

Nociception and autonomic nervous system

P. Cortelli · G. Giannini · V. Favoni ·
S. Cevoli · G. Pierangeli

© Springer-Verlag Italia 2013

Abstract The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) defines pain as “an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such damage”. Pain may also be experienced in absence of noxious stimuli and together with temperature and other bodily feelings constitute the interoception redefined as the sense of the physiological condition of the entire body, not just the viscera. The main characteristic of these feelings is the affective aspect. Emotion, motivation, and consequent behavior connected with these feelings characterize their homeostatic role. This implies an interaction between neural structures involved in pain sensation and autonomic control. The aim of this review is to focus on pain perception, mainly on pain matrix structures’ connections with the autonomic nervous system.

Keywords Pain · Autonomic nervous system · Pain matrix · Central autonomic network

Introduction

Nociception, which is initiated by the activation of peripheral nociceptors, may be defined as the activity in the peripheral and central nervous system elicited by mechanical, thermal, or chemical stimuli having the potential to inflict tissue damage [1]. However, nociception is not

synonymous with pain, which is experienced as a conscious percept. Indeed, nociception can trigger brain responses without necessarily causing the feeling of pain [2–4]. On the other hand, pain can occur in the absence of nociceptive input [5].

Pain, temperature, and other bodily feelings are distinguished from touch for their inherent association with emotion. Pain belongs to the interoception and touch to exteroception and recent findings on the functional anatomy of the lamina I spinothalamocortical system indicate that interoception should be redefined as the sense of the physiological condition of the entire body, not just the viscera [6].

Neuroanatomy of pain perception

Afferent pathways

The major class of nociceptors are A δ and C small diameter afferent fibres that innervate all tissues of the body and reach mainly the lamina I, i.e., the most superficial layer of the spinal and trigeminal dorsal horn and the lamina V. The lamina I neurons arise from the progenitors of autonomic interneurons placed in the lateral horn and migrate in their superficial dorsal position during a ventromedial rotation of the entire dorsal horn, which occurs simultaneously with the arrival of the small diameter afferents [6, 7]. This course of development supports the view that the small-diameter afferents and lamina I constitute a cohesive homeostatic afferent system [6, 8, 9]. The ascending projections of lamina I project strongly to the sympathetic cell columns of the thoracolumbar spinal cord, thus forming a spino-spinal loop for somato-autonomic and viscera-autonomic reflexes [10, 11]. Next, they project to the main

P. Cortelli (✉) · G. Giannini · V. Favoni · S. Cevoli ·
G. Pierangeli

Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche e NeuroMotorie—DIBINEM,
Headache Unit, Ospedale Bellaria, IRCCS Istituto di Scienze
Neurologiche, Alma Mater Studiorum—Università di Bologna,
Via Altura, 3, 40139 Bologna, Italy
e-mail: pietro.cortelli@unibo.it

homeostatic integration sites in the brainstem. Pain-related information ascends in the contralateral spinothalamic tract, but there are also direct connections to the medulla and brainstem via the spinoreticular and spinomesencephalic tracts and to the hypothalamus via the spinohypothalamic tract [12]. Lamina I and caudal trigeminal nucleus project to the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) and then to parabrachial nucleus (PBN) that is the main integration site for all homeostatic afferent activity [8]. However, the integrative role of lamina I, NTS, and PBN in the homeostatic afferent pathway is clearly consistent with the dense projections of PBN to the periaqueductal gray (PAG), the “mesencephalic motor center” (discussed in the section “Descending pathways”), and to the hypothalamus “the diencephalic homeostatic motor center”. Afterwards, the integrated homeostatic afferent information reaches the posterior part of ventromedial nucleus and the ventral caudal part of the mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus and finally the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) considered as the limbic motor cortex and the insula considered as the limbic sensory cortex [8].

Cortical control of nociception: the pain matrix

In the last decades, a very large number of studies have aimed at better understanding how the cortex processes nociceptive stimuli and how the experience of pain may emerge from this processing. In humans, most of these studies have relied on non-invasive functional neuroimaging techniques to sample, directly or indirectly the neural activity triggered by various kinds of nociceptive stimuli showing that nociceptive stimuli elicit responses in several subcortical and cortical brain structures [13–21]. The responses in some of these structures are observed consistently across studies, and seem to be correlated with the perceived intensity of pain. Thus, they have been hypothesized to be preferentially involved in experiencing pain and structures such as the primary (SI) and secondary (SII) somatosensory, the cingulate and the insular cortices are often referred to as belonging to the so-called “pain matrix”, i.e., a network of cortical areas through which pain is generated from nociception [16–20].

In other words, nociceptive input would generate a conscious percept of pain through the activity it elicits in the network constituting the “pain matrix”, and, hence, measuring the activity within this network would constitute a direct and objective measure of the actual experience of pain [22]. The pain matrix is subdivided into medial and lateral pain systems; this distinction, which is based on the projection sites from medial or lateral thalamic structures to the cortex, is probably an oversimplification of the networks involved but it is a useful means for grouping brain regions that appear to have similar roles

in pain perception [12]. For instance, the lateral pain system (S1, S2) seems principally involved in discriminating the location and the intensity of painful stimuli [23, 24], whereas the ACC [25, 26] is involved in the affective (cognitive–evaluative) component of pain. The insula, however, encodes both the intensity [6, 12, 27] and the laterality [28, 29] of painful and non-painful thermal stimuli, but may also have a role in affective pain processing [30–33]. The insula is situated at the interface of the cognitive, homeostatic, and affective systems of the human brain, providing a link between stimulus-driven processing and brain regions involved in monitoring the internal milieu [34]. Like other feelings from the body, pain normally consists of both a sensation and a motivation. The cortical region associated with such motivation seems to be the ACC and it can be regarded as limbic motor cortex because of its association with autonomic and emotional control [6]. Thus, activation of ACC is associated with motivation, and activation of the insula is associated with feeling, which together form an emotion [6]. It is actually difficult to provide a unique and consensual definition of the “pain matrix” since each area belonging to the “pain matrix” is not only involved in the perception of pain, but also form an ensemble of interplaying parts that cannot be reduced to a mere cortical and subcortical “representation” of pain. Indeed, several studies have shown that the activity of the so-called “pain matrix” (1) can be clearly dissociated from the perception of pain intensity [4, 35–41], (2) is strongly influenced by factors independent of the intensity of the nociceptive stimulus [37, 39, 42, 43], and (3) can be evoked by non-nociceptive and non-painful stimuli [44–49]. Importantly, these experimental observations do not question the involvement of the cortical activity in the emergence of pain. Rather, they question the notion that the cortical activity involved in the generation of pain is necessarily and specifically reflected in the “pain matrix”.

Descending pain modulatory system

The descendent pain modulatory system regulate pain signalling in the nervous system and has a tonic regulatory influence from the brain to the spinal dorsal root level [12]. The effector structure of the amygdala nuclear complex is the central nucleus, which via its connections with the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, hypothalamus, and brainstem, initiates autonomic, endocrine, and motor outputs that are critical for the expression of emotional responses including conditioned fear in response to pain [50, 51]. The hypothalamus has a central role in the integration of autonomic and endocrine responses necessary for the homeostasis and adaptation to internal or external stimuli and it includes the posterior hypothalamic and lateral

hypothalamic areas involved in arousal, autonomic control, and pain modulation.

The next area of the nociceptive pathways is the PAG. The stimulation of this structure may provide long-term effective pain relief in selected patients [52, 53]. The PAG receives afferents from the central and from the peripheral nervous systems. The lateral and dorsolateral PAG columns receive somatotopically organized inputs from superficial nociceptors relayed by the superficial lamina of the spinal and spinal trigeminal nucleus. In contrast, the ventrolateral column receives convergent input from both the superficial and deep dorsal horn relaying nociceptive afferent information from visceral, muscle, and C-fiber skin nociceptors as well as visceral inputs from the nucleus of the NTS and sacral spinal cord. Functional neuroimaging studies in humans indicate that PAG activation by nociceptive inputs is modulated by attention, emotion, expectation of pain and expectation-related placebo analgesia [54–61]. Experimental studies using chemical microstimulation indicate that the different columns of the PAG organize different coping strategies to pain and other stressors [62–66]. The lateral and dorsolateral PAG initiate flight or fight responses associated with tachycardia, hypertension, and redistribution of blood flow, i.e., sympathoexcitatory responses mediated by neurons of the ventrolateral medulla (VLM), which activate sympathetic preganglionic neurons controlling cardiovascular effectors [65–68]. In contrast, neurons of the ventrolateral PAG column initiate sympathoinhibitory responses (hypotension and bradycardia) that are associated with immobility and hyporeactivity to the environment. The vasodepressor responses are mediated by neurons of the ventromedial medulla (VM) and nucleus raphe pallidus, which inhibit the sympathoexcitatory neurons of rostral VLM. PAG is a critical component of the pain modulation network that exerts a dual control, inhibitory or excitatory, on nociceptive transmission in the dorsal horn and trigeminal nucleus. The balance between inhibition and facilitation of nociception is dynamic and can be altered in different behavioral, emotional, and pathologic states [63, 69]. The PAG exerts its pain modulatory effects primarily via its descending projection to the rostral VM (RVM). The RVM exerts a bidirectional control on spinal nociceptive processing via two types of cells: off-cells and on-cells. Off-cells are activated by opioids and inhibit nociception; on-cells are inhibited by opioids and promote nociceptive responses.

Functional organization of autonomic nervous system

The autonomic nervous system is structurally and functionally positioned to interface between the internal and

external milieu, coordinating bodily functions to ensure homeostasis and adaptive responses to stress.

Afferent fibers consist of lightly myelinated A δ fibers and unmyelinated C-fibers conveying to visceral afferent neurons. Afferent neurons are located in vagal, glossopharyngeal, facial, and spinal ganglia. They project to NTS and then to PBN directly or via the preganglionic neurons in the dorsal horns.

Central control of the sympathetic and parasympathetic outputs involves several interconnected areas distributed throughout the neuraxis. This central autonomic network (CAN) has a critical role in moment to moment control of visceral function, homeostasis, and adaptation to internal or external challenges.

The functions of the CAN are organized in four hierarchical levels that are closely interconnected: spinal, bulbo-pontine, pontomesencephalic, and forebrain levels [70, 71].

The spinal level mediates segmental sympathetic and sacral parasympathetic reflexes and is engaged in stimulus-specific patterned responses under the influences of the other levels. The sympathetic output is critical for maintenance of arterial pressure, thermoregulation, and redistribution of regional blood flow during stress and exercise. The sympathetic output originates from preganglionic neurons located in the thoracolumbar spinal cord at the T1–L2 segments primarily in the intermediolateral cell column. The parasympathetic outputs are represented by a cranial component originating from the nuclei of III $^{\circ}$, VII $^{\circ}$, IX $^{\circ}$, X $^{\circ}$ cranial nerves and a sacral component originated from neurons located in the lateral gray matter at the S2–S4 segments.

The bulbo-pontine (lower brainstem) level is involved in reflex control of circulation, respiration, gastrointestinal function, and micturition. It includes the NTS and the ventrolateral reticular formation of the medulla and medullary raphe.

The pontomesencephalic (upper brainstem) level integrates autonomic control with pain modulation and integrated behavioral responses to stress. The pontomesencephalic areas controlling autonomic output are the PAG involved also in the coordination of the micturition reflex and control of respiration and the PBN involved in interoception, but also participating in the control of respiratory, cardiovascular and gastrointestinal functions.

The forebrain level includes the hypothalamus, which is involved in integrated control of autonomic and endocrine responses for homeostasis and adaptation and components of the anterior limbic circuit, including the insula, ACC, and amygdala, which are involved in integration of bodily sensation with emotional- and goal-related autonomic responses [72].

Nociception and CAN interactions

It is evident from the above description of the functional anatomy of pain perception and CAN that they largely correspond and that there is a strong integration of nociceptive and visceral information at the levels of the dorsal horn, NTS, PBN, A1/C1 groups, thalamus, hypothalamus, amygdala, insular cortex and between lamina I neurons (originating from the progenitors of autonomic interneurons) and the sympathetic cell columns of the thoracolumbar segments [6, 51].

Spinal and trigeminal nociceptive pathways provide collaterals that converge at every level with projections of the brainstem visceral pathways. The NTS receives taste, oesophageal, gastric, intestinal, and respiratory afferents but it also receive nociceptive information from the dorsal horn and the trigeminal nucleus caudalis (TNC). Some NTS neurons respond to both nociceptive and visceral input. Trigeminal and spinal inputs to different columns of the PAG elicit specific autonomic, motor, and pain modulatory responses determined by the nature of the pain stimulus and the subjective reaction to it (behavior). The PBN receives most of the ascending NTS projection, but also converging nociceptive and viscerosensitive input from lamina I. The PBN projects to the majority of the CAN structures including the central nucleus of the amygdala, thalamus, hypothalamus, PAG, and medulla [51]. The superior salivatory nucleus is activated by medullary reflexes via the TNC and NTS and by descending hypothalamic influences triggered by environmental stimuli. The thalamic areas receiving visceral inputs from the PBN is adjacent to that receiving nociceptive and thermoceptive spinothalamic and trigemino-thalamic pathways. The posterior hypothalamus (Hypocr/Orex neurons) and the paraventricular nucleus are sites of integration of trigeminal, visceral, environmental, and circadian information and are involved in the integration of autonomic, antinociceptive, and pain modulatory responses [73]. The insula is the primary viscerosensory cortex and is also the primary cortical area receiving pain and temperature informations.

In summary, the activity of the cortical and subcortical areas classically observed in response to nociceptive stimuli constitutes a network involved in detecting salient sensory events in order to prioritize their access to attentional and executive functions. Through biasing operations, the main function of the proposed salience detection system [74] would be thus to facilitate the processing of behaviorally significant (e.g., potentially threatening) sensory input and to select the appropriate response, regardless of whether this input is conveyed through nociceptive pathways. This view does not imply that the cortical processing underlying the salience detection system does not

contribute to the experience of pain. On the contrary, it highlights the fact that such a system subtends one of the most important functions of the nociceptive system, namely the ability to detect salient changes and, possibly, to integrate them into a peripersonal representation of our body. In other words, the salience detection system would represent a network by which we react to a wasp when viewing the wasp approaching the hand, but even before being stung by it [74].

Conflict of interest The authors certify that there is no actual or potential conflict of interest in relation to this article.

References

- Sherrington CS (1906) Observations on the scratch-reflex in the spinal dog. *J Physiol* 34(1–2):1–50
- Baumgärtner U, Tiede W, Treede RD, Craig AD (2006) Laser-evoked potentials are graded and somatotopically organized anteroposteriorly in the operculoinsular cortex of anesthetized monkeys. *J Neurophysiol* 96:2802–2808
- Hofbauer RK, Fiset P, Plourde G, Backman SB, Bushnell MC (2004) Dose-dependent effects of propofol on the central processing of thermal pain. *Anesthesiology* 100:386–394
- Lee MC, Mouraux A, Iannetti GD (2009) Characterizing the cortical activity through which pain emerges from nociception. *J Neurosci* 29:7909–7916
- Nikolajsen L, Jensen TS (2006) Phantom limb. In: McMahon SB, Koltzenburg M (eds) *Textbook of pain*, 5th edn. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, pp 961–971
- Craig AD (2002) How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3:655–666
- Altman J, Bayer SA (1984) The development of the rat spinal cord. *Adv Anat Embryol Cell Biol* 85:1164
- Craig AD (2003) Pain mechanisms: labeled lines versus convergence in central processing. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 26:1–30
- Precht JC, Powley TL (1990) B-afferents: a fundamental division of the nervous system mediating homeostasis. *Behav Brain Sci* 13:289–332
- Sato A, Schmidt RF (1973) Somatosympathetic reflexes: afferent fibers, central pathways, discharge characteristics. *Physiol Rev* 53(4):916–947
- Craig AD (1995) Distribution of brainstem projections from spinal lamina I neurons in the cat and the monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 361(2):225–248
- Brooks J, Tracey I (2005) From nociception to pain perception: imaging the spinal and supraspinal pathways. *J Anat* 207:19–33
- Apkarian AV, Bushnell MC, Treede RD, Zubieta JK (2005) Human brain mechanisms of pain perception and regulation in health and disease. *Eur J Pain* 9:463–484
- Bushnell MC, Apkarian AV (2006) Representation of pain in the brain. In: McMahon SB, Koltzenburg M (eds) *Wall and Melzack's textbook of pain*, 5th edn. Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia, pp 107–124
- García-Larrea L, Frot M, Valeriani M (2003) Brain generators of laser-evoked potentials: from dipoles to functional significance. *Neurophysiol Clin* 33:279–292
- Ingvar M (1999) Pain and functional imaging. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 354:1347–1358
- Peyron R, Laurent B, García-Larrea L (2000) Functional imaging of brain responses to pain. A review and meta-analysis. *Neurophysiol Clin* 30:263–288

18. Porro CA (2003) Functional imaging and pain: behavior, perception, and modulation. *Neuroscientist* 9:354–369
19. Rainville P (2002) Brain mechanisms of pain affect and pain modulation. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 12:195–204
20. Tracey I, Mantyh PW (2007) The cerebral signature for pain perception and its modulation. *Neuron* 55:377–391
21. Treede RD, Kenshalo DR, Gracely RH, Jones AK (1999) The cortical representation of pain. *Pain* 79:105–111
22. Borsook D, Sava S, Becerra L (2010) The pain imaging revolution: advancing pain into the 21st century. *Neuroscientist* 16:171–185
23. Bushnell MC, Duncan GH, Hofbauer RK, Ha B, Chen JI, Carrier B (1999) Pain perception: is there a role for primary somatosensory cortex? *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96:7705–7709
24. Kanda M, Nagamine T, Ikeda A, Ohara S, Kunieda T, Fujiwara N, Yazawa S, Sawamoto N, Matsumoto R, Taki W, Shibasaki H (2000) Primary somatosensory cortex is actively involved in pain processing in human. *Brain Res* 853(2):282–289
25. Rainville P, Duncan GH, Price DD, Carrier B, Bushnell MC (1997) Pain affect encoded in human anterior cingulate but not somatosensory cortex. *Science* 277:968–971
26. Vogt BA, Berger GR, Derbyshire SW (2003) Structural and functional dichotomy of human midcingulate cortex. *Eur J Neurosci* 18(11):3134–3144
27. Coghill RC, Sang CN, Maisog JM, Iadarola MJ (1999) Pain intensity processing within the human brain: a bilateral, distributed mechanism. *J Neurophysiol* 82(4):1934–1943
28. Brooks JC, Nurmikko TJ, Bimson WE, Singh KD, Roberts N (2002) fMRI of thermal pain: effects of stimulus laterality and attention. *Neuroimage* 15(2):293–301
29. Bingel U, Quante M, Knab R, Bromm B, Weiller C, Büchel C (2003) Single trial fMRI reveals significant contralateral bias in responses to laser pain within thalamus and somatosensory cortices. *Neuroimage* 18(3):740–748
30. Craig AD (2003) A new view of pain as a homeostatic emotion. *Trends Neurosci* 26(6):303–307
31. Critchley HD, Wiens S, Rotshtein P, Ohman A, Dolan RJ (2004) Neural systems supporting interoceptive awareness. *Nat Neurosci* 7(2):189–195
32. Seymour B, O’Doherty JP, Dayan P, Koltzenburg M, Jones AK, Dolan RJ, Friston KJ, Frackowiak RS (2004) Temporal difference models describe higher-order learning in humans. *Nature* 429(6992):664–667
33. Singer T, Seymour B, O’Doherty J, Kaube H, Dolan RJ, Frith CD (2004) Empathy for pain involves the affective but not sensory components of pain. *Science* 303(5661):1157–1162
34. Craig AD (2009) How do you feel-now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 10(1):59–70
35. Clark JA, Brown CA, Jones AKP, El-Deredy W (2008) Dissociating nociceptive modulation by the duration of pain anticipation from unpredictability in the timing of pain. *Clin Neurophysiol* 119:2870–2878
36. Dillmann J, Miltner WH, Weiss T (2000) The influence of semantic priming on event-related potentials to painful laser-heat stimuli in humans. *Neurosci Lett* 284:53–56
37. Iannetti GD, Hughes NP, Lee MC, Mouraux A (2008) Determinants of laser-evoked EEG responses: pain perception or stimulus saliency? *J Neurophysiol* 100:815–828
38. Kulkarni D, Bentley DE, Elliott R, Youell P, Watson A, Derbyshire SWG, Frackowiak RSJ, Friston KJ, Jones AKP (2005) Attention to pain localization and unpleasantness discriminates the functions of the medial and lateral pain systems. *Eur J Neurosci* 21:3133–3142
39. Mouraux A, Guérit JM, Plaghki L (2004) Refractoriness cannot explain why C-fiber laser-evoked potentials are recorded only if concomitant A α -fiber activation is avoided. *Pain* 112:16–26
40. Mouraux A, Plaghki L (2007) Cortical interactions and integration of nociceptive and non-nociceptive somatosensory inputs in humans. *Neuroscience* 150:72–81
41. Seminowicz DA, Davis KD (2007) Interactions of pain intensity and cognitive load: the brain stays on task. *Cereb Cortex* 17:1412–1422
42. Hatem SM, Plaghki L, Mouraux A (2007) How response inhibition modulates nociceptive and non-nociceptive somatosensory brain-evoked potentials. *Clin Neurophysiol* 118:1503–1516
43. Legrain V, Perchet C, García-Larrea L (2009) Involuntary orienting of attention to pain. Neural and behavioral signatures. *J Neurophysiol* 102:2423–2434
44. Downar J, Crawley AP, Mikulis DJ, Davis KD (2000) A multi-modal cortical network for the detection of changes in the sensory environment. *Nat Neurosci* 3:277–283
45. Downar J, Mikulis DJ, Davis KD (2003) Neural correlates of the prolonged salience of painful stimulation. *Neuroimage* 20:1540–1551
46. Lui F, Duzzi D, Corradini M, Serafini M, Baraldi P, Porro CA (2008) Touch or pain? Spatio-temporal patterns of cortical fMRI activity following brief mechanical stimuli. *Pain* 138:362–374
47. Mouraux A, Diukova A, Lee MC, Wise RG, Iannetti GD (2011) A multisensory investigation of the functional significance of the “pain matrix”. *Neuroimage* 54(3):2237–2249
48. Mouraux A, Iannetti GD (2009) Nociceptive laser-evoked brain potentials do not reflect nociceptive-specific neural activity. *J Neurophysiol* 101:3258–3269
49. Tanaka E, Inui K, Kida Y, Miyazaki T, Takeshima Y, Kakigi R (2008) A transition from unimodal to multimodal activations in four sensory modalities in humans: an electrophysiological study. *BMC Neurosci* 9:116
50. Davis M, Wahlen PJ (2001) The amygdala: vigilance and emotion. *Mol Psychiatry* 6:13–34
51. Benarroch EE (2006) Pain-autonomic interactions. *Neurol Sci* 27:S130–S133
52. Pereira EA, Green AL, Nandi D, Aziz TZ (2007) Deep brain stimulation: indications and evidence. *Expert Rev Med Devices* 4:591–603
53. Owen SL, Green AL, Nandi DD, Bittar RG, Wang S, Aziz TZ (2007) Deep brain stimulation for neuropathic pain. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* 97:111–116
54. Parry DM, Macmillan FM, Koutsikou S, McMullan S, Lumb BM (2008) Separation of A- versus C-nociceptive inputs into spinal-brainstem circuits. *Neuroscience* 152:1076–1085
55. Tracey I, Ploghaus A, Gati JS, Clare S, Smith S, Menon RS, Matthews PM (2002) Imaging attentional modulation of pain in the periaqueductal gray in humans. *J Neurosci* 22:2748–2752
56. Valet M, Sprenger T, Boecker H, Willloch F, Rummey E, Conrad B, Erhard P, Tolle TR (2004) Distraction modulates connectivity of the cingulo-frontal cortex and the midbrain during pain: an fMRI analysis. *Pain* 109:399–408
57. Wiech K, Tracey I (2009) The influence of negative emotions on pain: behavioral effects and neural mechanisms. *Neuroimage* 47:987–994
58. Ploner M, Lee MC, Wiech K, Bingel U, Tracey I (2010) Prestimulus functional connectivity determines pain perception in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:355–360
59. Villemure C, Bushnell MC (2009) Mood influences supraspinal pain processing separately from attention. *J Neurosci* 29:705–715
60. Fairhurst M, Wiech K, Dunckley P, Tracey I (2007) Anticipatory brainstem activity predicts neural processing of pain in humans. *Pain* 128:101–110
61. Wager TD, Scott DJ, Zubieta JK (2007) Placebo effects on human mu-opioid activity during pain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:11056–11061

62. Carrive P (1993) The periaqueductal gray and defensive behavior: functional representation and neuronal organization. *Behav Brain Res* 58:27–47
63. Heinricher MM, Tavares I, Leith JL, Lumb BM (2009) Descending control of nociception: specificity, recruitment and plasticity. *Brain Res Rev* 60:214–225
64. Nashold BS Jr, Wilson WP, Slaughter DG (1969) Sensations evoked by stimulation in the midbrain of man. *J Neurosurg* 30:14–24
65. Keay KA, Bandler R (2001) Parallel circuits mediating distinct emotional coping reactions to different types of stress. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 25:669–678
66. Bandler R, Keay KA, Floyd N, Price J (2000) Central circuits mediating patterned autonomic activity during active vs. passive emotional coping. *Brain Res Bull* 53:95–104
67. de Menezes RC, Zaretsky DV, Fontes MA, DiMicco JA (2009) Cardiovascular and thermal responses evoked from the periaqueductal grey require neuronal activity in the hypothalamus. *J Physiol* 587:1201–1215
68. Hayward LF (2007) Midbrain modulation of the cardiac baroreflex involves excitation of lateral parabrachial neurons in the rat. *Brain Res* 1145:117–127
69. Keay KA, Bandler R (2002) Distinct central representations of inescapable and escapable pain: observations and speculation. *Exp Physiol* 87:275–279
70. Benarroch EE (1993) The central autonomic network: functional organization, dysfunction, and perspective. *Mayo Clin Proc* 68(10):988–1001
71. Benarroch EE (2012) Periaqueductal gray: an interface for behavioral control. *Neurology* 78(3):210–217
72. Benarroch EE (2012) Central autonomic control. In: Robertson D, Biaggioni I, Burnstock G, Low PA, Paton JFR (eds) *Primer on the Autonomic Nervous System*, 3rd edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 9–12
73. Kukkonen JP, Holmqvist T, Ammoun S, Akerman KE (2002) Functions of the orexinergic/hypocretinergic system. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 283(6):C1567–C1591
74. Legrain V, Iannetti GD, Plaghki L, Mouraux A (2011) The pain matrix reloaded: a salience detection system for the body. *Prog Neurobiol* 93(1):111–124