatorname{Cov}\left[I_{u v}, I_{w z}\right]=0$ when all four indices are distinct. As always with indicators, we have

$$
\sum_{u v \in E} \operatorname{Var}\left[I_{u v}\right] \leqq \sum_{u v \in E} E\left[I_{u v}\right]=E[\eta]=e p^{2}
$$

Using the bound $\operatorname{Cov}\left[I_{u v}, I_{u w}\right] \leqq E\left[I_{u v} I_{u w}\right]=p^{3}$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Var}[\eta] \leqq p^{2} e+p^{3} \sum_{v \in V}\binom{d(v)}{2}
$$

where $d(v)$ is the degree of vertex $v$ in $G$. However, $\sum_{v \in V} d(v)=2 e$ and all $d(v)<n$, so

$$
\sum_{v \in V}\binom{d(v)}{2} \leqq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} d^{2}(v) \leqq e n
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\operatorname{Var}[\eta] \leqq p^{2} e+p^{3} e n \leqq 2 p^{3} e n
$$

as $p n=A n^{2} / e \geq 1$. Again, by the Chebyshev Inequality,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|\eta-p^{2} e\right|>\frac{\varepsilon}{10^{4}} p^{2} e\right]<\frac{\varepsilon}{10}
$$

With probability at least $1-\varepsilon / 5$, $p n\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10^{4}}\right)<v<p n\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10^{4}}\right) \quad$ and $\quad p^{2} e\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10^{4}}\right)<\eta<p^{2} e\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10^{4}}\right)$,
so with probability at least $1-\varepsilon / 5$,

$$
A\left(1-\frac{3 \varepsilon}{10^{4}}\right)<\frac{\eta}{v}=A^{\prime}<A\left(1+\frac{3 \varepsilon}{10^{4}}\right) .
$$

Therefore, in view of (7), with probability at least $1-\varepsilon / 5$, the subgraph of $G$ induced by $U$ has at least $p n(1-\varepsilon / 10) \gamma\left[A^{\prime}\right](1-\varepsilon / 10)$ crossings. However, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\xi] & \geq\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right) p n\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) \gamma\left[A^{\prime}\right]\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) \\
& \geq\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right) p n\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) \gamma[A]\left(1-\frac{3 \varepsilon}{10}\right)\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) \\
& \geq\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right) p n\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) C A^{3}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)\left(1-\frac{3 \varepsilon}{10}\right)\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) \\
& \geq(1-\varepsilon) C A^{3} p n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second and third inequalities follow from Lemma 4.1(iii) and from the choice of $A$, respectively.

On the other hand,

$$
E[\xi]=p^{4} \kappa(n, e),
$$

as every crossing lies in $U$ with probability $p^{4}$. Thus

$$
\kappa(n, e) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \frac{p n C A^{3}}{p^{4}}=C \frac{e^{3}}{n^{2}}(1-\varepsilon)
$$

as desired.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4, we have to establish the "counterpart" of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.3. For any $1>\varepsilon>0$, there exists $M=M(\varepsilon)$ such that $\kappa(n, e)<$ $C\left(e^{3} / n^{2}\right)(1+\varepsilon)$, whenever $\min \left\{n, e / n, n^{2} / e\right\}>M$.

Proof. Let $A>10^{4} / \varepsilon^{2}$ be a rational number satisfying

$$
C\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)<\frac{\gamma[A]}{A^{3}}<C\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) .
$$

Let $M_{1}=M_{1}(\varepsilon) \geq A$ such that, if $n>M_{1}$ and $e=n A$, then

$$
C A^{3} n\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right)<\kappa(n, e)<C A^{3} n\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right) .
$$

Let $G_{1}=G_{1}\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)$ be a graph with $n_{1}>M_{1}$ vertices, $e_{1}=A n_{1}$ edges, and suppose that $G_{1}$ is drawn in the plane with $\kappa\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)$ crossings, where $C A^{3} n_{1}(1-\varepsilon / 5)<$ $\kappa\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)<C A^{3} n_{1}(1+\varepsilon / 5)$. For each vertex $v$ of $G_{1}$ with degree $d(v)>A^{3 / 2}$, we do the following. Let $d(v)=r A^{3 / 2}+s$, where $0 \leq s<A^{3 / 2}$. Substitute $v$ with $r+1$ vertices, each of degree $A^{3 / 2}$, except one which has degree $s$, each drawn very close to the original position of $v$. Clearly, this can be done without creating any additional crossing. We obtain a graph $G_{2}\left(n_{2}, e_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq n_{1}\left(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{A}}\right) \leq n_{1}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)
$$

$e_{2}=e_{1}$, and $G_{2}$ is drawn in the plane with $\kappa\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)$ crossings.
Suppose that $n$ and $e$ are fixed, $\min \left\{n, e / n, n^{2} / e\right\}>M(\varepsilon)=10 M_{1} / \varepsilon$. Let

$$
L=\frac{e / n}{e_{2} / n_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad K=\frac{n^{2} / e}{n_{2}^{2} / e_{2}},
$$

so that

$$
n=K L n_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad e=K L^{2} e_{2}
$$

Let

$$
\tilde{L}=\left\lfloor L\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)\right\rfloor \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{K}=\left\lfloor K\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)\right\rfloor
$$

and let

$$
\tilde{n}=\tilde{K} \tilde{L} n_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{e}=\tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{2} e_{2}
$$

Then $n(1-\varepsilon / 5)<\tilde{n}<n$ and $e_{2}<\tilde{e} \leq e_{2}(1+\varepsilon / 4)$, so we have $\kappa(n, e)<\kappa(\tilde{n}, \tilde{e})$.
Substitute each vertex of $G_{2}$ with $\tilde{L}$ very close vertices, and substitute each edge of $G_{2}$ with the corresponding $\tilde{L}^{2}$ edges, all running very close to the original edge. Make $\tilde{K}$ copies of this drawing, each separated from the others. This way we got a graph $\tilde{G}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{e})$ drawn in the plane. We estimate the number of crossings $X$ in this drawing.

A crossing in the original drawing of $G_{2}$ corresponds to $\tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{4}$ crossings in the present drawing of $\tilde{G}$. For any two edges of $G_{2}$ with common endpoint, $u v$ and $u w$, the edges arise from them have at most $\tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{4}$ crossings with each other. So

$$
X \leq \tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{4}\left(\kappa\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)+\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\binom{d(v)}{2}\right) .
$$

However, $\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} d(v)=2 e_{2}$ and $d(v) \leq A^{3 / 2}$, so

$$
\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\binom{d(v)}{2}<3 A^{5 / 2} n_{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa(n, e) & <\kappa(\tilde{n}, \tilde{e}) \leq c<\tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{4} \kappa\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)+\tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{4} 3 A^{5 / 2} n_{2}<\tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{4} \kappa\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) \\
& <\tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{4} C A^{3} n_{1}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)=\tilde{K} \tilde{L}^{4} C \frac{e_{1}^{3}}{n_{1}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right) \\
& <K L^{4} C \frac{e_{2}^{3}}{n_{2}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)^{6}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)<C(1+\varepsilon) \frac{e^{3}}{n^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.4. It was shown in [PT] that $0.06 \geq C \geq 0.029$.

We cannot decide whether Theorem 4 remains true under the weaker condition that $C_{1} n \leq e \leq C_{2} n^{2}$ for suitable positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. If the answer were in the affirmative, then, clearly, $C_{1}>3$. We would also have that $C_{2}<\frac{1}{2}$, because, by [G], for $e=\binom{n}{2}, \operatorname{cr}\left(K_{n}\right)>\left(\frac{1}{10}-\varepsilon\right)\left(e^{3} / n^{2}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ if $n$ is large enough.

## 5. Midrange Crossing Constants on Other Surfaces-Proof of Theorem 5

Lemma 5.1. For any integer $g \geq 0$ and for any $1>\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N=N(g, \varepsilon)$ such that $\kappa_{g}(n, e)>C\left(e^{3} / n^{2}\right)(1-\varepsilon)$, whenever $\min \left\{n, e / n, n^{3 / 2} / e\right\}>N$.

Proof. For $g=0$, the assertion follows from Lemma 4.2. Suppose that $g>0$ is fixed and we have already proved the lemma for $g-1$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, let $N(g, \varepsilon)=$ $\left(10^{5} / \varepsilon^{2}\right) g N(g-1, \varepsilon / 10)$. Suppose, in order to get a contradiction, that $\min \{n, e / n$, $\left.n^{3 / 2} / e\right\}>N$, and let $G(n, e)$ be a graph drawn on $S_{g}$ with $\operatorname{cr}_{g}(G)=\kappa_{g}(n, e)<$ $C\left(e^{3} / n^{2}\right)(1-\varepsilon)$ crossings.

As long as there is an edge with at least $4 C\left(e^{2} / n^{2}\right)$ crossings, delete it. Let the resulting graph be $G_{1}\left(n_{1}, e_{1}\right)$. Suppose that we deleted $e^{\prime}$ edges. Then $G_{1}$ has $n_{1}=n$ vertices, $e_{1}=e-e^{\prime}$ edges, and the number of crossings in the resulting drawing of $G_{1}$ is at $\operatorname{mostr}^{\operatorname{cr}}(G)-4 C\left(e^{2} / n^{2}\right) e^{\prime}$. Therefore, $e^{\prime}<e / 4$, so $e \geq e_{1} \geq 3 e / 4$. It is not hard to check that $\mathrm{cr}_{g}\left(G_{1}\right)<C\left(e_{1}^{3} / n_{1}^{2}\right)(1-\varepsilon)$ and $G_{1}$ contains no edge with more than $4 C\left(e^{2} / n^{2}\right)<8 C\left(e_{1}^{2} / n_{1}^{2}\right)$ crossings.

Consider all cycles of $G_{1}$, as they are drawn on $S_{g}$. If each cycle is trivial, i.e., each cycle is contractible to a point of $S_{g}$, then every connected component of $G$ is contractible to a point. That is, in this case, our drawing of $G$ on $S_{g}$ is equivalent to a drawing of $G_{1}$ on the plane. Consequently, $\operatorname{cr}_{g-1}\left(G_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{cr}_{0}\left(G_{1}\right)<C\left(e^{3} / n^{2}\right)(1-\varepsilon)$ contradicting the induction hypothesis.

Suppose that there is a nontrivial (i.e., noncontractible) cycle $\mathcal{C}$ of $G_{1}$ with at most $(\varepsilon / 80 C),\left(n_{1}^{2} / e_{1}\right)$ edges. Clearly, $\mathcal{C}$ contains a nontrivial closed curve, $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, which does not intersect itself. The total number of crossings along $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is at most

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{80 C} \frac{n_{1}^{2}}{e_{1}} 8 C \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{10} e_{1}
$$

Delete all edges that cross $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Cut $S_{g}$ along $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Replace every vertex (resp. edge) $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ by two vertices, one on each side of the cut. Every edge of $G$ arriving at a vertex $v$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ from a given side of the cut will be connected to the copy of $v$ lying on the same side. Thus, we obtain a graph $G_{2}\left(n_{2}, e_{2}\right)$, drawn with fewer than $\mathrm{cr}_{g}\left(G_{1}\right)$ crossings. Attaching a half-sphere to each side of the cut, we obtain either a surface of genus $g-1$ or two surfaces whose genuses are smaller than $g$. We discuss only the former case (the calculation in the latter one is very similar). Since we doubled at most

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{80 C} \frac{n_{1}^{2}}{e_{1}}=\varepsilon n_{1} \frac{n_{1}}{e_{1}} \frac{1}{80 C}<\varepsilon n_{1} \frac{1}{N}<n_{1} \frac{\varepsilon}{10}
$$

vertices and deleted at most $(\varepsilon / 10) e$ edges, we have $n_{2} \leq n_{1}(1+\varepsilon / 10)$ and $e_{2} \geq$ $e_{1}(1-\varepsilon / 0)$. In the resulting drawing there are fewer than $\mathrm{cr}_{g}\left(G_{1}\right)$ crossings, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cr}_{g-1}\left(G_{2}\right)<\operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{1}\right)<C \frac{e_{1}^{3}}{n_{1}^{2}}(1-\varepsilon) & \leq C \frac{e_{2}^{3}}{n_{2}^{2}}(1-\varepsilon)\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)^{-3}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C \frac{e_{2}^{3}}{n_{2}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

contradicting the induction hypothesis.
Thus, we can assume that every nontrivial cycle of $G_{1}$ contains at least $(\varepsilon / 80 C)\left(n_{1}^{2} / e_{1}\right)$ edges. For each vertex $v$ of $G_{1}$ with degree $d(v)>10 e_{1} / \varepsilon n_{1}$, we do the following. Let $d(v)=r\left(10 e / \varepsilon n_{1}\right)+s$, where $0 \leq s<10 e_{1} / \varepsilon n_{1}$. Without creating any new crossing, replace $v$ by $r+1$ nearby vertices, each of degree $10 e_{1} / \varepsilon n$, except one, whose degree is $s$. We obtain a graph $G_{3}\left(n_{3}, e_{3}\right)$ drawn on $S_{g}$ with $n_{1} \leq n_{3} \leq n_{1}(1+\varepsilon / 5)$, $e_{3}=e_{1}$, and with the same number of crossings as $G_{1}$. Hence,

$$
\operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{3}\right) \leq \operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{1}\right) \leq C \frac{e_{1}^{3}}{n_{1}^{2}}(1-\varepsilon) \leq C \frac{e_{3}^{3}}{n_{3}^{2}}(1-\varepsilon)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{5}\right)^{2} \leq C \frac{e_{3}^{3}}{n_{3}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)
$$

The maximum degree $D$ in $G_{3}$ cannot exceed $10 e_{1} / \varepsilon n_{1}<18 e_{3} / \varepsilon n_{3}$, and the length of each nontrivial cycle is at least

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{80 C} \frac{n_{1}^{2}}{e_{1}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{100 C} \frac{n_{3}^{2}}{e_{3}}
$$

Apply to $G_{3}$ the DECOMPOSITION AlGORITHM described in Section 2 with the difference that, instead of (1), use the following stopping rule: STOP in Step $i+1$ if

$$
\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i}<\frac{\varepsilon}{100 C} \frac{n_{3}}{e_{3}}
$$

Suppose that the algorithm terminates in Step $k+1$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k}<\frac{\varepsilon}{100 C} \frac{n_{3}}{e_{3}} \leq\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k-1}
$$

First, we give an upper bound on the total number of edges deleted from $G_{3}$. Let $G^{0}=G_{1}^{0}=G_{3}$ and $m_{0}=1$. Using (2), we obtain that, for every $0 \leq i<k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} & \leq \sqrt{m_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{3}\right)} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{C \frac{e_{3}^{3}}{n_{3}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting by $d\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)$ the degree of vertex $v$ in $G_{j}^{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)} & \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G^{i}\right)} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\max _{v \in V\left(G^{i}\right)} d\left(v, G^{i}\right) \sum_{v \in V\left(G^{i}\right)} d\left(v, G^{i}\right)} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\frac{18 e_{3}^{3}}{\varepsilon n_{3}^{2}}\left(2 e_{3}\right)}=12 \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \frac{e_{3}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n_{3}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 6 (proved in the next section), the total number of edges deleted during the algorithm is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} b\left(G_{j}^{i}\right) \leq & 300\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)+\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)} \\
\leq & 300\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} \\
& +300\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \sqrt{\sum_{v \in V\left(G_{j}^{i}\right)} d^{2}\left(v, G_{j}^{i}\right)} \\
\leq & 300\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}}\left(\sqrt{C \frac{e_{3}^{3}}{n_{3}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}+6 \frac{e_{3}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n_{3}}}\right) \\
\leq & 300\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{(3 / 2)^{k}}-1 \\
\sqrt{3 / 2}-1 & \left.\sqrt{C \frac{e_{3}^{3}}{n_{3}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}+6 \frac{e_{3}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n_{3}}}\right) \\
\leq & 2000\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sqrt{\frac{C}{\varepsilon}} \sqrt{\frac{e}{n}}\left(\sqrt{C \frac{e_{3}^{3}}{n_{3}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}+6 \frac{e_{3}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n_{3}}}\right) \leq e_{3} \frac{\varepsilon}{10} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the number of edges $e\left(G^{k}\right)$ of the graph $G^{k}$ obtained in the final Step of the algorithm satisfies $e\left(G^{k}\right) \geq e_{3}(1-\varepsilon / 10)$. Consider the drawing of $G^{k}$ on $S_{g}$ inherited from the drawing of $G_{3}$. Each connected component of $G^{k}$ has fewer than $(\varepsilon / 100 C)\left(n_{3}^{2} / e_{3}\right)$ vertices, therefore, each cycle of $G^{k}$, as drawn on $S_{g}$, is contractible to a point. Consequently, this drawing is equivalent to a planar drawing of $G^{k}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cr}_{g-1}\left(G^{k}\right) \leq \operatorname{cr}_{0}\left(G^{k}\right) \leq \operatorname{cr}_{g}\left(G_{3}\right) \leq C \frac{e_{3}^{3}}{n_{3}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) & \leq C \frac{e^{3}\left(G^{k}\right)}{n^{2}\left(G^{k}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)^{-3} \\
& <C \frac{e^{3}\left(G^{k}\right)}{n^{2}\left(G^{k}\right)}\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{10}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. This concludes the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. For any integer $g \geq 0$ and for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N^{\prime}=N^{\prime}(g, \varepsilon)$ such that $\kappa_{g}(n, e)>C\left(e^{3} / n^{2}\right)(1-\varepsilon)$, whenever $\min \left\{n, e / n, n^{2} / e\right\}>N^{\prime}$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 5.3. For any integer $g \geq 0$ and for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $M=M(g, \varepsilon)$ such that $\kappa_{g}(n, e)<C\left(e^{3} / n^{2}\right)(1+\varepsilon)$, whenever $\min \left\{n, e / n, n^{2} / e\right\}>M$.

Proof. Clearly, for any graph $G$ and for any $g \geq 0$, we have $\mathrm{cr}_{0}(G) \geq \mathrm{cr}_{g}(G)$. Therefore, Lemma 5.3 is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.3.

Theorem 5 now readily follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3.

## 6. A Separator Theorem—Proof of Theorem 6

For the proof of Theorem 6, we need a slight variation of the notion of bisection width. The weak bisection width, $\bar{b}(G)$, of a graph $G$ is defined as the minimum number of edges whose removal splits the graph into two components, each of size at least $|V(G)| / 5$. That is,

$$
\bar{b}(G)=\min _{\left|V_{A}\right|,\left|V_{B}\right| \geq n / 5}\left|E\left(V_{A}, V_{B}\right)\right|,
$$

where $E\left(V_{A}, V_{B}\right)$ denotes the number of edges between $V_{A}$ and $V_{B}$, and the minimum is taken over all partitions $V(G)=V_{A} \cup V_{B}$ with $\left|V_{A}\right|,\left|V_{B}\right| \geq|V(G)| / 5$.

Lemma 6.1. For any graph $G$, we have

$$
\bar{b}(G) \leq b(G) \leq 2 \max _{H \subset G} \bar{b}(H)
$$

Proof. The first inequality is obviously true. To prove the second one, let $|V(G)|=n$ and consider a partition $V(G)=V_{A} \cup V_{B}$ such that $n / 5 \leq\left|V_{A}\right|,\left|V_{B}\right| \leq 4 n / 5$ and $\left|E\left(V_{A}, V_{B}\right)\right|=\bar{b}(G)$. Suppose that $\left|V_{A}\right| \leq\left|V_{B}\right|$. If $n / 3 \leq\left|V_{A}\right|$, then $b(G)=\bar{b}(G)$ and we are done. So we can assume that $n / 5 \leq\left|V_{A}\right| \leq n / 3$ and $2 n / 3 \leq\left|V_{B}\right| \leq 4 n / 5$.

Let $H$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V_{B}$. By definition, there is a partition $V_{B}=$ $V_{B}^{\prime} \cup V_{B}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\left|V_{B}\right| / 5 \leq\left|V_{B}^{\prime}\right|,\left|V_{B}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 4\left|V_{B}\right| / 5$, and $\left|E\left(V_{B}^{\prime}, V_{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|=\bar{b}(H)$. We can assume that $\left|V_{B}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|V_{B}^{\prime \prime}\right|$. Then

$$
\frac{n}{3} \leq \frac{\left|V_{B}\right|}{2} \leq\left|V_{B}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \frac{4\left|V_{B}\right|}{5} \leq \frac{16 n}{25}<\frac{2 n}{3} .
$$

Letting $V_{1}=V_{A} \cup V_{B}^{\prime}$ and $V_{2}=V_{B}^{\prime \prime}$, we have $V(G)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, n / 3 \leq\left|V_{1}\right|,\left|V_{2}\right| \leq 2 n / 3$,

$$
\left|E\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|E\left(V_{A}, V_{B}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(V_{B}^{\prime}, V_{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq \bar{b}(G)+\bar{b}(H),
$$

and the result follows.


Fig. 1. The definition of $H$.

Theorem 6 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.1 and the following statement.
Theorem 6.2. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices of degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$. Then

$$
\bar{b}(G) \leq 150\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sqrt{c r_{g}(G)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}}
$$

Proof. Clearly, we can assume that $G$ contains no isolated vertices, that is, $d_{i}>0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider a drawing of $G$ on $S_{g}$ with exactly $\mathrm{cr}_{g}(G)$ crossings. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be the vertices of $G$ with degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$, respectively. Introduce a new vertex at each crossing. Denote the set of these vertices by $V_{0}$. Replace each $v_{i} \in V(G)(i=1,2 \ldots, n)$ by a set $V_{i}$ of vertices forming a $d_{i} \times d_{i}$ piece of a square grid, in which each vertex is connected to its horizontal and vertical neighbors. Let each edge incident to $v_{i}$ be hooked up to distinct vertices along one side of the boundary of $V_{i}$ without creating any crossing. These $d_{i}$ vertices will be called the special boundary vertices of $V_{i}$.

Thus, we obtain a graph $H$ of $\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|V_{i}\right|=\mathrm{cr}_{g}(G)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}$ vertices and no crossing (see Fig. 1). For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, assign weight $1 / d_{i}$ to each special boundary vertex of $V_{i}$. Assign weight 0 to all other vertices of $H$. For any subset $v$ of the vertex set of $H$, let $w(\nu)$ denote the total weight of the vertices belonging to $\nu$. With this notation, $w\left(V_{i}\right)=1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consequently, $w(V(H))=n$.

Since $H$ is drawn on $S_{g}$ without crossing, $H$ does not contain $K_{\alpha}$ as a minor, where $\alpha=\lfloor 4+4 \sqrt{g}\rfloor[R Y]$. Then, by a result of Alon et al. [AST1] (see also [AST2]), the vertices of $H$ can be partitioned into three sets, $A, B$, and $C$, such that $w(A), w(B) \geq n / 3$ and $|C| \leq 25\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}_{g}(G)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}}$, and there is no edge from $A$ to $B$. Let $A_{i}=A \cap V_{i}, B_{i}=B \cap V_{i}, C_{i}=C \cap V_{i}(i=0,1, \ldots, n)$.

For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we say that $V_{i}$ is of type $A$ (resp. type $B$ ) if $w\left(A_{i}\right) \geq \frac{5}{6}$ (resp. $w\left(B_{i}\right) \geq \frac{5}{6}$ ), and it is of type $C$, otherwise.

Define a partition $V(G)=V_{A} \cup V_{B}$ of the vertex set of $G$, as follows. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $v_{i} \in V_{A}$ (resp. $v_{i} \in V_{B}$ ) if $V_{i}$ is of type $A$ (resp. type $B$ ). The remaining vertices, $\left\{v_{i} \mid V_{i}\right.$ is of type $\left.C\right\}$ are assigned either to $V_{A}$ or to $V_{B}$ so as to minimize $\left|\left|V_{A}\right|-\left|V_{B}\right|\right|$.

Claim 1. $n / 5 \leq\left|V_{A}\right|,\left|V_{B}\right| \leq 4 n / 5$
To prove the claim, define another partition $V(H)=\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \cup \bar{C}$ such that $\bar{A} \cap V_{i}=$ $A \cap V_{i}$ and $\bar{B} \cap V_{i}=B \cap V_{i}$, for $i=0$ and for every $V_{i}$ of type $C$. If $V_{i}$ is of type $A$ (resp. type $B$ ), then let $V_{i}=\bar{A}_{i} \subset \bar{A}$ (resp. $V_{i}=\bar{B}_{i} \subset \bar{B}$ ), finally, let $\bar{C}=V(H)-\bar{A}-\bar{B}$.

For any $V_{i}$ of type $A, w\left(\bar{A}_{i}\right)-w\left(A_{i}\right) \leq w\left(A_{i}\right) / 5$. Similarly, for any $V_{i}$ of type $B$, $w\left(\bar{B}_{i}\right)-w\left(B_{i}\right) \leq w\left(B_{i}\right) / 5$. Therefore,

$$
|w(\bar{A})-w(A)| \leq \frac{\max \{w(A), w(B)\}}{5} \leq \frac{2 n}{15}
$$

Hence, $n / 5 \leq w(\bar{A}) \leq 4 n / 5$ and, analogously, $n / 5 \leq w(\bar{B}) \leq 4 n / 5$. In particular, $|w(\bar{A})-w(\bar{B})| \leq 3 n / 5$. Using the minimality of $\left|\left|V_{A}\right|-\left|V_{B}\right|\right|$, we obtain that $\left|\left|V_{A}\right|-\right.$ $\left|V_{B}\right| \mid \leq 3 n / 5$, which implies Claim 1 .

Claim 2. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$,
(i) if $V_{i}$ is of type $A$ (resp. of type $B$ ), then $w\left(B_{i}\right) d_{i} \leq\left|C_{i}\right|\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.w\left(A_{i}\right) d_{i} \leq\left|C_{i}\right|\right)$;
(ii) if $V_{i}$ is of type $C$, then $d_{i} / 6 \leq\left|C_{i}\right|$.

In $V_{i}$, every connected component belonging to $A_{i}$ is separated from every connected component belonging to $B_{i}$ by vertices in $C_{i}$. There are $w\left(A_{i}\right) d_{i}$ (resp. $\left.w\left(B_{i}\right) d_{i}\right)$ special boundary vertices in $V_{i}$, which belong to $A_{i}$ (resp. $B_{i}$ ). It can be shown by an easy case analysis that the number of separating points $\left|C_{i}\right| \geq \min \left\{w\left(A_{i}\right), w\left(B_{i}\right)\right\} d_{i}$, and Claim 2 follows (see Fig. 2.).

In order to establish Theorem 6.2 (and hence Theorem 6), it remains to prove the following statement.

Claim 3. The total number of edges between $V_{A}$ and $V_{B}$ satisfies

$$
\left|E\left(V_{A}, V_{B}\right)\right| \leq 150\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}_{g}(G)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}}
$$

To see this, denote by $E_{0}$ the set of all edges of $H$ adjacent to at least one element of $C_{0}$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, define $E_{i} \subset E(H)$ as follows. If $V_{i}$ is of type $A$ (resp. type $B$ ),

$A: \bigcirc \quad B: \bigcirc \quad C:$

Fig. 2. The tripartition of $V_{i}(i \geq 1)$.
let $E_{i}$ consist of all edges leaving $V_{i}$ and adjacent to a special boundary vertex belonging to $B_{i}$ (resp. $A_{i}$ ). If $V_{i}$ is of type $C$, let all edges leaving $V_{i}$ belong to $E_{i}$.

For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $E_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the set of edges of $G$ corresponding to the elements of $E_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n)$. Clearly, we have $\left|E_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|E_{i}\right|$, because distinct edges of $G$ give rise to distinct edges of $H$. It is easy to see that every edge between $V_{A}$ and $V_{B}$ belongs to $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} E_{i}^{\prime}$.

Obviously, $\left|E_{0}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|E_{0}\right| \leq 4\left|C_{0}\right|$. By Claim 2, if $V_{i}$ is of type $A$ or of type $B$, then $\left|E_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|E_{i}\right| \leq\left|C_{i}\right|$. If $V_{i}$ is of type $C$, then $\left|E_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|E_{i}\right|=d_{i} \leq 6\left|C_{i}\right|$. Therefore,

$$
\left|E\left(V_{A}, V_{B}\right)\right| \leq\left|\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} E_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|E_{i}\right| \leq 6|C| \leq 150\left(1+g^{3 / 4}\right) \sqrt{\operatorname{cr}_{g}(G)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}}
$$

This concludes the proof of Claim 3 and hence Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.
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