

## NONOSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

LYNN H. ERBE

We consider here a generalization of the equation

$$x'' + a(t)x^{2n+1} = 0$$

where  $a(t)$  is a continuous non-negative function on  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $n \geq 0$  is an integer. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the existence of

(1) a bounded nonoscillatory solution with prescribed limit at  $\infty$ ;

(2) a nonoscillatory solution whose derivative has a positive limit at  $\infty$ .

Specifically, we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the following second order nonlinear differential equation :

$$(1) \quad x'' + f(t, x)g(x') = 0 .$$

We shall assume the following conditions hold :

$$(A_0) \quad f(t, x), g(x'), \text{ and the partial derivative function } f_x(t, x) \text{ are all continuous for } t \geq 0, x' \geq 0, \text{ and } |x| < +\infty .$$

$$(A_1) \quad f(t, 0) = 0, t \geq 0 .$$

$$(A_2) \quad f_x(t, x) \geq 0 \text{ and is nondecreasing in } x \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \geq 0 .$$

$$(A_3) \quad g(x') > 0 \text{ for all } x' \geq 0 .$$

As a special case we have the equation

$$(2) \quad x'' + a(t)x^{2n+1} = 0, n \geq 0 ,$$

in which  $a(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $g(x') = 1$  for all  $x'$ . Oscillatory and nonoscillatory properties of (2) for the case  $n \geq 1$  were investigated by Atkinson in [1], Moore and Nehari in [5], and Utz in [9]. Generalizations of equation (2) have been considered by Waltman in [7] and [8], Nehari in [6], Wong in [10], and Macki and Wong in [4].

We shall study equation (1) by considering the equation

$$(3) \quad x'' + f_x(t, \alpha)x = 0 ,$$

where  $\alpha$  is some real constant depending on solutions of (1). To do this we shall need to establish several lemmas concerning the equation

$$(4) \quad x'' + p(t)x = 0,$$

where  $p(t)$  is continuous and satisfies  $p(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $[a, b]$  be a compact interval of the reals and suppose there exists a  $\beta(t) \in C^{(2)} [a, b]$  satisfying*

$$\beta(t) > 0, \quad \beta''(t) + p(t)\beta(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

*Then  $[a, b]$  is an interval of disconjugacy for equation (4). That is, no nontrivial solution of (4) has more than one zero on  $[a, b]$ .*

*Proof.* If the conclusion is false, then there is a solution  $y(t)$  of (4) satisfying  $y(t_1) = y(t_2) = 0$  and  $y(t) > 0$  on  $(t_1, t_2)$ , where  $a \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq b$ . It follows that there is a  $k > 0$  such that  $ky(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[t_1, t_2]$  and  $ky(t_0) = \beta(t_0)$  for some  $t_1 < t_0 < t_2$ . Therefore,  $ky'(t_0) = \beta'(t_0)$  and for  $t_0 \leq t \leq t_2$  we have

$$ky'(t) - \beta'(t) \geq \int_{t_0}^t -p(s)\{ky(s) - \beta(s)\}ds \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$ky(t_2) - \beta(t_2) = \int_{t_0}^{t_2} (ky'(s) - \beta'(s))ds \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

REMARK. If there exists an  $\alpha(t) \in C^{(2)} [a, b]$  satisfying

$$\alpha(t) < 0, \quad \alpha''(t) + p(t)\alpha(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

then the conclusion of the lemma again holds. (Set  $\beta(t) = -\alpha(t)$ ,  $t \in [a, b]$ .)

Lemma 1.1 is closely related to a theorem of Wintner (see Hartman [2], p. 362, Th. 7.2) and could be obtained directly by setting  $z = \beta'/\beta$ . Also, a function  $\beta(t) \in C^{(2)} [a, b]$  satisfying  $\beta''(t) + p(t)\beta(t) \leq 0$  on  $[a, b]$  is just a special case of an upper solution, as defined by Jackson in [3] for general nonlinear second order differential equations. Likewise  $\alpha(t) \in C^{(2)} [a, b]$  satisfying  $\alpha''(t) + p(t)\alpha(t) \geq 0$  on  $[a, b]$  is a special case of a lower solution.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $\alpha(t), \beta(t) \in C^{(2)} [a, b]$  and satisfy  $\alpha''(t) + p(t)\alpha(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\beta''(t) + p(t)\beta(t) \leq 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[a, b]$ . Then for any  $c, d$  with  $\alpha(a) \leq c \leq \beta(a)$ ,  $\alpha(b) \leq d \leq \beta(b)$ , there is a unique solution  $z(t)$  of (4) satisfying  $z(a) = c$ ,  $z(b) = d$ , and  $\alpha(t) \leq z(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[a, b]$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1,  $[a, b]$  is an interval of disconjugacy for equation (4) so that the BVP

$$x'' + p(t)x = 0, \quad x(a) = c, \quad x(b) = d$$

has a unique solution  $z(t)$  (see for example [2], p. 351). Since  $z(t)$  cannot have more than one zero on  $[a, b]$  and since initial value problems for (4) have unique solutions, it follows that  $z(t) > 0$  on  $[a, b]$ . If the conclusion of the lemma is false, then assume, to be specific, that  $z(t_1) - \beta(t_1) = z(t_2) - \beta(t_2) = 0$  and  $z(t) > \beta(t)$  on  $(t_1, t_2)$ , where  $a \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq b$ . As in Lemma 1.1, there is a  $k > 0$ ,  $k < 1$ , such that  $0 < kz(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[t_1, t_2]$ , and  $kz(t_0) = \beta(t_0)$ ,  $kz'(t_0) = \beta'(t_0)$  for some  $t_1 < t_0 < t_2$ . Since  $kz(t_2) < z(t_2) = \beta(t_2)$ , this leads to a contradiction as in Lemma 1.1. Hence,  $z(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[a, b]$ . A similar argument shows that  $z(t) \geq \alpha(t)$  on  $[a, b]$  and this proves the lemma.

**LEMMA 1.3.** *Let  $\alpha(t), \beta(t) \in C^{(2)} [a, +\infty)$  with  $\alpha''(t) + p(t)\alpha(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\beta''(t) + p(t)\beta(t) \leq 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[a, +\infty)$ . Then for any  $\alpha(a) \leq c \leq \beta(a)$  there is a solution  $y(t) \in C^{(2)} [a, +\infty)$  of (4) satisfying  $y(a) = c$  and  $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[a, +\infty)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.2 for each  $n \geq 1$  there is a solution  $y_n(t) \in C^{(2)} [a, a+n]$  of (4) satisfying  $y_n(a) = c$  and  $\alpha(t) \leq y_n(t) \leq \beta(t)$  on  $[a, a+n]$ . Therefore, for each  $N \geq 1$   $|y_n(t)|$  and hence  $|y_n''(t)|$  are uniformly bounded on  $[a, a+N]$  for all  $n = N$ . Since  $y_n'(t) = y_n'(a) + \int_a^t y_n''(t) dt$ , the  $|y_n'(t)|$  are likewise bounded on  $[a, a+N]$ , uniformly for  $n \geq N$ . Now consider the sequence  $\{y_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . By the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem there is a subsequence  $\{y_n^1(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converging to a solution  $z_1(t)$  of (4) on  $[a, a+1]$ . Inductively, for each  $k \geq 2$  we obtain a subsequence  $\{y_n^k(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\{y_n^{k-1}(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  which converges to a solution  $z_k(t)$  of (4) on  $[a, a+k]$ . Therefore, the diagonal sequence  $\{y_n^k(t)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly on each compact subinterval of  $[a, +\infty)$ . That is,

$$z(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_n^k(t), \quad t \in [a, +\infty),$$

is the desired solution.

2. After these preliminary lemmas, we are now in a position to establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of certain types of solutions of (1).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Assume  $A_0 - A_3$  hold and let  $\alpha_0 > 0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *For each  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$  there is a solution  $u_\alpha(t)$  of (1) satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_\alpha(t) = \alpha$ .*

(b)  $\int_0^\infty t f_y(t, \alpha) dt < +\infty$  for  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ .

*Proof.* (a) implies (b): Assume  $\int_0^\infty t f_y(t, \alpha_1) dt = +\infty$  for some  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_0$  and let  $\alpha_1 < \beta < \alpha_0$ . Let  $u_\beta(t)$  be the corresponding solution of (1) with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_\beta(t) = \beta$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $\alpha_1 + \delta < \beta$  and let  $T \geq 0$  be such that  $t \geq T$  implies  $u_\beta(t) \geq \alpha_1 + \delta$ . Then for  $t \geq T$

$$u_\beta'' = -f(t, u_\beta)g(u_\beta') \leq 0$$

so that  $u_\beta'$  decreases to a limit, and this limit clearly must be zero. Therefore,  $u_\beta(t) \leq \beta$  for  $t \geq T$  so that applying the Mean Value Theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_y(t, \alpha_1) &\leq \frac{f(t, u_\beta(t)) - f(t, \alpha_1)}{u_\beta(t) - \alpha_1} \leq \frac{f(t, u_\beta(t))}{u_\beta(t) - \alpha_1} \\ &\leq \frac{u_\beta(t)}{u_\beta(t) - \alpha_1} \frac{f(t, u_\beta(t))}{u_\beta(t)} \leq \frac{\beta}{\delta} \frac{f(t, u_\beta(t))}{u_\beta(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq T$ . Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_\beta'(t) = 0$ , there is a  $T_1 \geq T$  such that  $t \geq T_1$  implies  $g(u_\beta'(t)) \geq g(0)/2 > 0$ . Hence, for  $t \geq T_1$  we have

$$u_\beta''(t) = -f(t, u_\beta(t))g(u_\beta'(t)) \leq -k f_y(t, \alpha_1) u_\beta(t),$$

where  $k = g(0)(\delta/2\beta)$ . Also,  $\alpha_1'' = 0 \geq -k f_y(t, \alpha_1) \alpha_1$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1.3 there is a solution  $z(t)$  of the equation

$$(5) \quad x'' + k f_y(t, \alpha_1) x = 0$$

satisfying  $\alpha_1 \leq z(t) \leq u_\beta(t)$  on  $[T_1, +\infty)$ . Let  $w(t) = z(t) \int_{T_1}^t ds/(z(s))^2$  for  $t \geq T_1$ . Then  $w(t)$  is a solution of (5). Since  $z''(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq T_1$ , we see that

$$w''(t) = z''(t) \int_{T_1}^t ds/(z(s))^2 \leq 0$$

for  $t \geq T_1$  and hence  $w'(t)$  decreases to a finite nonnegative limit. In fact, we have

$$w'(t) = 1/z(t) + z'(t) \int_{T_1}^t ds/(z(s))^2 \geq 1/z(t) \geq 1/\beta$$

for  $t \geq T_1$ . Hence, for sufficiently large  $t$ , say  $t \geq T_0 \geq T_1$ , we have  $w(t) \geq t/2\beta$ . Therefore, for  $t \geq T_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) - w'(T_0) &= -k \int_{T_0}^t f_y(s, \alpha_1) w(s) ds \\ &\leq (-k/2\beta) \int_{T_0}^t s f_y(s, \alpha_1) ds \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$w'(T_0) \geq w'(t) + (k/2\beta) \int_{T_0}^t s f_y(s, \alpha_1) ds$$

for  $t \geq T_0$ , so that

$$\int_{T_0}^{\infty} s f_y(s, \alpha_1) ds < +\infty ,$$

which is the desired contradiction.

Conversely, let  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$  be given and let

$$M = \max \{g(x') : 0 \leq x' \leq \alpha\} .$$

Let  $T \geq 0$  be such that

$$\int_T^{\infty} (s - T) f_y(s, \alpha) ds < 1/M \text{ and } \int_T^{\infty} f_y(s, \alpha) ds < 1/M .$$

We shall now define a sequence of functions on  $[T, +\infty)$  in the following manner :

Let  $y_0(t) = \alpha$ ,  $t \geq T$ . Now for  $t \geq T$

$$0 \leq \int_t^{\infty} (s - t) f(s, \alpha) g(0) ds \leq \alpha \int_t^{\infty} (s - t) f_y(s, \alpha) g(0) ds \leq \alpha ,$$

so that defining  $y_1(t) = \alpha - \int_t^{\infty} (s - t) f(s, \alpha) g(0) ds$ ,  $t \geq T$ , we have  $0 \leq y_1(t) \leq \alpha$ . Differentiating  $y_1(t)$  we have

$$0 \leq y_1'(t) = \int_t^{\infty} f(s, \alpha) g(0) ds \leq M\alpha \int_t^{\infty} f_y(s, \alpha) ds < \alpha .$$

Proceeding inductively, we define for all  $k \geq 1$

$$y_{k+1}(t) = \alpha - \int_t^{\infty} (s - t) f(s, y_k(s)) g(y_k'(s)) ds , \quad t \geq T ,$$

and obtain  $0 \leq y_k(t)$ ,  $y_k'(t) \leq \alpha$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . It follows that the sequences  $y_k(t)$ ,  $y_k'(t)$ , and  $y_k''(t)$  are uniformly bounded on  $[T, T + n]$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . The Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem and a diagonalization argument yields a subsequence which converges, uniformly on compact subsets of  $[T, +\infty)$ , to a solution  $u_\alpha(t)$  of (1). Obviously,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_\alpha(t) = \alpha$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. If  $f(t, x) = -f(t, -x)$  and  $g(x') > 0$  and is continuous for  $|x'| < +\infty$ , then we see that  $\int_t^{\infty} t f_y(t, \alpha) dt < +\infty$  for  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_0$  if and only if for each  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_0$  there is a solution  $u_\alpha(t)$  of (1) with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_\alpha(t) = \alpha$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.**  $\int_0^\infty t f_y(t, \alpha) dt < +\infty$  for all  $\alpha > 0$  if and only if there is a solution  $u_\alpha(t)$  of (1) with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_\alpha(t) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

**COROLLARY 2.3.** If  $f(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(t)x^{2i+1}$  where the  $a_i(t)$  are continuous nonnegative functions for  $t \geq 0$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) There is a solution  $u_\alpha(t)$  of (1) with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_\alpha(t) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

(b)  $\sum_{i=0}^n \int_0^\infty t a_i(t) dt < +\infty$ .

As examples of equations to which Theorem 2.1 applies but which do not belong to any of the classes of equations considered in references [1], [4] through [8], we have

$$(6) \quad x'' + x(\exp(t(x - \alpha_0)))(1 + x') = 0$$

$$(7) \quad x'' + x(\exp(t(x^2 - \alpha_0^2) + cx'))(1 + (x')^2) = 0,$$

where  $c$  is an arbitrary real number. Then for  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$  there is a solution  $u_\alpha(t)$  of (6) with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_\alpha(t) = \alpha$ , and for  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_0$  there is a solution  $y_\alpha(t)$  of (7) with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y_\alpha(t) = \alpha$ .

3. In [5] it is shown that equation (2) has solutions for which

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{t} = \alpha > 0$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty t^{2n+1} a(t) dt < +\infty.$$

In this final section we will show that an analogous result is true for equation (1) provided  $f(t, x)$  satisfies the following additional condition.

(A<sub>4</sub>) There exist real numbers  $c > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t, x)}{x f_x(t, cx)} \geq \lambda > 0, \text{ for all sufficiently large } t.$$

Note that in the case of equation (2)  $c$  and  $\lambda$  may be any positive real numbers with  $\lambda c^{2n} \leq 1/(2n + 1)$ . We first establish the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.1.** Assume conditions  $A_0 - A_3$  hold and let there exist a real number  $\beta > 0$  with

$$\int_0^\infty t f_y(t, \beta t) dt < +\infty.$$

Then there exist solutions to (1), say  $y(t)$ , such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)/t$  exists and is positive.

*Proof.* Let  $T > 0$  be such that

$$\int_T^\infty t f_y(t, \beta t) dt < 1/2M,$$

where  $M = \max \{g(x') : 0 \leq x' \leq \beta\}$ . We define a solution of (1) by

$$u(T) = 0, \quad u'(T) = \beta,$$

and we assert that the solution satisfies  $u'(t) \geq \beta/2$  for  $t \geq T$ . Assume, on the contrary, that there is a  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\beta/2 > \delta > 0$ , and a  $t_1 > T$  with  $u'(t_1) = \delta$  and  $u(t) > 0$  on  $(T, t_1]$ . Then for  $T \leq t \leq t_1$  we have

$$(8) \quad u'(T) = u'(t) + \int_T^t f(s, u(s))g(u'(s))ds.$$

Since  $u''(t) \leq 0$  on  $(T, t_1]$  and since  $u(t)$  is concave it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &\leq \beta \quad \text{on } (T, t_1) \quad \text{and} \\ u(t) &\leq \beta(t - T) \quad \text{on } (T, t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Mean Value Theorem in (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= u'(T) < u'(t) + M\beta \int_T^t s f_y(s, \beta(s - T))ds \\ &\leq u'(t) + M\beta \int_T^t s f_y(s, \beta s)ds < u'(t) + \beta/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $u'(t_1) > \beta/2$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $u'(t) \geq \beta/2$  on  $[T, +\infty)$  and hence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u'(t)$  exists and is positive which implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)/t$  exists and is positive.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Assume conditions  $(A_0) - (A_4)$  hold. Then (1) has solutions, say  $y(t)$ , such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)/t$  exists and is positive if and only if*

$$\int^\infty t f_y(t, \beta t) dt < +\infty \quad \text{for some } \beta > 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha > 0$  and let  $y(t)$  be a solution of (1) with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y(t)}{t} = \alpha.$$

Let  $T \geq 0$  be such that  $t \geq T$  implies  $y(t) \geq \alpha t/2$ . Let

$$m_0 = \min \{g(x') : 0 \leq x' \leq y'(T)\}.$$

By condition  $(A_4)$  there is a  $T_1 \geq T$  such that  $t \geq T_1$  implies

$$f(t, y(t)) \geq \lambda y(t) f_y(t, c\alpha t/2) \geq (kt) f_y(t, c\alpha t/2),$$

where  $k = \lambda\alpha/2$ . Since  $0 < y'(t) \leq y'(T)$  for  $t \geq T$  we have

$$f(t, y(t))g(y'(t)) \geq (m_0 kt) f_y(t, c\alpha t/2), \quad t \geq T_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} y'(T_1) &= y'(t) + \int_{T_1}^t f(s, y(s))g(y'(s))ds \\ &\geq y'(t) + \int_{T_1}^t (m_0 ks) f_y(s, c\alpha s/2) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) \geq 0$ , this implies that

$$\int_{T_1}^{\infty} s f_y(s, c\alpha s/2) ds < +\infty,$$

and this proves the theorem.

As a simple example of an equation to which the previous theorem applies but which is not considered in references [1], [4] through [8], we have

$$(9) \quad x'' + x^2 (\exp(x - \beta t))(1 + x') = 0,$$

where  $\beta > 0$ . Condition  $(A_4)$  holds for any  $0 < c < 1$  and any  $\lambda > 0$ .

The author wishes to thank Professor Lloyd Jackson and the referee for several helpful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. V. Atkinson, *On second order nonlinear oscillations*, Pacific J. Math. **5** (1955), 643-647.
2. P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, Wiley, 1964.
3. L. K. Jackson, *Subfunctions and second order differential inequalities*, Advances in Math., Academic Press (to appear).
4. J. W. Macki and J. S. W. Wong, *Oscillation of solutions to second order nonlinear differential equations*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1968) (to appear)
5. R. A. Moore and Z. Nehari, *Nonoscillation theorems for a class of nonlinear differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92-93** (1959), 30-52.
6. Z. Nehari, *On a class of nonlinear second order differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **94-95** (1960), 101-123.
7. P. Waltman, *Oscillation of solutions of a nonlinear equation*, SIAM Review **5** (1963), 128-130.
8. ———, *Some properties of solution of  $u'' + a(t)f(u) = 0$* , Monat. Math. (1963-64), 50-54.
9. W. R. Utz, *Properties of solutions of  $u'' + g(t)u^{2n-1} = 0$* , Monat. Math. (1961-62), 55-60.



10. J. S. W. Wong, *A note on second order nonlinear oscillation*, SIAM Review **10** (1968), 88-91.

Received June 19, 1968. This research was supported by a NASA Traineeship at the University of Nebraska.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA  
LINCOLN, NEBRASKA, AND  
THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA  
EDMONTON, ALBERTA

