THE LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR STATIONARY PROCESSES SATISFYING MIXING CONDITIONS

By Hiroshi Oodaira and Ken-ichi Yoshihara

0. Summary.

The law of the iterated logarithm for various stochastic sequences has long been studied by many authors. Recently, Iosifescu proved in [5] that the law holds for stationary sequences satisfying the uniformly strong mixing condition and Reznik showed in [8] that the one is also valid for stationary processes satisfying the strong mixing condition. But, the conditions used in [5] and [8] are slightly stringent. The purpose of this paper is to weaken those conditions, that is, to prove the law under as similar as possible requirements to the conditions in [3].

1. Definitions and notations.

Let $\{x_j, -\infty < j < \infty\}$ be processes which are strictly stationary and satisfy one of the following conditions:

(I)
$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^k, B \in \mathcal{M}_{k+n}^{\infty}} \frac{1}{P(A)} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| = \varphi(n) \to 0 \ (n \to \infty)$$

or

(II)
$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^k, B \in \mathcal{M}_{k+n}^{\infty}} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| = \alpha(n) \to 0 \ (n \to \infty),$$

where \mathcal{M}_a^b denotes the σ -algebra generated by events of the type

$$\{(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \in E\}, \quad a \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq b$$

and E is a k-dimensional Borel set. In line with [4], we shall call Condition (I) the uniformly strong mixing (u.s.m.) condition and (II) the strong mixing (s.m.) codition.

In what follows, we assume that all processes $\{x_j\}$ are strictly stationary, $Ex_j=0$ and $Ex_j^2<\infty$. We shall agree to denote by the letter K_i a quantity bounded in absolute value.

Received October 15, 1970.

2. A sufficient condition for the validity of the law of the iterated logarithm.

In this and next sections, we write

$$S_n = x_1 + \dots + x_n$$
, $\sigma_n^2 = \text{var}(S_n)$

and put

$$\sigma^2 = Ex_0^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Ex_0 x_j$$

if the series converges. We shall use σ^2 only when σ^2 is positive.

Theorem 1. Let the strictly stationary process $\{x_j\}$ satisfy the s.m. condition. Suppose that $\sum \alpha(n) < \infty$ and

(1)
$$\sigma_n^2 = n\sigma^2(1 + o(1)) \quad (\sigma^2 > 0).$$

Then, the process $\{x_i\}$ obeys the law of the iterated logarithm, if the following requirements are fulfilled for some $\rho > 0$ and for all sufficiently large n:

(i)
$$\sup_{-\infty < z < \infty} |P(S_n < z\sigma\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(z)| = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{1+\rho}}\right)$$

where

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{-t^{2}/2} dt$$

(ii)
$$P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| \ge b \chi(n)) = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{1+\rho}}\right)$$

where b>1 is an arbitrary number and

$$\chi(n) = (2\sigma^2 n \log \log n)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We will use the method of the proof in [7]. The assertion will be proved if we show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(3) P(|S_n| > (1+\varepsilon)\chi(n) \text{ i.o.}) = 0$$

and

$$P(|S_n| > (1-\varepsilon)\chi(n) \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Firstly, we shall prove (3). For an arbitrarily chosen positive number τ , there exists a non-decreasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$(5) (n_k-1)\sigma^2 \leq (1+\tau)^k < n_k\sigma^2$$

for $k=k_0+1$, k_0+2 , ..., where k_0 is a positive integer. So, for all sufficiently large k

$$n_k \sim \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (1+\tau)^k$$

and

(7)
$$n_k - n_{k-1} = n_k \left(1 - \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k} \right) \sim n_k \frac{\tau}{1+\tau}.$$

From (ii)

$$P(\max_{1 \le i \le n_k} |S_i| > (1+\gamma)\chi(n_k)) \le K(\log n_k)^{-(1+\rho)} < K[k \log (1+\tau)]^{-(1+\gamma_1)}$$

for any $\gamma(>0)$, $\gamma_1(0<\gamma_1<\rho)$ and for all k sufficiently large. Thus

(8)
$$\sum_{k} P(\max_{1 \le j \le n_k} |S_j| > (1+\gamma) \chi(n_k)) < \infty.$$

We note here that for all sufficiently large k

$$\frac{\chi(n_k)}{\chi(n_{k-1})} < \sqrt{1+2\tau}.$$

For a fixed number $\gamma(0<\gamma<\varepsilon)$, choose a positive constant τ such that

$$\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+2\tau}} > 1+\gamma$$
.

Then, from the Borel-Cantelli lemma and (8), we have

$$\begin{split} &P(|S_{n}| > (1+\varepsilon)\chi(n) \text{ i.O.}) \leq P(\max_{n_{k-1} \leq n \leq n_{k}} |S_{n}| > (1+\varepsilon)\chi(n_{k-1}) \text{ i.o.}) \\ &\leq P(\max_{1 \leq n \leq n_{k}} |S_{n}| > (1+\varepsilon)\chi(n_{k-1}) \text{ i.o.}) \\ &\leq P\left(\max_{1 \leq n \leq n_{k}} |S_{n}| > \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+2\tau}} \chi(n_{k-1}) \text{ i.o.}\right) \\ &\leq P(\max_{1 \leq n \leq n_{k}} |S_{n}| > (1+\gamma)\chi(n_{k}) \text{ i.o.}) = 0. \end{split}$$

Thus, (3) holds.

Now, we turn to a proof of (4). For a sufficiently large number A>0 and sufficiently small $\delta>0$, let

$$E_i = \{ |S_{Ai}| \le (1 - \delta) \chi(A^i), i < j; |S_{Aj}| > (1 - \delta) \chi(A^j) \}$$
 $(j = 1, 2, \dots).$

Let γ be a positive number such that for some $\varepsilon' > 0$, $2/\sqrt{A} + \gamma + \varepsilon' < \delta$. From the s.m. condition (II)

$$(9) \qquad P(\{|S_{A^{i}}| \leq (1-\delta)\chi(A^{i}), i < j\} \cap \{|S_{A^{j}} - S_{A^{j-1} + \lfloor A^{j/2} \rfloor}| > (1-\gamma)\chi(A^{j})\}) \\ \geq P(|S_{A^{i}}| \leq (1-\delta)\chi(A_{i}), i < j) \cdot P(|S_{A^{j}} - S_{A^{j-1} + \lfloor A^{j/2} \rfloor}| > (1-\gamma)\chi(A^{j})) - \alpha([A^{j/2}]).$$

While, from (i)

$$P(|S_n| > b\chi(n)) \ge \frac{K_0}{(\log n)(\log \log n)}$$

holds for any b>1 and for all n sufficiently large. So, noting that $A^{j}-(A^{j-1}+[A^{j/2}])>A^{j/2}$ for all sufficiently large A, we have

$$v_{j} = P(|S_{A^{j}} - S_{A^{j-1} + \lfloor A^{j/2} \rfloor}| > (1 - \gamma) \chi(A^{j}))$$

$$\geq P(|S_{A^{j} - A^{j-1} - \lfloor A^{j/2} \rfloor}| > 2(1 - \gamma) \chi(\left\lceil \frac{A^{j}}{2} \right\rceil))$$

$$\geq P(|S_{A^{j} - A^{j-1} - \lfloor A^{j/2} \rfloor}| > 2(1 - \gamma) \chi(A^{j} - A^{j-1} - \lfloor A^{j/2} \rfloor)) \geq \frac{K_{1}}{i \log i}$$

and, moreover, from Chebyshev's inequality

(11)
$$P(|S_A^{j-1}|_{+[A^{j/2}]} - S_A^{j-1}| \ge \varepsilon' \chi(A^j)) \le K_2 A^{-j/2}.$$

So, using the method of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [8], we have

$$P(|S_{A^i}| > (1-\delta)\chi(A^i) \text{ for some } i, 1 \leq i \leq k) \rightarrow 1 \ (k \rightarrow \infty),$$

which implies (4). Hence, the proof is completed.

REMARK 1. For the process $\{x_j\}$, satisfying the s.m. condition, the requirement (ii) is fulfilled if (i) holds and there exists a function r=r(n) such that $r(n) \to \infty$ and

(12)
$$\max\left(\frac{n}{r}P(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_r|\geq \varepsilon \chi(n), \frac{n}{r}\alpha(r)\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{1+\rho}}\right)$$

for any ε (0 $<\varepsilon<(b-1)/b$) where b>1 is an arbitrarily fixed number.

Proof. We use the method in [6]. For any b>1, let

$$E_j = \{ |S_i| < b\chi(n), i < j; |S_j| \ge b\chi(n) \}$$
 $(j=1, \dots, n)$

and k=[n/r]. It follows from the s.m. condition that for any $\alpha>0$

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_{j}| \geq b \chi(n)) = P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} E_{j}\right)$$

$$\leq P(|S_{n}| \geq b(1-\varepsilon)\chi(n)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} [E_{ir+j} \cup \{|S_{n} - S_{ir+j}| \geq \varepsilon b \chi(n)\}]\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{l=(k-1)r+1}^{n} P(E_{l} \cap \{|S_{n} - S_{l}| \geq \varepsilon \chi(n)\})$$

$$\leq P(|S_{n}| \geq b(1-\varepsilon)\chi(n)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} P\left(\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} E_{ir+j}\right) \cap \left\{|S_{n} - S_{(i+2)r}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\chi(n)\right\}\right)$$

$$(13)$$

$$\begin{split} &+\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} \left[E_{ir+j} \cup \left\{ |S_{(i+2)r} - S_{ir+j}| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \chi(n) \right\} \right] \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=(k-1)r+1}^{n} P(E_l \cap \{|S_n - S_l| \ge \varepsilon \chi(n)\}) \\ &\le P(|S_n| \ge b(1-\varepsilon)\chi(n)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} E_{ir+j}\right) P\left(|S_n - S_{(i+2)r}| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \chi(n)\right) \\ &+ k\alpha(r) + (k+1) P\left(|x_1| + \dots + |x_{2r}| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \chi(n)\right). \end{split}$$

Since for any i $(0 \le i \le k-1)$

$$P(|S_n - S_{(i+2)r}| \ge \varepsilon \chi(n)) = P(|S_{n-(i+2)r}| \ge \varepsilon \chi(n)) \le \frac{\sigma_{n-(i+2)r}^2}{\varepsilon^2 \{\chi(n)\}^2} \to 0,$$

so for sufficiently large n

(14)
$$P(|S_n - S_{(i+2)r}| \ge \varepsilon \chi(n)) \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, from (12), (13) and (14)

$$P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| \ge b\chi(n))$$

$$\le P(|S_n| \ge b(1-\varepsilon)\chi(n)) + \frac{1}{2}P(\max_{1 \le i \le n} |S_j| \ge b\chi(n)) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{1+\rho}}\right).$$

Hence, from (i) we have

$$P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| \ge b \chi(n)) = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{1+\rho_1}}\right)$$

where ρ_1 is a positive constant.

REMARK 2. For the process $\{x_j\}$, satisfying the u.s.m. condition (I), the requirement (ii) is satisfied if (i) holds and there exists a function r=r(n) such that $r(n)\to\infty$ and

(15)
$$\frac{n}{r}P(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_r| \ge \varepsilon \chi(n)) = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{1+\rho}}\right)$$

for any $\varepsilon(0 < \varepsilon < (b-1)/b)$ where b > 1 is an arbitrarily fixed number.

3. The law of the iterated logarithm for the process $\{x_j\}$ satisfying one of the conditions (I) or (II).

THEOREM 1. 1 in [8] may be generalized in two ways:

- (a) One way is to weaken the requirement $E|x_0|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ retaining the condition $\sum \{\varphi(n)\}^{1/2} < \infty$, (Therem 2);
- (b) The other is to weaken the requirement $\sum {\{\varphi(n)\}^{1/2}} < \infty$ retaining the condition $E|x_0|^{2+\delta} < \infty$, (Theorem 3).

THEOREM 2. Let the process $\{x_j\}$ satisfying the u.s.m. condition have the following properties:

1°. For all sufficiently large N

(16)
$$\int_{|x|>N} x^2 dP = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log N)^5}\right)$$
2°.
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} {\{\varphi(j)\}^{1/2}} < \infty.$$

Then the law of the iterated logarithm is applicable to the process $\{x_i\}$.

Proof. We remark first that from 2°

$$\sigma_n^2 = n\sigma^2(1 + o(1))$$

(cf. [3] and [4]). Let

$$f_N(x) = \begin{cases} x & (|x| \leq N), \\ 0 & (|x| > N) \end{cases}$$

and $\bar{f}_N = x - \bar{f}_N(x)$. Furthermore, let $r(n) = [n^{1/3}]$ and $N = [n^{1/6}]$. Then for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{split} &P(|x_{1}|+\cdots+|x_{r}|\geq2\lambda\mathcal{U}(n))\\ \leq &P(|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{1})|+\cdots+|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{r})|\geq\lambda\mathcal{U}(n))\\ &+P(|f_{N}(x_{1})|+\cdots+|f_{N}(x_{r})|\geq\lambda\mathcal{U}(n))\\ &=P(|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{1})|+\cdots+|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{r})|\geq\lambda\mathcal{U}(n))\\ &\leq\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}\{\chi(n)\}^{2}}E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r}|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{j})|\right)^{2}\\ &\leq\frac{r}{\lambda^{2}\{\chi(n)\}^{2}}\left\{E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2}+2\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|\cdot|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{j})|\right\}\\ &\leq\frac{r}{\lambda^{2}\{\chi(n)\}^{2}}\left\{E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2}+2r(E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|)^{2}+4(E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2})\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}\{\varphi(j)\}^{1/2}\right\}\\ &\leq\frac{r}{\lambda^{2}\{\chi(n)\}^{2}}E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2}\left\{1+2r\cdot\frac{1}{N^{2}}E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2}+4\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\{\varphi(j)\}^{1/2}\right\}\\ &\leq K\frac{r}{\{\chi(n)\}^{2}}\cdot\frac{1}{(\log n)^{6}} \end{split}$$

and so

$$\frac{n}{r}P(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_r|\geq 2\lambda \chi(n))=O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^5}\right).$$

Thus, (15) holds.

Next, we shall prove that (i) in Theorem 1 is satisfied. Define

$$S'_{n} = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (f_{N}(x_{j}) - Ef_{N}(x_{j}))$$

and

$$S_n'' = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{f}_N(x_i) - E\bar{f}_N(x_i)).$$

For a small $\alpha(0 < \alpha < 1/2)$, put

$$p(n)=[n^{1/2+a}], q(n)=[n^{1/2-a}], k=\left[\frac{n}{p+q}\right]$$

and set

$$T'_{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} (f_{N}(x_{i(p+q)+j}) - Ef_{N}(x_{i(p+q)+j})), \quad T''_{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \zeta_{i}$$

where

$$\zeta_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} (f_{N}(x_{i(p+q)+p+j}) - Ef_{N}(x_{i(p+q)+p+j})) \qquad (i=0, 1, \dots, k-1),$$

$$\zeta_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} (f_{N}(x_{j}) - Ef_{N}(x_{j})).$$

Then

(17)
$$ES_{n}^{\prime\prime 2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \left\{ E(\vec{f}_{N}(x_{0}) - E\vec{f}_{N}(x_{0}))^{2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n} \right) E(\vec{f}_{N}(x_{0}) - E\vec{f}_{N}(x_{0})) (\vec{f}_{N}(x_{j}) - E\vec{f}_{N}(x_{j})) \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\sigma^{2}} E|\vec{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \{\varphi(j)\}^{1/2} \right\} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{5}}\right)$$

and

$$ET_{n}^{\prime\prime2} = E\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \zeta_{i}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}n} \left\{ (k-1)E\zeta_{0}^{2} + 2k\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |E\zeta_{0}\zeta_{i}| + E\zeta_{k}^{2} + 2\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |E\zeta_{i}\zeta_{k}| \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}n} \left\{ kE\zeta_{0}^{2} + 4kE\zeta_{0}^{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \{\varphi(i(p+q))\}^{1/2} + E\zeta_{k}^{2} + 2\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{E\zeta_{0}^{2}} \sqrt{E\zeta_{k}^{2}} \{\varphi((k-i)(p+q))\}^{1/2} + 2\sqrt{E\zeta_{k-1}^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{E\zeta_{k}^{2}} \right\}$$

$$(18)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2 n} \left\{ k \sigma_q^2 + \sigma_{p+q}^2 + 4k \sigma_q^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \{ \varphi(i(p+q)) \}^{1/2} + 4k \sigma_q \sigma_{p+q} \left(\frac{1}{2k} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ \varphi(i(p+q)) \}^{1/2} \right) \right\}$$

$$= O(n^{-r_2})$$

for some $\gamma_2 > 0$. Since

$$\begin{split} &|Ee^{itS_{n'\sigma}\sqrt{n}} - Ee^{itT_{n'}}|\\ &\leq |Ee^{itS_{n'\sigma}\sqrt{n}} - Ee^{itS_{n'}}| + |Ee^{itS_{n'}} - Ee^{itT_{n'}}|\\ &\leq Ee|^{itS_{n''}} - 1| + E|e^{itT_{n''}} - 1|\\ &\leq |t| \cdot E|S_{n'}''| + |t| \cdot E|T_{n'}'| \leq |t| \{\sqrt{E|S_{n'}'|^2} + \sqrt{E|T_{n'}'|^2}\}, \end{split}$$

so, from (17) and (18)

(19)
$$I_{1} = \int_{-(\log n)^{5/4}}^{(\log n)^{5/4}} \left| \frac{Ee^{itS_{n'\sigma}\sqrt{n}} - Ee^{itT_{n'}}}{t} \right| dt \\ \leq \int_{-(\log n)^{5/4}}^{(\log n)^{5/4}} \left\{ \sqrt{E|S_{n'}'|^{2}} + \sqrt{E|T_{n'}'|^{2}} \right\} dt = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{5/4}}\right).$$

Furthermore, let η_0 , η_1 , ..., η_{k-1} be independent random variables distributed in the same way as the corresponding

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\sum_{j=1}^{p}(f_{N}(x_{i(p+q)+j})-Ef_{N}(x_{i(p+q)+j})) \qquad (j=0, 1, \dots, k-1).$$

From Condition (I)

$$\left| Ee^{itT_{n'}} - \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} Ee^{it\eta_j} \right| \leq k\varphi(q) = k \cdot O(q^{-2}) = O(n^{-r_3})$$

for some $\gamma_3>0$ and for all n sufficiently large. On the other hand

$$\left| E e^{itT_n'} - \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} E e^{it\eta_j} \right| \leq \frac{t^2}{2} (E|T_n'|^2 + kE\eta_0^2)$$

for all sufficiently small |t|. So

$$I_{2} = \int_{-(\log n)^{5/4}}^{(\log n)^{5/4}} \left| \frac{Ee^{itTn'} - Ee^{it\Sigma_{j=0}^{k-1}\eta_{j}}}{t} \right| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{-n^{-1/4}}^{n^{-1/4}} \left| \frac{Ee^{itTn'} - Ee^{it\Sigma_{j=0}^{k-1}\eta_{j}}}{t} \right| dt + \int_{n^{-1/4} \leq |t| \leq (\log n)^{5/4}} \left| \frac{Ee^{itTn'} - Ee^{it\Sigma_{j=0}^{k-1}\eta_{j}}}{t} \right| dt$$

$$(20)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} (E |T'_n|^2 + kE\eta_0^2) \int_{-n-1/4}^{n-1/4} |t| dt + O(n^{-\gamma_3}) \int_{n-1/4 \leq |t| \leq (\log n)^{5/4}} \frac{dt}{|t|}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{5/4}}\right)$$

Next, let

$$\eta_j' = \frac{\sigma\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{kE\eta_0^2}}\eta_j \qquad (j=0, 1, \dots, k-1).$$

Then, by the analogous argument, we have

(21)
$$I_{3} = \int_{-(\log n)^{5/4}}^{(\log n)^{5/4}} \left| \frac{Ee^{it\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \eta_{j}} - Ee^{it\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \eta_{j'}}}{t} \right| dt = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{5/4}}\right)$$

for all sufficiently large n.

Finally, by applying Esseen's lemma to the sum $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \eta'_j$, we obtain

$$\left|\frac{Ee^{it\Sigma_{j=0}^{k-1}\eta_{j'}}-e^{-t^{2/2}}}{t}\right| \leq \frac{KE\left|\eta_{0}'\right|^{2+\delta}}{\sigma_{p}^{2+\delta}k^{\delta/2}}|t|^{1+\delta}e^{-t^{2/4}} \leq Kk^{-\delta/2}|t|^{1+\delta}e^{-t^{2/4}}$$

for all t such that

$$|t| \le \frac{\sqrt{n} \{E |\eta_0'|^2\}^{(2+\delta)/2}}{24E |\eta_0'|^{2+\delta}} \le K_2 \sqrt{n}$$

(cf. Lemma 1. 9 in [3]). So

(22)
$$I_4 = \int_{-(\log n)^{5/4}}^{(\log n)^{5/4}} \left| \frac{Ee^{it\Sigma_{j=0}^{k-1}\eta} - e^{-t^{2/2}}}{t} \right| dt = O(k^{-\delta/2}).$$

Combining (19)-(22), we have from Esseen's theorem

(23)
$$\sup_{-\infty < i < \infty} |F(S_n < z\sigma\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(z)| \\ \leq K_1 \int_{-(\log n)^{5/4}}^{(\log n)^{5/4}} \left| \frac{Ee^{itS_{n/\sqrt{n}\sigma}} - e^{-t^{2/2}}}{t} \right| dt + \frac{K_2}{(\log n)^{5/4}} \\ \leq K_1 (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4) + \frac{K_2}{(\log n)^{5/4}} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{5/4}}\right).$$

Thus, from Theorem 1 and Remark 2, we have the theorem.

THEOREM 3. The process $\{x_j\}$, satisfying the u.s.m. condition, obeys the law of the iterated logarithm, if the following requirements are fulfilled:

1°.
$$E|x_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty$$
 for some $\delta > 0$;

2°.
$$\varphi(n) = O(1/n^{1+\epsilon})$$
 for some $\varepsilon > 1/(1+\delta)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\varepsilon \leq 1$. Let

(24)
$$\rho = \frac{(1+\varepsilon)(1+\delta) - (2+\delta)}{2\delta(2+\delta)} = \frac{\varepsilon(1+\delta) - 1}{2\delta(2+\delta)} > 0.$$

We define $f_N(x)$ and $\bar{f}_N(x)$ as before. For any positive integer j, put $N_j = j^{\rho}$. Then from the inequalities in [3]

$$\begin{split} |Ex_0x_j| & \leq |Ex_0(f_{N_j}(x_j) - Ef_{N_j}(x_j))| + |Ex_0(\bar{f}_{N_j}(x_j) - E\bar{f}_{N_j}(x_j))| \\ & \leq 4N_j E|x_0|\varphi(j) + 2(E|x_0|^{2+\delta})^{1/(2+\delta)}(E|\bar{f}_{N_j}(x_j) \\ & - E\bar{f}_{N_j}(x_j)|^{(2+\delta)/(1+\delta)})^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)}\{\varphi(j)\}^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} \\ & \leq 4N_j E|x_0|\varphi(j) + 4N_j^{-\delta}E|x_0|^{2+\delta}\{\varphi(j)\}^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} \\ & \leq 4E|x_0|\frac{1}{j^{1+(\delta-\delta)}} + 4E|x_0|^{2+\delta}\frac{1}{j^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)+\delta\delta}}. \end{split}$$

Since $\varepsilon - \rho > 0$ and

$$\left\{(1+\varepsilon)\frac{1+\delta}{2+\delta}+\rho\delta\right\}-1=\frac{3\{\varepsilon(1+\delta)-1\}}{2(2+\delta)}>0$$

SO

(25)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Ex_0x_j| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 4E|x_0| \cdot \frac{1}{j^{1+(^{\alpha}-\rho)}} + 4E|x_0|^{2+\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{j^{(1+^{\alpha})(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)+\rho\delta}} \right\} < \infty.$$

Thus, the series

$$\sigma^2 = Ex_0^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Ex_0x_j$$

converges absolutely.

Next, we shall show that for some $\gamma > 0$

(26)
$$\sigma_n^2 = n\sigma^2(1 + O(n^{-\tau})).$$

It follows from (25) that

$$\begin{split} \left| \sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{n} E S_{n}^{2} \right| &\leq 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |Ex_{0}x_{j}| + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j |Ex_{0}x_{j}| \\ &\leq 8 \left| E |x_{0}| \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{1+(\epsilon-\rho)}} + E |x_{0}|^{2+\delta} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{(1+\epsilon)(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)+\rho\delta}} \right| \\ &+ \frac{8}{n} \left| E |x_{0}| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j^{(\epsilon-\rho)}} + E |x_{0}|^{2+\delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j^{3(\epsilon(1+\delta)-1)/2(2+\delta)}} \right| \end{split}$$

and so we have (26).

Now, we define p, q and k by the formulas

$$p = [n^{1/2+\alpha}], \quad q = [n^{1/2-\alpha}], \quad k = \left\lceil \frac{n}{p+q} \right\rceil \quad (\alpha > 0)$$

and set

$$\begin{split} \xi_i &= \sum_{j=i(p+q)+1}^{(i+1)p+iq} x_j, & i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1; \\ \eta_i &= \sum_{j=(i+1)p+iq+1}^{(i+1)(p+q)} x_j, & i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1; & \eta_k &= \sum_{j=k(p+q)+1}^n x_j. \end{split}$$

Then, it follows from (26) that for some $\gamma > 0$

$$\left| \frac{D\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi_i'\right)}{n\sigma^2} - 1 \right| \leq Cn^{-r}$$

and

$$\left| E \exp\left(it \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi_j\right) - \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} E \exp\left(it \frac{\xi_j}{\sigma \sqrt{n}}\right) \right| \leq 4k\varphi(q) \leq Cn^{-r}$$

where $\xi'_0, \xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_{k-1}$ are independent random variables distributed in the same way as the corresponding ξ_i . Thus, the method of the proof of Lemma 1 in [8] can be completely carried over to this case, and we obtain the theorem.

Two theorems below are concerned with the processes satisfying the s.m. condition.

Theorem 4. The process $\{x_j\}$, satisfying the s.m. condition, obeys the law of the iterated logarithm if the following requirements are fulfilled:

- 1. $|x_i| < c$ with probability one;
- 2. $\alpha(n) = O(1/n^{1+\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. Define p, q, k and r by

$$p(n) = [n^{1/2} \log^3 n], \quad q(n) = r(n) = [n^{1/2} \log^{-3} n], \quad k(n) = \left[\frac{n}{p+q}\right].$$

Then, for any b>0

$$\frac{n}{r}P(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_r|\geq b\chi(n))=0$$

and for some $\gamma_1 > 0$

$$\frac{n}{r}\alpha(r) \leq K_1 n^{1/2} (\log n)^3 \frac{1}{(n^{1/2} (\log n)^{-3})^{1+\epsilon}} = O(n^{-\gamma_1}).$$

So, (12) holds. Thus, from Remark 1 to Theorem 1, it is enough to prove Condition (i) in Theorem 1. Put $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi'_0, \dots, \xi'_{k-1}, \eta_0, \dots, \eta_k, S'_n, S''_n$ as the same ones in the proof of Theorem 3.

Since from Condition (II)

$$\left| E\left(\exp it \frac{\xi_0 + \dots + \xi_{k-1}}{\sqrt{n} \sigma}\right) - \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} E\left(\exp it \frac{\xi_j}{n}\right) \right| \leq k\alpha(q) = O(n^{-r_1}),$$

so from Esseen's lemma

(27)
$$\int_{-(\log n)^{3/2}}^{(\log n)^{3/2}} \left| Ee^{it} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_{jj} \sqrt{n} \sigma - Ee^{it} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_{j} / \sqrt{n} \sigma}{t} \right| dt = O(n^{-\tau_2})$$

for some $\gamma_2 > 0$.

Secondly, from the proof of Lemma 18. 5.2 in [4]

$$E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}\right)^{4} = O\left(n^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} j\alpha(j)\right) = O(n^{8-\epsilon}).$$

So, if we choose a positive number δ such that $0<\delta<2$ and $\delta(1+\epsilon)>2$, then from Esseen's lemma

Finally,

$$\begin{split} & \left| E \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi_i \right) - k E \xi_0^2 \right| \leq 2k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |E \xi_0 \xi_j| \\ \leq & 2k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{p} |E x_i x_{j(p+q)+l}| \\ \leq & K_1 k p^2 \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \alpha((j-1)(p+q)) + K_2 k p \sum_{l=1}^{p} \alpha(q+l) \\ \leq & K_3 \frac{kp}{(p+q)^{\epsilon}} + K_4 \frac{kp}{q^{1+\epsilon}} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &E\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{k}\eta_{j}\Big)^{2} = (k-1)E\eta_{0}^{2} + 2k\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\Big(1 - \frac{j}{k}\Big)E\eta_{0}\eta_{j} + E\eta_{k}^{2} + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}E\eta_{j}\eta_{k} \\ &\leq (k-1)E\eta_{0}^{2} + 2k\sum_{i=1}^{k-2}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\sum_{l=1}^{q}|Ex_{p+i}x_{j(p+q)+l}| + \sigma_{p+q}^{2} + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\sum_{l=1}^{n-k(p+q)}|Ex_{j(p+q)+i}x_{k(p+q)+l}| \\ &\leq k\sigma_{q}^{2} + K_{5}\frac{kq}{(p+q)^{\epsilon}} + K_{6}\frac{kq^{2}}{p^{1+\epsilon}} + \sigma_{p+q}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have

$$(29) \qquad \left| \frac{kE\xi_0^2}{\sigma_n^2} - 1 \right| \leq K_7 \left\{ \left| \frac{kE\xi_0^2}{n\sigma^2} - ES_n'^2 \right| + 2\sqrt{ES_n'^2ES_n''^2} + ES_n''^2 \right\} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{3/2}}\right)$$

On the other hand,

(30)
$$\left| \frac{\sigma_n^2}{n\sigma^2} - 1 \right| = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\{ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j}{n} |Ex_0 x_j| + 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |Ex_0 x_j| \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\{ \frac{K_8}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j\alpha(j) + K_9 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \alpha(j) \right\} = O(n^{-\epsilon/2}).$$

Combining (29) and (30) and using Esseen's lemma, we have

(31)
$$\int_{-(\log n)^{3/2}}^{(\log n)^{3/2}} \left| \frac{Ee^{it\Sigma_{j=0}^{k-1}\xi j'/\sqrt{n}\sigma} - Ee^{it\Sigma_{j=0}^{k-1}\xi j'/\sqrt{kE\xi 0}^2}}{t} \right| dt = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{3/2}}\right).$$

Thus, from (27), (28) and (31), Condition (i) in Theorem 1 follows, and the proof is completed.

Theorem 5. The process $\{x_j\}$, satisfying s.m. condition, obeys the law of the iterated logarithm if the following requirements are fulfilled for some δ and δ' such that $0 < \delta' < \delta$:

1°.
$$E|x_i|^{2+\delta} < \infty$$
;

$$2^{\circ}. \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\alpha(n)\}^{\delta'/(2+\delta')} < \infty.$$

Proof. Define $f_N(x)$ and $\bar{f}_N(x)$ as before. Let

$$N=n^{1/2(1+\delta')}(\log n)^{-3}$$

and

$$r(n) = [n^{\delta'/2(1+\delta')}(\log n)^3].$$

Then, for any b>0

$$\begin{split} &\frac{n}{r}P(|x_{1}|+\cdots+|x_{r}|\geq b\mathfrak{X}(n)) = \frac{n}{r}P(|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{1})|+\cdots+|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{r})|\geq b\mathfrak{X}(n)) \\ &\leq \frac{n}{b^{2}\{\mathfrak{X}(n)\}^{2}r}E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r}|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{j})|\right)^{2} \leq \frac{n}{b^{2}\{\mathfrak{X}(n)\}^{2}}\left\{E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2}+2\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|\cdot|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{j})|\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{n}{b^{2}\{\mathfrak{X}(n)\}^{2}}\left[E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2}+2\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}\left\{(E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|)^{2}+8(E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2+\delta'})^{2/(2+\delta')}(\alpha(i))^{\delta'/(2+\delta')}\right\}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{n}{b^{2}\{\mathfrak{X}(n)\}^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{N^{\delta}}E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0}|^{2+\delta}+\frac{2r}{N^{2(1+\delta)}}(E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2+\delta})^{2}+\frac{K_{1}}{N^{2(\delta-\delta')}}(E|\bar{f}_{N}(x_{0})|^{2+\delta})^{1/(2+\delta')}\right\} \\ &=O(n^{-r}) \end{split}$$

holds for some $\gamma > 0$ and

$$\frac{n}{r}\alpha(r) = \frac{n}{r}O(r^{-(2+\delta')/\delta'}) = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^3}\right).$$

Hence, Remark 1 to Theorem 1 it suffices to show

$$\sup_{-\infty < z < \infty} \left| P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} < \sqrt{n} \sigma z\right) - \Phi(z) \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{3}}\right).$$

Define p, q and k by

$$p(n) = [n^{1/2+a}], \quad q(n) = [n^{1/2-a}] \text{ and } k(n) = \left[\frac{n}{p+q}\right]$$

where α is a small positive number. Let $N'=n^{\delta'/16(1+\delta')}$ if $0<\delta\leq 2$ and $N'=n^{1/16(1+\delta')}$ if $\delta>2$. Put

$$\begin{split} S_{n}' &= \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (f_{N'}(x_{j}) - Ef_{N'}(x_{j})), \quad S_{n}'' = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\bar{f}_{N'}(x_{j}) - E\bar{f}_{N'}(x_{j})), \\ \zeta_{i} &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} (f_{N'}(x_{(i-1)(p+q)+j}) - Ef_{N'}(x_{(i-1)(p+q)+j})) \qquad (i=1, 2, \dots, k), \\ T_{n}' &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \zeta_{i}, T_{n}'' = S_{n}' - T_{n}'. \end{split}$$

Then, it is easily proved that for some $\gamma > 0$

(32)
$$E|S_{n}^{\prime\prime}|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{N^{\prime\delta}} E|\bar{f}_{N\prime}(x_{0})|^{2+\delta} + \frac{K_{1}}{N^{\prime2(\delta-\delta^{\prime})\prime(2+\delta^{\prime})}} (E|\bar{f}_{N\prime}(x_{0})|^{2+\delta})^{2/(2+\delta^{\prime})} \right\} = O(n^{-7}),$$

$$E|T_{n}^{\prime\prime}|^{2} = O(n^{-7}) \quad \text{and} \quad |ET_{n}^{\prime\prime2} - 1| = O(n^{-7})$$

Now, let $f_n(t)$ be the characteristic function of $S_n/\sqrt{n}\sigma$. Then

$$|f_{n}(t) - e^{-t^{2/2}}| \leq |f_{n}(t) - Ee^{itSn'}| + |Ee^{itSn'} - Ee^{itTn'}|$$

$$+ \left| Ee^{itTn'} - \prod_{j=1}^{k} Ee^{it\zeta_{j}/\sqrt{kE\zeta_{0}^{2}}} \right| + \left| e^{-t^{2/2}} - \prod_{j=1}^{k} Ee^{it\zeta_{j}/\sqrt{kE\zeta_{0}^{2}}} \right|$$

$$\leq |t|E|S_{n}''| + |t|E|T_{n}''| + \left| Ee^{itTn'} - \prod_{j=1}^{k} Ee^{it\zeta_{j}/\sqrt{kE\zeta_{0}^{2}}} \right|$$

$$+ \left| e^{-t^{2/2}} - \prod_{j=1}^{k} Ee^{it\zeta_{j}/\sqrt{kE\zeta_{0}^{2}}} \right|.$$
(33)

From Esseen's lemma

(34)
$$\left| e^{-t^{2/2}} - \prod_{j=1}^{k} E e^{it\zeta_{j}/\sqrt{kE\zeta_{0}^{2}}} \right| \leq K \frac{E|\zeta_{0}|^{2+\delta}}{k^{2/\delta}(E\zeta_{0}^{2})^{(2+\delta)/2}} |t|^{2+\delta} e^{-t^{2/4}}$$

holds for all t such that

$$|t| \leq \sqrt{n} / 24 \frac{E|\zeta_0|^{2+\delta}}{(E\zeta_0^2)^{(2+\delta)/2}}.$$

Since

$$\begin{split} E\zeta_0^4 &\leq K_1(N')^4 p^2 \sum_{j=1}^p j\alpha(j) \leq K_1(N')^4 p^2 \sum_{j=1}^p j^{-2/\delta'} \\ &\leq K_2(N')^4 p^2 \max{(1, p^{-2/\delta'})} \end{split}$$

and

$$E\zeta_0^2 = p\sigma^2(1 + o(1))$$

for all sufficiently large n, so

$$\frac{E|\zeta_0|^{2+\delta}}{k^{\rho/2}(E\zeta_0^2)^{(2+\rho)/2}} \leq \frac{(E\zeta_0^4)^{(2+\delta)/4}}{k^{\rho/2}(E\zeta_0^2)^{(2+\rho)/2}} = O(n^{-7})$$

holds for all sufficiently large n where $\rho = \min(2, \delta)$ and γ is a positive number. Consequently, from (34)

(35)
$$\left| e^{-t^{2/2}} - \prod_{j=1}^{k} E e^{it\zeta j/\sqrt{kE\zeta o^2}} \right| \leq Kn^{-r} |t|^{2+\delta} e^{-t^{2/4}}$$

holds for all sufficiently large n and for all t such that $|t| \le \sqrt{n}$. From Condition (II)

(36)
$$\left| Ee^{itTn'} - \prod_{j=1}^{k} Ee^{it\zeta_{j}/\sqrt{kE\zeta_{0}^{2}}} \right| \leq k\alpha(q) = n^{1/2-\alpha} \cdot o(\{n^{1/2-\alpha}\}^{-(2+\delta')/\delta'}).$$

Using (31)-(36), we have

$$|P(x_{1}+\dots+x_{n}

$$\leq \int_{-(\log n)^{3}}^{(\log n)^{3}} \left|\frac{f_{n}(t)-e^{-t^{2}/2}}{t}\right| dt + \frac{C}{(\log n)^{3}}.$$

$$\leq \int_{-(\log n)^{3}}^{(\log n)^{3}} Kn^{-7}|t|^{1+\delta} dt + \int_{-(\log n)^{3}}^{(\log n)^{3}} \{E|S_{n}^{"}|+E|T_{n}^{"}|\} dt$$

$$+C_{2}\left\{\int_{0\leq |t|\leq n^{-1/4}} dt + \int_{n^{-1/4}\leq |t|\leq (\log n)^{3}} \frac{k\alpha(q)}{|t|} dt\right\} + \frac{C_{1}}{(\log n)^{3}}.$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{3}}\right).$$$$

Hence, from Theorem 1, we have the theorem.

4. Functions of processes.

Let $\{x_j, j=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots\}$ be strictly stationary and satisfy one of the requirements (I) or (II). Let f be a measurable mapping from the space of doubly infinitely sequences $(\cdots, \alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots)$ of real numbers into the real line. Define random variables

(39)
$$f_{j} = f(\cdots, x_{j-1}, x_{j}, x_{j+1}, \cdots)$$

where x_j occupies the 0th place in the argument of f. It is obvious that $\{f_j\}$ is a strictly stationary process. We shall prove theorems establishing the law of the iterated logarithm for the process $\{f_j\}$ (see [3] and [4]).

Let

$$(40) S_i = f_1 + \dots + f_i$$

and

(41)
$$\sigma^2 = Ef_0^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Ef_0f_i$$

if the series converges. In what follows, we use σ^2 only when σ^2 is positive. The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1. 2 in [8].

THEOREM 6. Let the stationary process $\{x_j\}$ satisfy the u.s.m. condition and let the process $\{f_j\}$ be obtained by the method indicated above. Further, let the following requirements be fulfilled:

1.
$$Ef=0$$
 and $E|f|^{2+\delta}<\infty$ for some $\delta>0$;

2.
$$\varphi(n) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}}\right)$$
 for some $\epsilon > \frac{1}{1+\delta}$;

3.
$$E\{|f-E\{f|\mathcal{M}_{-k}^k\}|^2\} = \phi(k) = O(n^{-2-\delta_1})$$
 for some $\delta_1 > 0$.

Then, the processs $\{f_j\}$ obeys the law of the iterated logarithm.

Proof. The series in (41) converges under the conditions of Theorem 6. (cf. [3]). In fact, as in [8] (cf. [3] and [4]), let

$$\xi_{i}^{(s)} = E\{f_{i} | \mathcal{M}_{s-i}^{s+j}\}$$

and

$$\eta_{i}^{(s)} = f_{i} - \xi_{i}^{(s)}$$
.

Then the stationary process $\{\xi_j^{(s)}\}$ satisfies Condition (I) with the function $\varphi_{\xi}(n)=1$ for $n \leq 2s$, $\varphi_{\xi}(n)=\varphi(n-2s)$ for n>2s. Since

$$E|\xi_{j}^{(s)}|^{2+\delta} = E\{|E\{f_{j}|\mathcal{M}_{s-j}^{s+j}\}|^{2+\delta}\} \leq E\{E\{|f_{j}|^{2+\delta}|\mathcal{M}_{s-j}^{s+j}\}\} = E|f|^{2+\delta} < \infty$$

the stationary process $\{\xi_j^{(s)}\}$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3. Furthermore, as before,

$$|Ef_{0}f_{j}| = |E(\xi_{0}^{([j/3])} + \eta_{0}^{([j/3])})(\xi_{j}^{([j/3])} + \eta_{j}^{([j/3])})|$$

$$\leq |E\xi_{0}^{([j/3])}\xi_{j}^{([j/3])}| + 2\{E|\xi_{0}^{([j/3])}|^{2}\}^{1/2}\{E|\eta_{0}^{([j/3])}|^{2}\}^{1/2} + \{E|\eta_{0}^{([j/3])}|^{2}\}$$

$$\leq 4E|\xi_{0}^{([j/3])}|\left(\frac{3}{j}\right)^{1+(\epsilon-\rho)} + 4E|\xi_{0}^{([j/3])}|^{2+\delta} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{j}\right)^{(1+\epsilon)(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)+\rho\delta}$$

$$+2\{E|\xi_{0}^{([j/3])}|^{2}\}^{1/2}\left\{\phi\left(\left[\frac{j}{3}\right]\right)\right\}^{1/2} + \phi\left(\left[\frac{j}{3}\right]\right)$$

$$\hspace{2cm} \hspace{2cm} \hspace{2cm}$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{\varepsilon(1+\delta)-1}{2\delta(2+\delta)} > 0.$$

It follows from (42) that the series in (41) converges. Moreover, from (42) we easily obtain that

$$\sigma_n^2 = n\sigma^2(1 + o(1)).$$

Next, we shall prove that

$$(43) P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| \ge 6a\chi(n)) \le 2P(|S_n| \ge \alpha\chi(n)) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^3}\right)$$

holds for all sufficiently large n. Let

$$r(n) = n^{\delta/2(2+\delta)} \cdot (\log n)^{-3}$$

and

$$g_{j}(N) = \begin{cases} f_{j} & (|f_{j}| \leq N), \\ 0 & (|f_{j}| > N); \end{cases} \quad \bar{g}_{j}(N) = f_{j} - g_{j}(N) \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

where $N=n^{1/(2+\delta)}$. Then

$$\frac{n}{r}P(|f_{1}|+\cdots+|f_{r}| \ge b\chi(n))$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{r}\left\{P(|\bar{g}_{1}(N)|+\cdots+|\bar{g}_{r}(N)| \ge \frac{b}{2}\chi(n)) + P(|g_{1}(N)|+\cdots+|g_{r}(N)| \ge \frac{b}{2}\chi(n))\right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{r}\frac{4}{b^{2}n\sigma^{2}}E(|\bar{g}_{1}(N)|+\cdots+|\bar{g}_{r}(N)|)^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{r}\frac{4r}{b^{2}n\sigma^{2}}E|\bar{g}_{0}(N)|^{2}(1+2r)$$

$$\leq \frac{K}{N^{\delta}}(1+2r)=O(n^{-\delta/2(2+\delta)}).$$

Now, as in [1], define

$$U_i = E\{S_{i-2r} | \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^{i-r}\}$$

and

$$V_{i} = E\{S_{n} - S_{i+2n} | \mathcal{M}_{i+r}^{\infty}\}.$$

Here, we adopt the conventions that $S_{i-2r}=0$ if i<2r and $S_n-S_{i+2r}=0$ if i+2r>n.

If we put

(45)
$$\mu(r) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} {\{\varphi(k)\}}^{1/2}$$

then $\mu(r) = O(n^{-r})$ for some $\gamma > 0$, and

(46)
$$E|S_{k}-E\{S_{k}|\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^{k+r}\}|^{2} \leq \{\mu(r)\}^{2},$$

$$E|U_{i}-S_{i}|^{2} \leq 2ES_{2r}^{2}+2\{\mu(r)\}^{2},$$

$$E|V_{i}-(S_{n}-S_{i})|^{2} \leq 2ES_{2r}^{2}+2\{\mu(r)\}^{2}$$

for all k and i. Thus

$$P(|S_{i}-U_{i}| \ge a\chi(n))$$

$$\leq P(|S_{i-2r}-E\{S_{i-2r}|\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^{i-r}\}| \ge a\chi(n)-b\sigma\sqrt{n})$$

$$+P(|f_{1}|+\dots+|f_{2r}| \ge b\sigma\sqrt{n})$$

$$\leq \frac{4\{\mu(r)\}^{2}}{(a\chi(n)-b\sigma\sqrt{n})} + \frac{r}{n} \cdot O(n^{-\delta/2(2+\delta)}) = O\left(\frac{1}{n(\log n)^{3}}\right)$$

and similarly

Because of uniform integrability of S_n^2/n , (cf. the proof of Theorem 21. 1 in [1]) there exists a $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$(49) P(|S_j| \ge \lambda \sigma \sqrt{j}) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}$$

for all j, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small. Let

$$E_i = \{ \max_{j < i} |U_j| < 5a\chi(n) \leq |U_i| \}.$$

As $E_i \in \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^{i-r}$ and V_{i+2r} is measurable $\mathcal{M}_{i+3r}^{\infty}$, so from (44), (48) and (49)

$$P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} [E_j \cap \{|V_j| \ge 2a\chi(n)\}]\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} [E_{ir+j} \cap \{|V_{(i+2)p}| \ge a\chi(n)\}]\right) + \frac{n}{r} P(|f_1| + \dots + |f_{2r}| \ge a\chi(n))$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} P\left(\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} E_j\right] \cap \{|V_{(i+2)p}| \ge a\chi(n))\}\right) + O(n^{-\delta/2(2+\delta)})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} E_{ir+j}\right) \{P(|V_{(i+2)p}| \geq \alpha \chi(n)) + \varphi(r)\} + O(n^{-\delta/2(2+\delta)})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} E_{ir+j}\right) \{P(|S_{n-(i+2)r}| \geq \lambda \sigma \sqrt{n-(i+2)r}) + O(n^{-r})\} + O(n^{-r})\}$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is a positive number. Thus, for all n sufficiently large

(50)
$$P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} [E_j \cap \{|V_j| \ge 2a\chi(n)\}]\right) \le \frac{1}{2} P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |U_j| \ge 5a\chi(n)) + O(n^{-7})$$

and so from (47), (48) and (50)

$$P(\max_{1 \le i \le n} |U_{i}| \ge 5a\chi(n))$$

$$\leq P(|S_{n}| \ge a\chi(n)) + P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} [E_{j} \cap \{|S_{n} - U_{j}| \ge 4a\chi(n)\}]\right)$$

$$\leq P(|S_{n}| \ge a\chi(n)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P(|S_{n} - S_{i} - V_{i}| \ge a\chi(n))$$

$$+ P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} [E_{j} \cup \{|V_{j}| \ge 2a\chi(n)\}]\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P(|S_{i} - U_{i}| \ge a\chi(n))$$

$$\leq P(|S_{n}| \ge a\chi(n)) + \frac{4n\{\mu(r)\}^{2}}{a^{2}\{\chi(n)\}^{2}}$$

$$+ \left\{\frac{1}{2} P(\max_{1 \le i \le n} |U_{j}| \ge 5a\chi(n)) + O(n^{-7})\right\} + \frac{4n\{\mu(r)\}^{2}}{a^{2}\{\chi(n)\}^{2}}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$(52) P(\max_{1 \le i \le n} |U_j| \ge 5a\chi(n)) \le 2P(|S_n| \ge a\chi(n)) + O(n^{-\tau_1})$$

for some $\gamma_1(>0)$. Combining (52) and (47), we obtain

$$P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| \ge 6a\chi(n)) \le P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |U_j| \ge 5a\chi(n)) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^3}\right)$$

$$\le 2P(|S_n| \ge a\chi(n)) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^3}\right).$$

Next, we shall prove that

$$\sup_{-\infty < z < \infty} |P(S_n < z\sigma\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(z)| = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^3}\right).$$

By the same method of estimation of (42)

$$(53) |E\eta_0^{(s)}\eta_j^{(s)}| \leq K_1 \left[\left(\frac{3}{j} \right)^{1+\epsilon-\rho} + \left(\frac{3}{j} \right)^{(1+\epsilon)(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)+\rho\delta} + \left\{ \left(\phi\left(\left[\frac{j}{3} \right] \right) \right\}^{1/2} \right]$$

Taking into account of (53), we have

$$\begin{split} E|S_n''|^2 &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2 n} \left(nE |\eta_0^{(s)}|^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j) E \eta_0^{(s)} \eta_j^{(s)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (2N+1) \phi(s) + K_2 \sum_{j=N}^n \left[\left(\frac{3}{j} \right)^{1+\epsilon-\rho} + \left(\frac{3}{j} \right)^{(1+\epsilon)(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)+\rho\delta} + \left[\psi \left(\left[\frac{j}{3} \right] \right) \right]^{1/2} \right] \end{split}$$

where

$$S_n^{\prime\prime} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j^{(s)}.$$

Putting $N=n^{1/2-2\epsilon_1}$ and $r=n^{1/2-\epsilon_1}$, where $\epsilon_1>0$ is a sufficiently small number, we obtain that

(54)
$$E|S_n''|^2 = O(n^{-\tau})$$

for some $\gamma > 0$. Furthermore, with the same s, let

$$S_n' = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^n \xi_j^{(s)}$$

and

$$d_n^2 \! = \! E |\xi_0^{(s)}|^2 \! + \! 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \! E \, \xi_0^{(s)} \xi_j^{(s)}.$$

Then

$$\left|\frac{d_n^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right| = O(n^{-r})$$

for some $\gamma > 0$. Thus, noting that

$$\begin{split} |Ee^{itS_{n'\sigma}\sqrt{n}} - e^{-t^{2/2}}| &\leq |t| \{E|S_{n'}'|^2\}^{1/2} \\ + |Ee^{itS_{n'}} - e^{-(t^{2/2})(dn^{2/\sigma^2})}| + |e^{-(t^{2/2})(dn/\sigma^2)} - e^{-t^{2/2}}| \end{split}$$

and using the method of the proof of Theorem 3, we have

(56)
$$\sup_{-\infty < z < \infty} |P(S_n < z\sigma\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(z)| = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^3}\right).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$P(|S_n| > (1+\delta_0)\chi(n) \text{ i.o.}) = 0.$$

Now, we shall prove that

$$P(|S_n| > (1 - \delta_0)\chi(n) \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

We proceed as the proof of Theorem 1. 2 in [8]. Let A>0 be sufficiently large

and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta_1 > 0$ sufficiently small. We write

$$\sigma_n^2(s) = E(\xi_1^{(s)} + \dots + \xi_n^{(s)})^2$$

and

$$\chi'(n) = (2\sigma_n^2(s) \log \log \sigma_n^2(s))^{1/2}$$

where $s=n^{1/2-\epsilon_1}$ (ϵ_1 being the same defined above). Then, it follows from (55) that

$$\left|1-\frac{\chi'(n)}{\chi(n)}\right|=O(n^{-\tau})$$

for some $\gamma > 0$. Put $s_i = A^{i/2-\epsilon_1}$ and for some positive numbers $\delta_2 < \delta_1 < \delta_0$

$$\begin{split} E_k &= \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{A^i} \xi_j^{(s_i)} \right| > (1-\delta_2) \mathcal{X}'(A^i), \quad i < k \; ; \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^{A^k} \xi_j^{(s_k)} \right| > (1-\delta_2) \mathcal{X}'(A^k) \right\}, \\ C &= \bigcap_{i=1}^m \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{A^i} \eta_j^{(s_i)} \right| < \frac{1}{2} (\delta_1 - \delta_2) \mathcal{X}'(A^i) \right\} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \bar{U}_k &= P\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{A^t} \xi_j^{(s_i)}\right| > (1 - \delta_2) \mathcal{X}'(A^i) \quad \text{for at least one} \quad i, \ 1 \leq i \leq k\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k P(E_j) \end{split}$$

Then, from Chebyshev's inequality and (54)

$$(58) P(C) = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^{A^{i}} \eta_{j}^{(s_{i})}\right| \ge \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{1} - \varrho_{2}) \chi'(A^{i})\right]\right)$$

$$\ge 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{A^{i}} \eta_{j}^{(s_{i})}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} (\delta_{1} - \delta_{2}) \chi'(A^{i})\right)^{2}}$$

$$\ge 1 - K \sum_{i=1}^{m} (A^{i})^{-r} (1 - A^{-r})^{-1}.$$

Thus, from (58) we obtain that

$$egin{aligned} U_m &= Pigg(igcup_{i=1}^m [|S_{A^i}| > (1-\delta_0) lpha(A^i)]igg) \ &\geq Pigg(igcup_{i=1}^m [|S_{A^i}| > (1-\delta_1) lpha'(A^i)]igg) \end{aligned}$$

$$(59) \qquad \geq P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \left[\left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{4^{i}} \hat{\xi}_{j}^{(s_{i})} \right| > (1-\delta_{2}) \chi'(A^{i}) \right\} \cap \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^{4^{i}} \eta_{j}^{(s_{i})} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}) \chi'(A^{i}) \right\} \right] \right)$$

$$\geq P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^{4^{i}} \hat{\xi}_{j}^{(s_{i})} \right| > (1-\delta_{2}) \chi'(A^{i}) \right] \cap C \right)$$

$$\geq -1 + \bar{U}_{m} + P(C) \geq \bar{U}_{m} - KA^{-7} (1-A^{-7})^{-1}.$$

Next, let $c_k = A^{k/2}$ and choose $\delta_3 > 0$ such that for some $\epsilon' > 0$, $2/\sqrt{A} + \delta_3 + \epsilon' < \delta_2$. Then

$$\begin{split} & P \Big(\Big[\Big| \sum_{j=1}^{A^t} \xi_j^{(s_i)} \Big| \leq (1 - \delta_2) \mathcal{X}'(A^i), \ i < k \Big] \cap \Big[\Big| \sum_{j=A^{k-1} + C_{k+1}}^{A^k} \xi_j^{(s_k)} \Big| > (1 - \delta_3) \mathcal{X}'(A^k) \Big] \Big) \\ & \geq P \Big(\Big| \sum_{j=1}^{A^t} \xi_j^{(s_i)} \Big| \leq (1 - \delta_2) \mathcal{X}'(A^i), \ i < k \Big) P \Big(\Big| \sum_{j=A^{k-1} + C_{k+1}}^{A^k} \xi_j^{(s_k)} \Big| > (1 - \delta_3) \mathcal{X}'(A^k) \Big) - \varphi(c_k - 2s_k). \end{split}$$

Since from (56)

$$v_k = P\bigg(\bigg\{\bigg|\sum_{j=A^{k-1}+C_{k+1}}^{A^k} \xi_j^{(s_k)}\bigg| > (1-\delta_3)\chi'(A^k)\bigg\} \ge (\log \sigma_A^2 k - A^{k-1} - c_k(s_k)\bigg)^{-(1+\epsilon)(1-\delta_4)^2}$$

for some $\delta_4 > 0$ and $\sigma_n^2(s) = n\sigma^2(1+o(1))$ for all sufficiently large n, so

$$v_k \geq K_1 k^{-(1-\lambda_1)}$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0$ and does not depend on k. Noting that from (42)

$$E\left(\sum_{i=A^{k-1}+1}^{A^{k-1}+C_k}f_i\right)^2 \leq Kc_k$$

and from (53)

$$\begin{split} &E\bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}+C_{k}}\eta_{j}^{(s_{k})}\bigg)^{2} \leq (A^{k-1}+c_{k})\bigg\{E\left|\eta_{0}^{(s_{k})}\right|^{2}+2\sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}+C_{k}}\left|E\eta_{0}^{(s_{k})}\eta_{j}^{(s_{k})}\eta_{j}^{(s_{k})}\right|\bigg\} \\ &\leq (A^{k-1}+c)\bigg\{(2N+1)\psi(s_{k})+K_{2}\sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}+C_{k}}\bigg(\bigg(\frac{3}{j}\bigg)^{1+\epsilon-\rho}+\bigg(\frac{3}{j}\bigg)^{(1+\epsilon)(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)+\rho\delta}\bigg\{\psi\bigg(\bigg[\frac{j}{3}\bigg]\bigg)\bigg\}\bigg]^{1/2}\bigg)\bigg\} \\ &\leq K_{3}A^{k-1-k\gamma} \end{split}$$

for some γ (0< γ <1), where $N=A^{k/2-2\epsilon_1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} E \left(\sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}+C_k} \xi_j^{(s_k)} - \sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}} \xi_j^{(s_{k-1})} \right)^2 \\ = E \left(\sum_{j=A^{k}+1}^{A^{k-1}+C_k} f_j - \sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}+C_k} \eta_j^{(s_{k-1})} + \sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}} \eta_j^{(s_{k-1})} \right)^2 \end{split}$$

$$\leq 3 \left[E \left(\sum_{j=A^{k-1}+C_k}^{A^{k-1}+C_k} f_j \right)^2 + E \left(\sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}+C_k} \eta_j^{(s_k)} \right)^2 + E \left(\sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}} \eta_j^{(s_{k-1})} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$\leq K_4 A^{k-1-k7}$$

and so from Chebyshev's inequality

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}+C_k} \xi_j^{(s_k)} - \sum_{j=1}^{A^{k-1}} \xi_j^{(s_{k-1})}\right| \ge \varepsilon' \chi'(A^k)\right) \le K_5 A^{-1-k-7}.$$

Hence, as in [8], we have $\bar{U}_k \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$ and consequently $U_k \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$.

The proofs of the following two theorems are carried out by the method of that of Theorem 6. (cf. [3], [4] and [8])

Theorem 7. Let $\{x_j\}$ be a stationary process satisfying Condition (II), f a random variable which is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{M}^{-}_{-\infty}$, and assume that the process $\{f_j\}$ is obtained from f by the method stated above. Let $\{f_j\}$ have the following properties:

- 1. $Ef_1=0$ and $|f_1|< C$ with probability 1;
- 2. $\alpha(n) \leq Cn^{-(1+\delta_1)}$, where $\delta_1 > 0$;
- 3. $E\{|f-E\{f|,\mathcal{M}_{-k}^k\}|^2\}=O(k^{-(2+\delta_2)}), \text{ where } \delta_2>0.$

Then the law of the iterated logarithm is applicable to the sequence $\{f_j\}$.

Theorem 8. Let the stationary process $\{x_j\}$ satisfy Condition (II), let f be measurable with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$, and let the process $\{f_j\}$ be obtained from f in the same way stated above. Moreover, suppose that

- 1. Ef=0 and for some $\delta > 0$, $E|f|^{2+\delta} < \infty$,
- 2. $E\{|f-E\{f|\mathcal{M}_{-k}^k\}|^2\}=O(k^{-2-\delta_1})$ $(\delta_1>0),$ 3. $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\{\alpha(j)\}^{\delta'/(2+\delta')}<\infty$ for some $0<\delta'<\delta.$

Then the law of the iterated logarithm is applicable to the sequence $\{f_i\}$.

References

- (1) BILLINGSLEY, P., Convergence of probability measures. Wiley, New York (1968).
- (2) Esseen, C. G., Fourier analysis of distribution functions. A mathematical study of the Laplace-Gaussian law. Acta Math. 77 (1945), 1-125.
- (3) IBRAGIMOV, I. A., Some limit theorems for stationary processes. Theory Prob. Appl. 7 (1962), 349-382.
- (4) IBRAGIMOV, I. A., AND Yu. V. LINNIK, Independent stationarily related random variables. Iz-vo "Nauka", Moscow (1965). (In Russian)
- (5) Iosifescu, M., The law of the iterated logarithm for a class of dependent random variables. Theory Prob. Appl. 13 (1968), 304-313.
- (6) OODAIRA, H., AND K. YOSHIHARA, Functional central limit theorems for strictly stationary processes satisfying the strong mixing condition. To appear.

- (7) Petrov, V. V., On a relation between an estimate of the remainder in the central limit theorem and the law of the iterated logarithm. Theory Prob. Appl. 11 (1966), 454-458.
- (8) Reznik, M. Kh. The law of the iterated logarithm for some classes of stationary processes. Theory Prob. Appl. 13 (1968), 606-621.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY.