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#### Abstract

Phytochrome is a plant regulatory photoreceptor that mediates red light effects on a wide variety of physiological and molecular responses. DNA blot analysis indicates that the Arabidopsis thaliana genome contains four to five phytochrome-related gene sequences. We have isolated and sequenced cDNA clones corresponding to three of these genes and have deduced the amino acid sequence of the full-length polypeptide encoded in each case. One of these proteins ( $p h y A$ ) shows $65-80 \%$ amino acid sequence identity with the major, etiolated-tissue phytochrome apoproteins described previously in other plant species. The other two polypeptides ( $\boldsymbol{p h y B}$ and $p h y C$ ) are unique in that they have low sequence identity ( $\sim 50 \%$ ) with each other, with phy $A$, and with all previously described phytochromes. The phyA, phyB, and phyC proteins are of similar molecular mass, have related hydropathic profiles, and contain a conserved chromophore attachment region. However, the sequence comparison data indicate that the three phy genes diverged early in plant evolution, well before the divergence of the two major groups of angiosperms, the monocots and dicots. The steady-state level of the phyA transcript is high in dark-grown A. thaliana seedlings and is down-regulated by light. In contrast, the phyB and phyC transcripts are present at lower levels and are not strongly light-regulated. These findings indicate that the red/far red light-responsive phytochrome photoreceptor system in A. thaliana, and perhaps in all higher plants, consists of a family of chromoproteins that are heterogeneous in structure and regulation.
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Light is an important environmental factor controlling plant growth and development. Not only does light provide energy for photosynthesis, but plant growth patterns and a large number of plant developmental events, such as formation of leaf primordia, plastid development, and induction of flowering, are also responsive to light cues (Salisbury and Ross 1985). Physiological experiments suggest that two major plant regulatory photoreceptor systems are active in perception of light cues: one system sensing shorter wavelength blue and UV-A light, and the second sensing predominantly longer wavelength red/far red light (Shropshire and Mohr 1983). Up to this time, only the red/far red-responsive photoreceptor phytochrome has been isolated (Vierstra and Quail 1986). The quality and quantity of incident red and far red light influence a broad range of responses throughout the plant life cycle and in a wide variety of organs and tissues, indicating that the phytochrome re-

[^0]ceptor is one of the primary components of the regulatory system for higher plant photomorphogenesis.

The molecular properties of phytochrome have been determined most extensively for the abundant chromoprotein species purified from dark-grown (etiolated) oat tissue (Vierstra and Quail 1983). This species is a dimer of $124-\mathrm{kD}$ subunits (Jones and Quail 1986), each of which contains a covalently attached linear tetrapyrrole chromophore (Rudiger and Scheer 1983). The complete amino acid sequences of the abundant, etiolated-tissue phytochrome from oat (Hershey et al. 1985), zucchini (Sharrock et al. 1986), pea (Sato 1988), rice (Kay et al. 1989a), and corn (Christensen and Quail 1989), have been derived from the corresponding nucleic acid sequences. The molecular mechanism of action of phytochrome is not known. No enzymatic or specific protein or nucleic acid-binding activity has been assigned to the chromoprotein. Nonetheless, it is clear that the unique photochromic properties of phytochrome are responsible for its regulatory function. Phytochrome, both in plants and as a purified chromoprotein, exists in ei-
ther of two spectrally distinct conformations: $P_{r}$ (red light-absorbing, $\lambda_{\max }=666 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) or $\mathrm{P}_{\text {fr }}$ (far red light-absorbing, $\lambda_{\text {max }}=730 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) (Vierstra and Quail 1983). These conformations are photointerconvertible; irradiation with red light converts $P_{r}$ to $P_{f r}$ and, conversely, irradiation with far red light converts $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ft}}$ back to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$. For most phytochrome responses, conversion to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{fr}}$ induces the response, and conversion back to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$ cancels the induction (Shropshire and Mohr 1983). In this way, phytochrome functions as a reversible regulatory switch for plant photomorphogenic events.
In addition to simply being induced by red light, many phytochrome responses show sensitivity to the balance of red and far red light (Smith 1986) and to the intensity and duration of illumination (Mancinelli and Rubino 1978; Mandoli and Briggs 1981). The complex action spectra, variability of response and escape times, and differential level of far red reversibility of phytochrome responses have frequently been interpreted within the context of the known molecular properties of the apparently homogeneous phytochrome extracted from etiolated plant tissue (Kronenberg and Kendrick 1986). Nonetheless, for some time, the possibility has been recognized that less abundant forms of phytochrome might exist and that these might play important or even predominant roles in photoregulation. Indeed, some physiological observations, such as the Zea and Pisum 'paradoxes' (Hillman 1967) and in vivo spectroscopic data (Jabben and Holmes 1983), are very difficult to explain on the basis of one pool of homogeneous phytochrome. Tokuhisa and Quail (1983) presented the first direct evidence that extracts of fully green oat tissue contain two pools of phytochrome: a greatly reduced level of the etiolated-tissue form and a second, immunochemically distinct form. Further experiments confirmed these observations (Shimazaki and Pratt 1985; Tokuhisa et al. 1985) and extended them to a dicot species, pea (Abe et al. 1985). The green-tissue phytochrome from oats is of lower molecular mass, has different spectral properties, and is more stable in vivo in the presence of light when compared to the etiolated-tissue form (Tokuhisa et al. 1985).

The biochemical and physiological evidence for a second pool of phytochrome led us to screen for plant genomic sequences and cDNA clones that cross-hybridize under low stringency conditions with nucleic acid probes derived from the coding sequence of the abundant, etiolated-tissue phytochrome. This approach has been instrumental in the identification of novel components of receptor and signal transduction systems in animals. It has been used successfully to isolate members of several gene families such as steroid and thyroid hormone receptors (Thompson et al. 1987; Giguere et al. 1988), protein kinases (Ohno et al. 1988; Schaeffer et al. 1989), and potassium channels (Butler et al. 1989). We chose to screen for phytochrome-related sequences in Arabidopsis thaliana, a small cruciferous plant that exhibits typical photoresponsive characteristics and has many features that distinguish it as a model plant system for molecular genetic studies
(Meyerowitz 1987). In particular, we anticipated that the small genome size of $A$. thaliana might assist in establishing the minimum number of phytochrome genes present in higher plants. Here, we demonstrate that phytochrome in A. thaliana is encoded by a small gene family of at least three, but more likely four or five, members. We present the primary sequence of three of these gene products and their phylogenetic relationship to each other and to previously described phytochromes. In addition, we present preliminary studies on the apparent differential regulation of these genes.

## Results

## Isolation and sequence of phytochrome cDNA clones

 from A. thalianaA probe generated by nick-translation of the zucchini phytochrome cDNA clone pFMD1 (Lissemore et al. 1987) was used initially to screen an Arabidopsis genomic library, and a single $A$. thaliana genomic phytochrome clone was isolated (R. Sharrock, C. Gatz, and P. Quail, unpubl.). Comparison of phytochrome sequences from distantly related plant species has shown previously that the highest conservation of amino acid and nucleic acid sequence occurs in the amino-terminal half of the receptor, around the chromophore attachment site (Sharrock et al. 1986). Therefore, a $0.9-\mathrm{kb}$ single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) probe was prepared from the $A$. thaliana genomic clone covering this region of the phytochrome-coding sequence ( $0.9-\mathrm{kb}$ probe; Fig. 1). Blots of total A. thaliana DNA digested with restriction enzymes show multiple bands of hybridization to this probe (Fig. 1A), indicating that the Arabidopsis genome contains multiple copies of phytochrome-related coding sequence.

The $0.9-\mathrm{kb}$ probe was used to screen a $\lambda \mathrm{gt} 10$ size-fractionated cDNA library made from poly $\left(\mathrm{A} \mid{ }^{+}\right.$RNA isolated from 3-week-old green A. thaliana leaves (Crawford et al. 1988). Several clones were isolated that hybridized to the probe under high-stringency wash conditions, and the insert from one of these clones, $\lambda A 2-3$, was sequenced. The $\lambda$ A2-3 insert (Fig. 2A) contains the complete amino acid-coding sequence for a $124-\mathrm{kD}$ polypeptide (phyA; Fig. 2B), $5^{\prime}$ - and $3^{\prime}$-noncoding sequence, and a poly $(\mathrm{A})$ tail. Clones showing less stable hybridization to the $0.9-\mathrm{kb}$ probe were separated into two classes on the basis of restriction enzyme analysis, and the inserts from representatives of these classes, $\lambda A 7-5$ and $\lambda$ A1-1, were sequenced (Fig. 2A). The $\lambda$ A7-5 insert contains the complete amino acid-coding sequence for a $129-\mathrm{kD}$ polypeptide (phyB; Fig. 2B), and $\lambda \mathrm{Al}-1$ contains the complete coding sequence for a $124-$ kD polypeptide (phyC; Fig. 2B). Both of these inserts contain $5^{\prime}$ - and $3^{\prime}$-noncoding sequence and poly $(\mathrm{A})$ tails. Restriction enzyme analysis and hybridization of the three A. thaliana phytochrome cDNA clones to genomic DNA blots under high stringency conditions show that the central coding regions of the phyA, phyB, and $p h y C$ genes correspond to the bands indicated in the


Figure 1. Southern blot analysis of total A. thaliana DNA hybridized with a phytochrome-coding region probe ( $0.9-\mathrm{kb}$ probe) or with probes specific for the $p h y A, p h y B$, and phyC transcripts. Diagrams of the cDNA inserts from the $\lambda$ gt 10 phy clones are shown below the blots, and the internal EcoRI sites and the positions of the probes are indicated. Restriction enzymes are (E) EcoRI; (H) HindIII; (P) PstI.

EcoRI digest on the Southern blot in Figure 1A (data not shown). No cDNA clones corresponding to the two highest molecular weight bands in this digest were recovered in this screen.

In all three cDNA clones, the initiator methionine codon for the large phytochrome open reading frame (ORF) is not the first AUG present at the $5^{\prime}$ end of the mRNA. The upstream open reading frames (URFs) in each mRNA encode short peptides, 3-12 residues in length, before an in-frame translation termination codon is reached (Fig. 2A). The large ORF of phyB contains two methionines in its first 54 amino acids. Initiation of translation at the first methionine gives rise to the 129kD protein shown in Figure 2B. Initiation at the second methionine would produce a $124-\mathrm{kD}$ protein similar to the other phytochrome apoproteins; however, there is currently no reason to invoke preferential initiation at this site. To eliminate the possibility that the first inframe ATG of the phyB sequence was introduced through a cDNA cloning artifact, the nucleotide se-
quence of the amino-terminal coding region of the phyB cDNA was confirmed by sequencing the same region of an $A$. thaliana genomic $p h y B$ clone $(R$. Sharrock and $P$. Quail, unpubl.).

## Comparison of A. thaliana phytochromes $A, B$, and $C$

It has been reported previously that there are multiple phytochrome genes in oat (Hershey et al. 1985). However, oat is hexaploid and the genes described encode almost identical polypeptides ( $>98 \%$ identical). Genomic Southern blot analyses of pea and rice DNA have been interpreted to indicate the presence of only single phytochrome genes (Sato 1988; Kay et al. 1989b) and cDNA cloning from zucchini (Lissemore et al. 1987), pea (Sato 1988), and rice (Kay et al. 1989b) indicated the presence of only a single type of phytochrome transcript in etiolated tissue of these plant species. Our results in Arabidopsis (Figs. 1 and 2) contrast markedly with these previous reports. To compare the three $A$. thaliana phytochromes, the deduced amino acid sequences of $p h y A$, phyB, and phyC have been aligned in Figure 2B in such a way as to minimize the number of gaps introduced. A consensus sequence of residues conserved in all three polypeptides is shown below the alignments. In all pairwise combinations, the $p h y A, p h y B$, and $p h y C$ polypeptides are approximately equally related to one another, $49-52 \%$ identical (Table 1). This level of sequence conservation indicates significant structural heterogeneity and distant evolutionary origins (see Phylogeny of phytochrome genes, below).

To better visualize the structural relatedness of the $p h y A, p h y B$, and $p h y C$ polypeptides, the distribution of amino acid sequence conservation along each pair of the aligned phytochrome sequences is presented in Figure 3 as a linear plot of percent identity within a 9 -amino-acid moving window. Short conserved regions are observed over almost the entire lengths of $p h y A, p h y B$, and $p h y C$. Similar regions of the three polypeptides are either highly conserved or prone to substitutions, and no large structural domains are conserved in two of the proteins and lost in the third. These data indicate that the overall structure of these proteins is conserved. Consistent with this interpretation, hydropathy profiles for phy $A, p h y B$, and phyC are very similar over their entire lengths (Fig. 4). Notable deviation from this conserved phytochrome structure occurs at the ends of the phyB polypeptide in the form of amino- and carboxy-terminal extensions. The 35 -residue phyB amino-terminal extension is unusual in its high glycine content ( $37 \%$ ) and the presence of numerous amino acid doublet and triplet repeats (Fig. 2 B ), the functional significance of which is not known.

## Phylogeny of phytochrome genes

The amino acid sequences of the three $A$. thaliana phytochromes and the published sequences of phytochromes from oat (Hershey et al. 1985), zucchini (Sharrock et al. 1986), pea (Sato 1988), rice (Kay et al. 1989a), and corn (Christensen and Quail 1989), have
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Figure 2. (A) Nucleotide sequences of the cDNA inserts from $\lambda A 2-3(p h y A), \lambda A 7-5(p h y B)$, and $\lambda A 1-1(p h y C)$. The coding regions of the three sequences have been aligned so that they correspond to the peptide sequence alignment in $B$. Because the initiator ATG codons for the three sequences do not line up in this alignment, the first nucleotide of the phy $B$ cDNA sequence has arbitrarily been given position 1. The initiator ATG codons for the phytochrome ORFs are boxed and marked above the alignment with an asterisk. Termination codons are also boxed and marked. Sequences at the $3^{\prime}$ ends of the cDNAs, to which antisense synthetic oligonucleotides were annealed and elongated to make transcript-specific hybridization probes, are underlined and labeled. Primer A was elongated to a PstI site at position 3598, primer B to an RsaI site at position 3601, and primer C to a PflMI site at position 3512 (see Materials and methods|. URFs at the $5^{\prime}$ ends of the cDNAs are underlined and labeled. ( $B$ ) Polypeptide sequences of $p h y A$, phy $B$, and phyC were deduced from the nucleotide sequences in $A$. The polypeptides have been aligned in such a way as to maximize homology. Residues conserved in all three polypeptides are shown below the alignment. The cysteine residue (361) that corresponds to the chromophore attachment site identified in purified oat phytochrome is indicated by an asterisk.

Table 1. Percent amino acid sequence identity among phytochromes from various plant species and A . thaliana phyA, B, and C

|  | phyA phytochromes |  |  |  |  |  | phyB | phyC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | monocot |  |  | dicot |  |  |  |  |
|  | oat | rice | corn | zucchini | pea | phyA |  |  |
| Oat | - |  |  | $\Gamma \overline{64}{ }^{-}$ | $6 \overline{5}^{-}$ | -647 | 48 | 49 |
| Rice | 89 |  |  | 164 | 65 | 641 | 49 | 49 |
| Corn | 88 | 88 | - | 664 |  | $64!$ | 48 | 49 |
| Zucchini |  |  | 637 |  | 78 | 79 | 52 | 51 |
| Pea | :64 |  | 64 ! | 78 | - | 79 | 51 | 52 |
| phyA | 163 |  | 63! | 79 | 79 | - | 52 | 52 |
| phyB | 46 | 46 | 46 | 49 | 48 | 49 | - | 51 |
| phyC | 48 | 48 | 48 | 51 | 51 | 52 | 49 | - |

For each pair of aligned sequences, the number of identical residues was divided either by the total number of positions in the alignment, including gaps and extensions, (below the diagonal) or by the number of amino acids in the shorter of the two sequences (above the diagonal) and expressed as a percent. Values derived for comparisons within monocot or $\operatorname{dicot} p h y A$ sequences are boxed; values for comparisons between monocot and dicot sequences are enclosed by dashed lines. References for previously published phytochrome sequences are oat (Hershey et al. 1985), rice (Kay et al. 1989a), corn (Christensen and Quail 1989), zucchini (Sharrock et al. 1986), and pea (Sato 1988).
been aligned, and the percent identical residues in each pairwise combination has been calculated in two ways. First, the number of identical amino acids has been divided by the total number of positions occupied by res-
idues in the alignment, including gaps and amino- and carboxy-terminal extensions. These values are displayed in the lower left of Table 1, below the diagonal, and are an index of the degree of structural relatedness of the paired polypeptides. Second, the number of identical amino acids has been divided by the number of residues in the shorter of the two sequences, ignoring gaps and extensions (Table 1, upper right above the diagonal). Values calculated in this way provide an index of the extent of evolutionary relatedness of the paired sequences (Doolittle 1981; Feng et al. 1985). These two methods of calculation yield only minor differences in the values obtained, and these differences are not considered further here. The values determined (Table 1) indicate that all previously described phytochrome polypeptides are significantly more related to the $A$. thaliana $p h y A$ protein than to $p h y B$ and $p h y C$. These previously characterized sequences correspond to the abundant etiolated-tissue phytochromes in these species and are very likely functional homologs of one another. We propose that the designation $p h y A$ be extended to these sequences. Within this group, the three monocot $p h y A$ sequences are highly related to each other $(88-89 \%$ identical), the three $\operatorname{dicot} p h y A$ sequences are less related to each other ( $78-79 \%$ identity), and comparisons across monocot/dicot lines show 63-65\% identity. These results are similar, in general, to results obtained for phylogenetic comparison of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and chalcone synthase sequences from various angiosperm plant species (Martin et al. 1989). In contrast, the Arabidopsis phyB and phyC sequences are unique in that they are equivalently and highly divergent from each other and from all of the phyA phytochromes (Table 1).

The data in Table 1 can be interpreted most readily as


Figure 3. Local level of amino acid sequence identity for the three pairwise alignments of $p h y A, p h y B$, and $p h y C$. The number of identical residues within a window of 9 amino acids is expressed as percent identity and plotted at the middle position of the window. Gaps in the alignment in Fig. 2B are counted as mismatches. Shaded areas correspond to regions of $>50 \%$ identity. A schematic representation of the longest polypeptide, phy $B$, is shown below the plots, indicating the position of the chromophore attachment site.


Figure 4. Hydropathy profiles of $p h y A, p h y B$, and phyC. Hydropathy analysis was performed according to Kyte and Doolittle (1982), using a window of 9 amino acids. The profiles have been aligned in the same way as the sequences in Fig. 2B, with gaps introduced at the same position.
defining a phylogenetic tree containing a tripartite branching of the three major phytochrome types (A, B, and $\mathrm{C} \mid$ from a precursor gene followed by subsequent divergence of the phyA genes (Fig. 5). Parsimony analysis using the PHYLIP programs of Felsenstein (1985) of the nucleotide sequences for the eight phytochrome genes yields an unrooted phylogenetic tree, consistent with that shown in Figure 5 (R. Sharrock and P. Quail, unpubl.). The tree indicates that the trifurcation of the phytochrome gene family into types A, B, and C is an ancient evolutionary event. If the branch point for divergence of the monocot and dicot phyA sequences in Figure 5 corresponds to the divergence of the monocots and dicots during angiosperm evolution, $100-300$ million years ago (Lidgard and Crane 1988; Martin et al. 1989), the gene duplication events that gave rise to phy $A, p h y B$, and $p h y C$ occurred before that, much earlier in the evolution of vascular plants.

## Expression of the phyA, phyB, and phyC mRNAs

We used transcript-specific ssDNA hybridization probes derived from sequences at the $3^{\prime}$ ends of the cDNA
clones (see Materials and methods, Figs. 1 and 2A) to determine the patterns of expression of the three phytochrome genes. The transcript-specific probes detect unique bands on total $A$. thaliana DNA Southern blots (Fig. 1B). When hybridized to Northern blots of total RNA isolated from 5 -day-old $A$. thaliana seedlings grown under various light conditions, all three probes detect RNAs $4.0-4.4 \mathrm{~kb}$ in length (Fig. 6), consistent with the sizes of the cDNAs that were isolated (3.6-3.8 kb ).

The level of phyA mRNA is high in dark-grown tissue, is not strongly affected by a pulse of red light, but is markedly reduced within a few hours after transfer to white light (Fig. 6). In addition, the phyA probe hybridizes to more than one RNA transcript. The lower molecular weight ( 4.0 kb ) phyA transcript appears to be strongly down-regulated by white light, whereas the higher molecular weight ( 4.4 kb ) transcript is clearly visible only in the white light-irradiated sample (Fig. 6). A situation similar to this has been described for the gene encoding the phyA homolog in pea, where the multiple transcripts were shown to be the result of transcription initiation at multiple start sites within a complex pro-

Figure 5. Deduced phylogeny of phytochrome polypeptides. Percent amino acid sequence identity between each pairwise combination of phytochromes (Table 1, values above the diagonal) was used to group related sequences and as a measure of phylogenetic distance in constructing the tree.

moter (Sato 1988). A complex promoter structure analogous to the pea phyA promoter is located upstream of the $A$. thaliana phyA gene (R. Sharrock and P. Quail, unpubl.). The $A$. thaliana phy $B$ and phyC mRNAs are less abundant than the phyA mRNA in dark-grown tissue ( $5-10 \%$ ) and are not strongly regulated by the light conditions tested, although the phyB transcript level shows a small transient increase following transfer to white light (Fig. 6).

## Discussion

We used the technique of low stringency hybridization to identify and isolate $A$. thaliana cDNA clones that are related to the red light-responsive photoreceptor phytochrome and presented the sequences of three such cDNAs and their derived polypeptides. These sequences include the $A$. thaliana homolog of the previously characterized abundant etiolated-tissue phytochrome ( $p h y A$ ) and identify two new classes of phytochrome apoproteins (phyB and phyC). In addition to these three proteins, there is evidence from DNA blot analysis for the presence of one or two additional related sequences in the $A$. thaliana genome. We propose that $A$. thaliana contains a family of at least three, and potentially five, phytochrome genes whose products are diverse, red light-responsive regulatory photoreceptors. This proposal has implications for the mechanism of regulatory light perception in all plants. Hormone and growth factor receptors in animal systems are frequently members of gene families or superfamilies that encode numerous related receptor structures (Evans 1988; Yarden and Ullrich 1988). Structural homology within these families reflects general similarity in their mode of action, such as conserved DNA-binding or protein kinase domains, whereas differential activities of individual members of the families likely result from variations in ligand specificity, restricted tissue or cell-type localization, or different pathways of cellular activation. By analogy, the family of homologous but markedly divergent phytochrome polypeptides that we have described share structural features but may perform widely different roles in the regulation of higher plant photomorphogenesis.

Analysis of the previously published sequences of phytochrome from oat, rice, corn, zucchini, and pea indicates that these proteins are all homologs of the $A$. thaliana $p h y A$ gene product. The relatively abundant $p h y A$ phytochrome from dark-grown plant tissue is a chromoprotein that exists in two spectrally distinct confirmations, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{fr}}$ and photoconversion between these two conformations underlies the role of phytochrome as a regulatory molecule. The $p h y B$ and $p h y C$ gene products contain regions of high sequence similarity to phyA phytochrome, notably at and around the chromophore attachment site, and the calculated hydropathic properties of the three proteins are very similar. Though this strongly suggests that phyB and phyC are indeed apoproteins for red/far red light-responsive photoreceptors, rigorous proof of this awaits spectral charac-


Figure 6. Blot hybridization analysis of the phy mRNAs present in total RNA from A. thaliana seedlings. RNA was isolated from seedlings that were grown for 5 days completely in the dark ( $D$ ), given a pulse of red ( R ) or red followed by far red light (R/FR) 3 hr prior to harvest, transferred to white light for 1,3 , or 5 hr prior to harvest, or grown for 5 days in continuous white light (CONT). Blots were probed with the ssDNA tran-script-specific probes for the phy $A$, phy $B$, and phyC mRNAs (Fig. 1). Transcript sizes were estimated from mobility relative to RNA molecular weight standards.
terization of the chromophore-containing forms of these proteins. The levels of $p h y B$ and phyC mRNA detected in etiolated $A$. thaliana seedlings indicate that the phy $B$ and $p h y C$ proteins are likely to be less abundant than $p h y A$ phytochrome in this tissue. Previously, there have been several reports of low-abundance, green-tissue forms of phytochrome in oat and pea that are immunochemically and spectrally distinct from the major, etio-lated-tissue form (Abe et al. 1985; Shimazaki and Pratt 1985; Tokuhisa et al. 1985). It is possible that phyB for phyC corresponds to this low abundance phytochrome or, alternatively, that the partially purified chromoprotein fractions from oat and pea are mixtures of the homologs of $p h y A, p h y B$, and $p h y C$ in these plant species. These questions can now be approached using antisera specific to the $A, B$, and $C$ forms of phytochrome.

Phytochrome has been detected spectrally in all angiosperm and gymnosperm plants that have been examined, in ferns and bryophytes, and in some species of algae (Correll et al. 1977). Currently, sequence information is available only for phytochrome from a few angiosperm genera. Comparison of these sequences shows that the origins of the $p h y A, p h y B$, and $p h y C$ genes were very likely gene duplications that occurred early in higher plant evolution, long before the divergence of the two major groups of angiosperms, the monocots and dicots. This expansion and divergence of the phy-tochrome-coding capacity may have accompanied emergence of novel mechanisms of regulation of photomorphogenesis in early plants. The ancient origins of the phytochrome gene family also indicate the phy $A, p h y B$,
and phyC homologs are likely to be present in all angiosperms and, perhaps, all higher plants. Though published data have been interpreted as indicating the presence of only a single phytochrome gene in pea (Sato 1988) and in rice (Kay et al. 1989b), these studies were performed using hybridization conditions that would not favor detection of distantly related sequences. Previously, we presented evidence for multiple phy-tochrome-related sequences in DNA blots of tomato (Sharrock et al. 1988). If the A, B, and C forms of phytochrome are conserved elements of higher plant photoregulation systems, the roles of these receptors in the regulation of photomorphogenesis in A. thaliana may also be conserved across a wide range of plant genera.

Amino acid identity profiles of the $p h y A, p h y B$, and phyC sequences aligned in the three possible pairwise combinations indicate that similar regions of the three polypeptides have been conserved and that no deletion, replacement, or rearrangement of large structural domains has occurred. Notable deviations from this conserved structure are the 35 -residue amino-terminal and 11-residue carboxy-terminal extensions of the phyB polypeptide. As was observed in comparison of phyA sequences from monocot and dicot plant species (Sharrock et al. 1986), the largest blocks of amino acid sequence conserved among phyA, phyB, and phyC (Figs. 2B and 3) are at and around the cysteine residue (position 361 in Fig. 2B), which serves as the chromophore attachment site (Lagarias and Rapoport 1980). Native phyA phytochrome is purified from the soluble fraction of plant extracts (Vierstra and Quail 1983) and appears, by immunocytochemistry, to be distributed evenly in the cytoplasm of etiolated-tissue cells (McCurdy and Pratt 1986; Saunders et al. 1983). From consideration of the hydropathic properties of the proteins (Fig. 4), Arabidopsis phyB and phyC also appear to be soluble proteins, and although phytochrome modulation of membrane properties and physical association of phytochrome with membranes or plastids have been reported (for review, see Roux 1986), no membrane-spanning or transit peptide sequences are predicted within the phyB and phyC proteins.

In addition to encoding divergent polypeptides, the $A$. thaliana phyA, phyB, and phyC genes are expressed in different ways, quantitatively and qualitatively. Transcripts for all three phytochromes are detected in RNA from 5 -day-old seedlings and are represented in a cDNA library made from RNA from 3 -week-old rosette leaves. The phyA mRNA is the most abundant of the three in dark-grown (etiolated) tissue and is down-regulated in the presence of white light. Light-induced down-regulation of phyA mRNA abundance has been described in several plant species. In monocots, this effect is rapid and pronounced, is mediated by the phytochrome system itself (Colbert et al. 1985), and is, in large part, due to reduced transcription of phyA genes (Lissemore and Quail 1988). In dicots, down-regulation of phyA mRNA is less pronounced (Lissemore et al. 1987; Sato 1988) and, in the case of Arabidopsis, appears to require the presence of continuous white light. In contrast to
phy $A$, the phy $B$ and phy $C$ mRNAs are present at low levels and are not significantly light-regulated. The predominance of phyA mRNA in dark-grown tissue indicates that the $p h y A$ receptor is likely to be the most abundant phytochrome in etiolated seedlings and may play a specific role in the de-etiolation process. In fully green plant tissue, the levels of the mRNAs for the three photoreceptors are within severalfold of each other, making it less likely that one phytochrome is physically or functionally predominant.
Though the phyA gene is the only member of the Arabidopsis phytochrome family that has been shown to be regulated at the level of mRNA abundance, all three phy mRNAs contain URFs in their $5^{\prime}$-leader regions, preceding the initiator AUG for the phytochrome-coding sequence. These URFs contain 3-12 in-frame codons before a translation termination codon is reached. Most eukaryotic mRNAs do not contain URFs (Kozak 1987), and, in some cases, mRNAs that contain URFs show complex translational regulation. For example, Mueller and Hinnebush (1986) demonstrated that the four 5'leader URFs in the yeast GCN4 mRNA have strong regulatory effects on translation of the downstream coding sequences. All dicot phytochrome $5^{\prime}$ leaders that have been sequenced, including phyA from zucchini (Sharrock et al. 1986), pea (Sato 1988), and Arabidopsis and $p h y B$ and $p h y C$, contain at least one URF. Moreover, the multiple phyA mRNA 5 ' ends encoded by the complex phyA promoters of pea (Sato 1988) and Arabidopsis (R. Sharrock and P. Quail, unpubl.) contain differing numbers of URFs, from one URF in the shortest $5^{\prime}$-untranslated sequence to four URFs in the longest. Monocot phytochrome $5^{\prime}$ leaders, including phyA from oat (Hershey et al. 1985), rice (Kay et al. 1989a), and corn (Christensen and Quail 1989), do not contain URFs. Hence, it is possible that regulation of dicot phytochrome gene expression, including all members of the gene family, includes a component of translational regulation that is not present in monocots.
The biology of receptor systems in plants is poorly understood as compared to such systems in animals. The mechanisms through which physical and chemical signals are perceived and transmitted in plants may be related to mechanisms that operate in animal receptor systems or they may be unique. In the case of the phytochrome system, absorption of a photon of light by a soluble chromoprotein receptor triggers a diverse and complex array of growth and developmental responses. The discovery of multiple phytochrome genes in $A$. thaliana suggests that, as in many animal receptor systems, diversity of response in plant light perception may reflect heterogeneity of receptor structure and differential patterns of expression of a family of receptor genes. With the identification and characterization of the phyA, phyB, and phyC phytochromes, we are now in a position to develop peptide-specific antisera, determine the tissue localization of the various phytochromes, and begin analysis of the functions of these gene products using classical and molecular genetic approaches in Arabidopsis.

## Materials and methods

## Plant material and growth conditions

All experiments were performed using A. thaliana land race Columbia. Seeds were purchased from Guhy's Nursery (Tucson, Arizona). Plants used for preparation of DNA were grown for 3 weeks in soil at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under fluorescent light. For preparation of RNA, $\sim 5000$ seeds were sterilized for 60 min in $20 \%$ bleach $/ 0.2 \%$ SDS and washed six times in sterile water. Sterile seeds were distributed onto a Whatman No. 1 filter paper disk overlaying $0.8 \%$ agar $/ 0.5 \times$ Murashige-Skoog salts (Difco) in a $150 \times 25-\mathrm{mm}$ petri dish and placed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in complete darkness. After 7 days, the seeds were brought to room temperature and irradiated for 10 min with white light. The plates were sealed, wrapped in foil, and incubated for 5 days at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. Under these conditions, seed germination was $>90 \%$ and etiolated seedlings were $\sim 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ tall. Irradiation of 5 -day-old seedings was performed at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for periods of 5 min with red or far red light (Lissemore et al. 1987). Seedlings were harvested 3 hr after irradiation and frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. White light irradiation was performed under fluorescent bulbs at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the indicated times. The outputs of the red, far red, and white light sources were $8 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, 11 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, and $28 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, respectively, as measured by an EG\&G Gamma Scientific model 550-1 radiometer/photometer.

## Plant DNA preparation and analysis

Total A. thaliana DNA was prepared by the method of Ausubel et al. (1989). Samples containing $3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNA were digested with restriction enzymes, separated on $0.8 \%$ agarose gels, and transferred to GeneScreen Plus (Dupont) hybridization membranes according to procedures recommended by the manufacturer. Blots probed with the $0.9-\mathrm{kb}$ coding-region probe (Fig. 1) were prehybridized for 12 hr at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $30 \%$ formamide, $5 \times$ SSC, $5 \times$ Denhardt's solution, 40 mm NaPO 4 (pH 6.8), $0.5 \%$ BSA, $1 \%$ SDS, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ sonicated denatured salmon testes DNA and subsequently hybridized to the probe for 18 hr at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $30 \%$ formamide, $5 \times \mathrm{SSC}, 40 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{NaPO} 4(\mathrm{pH} 6.8)$, $10 \%$ dextran sulfate ( $M_{r}=500,000$ ), and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ sonicated denatured salmon testes DNA. These membranes were washed under low-stringency conditions: twice for 20 min at room temperature and once for 1 hr at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $2 \times$ wash solution ( $2 \times$ SSC, 5 mm EDTA, 1.5 mm sodium pyrophosphate, $0.5 \%$ SDS). Blots hybridized with transcript-specific probes (probes A, B, C, Fig. 1) were treated in the same way, except the prehybridization and hybridization buffers contained 50\% formamide and the final wash was for 1 hr at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $0.1 \times$ wash solution (high-stringency conditions). Autoradiography was done at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an intensifying screen.

The coding-region hybridization probe ( $0.9-\mathrm{kb}$ probe; Fig. 1) was a ${ }^{32}$ P-labeled, ssDNA synthesized from a recombinant M13mpl 8 (Yanisch-Perron et al. 1985) phage containing a $925-$ bp PstI fragment of $A$. thaliana phytochrome-coding sequence. Preparation of this probe has been described (Sharrock et al. 1988). Transcript-specific ssDNA probes were prepared using as template sense-strand ssDNA of M13mpl8 clones of the most $3^{\prime}$ EcoRI fragments of the phy $A$, phyB, and phy $C$ cDNA inserts (Fig. 1). Synthetic oligonucleotides, annealed to these templates just upstream of the poly(A) tails (Fig. 2A), were extended with Klenow fragment in the presence of $\left[{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right] \mathrm{dCTP}$, truncated with $\operatorname{PstI}(p h y A), \operatorname{RsaI}(p h y B)$, or $\operatorname{PflMI}(p h y C)$, and the labeled singlestranded DNAs were purified from denaturing gels as described (Sharrock et al. 1988).

## Library screening

An amplified $\lambda$ gt 10 library of size-fractionated ( $3-$ to $6-\mathrm{kb}$ fraction) A. thaliana cDNAs was supplied by N. Crawford (University of California, San Diego). The cDNA was prepared from poly $(\mathrm{A})^{+}$RNA isolated from rosette leaves of plants grown 16-20 days under continuous illumination (Crawford et al. 1988). Approximately 250,000 recombinant phage were plated, and the plaques were transferred to nitrocellulose using standard methods (Maniatis et al. 1982). Filters were prehybridized and hybridized with the $0.9-\mathrm{kb}$ coding-region probe in $30 \%$ formamide buffers and were washed at low stringency, as described above for DNA blots. Prospective phytochrome-related clones were plaque-purified, and phage DNA was prepared for subcloning (Grossberger 1987).

## RNA preparation and analysis

All RNA preparation procedures were carried out on ice, using baked glassware and solutions treated with diethylpyrocarbonate. Phenol/isoamyl alcohol/chloroform 25:1:25 (PIC) was equilibrated four times with 100 mm Tris $-\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.3)$ and 10 mm EDTA. RNA was prepared from $1-3$ grams fresh weight whole plant $A$. thaliana tissue. Frozen tissue was ground to a powder in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in a mortar and pestle, transferred to a $30-\mathrm{ml}$ Corex tube containing 5 ml of extraction buffer 50 mm Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.3), 150 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ EDTA, $1 \%$ lauryl sarcosine], and mixed with a Polytron at low speed. An equal volume of PIC was then added, and the sample was mixed with the Polytron at high speed for 1 min . After centrifugation, the aqueous phase was extracted three more times with PIC, and the nucleic acids precipitated with Na acetate/ethanol. The precipitates were dissolved in 10 mm Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.3$ ) and 10 mm EDTA, and RNA was precipitated twice with 2 m LiCl , the first time overnight on ice in a total volume of 4 ml and the second time for $5-6 \mathrm{hr}$ in a volume of 2 ml . The RNA pellet was dissolved in $0.27 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethanol-precipitated. RNA yields were $80-100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNA per gram of tissue for etiolated seedlings and $150-300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNA per gram of tissue for green seedlings.

Samples of total RNA ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) were separated by electrophoresis in $0.8 \%$ agarose $/ 3 \%$ formaldehyde gels containing 20 mm $\mathrm{NaPO}_{4}$ ( pH 6.8 ) and transferred to GeneScreen hybridization membranes (Dupont), using procedures recommended by the manufacturer. Membranes were prehybridized and hybridized to the gene-specific ssDNA probes A, B, and C (Fig. 1) in $50 \%$ formamide buffers and were washed at high stringency as described above for DNA blots.

## DNA sequencing and sequence analysis

The cDNA inserts from clones $\lambda A 2-3, \lambda A 1-1$, and $\lambda A 7-5$ were subcloned into M13mp18 and sequenced completely in both directions by the dideoxy method (Sanger et al. 1977), using synthetic oligonucleotides as primers. DNA and polypeptide sequence analysis and alignment were performed using the programs of the UWGCG Software Package (Devereux et al. 1984). Gaps in the aligned polypeptide sequences (Fig. 2B) were introduced according to the BESTFIT program and by eye and are parsimonious in that the number of gaps introduced to optimize sequence similarity have been kept to a minimum. Gaps in the nucleotide sequence (Fig. 2A) were introduced at positions corresponding to the gaps in the peptide sequences. Alignment of the eight available phytochrome polypeptide sequences (Table 1) was done using the BESTFIT and LINEUP
programs and by eye. Parsimony analysis of 325 phylogenetically informative sites in the phytochrome nucleic acid sequences was performed using the programs of Felsenstein (1985).
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