REVIEW





Novel molecular diagnostic tools for malaria elimination: a review of options from the point of view of high-throughput and applicability in resource limited settings

Sumudu Britton^{1,2*}, Qin Cheng³ and James S. McCarthy^{1,2}

Abstract

As malaria transmission continues to decrease, an increasing number of countries will enter pre-elimination and elimination. To interrupt transmission, changes in control strategies are likely to require more accurate identification of all carriers of *Plasmodium* parasites, both symptomatic and asymptomatic, using diagnostic tools that are highly sensitive, high throughput and with fast turnaround times preferably performed in local health service settings. Currently available immunochromatographic lateral flow rapid diagnostic tests and field microscopy are unlikely to consistently detect infections at parasite densities less than 100 parasites/µL making them insufficiently sensitive for detecting all carriers. Molecular diagnostic platforms, such as PCR and LAMP, are currently available in reference laboratories, but at a cost both financially and in turnaround time. This review describes the recent progress in developing molecular diagnostic tools in terms of their capacity for high throughput and potential for performance in non-reference laboratories for malaria elimination.

Keywords: Malaria elimination, High-throughput, Molecular diagnostics, LAMP, PCR, Field application

Background

Malaria continues to be a significant public health issue, with 198 million cases of infection occurring in 2013 [1]. However, it is estimated that 55 of the 106 countries that reported ongoing transmission in the year 2000 will, by 2015, meet the target of reducing malaria case incidence rates by 75 %. In this context, in 2007, the World Health Organization (WHO) endorsed the ambitious goal of achieving worldwide malaria elimination and eradication. The definitions and associated challenges of elimination have been previously discussed [2, 3].

Inherent to a change in focus from control to elimination is the need to interrupt transmission, which requires identification and treatment of all parasite carriers, both symptomatic and asymptomatic. To this end, there is increasing appreciation of the extent of the asymptomatic

*Correspondence: sumudu.britton@qimrberghofer.edu.au

² QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia



The diagnostic tools currently available for the identification of *Plasmodium* spp. include light microscopy, immunochromatographic lateral flow assays (known as rapid diagnostic tests, RDTs), serology, fluorescence microscopy and nucleic acid amplification techniques (NATs), such as PCR and isothermal amplification. Light microscopy has poor sensitivity in low transmission setting and in asymptomatic patients, resulting in



© 2016 Britton et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

underestimation of disease prevalence compared with the gold standard molecular diagnostic tool, PCR [4–6]. Even the most sensitive RDTs share similar limitations with microscopy [7], and have been shown to be insufficiently sensitive for community screening of asymptomatic carriers of *P. falciparum* [8, 9]. Furthermore, mass screening of populations in five malaria 'hot spots' in Zanzibar and treatment of RDT positive individuals did not reduce malaria incidence [9], likely due to the nearly tenfold higher undetected and untreated infection reservoirs missed by RDTs (compared with PCR). Therefore, diagnostic tools with better analytical sensitivity are likely to be required to detect the low level parasitaemia associated with asymptomatic carriage of *Plasmodium* spp. pertaining to the goal of malaria elimination.

The Malaria Eradication consortium has outlined features required of diagnostic tools for the purpose of malaria elimination [10]. These were further modified by the WHO in 2014 [11] to include a lower limit of two parasites/ μ L detected in order to be 'a significant improvement on expert microscopy'. However, the minimum number of parasites per microlitre that perpetuates transmission in low transmission settings remains uncertain.

For malaria elimination, the strategies for interrupting the cycle of transmission rely on enhanced population surveillance to inform additional intervention. Surveillance for elimination includes reactive and proactive active case detection, mass screening/testing and treatment (MSAT/MTAT), focused screening and treatment (FSAT) [12] and mass drug administration (MDA) [13, 14]. However, the most effective of these strategies remains to be determined. While the success of each of these strategies will depend on a number of different factors, the feature common to each of them, except MDA, may be the availability of point-of-care, sensitive diagnostic tools that are able to process large numbers of samples with a fast turnaround time.

NATs are currently the most sensitive diagnostic modality available, and many different assays have been developed for the identification of *Plasmodium* parasites. The performance of these assays, in terms of their accuracy, has been comprehensively reviewed recently [15]. However, while readily available in reference laboratories, NATs such as PCR remains inaccessible in resource limited settings due to the expensive infrastructure, reagents and technical expertise required. Efforts to improve the field applicability of NATs have resulted in

the development of assays such as PCR-enzyme linked immunosorbent assays (PCR-ELISA) [16], nucleic acid lateral flow immunoassay (NALFIA) [17, 18], nested PCR-high resolution melting analysis (nPCR-HRM) [19], PCR-ligase detection reaction assays (PCR-LDR) [20] and modifications of PCR-LDR into LDR- fluorescent microsphere assay (LDR-FMA) [21]. These assays, which have been recently reviewed [22], have good analytical sensitivity but are limited in their field applicability by virtue of their requirement for a PCR amplification step.

Isothermal molecular diagnostic modalities, such as loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), nucleic acid sequence based amplification (NASBA), thermophilic helicase dependent amplification (tHDA) and recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA), which have also been detailed elsewhere [23], are of much current interest given their potential to combine good analytical sensitivity with technical requirements that may facilitate application in resource limited settings.

Several NATs, including quantitative PCR (qPCR) combined with microfluidics [24], and those linked to enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays [25–27] as well as non-nucleic acid techniques [28–30] are in development for the purposes of malaria diagnosis but are not discussed further here. However, for even the most promising molecular technologies to be useful as point of care (POC) tests, significant challenges of portability, sample preparation, power supply and high throughput [31] have yet to be overcome.

This review describes the currently available novel molecular diagnostic platforms for the detection of low parasitaemia from the point of view of their potential for high-throughput and applicability in resource limited settings for the purpose of malaria elimination.

Nucleic acid amplification techniques (NATs)

PCR is a very sensitive molecular diagnostic modality capable of identifying asymptomatic, submicroscopic infection. The sensitivity of PCR for identifying low level parasitaemia has been shown to be improved by performing qPCR using DNA from high blood volumes (up to 1 ml). The latter platform, as described by Imwong et al., has a limit of detection (LOD) of 0.022 parasites/ μ L [32]. This has been achieved using a modified Qiagen© extraction protocol with extraction of DNA from 1 ml of blood, then concentrated to a volume of 10 μ L of DNA with 2 μ L of template per reaction (therefore equivalent to 200 μ L of blood) in combination with primers to a *P. falciparum*

18S rRNA gene target [32]. The process is amenable to up-scaling through automation to test up to 700 samples per week, confirming its status as a high-throughput diagnostic modality. While this technique, when offered in a reference laboratory, could make a significant contribution to the detection of low-level parasitaemia, its significant drawback is the need for venipuncture, which is impractical for population surveillance purposes. In addition, the equipment, reagents and technical expertise required are likely to preclude its use as a field deployable test. Nevertheless, it highlights the significant diagnostic advantages associated with sample concentration for identification of low level parasitaemia. The assay has been shown capable of identifying most infected individuals in malaria-endemic areas based on the distribution of parasite densities in asymptomatic malaria determined by this assay [33].

Efforts to simplify PCR for the purpose of field application have seen PCR performed directly on blood and combined with NALFIA [18] and multiplex malaria sample ready (MMSR) assays [34]. The MMSR assay relies on the lyophilization of all multiplex qPCR reagents in either 8-tube strips or 96 well plates which requires only the addition of sample DNA and water for the identification of Plasmodium genus and P. falciparum at an LOD of 0.244 parasites/µL from whole blood. The assay can also identify P. vivax. Although MMSR still requires a thermocycler, DNA extraction and is expensive (> US\$10 per reaction), it overcomes some significant issues associated with performing PCR in resource limited settings, thereby providing proof-of-concept for the potential PCR has to become deployable in non-reference laboratory settings.

To overcome the issue of equipment in field settings, Canier et al. created a mobile molecular laboratory [35]. At a cost of US\$200,000 for the fully equipped truck, this laboratory is able to process 240 samples per day, with a turnaround time of 24 h and a cost of US\$2.75/sample. The real time assay was performed in a 96-well plate using DNA extracted from filter paper using a commercial kit (Instagene Matrix resin, BioRad). Samples were screened for *Plasmodium* spp. using a mitochondrial cytochrome-b target [36] and then a species-specific nested real time PCR performed to arrive at an LOD of two parasites/µL from a 5 µL dried blood spot (DBS) [35]. While this innovative approach provides the opportunity for performing field-based PCR with its associated high throughput and fast turnaround time, the cost outlay of the mobile laboratory and cost per sample may be prohibitive in many developing countries embarking on malaria elimination programmes.

A significant advantage of real-time PCR is the lack of post-PCR handling through the use of fluorophores. To overcome issues of expense, Lucchi et al. [37] developed a novel, low cost technique for labelling real-time PCR primers with self-quenching ability via photo-induced electron transfer (PET). The PET-PCR assay was performed on Qiagen©-extracted DNA using a duplex of Plasmodium genus and P. falciparum primers, and was found to have an LOD of 3.2 parasites/µL [37]. Validation of the assay on nearly 3000 surveillance samples from Haiti, took over 5 months to process [38]. Therefore, while PET-PCR would appear to offer a sensitive platform for performing real time PCR, it is unclear whether the overall cost of the technology coupled with turnaround time would render it amenable for field deployment for malaria elimination.

Portable, self-contained molecular technology is the ultimate prize in the development of field applicable diagnostic tools. The first of these is based on a plastic hydrogel chip and a customized portable real time PCR machine (Gelcycler) [39]. The assay can process 12 samples at a time, directly from whole blood, with no prior DNA extraction. The assay uses an 18S rRNA gene target that detects all species of *Plasmodium* with an LOD of two parasites/µL and differentiated between P. falciparum and P. vivax [39]. The assay had a 2 h turnaround time using a 12 volt battery and costs US\$1 per test and US\$2000 for the gelcycler [39]. Although able to process only 12 samples at a time, the low cost, self contained nature of this chip and ability to test blood directly with a fast turnaround time make it an enticing prospect for field applicable diagnostics. The second platform is a multiplex microarray assay, targeting 26 tropical pathogen species including P. falciparum and P. vivax, with a turnaround time of 4 h [40]. However, its cost and loss of sensitivity at less than 100 parasites/µL for P. falciparum suggest a limited role for the assay for the purpose of malaria elimination.

Another approach to increasing sample throughput is pooling of samples [41]. In this study by Hsiang et al., filter paper samples were pooled into groups of 10, 50 and 100 and tested by real time PCR and species differentiated using a restriction enzyme analysis. The study found that at 10 parasites/ μ L, a pool of ten had a sensitivity of 93 % for *P. falciparum* [41] but turnaround time, throughput and cost of this approach are unclear. However, pooling strategies are only likely to be cost effective in areas with very low level transmissions, and where parasitaemic subjects have a moderate parasitaemia, which may permit pooling of DNA samples, thereby limiting its potential role in elimination settings.

Finally, RNA assays are generally considered too technically challenging for application in resource limited settings. Nevertheless, Cheng et al. have developed a capture and ligation probe PCR (CLIP-PCR) where sample lysate are directly incubated with a target plate overnight, obviating need for RNA purification and reverse transcription. gPCR can then be performed and results analysed by melting curve analysis and cycle threshold values. It is high throughput by virtue of its 96-well plate format and sample pooling, and can be performed directly from whole blood with an LOD of 0.05 parasites/µL or from pooled DBS with an LOD of 0.3 parasites/ μ L [42]. More than 3000 DBS were processed in the study but throughput per day is unclear. Limitations are an overnight incubation step which extends turnaround time, ability to identify only *Plasmodium* genus and requirement for PCR. Nevertheless, the potential for high throughput and direct processing from blood opens the possibilities for RNA assays to enter the foray of diagnostics for malaria elimination.

Loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP)

Since its first description in 2001 by Mori et al. [43], LAMP has become a forerunner in isothermal diagnostic technology for the identification of *Plasmodium* species [23]. LAMP has been used to identify all human Plasmodium species [44] including Plasmodium knowlesi [45, 46], and LAMP primers have been optimized to improve the sensitivity with which P. falciparum [47, 48] and P. *vivax* [49, 50] can be detected. A commercially available Loopamp kit (Eiken Chemical co) has been validated for the detection of *P. falciparum* [51] and enables the indirect detection of P. vivax using a combination of pangenus and P. falciparum specific LAMP primers [52]. Given that the commercial LAMP turbidimeter has limited capacity, Loopamp kits have been successfully combined with 46-well heat blocks and UV lamps to increase throughput [53, 54]. Nevertheless, further optimization

of LAMP platforms will be needed in order to viably process large numbers of samples as might be required to broaden its applicability for malaria elimination [31, 55].

Goto et al. [56] described a simple LAMP platform to improve its throughput using a 96-well microtitre plate format and a metal ion detector, hydroxynaphthol blue (HNB), for rapid visual interpretation of positive and negative results. This platform has been adapted for the identification of Plasmodium genus and P. falciparum as a high-throughput LAMP (HtLAMP) assay that can be combined with a portable photospectrometer for objective confirmation of visually detectable HNB colour change results [57]. Its LOD for the identification of Plasmodium genus (HtLAMP-Pg) is 2.5 parasites/µL from whole blood and 25 parasites/µL from DBS. The cost of HtLAMP-Pg is US\$1 per sample which includes whole blood DNA extraction using a modified chelex-saponin protocol. Although HtLAMP requires DNA extraction and is yet to be validated on asymptomatic patient samples to confirm throughput capacity, it has been performed in a resource limited setting and offers a cost effective molecular diagnostic platform.

Notwithstanding the issue of high-throughput, one of the exciting aspects of LAMP has been its potential for field deployment by virtue of its isothermal nature, and as such efforts have been invested in improving its field readiness. Lucchi et al. described the RealAmp platform in which LAMP was housed in a portable tube scanner with a built-in fluorescent detection unit able to monitor the generation of fluorophores by SYBR green in real time rather than reliance on visual colour change [58]. The device is a compact, 8 tube well, closed-system with an LOD of 0.4-40 parasites/µL for Plasmodium genus detection depending on method of DNA extraction. Costs are quoted at US\$6344 start-up and US\$2.66 per sample at minimum. RealAmp has also been used to detect *P. vivax* [49], and has been validated in field testing [59].Therefore, while this platform itself appears portable and relatively sensitive, its potential role in malaria elimination may be limited by its throughput and cost.

The concept of lateral flow based immunodiagnostics is familiar to the field of malaria. LAMP has been combined with a lateral flow device (LFD) thus adapting a molecular assay into a rapid diagnostic device [60]. This LAMP-LFD

assay, performed on extracted DNA or directly on blood, targets the dihydrofolate reductase thymidylate synthase (dhfr-ts) genes of P. falciparum and P. vivax using biotynylated LAMP primers whose products then hybridized with FITC labelled single strand DNA. A strepavidin-biotin reaction between hybridized LAMP amplicons and gold-labelled anti-FITC antibodies on the LFD strip allow visualization of the result [60]. However, this LAMP-LFD platform has limited sensitivity at low-level parasitaemia, and has not been validated on clinical samples. Importantly, it is a multistep process, requiring the addition of the probe at the end of the LAMP reaction, making this open system vulnerable to contamination, particularly where high throughput sample processing is necessary. Nevertheless, the potential for simple visualization of results offered by LFD, combined with either LAMP [60], recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) [61] or PCR [18], is promising.

Field applicability of diagnostics devices centre around simplicity of the assay and ability to be performed with minimal instrumentation but generally still depend on a reliable electricity supply. Non-instrumented nucleic acid amplification (NINA) [62] has been applied to the detection of Plasmodium species as a LAMP-NINA assay [63]. This assay uses an exothermic chemical reaction between saline and a magnesium iron alloy to generate a heat source for performing LAMP on a maximum of five samples within an insulated thermos flask-like device, and was validated in Ethiopia using Loopamp malaria pan genus/Pf kits (Eiken chemical co, Japan) in the NINA heating device [63]. Its limitations are its lack of capacity for high throughput, risk of contamination and validation only in samples with relatively high parasitaemia. However, the self-contained electricity free heating device, lack of post processing handling and fast turnaround time make it an attractive prospect as a field applicable point of care diagnostic device.

Conclusion

The extent of the submicroscopic parasite reservoir is becoming more evident with the utilization of sensitive, reference laboratory-based PCR testing. Microscopy and RDTs have been shown to underestimate the *Plasmodium* parasite prevalence in low incidence settings when compared with PCR, which has important implications for malaria elimination [9, 64]. The availability of sensitive diagnostic tests would also facilitate improved diagnosis of causes of febrile illness as malaria incidence declines and allow for more appropriate administration of artemisinin combination therapy (ACT) [19]. Although many diagnostic platforms may be capable of fulfilling this role, given the sensitivity of NATs, there is an increasing priority for the development of molecular diagnostic tools that have the capacity for detection of low level parasitaemia, that are able to process large numbers of samples and that can be performed in regional, non reference laboratory settings in order to support efforts of malaria elimination.

The various novel PCR and LAMP platforms currently available (Table 1) have demonstrated variable analytical sensitivity; many of these have yet to be validated among asymptomatic patients for the specific purpose of identifying low-level parasitaemia and have yet to be evaluated in resource limited settings. The main dichotomy between the two types of molecular assays remains that PCR-based assays have the sensitivity of parasite detection and the infrastructure support to increase throughput of sample processing, but have limited capacity to be deployable to more resource-limited laboratory settings. Isothermal assays, such as LAMP on the other hand, appear to have adequate analytical sensitivity to detect low-level parasitaemia and strong potential for deployment in non-reference laboratory settings but have limited, often implied rather than proven, capacity for high throughput processing of samples. Furthermore, many of these platforms are yet to overcome the common hurdle of simplifying nucleic acid extraction, an essential requirement for such assay platforms in resource limited settings. Both PCR and LAMP based assays are currently more expensive than RDT. Nevertheless, engineering challenges may be surmountable and strong foundations have been laid for achieving the goal of developing sensitive molecular diagnostic tools with the capacity for both field deployment and high throughput sample processing for the purpose of detecting low-level parasitaemias in malaria elimination settings.

_					
D,					
٠					
ŝ					
	1				
į					

Table 1 Summary of capacity for high-throughput and potential for field applicability of microscopy, RDT and novel nucleic acid amplification platforms

Britton et al. Malar J (2016) 15:88

Diagnostic modality	Platform	Limit of detection (parasites/µL)	Number of samples processed (per assay or per day)	Validated on sympto- matic or microscopy positive samples	Validated on asympto- matic or surveillance samples	Assay evaluated in resource-limited setting	Cost ^a
Microscopy	Light microscopy [65]	50-499	15 min/slide ^c	Yes	Yes	Yes	ş
RDT	Immunochromatographic lateral flow assay ^b [8]	200	15–20 min/test ^d	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ŷ
PCR	Ultrasensitive qPCR [32]	0.022 (blood)	40 samples/day (manual) 140 samples/day (auto- mated)	Yes	ON	NO	\$\$
	Multiplex Malaria Sample Ready [34]	0.244 (blood)	uncertain	Uncertain	Uncertain	Yes	\$\$
	Mobile PCR [35]	2 (DBS)	96 well plate, 240 samples/ day	Uncertain	Yes	Yes	\$\$
	PET-PCR [37, 38]	3.2 (blood)	Real-time PCR platform, presumed 72 samples	Uncertain	Yes	No	ć.
	Gelcycler [39]	2 (blood)	12	Yes	Yes	No	Ş
	Multiplex microarray assay [40]	Uncertain	Uncertain	Yes	Uncertain	No	\$\$
	CLIP-PCR [42]	0.3 (DBS)	96 well plate + pooled DBS	Yes	Yes	No	ŝ
LAMP	RealAmp [58]	0.4–40 (blood)	8 tubes	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$\$
	HtLAMP [57]	2.5 (blood)	96 well plate	Yes	No	Yes	Ş
	LAMP-LFD [60]	Uncertain	1.5 h/test	Yes	No	No	ż
	NINA-LAMP [63]	Uncertain	5 tubes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ċ
	LoopAmp [<mark>5</mark> 1]	5 (blood)	16	Yes	Yes	Yes	ć
DBS dried blood spot							

^c WHO Malaria Microscopy Quality Assurance Manual Version 1, 2009 ^d CDC website ww.cdc.gov/malaria/malaria_worldwide/reduction/dx_rdt.html

^b Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Test Performance—results of WHO product testing of malaria RDTs Round 5 (2013)

^a \$ < \$US2; \$\$ > \$US2, ? = cost unknown

Authors' contributions

SB conceived of review and drafted the manuscript. QC and JMcC reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. ² QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia. ³ Australian Army Malaria Institute, Brisbane, Australia.

Acknowledgements

The opinions expressed therein are those of the author/s and do not necessarily reflect those of Joint Health Command, Department of Defence, Australia. SB is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Australia scholarship.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 4 July 2015 Accepted: 9 February 2016 Published online: 16 February 2016

References

- 1. WHO Global Malaria Programme—World Malaria Report. World Malaria Report 2014. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
- Feachem RG, Phillips AA, Targett GA, Snow RW. Call to action: priorities for malaria elimination. Lancet. 2010;376:1517–21.
- Moonen B, Cohen JM, Tatem AJ, Cohen J, Hay SI, Sabot O, et al. A framework for assessing the feasibility of malaria elimination. Malar J. 2010;9:322.
- Okell LC, Ghani AC, Lyons E, Drakeley CJ. Submicroscopic infection in *Plasmodium falciparum*-endemic populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect Dis. 2009;200:1509–17.
- Cheng Q, Cunningham J, Gatton ML. Systematic review of sub-microscopic *P. vivax* infections: prevalence and determining factors. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9:e3413.
- Baum E, Sattabongkot J, Sirichaisinthop J, Kiattibutr K, Davies DH, Jain A, et al. Submicroscopic and asymptomatic *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* infections are common in western Thailand—molecular and serological evidence. Malar J. 2015;14:611.
- McMorrow ML, Aidoo M, Kachur SP. Malaria rapid diagnostic tests in elimination settings–can they find the last parasite? Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011;17:1624–31.
- 8. Tiono AB, Ouedraogo A, Diarra A, Coulibaly S, Soulama I, Konate AT, et al. Lessons learned from the use of HRP-2 based rapid diagnostic test in community-wide screening and treatment of asymptomatic carriers of *Plasmodium falciparum* in Burkina Faso. Malar J. 2014;13:30.
- Cook J, Xu W, Msellem M, Vonk M, Bergstrom B, Gosling R, et al. Mass screening and treatment on the basis of results of a *Plasmodium falciparum*-specific rapid diagnostic test did not reduce malaria incidence in Zanzibar. J Infect Dis. 2015;211:1476–83.
- MalERA. A research agenda for malaria eradication: diagnoses and diagnostics. PLoS Med. 2011;8:e1000396.
- WHO. Evidence review group on malaria diagnosis in low transmission settings, 16–18 December 2013. Geneva: World Health Organization; (2014).
- Hoyer S, Nguon S, Kim S, Habib N, Khim N, Sum S, et al. Focused screening and treatment (FSAT): a PCR-based strategy to detect malaria parasite carriers and contain drug resistant *P. falciparum*, Pailin, Cambodia. PLoS One. 2012;7:e45797.
- Tietje K, Hawkins K, Clerk C, Ebels K, McGray S, Crudder C, et al. The essential role of infection-detection technologies for malaria elimination and eradication. Trends Parasitol. 2014;30:259–66.
- Bousema T, Okell L, Felger I, Drakeley C. Asymptomatic malaria infections: detectability, transmissibility and public health relevance. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2014;12:833–40.

- Roth JM, Korevaar DA, Leeflang MM, Mens PF. Molecular malaria diagnostics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2015:1–19
- Laoboonchai A, Kawamoto F, Thanoosingha N, Kojima S, Scott Miller RR, Kain KC, et al. PCR-based ELISA technique for malaria diagnosis of specimens from Thailand. Trop Med Int Health. 2001;6:458–62.
- Mens PF, van Amerongen A, Sawa P, Kager PA, Schallig HD. Molecular diagnosis of malaria in the field: development of a novel 1-step nucleic acid lateral flow immunoassay for the detection of all 4 human *Plasmodium* spp. and its evaluation in Mbita, Kenya. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2008;61:421–7.
- Mens PF, de Bes HM, Sondo P, Laochan N, Keereecharoen L, van Amerongen A, et al. Direct blood PCR in combination with nucleic acid lateral flow immunoassay for detection of *Plasmodium* species in settings where malaria is endemic. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50:3520–5.
- Kipanga PN, Omondi D, Mireji PO, Sawa P, Masiga DK, Villinger J. Highresolution melting analysis reveals low *Plasmodium parasitaemia* infections among microscopically negative febrile patients in western Kenya. Malar J. 2014;13:429.
- McNamara DT, Thomson JM, Kasehagen LJ, Zimmerman PA. Development of a multiplex PCR-ligase detection reaction assay for diagnosis of infection by the four parasite species causing malaria in humans. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:2403–10.
- McNamara DT, Kasehagen LJ, Grimberg BT, Cole-Tobian J, Collins WE, Zimmerman PA. Diagnosing infection levels of four human malaria parasite species by a polymerase chain reaction/ligase detection reaction fluorescent microsphere-based assay. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2006;74:413–21.
- 22. Cordray MS, Richards-Kortum RR. Emerging nucleic acid-based tests for point-of-care detection of malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;87:223–30.
- Oriero EC, Jacobs J, Van Geertruyden JP, Nwakanma D, D'Alessandro U. Molecular-based isothermal tests for field diagnosis of malaria and their potential contribution to malaria elimination. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2015;70:2–13.
- Warkiani ME, Tay AK, Khoo BL, Xiaofeng X, Han J, Lim CT. Malaria detection using inertial microfluidics. Lab Chip. 2015;15:1101–9.
- Thongdee P, Chaijaroenkul W, Kuesap J, Na-Bangchang K. Nested-PCR and a new ELISA-based NovaLisa test kit for malaria diagnosis in an endemic area of Thailand. Korean J Parasitol. 2014;52:377–81.
- Yeo SJ, Huong DT, Han JH, Kim JY, Lee WJ, Shin HJ, et al. Performance of coumarin-derived dendrimer-based fluorescence-linked immunosorbent assay (FLISA) to detect malaria antigen. Malar J. 2014;13:266.
- Cheng Z, Sun X, Yang Y, Wang H, Zheng Z. A novel, sensitive assay for high-throughput molecular detection of plasmodia for active screening of malaria for elimination. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51:125–30.
- Peng WK, Kong TF, Ng CS, Chen L, Huang Y, Bhagat AA, et al. Micromagnetic resonance relaxometry for rapid label-free malaria diagnosis. Nat Med. 2014;20:1069–73.
- Garrett NL, Sekine R, Dixon MW, Tilley L, Bambery KR, Wood BR. Biosensing with butterfly wings: naturally occurring nano-structures for SERS-based malaria parasite detection. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2015;17:21164–8.
- Orban A, Butykai A, Molnar A, Prohle Z, Fulop G, Zelles T, et al. Evaluation of a novel magneto-optical method for the detection of malaria parasites. PLoS One. 2014;9:e96981.
- Oriero EC, Van Geertruyden JP, Nwakanma DC, D'Alessandro U, Jacobs J. Novel techniques and future directions in molecular diagnosis of malaria in resource-limited settings. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2015;15:1419–26.
- Imwong M, Hanchana S, Malleret B, Renia L, Day NP, Dondorp A, et al. High-throughput ultrasensitive molecular techniques for quantifying low-density malaria parasitemias. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52:3303–9.
- Imwong M, Stepniewska K, Tripura R, Peto TJ, Lwin KM, Vihokhern B, et al. Numerical distributions of parasite densities during asymptomatic malaria. J Infect Dis. 2015;pii: jiv596.
- Kamau E, Alemayehu S, Feghali KC, Juma DW, Blackstone GM, Marion WR, et al. Sample-ready multiplex qPCR assay for detection of malaria. Malar J. 2014;13:158.
- Canier L, Khim N, Kim S, Sluydts V, Heng S, Dourng D, et al. An innovative tool for moving malaria PCR detection of parasite reservoir into the field. Malar J. 2013;12:405.

- Steenkeste N, Incardona S, Chy S, Duval L, Ekala MT, Lim P, et al. Towards high-throughput molecular detection of *Plasmodium*: new approaches and molecular markers. Malar J. 2009;8:86.
- Lucchi NW, Narayanan J, Karell MA, Xayavong M, Kariuki S, DaSilva AJ, et al. Molecular diagnosis of malaria by photo-induced electron transfer fluorogenic primers: PET-PCR. PLoS One. 2013;8:e56677.
- Lucchi NW, Karell MA, Journel I, Rogier E, Goldman I, Ljolje D, et al. PET-PCR method for the molecular detection of malaria parasites in a national malaria surveillance study in Haiti, 2011. Malar J. 2014;13:462.
- Taylor BJ, Howell A, Martin KA, Manage DP, Gordy W, Campbell SD, et al. A lab-on-chip for malaria diagnosis and surveillance. Malar J. 2014;13:179.
- Tan JJ, Capozzoli M, Sato M, Watthanaworawit W, Ling CL, Mauduit M, et al. An integrated lab-on-chip for rapid identification and simultaneous differentiation of tropical pathogens. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8:e3043.
- Hsiang MS, Lin M, Dokomajilar C, Kemere J, Pilcher CD, Dorsey G, et al. PCR-based pooling of dried blood spots for detection of malaria parasites: optimization and application to a cohort of Ugandan children. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:3539–43.
- 42. Cheng Z, Wang D, Tian X, Sun Y, Sun X, Xiao N, et al. Capture and ligation probe-PCR (CLIP-PCR) for molecular screening, with application to active malaria surveillance for elimination. Clin Chem. 2015;61:821–8.
- Mori Y, Nagamine K, Tomita N, Notomi T. Detection of loop-mediated isothermal amplification reaction by turbidity derived from magnesium pyrophosphate formation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2001;289:150–4.
- Han E, Watanabe R, Sattabongkot J, Khuntirat B, Sirichaisinthop J, Iriko H. Detection of four Plasmodium species by genus and species- specific loop mediated isothermal amplication for clinical diagnosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45:2521–8.
- Lau YL, Fong MY, Mahmud R, Chang PY, Palaeya V, Cheong FW, et al. Specific, sensitive and rapid detection of human *Plasmodium knowlesi* infection by loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) in blood samples. Malar J. 2011;10:197.
- 46. Iseki H, Kawai S, Takahashi N, Hirai M, Tanabe K, Yokoyama N, et al. Evaluation of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification method as a tool for diagnosis of infection by the zoonotic simian malaria parasite *Plasmodium knowlesi*. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:2509–14.
- Polley SD, Mori Y, Watson J, Perkins MD, Gonzalez JJ, Notomi T, et al. Mitochondrial DNA targets increase sensitivity of malaria detection using loop-mediated isothermal amplification. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:2866–71.
- Polley SD, Gonzalez IJ, Mohamed D, Daly R, Bowers K, Watson J, et al. Clinical evaluation of a loop-mediated amplification kit for diagnosis of imported malaria. J Infect Dis. 2013;208:637–44.
- Patel JC, Oberstaller J, Xayavong M, Narayanan J, Debarry JD, Srinivasamoorthy G, et al. Real-time loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RealAmp) for the species-specific identification of *Plasmodium vivax*. PLoS One. 2013;8:e54986.
- Lu F, Gao Q, Zhou H, Cao J, Wang W, Lim CS, et al. Molecular test for vivax malaria with loop-mediated isothermal amplification method in central China. Parasitol Res. 2012;110:2439–44.
- Hopkins H, Gonzalez IJ, Polley SD, Angutoko P, Ategeka J, Asiimwe C, et al. Highly sensitive detection of malaria parasitemia in a malaria-endemic setting: performance of a new loop-mediated isothermal amplification kit in a remote clinic in Uganda. J Infect Dis. 2013;208:645–52.

- Vallejo AF, Martinez NL, Gonzalez IJ, Arevalo-Herrera M, Herrera S. Evaluation of the loop mediated isothermal DNA amplification (LAMP) kit for malaria diagnosis in *P. vivax* endemic settings of Colombia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9:e3453.
- Cook J, Aydin-Schmidt B, Gonzalez IJ, Bell D, Edlund E, Nassor MH, et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) for point-of-care detection of asymptomatic low-density malaria parasite carriers in Zanzibar. Malar J. 2015;14:43.
- Morris U, Khamis M, Aydin-Schmidt B, Abass AK, Msellem MI, Nassor MH, et al. Field deployment of loop-mediated isothermal amplification for centralized mass-screening of asymptomatic malaria in Zanzibar: a preelimination setting. Malar J. 2015;14:205.
- Hsiang MS, Greenhouse B, Rosenthal PJ. Point of care testing for malaria using LAMP, loop mediated isothermal amplification. J Infect Dis. 2014;210:1167–9.
- Goto M, Honda E, Ogura A, Nomoto A, Hanaki K. Colorimetric detection of loop-mediated isothermal amplification reaction by using hydroxy naphthol blue. Bio Techniques. 2009;46:167–72.
- Britton S, Cheng Q, Sutherland CJ, McCarthy JS. A simple, high-throughput, colourimetric, field applicable loop-mediated isothermal amplification (HtLAMP) assay for malaria elimination. Malar J. 2015;14:335.
- Lucchi NW, Demas A, Narayanan J, Sumari D, Kabanywanyi A, Kachur SP, et al. Real-time fluorescence loop mediated isothermal amplification for the diagnosis of malaria. PLoS One. 2010;5:e13733.
- Patel JC, Lucchi NW, Srivastava P, Lin JT, Sug-Aram R, Aruncharus S, et al. Field evaluation of a real-time fluorescence loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay, RealAmp, for the diagnosis of malaria in Thailand and India. J Infect Dis. 2014;210:1180–7.
- Yongkiettrakul S, Jaroenram W, Arunrut N, Chareanchim W, Pannengpetch S, Suebsing R, et al. Application of loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay combined with lateral flow dipstick for detection of *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax*. Parasitol Int. 2014;63:777–84.
- 61. Kersting S, Rausch V, Bier FF, von Nickisch-Rosenegk M. Rapid detection of *Plasmodium falciparum* with isothermal recombinase polymerase amplification and lateral flow analysis. Malar J. 2014;13:99.
- 62. Labarre P, Gerlach J, Wilmoth J, Beddoe A, Singleton J, Weigl B. Noninstrumented nucleic acid amplification (NINA): instrument-free molecular malaria diagnostics for low-resource settings. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2010;2010:1097–9.
- 63. Sema M, Alemu A, Bayih A, Getie S, Getnet G, Guelig D, et al. Evaluation of non-instrumented nucleic acid amplification by loop-mediated isothermal amplification (NINA-LAMP) for the diagnosis of malaria in Northwest Ethiopia. Malar J. 2015;14:44.
- Mwingira F, Genton B, Kabanywanyi AN, Felger I. Comparison of detection methods to estimate asexual *Plasmodium falciparum* parasite prevalence and gametocyte carriage in a community survey in Tanzania. Malar J. 2014;13:433.
- 65. Coleman RE, Maneechai N, Rachaphaew N, Kumpitak C, et al. Comparison of field and expert laboratory microscopy for active surveillance for asymptomatic *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* in western Thailand. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2002;67:141–4.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

