

NORMALITY AND SHARED SETS

MINGLIANG FANG and LAWRENCE ZALCMAN[✉]

(Received 31 August 2007; accepted 12 December 2007)

Communicated by P. C. Fenton

Abstract

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 1$. Let a and b be distinct finite complex numbers, and let k be a positive integer. If, for each pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the set $S = \{a, b\}$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D . The condition that the zeros of functions in \mathcal{F} have multiplicity at least $k + 1$ cannot be weakened.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 30D45.

Keywords and phrases: meromorphic function, normality, shared set.

1. Introduction

A family \mathcal{F} of functions meromorphic in the plane domain D is said to be normal in D if each sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ has a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}\}$ that converges spherically locally uniformly in D to a meromorphic function or ∞ (see [10, 15, 18]).

Let f and g be meromorphic functions on D , $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, and let S be a set of complex numbers. If $f(z) = a$ if and only if $g(z) = a$, we say that f and g share a in D ; if $f(z) \in S$ if and only if $g(z) \in S$, we say that f and g share S in D .

In [13], Montel proved the following well-known normality criterion.

THEOREM A. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , and let a, b and c be three distinct values in the extended complex plane. If, for each function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f \neq a, b, c$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

In [16], Sun extended Theorem A as follows.

THEOREM B. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D ; and let a, b and c be three distinct values in the extended complex plane. If each pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} share a, b and c in D , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

In [4], Fang and Hong extended Theorem B further.

The research of the first author was supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 10771076) and the NSF of Guangdong Province, China. The research of the second author was supported by the German–Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development, Grant G-809-234.6/2003.

© 2009 Australian Mathematical Society 1446-7887/2009 \$16.00

THEOREM C. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D ; and let a, b and c be three distinct values in the extended complex plane. If each pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} share the set $S = \{a, b, c\}$ in D , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 1$, where k is a positive integer. Let a and b be distinct (finite) complex numbers. If, for each pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the set $S = \{a, b\}$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

EXAMPLE 1.2. Let k be a positive integer. Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}$, where $f_n(z) = nz^k$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then each function in \mathcal{F} has a single zero of multiplicity k . Clearly, for each pair of functions f_m, f_n in \mathcal{F} , $f_m^{(k)}$ and $f_n^{(k)}$ share the set $S = \{1/2, 1/3\}$ in D . But \mathcal{F} clearly fails to be normal on any neighbourhood of 0. This shows that the condition in Theorem 1.1 that the zeros of functions in \mathcal{F} have multiplicity at least $k + 1$ cannot be weakened.

The following result of Gu [9] is well known.

THEOREM D. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , let k be a positive integer, and let b be a nonzero complex number. If, for each function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f \neq 0$ and $f^{(k)} \neq b$ in D , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

Recently, we improved Theorem D as follows.

THEOREM E [7, Theorem 1]. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 2$, and let b be a nonzero complex number. If each pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} share 0, and $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share b in D , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

We also gave an example to show that the condition in Theorem E that the zeros of functions in \mathcal{F} have multiplicity at least $k + 2$ cannot be weakened.

In this paper, we continue our investigations and prove the following results.

THEOREM 1.3. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 2$, where k is a positive integer. Let a and b be two nonzero complex numbers. If each pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} share a , and $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share b in D , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

EXAMPLE 1.4. Let

$$f_n(z) = \frac{(a_n z + 1)^{k+1}}{nz - 1},$$

where $a_n > 0$ satisfies $a_n^{k+1} k! = n$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}$ and $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. It can be shown, using Rouché's theorem, that, for sufficiently large n , $f_n(z) = -1$ has only the solution $z = 0$ in D . Thus, for each pair of functions f_n and f_m in \mathcal{F} :

- (1) f_n and f_m share -1 for $n, m \geq N$, where N is a positive integer depending only on k ;
- (2) all zeros of f_n and f_m have multiplicity $k + 1$; and
- (3) $f_n^{(k)}$ and $f_m^{(k)}$ share 1 .

Clearly, \mathcal{F} is not normal in D . This shows that the condition in Theorem 1.3 that ‘all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 2$ ’ cannot be weakened.

EXAMPLE 1.5. Let $a \neq 0$ be a complex number, and let

$$f_n(z) = \frac{a}{naz + 1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}$ and $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Then, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f \neq 0$, $f^{(k)} \neq 0$ and $f(z) = a$ has only the solution $z = 0$ in D . Thus, for each pair of functions f_n and f_m in \mathcal{F} :

- (1) f_n and f_m share a ;
- (2) all zeros of f_n and f_m have multiplicity at least $k + 2$; and
- (3) $f_n^{(k)}$ and $f_m^{(k)}$ share 0 .

But \mathcal{F} is not normal in D . This shows that $b \neq 0$ is necessary in Theorem 1.3.

THEOREM 1.6. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D ; let a, b and c be complex numbers such that $a \neq b$, $c \neq 0$; and let k be a positive integer. If, for each pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, f and g share the set $S = \{a, b\}$ and $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the value c , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

Example 1.5 also shows that $c \neq 0$ is necessary in Theorem 1.6.

THEOREM 1.7. Let $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer; let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least k ; and let a, b and c be complex numbers such that $a \neq b$, $c \neq 0$. If, for each pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, f and g share c and $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the set $S = \{a, b\}$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

Example 1.2 also shows that $c \neq 0$ is necessary in Theorem 1.7.

EXAMPLE 1.8. Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}$, where $f_n(z) = nz + c$. Then, for each pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, f and g share c , and f' and g' share the set $\{1/2, 1/3\}$ in D . Clearly, \mathcal{F} is not normal in D . This shows that Theorem 1.7 is not valid for $k = 1$.

THEOREM 1.9. Let a, b and c be complex numbers such that $bc \neq 0$; let k and m be positive integers with $k < m$; and let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 1$. If, for each pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, f and g share a , $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share b , and $f^{(m)}$ and $g^{(m)}$ share c , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

Example 1.2 shows that the condition in Theorem 1.9 that all zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 1$ cannot be weakened, and [7, Examples 1 and 2] show that $c \neq 0$ is necessary in Theorem 1.9.

2. Auxiliary results

For the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.3, 1.6, 1.7 and 1.9, we require the following auxiliary results.

LEMMA 2.1 [14, 19]. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions meromorphic in the unit disc, all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least k , and suppose that there exists $A \geq 1$ such that $|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$ whenever $f(z) = 0$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, if \mathcal{F} is not normal, there exist, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$,*

- (a) a number $0 < r < 1$,
- (b) points z_n , $|z_n| < r$,
- (c) functions $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$, and
- (d) positive numbers $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$,

such that

$$\frac{f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)}{\rho_n^\alpha} = g_n(\xi) \rightarrow g(\xi),$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where g is a nonconstant meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let k be a positive integer and g a function meromorphic on \mathbb{C} such that $g^{(k)}$ omits two values in \mathbb{C} . Then $g^{(k)}$ is constant.*

PROOF. Clearly, no nonconstant rational function omits two values on \mathbb{C} . On the other hand, if $g^{(k)}$ is transcendental, then it takes on every finite value with at most one exception infinitely often [10, Theorem 3.4]. \square

LEMMA 2.3 [17, Theorem 7]. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 2$; and let b be a nonzero complex number. If $f^{(k)} \neq b$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

LEMMA 2.4 [6, Theorem 2]. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D ; let a and b be nonzero complex numbers; and let k be a positive integer. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, all the zeros of f have multiplicity at least $k + 1$ and $f(z) = a$ if and only if $f^{(k)}(z) = b$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

LEMMA 2.5 [1, 8, 12, Corollary of Theorem 1]. *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function on the plane and $k \geq 2$ a positive integer. Suppose that $f(z) \neq 0$, and $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then either $f(z) = e^{Az+B}$ or $f(z) = 1/(Az + B)^m$, where $A \neq 0$ and B are constants and m is a positive integer.*

LEMMA 2.6 [17, Lemma 8]. *Let $f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 + q(z)/p(z)$, where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are constants with $a_n \neq 0$, and q and p are two coprime*

polynomials, neither of which vanishes identically, with $\deg q < \deg p$; and let k be a positive integer and b a nonzero complex number. If $f^{(k)} \neq b$, and the zeros of f all have multiplicity at least $k + 1$, then

$$f(z) = \frac{b(z-d)^{k+1}}{k!(z-c)},$$

where c and d are distinct complex numbers.

LEMMA 2.7 [5, Theorem 1]. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D ; let k (where $k \geq 2$) be a positive integer; and let a, b, c and d be complex numbers such that $b \neq a, 0$ and $c \neq 0$. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, all zeros of $f - d$ have multiplicity at least k , $f(z) = 0$ if and only if $f^{(k)}(z) = a$ and $f^{(k)}(z) = b$ implies that $f(z) = c$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

LEMMA 2.8 [6, Theorem 1]. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D ; let k (where $k \geq 2$) be a positive integer; and let a, b , and c be complex numbers such that $b \neq 0$ and $c \neq a$. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, f has only zeros of multiplicity at least k , $f(z) = a$ if and only if $f^{(k)}(z) = b$ and $f^{(k)}(z) = 0$ implies that $f(z) = c$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

LEMMA 2.9 [2, 18, Lemma 2.4]. Let $T(r)$ be a continuous, nondecreasing, nonnegative function and $a(r)$ a nonincreasing, nonnegative function on the interval (r_0, R) . If there exist constants b and c such that

$$T(r) \leq a(r) + b \log^+ \frac{1}{\rho - r} + c \log^+ T(\rho)$$

whenever $r_0 < r < \rho < R$, then

$$T(r) \leq 2a(r) + B \log \frac{2}{R - r} + C,$$

where B and C are constants depending only on b and c .

LEMMA 2.10 [11, 18, Lemma 4.3]. Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $|z| < R$ (where $R \leq \infty$). If $f(0) \neq 0, \infty$, then, for every positive integer k ,

$$m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) \leq C_k \left\{ 1 + \log^+ \log^+ \frac{1}{|f(0)|} + \log^+ \frac{1}{r} \right. \\ \left. + \log^+ \frac{1}{\rho - r} + \log^+ \rho + \log^+ T(\rho, f) \right\},$$

where $0 < r < \rho < R$ and C_k is a constant depending only on k .

LEMMA 2.11. Let f be meromorphic in $D = \{|z| < R\}$; let k (where $k \geq 2$) be a positive integer; and let a, b and c be complex numbers such that $b \neq a$ and $c \neq 0$.

Suppose that all zeros of f have multiplicity at least k , $f(0) \neq 0, \infty$, $f^{(k+1)}(0) \neq 0, \infty$; that for some $z_0 \in D$, $z_0 \neq 0$, $f(z_0) = c$, but $f(z) \neq c$ for any $z \in D$, $z \neq z_0$; and that $f^{(k)}(z) \neq a, b$, for any $z \in D$. Then, for $0 < r < R$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, f) &\leq \frac{1}{k-1} \left\{ k \log r + 2km \left(r, \frac{f'}{f} \right) + km \left(r, \frac{f'}{f-c} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}-a} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}-b} \right) + \log \frac{|(f^{(k)}(0) - a)(f^{(k)}(0) - b)|}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} \\
 &\quad \left. + \log \frac{1}{|f(0)|} + k \log \frac{|f(0)(f(0) - c)|}{|f'(0)|} + M \right\}, \tag{2.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where M is a constant.

PROOF. Starting from [10, (2.1)], we have by familiar properties of the functions of Nevanlinna theory (see [10, pp. 4–5, 56])

$$\begin{aligned}
 &m \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - a} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - b} \right) \\
 &\leq m \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - a} + \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - b} \right) + M_1 \\
 &= m \left(r, \left(\frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - a} + \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - b} \right) \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}} \right) + M_1 \\
 &\leq m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - a} + \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - b} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}} \right) + M_1 \\
 &\leq m \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - a} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - b} \right) + M_1 + \log 2 \\
 &\leq T(r, f^{(k+1)}) - N \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}} \right) + \log \frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} \\
 &\quad + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - a} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - b} \right) + M_1 + \log 2 \\
 &\leq T(r, f^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, f) + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}} \right) \\
 &\quad + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - a} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - b} \right) + \log \frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + M_1 + \log 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f^{(k)} \neq a, b$, the extreme left-hand side of the inequality above is

$$T \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - a} \right) + T \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - b} \right).$$

On the other hand (see [10, (1.10)–(1.11)]) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & T\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - a}\right) + T\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - b}\right) \\
 &= T(r, f^{(k)} - a) + T(r, f^{(k)} - b) - \log |f^{(k)}(0) - a| - \log |f^{(k)}(0) - b| \\
 &\geq T(r, f^{(k)}) - \log^+ |a| - \log 2 - \log |f^{(k)}(0) - a| \\
 &\quad + T(r, f^{(k)}) - \log^+ |b| - \log 2 - \log |f^{(k)}(0) - b| \\
 &= 2T(r, f^{(k)}) - \log |(f^{(k)}(0) - a)(f^{(k)}(0) - b)| \\
 &\quad - \log^+ |a| - \log^+ |b| - 2 \log 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, f^{(k)}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - a}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - b}\right) \\
 &\quad + \log \frac{|(f^{(k)}(0) - a)(f^{(k)}(0) - b)|}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + M_2, \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where M_2 is a constant depending only on a, b and k .

Since

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) = m(r, f^{(k)}) + N(r, f^{(k)}) \geq N(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) \geq (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, f), \tag{2.3}$$

it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 k\bar{N}(r, f) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - a}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - b}\right) \\
 &\quad + \log \frac{|(f^{(k)}(0) - a)(f^{(k)}(0) - b)|}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + M_2. \tag{2.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using reasoning similar to that used to obtain (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - c}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{f - c}\right) + M_1 \\
 &\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f - c}\right) + M_1 + \log 2 \\
 &\leq T(r, f') - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f - c}\right) \\
 &\quad + \log \frac{1}{|f'(0)|} + M_1 + \log 2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq T(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, f) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + 2m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-c}\right) \\ &\quad + \log \frac{1}{|f'(0)|} + M_1 + \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &T\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + T\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) \\ &\quad + 2m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-c}\right) + \log \frac{1}{|f'(0)|} + M_1 + \log 2 \\ &\leq T(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) \\ &\quad + 2m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-c}\right) + \log \frac{1}{|f'(0)|} + M_1 + \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} &T\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + T\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) \\ &= T(r, f) - \log |f(0)| + T(r, f-c) - \log |f(0) - c| \\ &\geq 2T(r, f) - \log |f(0)| - \log |f(0) - c| - \log^+ |c| - \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) + 2m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-c}\right) \\ &\quad + \log \left| \frac{f(0)(f(0) - c)}{f'(0)} \right| + M_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since all the zeros of f have multiplicity at least k and $f - c$ has only a single zero in D , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \frac{1}{k}N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \log r + 2m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \\ &\quad + m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-c}\right) + \log \frac{|f(0)(f(0) - c)|}{|f'(0)|} + M_3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where M_3 is a constant depending only on c .

Together with (2.4), this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 kT(r, f) &\leq k\bar{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k \log r + 2km\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \\
 &\quad + km\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-c}\right) + k \log \frac{|f(0)(f(0)-c)|}{|f'(0)|} + kM_3 \\
 &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}-a}\right) \\
 &\quad + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}-b}\right) + 2km\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \\
 &\quad + km\left(r, \frac{f'}{f-c}\right) + T(r, f) + \log \frac{1}{|f(0)|} + k \log \frac{|f(0)(f(0)-c)|}{|f'(0)|} \\
 &\quad + \log \frac{|(f^{(k)}(0)-a)(f^{(k)}(0)-b)|}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + k \log r + (kM_3 + M_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.1) follows, so Lemma 2.11 is proved. □

LEMMA 2.12 [3]. *For k a positive integer, let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions defined in D , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 1$; and let $\mathcal{G} = \{f^{(k)} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$. If \mathcal{G} is normal in D , then \mathcal{F} is also normal in D .*

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.9

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Fix $z_0 \in D$. We show that \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 . Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $f^{(k)}(z_0) \neq a, b$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta = \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f^{(k)} \neq a, b$ in D_δ . Thus, for each $g \in \mathcal{F}$, the zeros of g have multiplicity at least $k + 1$ and $g^{(k)} \neq a, b$ in D_δ .

We claim that \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ . For notational simplicity, we may assume that D_δ is the unit disc Δ .

Suppose, on the contrary, that \mathcal{F} is not normal in Δ . Then by Lemma 2.1, we can find $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$, $z_n \in \Delta$ and $\rho_n \rightarrow 0^+$ such that $g_n(\xi) = \rho_n^{-k} f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)$ converges locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric to a nonconstant meromorphic function g on \mathbb{C} , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 1$. By Hurwitz’s theorem, either $g^{(k)} \neq a, b$ or $g^{(k)} \equiv a$ or $g^{(k)} \equiv b$. In either of the latter cases, g would be a polynomial of degree at most k , contradicting the fact that g is nonconstant and all zeros of g have multiplicity at least $k + 1$. Hence $g^{(k)} \neq a, b$. But then from Lemma 2.2, it follows that $g^{(k)}$ is a constant. As before, since the zeros of g have multiplicity at least $k + 1$, it follows that g is a constant, a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{F} is normal in Δ , and so \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

Case 2. $f^{(k)}(z_0) = a$ or b . Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f^{(k)} \neq a, b$ in $D_\delta^o = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{f_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{F} . Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ (which we again denote by $\{f_n\}$) such that $f_n^{(k)}(z_0) = a$. Thus, by the condition of the theorem, $f_n^{(k)} \neq a, b$ in D_δ^o and $f_n^{(k)}(z_0) = a$. As before, we may assume that $z_0 = 0$ and $\delta = 1$.

We claim that \mathcal{G} is normal in Δ .

Suppose, on the contrary, that \mathcal{G} is not normal in Δ . Then by Lemma 2.1, we can find a subsequence of \mathcal{G} , which we again denote by $\{f_n\}$, $z_n \in \Delta$, $z_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho_n \rightarrow 0^+$ such that $g_n(\xi) = \rho_n^{-k} f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)$ converges locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric to a nonconstant meromorphic function g on \mathbb{C} , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k + 1$.

Clearly, $g^{(k)} \neq b$. Now we consider two subcases.

Case 2.1. $z_n/\rho_n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $g^{(k)} \neq a$, so, again by Lemma 2.2, g is constant, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. $z_n/\rho_n \rightarrow -\alpha$. Then, it is easy to see that $g^{(k)}(\xi) \neq a$ for $\xi \neq \alpha$ and $g^{(k)}(\alpha) = a$. We consider a further two subcases.

Case 2.2.1. $b \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 2.6, it follows that

$$g(\xi) = \frac{b(\xi - d)^{k+1}}{k!(\xi - c)},$$

where c and d are distinct complex numbers. Thus

$$g^{(k)}(\xi) = b + \frac{A}{(\xi - c)^{k+1}},$$

where A is a nonzero complex number.

Obviously, $g^{(k)}(\xi) = a$ has $k + 1$ distinct solutions, which contradicts the fact that $g^{(k)}(\xi) = a$ has only the solution $\xi = \alpha$.

Case 2.2.2. $b = 0$. Then $g^{(k)} \neq 0$. Since all the zeros of g have multiplicity at least $k + 1$, it follows that $g \neq 0$.

If $k \geq 2$, then by Lemma 2.5, either $g(\xi) = e^{A\xi+B}$, or $g(\xi) = 1/(A\xi + B)^n$, where A and B are complex numbers, $A \neq 0$, and n is a positive integer. Clearly, for functions of this form, $g^{(k)}(\xi) = a$ has more than a single solution, contradicting what has been shown above.

If $k = 1$, then $g \neq 0$ and $g'(\xi) = a$ has only one solution. It follows from Hayman's alternative [10, Corollary to Theorem 3.5] that g is a rational function. This together with $g \neq 0$ and $g' \neq 0$ yields $g(\xi) = 1/(A\xi + B)^n$, where A and B are complex numbers, $A \neq 0$, and n is a positive integer. Again $g'(\xi) = a$ has more than a single solution, a contradiction.

Hence \mathcal{G} is normal in Δ . Thus a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ converges locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric to a meromorphic function or ∞ . Hence \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 , and so \mathcal{F} is normal in D . The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. Let $z_0 \in D$. We show that \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 . Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $f^{(k)}(z_0) \neq b$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta = \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f^{(k)} \neq b$ in D_δ . Thus, for each $g \in \mathcal{F}$, the zeros of g have multiplicity at least $k + 2$ and $g^{(k)} \neq b$ in D_δ . By Lemma 2.3, \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ . Hence, \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

Case 2. $f^{(k)}(z_0) = b$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f^{(k)} \neq b$ in the punctured disc $D_\delta^o = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$. Hence, for each $g \in \mathcal{F}$, $g^{(k)}(z) \neq b$, $z \in D_\delta^o$. We consider two subcases.

Case 2.1. $f(z_0) \neq a$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta = \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f \neq a$ in D_δ . Hence, for each pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, $f \neq a$, $g \neq a$, and $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share b in D_δ . It follows from Theorem E that \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ and hence normal at z_0 .

Case 2.2. $f(z_0) = a$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f \neq a$ in the punctured disc $D_\delta^o = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$. Hence, for each $g \in \mathcal{F}$, all zeros of g have multiplicity at least $k + 2$, and $g(z) = a$ if and only if $g^{(k)}(z) = b$, $z \in D_\delta$. Thus, by Lemma 2.4, \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ , and so \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

Therefore, \mathcal{F} is normal in D . The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6. Let $z_0 \in D$. We show that \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 . Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $f(z_0) \neq a, b$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta = \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f \neq a, b$ in D_δ . Thus, for each $g \in \mathcal{F}$, $g \neq a, b$ in D_δ . Consider the family of functions $\mathcal{F}_b = \{g - b : g \in \mathcal{F}\}$ on D_δ . Clearly, if $h \in \mathcal{F}_b$, then $h \neq 0$ on D_δ . Furthermore, if $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{F}_b$, then h and \tilde{h} share $a - b$ while $h^{(k)}$ and $\tilde{h}^{(k)}$ share c . Thus by Theorem 1.3, \mathcal{F}_b and hence \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ , so \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

Case 2. $f(z_0) = a$ or b . Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f \neq a, b$ in $D_\delta^o = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{F} . Then, for each f_n , $f_n \neq a, b$ in D_δ^o and $f_n(z_0) = a$ or b . Thus there exists a subsequence, which we continue to denote by $\{f_n\}$, such that (say) $f_n(z_0) = a$. Hence, for each f_n , $f_n \neq b$ in D_δ ; and for each pair of functions f_n and f_m , $f_n^{(k)}$ and $f_m^{(k)}$ share c . As in Case 1, it follows from Theorem 1.3 that $\{f_n\}$ is normal in D_δ , so \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

Therefore, \mathcal{F} is normal in D . The proof of Theorem 1.6 is complete. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7. Let $z_0 \in D$. We show that \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 . Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $f(z_0) \neq c$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta = \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f \neq c$ in D_δ . Thus, for each $g \in \mathcal{F}$, $g \neq c$ in D_δ . Applying Theorem 1.1 to the family $\mathcal{F}_c = \{g - c : g \in \mathcal{F}\}$, we see that \mathcal{F}_c , and hence \mathcal{F} , is normal in D_δ . Thus, \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

Case 2. $f(z_0) = c$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f \neq c$ in the punctured disc $D_\delta^o = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$. Hence, \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ^o . Next we consider two subcases.

Case 2.1. $f^{(k)}(z_0) = a$ or b . Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f^{(k)} \neq a, b$ in $D_\delta^o = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$.

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{F} . Then for each f_n , $f_n^{(k)} \neq a, b$ in D_δ^o and $f_n^{(k)}(z_0) = a$ or b . Then there exists a subsequence, which we again call $\{f_n\}$, such that (say) $f_n^{(k)}(z_0) = a$ for all n . Hence, for each f_n , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least k , $f_n^{(k)} \neq b$ and $f_n(z) = c$ if and only if $f_n^{(k)}(z) = a$ in D_δ . Thus, by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, $\{f_n\}$ is normal in D_δ , so there exists a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ that converges to a meromorphic function or ∞ in D_δ . Hence \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ , so \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

Case 2.2. $f^{(k)}(z_0) \neq a, b$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta = \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f^{(k)} \neq a, b$ in D_δ . Without loss of generality, we assume that $z_0 = 0$ and D_δ is the unit disc Δ .

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{F} . Then, for each f_n , $f_n(0) = c$ and $f_n(z) \neq c$ for $z \neq 0, z \in \Delta$. Hence, either there exists a subsequence (which we continue to denote by $\{f_n\}$) such that all zeros of $f_n(z) - c$ have multiplicity at least $k + 1$, or there exists a subsequence such that all zeros of $f_n(z) - c$ have the same multiplicity $l, 1 \leq l \leq k$. If all zeros of $f_n(z) - c$ have multiplicity at least $k + 1$, then, by Theorem 1.1, $\{f_n\}$ is normal in Δ . Suppose, then, that all zeros of $f_n(z) - c$ have the same multiplicity $l, 1 \leq l \leq k$; we prove that $\mathcal{G} = \{f_n\}$ is normal at $z = 0$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that \mathcal{G} is not normal at $z = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, there exist sequences $f_n \in \mathcal{G}, z_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho_n \rightarrow 0^+$ such that

$$g_n(\xi) = f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)$$

converges locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric to a nonconstant meromorphic function g in \mathbb{C} , whose zeros all have multiplicity at least k .

Since $g_n(\xi) = c$ has the unique solution $\xi = -z_n/\rho_n$ with multiplicity l for all n , it follows by the argument principle that $g(\xi) = c$ has at most one solution in \mathbb{C} .

We claim that $g^{(k+1)} \not\equiv 0$. In fact, if $g^{(k+1)} \equiv 0$, then $g(\xi) = A(\xi - \xi_0)^k$, where A is a nonzero constant. Thus $g(\xi) = c$ has k (where $k \geq 2$) distinct solutions, which contradicts the fact that $g(\xi) = c$ has at most one solution.

Now, we consider two subcases.

Case 2.2.1. There is a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that $f_{n_j}^{(k+1)}(z_{n_j} + \rho_{n_j} \xi) \equiv 0$. Then

$$g_{n_j}^{(k+1)}(\xi) = \rho_{n_j}^{k+1} f_{n_j}^{(k+1)}(z_{n_j} + \rho_{n_j} \xi) \equiv 0.$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $g^{(k+1)}(\xi) \equiv 0$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2.2. There are only finitely many f_n such that $f_n^{(k+1)}(\xi) \equiv 0$. We may assume that $f_n^{(k+1)}(\xi) \neq 0$ for all n . Take $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$g(\xi_0) \neq 0, c, \infty, \quad g'(\xi_0) \neq 0, \quad g^{(k)}(\xi_0) \neq 0, \quad g^{(k+1)}(\xi_0) \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\rho_n} \frac{(f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0))^{k-1} (f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) - c)^k}{(f_n'(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0))^k} \right| \\ & \quad \times \left| \frac{(f_n^{(k)}(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) - a)(f_n^{(k)}(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) - b)}{f_n^{(k+1)}(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0)} \right| \\ & = \left| \frac{g_n^{k-1}(\xi_0)(g_n(\xi_0) - c)^k (g_n^{(k)}(\xi_0) - a\rho_n^k)(g_n^{(k)}(\xi_0) - b\rho_n^k)}{(g_n'(\xi_0))^k g_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0)} \right| \\ & \rightarrow \left| \frac{g^{k-1}(\xi_0)(g(\xi_0) - c)^k (g^{(k)}(\xi_0))^2}{(g'(\xi_0))^k g^{(k+1)}(\xi_0)} \right| \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & k \log \frac{|f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0)(f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) - c)|}{|f_n'(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0)|} + \log \frac{1}{|f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0)|} \\ & + \log \frac{|(f_n^{(k)}(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) - a)(f_n^{(k)}(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) - b)|}{|f_n^{(k+1)}(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0)|} \\ & = \log \left| \rho_n \frac{g_n^{k-1}(\xi_0)(g_n(\xi_0) - c)^k (g_n^{(k)}(\xi_0) - a\rho_n^k)(g_n^{(k)}(\xi_0) - b\rho_n^k)}{(g_n'(\xi_0))^k g_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0)} \right| \\ & \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Set $h_n(z) = f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0 + z)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(0) &= f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) = g_n(\xi_0) \rightarrow g(\xi_0) \neq 0, c, \infty, \\ h_n'(0) &= f_n'(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) = \frac{g_n'(\xi_0)}{\rho_n} \rightarrow \infty, \\ h_n^{(k)}(0) &= f_n^{(k)}(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) = \frac{g_n^{(k)}(\xi_0)}{\rho_n^k} \rightarrow \infty, \\ h_n^{(k+1)}(0) &= f_n^{(k+1)}(z_n + \rho_n \xi_0) = \frac{g_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0)}{\rho_n^{k+1}} \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now for $R = 1$, $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$, $z_n + \rho_n \xi_0 + z \in \tilde{D} = \{w : |w| < R\}$ for sufficiently large n . Hence, by (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 2.11, for $r < R$ and sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, h_n) &\leq \frac{1}{k-1} \left[k \log r + 2km \left(r, \frac{h'_n}{h_n} \right) + km \left(r, \frac{h'_n}{h_n - c} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad + m \left(r, \frac{h_n^{(k+1)}}{h_n^{(k)}} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{h_n^{(k+1)}}{h_n^{(k)} - a} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{h_n^{(k+1)}}{h_n^{(k)} - b} \right) \\
 &\quad + \log \frac{|(h_n^{(k)}(0) - a)(h_n^{(k)}(0) - b)|}{|h_n^{(k+1)}(0)|} + \log \frac{1}{|h_n(0)|} \\
 &\quad \left. + k \log \frac{|h_n(0)(h_n(0) - c)|}{|h'_n(0)|} + M \right] \\
 &\leq C \left[2km \left(r, \frac{h'_n}{h_n} \right) + km \left(r, \frac{h'_n}{h_n - c} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{h_n^{(k+1)}}{h_n^{(k)}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + m \left(r, \frac{h_n^{(k+1)}}{h_n^{(k)} - a} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{h_n^{(k+1)}}{h_n^{(k)} - b} \right) + 1 \right]. \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.10, we have

$$T(r, h_n) \leq C_1 \left[\log^+ T(\rho, h_n) + \log \frac{1}{\rho - r} + 1 \right],$$

where $0 < r < \rho < R$.

Thus, by Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$T(r, h_n) \leq C_2 \left[\log \frac{2}{1 - r} + 1 \right],$$

where C_2 does not depend on h_n .

Let b_n be a pole of h_n with $|b_n| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\log \frac{1/2}{|b_n|} \leq N \left(\frac{1}{2}, h_n \right) \leq T \left(\frac{1}{2}, h_n \right) \leq C_3,$$

so that $|b_n| > 1/(2e^{C_3})$.

Thus f_n is holomorphic in $|z| < 1/(2e^{C_3})$, and hence (see [10, Theorem 1.6])

$$\log M \left(\frac{1}{4e^{C_3}}, f_n \right) \leq 3T \left(\frac{1}{2e^{C_3}}, f_n \right) \leq 3C_2 \left[\log \frac{2}{1 - 1/(2e^{C_3})} + 1 \right].$$

Therefore, \mathcal{G} is normal at the origin, so \mathcal{F} is normal in D . The proof of Theorem 1.7 is complete. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.9. Let $z_0 \in D$. We show that \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 . Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We consider several cases.

Case 1. $f(z_0) \neq a$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta = \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f \neq a$ in D_δ . Thus, for each $g \in \mathcal{F}$, $g \neq a$ in D_δ . Since $\mathcal{F}_a = \{g - a : g \in \mathcal{F}\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem E, \mathcal{F}_a and hence \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ ; and so \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

Case 2. $f(z_0) = a$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta^o = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f \neq a$ in D_δ^o . Hence, \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ^o . We consider two subcases.

Case 2.1. $f^{(k)}(z_0) \neq b$. Then there exists a disc $D_\delta = \{z : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ such that $f^{(k)} \neq b$ in D_δ . Set $\mathcal{G} = \{f^{(k)} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Then for each pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$, $f \neq b$ and $g \neq b$, and $f^{(m-k)}$ and $g^{(m-k)}$ share c in D_δ . As before, Theorem E shows that \mathcal{G} is normal in D_δ . Thus by Lemma 2.12, \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ and so at z_0 .

Case 2.2. $f^{(k)}(z_0) = b$. Then clearly there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f^{(k)} \neq b$ in $D_\delta^o = \{z : 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta\}$. Thus, for each function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f(z) = a$ if and only if $f^{(k)}(z) = b$ in D_δ , so by Lemma 2.4, \mathcal{F} is normal in D_δ . Thus \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.9. □

References

- [1] W. Bergweiler, 'Normality and exceptional values of derivatives', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), 121–129.
- [2] F. Bureau, 'Mémoire sur les fonctions uniformes à point singulier essentiel isolé', *Mém. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège* (3) **17** (1932).
- [3] H. H. Chen and P. Lappan, 'Spherical derivative, higher derivatives and normal families', *Adv. Math. (China)* (6) **25** (1996), 517–524.
- [4] M. L. Fang and W. Hong, 'Some results on normal family of meromorphic functions', *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2) **23** (2000), 143–151.
- [5] M. L. Fang and L. Zalcman, 'Normal families and shared values of meromorphic functions', *Ann. Polon. Math.* **80** (2003), 133–141.
- [6] ———, 'Normal families and shared values of meromorphic functions III', *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **2** (2002), 385–395.
- [7] ———, 'A note on normality and shared values', *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **76** (2004), 141–150.
- [8] G. Frank, 'Eine Vermutung von Hayman über Nullstellen meromorpher Funktionen', *Math. Z.* **149** (1976), 29–36.
- [9] Y. X. Gu, 'Un critère de normalité des familles de fonctions méromorphes', *Sci. Sinica, Special Issue I* (1979), 267–274.
- [10] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964).
- [11] K. L. Hiong, 'Sur les fonctions holomorphes dont les dérivées admettant une valeur exceptionnelle', *Ann. École Norm. Sup.* (3) **72** (1955), 165–197.
- [12] J. K. Langley, 'Proof of a conjecture of Hayman concerning f and f'' ', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **48** (1993), 500–514.
- [13] P. Montel, 'Sur les familles de fonctions analytiques qui admettent des valeurs exceptionnelles dans un domaine', *Ann. École Norm. Sup.* (3) **29** (1912), 487–535.
- [14] X. C. Pang and L. Zalcman, 'Normal families and shared values', *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **32** (2000), 325–331.
- [15] J. Schiff, *Normal Families* (Springer, Berlin, 1993).
- [16] D. C. Sun, 'The shared value criterion for normality', *J. Wuhan Univ. Natur. Sci.* **3** (1994), 9–12.
- [17] Y. F. Wang and M. L. Fang, 'Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros', *Acta Math. Sinica, New Series* **14** (1998), 17–26.

- [18] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory* (Springer, Berlin, 1993).
[19] L. Zalcman, 'Normal families: new perspectives', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **35** (1998), 215–230.

MINGLIANG FANG, Institute of Applied Mathematics,
South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, 510642, PR China
e-mail: hnmlfang@hotmail.com

LAWRENCE ZALCMAN, Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University,
52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel
e-mail: zalcman@macs.biu.ac.il