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#### Abstract

On a transverse spin foliation, we give a new lower bound for the square of the eigenvalues of the basic Dirac operator by the smallest eigenvalue of the basic Yamabe operator. Moreover, the limiting foliation is transversally Einsteinian.


1. Introduction. In 2001, S. D. Jung [4] proved that, on a foliated Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin structure, any eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the basic Dirac operator $D_{B}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} \geq \frac{q}{4(q-1)} \inf _{M}\left(\sigma^{\nabla}+|\kappa|^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}, \sigma^{\nabla}$ is the transversal scalar curvature and $\kappa$ is the mean curvature form of $\mathcal{F}$. In the limiting case, the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, transversally Einsteinian with constant transversal scalar curvature $\sigma^{\nabla}$. In 2004, S. D. Jung et al. [6] improved the above inequality (1) by using the basic Yamabe operator $Y_{B}$. In fact, any eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the basic Dirac operator $D_{B}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} \geq \frac{q}{4(q-1)}\left(\mu_{1}+\inf _{M}|\kappa|^{2}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the basic Yamabe operator. In the inequalities (1) and (2), $\kappa$ is assumed to be basic.

In this paper, we give an estimate sharper than (1) by using a modified connection $\nabla^{f, g}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{f, g} \Psi=\nabla_{X} \Psi+f \pi(X) \cdot \Psi+g \kappa \cdot \pi(X) \cdot \Psi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any basic functions $f$ and $g$. Namely, any eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the basic Dirac operator $D_{B}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} \geq \frac{q}{4(q-1)} \inf _{M}\left(\sigma^{\nabla}+\frac{q+1}{q}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $\kappa_{B}$ is the basic part of $\kappa$. Moreover, by using a transversally conformal change of the Riemannian metric, we give an estimate sharper than (2). Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} \geq \frac{q}{4(q-1)}\left(\mu_{1}+\frac{q+1}{q} \inf _{M}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the inequality (5) is sharper than (4). The limiting foliations of (4) and (5) are transversally Einsteinian with $\kappa_{B}=0$, where $\kappa_{B}$ is the basic part of $\kappa$.
2. Transversal Dirac operator. Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a $(p+q)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. Then we have an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow T M \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the tangent bundle and $Q=T M / L$ is the normal bundle of $\mathcal{F}$. The metric $g_{M}$ determines an orthogonal decomposition $T M=L \oplus L^{\perp}$. Identify $Q$ with $L^{\perp}$ and let $g_{Q}$ denote the induced metric on $Q$. The bundle-like condition on $g_{M}$ means that $\theta(X) g_{Q}=0$ for $X \in \Gamma L$, where $\theta(X)$ is the transverse Lie derivative. Let $\nabla$ be the transversal LeviCivita connection on $Q$, which is torsion-free and metrical with respect to $g_{Q}$. Let $R^{\nabla}, \rho^{\nabla}$ and $\sigma^{\nabla}$ be the transversal curvature tensor, transversal Ricci operator and transversal scalar curvature with respect to $\nabla$, respectively [10]. The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is transversally Einsteinian if $\rho^{\nabla}=\sigma^{\nabla} / q$ id. Let $\Omega_{B}^{*}(\mathcal{F})$ be the space of all basic forms on $M$, i.e., forms $\phi$ satisfying $i(X) \phi=i(X) d \phi=0$ for all $X \in \Gamma L$. Then $\Omega^{*}(M)$ is decomposed as $\Omega(M)=\Omega_{B}(\mathcal{F}) \oplus$ $\Omega_{B}(\mathcal{F})^{\perp}$ [1, Theorem 2.1]. Let $P: \Omega(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{B}(\mathcal{F})$ be the orthogonal projection onto basic forms [9, Lemma 1.8]. For any $r$-form $\phi$, we denote the basic part of $\phi$ by $\phi_{B}:=P \phi$. The exterior differential on the de Rham complex $\Omega^{*}(M)$ is restricted to a differential $d_{B}$ : $\Omega_{B}^{r}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_{B}^{r+1}(\mathcal{F})$. Let $\kappa \in Q^{*}$ be the mean curvature form of $\mathcal{F}$. It is well-known [1, Corollary 3.5] that $\kappa_{B}:=P \kappa$ is closed, i.e., $d \kappa_{B}=0$. The basic Laplacian $\Delta_{B}$ is given by $\Delta_{B}=d_{B} \delta_{B}+\delta_{B} d_{B}$, where $\delta_{B}$ is the formal adjoint operator of $d_{B}$.

Let $S(\mathcal{F})$ be a foliated spinor bundle $[3,4]$ and $\langle,\rangle_{g_{Q}}$ a Hermitian metric on $S(\mathcal{F})$ induced by $g_{Q}$. By the Clifford multiplication in the fibers of $S(\mathcal{F})$ for any vector field $X$ in $Q$ and any foliated spinor field $\Phi$, the Clifford product $X \cdot \Phi$, which is also a foliated spinor field, is defined. This product has the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \Gamma Q$ and $\Phi, \Psi \in \Gamma S(\mathcal{F})$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
(X \cdot Y+Y \cdot X) \Phi=-2 g_{Q}(X, Y) \Phi  \tag{7}\\
\langle X \cdot \Psi, \Phi\rangle_{g_{Q}}+\langle\Psi, X \cdot \Phi\rangle_{g_{Q}}=0  \tag{8}\\
\nabla_{Y}(X \cdot \Psi)=\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right) \cdot \Psi+X \cdot \nabla_{Y} \Psi \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is a metric covariant derivation on $S(\mathcal{F})$. Let $\left\{E_{a}\right\}$ be a local orthonormal basic frame of $Q$. We now define a canonical section $\mathcal{R}^{\nabla}$ of $\operatorname{Hom}(S(\mathcal{F}), S(\mathcal{F}))$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}^{\nabla}(\Psi)=\sum_{a<b} E_{a} \cdot E_{b} \cdot R^{S}\left(E_{a}, E_{b}\right) \Psi, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{S}$ is the curvature tensor of $S(\mathcal{F})$. Then, on the foliated spinor bundle $S(\mathcal{F})$, we have [4, (4.3) and (4.4)]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{a} E_{a} \cdot R^{S}\left(X, E_{a}\right) \Psi=-\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\nabla}(X) \cdot \Psi  \tag{11}\\
\mathcal{R}^{\nabla}=\frac{1}{4} \sigma^{\nabla} \mathrm{id} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma Q$. The transversal Dirac operator $D_{\text {tr }}$ acting on sections of $S(\mathcal{F})$ is locally defined [3, 4] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi=\sum_{a} E_{a} \cdot \nabla_{E_{a}} \Psi-\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the Clifford product $\omega \cdot \Psi$ of a 1-form $\omega \in Q^{*}$ and a foliated spinor field $\Psi$ is defined by $\omega \cdot \Psi \equiv \omega^{\sharp} \cdot \Psi$, where $\omega^{\sharp}$ is the $g_{Q}$-dual vector field of $\omega$. Then it is well known that $D_{\text {tr }}$ is formally self-adjoint. Now we define the subspace $\Gamma_{B}(S(\mathcal{F}))$ of basic or holonomy invariant sections of $S(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$
\Gamma_{B}(S(\mathcal{F}))=\left\{\Psi \in \Gamma S(\mathcal{F}) ; \nabla_{X} \Psi=0 \quad \text { for } X \in \Gamma L\right\}
$$

Trivially, we see that $D_{\text {tr }}$ leaves $\Gamma_{B}(S(\mathcal{F}))$ invariant. Let $D_{B}=\left.D_{\mathrm{tr}}\right|_{\Gamma_{B}(S(\mathcal{F}))}: \Gamma_{B}(S(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma_{B}(S(\mathcal{F}))$. This operator $D_{B}$ is called the basic Dirac operator on (smooth) basic sections.

Theorem 2.1 ([3, 4]). On a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ with $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=0$, the Lichnerowicz type formula is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{tr}}^{2} \Psi=\nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}^{*} \nabla_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi+\frac{1}{4} K_{\sigma}^{\nabla} \Psi, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\sigma}^{\nabla}=\sigma^{\nabla}+\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}^{*} \nabla_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi=-\sum_{a} \nabla_{E_{a}, E_{a}}^{2} \Psi+\nabla_{\kappa_{B}^{\sharp}} \Psi \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\nabla_{X, Y}^{2}=\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y}-\nabla_{\nabla_{X}^{M}}$ for all $X, Y \in Г T M$.
Now, we consider, for any real basic function $u$ on $M$, the transversally conformal metric $\bar{g}_{Q}=e^{2 u} g_{Q}$. Let $\bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$ be the foliated spinor bundles associated with $\bar{g}_{Q}$. For any section $\Psi$ of $S(\mathcal{F})$, we write $\bar{\Psi} \equiv I_{u} \Psi$, where $I_{u}: S(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$ is an isometry. Then, for any $\Phi$, $\Psi \in \Gamma S(\mathcal{F})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\Phi, \Psi\rangle_{g_{Q}}=\langle\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Psi}\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Clifford multiplication in $\bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{X} \cdot \bar{\Psi}=\overline{X \cdot \Psi} \quad \text { for } X \in \Gamma Q . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connections $\nabla$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ acting respectively on the sections of $S(\mathcal{F})$ and $\bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$ are related, for any vector field $X$ and any spinor field $\Psi$, in [6] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\Psi}=\overline{\nabla_{X} \Psi}-\frac{1}{2} \overline{\pi(X) \cdot d_{B} u \cdot \Psi}-\frac{1}{2} g_{Q}\left(d_{B} u, \pi(X)\right) \bar{\Psi} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{D}_{\text {tr }}$ be the transversal Dirac operator associated with the metric $\bar{g}_{Q}$ and acting on the sections of the foliated spinor bundles $\bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$. Let $\left\{\bar{E}_{a}\right\}$ be a local frame of $\bar{P}_{S O}(\mathcal{F})$. Then $\bar{D}_{\text {tr }}$ is locally expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}=\sum_{a} \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\Psi}-\frac{1}{2} P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div \bar{\Psi}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{\bar{g}}=e^{2 u} \kappa$ is the mean curvature form associated with $\bar{g}_{Q}$. It is easy to prove that $\bar{D}_{\text {tr }}$ is formally self-adjoint with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}$. Using (17), we have, for any $\Psi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}=e^{-u}\left(\overline{D_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi}+\frac{q-1}{2} \overline{d_{B} u \cdot \Psi}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any basic function $f$, the equality $D_{\operatorname{tr}}(f \Psi)=d_{B} f \cdot \Psi+f D_{t r} \Psi$ holds. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}(f \bar{\Psi})=e^{-u} \overline{d_{B} f \cdot \Psi}+f \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (20) and (21), we have the following proposition.
Proposition 2.2 ([6]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the transverse spin foliation of codimension $q$. Then the transverse Dirac operators $D_{\mathrm{tr}}$ and $\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(e^{-(q-1) u / 2} \bar{\Psi}\right)=e^{-(q+1) u / 2} \overline{D_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any spinor field $\Psi \in S(\mathcal{F})$.
From Proposition 2.2, if $D_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi=0$, then $\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Phi}=0$, where $\Phi=e^{-(q-1) u / 2} \Psi$, and conversely. Therefore the dimension of the space of the foliated harmonic spinors is transversally conformal invariant.

THEOREM 2.3 ([6]). On the transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ with $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=0$, we have the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{2} \bar{\Psi}=\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{*} \overline{\overline{t r}}^{\prime} \bar{\Psi}+\frac{1}{4} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}} \bar{\Psi} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\bar{\Psi} \in \bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{*} \bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}=-\sum_{a} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\Psi}+\bar{\nabla}_{\sum_{a} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\Psi}+\bar{\nabla}_{\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right)^{\sharp}} \bar{\Psi},  \tag{24}\\
K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}=\sigma^{\bar{\nabla}}+\left|\bar{\kappa}_{B}\right|^{2}+2(q-2) P \kappa_{\bar{g}}(u) . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

3. The proof of (4). Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}, S(\mathcal{F})\right)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$ satisfying $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=$ 0 . Now, for any basic functions $f$ and $g$, we define a new connection $\nabla^{f, g}$ on $S(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{f, g} \Psi=\nabla_{X} \Psi+f \pi(X) \cdot \Psi+g \kappa_{B} \cdot \pi(X) \cdot \Psi \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $X$ and any spinor field $\Psi$. By a direct calculation, from (26), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \Psi\right|^{2}= & \left|\nabla_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi\right|^{2}+q f^{2}|\Psi|^{2}+q g^{2}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}|\Psi|^{2}+g\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}|\Psi|^{2} \\
& -2 f \operatorname{Re}\left\langle D_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle_{g_{Q}}+2 g \operatorname{Re}\left\langle D_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi, \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi\right\rangle_{g_{Q}}-4 g \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\nabla_{\kappa_{B}^{\sharp}} \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle_{g_{Q}}, \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\Psi|^{2}=\langle\Psi, \Psi\rangle_{g_{Q}}$. Then we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q>1$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$ satisfying $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=0$. Assume that $\sigma^{\nabla}$ is nonnegative. Then any eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the basic Dirac operator $D_{B}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} \geq \frac{q}{4(q-1)} \inf _{M}\left(\sigma^{\nabla}+\frac{q+1}{q}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\nabla$ is metrical and $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=0$, we have

$$
\int_{M} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\nabla_{\kappa_{B}^{\sharp}} \Psi, \Psi\right\rangle_{g_{Q}}=0 .
$$

Hence if $D_{B} \Psi=\lambda \Psi$, from (14) and (27), we have

$$
\int_{M}\left|\nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \Psi\right|^{2}=\int_{M}\left(q f^{2}-2 \lambda f+\lambda^{2}+q\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2} g^{2}+\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2} g-\frac{1}{4} K_{\sigma}^{\nabla}\right)|\Psi|^{2}
$$

If we put $f=\lambda / q$ and $g=-1 / 2 q$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left|\nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \Psi\right|^{2}=\int_{M} \frac{q-1}{q}\left(\lambda^{2}-\frac{q}{4(q-1)}\left\{K_{\sigma}^{\nabla}+\frac{1}{q}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right\}\right)|\Psi|^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves (28).
Corollary 3.2. Under the assumptions in Theorem 3.1, if the transverse scalar curvature is zero, then we get the inequality

$$
\lambda^{2} \geq \frac{q+1}{4(q-1)} \inf _{M}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2} .
$$

Now we study the limiting case. We define $\operatorname{Ric}_{\nabla}^{f, g}: \Gamma Q \otimes S(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{\nabla}^{f, g}(X \otimes \Psi)=\sum E_{a} \cdot R^{f, g}\left(X, E_{a}\right) \Psi \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{f, g}$ is the curvature tensor with respect to $\nabla^{f, g}$. Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.3. For any vector field $X \in \Gamma Q$ and spinor field $\Psi \in \Gamma S(\mathcal{F})$, the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{\nabla}^{f, g}(X \otimes \Psi)= & -\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\nabla}(X) \Psi-q X(f) \Psi+2(q-1) f^{2} X \cdot \Psi-d_{B} f \cdot X \cdot \Psi \\
& +(q-2) X(g) \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi+(q-2) g \nabla_{X} \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi+2 q f g g_{Q}\left(X, \kappa_{B}\right) \Psi  \tag{31}\\
& +2(q-2) g^{2}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2} X \cdot \Psi-2(q-2) g^{2} g_{Q}\left(X, \kappa_{B}\right) \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi \\
& -d_{B} g \cdot \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot \Psi+2 f g \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot \Psi+g\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2} X \cdot \Psi
\end{align*}
$$

holds.

Proof. From (26), a direct calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{X}^{f, g} \nabla_{E_{a}}^{f, g} \Psi= & \nabla_{X} \nabla_{E_{a}} \Psi+X(f) E_{a} \cdot \Psi+f \nabla_{X} E_{a} \cdot \Psi+f E_{a} \cdot \nabla_{X} \Psi \\
& +X(g) \kappa_{B} \cdot E_{a} \cdot \Psi+g \nabla_{X} \kappa_{B} \cdot E_{a} \cdot \Psi+g \kappa_{B} \cdot \nabla_{X} E_{a} \cdot \Psi \\
& +g \kappa_{B} \cdot E_{a} \cdot \nabla_{X} \Psi+f X \cdot \nabla_{E_{a}} \Psi+f^{2} X \cdot E_{a} \cdot \Psi \\
& +f g X \cdot \kappa_{B} \cdot E_{a} \cdot \Psi+g \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot \nabla_{E_{a}} \Psi+f g \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot E_{a} \cdot \Psi \\
& +g^{2} \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot \kappa_{B} \cdot E_{a} \cdot \Psi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \cdot \kappa_{B} \cdot E_{a}-E_{a} \cdot \kappa_{B} \cdot X= & 2 \kappa_{B} \cdot E_{a} \cdot X+2 g_{Q}\left(X, E_{a}\right) \kappa_{B}-2 g_{Q}\left(X, \kappa_{B}\right) E_{a} \\
& +2 g_{Q}\left(E_{a}, \kappa_{B}\right) X
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{f, g}\left(X, E_{a}\right) \Psi= & R^{S}\left(X, E_{a}\right) \Psi+X(f) E_{a} \cdot \Psi-X(g) E_{a} \cdot \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi \\
& -2 X(g) g_{Q}\left(\kappa_{B}, E_{a}\right) \Psi-g E_{a} \cdot \nabla_{X} \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi-2 g g_{Q}\left(\nabla_{X} \kappa_{B}, E_{a}\right) \Psi \\
& -2 f^{2} E_{a} \cdot X \cdot \Psi-2 f^{2} g_{Q}\left(X, E_{a}\right) \Psi-2 f g g_{Q}\left(X, \kappa_{B}\right) E_{a} \cdot \Psi \\
& +2 f g g_{Q}\left(E_{a}, \kappa_{B}\right) X \cdot \Psi-2 g^{2}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2} E_{a} X \cdot \Psi \\
& -2 g^{2} g_{Q}\left(X, E_{a}\right)\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2} \Psi+2 g^{2} g_{Q}\left(X, \kappa_{B}\right) E_{a} \cdot \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi \\
& +4 g^{2} g_{Q}\left(X, \kappa_{B}\right) g_{Q}\left(E_{a}, \kappa_{B}\right) \Psi+2 g^{2} g_{Q}\left(E_{a}, \kappa_{B}\right) \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot \Psi \\
& -E_{a}(f) X \cdot \Psi-E_{a}(g) \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot \Psi-g \nabla_{E_{a}} \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot \Psi .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (11) and (30), we get the equality.
Hence we have the following theorem.
THEOREM 3.4. Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q>1$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$ satisfying $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=0$. Assume that $\sigma^{\nabla}$ is nonnegative. If there exists an eigenspinor field $\Psi_{1}$ of the basic Dirac operator $D_{B}$ for the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{2}=\frac{q}{4(q-1)} \inf _{M}\left(\sigma^{\nabla}+\frac{q+1}{q}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right), \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{F}$ is transversally Einsteinian with a positive constant transversal scalar curvature $\sigma^{\nabla}$ and $\kappa_{B}=0$.

Proof. Let $D_{B} \Psi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \Psi_{1}$ with

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}=\frac{q}{4(q-1)} \inf _{M}\left(\sigma^{\nabla}+\frac{q+1}{q}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

From (29), we see $\nabla_{\text {tr }}^{f_{1}, g_{1}} \Psi_{1}=0$, where $f_{1}=\lambda_{1} / q$ and $g_{1}=-1 / 2 q$. Hence, from (26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \Psi_{1}=-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{q} X \cdot \Psi_{1}+\frac{1}{2 q} \kappa_{B} \cdot X \cdot \Psi_{1} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (33), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a} E_{a} \cdot \nabla_{E_{a}} \Psi_{1} & =-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{q} \sum_{a} E_{a} \cdot E_{a} \cdot \Psi_{1}+\frac{1}{2 q} \sum_{a} E_{a} \cdot \kappa_{B} \cdot E_{a} \cdot \Psi_{1} \\
& =\lambda_{1} \Psi_{1}+\frac{q-2}{2 q} \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $D_{B} \Psi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \Psi_{1}$ implies $\kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi_{1}=0$, which means $\kappa_{B}=0$. If $\nabla_{X}^{f, g} \Psi=0$ for any $X \in \Gamma Q$, then $\operatorname{Ric}_{\nabla}^{f, g}=0$. Since $\kappa_{B}=0$, from (31), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\nabla}(X) \cdot \Psi=\frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}} \lambda_{1}^{2} X \cdot \Psi . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that $\mathcal{F}$ is transversally Einsteinian with a constant transversal scalar curvature $\sigma^{\nabla}=(4(q-1) / q) \lambda_{1}^{2}$.
4. The proof of (5). Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}, S(\mathcal{F})\right.$ ) be a compact Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$ satisfying $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=$ 0 . In this section, we estimate the eigenvalues of the basic Dirac operator by a transversally conformal change of the metric. Now, we consider, for any real basic function $u$ on $M$, the transversally conformal metric $\bar{g}_{Q}=e^{2 u} g_{Q}$. Let $\bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$ be its corresponding spinor bundle. For any basic functions $f$ and $g$, we define the modified connection $\bar{\nabla}^{f, g}$ on $\bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}=\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\Psi}+f \pi(X) \div \bar{\Psi}+g(P \kappa \bar{g}) \cdot \pi(X)^{`} \bar{\Psi} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $X$ and any spinor field $\Psi$ on $M$.
Lemma 4.1. Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$. Then, for any basic-harmonic 1-form $\omega \in \Omega_{B}^{1}(\mathcal{F})$, the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(f \omega^{\overline{ }} \bar{\Psi}\right)= & -f \omega^{\overline{ }} \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}-2 f \bar{\nabla}_{\omega} \bar{\Psi}-(q+2) f \omega(u) \bar{\Psi} \\
& -2 f \overline{\omega \cdot d_{B} u \cdot \Psi}+\overline{d_{B} f \cdot \omega \cdot \Psi} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

holds, where $f$ is a basic function.
Proof. Note that, for any basic function $f$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{tr}}(f \omega \cdot \Psi)=-f \omega \cdot D_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi-2 f \nabla_{\omega} \Psi+d_{B} f \cdot \omega \cdot \Psi \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (20), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}(f \omega \cdot \bar{\Psi}) & =e^{-u} \overline{d_{B} e^{u} \cdot f \omega \cdot \Psi}+e^{u} \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\overline{f \omega \cdot \Psi}) \\
& =f \overline{d_{B} u \cdot \omega \cdot \Psi}+\overline{D_{\mathrm{tr}}(f \omega \cdot \Psi)}+\frac{q-1}{2} \overline{d_{B} u \cdot f \omega \cdot \Psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (18), (20) and (37), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(f \omega^{:} \bar{\Psi}\right)= & -f \bar{\omega}^{\bar{\prime}} \overline{D_{\mathrm{tr}} \Psi}-2 f \overline{\nabla_{\omega} \Psi}+\overline{d_{B} f \cdot \omega \cdot \Psi}+\frac{q+1}{2} f \overline{d_{B} u \cdot \omega \cdot \Psi} \\
= & -f \omega^{\overline{ }} \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}-2 f \bar{\nabla}_{\omega} \bar{\Psi}+\frac{q-3}{2} f \overline{\omega \cdot d_{B} u \cdot \Psi} \\
& +\frac{q+1}{2} f \overline{d_{B} u \cdot \omega \cdot \Psi}+\overline{d_{B} f \cdot \omega \cdot \Psi}-f \omega(u) \bar{\Psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (36).
Let $\mathcal{K}=\left\{u \in \Omega_{B}^{0}(\mathcal{F}) ; \kappa(u)=0\right\}$. Then we have the following corollary.
Corollary 4.2. Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$. For some transversally conformal metric $\bar{g}_{Q}=$ $e^{2 u} g_{Q}$ for $u \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(e^{-2 u} \kappa_{B} \bar{\square} \bar{\Psi}\right)=-e^{-2 u} \kappa_{B^{\prime}}^{\bar{D}} \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}+2 \bar{\nabla}_{\kappa_{B}^{\sharp}} \bar{\Psi}\right) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a long calculation, we have, for any basic functions $f$ and $g$ on $M$, and for any spinor field $\Psi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}= & \left|\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}+q f^{2}|\bar{\Psi}|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}+q g^{2}\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|{ }_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}|\bar{\Psi}|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}+g\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right| \frac{\bar{g}}{Q}^{2}|\bar{\Psi}|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2} \\
& -2 f\left\langle\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}-f \operatorname{Re}\left\langle P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}+2 g \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}, P \kappa_{\bar{g}}^{\bar{\prime}} \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}  \tag{39}\\
& -4 g \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right)^{\sharp}} \bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $D_{B} \Phi=\lambda \Phi$ for some nonzero $\Phi$. From (22), we have $\bar{D}_{\text {tr }} \bar{\Psi}=\lambda e^{-u} \bar{\Psi}$, where $\Psi=$ $e^{-(q-1) u / 2} \Phi$. Since $\langle X \cdot \Psi, \Psi\rangle_{g_{Q}}$ is pure imaginary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle P \kappa_{\bar{g}}-\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}, P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \mp \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integration, the equation (39) together with (23) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M}\left|\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}= & \int_{M} e^{-2 u}\left(\lambda^{2}-2 f e^{u} \lambda-\frac{1}{4} e^{2 u} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}\right)|\bar{\Psi}|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2} \\
& +\int_{M}\left(q f^{2}+q g^{2}\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}+g\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}\right)|\bar{\Psi}|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}  \tag{41}\\
& -4 g \int_{M} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right)} \bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $u$ be in $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\kappa_{\bar{g}}=e^{2 u} \kappa$, from (38) and (40), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \int_{M} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{(P \kappa \bar{g})^{ \pm}} \bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}= & \int_{M} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(e^{-2 u} \kappa_{B} \bar{\Psi}\right), e^{4 u} \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}} \\
& +\int_{M} e^{2 u} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\kappa_{B} \overline{D_{\mathrm{tr}}} \bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}} \\
= & \int_{M} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle e^{-2 u} \kappa_{B} \bar{\Psi}, \overline{D_{\mathrm{tr}}}\left(e^{4 u} \bar{\Psi}\right)\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (20), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle e^{-2 u} \kappa_{B} \div \bar{\Psi}, \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(e^{4 u} \bar{\Psi}\right)\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}} & =\left\langle e^{2 u} \kappa_{B} \div \bar{\Psi}, \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}_{\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}}+4\left\langle e^{u} \kappa_{B} \div \bar{\Psi}, \overline{d_{B} u \cdot \Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}\right. \\
& =\left\langle e^{2 u} \kappa_{B} \div \bar{\Psi}, \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}+4\left\langle e^{2 u} \kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi, d_{B} u \cdot \Psi\right\rangle_{g_{Q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for any $u \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi, d_{B} u \cdot \Psi\right\rangle_{g_{Q}} & =\left\langle\kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi, d_{B} u \cdot \Psi\right\rangle_{g_{Q}}+{\overline{\left\langle\kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi, d_{B} u \cdot \Psi\right\rangle_{g_{Q}}}}=2 \kappa_{B}(u)|\Psi|^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence from (40), we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle e^{-2 u} \kappa_{B} \bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi}, \bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(e^{4 u} \bar{\Psi}\right)\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}=0,
$$

which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{M} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\bar{\nabla}_{(P \kappa \bar{g}}\right)^{\sharp} \bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}=0 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (41) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M}\left|\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}= & \int_{M} e^{-2 u}\left(q f^{2}-2 e^{u} \lambda f+\lambda^{2}\right)|\bar{\Psi}|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2} \\
& +\int_{M}\left(q\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2} g^{2}+\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2} g-\frac{1}{4} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}\right)|\bar{\Psi}|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

If we put $f=(\lambda / q) e^{-u}$ and $g=-1 / 2 q$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{M}\left|\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}=\frac{q-1}{q} \int_{M} e^{-2 u}\left(\lambda^{2}-\frac{q}{4(q-1)}\left\{e^{2 u} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}+\frac{1}{q}\left|\bar{\kappa}_{B}\right|^{2}\right\}\right)\right| \bar{\Psi}\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}} ^{2} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have the following theorem.
THEOREM 4.3. Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q \geq 2$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$ satisfying $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=0$. Assume that $K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}$ is nonnegative for some transversally conformal metric $\bar{g}_{Q}=e^{2 u} g_{Q}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} \geq \frac{q}{4(q-1)} \sup _{u \in \mathcal{K}} \inf _{M}\left(e^{2 u} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}+\frac{1}{q}\left|\bar{\kappa}_{B}\right|^{2}\right), \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\sigma}^{\overline{\overline{ }}}=\sigma^{\bar{\nabla}}+\left|\bar{\kappa}_{B}\right|^{2}$.
The transversal Ricci curvature $\rho^{\bar{\nabla}}$ of $\bar{g}_{Q}=e^{2 u} g_{Q}$ and the transversal scalar curvature $\sigma^{\bar{\nabla}}$ of $\bar{g}_{Q}$ are related to the transversal Ricci curvature $\rho^{\nabla}$ of $g_{Q}$ and the transversal scalar curvature $\sigma^{\nabla}$ of $g_{Q}$ by the following lemma (cf. [6, Lemma 4.3]).

Lemma 4.4. On a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$, we have, for any $X \in Q$,

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{2 u} \rho^{\bar{\nabla}}(X)= & \rho^{\nabla}(X)+(2-q) \nabla_{X} d_{B} u+(2-q)\left|d_{B} u\right|^{2} X+(q-2) X(u) d_{B} u  \tag{46}\\
& +\left\{\Delta_{B} u-\kappa_{B}(u)\right\} X,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 u} \sigma^{\bar{\nabla}}=\sigma^{\nabla}+(q-1)(2-q)\left|d_{B} u\right|^{2}+2(q-1)\left\{\Delta_{B} u-\kappa_{B}(u)\right\} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (47), we have

$$
e^{2 u} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}=\sigma^{\nabla}+\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}+2(q-1) \Delta_{B} u+(q-1)(2-q)\left|d_{B} u\right|^{2}-2 \kappa_{B}(u) .
$$

On the other hand, for $q \geq 3$, if we choose the positive function $h$ by $u=2 \ln h /(q-2)$, then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{B} u=\frac{2}{q-2}\left\{h^{-2}\left|d_{B} h\right|^{2}+h^{-1} \Delta_{B} h\right\},  \tag{48}\\
\left|d_{B} u\right|^{2}=\left(\frac{2}{q-2}\right)^{2} h^{-2}\left|d_{B} h\right|^{2} . \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 u} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}=h^{4 /(q-2)} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}=h^{-1} Y_{B} h+\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}-\frac{4}{q-2} h^{-1} \kappa_{B}(h), \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{B}$ is the basic Yamabe operator of $\mathcal{F}$ defined in [6]. If we choose $u$ in $\mathcal{K}$, then $\kappa_{B}(h)=$ $0=\kappa_{B}(u)$. From (50), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 u} K_{\sigma}^{\bar{\nabla}}=K_{\sigma}^{\nabla}+2(q-1) \Delta_{B} u=h^{-1} Y_{B} h+\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\sigma}^{\nabla}=\sigma^{\nabla}+\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}$. From (45), we have the following corollary.
Corollary 4.5. Under the same condition as in Theorem 4.3, we have

$$
\lambda^{2} \geq \begin{cases}\frac{q}{4(q-1)} \sup _{u \in \mathcal{K} M} \inf \left\{\sigma^{\nabla}+2(q-1) \Delta_{B} u\right. & \\ & \left.+(q-1)(2-q)\left|d_{B} u\right|^{2}+\frac{q+1}{q}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right\} \\ \frac{q}{4(q-1)} \sup _{h \in \mathcal{K} M} \inf \left\{h^{-1} Y_{B} h+\frac{q+1}{q}\left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right\} & \text { if } q \geq 3 .\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 4.6. Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q \geq 3$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$ satisfying $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=0$. Assume that $\sigma^{\nabla}$ is nonnegative. Then any eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the basic Dirac operator satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} \geq \frac{q}{4(q-1)}\left(\mu_{1}+\frac{q+1}{q} \inf \left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right), \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the basic Yamabe operator $Y_{B}$ of $\mathcal{F}$.
Now, we study the limiting case. We define $\operatorname{Ric}_{\bar{\nabla}}^{f, g}: \Gamma Q \otimes \bar{S}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bar{S}(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{\bar{\nabla}}^{f, g}(X \otimes \bar{\Psi})=\sum \bar{E}_{a} \overline{\bar{R}^{f, g}}\left(X, \bar{E}_{a}\right) \bar{\Psi}, \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{R}^{f, g}$ is the curvature tensor with respect to $\bar{\nabla}^{f, g}$. For $X \in \Gamma Q$ and $\Psi \in \Gamma S(\mathcal{F})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X}^{f, g} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}=\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\Psi}+f X^{-} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\Psi}+g P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \overline{ } X^{\vdots} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\Psi}+X(f) \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\Psi} \\
& +f \bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\Psi}+f \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\Psi}+f^{2} X^{-} \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\Psi} \\
& +f g P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div X^{-} \bar{E}_{a} \overline{\bar{\Psi}}+X(g) P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \overline{ } \overline{E_{a}} \bar{\Psi} \\
& +g \bar{\nabla}_{X} P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\Psi}+g P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div \bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\Psi} \\
& +g P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\Psi}+f g X=P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \overline{E_{a}} \bar{E}_{a} \cdot \bar{\Psi} \\
& +g^{2} P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\left\ulcorner X^{\mp} \cdot P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\square} \bar{E}_{a}\ulcorner\bar{\Psi} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}^{f, g}\left(X, \bar{E}_{a}\right) \bar{\Psi}= & \bar{R}^{S}\left(X, \bar{E}_{a}\right) \bar{\Psi}+X(f) \bar{E}_{a} \bar{\Psi}-2 f^{2} \bar{E}_{a}: X: \bar{\Psi}-2 f^{2} \bar{g}_{Q}\left(X, \bar{E}_{a}\right) \bar{\Psi} \\
& -2 f g \bar{g}_{Q}\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}, X\right) \bar{E}_{a} \bar{\Psi}-X(g) \bar{E}_{a} \div P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi}-\bar{E}_{a}(f) X: \bar{\Psi} \\
& -2 X(g) \bar{g}_{Q}\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}, \bar{E}_{a}\right) \bar{\Psi}-g \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\nabla}_{X} P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div \bar{\Psi}-2 g \bar{g}_{Q}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} P \kappa_{\bar{g}}, \bar{E}_{a}\right) \bar{\Psi} \\
& -2 g^{2}\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|^{2} \bar{E}_{a} \div X: \bar{\Psi}-2 g^{2}\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|^{2} \bar{g}_{Q}\left(X, \bar{E}_{a}\right) \bar{\Psi} \\
& +2 g^{2} \bar{g}_{Q}\left(X, P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right) \bar{E}_{a} \div P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi}+4 g^{2} \bar{g}_{Q}\left(X, P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right) \bar{g}_{Q}\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}, \bar{E}_{a}\right) \bar{\Psi} \\
& +2 g^{2} \bar{g}_{Q}\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}, \bar{E}_{a}\right) P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div X: \bar{\Psi}+2 f g \bar{g}_{Q}\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}, \bar{E}_{a}\right) X: \bar{\Psi} \\
& -\bar{E}_{a}(g) P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \overline{:} \cdot \bar{\Psi}-g \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div X: \bar{\Psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a simple calculation, we have, from (11) and (53),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ric}_{\bar{\nabla}}^{f, g}(X \otimes \bar{\Psi})=-\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\bar{\nabla}}(X): \bar{\Psi}-q X(f) \bar{\Psi}+2(q-1) f^{2} X: \bar{\Psi} \\
& +2 q f g \bar{g}_{Q}\left(P \kappa_{\bar{g}}, X\right) \bar{\Psi}+(q-2) X(g) P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \cdot \bar{\Psi} \\
& +(q-2) g \bar{\nabla}_{X} P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi}-\overline{d_{B} f} \cdot X^{-} \cdot \bar{\Psi}  \tag{54}\\
& +2(q-2) g^{2}\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|^{2} X^{-} \bar{\Psi} \\
& -2(q-2) g^{2} \bar{g}_{Q}\left(X, P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right) P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi}-2 f g P \kappa_{\bar{g}}{ }^{-} X^{\square} \bar{\Psi} \\
& -\overline{d_{B} g}=P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \overline{-} X^{\mp} \cdot \bar{\Psi}+g\left|P \kappa_{\bar{g}}\right|^{2} X^{\mp} \cdot \bar{\Psi} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 4.7. If a non-zero spinor field $\Psi$ satisfies $\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}=0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} \Psi= & -f e^{u} \pi(X) \cdot \Psi-g \kappa_{B} \cdot \pi(X) \cdot \Psi+\frac{1}{2} g_{Q}\left(d_{B} u, \pi(X)\right) \Psi  \tag{55}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \pi(X) \cdot d_{B} u \cdot \Psi .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}=0$. From (35), we have

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\Psi}+f \pi(X) \div \bar{\Psi}+g P \kappa_{\bar{g}}-\pi(X) \div \bar{\Psi}=0
$$

Hence, from (18), we have

$$
\overline{\nabla_{X} \Psi}-\frac{1}{2} \overline{\pi(X) \cdot d_{B} u \cdot \Psi}-\frac{1}{2} X(u) \bar{\Psi}+f e^{u} \overline{\pi(X) \cdot \Psi}+g \overline{\kappa_{B} \cdot \pi(X) \cdot \Psi}=0
$$

which proves (55).
Theorem 4.8. Let $\left(M, g_{M}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with a transverse spin foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension $q \geq 3$ and a bundle-like metric $g_{M}$ satisfying $\delta_{B} \kappa_{B}=0$. Assume that $\sigma^{\nabla}$ is nonnegative. If there exists an eigenspinor field $\Phi_{1}$ of the basic Dirac operator $D_{B}$ for the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}=\frac{q}{4(q-1)}\left(\mu_{1}+\frac{q+1}{q} \inf \left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right),
$$

then $\mathcal{F}$ is transversally Einsteinian with a positive constant transversal scalar curvature $\sigma^{\nabla}$ and $\kappa_{B}=0$.

Proof. Let $D_{B} \Phi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \Phi_{1}$ with

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}=\frac{q}{4(q-1)}\left(\mu_{1}+\frac{q+1}{q} \inf \left|\kappa_{B}\right|^{2}\right) .
$$

Let $\Psi=e^{-(q-1) u / 2} \Phi_{1}$. From (44), we see that $\bar{\nabla}_{\text {tr }}^{f_{1}, g_{1}} \bar{\Psi}=0$, where $f_{1}=\left(\lambda_{1} / q\right) e^{-u}$ and $g_{1}=-1 / 2 q$. Hence we have, from (35),

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\Psi}+f \bar{E}_{a} \bar{\Psi}+g P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \overline{E_{a}} \overline{\bar{E}_{a}}=0 .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\sum_{a} \bar{E}_{a} \div \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_{a}} \bar{\Psi}=q f \bar{\Psi}-(q-2) g P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \div \bar{\Psi},
$$

and then

$$
\bar{D}_{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\Psi}+\frac{1}{2} P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi}=q f \bar{\Psi}-(q-2) g P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi}
$$

Since $\bar{D}_{B} \bar{\Psi}=\lambda_{1} e^{-u} \bar{\Psi}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{1} e^{-u} \bar{\Psi}+\frac{1}{2} P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi}=\lambda_{1} e^{-u} \bar{\Psi}+\frac{q-2}{2 q} P \kappa_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Psi}
$$

Hence we have $\kappa_{B} \cdot \Psi=0$, which implies $\kappa_{B}=0$. If $\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{f, g} \bar{\Psi}=0$ for any $X \in \Gamma Q$, then $\operatorname{Ric}_{\bar{\nabla}}^{f, g}=0$. Let $X=\left(d_{B} f\right)^{\sharp}$. Then, from (54), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(-\frac{1}{2} \rho^{\bar{\nabla}}(X)+2(q-1) f^{2} X\right): \bar{\Psi}, \bar{\Psi}\right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{Q}}=(q-1)\left|d_{B} f\right|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2}|\bar{\Psi}|_{\bar{g}_{Q}}^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the left-hand side in the equation (56) is pure imaginary while the right-hand side in the equation (56) is real, and so both sides are all zero. That is, $d_{B} f=0$. So $u$ is constant. Also, we have, from (54),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\bar{\nabla}}(X)=4(q-1) f^{2} X \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma Q$. Since $u$ is constant, from (46), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\nabla}(X)=\frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}} \lambda_{1}^{2} X . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}$ is transversally Einsteinian with a constant transversal scalar curvature $\sigma^{\nabla}=$ $(4(q-1) / q) \lambda_{1}^{2}$.

REmARK 4.9. The existence of the bundle-like metric such that $\kappa$ is basic-harmonic is assured from [2, Theorem 4], [7, Theorem 2.10] and [8, Theorem 6.2]. So Theorems 3.4 and 4.8 imply that $\mathcal{F}$ is minimal, transversal Einsteinian.
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