

## NONOSCILLATORY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH RETARDED AND ADVANCED ARGUMENTS\*

BY

K. GOPALSAMY

*Flinders University of South Australia*

**Abstract.** Sufficient conditions are derived for a vector-matrix system of the form

$$\frac{d^n X(t)}{dt^n} + (-1)^{n-1} [P(t)X(t - \tau_1(t)) + Q(t)X(t + \tau_2(t))] = 0$$

to be nonoscillatory.

**1. Introduction.** We will first derive a set of sufficient conditions for scalar differential equations of the form

$$\frac{d^n x(t)}{dt^n} + (-1)^{n-1} [a(t)x(t - \tau_1(t)) + b(t)x(t + \tau_2(t))] = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

to be nonoscillatory. Although some authors (Kusano [3], Anderson [1]) have discussed oscillatory nature of (1.1), the literature concerned with nonoscillation of equations of the form (1.1) is scarce. We will assume the following for (1.1);

(A<sub>1</sub>)  $a, b, \tau_1, \tau_2$  are bounded continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$  such that for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < a(t) &\leq \alpha; & 0 &\leq t - \tau_1(t) \\ 0 &\leq b(t) \leq \beta; & 0 &\leq \tau_2(t) \\ 0 < \tau_1(t) &\leq \sigma; \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \sigma$  are positive constants.

(A<sub>2</sub>) The positive constants  $\alpha, \beta, \sigma$  are such that

$$(\alpha + \beta)e^n \sigma^n / n^n \leq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The following elementary observation is useful in proving our nonoscillation result. Consider a function  $g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$g(\mu) = \mu^n - (\alpha + \beta)e^{\mu\sigma}.$$

---

\* Received April 3, 1984.

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(0) &= -(\alpha + \beta) < 0 \\ g(n/\sigma) &= (n/\sigma)^n - (\alpha + \beta)e^n \\ &= (n/\sigma)^n [1 - (\alpha + \beta)e^n \sigma^n / n^n] \geq 0 \quad (\text{by (1.2)}), \end{aligned}$$

it will follow that  $g(\mu) = 0$  has a positive root say  $\mu^*$  such that

$$(\mu^*)^n = (\alpha + \beta)e^{\sigma\mu^*}. \quad (1.3)$$

**2. Nonoscillatory scalar systems.** As it is customary we will say that (1.1) is oscillatory if and only if all solutions of (1.1) have zeros on every interval of the form  $[\alpha, \infty)$  for arbitrary real constants  $\alpha$  and (1.1) will be called nonoscillatory if there exists at least one solution of (1.1) having no zeros on an interval of the form  $[\beta, \infty)$  for some real constant  $\beta$ . We can now establish the following:

**THEOREM 2.1.** Suppose  $a, b, \tau_1, \tau_2$  in (1.1) satisfy the hypothesis  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$ ; then (1.1) is nonoscillatory.

*Proof.* As one will see the proof is surprisingly simple. We consider a sequence  $\{x_m(t); t \geq -\sigma; m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  defined as follows:

$$x_0(t) = \exp[-\mu^*t]; \quad t \geq -\sigma; \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{m+1}(t) &= \begin{cases} \exp[-\mu^*t]; & t \in [-\sigma, 0]; \\ \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [a(s)x_m(s-\tau_1(s)) + b(s)x_m(s+\tau_2(s))] ds, & t > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

It is immediate from (2.1)–(2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &\leq \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [\alpha \exp[-\mu^*(s-\sigma)] + \beta \exp[-\mu^*(s+\tau_2(s))]] ds \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)(\exp[\mu^*\sigma]) \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \exp[-\mu^*s] ds \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta) \{ \exp[\mu^*\sigma] / (\mu^*)^n \} \exp[-\mu^*t] \\ &\leq e^{-\mu^*t} \quad (\text{by the choice of } \mu^*) \\ &\leq x_0(t) \quad \text{for } t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$x_1(t) - x_0(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq -\sigma. \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) one can similarly obtain

$$x_2(t) - x_1(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq -\sigma, \quad (2.4)$$

and repeating the above procedure we derive

$$0 \leq x_{m+1}(t) \leq x_m(t) \leq \dots \leq x_1(t) \leq x_0(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq -\sigma. \quad (2.5)$$

The pointwise limit of the sequence  $\{x_m(t)\}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  exists for  $t \geq -\sigma$  and so we can let

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(t) = x^*(t), \quad t \geq -\sigma. \quad (2.6)$$

It will now follow by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$x^*(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [a(s)x^*(s-\tau_1(s)) + b(s)x^*(s+\tau_2(s))] ds, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

showing that  $x^*$  is a solution of (1.1) for  $t > 0$ . Since  $x^*$  is the limit of a sequence of nonnegative functions,  $x^*$  itself is nonnegative. Now it will follow from (2.7) that  $x^*(t) > 0$  on  $[-\sigma, \infty)$  for if  $x^*(t) > 0$  on  $[-\sigma, \tilde{t})$  and  $x^*(\tilde{t}) = 0$  then (2.7) will lead to a contradiction. The result follows.

**3. Nonoscillatory vector-matrix systems.** Let us now consider the vector-matrix system

$$\frac{d^n X(t)}{dt^n} + (-1)^{n-1} [P(t)X(t-\tau_1(t)) + Q(t)X(t+\tau_2(t))] = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with the following assumptions:

(A<sub>3</sub>)  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  are bounded continuous scalar functions as in (A<sub>1</sub>).

(A<sub>4</sub>)  $P(t), Q(t)$  are  $m \times m$  matrices with nonnegative elements such that at least one element of  $P(t)$  is positive and in an element wise ordering we have

$$0 \leq P(t) + Q(t) \leq M \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where  $M$  is a constant  $m \times m$  matrix with positive elements.

We will need the following preparation; it is well known (Perron's theorem) that  $M$  will have a positive eigenvalue say  $\alpha^*$  corresponding to which  $M$  will have an eigenvector say  $Z$  with positive elements. Consider now a "majorant" of (3.1) in the form

$$\frac{d^n Y(t)}{dt^n} + (-1)^{n-1} M Y(t-\sigma) = 0; \quad t > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

The characteristic equation associated with (3.3) is given by

$$\det[\lambda^n I + (-1)^{n-1} M e^{-\lambda\sigma}] = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

or equivalently

$$\det[\mu^n I - M e^{\mu\sigma}] = 0, \quad \text{with } \mu = -\lambda.$$

If  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  are the eigenvalues of  $M$  we have

$$\det[\mu^n I - M e^{\mu\sigma}] = 0 \Leftrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^m [\mu^n - \alpha_j e^{\mu\sigma}] = 0.$$

If  $\alpha_s = \alpha^*$  for some  $s \in (1, 2, \dots, m)$  we can consider

$$\mu^n - \alpha^* e^{\mu\sigma} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

in looking for real roots of (3.4). Let us now assume that

$$\alpha^*(\sigma)^n e^n / n^n \leq 1. \quad (3.6)$$

It will then follow that (3.5) has a positive root say  $\mu^*$  corresponding to which (3.3) will have a solution given by

$$Y(t) = Z(\exp[-\mu^* t]); \quad t \geq -\sigma \quad (3.7)$$

( $Z$  being a positive eigenvector associated with the positive eigenvalue  $\mu^*$  of  $M$ ). With this preparation we can now formulate the following for (3.1).

**THEOREM 3.1.** Assume that  $P, Q, \tau_1, \tau_2$  satisfy the hypotheses  $(A_3)$  and  $(A_4)$ . Furthermore assume that (3.6) holds. Then (3.1) is nonoscillatory.

*Proof.* Proof is quite similar to that of the scalar case and we provide a brief outline only. Define a sequence  $\{X^K(t); t \geq -\sigma; K = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  as follows:

$$X^{(0)}(t) = Z(\exp[-\mu^* t]); \quad t \geq -\sigma; \quad (3.8)$$

$$X^{K+1}(t) = \begin{cases} Z(\exp[-\mu^* t]); & t \in [-\sigma, 0]; \\ \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [P(s)X^{(K)}(s-\tau_1(s)) + Q(s)X^{(K)}(s+\tau_2(s))] ds; & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

With a componentwise comparison, it will follow as in the scalar case (on using (3.6)),

$$0 \leq X^{(K)}(t) \leq X^{(K-1)}(t) \leq \dots \leq X^{(1)}(t) \leq X^{(0)}(t), \quad t > -\sigma, \quad (3.10)$$

and the rest of the proof is exactly similar to that in Theorem (2.1) and we will omit further details.

We conclude with a remark that we have shown elsewhere [2] that conditions of the type in (1.2) and (3.6) are in fact necessary also for equations of the form (1.1) with (3.1) with constant coefficients to be nonoscillatory.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. H. Anderson, *Asymptotic oscillation results for solutions of first-order nonlinear differential-difference equations of advanced type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24**, 430–439 (1968).
- [2] K. Gopalsamy, *Oscillations in linear systems of differential-difference equations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **29**, 377–387 (1984).
- [3] T. Kusano, *On even order functional differential equations with advanced and retarded arguments*, J. Diff. Eqns. **45**, 75–84 (1984).