



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### NGO participation in international lawmaking and democratic legitimacy

*The debate and its future*

Beijerman, M.

#### Publication date

2016

#### Document Version

Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

#### Citation for published version (APA):

Beijerman, M. (2016). *NGO participation in international lawmaking and democratic legitimacy: The debate and its future*.

#### General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

NGO Participation  
in International Lawmaking  
and  
Democratic Legitimacy:  
The Debate and its Future

Martine Beijerman



NGO participation in international lawmaking

and

democratic legitimacy:

The debate and its future

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor

aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam

op gezag van de Rector Magnificus

prof. dr. D.C. van den Boom

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,

in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel

op donderdag 12 mei 2016, te 12.00 uur

door

Martine Beijerman

geboren te Wageningen

## Promotiecommissie

Promotor: Prof. dr. J. d'Aspremont, Universiteit van Amsterdam, University of Manchester

Copromotor: Mr. dr. A. J. Nieuwenhuis, Universiteit van Amsterdam.

Overige leden: Prof. dr. L. F. M. Besselink, Universiteit van Amsterdam  
Prof. dr. P. A. Nollkaemper, Universiteit van Amsterdam.  
Dr. R. H. M. Pierik, Universiteit van Amsterdam  
Prof. dr. M. E. Glasius, Universiteit van Amsterdam, VU Amsterdam  
Prof. dr. N. J. Schrijver, Universiteit Leiden  
Prof. dr. S. Wheatley, Lancaster University

Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid

# Table of content

<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Part I Democratic legitimacy .....	11
<b>Part I – Preliminary remarks.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>1 Principles of democratic legitimacy .....</b>	<b>15</b>
1.1 Legitimacy.....	15
1.1.1 Accepting public authority .....	17
1.1.2 Reasons to accept public authority .....	21
1.2 Democratic legitimacy.....	25
1.2.1 Power.....	27
1.2.2 Principles of democratic legitimacy .....	30
1.3 Participation .....	35
1.3.1 Consent.....	37
1.3.2 Deliberation .....	41
1.3.3 Control .....	44
1.4 The distinctive relationship between democratic legitimacy, trust and distrust .....	46
<b>2 Democratic legitimacy – a call for a dual evaluation.....</b>	<b>49</b>
2.1 The necessary preconditions for democratic legitimacy .....	50
2.1.1 Institutional preconditions – a formal commitment to participation.....	51
2.1.2 Social preconditions – an informal commitment to participation.....	59
2.1.3 Complementarity of social and institutional preconditions .....	65
2.2 The operational aspects of democratic legitimacy .....	66
2.2.1 An introduction to general characteristics of lawmaking processes .....	68
2.2.2 Operational aspects of participation.....	71
2.2.3 Procedural norms .....	76
2.3 Democratic legitimacy’s critical potential .....	78
<b>Part I - Conclusions.....</b>	<b>81</b>
Part II The NGO democratic legitimacy thesis .....	85
<b>Part II – Preliminary remarks.....</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>3 The democratic deficits of international law.....</b>	<b>89</b>
3.1 Distinctive features of international lawmaking .....	91
3.2 Traditional doctrine of the democratic legitimacy of international law.....	93
3.2.1 The precondition of democratic states .....	95
3.2.2 International efforts to support nation-state democratization.....	96
3.2.3 International lawmaking covered by state consent.....	100
3.3 Developments in international lawmaking outside the scope of state consent .....	103
3.3.1 Regulatory autonomy of international organizations.....	105
3.3.2 Informal lawmaking .....	107

3.4 State consent - a discredited conception of democratic legitimacy .....	109
3.4.1 The waning autonomy of states .....	111
3.4.2 Deficient representation by state consent.....	114
3.4.3 A plea for bringing the individual back in.....	119
<b>4 NGOs participating in international lawmaking .....</b>	<b>125</b>
4.1 Terminology.....	125
4.2 Historical observations on NGO participation .....	127
4.3 Legal frameworks for NGO participation in international lawmaking .....	131
4.3.1 International legal personality?.....	132
4.3.2 Consultative relationship between the UN and NGOs.....	134
4.3.3 NGOs' participation in UN conferences .....	139
4.3.4 NGOs' status in other international organizations.....	142
4.4 Informal recognition of NGO participation in international lawmaking.....	144
4.5 The discretion of power holders concerning NGO participation.....	148
<b>5 The NGO democratic legitimacy debate .....</b>	<b>155</b>
5.1 Assumed contributions of NGOs .....	155
5.1.1 A voice.....	157
5.1.2 Knowledge .....	161
5.1.3 Social engagement .....	164
5.2 NGOs' merits from a procedural perspective .....	168
5.2.1 Deliberation .....	169
5.2.2 Control .....	172
5.3 Disputing the submission of international law to democratic legitimacy .....	176
5.3.1 The impossibility of international democratic legitimacy.....	177
5.3.2 The redundancy of international democratic legitimacy.....	178
5.3.3 The undesirability of NGOs' political engagement at the international level ..	180
5.4 General limitations of the NGO democratic legitimacy thesis.....	180
5.4.1 Substantive criticisms .....	181
5.4.2 Procedural limitations .....	184
5.5 Disputing accountability of and representation by NGOs .....	188
5.5.1 Disputed accountability.....	188
5.5.2 Disputed representation .....	191
5.6 Methodological vagueness towards democratic legitimacy.....	197
5.6.1 Democratic legitimacy as object of ambiguity .....	198
5.6.2 Causes of the variations .....	200
<b>Part II - Conclusions.....</b>	<b>209</b>
Part III The impasse of institutional preconditions and moving beyond.....	213
<b>Part III - Preliminary remarks .....</b>	<b>215</b>
<b>6 The impasse of institutional preconditions .....</b>	<b>217</b>
6.1 A fragmented approach to democratic legitimacy .....	220
6.1.1 A selective focus on NGOs' democratic legitimacy.....	221

6.1.2 A selective focus on specific democratic practices .....	234
6.2 The consequences of a lack of attention to institutional preconditions .....	238
6.2.1 An inadequate response to power holder's discretion on NGOs' participation.....	239
6.2.2 Sidestepping democratic agency.....	244
6.2.3 A disregard of the need for an all-encompassing political structure.....	247
6.2.4 The principles of democratic legitimacy compromised .....	249
6.3 The stalemate of international institutional preconditions .....	252
6.3.1 An introduction to two approaches to institutional preconditions .....	253
6.3.2 Limitations to current approaches to international institutional preconditions .....	259
6.4 Democratization instead of democratic legitimacy? .....	269
<b>7 Moving towards a change of the terms of the debate.....</b>	<b>275</b>
7.1 From democratic legitimacy to justification .....	277
7.2 A justification based on substantial quality and procedural quality.....	281
7.2.1 A functionalist approach .....	282
7.2.2 A procedural-rational approach .....	284
7.3 New terms to understand NGOs' contributions to international lawmaking .....	286
7.4 Limitations of quality justification: a 'second best' evaluation tool .....	291
7.5 A research agenda .....	296
7.5.1 Gaining insights in the politics of justifying international law .....	297
7.5.2 Finding ways to balance interests .....	300
7.5.3 Defining lawmakers' virtues .....	302
<b>Summary – NGO participation in international lawmaking and democratic legitimacy: The debate and its future .....</b>	<b>309</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>317</b>
<b>Samenvatting – De participatie van NGOs in internationale wetgevingsprocessen en democratische legitimiteit: Het debat nu en in de toekomst .....</b>	<b>381</b>

## Table of figures

Figure 1 - Democratic legitimacy's circularity .....	36
Figure 2 - Democratic legitimacy's dual evaluation .....	80
Figure 3 - A taxonomy of approaches to democratic legitimacy.....	207
Figure 4 - The principles of democratic legitimacy compromised.....	251