

## NODAL DOMAIN THEOREMS FOR GENERAL ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS<sup>1</sup>

KURT KREITH

1. **Introduction.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a formally selfadjoint elliptic operator defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \Lambda u \equiv - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \gamma u$$

for  $x$  in a sufficiently regular bounded domain  $G \subset R^n$ , and let  $\Lambda_\sigma$  be the selfadjoint realization defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \Lambda_\sigma v \equiv - \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) + \gamma v; \quad x \in G,$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \equiv \sum \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \cos(\nu, x_j) = -\sigma(x)v; \quad x \in \partial G,$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the exterior normal to  $G$  and  $\sigma(x)$  is a piecewise continuous function (which is allowed to take on the value  $+\infty$  to denote the boundary condition  $v = 0$ ). A well-known theorem of Courant [1] asserts that the nodal lines of the  $k$ th eigenfunction of  $\Lambda_\sigma$  divide  $G$  into at most  $k$  nodal domains. That is, if  $N$  is the number of nodal domains of the  $k$ th eigenfunction of  $\Lambda_\sigma$ , then  $N \leq k$ . (While Courant's Theorem is formulated for a somewhat narrower class of operators, his method of proof applies equally well to the class of operators defined by (1.1).)

From a slightly different point of view Courant's Theorem establishes an upper bound for the number of nodal domains of a solution of  $\Lambda u = 0$  in terms of the boundary behavior of  $u$  and the spectrum of a boundary value problem of the form (1.2). For if the domain  $G$  and the coefficients of  $\Lambda$  are sufficiently regular, then every solution of  $\Lambda u = 0$  determines a function

$$\sigma(x) = - \frac{1}{u(x)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x)$$

defined on  $\partial G$ . Thus every nontrivial solution of  $\Lambda u = 0$  becomes an eigenfunction of  $\Lambda_\sigma$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 0$ . In this

Received by the editors August 14, 1969 and, in revised form, August 27, 1969.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 3511, 3542.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by a grant of the National Science Foundation, NSF GP-11219.

context Courant's Theorem can be formulated as follows: if  $N$  denotes the number of nodal domains of  $u(x)$  and if  $\Lambda_\sigma$  has  $k$  nonpositive eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k = 0$ , then  $N \leq k$ .

As an immediate generalization of this result, consider a real valued function  $u(x)$  which is a solution of

$$(1.3) \quad Lu \equiv -\sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + cu = 0; \quad x \in G,$$

and satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \equiv \sum a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cos(\nu, x_j) = -s(x)u; \quad x \in \partial G.$$

If

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \sum (a_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0 && \text{for all } x \in G \text{ and all real} \\ & && \text{\textit{n}-tuples } (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n); \\ (ii) \quad & c(x) - \gamma(x) \geq 0 && \text{for all } x \in G; \\ (iii) \quad & s(x) \geq \sigma(x) && \text{for all } x \in \partial G, \end{aligned}$$

then the  $k$ th eigenvalue of  $L_s$  is at least as large as the  $k$ th eigenvalue of  $\Lambda_\sigma$ . Furthermore,  $u(x)$  is an eigenfunction of  $L_s$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $l = 0$ . If  $\Lambda_\sigma$  has  $k$  nonpositive eigenvalues, then  $L_s$  has at most  $k$  nonpositive eigenvalues and the number  $N$  of nodal domains of  $u(x)$  satisfies  $N \leq k$ .

Proceeding along similar lines, we shall extend Courant's nodal domain theorem to more general second order elliptic equations than (1.3). In particular, estimates for  $N$  will be given when  $L$  is nonlinear and nonselfadjoint. These estimates will be given in terms of the spectrum of a "smaller" selfadjoint linear operator of the form  $\Lambda_\sigma$ .

It is assumed throughout that the coefficients  $a_{ij}(x)$  and  $\alpha_{ij}(x)$  are of class  $C''$  and that  $c(x)$  and  $\gamma(x)$  are continuous. The domain  $G$  is to be bounded with a boundary which is piecewise of bounded curvature.

**2. Nonlinear equations.** Let  $L$  be a nonlinear elliptic operator defined by

$$(2.1) \quad Lu \equiv -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + c \left( x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) u,$$

and let  $u(x)$  be a solution of  $Lu = 0$  having  $N$  nodal domains in  $G$  and satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad \sum a_{ij} (\partial u / \partial x_i) \cos(\nu, x_j) + s(x)u = 0$$

for  $x \in \partial G$ . We shall obtain an upper estimate for  $N$  in terms of the operator  $\Lambda_v$  defined by (1.2) under the assumption that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(i) } \sum (a_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0 \\
 & \qquad \text{for all } x \in G \text{ and all real } n\text{-tuples } (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n); \\
 (2.3) \quad & \text{(ii) } c(x, u, \partial u / \partial x_i) \geq \gamma(x) \\
 & \qquad \text{for all } x \in G \text{ and all values of } u \text{ and } \partial u / \partial x_i; \\
 & \text{(iii) } s(x) \geq \sigma(x) \text{ for all } x \in \partial G.
 \end{aligned}$$

2.1. LEMMA. Let  $G_i$  be a nodal domain for  $u(x)$  and let  $\lambda_1^i$  denote the first eigenvalue of

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & \Lambda v = \lambda^i v; & x \in G_i, \\
 & v = 0; & x \in \partial G_i \cap G, \\
 & \partial v / \partial \nu + \sigma v = 0; & x \in \partial G_i \cap \partial G.
 \end{aligned}$$

If (2.3) is satisfied, then  $\lambda_1^i \leq 0$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\lambda_1^i > 0$  and that  $v_1^i(x)$  is the eigenfunction of (2.4) corresponding to  $\lambda_1^i$ . It is well known that  $\lambda_1^i$  is a strictly decreasing continuous function of  $G_i$  in the following sense: if  $G_i$  is enlarged along any part of  $\partial G_i$  where  $v_1^i(x) = 0$  and if the boundary condition  $v(x) = 0$  is imposed on the new boundary so obtained, then  $\lambda_1^i$  will be reduced continuously. Therefore it is possible to expand  $G_i$  along that portion of the boundary where  $v_1^i = 0$  and still retain the inequality  $\lambda_1^i > 0$  for the first eigenvalue of (2.4) in this slightly enlarged domain. The first eigenfunction of this perturbed problem yields a function  $w(x)$  which is positive in  $\bar{G}_i$ , satisfies  $\partial w / \partial \nu + \sigma w = 0$  on that part of  $\partial G$  where  $v_1^i(x) \neq 0$ , and satisfies  $\Lambda w - \delta w = 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

Since  $w(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \bar{G}_i$ , a direct calculation [2] yields the following generalized Picone identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \frac{u}{w} \left( w \sum_i a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - u \sum_i \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] \\
 & = u \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{u^2}{w} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
 (2.5) \quad & + \sum_{i,j} \left( a_{ij} - \alpha_{ij} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \\
 & + \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2) and the definition of  $w(x)$  it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \frac{u}{w} \left( w \sum_i a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - u \sum_i \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] \cong \left[ c \left( x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) - \gamma(x) + \delta \right] u^2.$$

Integrating over  $G_i$  and applying Green's Theorem yields

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\partial G_i \cap \partial G} (\sigma - s) u^2 dS \cong \int_G (c - \gamma + \delta) u^2 dx,$$

where the boundary integral in (2.7) is limited to that portion of  $\partial G_i \cap \partial G$  where  $s(x) < \infty$ . However, our hypotheses assure that the left side of (2.7) is nonpositive while the right side is positive, and this contradiction proves that  $\lambda_1^i \leq 0$ .

**2.2. THEOREM.** *Let  $u(x)$  be a solution of  $Lu = 0$  having  $N$  nodal domains in  $G$ . Let  $\Lambda_\sigma$  be defined by (1.2) and satisfy (2.3). If  $\Lambda_\sigma$  has  $k$  nonpositive eigenvalues, then  $N \leq k$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $N > k$  and that  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{k+1}$  are nodal domains of  $u(x)$ . By the lemma, the first eigenvalue of (2.4) satisfies  $\lambda_1^i \leq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k + 1$ . Therefore it is possible to choose a subdomain  $\tilde{G}_i \subset G_i$  such that  $\partial \tilde{G}_i \cap \partial G = \partial G_i \cap \partial G$  and such that the first eigenvalue of (2.4) in  $\tilde{G}_i$  satisfies  $\tilde{\lambda}_1^i = 0$ . It then follows from the original argument used by Courant [1, p. 393] that  $\Lambda_\sigma$  has at least  $k + 1$  nonpositive eigenvalues.

**REMARK.** If in Theorem 2.2  $u(x) = 0$  on  $\partial G$ , then condition (iii) of (2.3) is not required.

While Theorem 2.2 yields bounds for the number of nodal domains of any solution of  $Lu = 0$ , it does not assure the existence of any such solution. In connection with the question of existence, it is of interest to consider a special case of (2.1) where  $L$  is defined by

$$(2.8) \quad Lu \equiv - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + p(x, u)$$

and the principal part of  $L$  is denoted by  $K$ , so that

$$(2.9) \quad Ku \equiv - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

We assume that the  $a_{ij}$  and the domain  $G$  are sufficiently regular so that the selfadjoint operator  $K_s$  defined by (2.9) and the boundary condition

$$(2.10) \quad \sum a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cos(\nu, x_j) + su = 0$$

has an inverse defined by

$$K_s^{-1}f = - \int_G R_s(x, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $K_s^{-1}$  is a positive definite compact selfadjoint operator with eigenvalues  $\kappa_1^{-1} > \kappa_2^{-1} \geq \dots$ . Under these assumptions (2.8), (2.10) become equivalent to the nonlinear Hammerstein equation

$$u(x) = \int_G R_s(x, \xi) p[\xi, u(\xi)] d\xi.$$

Such equations were studied by Dolph [3] and shown to have a unique solution if there exist numbers  $\mu_{k-1}$  and  $\mu_k$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad \kappa_{k-1} < \mu_{k-1} \leq \frac{p(x, u_2) - p(x, u_1)}{u_2 - u_1} \leq \mu_k < \kappa_k$$

for all  $x \in G$  and all  $u_1, u_2$ . This fact leads to the following result.

**2.3. THEOREM.** *Let  $L$  be defined by (2.8) and suppose that  $p(x, u_2 - u_1) \leq p(x, u_2) - p(x, u_1)$ . Suppose further that (2.11) is satisfied so that  $L_s u = 0$  has a unique solution  $u(x)$ . If  $N$  denotes the number of nodal domains of  $u(x)$ , then  $N < k$ .*

**PROOF.** We shall apply Theorem 2.2 with  $\Lambda_\sigma$  replaced by  $K_s - \mu_k I$ . Since

$$p(x, u_2) - p(x, u_1) \geq p(x, u_2 - u_1)$$

we have

$$\mu_k \geq \frac{p(x, u_2) - p(x, u_1)}{u_2 - u_1} \geq \frac{p(x, u_2 - u_1)}{u_2 - u_1}$$

so that  $K_s - \mu_k I$  is "smaller" than  $L_s$  in the sense of (2.3). Since  $K_s - \mu_k I$  has less than  $k$  nonpositive eigenvalues, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $N < k$ .

**3. Nonselfadjoint equations.** In this section we shall consider a nonselfadjoint elliptic operator  $L$  defined by

$$(3.1) \quad Lu \equiv - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + cu,$$

and let  $u(x)$  denote a solution of the boundary value problem

$$(3.2) \quad Lu = 0; \quad x \in G, \quad u = 0; \quad x \in \partial G.$$

In order to obtain estimates for  $N$ , the number of nodal domains of  $u(x)$ , we shall consider a "smaller" selfadjoint operator  $\Lambda$  defined by

$$\Lambda v \equiv - \sum_{i,j=1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) + \gamma v; \quad x \in G.$$

The sense in which  $\Lambda$  is to be "smaller" than  $L$  is given by the conditions

$$(i) \quad \sum (a_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0$$

$$(3.3) \quad \text{for all } x \in G \text{ and all real } n\text{-tuples } (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n);$$

$$(ii) \quad c - \gamma - \sum_i \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x_i} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

3.1. LEMMA. Let  $G_i$  be a nodal domain for  $u(x)$  and let  $\lambda_1^i$  denote the first eigenvalue of

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta v = \lambda^i v; \quad x \in G_i, \quad v = 0; \quad x \in \partial G_i.$$

If (3.3) is satisfied, then  $\lambda_1^i \leq 0$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\lambda_1^i > 0$  and that  $v_1^i(x)$  is the eigenfunction of (3.4) corresponding to  $\lambda_1^i$ . Since  $\lambda_1^i$  is a continuous strictly decreasing function of the domain  $G_i$ , it is possible to expand  $G_i$  slightly and still retain the inequality  $\lambda_1^i > 0$  for the first eigenvalue of (3.4) in this enlarged domain. The first eigenfunction of this perturbed problem yields a function  $w(x)$  which is positive in  $\bar{G}_i$  and satisfies  $\Lambda w - \delta w = 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . However, a comparison theorem due to Swanson [4] asserts that under the conditions (3.3), every solution of  $\Lambda w - \delta w = 0$  must have a zero in  $\bar{G}_i$ , and this contradiction proves that  $\lambda_1^i \leq 0$ .

3.2. THEOREM. Let  $u(x)$  be a solution of

$$Lu = 0; \quad x \in G, \quad u = 0; \quad x \in \partial G,$$

having  $N$  nodal domains in  $G$ . Let  $\Lambda_\infty$  be defined by (3.4). If  $\Lambda_\infty$  has  $k$  nonpositive eigenvalues, then  $N \leq k$ .

PROOF. The proof is an exact analogy of the proof of Theorem 2.2, utilizing Lemma 3.1 in place of Lemma 2.1.

REMARK. Since an arbitrary homogeneous boundary condition in (3.4) would not decrease the number  $k$ , we could replace the condition  $v = 0$  by  $\partial v / \partial \nu + \sigma v = 0$ , for arbitrary  $\sigma(x)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methoden der mathematischen Physik*. Vol. 1, Springer, Berlin, 1931.

2. K. Kreith, *A generalized Picone identity*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) **45** (1968), 217-220. MR **40** #4601.
3. C. L. Dolph, *Nonlinear integral equations of the Hammerstein type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1949), 289-307. MR **11**, 367.
4. C. A. Swanson, *A comparison theorem for elliptic differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 611-616. MR **34** #1663.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616

