

249. Note on the Representation of Semi-Groups of Non-Linear Operators

By Shinnosuke ÔHARU

Waseda University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1966)

1. Let X be a Banach space and let $\{T(\xi)\}_{\xi \geq 0}$ be a family of non-linear operators from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1) \quad T(0) = I, \quad T(\xi)T(\eta) = T(\xi + \eta) \quad \xi, \eta \geq 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \|T(\xi)x - T(\xi)y\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad \xi > 0, \quad x, y \in X,$$

(3) There exists a dense subset D in X such that for each $x \in D$, the right derivative

$$D_{\xi}^{+} T(\xi)x = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} h^{-1}(T(\xi + h)x - T(\xi)x)$$

exists and it is continuous for $\xi \geq 0$. Then we shall call this family $\{T(\xi)\}_{\xi \geq 0}$ a *non-linear contraction semi-group*.

Definition. We define the *infinitesimal generator* A of a non-linear contraction semi-group $\{T(\xi)\}_{\xi \geq 0}$ by

$$Ax = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} A_h x$$

whenever the limit exists, where $A_h = h^{-1}(T(h) - I)$. We denote the domain of A by $D(A)$.

Lately J. W. Neuberger [1] gave the following result: If $\{T(\xi)\}_{\xi \geq 0}$ is a non-linear contraction semi-group,*¹⁾ then for each $x \in X$ and each $\xi \geq 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \|(I - (\xi/n)A_{\delta})^{-n}x - T(\xi)x\| = 0.$$

It is well known that if $\{T(\xi)\}_{\xi \geq 0}$ is a linear contraction semi-group of class (C_0) , then for each $x \in X$ and each $\xi \geq 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - (\xi/n)A)^{-n}x = T(\xi)x$$

(see [2]). In this paper we shall give the representation of this type for non-linear contraction semi-groups.

The main results are the following

Theorem. Let $\{T(\xi)\}_{\xi \geq 0}$ be a non-linear contraction semi-group and let A be the infinitesimal generator such that $\overline{\mathfrak{R}(I - \xi_0 A)} = X$ for some $\xi_0 > 0$. Then for each $\xi > 0$ there exists an inverse operator $(I - \xi A)^{-1}$ and its unique extension $L(\xi)$ onto X , which is a contraction operator, and $T(\xi)$ is represented by

*¹⁾ In his paper the following condition is assumed:

(3)' There is a dense subset D of X such that if x is in D , then the derivative $T'(\xi)x$ is continuous with domain $[0, \infty)$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(\xi/n)^n x = T(\xi)x \quad \xi \geq 0, x \in X,$$

where for each fixed $x \in X$ the convergence is uniform for any compact set in $[0, \infty)$ and for each fixed $\xi \geq 0$ it is the continuous convergence on X . Moreover, there exists a unique mapping \tilde{A} , which is not necessarily one-valued, defined on a region $\tilde{D} \supset D(A)$ such that

- (1) the mapping $\tilde{D} \ni x \rightarrow x - \xi \tilde{A}x$ is the topological inverse mapping of $L(\xi)$,
- (2) $\tilde{A}x \ni Ax$ for each $x \in D(A)$,
- (3) for any $x \in \tilde{D}$ there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$ such that $\lim x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n \in \tilde{A}x$.

Corollary 1. *If \tilde{A} is one-valued, then in the above Theorem $L(\xi) = (I - \xi \tilde{A})^{-1}$ and \tilde{A} is the closure of A in the sense that the graph $G(\tilde{A})$ of \tilde{A} is the closure of the graph $G(A)$ in $X \times X$.*

Corollary 2. *If $\Re(I - \xi_0 A) = X$ for some $\xi_0 > 0$, then $\tilde{A} = A$ in the above Corollary 1.*

2. We shall prove the theorems mentioned above by the following successive lemmas:

Lemma 1. *$D(A) \supset D, D(A) \supset T(\xi)[D]$ for each $\xi \geq 0$. And the left derivative also exists, and is equal to the right one and*

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} T(\xi)x = AT(\xi)x$$

on $(0, \infty)$ for each $x \in D$.

Proof. The first relations of inclusion follow immediately from the condition (3). It follows from

$$\|T(\xi \pm h)x - T(\xi)x\| \leq \|T(h)x - x\| \quad (x \in D)$$

and the denseness of D that for any $x \in X, T(\xi)x$ is strongly continuous on $[0, \infty)$. Therefore by the same argument as in the linear case we get the above conclusions (see [3]; p. 239). Q.E.D.

Under the conditions (1)–(3) and by virtue of Lemma 1, we can apply the Neuberger's results and get the following

Lemma 2. *For each $\xi > 0$ and $\delta > 0, (I - \xi A_\delta)^{-1}$ exists on X and is a contraction operator in the sense that*

$$\|(I - \xi A_\delta)^{-1}x - (I - \xi A_\delta)^{-1}y\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad x, y \in X.$$

Lemma 3. *For each $\xi > 0, (I - \xi A)^{-1}$ exists on $\Re(I - \xi A)$ and contraction operator there. And if $\Re(I - \xi A)$ is dense in X , then the family $\{(I - \xi A_\delta)^{-1}\}_{\delta > 0}$ of contraction operators converges to some contraction operator $L(\xi)$ defined on X onto some region $\tilde{D}_\xi \supset D(A)$. This $L(\xi)$ is a unique extension of $(I - \xi A)^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let $\tau(x, y)$ be defined by $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^{-1} \{\|x + \alpha y\| - \|x\|\}$. This always exists for each $x, y \in X$ and has the following properties [4]:

- (i) $|\tau(x, y)| \leq \|y\|,$
- (ii) $\tau(x, y+z) \leq \tau(x, y) + \tau(x, z),$
- (iii) $\tau(x, \lambda x + cy) = \Re_0(\lambda) \|x\| + c\tau(x, y) \quad (c \geq 0).$

Using these properties, for any $u, v \in D(A)$ and $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(u-v, A_\delta u - A_\delta v) &= \tau\left(u-v, \frac{T(\delta)u - T(\delta)v}{\delta} - \frac{u-v}{\delta}\right) \\ &\leq \tau(u-v, \delta^{-1}(T(\delta)u - T(\delta)v) - \delta^{-1}\|u-v\|) \\ &\leq \delta^{-1}\{\|T(\delta)u - T(\delta)v\| - \|u-v\|\} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the continuity of $\tau(u-v, \cdot)$ we have $\tau(u-v, Au - Av) \leq 0$ for each $u, v \in D(A)$. Thus we have again from (i), (ii), and (iii) the following estimate for any $u, v \in D(A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \xi A)u - (I - \xi A)v\| &\geq \tau(u-v, (u-v) - \xi(Au - Av)) \\ &\geq \|u-v\| - \xi\tau(u-v, Au - Av) \geq \|u-v\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first assertion. For any $x \in \Re(I - \xi A)$ we have, from Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(I - \xi A_\delta)^{-1}x - (I - \xi A)^{-1}x\| \\ &\leq \|(I - \xi A)(I - \xi A)^{-1}x - (I - \xi A_\delta)(I - \xi A)^{-1}x\| \\ &= \xi \|A_\delta(I - \xi A)^{-1}x - A(I - \xi A)^{-1}x\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} (I - \xi A_\delta)^{-1}x = (I - \xi A)^{-1}x \tag{*}$$

for any $x \in \Re(I - \xi A)$. On the other hand, each $(I - \xi A_\delta)^{-1}$ is a contraction operator defined on X from Lemma 2, and so, combining with (*) and the denseness of $\Re(I - \xi A)$, it follows that the family $\{(I - \xi A_\delta)^{-1}\}_{\delta > 0}$ converges to some contraction operator $L(\xi)$ defined on X and that this $L(\xi)$ is the unique extension of $(I - \xi A)^{-1}$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4. *If $\overline{\Re(I - \xi_0 A)} = X$ for some $\xi_0 > 0$, then $\overline{\Re(I - \xi A)} = X$ for any $\xi > 0$. And if $\Re(I - \xi_0 A) = X$ for some $\xi_0 > 0$, then $\Re(I - \xi A) = X$ for any $\xi > 0$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{\Re(I - \xi_0 A)} = X$, from Lemma 3 there exists a unique extension $L(\xi_0)$ of $(I - \xi_0 A)^{-1}$, which is also a contraction. Changing $I - \xi A$ to the form

$$I - \xi A = \frac{\xi}{\xi_0} \left[I - \left(1 - \frac{\xi_0}{\xi}\right) L(\xi_0) \right] (I - \xi_0 A);$$

for any $x \in X$, we put $Ky = x + (1 - (\xi_0/\xi))L(\xi_0)y$ for each $y \in X$. Then K becomes a contraction mapping for ξ with $(\xi_0/2) < \xi$, since $\|Ky - Ky'\| \leq \|1 - (\xi_0/\xi)\| \cdot \|y - y'\|$. Thus there exists a unique fixed point z of K ; $Kz = z$, and so we have

$$x = z - (1 - (\xi_0/\xi))L(\xi_0)z = [1 - (1 - (\xi_0/\xi))L(\xi_0)]z.$$

Since $\overline{\Re(I - \xi_0 A)} = X$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \Re(I - \xi_0 A)$ such that $\lim x_n = z$. Putting $y_n = (I - \xi_0 A)^{-1}x_n$, we have

$$\frac{\xi}{\xi_0} \left[I - \left(1 - \frac{\xi_0}{\xi}\right) L(\xi_0) \right] x_n = \frac{\xi}{\xi_0} \left[I - \left(1 - \frac{\xi_0}{\xi}\right) L(\xi_0) \right] (I - \xi_0 A)y_n = (I - \xi A)y_n,$$

where the left hand side tends to $(\xi/\xi_0)x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore it follows that $\overline{\mathfrak{R}(I-\xi A)}=X$ for all $\xi > (\xi_0/2)$. Thus in particular $\overline{\mathfrak{R}(I-(2\xi_0/3)A)}=X$. Again change the $I-\xi A$ to the form

$$I-\xi A = (3\xi/2\xi_0)[I-(1-(2\xi_0/3\xi))L(2\xi_0/3)](I-(2\xi_0/3)A).$$

For any $x \in X$, putting $K_1 y = x + (1-(2\xi_0/3\xi))L(2\xi_0/3)y$ for each $y \in X$, K_1 becomes a contraction mapping for ξ with $(\xi_0/3) < \xi$. In the similar way as in the abovementioned we have $\overline{\mathfrak{R}(I-\xi A)}=X$ for $\xi > (\xi_0/3)$. Inductively we can prove $\overline{\mathfrak{R}(I-(\xi_0/k)A)}=X$ ($k=3, 4, 5, \dots$) and thus we have $\overline{\mathfrak{R}(I-\xi A)}=X$ for $\xi > 0$. The last assertion is now evident. Q.E.D.

By virtue of this Lemma 4, we assume in the following Lemmas that $\overline{\mathfrak{R}(I-\xi_0 A)}=X$ for some $\xi_0 > 0$, which insures the existence of the limit operator $L(\xi)$ for each $\xi > 0$ (by Lemma 3).

Lemma 5. *The relation*

$$L(\xi) \left[\frac{\xi}{\xi'} y + \frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'} L(\xi') y \right] = L(\xi') y$$

holds for any $y \in X$ and $\xi, \xi' > 0$. And $L(\xi)[X] = L(\xi')[X]$ for any $\xi, \xi' > 0$. In particular, \tilde{D}_ξ of Lemma 3 is independent of $\xi > 0$.

Proof. For any $\delta > 0$, $\xi, \xi' > 0$ and $y \in X$, we have

$$(I-\xi' A_\delta)^{-1} y = (I-\xi A_\delta)^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'} (I-\xi' A_\delta)^{-1} y + \frac{\xi}{\xi'} y \right]$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| L(\xi') y - L(\xi) \left[\frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'} L(\xi') y + \frac{\xi}{\xi'} y \right] \right\| \leq \| L(\xi') y - (I-\xi' A_\delta)^{-1} y \| \\ & + \left\| (I-\xi A_\delta)^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'} (I-\xi' A_\delta)^{-1} y + \frac{\xi}{\xi'} y \right] - (I-\xi A_\delta)^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'} L(\xi') y + \frac{\xi}{\xi'} y \right] \right\| \\ & + \left\| (I-\xi A_\delta)^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'} L(\xi') y + \frac{\xi}{\xi'} y \right] - L(\xi) \left[\frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'} L(\xi') y + \frac{\xi}{\xi'} y \right] \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we have the required relation for each $y \in X$. From this it follows that $L(\xi')[X] \subset L(\xi)[X]$ for any $\xi, \xi' > 0$ and thus we have $L(\xi')[X] = L(\xi)[X]$. The last assertion is now evident. Q.E.D.

By virtue of this Lemma, we denote the set $L(\xi)[X] = \tilde{D}_\xi$, independent of $\xi > 0$, by \tilde{D} .

Lemma 6. *For any $\xi, \xi' > 0$ we have the relation of inclusion: $\frac{1}{\xi}(x - L(\xi)^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{\xi'}(x - L(\xi')^{-1}x) \subset X, x \in \tilde{D}$, where $L(\xi)^{-1}$ is the topological inverse mapping of $L(\xi)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for any $x \in \tilde{D}, \xi, \xi' > 0$

$$\xi^{-1}(x - L(\xi)^{-1}x) \supseteq \xi'^{-1}(x - L(\xi')^{-1}x).$$

From Lemma 5, $L(\xi)^{-1}L(\xi) \left[\frac{\xi}{\xi'} y + \frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'} L(\xi') y \right] = L(\xi)^{-1}L(\xi') y$. Thus

$L(\xi)^{-1}L(\xi')y \ni \frac{\xi}{\xi'}y + \frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi'}L(\xi')y$ for each $y \in X$. Therefore we have

$L(\xi')y - y \in (\xi'/\xi)L(\xi')y - (\xi'/\xi)L(\xi)^{-1}L(\xi')y$ for each $y \in X$. And for any $u \in L(\xi')^{-1}x$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{-1}(x - L(\xi)^{-1}x) &= \xi^{-1}(L(\xi')u - L(\xi)^{-1}L(\xi')u) \\ &= \xi'^{-1}((\xi'/\xi)L(\xi')u - (\xi'/\xi)L(\xi)^{-1}L(\xi')u). \end{aligned}$$

From this and the abovementioned it follows that the above right hand side contains the element $\xi'^{-1}(L(\xi')u - u)$, which implies the required relation of inclusion. Q.E.D.

Lemma 7. *The not necessarily one valued mapping \tilde{A} is defined on \tilde{D} by*

$$\tilde{A}x = \xi^{-1}(x - L(\xi)^{-1}x) \subset X \qquad x \in \tilde{D},$$

which has the properties (1)-(3) mentioned in the main theorem.

Proof. Such an operator \tilde{A} is well defined by Lemma 6. For each $x \in \tilde{D}$ we have

$$\xi \tilde{A}x = x - L(\xi)^{-1}x \subset X \text{ and so, } x - \xi \tilde{A}x = L(\xi)^{-1}x \subset X.$$

But since $L(\xi)[x - \xi \tilde{A}x] = L(\xi)[L(\xi)^{-1}x] = x$, the mapping $x \rightarrow x - \xi \tilde{A}x$ is the topological inverse mapping of $L(\xi)$, which implies (1). Since $L(\xi)$ is the unique extension of $(I - \xi A)^{-1}$ by Lemma 3, $L(\xi)(I - \xi A)x = x$ for each $x \in D(A)$ and thus $L(\xi)^{-1}x = x - \xi \tilde{A}x \ni (I - \xi A)x$, from which $\tilde{A}x \ni Ax$. Thus (2) is proved. Finally we shall prove (3). For any $x \in \tilde{D}$ there exists $x' \in X$ such that $x = L(1)x'$. Since $\mathfrak{R}(I - A)$ is dense in X , there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D(A)$ such that $(I - A)x_n \rightarrow x'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $x_n = L(1)(I - A)x_n \rightarrow L(1)x' = x$ and so, $Ax_n = x_n - (I - A)x_n \rightarrow x - x' \in x - L(1)^{-1}x = \tilde{A}x$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 8. *For each $\xi \geq 0$, $\{L(\xi/n)^n\}$ converges continuously to $T(\xi)$ on X and for each $x \in X$, $\{L(\xi/n)^n x\}$ converges to $T(\xi)x$ uniformly in ξ for any compact subset in $[0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Since $T'(\xi)x = AT(\xi)x$ for each $x \in D$ from Lemma 1, we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L(\xi/n)^n x - T(\xi)x\| \\ &= \|L(\xi/n)^n x - T(\xi/n)^n x\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|L(\xi/n)^{n-i+1} T(\xi(i-1)/n)x - L(\xi/n)^{n-i} T(\xi i/n)x\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|L(\xi/n) T(\xi(i-1)/n)x - L(\xi/n)(I - (\xi/n)A) T(\xi i/n)x\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi/n) \|A_{\xi/n} T(\xi(i-1)/n)x - AT(\xi i/n)x\| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi/n) \|(\xi/n)^{-1}(T(\xi i/n)) - T(\xi(i-1)/n)x - AT(\xi i/n)x\| \\ &\leq (\xi/n) \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi/n)^{-1} \int_{\frac{\xi(i-1)}{n}}^{\frac{\xi i}{n}} \|T'(\sigma)x - T'(\xi i/n)x\| d\sigma \\ &\leq \xi \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \max_{\sigma \in [\frac{\xi(i-1)}{n}, \frac{\xi i}{n}]} \|T'(\sigma)x - T'(\xi i/n)x\|. \end{aligned}$$

The above right hand tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $T'(\sigma)x$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \xi]$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(\xi/n)^n x = T(\xi)x$ for each $x \in D$. On the other hand, $L(\xi/n)^n$ is a contraction operator for each n . And so, $\{L(\xi/n)^n\}$ converges continuously to $T(\xi)$ on X [5]. Moreover the uniform convergence in ξ for any compact subset of $[0, \infty)$ is evident from the abovementioned estimate. Q.E.D.

Finally the author expresses his sincere thanks to Professor I. Miyadera for many useful advices.

References

- [1] J. W. Neuberger: An exponential formula for one-parameter semi-groups of non-linear transformations. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **18**(2), 154-157, (1966).
- [2] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips: *Functional analysis and semi-groups*. American Math. Soc. (1957).
- [3] K. Yosida: *Functional Analysis*. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer (1965).
- [4] M. Hasegawa: On contraction semi-groups and (di)-operators. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **18**(3), 303-330 (1966).
- [5] W. Rinow: *Die Innere Geometrie der Metrischen Räume*. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer (1961).