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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of using functions $g_{n}(x):=\exp \left(i \lambda_{n} x\right)$ to form biorthogonal expansions in the spaces $L^{p}(-\pi, \pi)$, for various values of $p$. The work of Paley and Wiener and of Levinson considered conditions of the form $\left|\lambda_{n}-n\right| \leqslant$ $\Delta(p)$ which insure that $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is part of a biorthogonal system and the resulting biorthogonal expansions are pointwise equiconvergent with ordinary Fourier series. Norm convergence is obtained for $p=2$. In this paper, rather than imposing an explicit growth condition, we assume that $\left\{\lambda_{n}-n\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence on $L^{p}(-\pi, \pi)$. Conditions are given insuring that $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ inherits both norm and pointwise convergence properties of ordinary Fourier series. Further, $\lambda_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ are shown to be the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of an unbounded operator $\Lambda$ which is closely related to a differential operator, $i \Lambda$ generates a strongly continuous group and $-\Lambda^{2}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup. Half-range expansions, involving $\cos \lambda_{n} x$ or $\sin \lambda_{n} x$ on $(0, \pi)$ are also shown to arise from linear operators which generate semigroups. Many of these results are obtained using the functional calculus for well-bounded operators.


1. Introduction. For $n$ an integer, let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of pairwise distinct complex numbers. For $-\pi \leqslant x \leqslant \pi$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(x)=e^{i \lambda_{n} x}, \quad \varphi_{n}(x)=e^{i n x} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for integrable functions $f, g$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \bar{g}(x) d x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ and $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$. For fixed $p$, assume there exists a sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ in $L^{q}\left(=L^{q}(-\pi, \pi)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{n}, h_{m}\right)=\delta_{n m} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $f$ in $L^{p}$, define the partial sum operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{S}_{N}(x ; f)=\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\left(f, h_{n}\right) g_{n}(x) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partial sum operator for ordinary Fourier series is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}(x ; f)=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \hat{f}_{n} \varphi_{n}(x), \quad \hat{f}_{n}=\left(f, \varphi_{n}\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The problem of nonharmonic Fourier series is to find conditions on $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ so that for some $p$, the dual sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ exists in $L^{q}$, and for all $f$ in $L^{p}$, the partial sum operators $\mathscr{S}_{N}(x ; f)$ have the same properties as the operators $S_{N}(x ; f)$, with respect to norm behavior, pointwise behavior, or both.

In this paper we shall consider these questions, subject to the basic assumption that the sequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}=\lambda_{n}-n, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a multiplier sequence on $L^{p}$ for some fixed but arbitrary $p, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$. This means that there is a bounded linear operator $\mathscr{M}: L^{p} \rightarrow L^{p}$ such that for each $f$ in $L^{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathscr{M} f)_{n}=\delta_{n} \hat{f}_{n} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another significant property of the sequences $\{n\}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ is that they contain the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the differential operator $\Lambda_{0}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{0} u=-i u^{\prime}, \quad\left(u^{\prime}=d u / d x\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with domain $\mathscr{D}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$ consisting of all absolutely continuous functions $u$ such that $u^{\prime}$ is in $L^{p}$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(-\pi)=u(\pi) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{0} \varphi_{n}=n \varphi_{n} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p=2$ the operator $\Lambda_{0}$ is selfadjoint. For $1<p<\infty$ the spectral theory of $\Lambda_{0}$ is embodied in the statement that for some complex number $\lambda$ in the resolvent set of $\Lambda_{0}$, the resolvent operator $R\left(\lambda, \Lambda_{0}\right)$ is well-bounded. See [2] for the definition and applications to differential operators. We shall give conditions under which there exists a linear operator $\Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda g_{n}=\lambda_{n} g_{n} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that the resolvent operator is well-bounded, $1<p<\infty$. This is then used to study the properties of half-range expansions, i.e., expansions on $L^{p}(0, \pi)$ (or on $L^{p}(-\pi, 0)$ ) using the sequence $\left\{\cos \lambda_{n} x\right\}$ or $\left\{\sin \lambda_{n} x\right\}$. In particular, we show that the operators associated with these expansions generate strongly continuous semigroups.

The study of nonharmonic Fourier series was initiated by Paley and Wiener [8] and by Levinson [7]. Paley and Wiener showed that for $p=2$ and $\lambda_{n}$ real, if $\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leqslant 1 / \pi^{2}$, then $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ exists and for any $f$ in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$, the partial sums $\mathscr{S}_{n}(x ; f)$ and $S_{n}(x ; f)$ have the same behavior with respect to pointwise convergence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[\mathscr{S}_{N}(x ; f)-S_{N}(x ; f)\right]=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on each closed subinterval interior to $(-\pi, \pi)$. With respect to convergence in the norm of $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$, Paley and Wiener also showed that $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is a Riesz basis: there exists a bounded and invertible linear operator $A$ on $L^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \varphi_{n}=g_{n} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ has the same norm convergence properties in $L^{2}$ as does $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$.

The above result on pointwise convergence was generalized by Levinson, who showed that if $1<p \leqslant 2$ and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leqslant L<(p-1) / 2 p \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ exists and for any $f$ in $L^{p}(-\pi, \pi)$ the partial sums $\mathscr{S}_{N}(x ; f)$ and $S_{N}(x ; f)$ are uniformly equiconvergent on closed intervals interior to $(-\pi, \pi)$. Levinson did not give any results on the norm convergence of $\mathscr{S}_{N}$.

The question of norm convergence was considered by Pollard in [10]. There it was shown that for $1<p<\infty$, if $r=2 p /|2-p|$ and if $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is in $l^{r}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}\right\|_{r}<(\ln 2) / \pi \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is a basis for $L^{p}$ and there exists a bounded invertible operator $A: L^{p} \rightarrow L^{p}$ such that (1.13) holds. If $p=2$ then $r=\infty$ and (1.15) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leqslant L<(\ln 2) / \pi . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result for $p=2$ had been obtained earlier by Duffin and Eachus [4].
All of these conditions on $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$, whether for pointwise convergence, norm convergence, or both, impose a limitation on $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ : in none of these conditions is $\left|\delta_{n}\right|$ allowed to be greater than $\frac{1}{4}$. Consider the example $\delta_{n}=\delta$ for all $n$, where $\delta$ is an arbitrary complex number. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(x)=e^{i \delta x} \varphi_{n}(x) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a simple matter to see that even if $\delta$ is selected so that none of the above conditions are satisfied, the resulting $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ satisfies all of the conclusions of the above theorems, and in fact more is true: the pointwise equiconvergence theorem holds in the larger class $L^{\prime}(-\pi, \pi)$, and $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is the set of eigenfunctions of an unbounded linear operator which generates a strongly continuous bounded group of transformations on $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$, and whose square generates a strongly continuous semigroup.

The conditions given by Paley and Wiener and by Pollard imply that $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence, and the same clearly holds for the above example. Thus the assumption that $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence contains all of the previous norm results, frees the theory from explicit growth conditions, and allows the association to each sequence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ of an unbounded linear operator whose spectral theory incorporates the norm properties of $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$. Further, if $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence and if $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is a basis for $L^{p}$ equivalent to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$, then pointwise equiconvergence is also obtained. Levinson's results are not included in this theory.

A survey of nonharmonic Fourier series is in [13] and other recent results on norm behavior can be found in [14, 15].

## 2. Norm convergence.

2.1. Definition. The sequences $\left\{g_{n}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent in $L^{p}$ if there exists a bounded linear operator $A: L^{p} \rightarrow L^{p}$, with bounded inverse, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \varphi_{n}=g_{n} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the definition applies for $p=1$, where $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ is not a basis. The invertibility of $A$ is sufficient for the existence of the dual sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ in $L^{q}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}=A^{-1 *} \varphi_{n} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.4. Lemma. If $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{S}_{N}=A S_{N} A^{-1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.2) and (2.3) we have $\left(f, h_{n}\right) g_{n}=A\left(A^{-1} f, \varphi_{n}\right) \varphi_{n}$.
2.6. Theorem. If $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ in $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$, then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathscr{S}_{N} f-f\right\|_{p}=0
$$

If $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ in $L^{1}$, then the arithmetic means of $\mathscr{S}_{N} f$ converge to $f$ in the norm of $L^{1}$.

Proof. We have $\mathscr{S}_{N}-I=A\left[S_{N}-I\right] A^{-1}$ and

$$
\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \mathscr{S}_{N}-I=A\left[\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} S_{n}-I\right] A^{-1}
$$

Thus $\mathscr{S}_{N}$ inherits the properties of $S_{N}$.
Let $X: L^{p} \rightarrow L^{p}$ be the linear operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X f)(x)=x f(x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\|X\|=\pi$.
2.8. Theorem. If $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence for some $L^{p}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and if $A$ is the linear operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i X)^{k} \mathscr{M}^{k}}{k!} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $A \varphi_{n}=g_{n}$. (It is not claimed that $A$ is invertible.)
Proof. If there exists an operator $A$ such that $A \varphi_{n}=g_{n}$, then for any trigonometric polynomial

$$
t(x)=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \hat{t}_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)
$$

we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A t=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \hat{t}_{n} g_{n} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $g_{n}(x)=\varphi_{n}(x) e^{i \delta_{n} x}$, so

$$
A t=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \hat{t}_{n} \varphi_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i x)^{k} \delta_{n}^{k}}{k!}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i x)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \delta_{n}^{k} \hat{t}_{n} \varphi_{n}
$$

Since $\mathscr{M}^{k} t=\sum_{-N}^{N} \delta_{n}^{k} \hat{t}_{n} \varphi_{n}$, we have

$$
A t=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i X)^{k} \mathscr{M}^{k}}{k!} t, \quad \text { and } \quad\|A t\| \leqslant e^{\pi\|\mathscr{M}\|}\|t\| .
$$

Since the trigonometric polynomials are dense in $L^{p}$ for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, the extension to all of $L^{p}$ of the operator defined by (2.10) is the operator defined in (2.9).
2.11. Theorem. If for some $p, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathscr{M}\|_{p}<(\ln 2) / \pi \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ in $L^{p}$.
Proof. It suffices to show that $\|A-I\|<1$. From (2.9),

$$
\|A-I\| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|X\|\left\|^{k}\right\| \mathscr{M} \|^{k}}{k!}=e^{\pi\|\cdot \mathcal{M}\|}-1 .
$$

Then (2.12) follows from the condition $e^{\pi\|\mathcal{M}\|}-1<1$.
This theorem contains the theorems of Duffin and Eachus and of Pollard. Using the Fredholm alternative to invert operators of the form $I-K$, where $K$ is compact, along with a representation of the dual sequence given by Levinson [7, Lemma 16.2], condition (1.15) of Pollard's theorem can be eliminated.
2.13. Theorem. Let $1<p<\infty, p \neq 2$, and let $r=2 p / 2-p \mid$. Then $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent if
(i) $\lambda_{n} \neq \lambda_{m}$ for $n \neq m$;
(ii) $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is in $l^{r}$.

The proof follows some preliminary material.
2.14. Lemma. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in a Banach space $\mathscr{B}$ and let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in a dual space $\mathscr{B}^{*}$ such that $\left(u_{n}, v_{m}\right)=\delta_{n m}$. Let $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\mathscr{B}$ such that
(1) $g_{n}=u_{n}$ except for $n$ in a finite set $S$;
(2) $\operatorname{det}\left(\left(g_{n}, v_{m}\right)\right)_{n, m}$ in $S \neq 0$.

Then there exists a bounded, invertible operator $A: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}$ such that $A u_{n}=g_{n}$.
Proof. For $f$ in $\mathscr{B}$ define an operator $K$ by

$$
K f=\sum_{n \in S}\left(f, v_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-g_{n}\right),
$$

and let $A=I-K$. Then $K$ is compact and $A u_{n}=g_{n}$ for all $n$. To show that $A$ is invertible it suffices to show (by the Fredholm alternative) that $A f=0$ implies $f=0$. We have $A f=0$ if and only if $f=K f$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{n \in S}\left(f, v_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-g_{n}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $m$ in $S$,

$$
\sum_{n \in S}\left(f, v_{n}\right)\left(g_{n}, v_{m}\right)=0 .
$$

From condition (2), ( $f, v_{n}$ ) $=0$ for all $n$ in $S$, and from (2.15), $f=0$.
For Levinson's representation of $h_{n}$, we need the Fourier transform $\mathscr{F}$ and its inverse $\mathscr{F}^{-1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathscr{F} f)(\lambda)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i \lambda x} d x \quad(f \text { defined on }(-\infty, \infty)) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathscr{F}^{-1} F\right)(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) e^{i \lambda x} d \lambda \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{n}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{-n}}\right) . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Questions of convergence will be considered below. For $1<p<\infty$, let $p^{-1}+q^{-1}$ $=1, s=\min (p, q), s^{-1}+t^{-1}=1 . L^{p}$ refers to the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$ and $L^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ refers to $(-\infty, \infty)$.
2.19. Theorem (Levinson [7; pp. 48-58]). Let $1<p<\infty$. Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leqslant L<(s-1) / 2 s \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the infinite product (2.18) converges to an entire function $G(\lambda)$ such that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(\lambda)=G(\lambda) /\left[\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right) G^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right] \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then
(i) $H_{n}$ is in $L^{s}(\mathbf{R})$ for $\lambda$ restricted to $\mathbf{R}$,
(ii) $\left(\mathscr{F}^{-1} H_{n}\right)(x)$ is in $L^{t}(\mathbf{R})$, and its support is contained in $(-\pi, \pi)$,
(iii) the dual sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}_{n}(x)=2 \pi\left(\mathscr{F}^{-1} H_{n}\right)(x), \quad-\pi<x<\pi \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i \lambda x} \bar{h}_{n}(x) d x=H_{n}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.24. Remarks. Levinson's theorems are stated for $1<p \leqslant 2$, but using the containment relations for $L^{p}$ spaces on finite intervals, the above extension of the range of $p$ holds. Also, what is denoted by $h_{n}$ in Levinson's work is $2 \pi \bar{h}_{n}$ in our notation.
2.25. Lemma. For a finite set $S$ of indices, let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}, n \in S$, be two sets of complex numbers such that no two numbers are the same. Then

$$
M:=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\lambda_{n}-\mu_{m}\right)^{-1}\right)_{n, m \in S} \neq 0
$$

Proof. Let $p(\lambda)=\Pi_{m \in S}\left(\lambda-\mu_{m}\right)$ and let $p_{i}(\lambda)=p(\lambda) /\left(\lambda-\mu_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}-\mu_{m}}=\frac{p_{m}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)}{p\left(\lambda_{n}\right)}, \quad\left(p\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \neq 0\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\left[\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} p\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right] M=\operatorname{det}\left(p_{m}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Now each $p_{m}$ is a polynomial of degree $|S|-1$, where $|S|$ is the cardinality of $S$, and all zeros of $p_{m}(\lambda)$ are accounted for by $\lambda=\mu_{i}$, where $i \in S, i \neq m$. Since $\lambda_{n} \neq \mu_{i}$, we have $M \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2.13. There exists a finite set $S$ of indices $n$ such that $\lambda_{n} \neq n$ for $n \in S,\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leqslant L<(s-1) / 2 s$, and

$$
\left(\sum_{n \notin S}\left|\delta_{n}\right|^{r}\right)^{1 / r}<(\ln 2) / \pi
$$

Let $\mu_{n}=m$ for $n \in S, \mu_{n}=\lambda_{n}$ for $n \notin S$, and let $u_{n}(x)=e^{i \mu_{n} x}$. Since $\left\{\mu_{n}-m\right\}$ satisfies Pollard's theorem (or Theorem 2.11), we see that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ denote the dual sequence. Since $\left\{\mu_{m}-n\right\}$ also satisfies Levinson's condition (2.20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i \lambda x_{\bar{v}}}(x) d x=H_{m}(\lambda) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ differ only for $n \in S$, to show that $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent it suffices to show that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left(g_{n}, v_{m}\right)\right)_{n, m \in S} \neq 0
$$

Using (2.26), this becomes

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(H_{m}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\right)_{n, m \in S} \neq 0
$$

Using (2.21), this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\prod_{n \in S} \frac{G\left(\lambda_{n}\right)}{G^{\prime}\left(\mu_{n}\right)}\right]\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\lambda_{n}-\mu_{m}\right)^{-1}\right)_{n, m \in S}\right] \neq 0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $G(\lambda)$ is formed with zeros at $\left\{\mu_{m}\right\}$, so $G\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \neq 0$ for $n \in S$. Since the set $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is disjoint from the set $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ for $n \in S$, the determinant in (2.27) is not zero.
2.28 Remark. The analogue of Theorem 2.13 for $p=2$ is that $\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leqslant L<(\ln 2) / \pi$ for $|n|$ sufficiently large, and, for the finitely many remaining $\lambda_{n}$ 's, that they are pairwise distinct.

For $p \neq 2$, Theorem 2.13 requires that $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. Using the theory of well-bounded operators, a general class of multipliers can be given for which $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ is not necessary. A special case will yield a proof of a theorem of Kadec [6]:

Theorem (Kadec). Let $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ be real and assume $\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leqslant L<\frac{1}{4}$. Then $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is a basis for $L^{2}$ equivalent to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$.

Some of the details of this theory are now presented.
2.29. Definition. An arc $C$ in the complex plane is admissible if it is simple, nonclosed and rectifiable:

Let $S$ denote the length of $C$ and let $\rho:[0, S] \rightarrow C$ denote the arc-length parameterization of $C$, with $b=\rho(S)$. A function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is said to be absolutely continuous on $C$ if $f \circ \rho$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, S]$, and for such functions $f$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\||f|\|_{C}=|f(b)|+\int_{C}|d f / d z||d z| . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.31. Definition (Ringrose [12, p. 634]). An operator $T$ on a Banach space is well-bounded on $C$ if there exists a constant $K>0$ such that if $p(z)$ is any
polynomial, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|p(T)\| \leqslant K\||p|\|_{C} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.33. Theorem [12, p. 636]. If $T$ is well-bounded on $C$, then for each absolutely continuous function $f$ on $C$, there is a bounded linear operator $f(T)$ such that the mapping $f \rightarrow f(T)$ is a homomorphism of $A C(C)$ into the algebra of bounded linear operators, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(T)\| \leqslant K\||f|\|_{C} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the underlying Banach space is reflexive, then there exists a family of projections $\{E(\lambda): \lambda \in C\}$ a spectral family for $T$, which can be used to express $f(T)$ as a modified Riemann-Stieltjes integral [3, Chapter 17]. See also [2, Proposition 2.3], where we see that the constant $K$ of (2.34) can be chosen to be $\sup \{\|E(\lambda)\|: \lambda \in C\}$.

For $\Delta>0$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\Delta}=\Delta T, \quad C_{\Delta}=\{\Delta z: z \in C\}, \quad E_{\Delta}(\lambda)=E(\lambda / \Delta), \quad \lambda \in C_{\Delta} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.36. Theorem. $T_{\Delta}$ is a well-bounded operator on $C_{\Delta}$ with spectral family $E_{\Delta}$, and for any function $f$ which is absolutely continuous on $C_{\Delta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(T_{\Delta}\right)\right\| \leqslant K\||f|\|_{C_{\Delta}} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\sup \left\{E_{\Delta}(\lambda): \lambda \in C_{\Delta}\right\}=\sup \{E(\lambda): \lambda \in C\} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of this theorem, see [1] for a general discussion of functions of well-bounded operators. We emphasize that the constant $K$ for $T_{\Delta}$ in (2.37) is computable from the spectral family for $T$.

Multiplier transforms which are well-bounded have been studied by D. J. Ralph [11].
2.39. Definition. A real sequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\},-\infty<n<\infty$, is piecewise monotone if $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is monotone for $|n|$ sufficiently large. A complex sequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ lying on an admissible arc $C$ is piecewise monotone if $\left\{\rho^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}\right)\right\}$ is piecewise monotone.

Note that the sense of monotonicity does not have to be the same for the two tails of $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$.
2.40. Theorem [11, Corollary 3.2.6]. If $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a piecewise monotone sequence on an admissible arc $C$, then $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence for $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$, and the associated multiplier transform $\mathscr{M}$ is well-bounded on $C$. Moreover, if $f$ is absolutely continuous on $C$, then for any $g$ in $L^{p}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(T) g)_{n}^{\hat{}}=f\left(\delta_{n}\right) \hat{g}_{n} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the next theorem depends upon the expression (due to Kadec [6]) of $1-e^{i \delta x}$ in the orthonormal system $\left\{1, \cos n x, \sin \left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) x\right\}$ for $n \geqslant 1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
1-e^{i \delta x}= & \left(1-\frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\pi \delta}\right)+\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} \delta \sin \pi \delta}{k^{2}-\delta^{2}} \cos k x  \tag{2.42}\\
& +i \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} \delta \cos \pi \delta}{\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-\delta^{2}} \sin \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) x
\end{align*}
$$

2.43. Theorem. Let $M$ be a well-bounded multiplier transform on some $L^{p}$, $1<p<\infty$, with multiplier sequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$. Then there exists $\Delta=\Delta(\mathscr{M}, p)>0$ such that if $\lambda_{n}=n+\Delta \delta_{n}$, then $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is a basis for $L^{p}$ equivalent to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a trigonometric polynomial in $L^{p}: f=\sum_{-N}^{N} \hat{f}_{n} \varphi_{n}$. For such $f$, $A f=\sum_{-N}^{N} \hat{f}_{n} g_{n}$ exists, and $B=I-A$ is defined:

$$
B f=\sum_{-N}^{N} \hat{f}_{n} \varphi_{n}\left[1-e^{i \Delta \delta_{n} x}\right] .
$$

Using (2.42) with $\delta=\Delta \delta_{n}$ and then interchanging the order of summation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B f= & \sum_{n=-N}^{N}\left(1-\frac{\sin \pi \Delta \delta_{n}}{\pi \Delta \delta_{n}}\right) \hat{f}_{n} \varphi_{n}  \tag{2.44}\\
& +\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \cos k x \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \frac{\Delta \delta_{n} \sin \pi \Delta \delta_{n}}{k^{2}-\left(\Delta \delta_{n}\right)^{2}} \hat{f_{n} \varphi_{n}} \\
& +\frac{i 2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \sin \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) x \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \frac{\Delta \delta_{n} \cos \pi \Delta \delta_{n}}{\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\Delta \delta_{n}\right)^{2}} \hat{f}_{n} \varphi_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Define functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\delta) & =1-\frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\pi \delta}, \quad \beta_{k}(\delta)=\frac{\delta \sin \pi \delta}{k^{2}-\delta^{2}} \\
\gamma_{k}(\delta) & =\frac{\delta \cos \pi \delta}{\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-\delta^{2}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

These functions are absolutely continuous on any admissible arc, and by Theorem 2.40, for any $f$ in $L^{p}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha\left(\mathscr{M}_{\Delta}\right) f=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha\left(\Delta \delta_{n}\right) \hat{f}_{n} \varphi_{n}, \quad \beta_{k}\left(\mathscr{M}_{\Delta}\right) f=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{k}\left(\Delta \delta_{n}\right) \hat{f}_{n} \varphi_{n} \\
\gamma_{k}\left(\mathscr{M}_{\Delta}\right) f=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{k}\left(\Delta \delta_{n}\right) \hat{f}_{n} \varphi_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, using the density in $L^{p}$ of the trigonometric polynomials, we see that the operators $A, B$ have continuous extensions to all of $L^{p}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B f= & \alpha\left(\mathscr{M}_{\Delta}\right) f+\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \cos k x \beta_{k}\left(\mathscr{M}_{\Delta}\right) f \\
& +\frac{2 i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \sin \left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) x \gamma_{k}\left(\mathscr{M}_{\Delta}\right) f
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K>0$ be selected as in (2.38), where $E(\lambda)$ is the spectral family of $\mathscr{M}$. Using the triangle inequality,

$$
\|B f\| \leqslant\|f\| K\left\{\||\alpha|\|_{C_{\Delta}}+\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\left|\beta_{k}\right|\right\|_{C_{\Delta}}+\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|\left|\gamma_{k}\right|\right\|_{C_{\Delta}}\right\}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\beta_{k}(\delta)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\delta / k^{2}\right), \quad \text { uniformly as } \delta \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty, \\
& \left|\beta_{k}^{\prime}(\delta)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\delta / k^{2}\right), \quad \text { also uniformly },
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\left\|\left|\beta_{k}\right|\right\|_{C_{\Delta}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta / k^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Using similar estimates for $\alpha, \gamma_{k}$, we see that for $\Delta$ sufficiently small, $\|B\|<1$, and then $A$ is invertible.

If $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is real, then more precision in estimating $\left\|\left|\beta_{k}\right|\right\|$, etc., can be obtained. For $|\delta| \leqslant L \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$ we see that $\operatorname{var} \beta_{k}=2 \beta_{k}(L)$ so that

$$
\left\|\left|\beta_{k}\right|\right\|_{[-L, L]}=3 \beta_{k}(L)
$$

and similarly for $\alpha, \gamma_{k}$. Thus

$$
\|B f\| \leqslant\|f\| 3 K\left\{1-\frac{\sin \pi \Delta L}{\pi \Delta L}+\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta L \sin \pi \Delta L}{k^{2}-(\Delta L)^{2}}+\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta L \cos \pi \Delta L}{\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-(\Delta L)^{2}}\right\}
$$

Again using Kadec [6], we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta 2 L}{\pi} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}-(\Delta L)^{2}} & =\frac{1}{\Delta \pi L}-\cot \pi \Delta L, \\
\frac{2 \Delta L}{\pi} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-(\Delta L)^{2}} & =\tan \pi \Delta L,
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|B f\| \leqslant\|f\| 3 K\left\{1-\frac{\sin \pi \Delta L}{\pi \Delta L}+\frac{\sin \pi \Delta L}{\pi \Delta L}-\cos \pi \Delta L+\sin \pi \Delta L\right\}, \\
\|B\| \leqslant 3 K[1-\cos \pi \Delta L+\sin \pi \Delta L] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then for $\Delta$ sufficiently small, $\|B\|<1$.
To say how small $\Delta$ should be, it is necessary to know $K$. Let $H$ denote the conjugate function mapping on $L^{p}$. For $1<p<\infty$, let $s=\min (p, q)$. Then [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H\|_{p}=\tan (\pi / 2 r) . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the representation of the spectral family of $\mathscr{M}$ [11, Theorem 3.2.4] we have:
2.46. Lemma. If $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is real and piecewise monotone, and if $m$ is the number of intervals (of integers) on which $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is monotone, then

$$
K \leqslant m[1+\tan \pi / 2 r] .
$$

Kadec's theorem was based on Parseval's equality. A spectral-theoretic proof can be given, since $\mathscr{M}$ is then selfadjoint and $\left\|\beta_{k}(\mathscr{M})\right\|=\sup \left(\left|\beta_{k}\left(\delta_{n}\right)\right|\right)$, etc.

## 3. Pointwise convergence.

3.1. Theorem. Let $p$ be fixed, $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. Assume $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence in $L^{p}$ and that $\left\{g_{n}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent. Then for each $f$ in $L^{p}$,

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[\mathscr{S}_{N}(x ; f)-S_{N}(x ; f)\right]=0
$$

uniformly on each interval $[-\pi+d, \pi-d], d>0$.
3.2. Remark. Note that this theorem includes the case $p=1$, even though $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ are not bases in $L^{1}$. Theorem 3.1 contains as a special case a result of Duffin and Schaeffer [5, §4] for $L^{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $\left\{g_{n}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent, we have $\mathscr{S}_{N}=A S_{N} A^{-1}$. Using the expression (2.9) for $A$, we have

$$
\mathscr{S}_{N}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{k} \frac{(i \mathscr{M})^{k}}{k!} S_{N} A^{-1},
$$

but since $\mathscr{M}$ and $S_{N}$ commute,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{S}_{N}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{k} S_{N} \frac{(i \mathscr{M})^{k}}{k!} A^{-1} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S_{N}=S_{N} A A^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{N} X^{k} \frac{(i \mathscr{M})^{k}}{k!} A^{-1}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\mathscr{S}_{N} f-S_{N} f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X^{k} S_{N}-S_{N} X^{k}\right) \frac{(i \mathscr{M})^{k}}{k!} A^{-1} f .
$$

Let $D_{N}$ denote the Dirichlet kernel

$$
D_{N}(x-t)=\frac{\sin \left(N+\frac{1}{2}\right)(x-t)}{2 \sin ((x-t) / 2)}
$$

For any function $g$ in $L^{p}$,

$$
\left(X^{k} S_{N}-S_{N} X^{k}\right) g(x)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_{N}(x-t)\left(x^{k}-t^{k}\right) g(t) d t
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{N}(x-t) & \left(x^{k}-t^{k}\right) \\
& =\sin \left(N+\frac{1}{2}\right)(x-t) \frac{x-t}{2 \sin (x-t) / 2}\left[x^{k-1}+x^{k-2} t+\cdots+t^{k-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $d>0$, there exists $K=K(d)>0$ such that if $|x| \leqslant \pi-d$, then

$$
\left|D_{N}(x-t)\left(x^{k}-t^{k}\right)\right| \leqslant K k \pi^{k}, \quad|x| \leqslant \pi-d,|t| \leqslant \pi
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\left(X^{k} S_{N}-S_{N} X^{k}\right) g(x)\right| \leqslant 2 \pi K k \pi^{k}\|g\|
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists $J=J(\varepsilon, f)$ such that

$$
\left|\sum_{k=J}^{\infty}\left(X^{k} S_{N}-S_{N} X^{k}\right) \frac{(i \mathscr{M})^{k}}{k!} A^{-1} f\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

for all $N,|x| \leqslant \pi-d$. For the finitely many remaining terms, it is easily seen that the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma holds uniformly in $x,|x| \leqslant \pi-d$, so for $N$ sufficiently large,

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{J-1}\left(X^{k} S_{N}-S_{N} X^{k}\right) \frac{(i \mathscr{M})^{k}}{k!} A^{-1} f\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

4. Eigenfunction expansions. In this section we assume $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence for $L^{p}$, for some $p, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and that the corresponding $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$. Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be the differential operator defined in (1.8), (1.9), and let $\Lambda$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=A\left(\Lambda_{0}+\mathscr{M}\right) A^{-1}, \quad \mathscr{D}(\Lambda)=A \mathscr{D}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2. Theorem. $\Lambda$ is a closed, densely defined operator on $L^{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda g_{n}=\lambda_{n} g_{n}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $i \Lambda$ is the infinitesimal generator of the uniformly bounded, strongly continuous group

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=A U_{0}(t) e^{i \mathscr{M} t} A^{-1}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{0}(t)$ is the translation group generated by $i \Lambda_{0}$.
Proof. This is a direct consequence of (4.1), noting that $\Lambda_{0}$ and $\mathscr{M}$ commute.
For the further study of $\Lambda$, let $1<p<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda I-\Lambda)^{-1} f=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(f, h_{n}\right) g_{n}, \quad f \in L^{p} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\}$ is in $l^{r}$ for all $r, 1<r<\infty$, it follows that $\left\{\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\}$ is a multiplier sequence in $L^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$. For $(\lambda I-\Lambda)^{-1}$ to be well-bounded, it suffices to have $\left\{\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\}$ piecewise monotone. If $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is real, this is the case if $\left|\delta_{n}\right| \leqslant L<1 / 2$.

### 4.6. Lemma. Let $\delta_{n}=\alpha_{n}+i \beta_{n}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{n}\right|<L<\frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta_{n}=\mathcal{O}(1) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n$ sufficiently large. Let $\lambda$ be a real number distinct from the $\lambda_{n}$. Then $\left\{\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right)^{-1}\right\}$ lies on an admissible arc $C$ and is piecewise monotone.

Proof. If suffices to show that $\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right)^{-1}\right\}$ is piecewise monotone and $\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right)^{-1}\right\}$ is of bounded variation, since then the arc formed by joining successive points $\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right)^{-1}$ with straight lines is admissible. A computation yields

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{n}-\frac{\alpha_{n}-\lambda}{n^{2}}+\frac{\gamma_{n}}{n^{3}}, \quad \gamma_{n}=\mathcal{O}(1)
$$

and then the difference of two successive ones is

$$
\frac{1}{n(n+1)}\left[1-\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n+1}\right)+\frac{\gamma_{n}}{n}\right] .
$$

For $|n|$ sufficiently large this is positive, since $\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n+1}<1$. Clearly $\operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda\right)^{-1}$ $=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-2}\right)$, so this sequence is of bounded variation.
4.8. Theorem. If $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ satisfies (4.7), then $R(\lambda, \Lambda)$ is well-bounded.

Proof. By the above lemma, $\left\{\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\}$ satisfies the conditions of [11, Corollary 3.2.6] (see also Theorem 2.40), so $R\left(\lambda, \Lambda_{0}+\mathscr{M}\right)$ is well-bounded. Well-boundedness is preserved by similarity transforms.

We have $\Lambda^{2}=A\left(\Lambda_{0}+\mathscr{M}\right)^{2} A^{-1}$ with domain $\mathscr{D}\left(\Lambda_{0}^{2}\right)$.
4.9. Theorem. If $\lambda$ does not coincide with any $\lambda_{n}^{2}$ and if (4.7) holds, then $R\left(\lambda, \Lambda^{2}\right)$ is well-bounded on $L^{p}, 1<p<\infty$.

The proof is similar to that for $\Lambda$.
4.10. Corollary. For $1<p<\infty,-\Lambda^{2}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup in $L^{p}$.

Proof. Since the admissible arc $C$ containing $\left\{\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\}$ enters the origin with bounded slope, the conditions of [2, Theorem 5.15] are satisfied. (See also [2, Lemma 5.48].)
5. Half-range expansions. Assuming the sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is odd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{-n}=-\lambda_{n}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider expansions for $0<x<\pi$ (or for $-\pi<x<0$ ) in $\left\{\cos \lambda_{n} x\right\}$, $n \geqslant 0$ and in $\left\{\sin \lambda_{n} x\right\}, n \geqslant 1$. We give conditions assuring that these functions are eigenfunctions of linear operators which generate strongly continuous semigroups in $L^{p}(0, \pi)$.

We assume throughout this section that (5.1) holds and $\left\{g_{n}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent in some space $L^{p}$.
5.2. Lemma. $g_{-n}(x)=g_{n}(-x), h_{-n}(x)=h_{n}(-x)$.

Proof. Since $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is given explicitly, this is an immediate consequence of (5.1). For $h_{n}$, let $m$ be fixed and let $w(x)=h_{m}(-x)$. Then for all $n$, and using the above property of $g_{n}$, we have $\left(g_{n}, w-h_{-m}\right)=0$ all $n, m$. Since $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ is complete we have $w=h_{-m}$.

For the remainder of this section we consider cosine expansions. Thus let

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{n}(x)=\left[g_{n}(x)+g_{-n}(x)\right] / 2, \quad H_{n}(x)=\left[h_{n}(x)+h_{-n}(x)\right] / 2,  \tag{5.3}\\
c_{n}(x)=\cos n x .
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}=A c_{n}, \quad H_{n}=A^{-1 *} c_{n} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an even function $f$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=f(x), \quad 0<x<\pi \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for two functions $u, v$ on $(0, \pi)$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} u(x) \bar{v}(x) d x \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.7. Lemma. Iff is an even function, then for $0<x<\pi$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f, h_{0}\right) g_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle F, H_{0}\right\rangle G_{0}, \\
\left(f, h_{n}\right) g_{n}+\left(f, h_{-n}\right) g_{-n}=\left\langle F, H_{n}\right\rangle G_{n},  \tag{5.8}\\
\sum_{-N}^{N}\left(f, h_{n}\right) g_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle F, H_{0}\right\rangle G_{0}+\sum_{1}^{N}\left\langle F, H_{n}\right\rangle G_{n}:=\mathscr{T}_{N}(x ; F) . \tag{5.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Computational.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{N}(x ; F)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle F, c_{0}\right\rangle c_{0}(x)+\sum_{1}^{N}\left\langle F, c_{n}\right\rangle c_{n}(x), \quad 0<x<\pi \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.11. Theorem. If (5.1) holds and if $\left\{g_{n}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent in $L^{p}$ for some $p$, $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, then for all $F$ in $L^{p}(0, \pi)$,

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[\mathscr{T}_{N}(x ; F)-T_{N}(x ; F)\right]=0
$$

uniformly on $[0, \pi-d]$ for each $d>0$. If $1<p<\infty$, then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{T}_{N}(\cdot ; F)=F
$$

in the norm of $L^{p}(0, \pi)$.
Proof. These are direct consequences of the relations

$$
\mathscr{S}_{N}(x ; f)=\mathscr{T}_{N}(x ; F), \quad S_{N}(x ; f)=T_{N}(x ; F), \quad 0<x<\pi
$$

and the analogous theorems for $\mathscr{S}_{N}, S_{N}$.
If $f$ is an even function in $\mathscr{D}\left(\Lambda^{2}\right)$, then for $0<x<\pi$,

$$
\Lambda^{2} f=\sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2}\left\langle F, H_{n}\right\rangle G_{n}:=\Gamma^{2} F,
$$

where $\mathscr{D}\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)$ consists of all $F$ in $L^{p}(0, \pi)$ such that the even extension to $[-\pi, \pi]$ is in $\mathscr{D}\left(\Lambda^{2}\right)$. For $\lambda \neq \lambda_{n}^{2}$, and for any polynomial $P$,

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(R\left(\lambda, \Gamma^{2}\right)\right) F & =\frac{1}{2} P\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)\left\langle F, H_{0}\right\rangle G_{0}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} P\left(\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\left\langle F, H_{n}\right\rangle G_{n}  \tag{5.12}\\
& =P\left(R\left(\lambda_{n} \Lambda^{2}\right)\right) f, \quad 0<x<\pi
\end{align*}
$$

5.13. Theorem. If $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ satisfies (4.7), along with the other assumptions of this section, then for $1<p<\infty, R\left(\lambda, \Gamma^{2}\right)$ is well bounded and $-\Gamma^{2}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup on $L^{p}(0, \pi)$.

Proof. Since for any function $F$ and its even extension $f$ we have

$$
\|f\|^{p}=2\|F\|^{p}
$$

from (5.12) and the well-boundedness of $R\left(\lambda, \Lambda^{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P\left(R\left(\lambda, \Gamma^{2}\right)\right) F\right\| & =2^{-1 / p}\left\|P\left(R\left(\lambda, \Lambda^{2}\right)\right) f\right\| \\
& \leqslant 2^{-1 / p} K\|P|\| \| f\|=K\|| P \mid\|\|F\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\||P|\|$ is computed on the piecewise linear admissible arc containing $\left\{\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\}$. Thus $R\left(\lambda, \Gamma^{2}\right)$ is well-bounded and the proof of Corollary 4.10 applies.
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