

Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for quasilinear operators on unbounded domains

Eugenio MONTEFUSCO

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Università degli Studi di Perugia
via Vanvitelli 1
60123 Perugia, Italy
e-mail: montefus@dipmat.unipg.it

Vicențiu RĂDULESCU

Department of Mathematics
University of Craiova
1100 Craiova, Romania
e-mail: varadulescu@hotmail.com

Abstract. We prove several existence results for eigenvalue problems involving the p -Laplacian and a nonlinear boundary condition on unbounded domains. We treat the non-degenerate subcritical case and the solutions are found in an appropriate weighted Sobolev space.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20 35J60 35J70.

Key words: Eigenvalue problems, quasilinear operators, unbounded domains.

1 Introduction and preliminary results

The growing attention for the study of the p -Laplacian operator Δ_p in the last few decades is motivated by the fact that it arises in various applications. For instance, in Fluid Mechanics, the shear stress $\vec{\tau}$ and the velocity gradient $\nabla_p u$ of certain fluids obey a relation of the form $\vec{\tau}(x) = a(x)\nabla_p u(x)$, where $\nabla_p u = |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u$. Here $p > 1$ is an arbitrary real number and the case $p = 2$ (respectively $p < 2$, $p > 2$) corresponds to a Newtonian (respectively pseudoplastic, dilatant) fluid. The resulting equations of motion then involve $\operatorname{div}(a\nabla_p u)$, which reduces to $a\Delta_p u = a \operatorname{div}(\nabla_p u)$, provided that a is constant. The p -Laplacian appears in the

study of flow through porous media ($p = 3/2$, see Showalter-Walkington [24]) or glacial sliding ($p \in (1, 4/3]$, see Pélissier-Reynaud [20]). We also refer to Aronsson-Janfalk [4] for the mathematical treatment of the Hele-Shaw flow of “power-law fluids”. The concept of Hele-Shaw flow refers to the flow between two closely-spaced parallel plates, close in the sense that the gap between the plates is small compared to the dimension of the plates. Quasilinear problems with a variable coefficient also appear in the mathematical model of the torsional creep (elastic for $p = 2$, plastic as $p \rightarrow \infty$, see Bhattacharya-DiBenedetto-Manfredi [5] and Kawohl [18]). This study is based on the observation that a prismatic material rod subject to a torsional moment, at sufficiently high temperature and for an extended period of time, exhibits a permanent deformation, called *creep*. The corresponding equations are derived under the assumptions that the components of strain and stress are linked by a power law referred to as the *creep-law* (see Kachanov [16, Chapters IV, VIII], Kachanov [17], and Findley-Lai-Onaran [13]). A nonlinear field equation in Quantum Mechanics involving the p -Laplacian, for $p = 6$, has been proposed in Benci-Fortunato-Pisani [6]. Eigenvalue problems involving the p -Laplacian have been the subject of much recent interest (we refer only to Allegretto-Huang [1], Anane [3], Drábek [9], Drábek-Pohozaev [11], Drábek-Simader [12], García-Peral [15], García-Montefusco-Peral [14]).

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ be an unbounded domain with (possible noncompact) smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We assume throughout this paper that p, q and m are real numbers satisfying $1 < p < q < p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$, if $p < N$ ($p^* = +\infty$ if $p \geq N$), $q \leq m < \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p}$ if $p < N$ ($q \leq m < +\infty$ when $p \geq N$).

Let $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be the space of $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$ -functions restricted on Ω .

We define the weighted Sobolev space E as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$\|u\|_E = \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u(x)|^p + \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^p} |u(x)|^p \right) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Denote by $L^p(\Omega; w_1), L^q(\Omega; w_2)$ and $L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3)$ the weighted Lebesgue spaces with weight functions $w_i(x) = (1+|x|)^{\alpha_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), and the norms defined by

$$\|u\|_{p,w_1}^p = \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u(x)|^p dx, \quad \|u\|_{q,w_2}^q = \int_{\Omega} w_2 |u(x)|^q dx$$

and

$$\|u\|_{m,w_3}^m = \int_{\partial\Omega} w_3 |u(x)|^m dS,$$

where $-N < \alpha_1 < -p$ if $p < N$ ($\alpha_1 < -p$ when $p \geq N$), $-N < \alpha_2 < q \frac{N-p}{p} - N$ if $p < N$ ($-N < \alpha_2 < 0$ when $p \geq N$), and $-N < \alpha_3 < m \frac{N-p}{p} - N + 1$ if $p < N$ ($-N < \alpha_3 < 0$ when $p \geq N$).

We shall use in our paper the following embedding result.

Theorem A *Under the above assumptions on p, q and m , the space E is compactly embedded in $L^q(\Omega; w_2)$ and also in $L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3)$.*

This theorem is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Corollary 6 of Pflüger [22]. Furthermore, with the same proof as in Pflüger [21, Lemma 2], one can show

Lemma 1 *The quantity*

$$\|u\|_b^p = \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^p dS$$

defines an equivalent norm on E .

2 The main results

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda f(x)|u|^{p-2}u + g(x)|u|^{q-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot n + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = h(x, u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A})$$

where n denotes the unit outward normal on $\partial\Omega$, $0 < a_0 \leq a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, while $b : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$\frac{c}{(1 + |x|)^{p-1}} \leq b(x) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |x|)^{p-1}},$$

for some constants $0 < c \leq C$.

Problems of this type arise in the study of physical phenomena related to equilibrium of anisotropic continuous media which possibly are somewhere “perfect” insulators, cf. Dautray-Lions [7].

We assume that f and g are nontrivial measurable functions satisfying

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq g(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_2}, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

The mapping $h : \partial\Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Carathéodory function which fulfills the assumption

$$(A1) \quad |h(x, s)| \leq h_0(x) + h_1(x)|s|^{m-1},$$

where $h_i : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ($i = 0, 1$) are measurable functions satisfying

$$h_0 \in L^{m/(m-1)}(\partial\Omega; w_3^{1/(1-m)}) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq h_i \leq C_h w_3 \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega.$$

We also assume

$$(A2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(x, s)}{b(x)|s|^{p-1}} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

(A3) There exists $\mu \in (p, q]$ such that

$$\mu H(x, t) \leq th(x, t) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ and every } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

(A4) There is a nonempty open set $U \subset \partial\Omega$ with $H(x, t) > 0$ for $(x, t) \in U \times (0, \infty)$, where $H(x, t) = \int_0^t h(x, s) ds$.

Our first result asserts that under the above hypotheses, problem (A) has at least a solution.

By weak solution of problem (A) we mean a function $u \in E$ such that, for any $v \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dS \\ & = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^{q-2}uv \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u)v \, dS. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\tilde{\lambda} := \inf_{u \in E; u \neq 0} \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^p \, dS}{\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^p \, dx} \right).$$

Our first result is

Theorem 1 *Assume that the conditions (A1)–(A4) hold. Then, for every $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$, problem (A) has a nontrivial weak solution.*

In the special case $h(x, s) \equiv 0$ we are able to show also a multiplicity result for problem (A). The statement is the following

Theorem 2 *Assume $h(x, s) \equiv 0$. Then, for every $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$, problem (A) possesses infinitely many solutions.*

Next we prove the existence of an eigensolution to the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda(f(x)|u|^{p-2}u + g(x)|u|^{q-2}u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot n + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda h(x, u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B})$$

We stress that for the next existence result of the paper we drop the assumptions (A2) and (A4). By weak solution of problem (B) we mean a function $u \in E$ such that, for any $v \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dS \\ & = \lambda \left[\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^{q-2}uv \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u)v \, dS \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We prove

Theorem 3 *Assume that the hypotheses (A1) and (A3) hold. Let d be an arbitrary real number such that $1/d$ is not an eigenvalue λ in problem (B), and satisfying*

$$d > \frac{1}{\lambda}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then there exists $\bar{\rho} > 0$ such that for all $r > \rho \geq \bar{\rho}$, the eigenvalue problem (B) has an eigensolution $(u, \lambda) = (u_d, \lambda_d) \in E \times \mathbf{R}$ for which one has

$$\lambda_d \in \left[\frac{1}{d + r^2 \|u_d\|_b^{m-p}}, \frac{1}{d + \rho^2 \|u_d\|_b^{m-p}} \right].$$

3 Problem (A)

Throughout this section we use the same notations as was previously done in the case of problem (A).

The energy functional corresponding to (A) is defined as $F : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) |u|^p dS - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u|^q dx$$

where H denotes the primitive function of h with respect to the second variable.

By Lemma 1 we have $\|\cdot\|_b \simeq \|\cdot\|_E$. We may write

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u|^q dx.$$

Since $p < q < p^*$, $-N < \alpha_1 < -p$ and $-N < \alpha_2 < q \frac{N-p}{p} - N$ we can apply Theorem A and we obtain that the embeddings $E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1)$ and $E \subset L^q(\Omega; w_2)$ are compact. So the functional F is well defined.

We denote by $N_h = h(x, u(x))$, $N_H = H(x, u(x))$ the corresponding Nemytskii operators.

Lemma 2 *The operators*

$$N_h : L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3) \rightarrow L^{m/(m-1)}(\partial\Omega; w_3^{1/(1-m)}), \quad N_H : L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3) \rightarrow L^1(\partial\Omega)$$

are bounded and continuous.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 1.1 in [10]. □

Our hypothesis $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$ implies the existence of some $C_0 > 0$ such that, for every $v \in E$

$$\|v\|_b^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|v|^p dx \geq C_0 \|v\|_b^p.$$

Lemma 3 *Under assumptions (A1)–(A4), the functional F is Fréchet differentiable on E and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

Proof. Denote $I(u) = \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_b^p$, $K_H(u) = \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS$, $K_{\Psi}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi(x, u) dx$ and $K_{\Phi}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x, u) dx$, where $\Phi(x, u) = \frac{1}{p}f(x)|u|^p$ and $\Psi(x, u) = \frac{1}{q}g(x)|u|^q$.

Then the directional derivative of F in the direction $v \in E$ is

$$\langle F'(u), v \rangle = \langle I'(u), v \rangle - \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u), v \rangle - \langle K'_{\Psi}(u), v \rangle - \langle K'_H(u), v \rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2} uv dS, \\ \langle K'_H(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u)v dS, \\ \langle K'_{\Psi}(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^{q-2} uv dx, \\ \langle K'_{\Phi}(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{p-2} uv dx. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $I' : E \rightarrow E^*$ is continuous. The operator K'_H is a composition of the operators

$$K'_H : E \rightarrow L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3) \xrightarrow{N_h} L^{m/(m-1)}(\partial\Omega; w_3^{1/(1-m)}) \xrightarrow{l} E^*$$

where $\langle l(u), v \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} uv dS$. Since

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |uv| dS \leq \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{m'} w_3^{1/(1-m)} dS \right)^{1/m'} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^m w_3 dS \right)^{1/m},$$

then l is continuous, by Theorem A. As a composition of continuous operators, K'_H is continuous, too. Moreover, by our assumptions on w_3 , the trace operator $E \rightarrow L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3)$ is compact and therefore, K'_H is also compact.

Set $\varphi(u) = f(x)|u|^{p-2}u$. By the proof of Lemma 2 we deduce that the Nemytskii operator corresponding to any function which satisfies (A1) is bounded and continuous. Hence N_h and N_{φ} are bounded and continuous. We note that

$$K'_{\Phi} : E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1) \xrightarrow{N_{\varphi}} L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega; w_1^{1/(1-p)}) \xrightarrow{\eta} E^*$$

where $\langle \eta(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$. Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |uv| \, dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p/(p-1)} w_1^{1/(1-p)} \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^p w_1 \, dx \right)^{1/p},$$

it follows that η is continuous. But K'_{Φ} is the composition of three continuous operators and by the assumptions on w_1 , the embedding $E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1)$ is compact. This implies that K'_{Φ} is compact. In a similar way we obtain that K'_{Ψ} is compact and the continuous Fréchet differentiability of F follows.

Now, let $u_n \in E$ be a Palais-Smale sequence, i.e.,

$$|F(u_n)| \leq C \text{ for all } n \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|F'(u_n)\|_{E^*} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

We first prove that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E . Remark that (3.2) implies that

$$|\langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle| \leq \mu \cdot \|u_n\|_b \text{ for } n \text{ large enough.}$$

This and (3.1) imply

$$C + \|u_n\|_b \geq F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n|^p \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n|^p \, dS - \lambda \\ &\quad \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u_n|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u_n|^q \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u_n)u_n \, dS. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \left(\|u_n\|_b^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^p \, dx \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u_n) \, dS - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u_n)u_n \, dS \right) - \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u_n|^q \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (A3) we deduce that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u_n) \, dS \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u_n)u_n \, dS. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore

$$F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) C_0 \|u_n\|_b^p. \tag{3.5}$$

Relations (3.3) and (3.5) yield

$$C + \|u_n\|_b \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) C_0 \|u_n\|_b^p.$$

This shows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E .

To prove that $\{u_n\}$ contains a Cauchy sequence we use the following inequalities for $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^N$ (see Diaz [8], Lemma 4.10):

$$|\xi - \zeta|^p \leq C(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta)(\xi - \zeta), \quad \text{for } p \geq 2 \tag{3.6}$$

$$|\xi - \zeta|^2 \leq C(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta)(\xi - \zeta)(|\xi| + |\zeta|)^{2-p}, \quad \text{for } 1 < p < 2. \tag{3.7}$$

Then we obtain in the case $p \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^p &= \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n - u_k|^p dS \\ &\leq C(\langle I'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle) \\ &= C(\langle F'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle F'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle K'_H(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle K'_H(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle K'_{\Psi}(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle K'_{\Psi}(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle) \\ &\leq C(\|F'(u_n)\|_{E^*} + \|F'(u_k)\|_{E^*} + |\lambda| \|K'_{\Phi}(u_n) - K'_{\Phi}(u_k)\|_{E^*} \\ &\quad + \|K'_H(u_n) - K'_H(u_k)\|_{E^*} + \|K'_{\Psi}(u_n) - K'_{\Psi}(u_k)\|_{E^*}) \|u_n - u_k\|_b. \end{aligned}$$

Since $F'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $K'_{\Phi}, K'_{\Psi}, K'_H$ are compact, we can assume, passing eventually to a subsequence, that $\{u_n\}$ converges in E .

If $1 < p < 2$, then we use the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^2 &\leq C' |\langle I'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle| (\|u_n\|_b^{2-p} + \|u_k\|_b^{2-p}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\|u_n\|_b$ is bounded, the same arguments lead to a convergent subsequence. In order to prove the estimate (3.8) we recall the following result: for all $s \in (0, \infty)$ there is a constant $C_s > 0$ such that

$$(x + y)^s \leq C_s(x^s + y^s) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in (0, \infty). \tag{3.9}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^2 &= \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n - u_k|^p dS \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\leq C_p \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n - u_k|^p dS \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Using (3.7), (3.9) and the Hölder inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\
 & \leq C \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k))^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \quad (|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_k|)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} dx \\
 & = C \int_{\Omega} (a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k))^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \quad (a(x)(|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_k|)^p)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dx \\
 & \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_k|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
 & \leq \tilde{C}_p \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
 & \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \leq \bar{C}_p \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \right] \\
 & \quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \leq \bar{C}_p \left[\int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \quad (\|u_n\|_b^{\frac{(2-p)p}{2}} + \|u_k\|_b^{\frac{(2-p)p}{2}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality and (3.9) we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
 & \leq C'_p \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right) \\
 & \quad (\|u_n\|_b^{2-p} + \|u_k\|_b^{2-p}). \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we can obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) |u_n - u_k|^p dS \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \leq C'_p \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_k|^{p-2} u_k) (u_n - u_k) dx \right) \\ & \quad (\|u_n\|_b^{2-p} + \|u_k\|_b^{2-p}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

It is now easy to observe that inequalities (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) imply the estimate (3.8). The proof of Lemma 3 is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. We have to verify the geometric assumptions of the Mountain-Pass Theorem. We first show that there exist positive constants R and c_0 such that

$$F(u) \geq c_0, \quad \text{for any } u \in E \text{ with } \|u\| = R. \quad (3.13)$$

By Theorem A we obtain some $A > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{q,w_2}^q \leq A \|u\|_b^q \quad \text{for all } u \in E.$$

This fact implies that

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &= \frac{1}{p} (\|u\|_b^p - \lambda \|u\|_{p,w_1}^p) - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u|^q dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS \geq \frac{C_0}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_b^q - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS. \end{aligned}$$

By (A1) and (A2) we deduce that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{q} |g(x)| |u|^q \leq \varepsilon b(x) |u|^p + C_\varepsilon w_3(x) |u|^m.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &\geq \frac{C_0}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_b^q - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\varepsilon b(x) |u|^p + C_\varepsilon w_3(x) |u|^m) ds \\ &\geq \frac{C_0}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_b^q - \varepsilon c_1 \|u\|_b^p - C_\varepsilon C_2 \|u\|_b^m. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$ small enough, we deduce that for every $u \in E$ with $\|u\|_b = R$, $F(u) \geq c_0 > 0$, which yields (3.13).

We verify in what follows the second geometric assumption of the Mountain-Pass Theorem, namely

$$\exists v \in E \text{ with } \|v\| > R \text{ such that } F(v) < c_0. \quad (3.14)$$

Choose $\psi \in C^\infty_\delta(\Omega)$, $\psi \geq 0$, such that $\emptyset \neq \text{supp}\psi \cap \partial\Omega \subset U$. From $\frac{1}{q}g(x)|u|^q \geq c_3s^\mu - c_4$ on $U \times (0, \infty)$ and (A1) we claim that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t\psi) &= \frac{t^p}{p}(\|\psi\|_b^p - \lambda\|\psi\|_{p,w_1}^p) - \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega g(x)|t\psi|^q dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, t\psi) dS \\ &\leq \frac{t^p}{p} (\|\psi\|_b^p - \lambda\|\psi\|_{p,w_1}^p) - c_3t^\mu \int_U \psi^\mu dS + c_4|U| - \frac{t^q}{q} \int_\Omega w_2\psi^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q \geq \mu > p$, we obtain $F(t\psi) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that if $t > 0$ is large enough, $F(t\psi) < 0$, so $v = t\psi$ satisfies (3.14).

By the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz Theorem, problem (A) has a nontrivial weak solution.

Next we prove the second existence result about problem (A).

Proof of Theorem 2. In order to show the claim we want to apply a classical tool in critical point theory, precisely we will use the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory (see [23]). Consider the even functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega a(x)|\nabla v|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|v|^p dS - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_\Omega f(x)|v|^p dx,$$

on the closed symmetric manifold

$$M = \left\{ v \in E : \int_\Omega g(x)|v|^q = 1 \right\}.$$

Note that M is only a C^1 -manifold, since we have assumed $1 < p < q$. By our hypotheses on f, g, b and h (note that (A1)–(A4) are easily satisfied), Lemma 3 and Theorem 5.3 in [25], we have that $J|_M$ possesses at least $\gamma(M)$ pairs of critical points (where $\gamma(M)$ stands for the genus of M).

Now we have to estimate $\gamma(M)$. Since $g \not\equiv 0$ there exists an open set $\omega \subset \Omega$ such that $g(x) \geq \delta > 0$ on ω . By the properties of the genus it follows that $\gamma(\omega) \geq \gamma(B)$, where B is the unit ball of $W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \subset E$, but it is well known that the genus of the unit ball of a infinite dimensional Banach space is infinity, so $\gamma(M) = \infty$. Hence there exists a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset E$, such that any v_n (and also $-v_n$) is a constrained critical point of J on M .

By the Lagrange multipliers rule we obtain that there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\int_\Omega a(x)|\nabla v_n|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|v_n|^p dS - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x)|v_n|^p dx = \lambda_n \int_\Omega g(x)|v_n|^q dx.$$

Since $v_n \in M$, using our assumption $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$ we find

$$\lambda_n = \|v_n\|_b^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|v_n|^p dx > 0,$$

so we can apply the usual scaling. Setting $u_n = \lambda_n^{1/(q-p)} v_n$, we have that u_n satisfies for any n the equation

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n|^p dS = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u_n|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u_n|^q dx,$$

so the claim is proved.

4 Problem (B)

We start with the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 4 *Under assumption (A1), if $q \leq m$, there exists a number $\bar{\rho} > 0$ such that for each $\rho \geq \bar{\rho}$ the function*

$$v \mapsto \frac{\rho^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{p,w_1}^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|v|^q dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x,v) dS, \quad v \in E,$$

is bounded from below on E .

Proof. The growth condition for h implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x,v) dS \right| &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(h_0(x)|v| + \frac{1}{m} h_1(x)|v|^m \right) dS \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} h_0^{\frac{m}{m-1}} w_3^{\frac{1}{1-m}} dS \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \|v\|_{L^m(\partial\Omega;w_3)} + C_h \|v\|_{L^m(\partial\Omega;w_3)}^m \\ &\leq C_0 + C \|v\|_b^m, \quad v \in E, \end{aligned}$$

with constants $C_0, C > 0$. One obtains also that

$$\frac{1}{q} \left| \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^q dx \right| \leq C_2 \|v\|_b^q \leq \bar{C}_0 + \bar{C} \|v\|_b^m, \quad v \in E,$$

with constants $\bar{C}_0, \bar{C} > 0$. Clearly, we can choose now the positive number $\bar{\rho}$ as desired. \square

In view of Lemma 4 one can find numbers $b_0 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\bar{\rho}^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} b_0 - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{p,w_1}^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|v|^q \, dx \\ & - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, v) \, dS \geq \alpha > 0, \quad v \in E. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

With $b_0 > 0$ and $\bar{\rho} > 0$ as above we consider numbers $r > \rho \geq \bar{\rho}$ and a function $\beta \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$\beta(0) = \beta(r) = 0, \quad \beta(\rho) = b_0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\beta'(t) < 0 \iff t < 0 \text{ or } \rho < t < r, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(t) = +\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 5 *Assume that conditions (A1) and (A3) are fulfilled. Then, for any $d > 0$ satisfying (3), the functional $J : E \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} J(v, t) = & \frac{t^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} \beta(t) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} f(x)|v|^p \\ & - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|v|^q \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, v) \, dx + \frac{d}{p} \|v\|_b^p \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

is of class C^1 and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Proof. The property of J to be continuously differentiable has been already justified in the proof of Theorem 1.

In order to check the Palais-Smale condition let the sequences $\{v_n\} \subset E$ and $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}$ satisfy

$$|J(v_n, t_n)| \leq M, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{4.6}$$

$$J'_v(v_n, t_n) = t_n^2 \|v_n\|_b^{m-p} I'(v_n) - K'_{\Phi}(v_n) - K'_H(v_n) - K'_{\Psi}(v_n) + dI'(v_n) \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$J'_t(v_n, t_n) = \frac{2}{m} (t_n \|v_n\|_b^m + \beta'(t_n)) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.8}$$

where $I, K_{\Phi}, K_H, K_{\Psi}$ have been introduced in the proof of Lemma 3.

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} M \geq & \frac{t_n^2}{m} \|v_n\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} \beta(t_n) - \frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|v_n|^q \, dx \\ & - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, v_n) \, dx + \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p \\ \geq & \frac{t_n^2 - \rho^2}{m} \|v_n\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} (\beta(t_n) - \beta(\rho)) + \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (4.4) in conjunction with the inequality above yields the boundedness of $\{t_n\}$.

Let us check the boundedness of $\{v_n\}$ along a subsequence. Without loss of generality we may admit that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded away from 0. From (22) we deduce that the sequence $\{t_n \|v_n\|_b^m\}$ is bounded. Therefore it is sufficient to argue in the case where $t_n \rightarrow 0$. From (4.6) it turns out that

$$\frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p + \int_{\Omega} H(x, v_n) dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x) |v_n|^q dx - \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p$$

is bounded. By (4.7) we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\|v_n\|_b} (-\langle K'_{\Phi}(v_n), v_n \rangle - \langle K'_H(v_n), v_n \rangle - \langle K'_{\Psi}(v_n), v_n \rangle + d \|v_n\|_b^p) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, for n sufficiently large, assumption (A3) allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} M + 1 + \|v_n\|_b &\geq d \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|v_n\|_b^p + \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|v_n\|_{L^q(\Omega, w_2)}^q \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} h(x, v_n) v_n - H(x, v_n) \right) dS + \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) (d \|v_n\|_b^p - \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p) \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \left(d - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \|v_n\|_b^p. \end{aligned}$$

By (3), this establishes the boundedness of $\{v_n\}$ in E .

In view of the compactness of the mappings K'_{Φ} , K'_H , K'_{Ψ} (see the proof of Lemma 3), by (4.7) we get that

$$(d + t_n^2 \|v_n\|_b^{m-p}) I'(v_n)$$

converges in E^* as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The boundedness of $\{t_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ ensures that $\{I'(v_n)\}$ is convergent in E^* along a subsequence. Assume that $p \geq 2$. Inequality (3.6) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^p &\leq C \left[\int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_k|^{p-2} u_k) (u_n - u_k) d\Gamma \right] \\ &= C \langle I'(u_n) - I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \leq C \|I'(u_n) - I'(u_k)\|_b^* \|u_n - u_k\|_b \quad \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $p \geq 2$, $\{v_n\}$ possesses a convergent subsequence. Proceeding in the same way with inequality (3.7) in place of (3.6) we obtain the result for $1 < p < 2$. □

In the proof of Theorem 3 we shall make use of the following variant of the Mountain Pass Theorem (see Motreanu [19]).

Lemma 6 *Let E be a Banach space and let $J : E \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a C^1 functional verifying the hypotheses*

- (a) *there exist constants $\rho > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $J(v, \rho) \geq \alpha$, for every $v \in E$;*
 - (b) *there is some $r > \rho$ with $J(0, 0) = J(0, r) = 0$.*
- Then the number*

$$c := \inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq 1} J(h(\tau))$$

is a critical value of J , where

$$\mathcal{P} := \{g \in C([0, 1]; E \times \mathbf{R}); g(0) = (0, 0), g(1) = (0, r)\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. We apply Lemma 6 to the function J defined in (4.5). It is clear that assertion (a) is verified with $\rho > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ described in Lemma 4 and (4.1). Due to relation (4.2), condition (b) in Lemma 6 holds. Lemma 5 ensures that the functional J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Therefore Lemma 6 yields a nonzero element $(u, t) \in E \times \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$J'_v(u, t) = (d + t^2 \|u\|_b^{m-p}) I'(u) - K'_\Phi(u) - K'_H(u) - K'_\Psi(u) = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

$$J'_t(u, t) = \frac{2}{m} (t \|u\|_b^m + \beta'(t)) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.10) it follows that

$$t\beta'(t) \leq 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Combining (4.11) and (4.3) we derive that if $t \neq 0$, then $u \neq 0$ and

$$\rho \leq t \leq r. \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore for each d in (3) such that $1/d$ is not an eigenvalue in (B) and each $r > \rho \geq \bar{\rho}$ we deduce that there exists a critical point $(u, t) = (u_d, t_d) \in E \times \mathbf{R}_+$ of J , where $t = t_d$ verifies (4.12). Consequently, relation (4.9) establishes that $u_d \in E$ is an eigenfunction in problem (B) where the corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_d = \frac{1}{d + t_d^2 \|u_d\|_b^{m-p}},$$

with $t = t_d$ satisfying (4.12). This completes the proof.

Acknowledgements This work has been performed while V.R. was visiting the Università degli Studi di Perugia with a CNR-GNAFA grant. He would like to thank Professor Patrizia Pucci for the invitation, warm hospitality, and for many stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] W. ALLEGRETTO, Y.X. HUANG, Eigenvalues of the indefinite weight p -Laplacian in weighted \mathbf{R}^N spaces, *Funkc. Ekvac.* **38** (1995), 233–242.
- [2] A. AMBROSETTI, P.H. RABINOWITZ, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [3] A. ANANE, Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du p -laplacien, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **305** (1987), 725–728.
- [4] G. ARONSSON, U. JANFALK, On Hele-Shaw flow of power-law fluids, *European J. Appl. Math.* **3** (1992), 343–366.
- [5] T. BHATTACHARYA, E. DIBENEDETTO, J. MANFREDI, Limits as $p \rightarrow \infty$ of $\Delta_p u_p = f$ and related extremal problems, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino*, Fascicolo Speciale, 1989, 15–68.
- [6] V. BENCI, D. FORTUNATO, L. PISANI, Solitons like solutions of a Lorentz invariant equation in dimension 3, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **10** (1998), 315–344.
- [7] R. DAUTRAY, J.-L. LIONS, Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology, Vol. 1: *Physical Origins and Classical Methods*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [8] J.I. DIAZ, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries. Elliptic Equations, *Research Notes in Mathematics*, 106, Pitman, Boston-London-Melbourne 1986.
- [9] P. DRÁBEK, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian in \mathbf{R}^N , *Math. Nachr.* **173** (1995), 131–139.
- [10] P. DRÁBEK, A. KUFNER, F. NICOLOSI, Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Degenerations and Singularities, *de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications* **5**, W. de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1997.
- [11] P. DRÁBEK, S. POHOZAEV, Positive solutions for the p -Laplacian: application of the fibering method, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **127A** (1997), 703–726.
- [12] P. DRÁBEK, C.G. SIMADER, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for quasilinear equations in unbounded domains, *Math. Nachr.* **203** (1999), 5–30.
- [13] W.L. FINDLEY, J.S. LAI, K. ONARAN, Creep and Relaxation of Nonlinear Viscoelastic Materials, *North Holland Publ. House*, Amsterdam - New York - Oxford, 1976.
- [14] J. GARCÍA-AZORERO, E. MONTEFUSCO, I. PERAL, Bifurcation for the p -Laplacian in \mathbf{R}^N , *Adv. Diff. Equations* **5** (2000), 435–464.

- [15] J. GARCÍA-AZORERO, I. PERAL, Existence and uniqueness for the p -Laplacian: nonlinear eigenvalues, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **12** (1987), 1389–1430.
- [16] L.M. KACHANOV, The Theory of Creep, *National Lending Library for Science and Technology*, Boston Spa, Yorkshire, England, 1967.
- [17] L.M. KACHANOV, Foundations of the Theory of Plasticity, North Holland Publ. House, Amsterdam - London, 1971.
- [18] B. KAWOHL, On a family of torsional creep problems, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **410** (1990), 1–22.
- [19] D. MOTREANU, A saddle point approach to nonlinear eigenvalue problems, *Math. Slovaca* **47** (1997), 463–477.
- [20] M.C. PÉLLISSIER, M.L. REYNAUD, Étude d'un modèle mathématique d'écoulement de glacier, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math.* **279** (1974), 531–534.
- [21] K. PFLÜGER, Existence and multiplicity of solutions to a p -Laplacian equation with nonlinear boundary condition, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* **10** (1998), 1–13.
- [22] K. PFLÜGER, Compact traces in weighted Sobolev spaces, *Analysis* **18** (1998), 65–83.
- [23] P.H. RABINOWITZ, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations, C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **65**, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.*, 1986.
- [24] R.E. SHOWALTER, N.J. WALKINGTON, Diffusion of fluid in a fissured medium with microstructure *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **22** (1991), 1702–1722.
- [25] A. SZULKIN, Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory on C^1 -manifold, *Ann. Ist. H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire* **5** (1988), 119–139.

Received May 2000



To access this journal online:
<http://www.birkhauser.ch>
