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## Abstract

SMAC mimetics (Secondary Mitochondria-derived Activator of Caspases) have generated significant interest as potential chemotherapeutic compounds via their ability to promote apoptosis in cancer cells. These molecules target several Inhibitor of Apoptosis Proteins (IAPs) including XIAP (X-linked Inhibitor of Apoptosis Protein) and cIAP-1/2 (Cellular Inhibitor of Apoptosis Proteins 1 \& 2) whose elevated expression is ubiquitous with tumorigenesis. We report the design, synthesis and evaluation of novel SMAC based peptidomimetics which appear to mirror the anti-IAP of SMAC in vitro. We combined elements of reported SMAC mimetics with unique structural features in an attempt to design novel, efficacious IAP antagonists. Our approach included modifications to the 2nd and 4th residues of the AVPI peptide sequence, which is known to be the motif responsible for SMAC 's interaction with its native substrates. Cell-based compound testing against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells identified several promising lead structures possessing nanomolar cytotoxic effects. Apoptotic activity was confirmed via the detection of capsase-3/7 activation, a hallmark of regulated cell death. Our experimental data suggests we have developed selective, potent anti-cancer compounds which can be further developed in the pursuit of new anti-cancer therapeutics.

## Acknowledgements

There are so many people to thank who have helped make my time at uOttawa an incredible experience.

To my lab mates, thank you for creating such a collegial environment and for keeping those long days in the lab entertaining. I'll miss our group lunches, lab parties and coffee runs to Timmies.

Thank you to the other students in the department who were always willing to lend a reagent or give advice when it was needed.

To the office staff Jose, Annette and Linda, who kept things running smoothly and made sure I was always paid on time.

Thank you to the incredible professors in the Department of Chemistry with whom I have had the pleasure of interacting. They have been great teachers and have contributed enormously to my growth as a chemist over these past two years.

To my supervisor, Dr. Robert Ben for his support and mentorship. Thank you for taking that chance back when I was in my $3^{\text {rd }}$ year of undergrad and giving me a summer lab job, I think it ended up working out ok.

Thank you to my friends with whom I shared many pints and who put up with my chemistry ideas/stories... for the most part.

Most of all thank you to my parents and my sister for their patience and love. And to my mom for always having me home for Sunday dinner.

| $\alpha$ | alpha |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | beta |
| $r$ | gamma |
| $\delta$ | delta |
| $\mu \mathrm{L}$ | microliter |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | proton |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | carbon |
| Ac | acetyl |
| ACD | accidental cell death |
| $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | acetic anhydride |
| Ala | alanine |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ | boron trifluoride diethyl etherate |
| BIR | baculovirus IAP repeat |
| Bn | benzyl |
| Boc | tert-butyloxycarbonyl |
| $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate |
| br | broad |
| $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ | calcium chloride |
| Cat. | catalytic |
| $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | deuterated chloroform |
| $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ | deuterated methanol |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | acetonitrile |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | dichloromethane |
| Cl | confidence interval |
| clap | cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein |


| cLogP | calculated $\log P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CN | nitrile |
| Cul | copper iodide |
| $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | copper(II) sulfate |
| d | doublet |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | deuterium oxide |
| dppb | 1,4-Bis(diphenylphosphino)butane |
| DD | death domain |
| dd | doublet of doublets |
| DED | death effector domain |
| DIAD | Diisopropyl azidodicarboxylate |
| DISC | Death Inducing Signalling Complex |
| DIPEA | diisopropylethylamine |
| DMF | dimethyl formamide |
| DMSO | dimethyl sulfoxide |
| DR | death receptor |
| dt | doublet of triplets |
| ESI | electrospray ionization |
| Et | ethyl |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | diethyl ether |
| EtOAc | ethyl acetate |
| EtOH | ethanol |
| FADD | Fas Adaptor Death Domain |
| FBS | fetal bovine serum |
| Fmoc | 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl |
| Gly | glycine |
| HCl | hydrochloric acid |


| HCTU | 2-(6-chloro-1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethylaminium hexafluorophosphate |
| :---: | :---: |
| HPLC | high performance liquid chromatography |
| HRMS | high resolution mass spectrometry |
| hrs | hours |
| IAP | inhibitor of apoptosis protein |
| IBM | IAP binding motif |
| IPAc | isopropyl acetate |
| kDa | kiloDaltons |
| LRMS | low resolution mass spectrometry |
| m | multiplet |
| M | molar |
| $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ | parent molecular ion |
| Me | Methyl |
| MeOH | methanol |
| MHz | megahertz |
| mM | millimolar |
| MS | mass spectrometry |
| NaH | sodium hydride |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | sodium sulfate |
| $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | sodium hydrogen carbonate |
| NaOH | sodium hydroxide |
| NaOMe | sodium methoxide |
| Nap-SH | 2-napthalenethiol |
| (Nap-S) ${ }_{2}$ | 2-napthyl disulfide |
| NF-kB | nuclear factor kappa $B$ |
| $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ | overnight |


| PEG | poly ethylene glycol |
| :---: | :---: |
| pen/strep | penicillin/streptomycin |
| PG | protecting group |
| Pd/C | palladium on carbon |
| $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ | tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium |
| $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium |
| pKa | acid dissociation constant |
| Pra | propargylglycine |
| Pro | proline |
| PSA | polar surface area |
| q | quartet |
| RCD | regulated cell death |
| Rf | retention factor |
| RIPK1 | receptor-interacting serine/threonine protein kinase 1 |
| RPM | revolutions per minute |
| RT | room temperature |
| SAR | structure activity relationship |
| s | singlet |
| SEM | scanning electron microscopy |
| SM | starting material |
| SMAC | second mitochondria-derived activator of caspases |
| SPPS | sold phase peptide synthesis |
| t | triplet |
| TBAF | tert-butylammonium fluoride |
| TBS | tert-butyldimethylsilyl |
| TEA | triethylamine |
| TEM | transmission electron microscopy |

TFA
THF
TMS

TNF $\alpha$

TNFR1

TRAIL
TRADD
VT-NMR
XIAP
trifluoroacetic acid
tetrahydrofuran
trimethylsilyl
tumor necrosis factor alpha
tumor necrosis factor receptor 1
TNF related apoptosis inducing ligand
TNF type I-associated death domain protein
Variable temperature nuclear magnetic resonance
X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein

This page was intentionally left blank

## Chapter 1: Apoptosis and Cancer

### 1.1 Cancer and Human Disease

### 1.1.1 The Origins of Cancer

Cancer has grown to be a pervasive problem for humanity, and an often challenging condition for medical professionals to treat. Contrary to the common belief that the incidence of cancer has increased over time due to industrialization and increased exposure to man-made toxins many studies have clearly identified humanity's ever increasing lifespan as the catalyst for oncogenesis. ${ }^{12}$ A recent study by the NIH has helped to de-convolute the mechanism of age related carcinogenesis and found that age associated DNA methylation at specific sites produced a reduced threshold for malignant transformation. ${ }^{13}$ While age plays a significant role, several risk factors for cancer have been identified over many decades of research. Ezzati and colleagues published a 2005 study in The Lancet identifying the top nine environmental risk factors for cancer which are responsible for 1 in 3 cancer related deaths. ${ }^{14}$ The major risk factors reported were: Obesity, low fruit/vegetable intake, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol abuse, unprotected sex, urban air pollution, second hand smoke, contaminated injections leading to hepatitis.

The mechanism by which age and environmental/behavioral risk factors produce cancer is complicated however a general trend is clear, genotoxic stress leads to cancer. Accumulated mutations from transcriptional error, viral infection or DNA electrophiles can de-regulate genetic expression and trigger oncogene activation. Tumorogenesis generally materializes through the over- or under-expression of several well characterized genes and can be grouped into mutations leading to either autocrine growth-factor secretion, anti-proliferative signal insensitivity and the evasion of apoptotic signalling. ${ }^{15}$

In the context of apoptotic evasion, several key proteins have been identified for the potentiation of anti-apoptotic phenotypes. Suppression or deletion of genes encoding tumor suppressor proteins such as $\mathrm{p} 53^{16}$ and $\mathrm{p} 16^{17}$ are well known to potentiate uncontrolled cell growth. In addition, over-expression of pro-survival genes can help cells circumvent the process of
apoptosis. Ras (rat sarcoma) protein is mutated in 20-30\% of all cancers ${ }^{18}$ and is a common tumor promoting protein. Ras can activate Akt/PKB (protein kinase B) activity through PI3K (phosphoinositide 3 kinase) activation which produces several downstream effects. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Akt} / \mathrm{PKB}$ is a pro-survival protein with several mechanisms of action; it can phosphorylate and inactivate BAD leading to inhibition of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway. ${ }^{20}$ Additionally, Akt/PKB activity activates proteins which promote NF-KB gene activation and the expression of IAPs as well as other pro-survival proteins. ${ }^{21}$

### 1.1.2 Treatment Strategies

Despite the accumulation of detailed knowledge surrounding cancer biology and our relative understanding of the precise mechanisms governing its pathology we continue to treat cancer with a shotgun approach. Our current arsenal of chemotherapeutics such as microtubule destabilizing agents and topoisomerase inhibitors exhibit only modest selectivity for cancer cells by targeting those which are replicating fastest. This produces serious clinical side effects in patients receiving treatments and can make cancer therapy nearly as dangerous as the disease itself. ${ }^{22}$ There is a clear need for future therapeutics to employ our vast knowledge of cancer biology and design selective inhibitors of tumor proliferation which target specific pathways exclusively de-regulated in cancer cells. Fortunately, a new class of anti-cancer compounds known as SMAC mimetics promise to do exactly this. SMAC mimetics are proposed to function by artificially mimicking the pro-apoptotic activity of the endogenous protein SMAC via its AVPI IBM binding motif. ${ }^{23}$ Although they are a relatively new class of compounds, having only been first reported in the early 2000s they hold the potential to become the first clinically approved tumor-selective cancer therapy. ${ }^{24}$

### 1.2 Apoptosis

### 1.2.1 General Overview

Apoptosis can be defined as the process of regulated - or programed - cell death (RCD) whereby a cell "commits suicide" by dismantling itself in a highly controlled fashion. ${ }^{25}$ In contrast to the process of necrosis - or accidental cell death - (ACD), which is characterized by rapid cellular membrane compromisation and inflammation. ${ }^{26}$ Apoptosis is characterized by several
biochemical and morphological changes including: cell shrinkage, nuclear condensation and DNA fragmentation (laddering), as well as protein cross-linking and degradation. ${ }^{27}$ The apoptotic cell dismantles itself, releasing its cellular components as membrane-bound fragments (apoptotic bodies) via a process termed 'blebbing' (Figure 1). Flipases move phosphatidyl serine from the apical surface to the outer surface of the plasma membrane, which promotes recognition and phagocytosis of these apoptotic bodies by nearby macrophages. ${ }^{28}$ This mechanism circumvents the initiation of an inflammatory response by preventing the leakage of cellular content into the interstitium thus protecting nearby cells from associated damage. ${ }^{29}$ Apoptosis has proven an essential process for tissue management in multicellular organisms. It has been implicated in the atrophic tailoring of limbs in embryonic development ${ }^{30}$, destruction of pre-cancerous cells following DNA damage ${ }^{31,31 b}$, infection control ${ }^{32,32 b}$ and cell death following exposure to toxic substances. ${ }^{33}$


Figure 1. Comparative histology of apoptotic vs. necrotic cells. ${ }^{34}$ (A) SEM images of HeLa human cervical carcinoma cells. (a) Healthy cell in interphase. (b) Cells undergoing early stage apoptosis. (c) Single cell undergoing late stage apoptosis, note the apoptotic bodies blebbing from the parent cell. (d) Single, late stage necrotic cell, note the swollen cellular footprint and loss of membrane definition. (B) TEM images of Hela cells. (a) Healthy cell. (b) Early stage apoptotic cell. (c) Late stage apoptotic cell. (d) Late stage necrotic cell.

Horvitz and colleagues ${ }^{35}$ reported the first empirical results specifically describing the mechanism of apoptosis in a living organism. ${ }^{36}$ They examined the tightly controlled growth cycle of nematodes (C. elegans) and noted specific cellular attrition events, conserved between individuals, eluding to a pre-programed cell death process. Their work triggered a cascade of investigations into the mechanism of apoptosis as well as its role in disease. Three decades of
research have shed considerable light on the cellular biochemistry comprising regulated cell death (RCD) while also revealing its considerable complexity. Central to the process of RCD are cysteine proteases known as caspases which act as the executioners of apoptosis by proteolytically degrading cellular proteins for absorption by nearby phagocytotoic cells. ${ }^{37}$ Apoptosis was initially thought to be the only means of RCD, however it has since been joined by numerous other unique forms of RCD which exist on a continuum between RCD and accidental cell death (ACD) possessing characteristics of each (e.g. necropoptosis, pyroptosis). ${ }^{38}$ Despite these revelations apoptosis remains the most well characterized form of RCD and constitutes a tightly linked network of cellular proteins which regulate the delicate balance between cellular life and death in the tissues of higher organisms.

### 1.2.2 Caspases: The Effectors of Apoptosis

Caspases are the drivers of apoptosis. Their name is a direct derivation of their catalytic activity, they are cysteine proteases which cleave proteins at the aspartic acid residue (c-aspase). ${ }^{39}$ Caspases can be partitioned into two classes: initiator caspases (namely casp-8/9) and executioner caspases (namely casp-3/6/7).Caspases exist as inactive zymogens (procaspases) in healthy cells, the initiation of apoptosis depends on the activation of initiator caspases which


Figure 2. (A) General scheme of apoptotic activation. Death ligand binding (extrinsic) or cellular damage (intrinsic) promotes caspase activation through a series of signalling proteins ultimately leading to apoptosis and cell death. ${ }^{1}$ (B) General representation of initiator procaspase 8/9

 recruitment and dimerization to yield proteolytically active caspase 8/9. ${ }^{8}$
subsequently modify effector caspases to render them catalytically active and promote
apoptosis(Figure 2A). ${ }^{40}$ Initiator (or apical) procaspases exist as inactive monomers with access to their active-site cysteine residues conformationally restricted. Structurally, they are composed of a large and small subunit as well as a recruitment domain: death effector domain (DED) for caspase $8^{41}$ and caspase activation recruitment domain (CARD) for caspase $9^{42}$ (vida infra). These recruitment domains serve to bring the procaspase monomers into close proximity during activation. This increase in local procaspase concentration triggers a dimerization event according to the induced proximity model proposed by Salvesen and Dixit. ${ }^{43}$ Monomeric procaspase dimerization yields initiator caspase homodimers with exposed cysteine residues. These caspase dimers are catalytically active and capable of propagating the apoptotic pathway via downstream proteolytic cleavage of executioner caspases (Figure 2B). ${ }^{8}$

Executioner caspases are also composed of a large and small subunit, however they lack the recruitment domains seen in their apical counterparts. They exist as homodimers in their inert


Figure 3. Structural representation of executioner caspase 7 homodimer in its zymogen (procaspase) and cleaved (caspase) forms. Red and blue loops constitute the determinants of catalytic activity and substrate binding specificity respectively. The yellow/green loop represents the trans-subunit linker and the magenta ball the site of Asp-192 cleavage. The cyan star denotes the substrate binding site and position of Cys-285. ${ }^{4}$
zymogenic form with each monomer arranged in a head to tail orientation relative to the other. Substantial study towards the structural biology of executioner caspase activation has revealed significant amino acid sequence homology between caspases 3,6 and 7 and provided a mechanism for their activation. ${ }^{44,45,46}$ In procaspase 7, the active site Cys-285 residue is sequestered by a series of 4 polypeptide loop structures which are conformationally situated to prevent substrate binding. ${ }^{45}$ A trans-subunit 'linker' loop connects the large and small subunits of each monomer plays the critical role of maintaining this inactive
conformation. Initiator caspases (caspase 8/9) cleave Asp-192 of the linker loop in procaspase 7 leading to a conformational rearrangement of the 4 polypeptide loops to form a catalytically active substrate binding pocket termed the 'loop bundle' (Figure 3). ${ }^{44}$ Following executioner procaspase activation to the active caspase form, a caspase cascade is initiated whereby executioner caspases cleave nearby executioner procaspases to rapidly increase the concentration of active caspase proteases within the cell. The executioner caspases begin dismantling the cell via proteolytic cleavage of both structural and functional proteins as well as activate caspase-dependent deoxyribonucleases which fragment the nuclear DNA. ${ }^{37}$ In this way, the executioner caspases 3,6 and 7 befit their name by serving as the undertakers of cells experiencing apoptosis. Their activation constitutes an irreversible cascade which results in complete destruction of the cell via the controlled process of apoptosis.

### 1.2.3 Caspase Activation: Comparing the Intrinsic and Extrinsic Pathways of Apoptosis

While there are numerous cellular events capable of triggering apoptosis the initiation event is governed by two pathways: auto-initiated cell death (intrinsic) or initiation from and external stimulus (extrinsic). While these pathways proceed via unique signalling cascades they share the common outcome of executioner caspases 3 and 7 activation. ${ }^{36}$ Additionally, these two pathways are not mutually exclusive, and stimulation of one pathway does not preclude activation of the other.

### 1.2.3.A The Intrinsic Pathway

The intrinsic apoptotic pathway is initiated by the cell itself, often following DNA damage or oncogene activation. Central to the ability of a cell to intrinsically initiate apoptosis is the permeabilization of the mitochondrial membrane and release of cytochrome C. ${ }^{47,48}$ This event of mitochondrial membrane permeabilization is governed by several regulatory agents and the $\mathrm{Bcl}-$ 2 family of proteins represents one of the most important players in the modulation of this process. ${ }^{49}$

The Bcl-2 family of proteins is very large and can be sub-divided into three categories: (1) BH3 (Bcl-2 Homology 3) domain proteins which promote apoptosis, (2) The executioner proteins Bax (Bcl-2 associated x-protein) and Bak (BCl-2 antagonist killer) which associate with the mitochondrial membrane to permeabilize it and (3) the anti-apoptotic proteins, which includes

Bcl-2 itself. ${ }^{50}$ Numerous BH3 based pro-apoptotic proteins, such as BID (BH3 interacting-domain death agonist) are up-regulated by the p53 tumor suppression gene following cellular or genotoxic stress. ${ }^{51}$ The mitochondrial permeabilization event constitutes a multi-pronged effort by the BH 3 subgroup of proteins. ${ }^{50}$ Activator BH 3 proteins bind to various $\mathrm{BCl}-2$ anti-apoptotic proteins, disrupting their association with the executioner proteins Bax and Bak (indirect activation). ${ }^{52,53}$ Additionally, BH3 proteins have been proposed to bind directly Bax/Bak (direct activation). ${ }^{54}$ Bax is a cytosolic protein while Bak is embedded in the mitochondrial membrane; the BH3 mediated activation event leads to oligomerization of Bax/Bak to form a mitochondrial pore which allows the release of cytochrome C as well as other pro-apoptotic factors such as SMAC (second mitochondria-derived activator of caspases) (Figure 4). ${ }^{55}$


Figure 4. A schematic representation of cytochrome C release from the mitochondria promoted by the activity of the BH3 proteins of the Bcl-2 family. Bcl-2 and related inhibitory proteins (blue) inhibit Bak and Bax association (yellow \& orange respectively). Up-regulation of BH3 proteins (red) following cellular stress promotes Bak/Bax association at the mitochondrial outer membrane via direct activation as well as sequestration of inhibitory Bcl-2 proteins by means of direct competitive binding. ${ }^{56}$

Cytochrome C release from the inner mitochondrial membrane indicates a significant cellular event and signals the key initiation step towards intrinsic apoptosis. The released cytochrome c binds the cytosolic protein Apaf-1 (apoptotic protease activating factor 1 ) in an ATP dependent process. ${ }^{42}$ Although it was initially suggested that ATP hydrolysis was required prior to cytochrome c binding, later findings demonstrated that this association can occur in absence of ATP. ${ }^{57}$ Experiments have shown that ATP plays a crucial role in altering the conformation of apaf1; following ATP association and hydrolysis to ADP the apaf-1 protein rearranges to expose a caspase recruitment domain (CARD). Subsequent exchange of ADP for another molecule of ATP promotes the association of apaf-1 CARD domains into a concentric apoptosome complex. ${ }^{58}$ The active apoptosome recruits procaspase-9 via its CARD domains and promotes procaspase-9 dimerization and activation to generate caspase-9. ${ }^{59}$ Once activated, caspase-9 can cleave
downstream executioner procaspases- $3 / 7$ to initiate a caspase cascade and effect cell death, as described previously.

### 1.2.3.B Extrinsic Pathway

The extrinsic apoptotic pathway is modulated by extracellular ligand binding to transmembrane proteins on the cell surface. Numerous cell-surface death receptors (DRs) under the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) super family are known to initiate apoptosis in this way and include: (ligand/receptor) FasL(CD95)/FasR, TNF- $\alpha /$ TNFR1, TRAIL (TNF related apoptosis inducing ligand)/DR4 \& DR5; although the Fas and TNF receptor-ligand interactions remain the most well characterized to date. ${ }^{36}$ These DRs and their ligands can be divided into two categories, each possessing a slightly different mechanism of apoptotic activation.

The first category includes FasR and DR4/5 with their respective ligands, FasL and TRAIL. FasL is a transmembrane protein found on the cell surface of cytotoxic T-lymphocytes and requires direct cell-cell interaction between FasL and FasR (the receptor) to initiate a response. ${ }^{60}$ Conversely, TRAIL is a cytokine secreted by numerous cell types to induce nearby cell death, it comes in two sub-types TRAIL-1 and TRAIL-2 which bind DR4 \& DR5 respectively at the cell surface. ${ }^{61}$ Ligand-DR binding initiates an intracellular response which recruits an adaptor protein FADD (fas-associated protein with death domain) to the DR at the inner surface of the plasma membrane. This association allows the DED (death effector domain) of FADD to recruit procaspase-8 via its respective DED. Upon association of caspase-8 to FADD a DISC (death inducing signalling complex) is formed. ${ }^{62}$ Procaspase- 8 dimerizes and auto-cleaves to generate active caspase-8 which propagates downstream apoptotic activity (Figure 5A). In addition, caspase-8 processes BID into its active truncated form (t-BID) which is subsequently able to activate an intrinsic apoptotic response via mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and cytochrome c release. ${ }^{63}$

The second category is largely represented by the TNFR1 receptor and exhibits a much more complex mode of activation compared to the Fas/TRAIL controlled receptors. The TNFR1 receptor binds TNF- $\alpha$, which can exist either as either a membrane bound or free floating protein. ${ }^{64,65}$ TNF$\alpha$ binding elicits numerous different protein binding events and leads to the formation of multiple different complexes at the apical cellular interface depending on the background expression of
certain regulatory proteins (vida infra). For clarity, a simplified picture of TNF- $\alpha$ mediated cell death involves ligand binding to the TNFR1 DR followed by binding of the adaptor protein TRADD (TNFR1-associated death domain protein) along with several regulatory proteins ${ }^{66}$, including RIPK1 (Receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 1) whose significance will be discussed later. The TNFR1-TRADD complex is internalized via a clathrin mediated membrane contraction whereupon a conformational change causes TRADD to shed its associated regulatory proteins. ${ }^{67}$ This event liberates TRADD to recruit FADD which attracts procaspase-8 through DED association to form a DISC, procaspase-8 is then activated to caspase- 8 and the caspase cascade ensues (Figure 5B). ${ }^{68}$


Figure 5. Schematic representation of the modes of extrinsic apoptotic activation. (A) Representative DISCs for Fas/Trail (a) and TNF- $\alpha$ (b). Ligand (gold) to the DR (beige) triggers adaptor protein recruitment FADD/TRADD via death domains, FADD recruits procaspase-8 (pale green) using death effector domain and procaspase proximity promotes dimerization and caspase-8 activation via the death inducing signalling complex (DISC). (B) Ligand binding to death receptors promoting downstream caspase activation. (a) TNF- $\alpha$ binds TNFR receptor and promotes internalization, a conformational change allows TRADD (yellow) to bind FADD (grey) allowing procaspase-8 recruitment and conversion to active caspase-8. (b) TRAIL or FasL bind their respective receptors (DR4/5 \& FasR), FADD is recruited followed by procaspase-8 leading to DISC formation and caspase-8 activation. ${ }^{7,10}$

To summarize, regardless of whether apoptosis is initiated through the intrinsic or extrinsic pathway the general mechanism of activation is consistent: An apoptotic stimulus, such as DNA
damage or killer T-cell binding, initiates a protein cascade triggering the activation of initiator caspases (caspases-8/9) which subsequently activate effector caspases (caspases-3/7) which modulate cell death.

### 1.2.4 Apoptotic Regulation: The role of IAPs and SMAC

As in any cellular process, especially one producing lethal effects, tight protein regulation is employed by cells to prevent unwanted effects. Certain regulatory proteins, known as inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAPs), play a key role in the repression of RCD to safeguard against unwarranted apoptosis. ${ }^{69}$ Conversely, certain pro-apoptotic proteins exist which in some cases counteract the activity of IAPs to promote apoptosis. Through a delicate balance of apoptotic stimulation and repression cells achieve a homeostatic balance while maintaining the ability to "self-destruct" should conditions prove necessary.

IAPs are a large class of proteins responsible for the repression of apoptosis. They include XIAP (X-chromosome-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein), cIAP-1/2 (cellular inhibitor of apoptosis proteins 1 \& 2), ML-IAP (melanoma IAP), ILP2 (IAP-like protein 2), survivin, apollon and NAIP (neuronal apoptosis inhibitory protein). ${ }^{5}$ While these proteins function through different means they all share common BIR (baculoviral IAP repeat) domains of which there are 3 subtypes (BIR13) (Figure 6)..$^{70,71}$ BIR domains possess a zinc finger motif with four highly conserved amino acid residues which contribute to their structural homology. ${ }^{72}$ The BIR domains are essential for IAP activity and frequently mediate the direct binding of IAPs to caspases as well as other proapoptotic proteins causing their deactivation. ${ }^{73,74}$ Many IAPs also contain a C-terminal RING finger domain ${ }^{75}$ with specific activity towards ubiquitin recruitment which can lead to autoubiquitination and self-degradation or ubiquitin transfer to other proteins. ${ }^{76}$ Although every IAP plays an important role in the suppression of apoptosis, several "classical" IAPs have been studied extensively and are particularly important in IAP de-regulation and disease. ${ }^{5}$ The activity of XIAP and c-IAP $1 / 2$ will be discussed below, information on the activity of other IAPs may be found in the adjoining references. ${ }^{77}$


Figure 6. A linear representation of the human IAP proteins. Each poses at least one BIR domain (red/magenta) and may also contain a CARD (cyan) and RING domain (green). The caspase-3/7 binding site of XIAP between BIR1 and BIR2 is denoted. ${ }^{3}$

### 1.2.4.A XIAP: Anti-apoptotic activity and regulation by SMAC

The IAP protein XIAP is a potent modulator of apoptotic activity. ${ }^{78}$ As its name suggests, it is encoded by the XIAP gene located on the X-chromosome and is constitutively expressed in many cells and can be important for cell survival. ${ }^{79}$ XIAP works by intercepting and binding executioner caspases-3/7 as well as initiator caspase-9; this associative interaction inhibits caspase activity and can potentially arrest apoptosis. ${ }^{80}$ XIAP can be divided into three separate domains: BIR1/2, BIR3 and RING the first two of which are essential for its anti-caspase activity. The BIR1 and BIR2 domains of XIAP are found adjacent to each other at the $N$-terminus of the protein and are responsible for XIAP's ability to bind capsases-3/7. ${ }^{81}$ This association is proposed to proceed via a two point binding model where (1) the linker region between BIR1 and BIR2 forms an interaction at the caspase substrate binding groove ${ }^{82}$ and (2) the BIR2 domain IBM (IAP binding motif) interacting groove (a negatively charged groove conserved among BIR domains) binds the N -terminus of the caspase 3/7 small subunit (Figure 7). ${ }^{83}$ The BIR3 domain of XIAP binds initiator procaspase-9 via an association between the IBM binding groove of


Figure 7. Representation of the XIAP BIR2 two point binding model. The BIR2 IBM interacting groove (yellow) and BIR1-2 linker binding site (red) are shown.

BIR3 and the homodimerization domain of procaspase-9..$^{84}$ In this way, XIAP sequesters caspase9 activity by blocking the ability of procaspase monomers to associate.

XIAP itself is regulated by several pro-apoptotic proteins. For example, the mitochondrial protein HTRA2 is released to the cytosol following initiation of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway. ${ }^{85}$ It functions as a serine protease and using a 4 amino acid AVPS (alanine-valine-proline-serine) IBM, binds XIAP via the IBM binding groove of BIR3 and degrades it; this in-turn protects caspases 3,7 and 9 from XIAP inhibition. ${ }^{86}$ Another key regulator of XIAP is SMAC (second mitochondria-derived activator of caspases), also known as DIABLO (direct IAP binding-protein with low pl), which was first reported in July $2000 .{ }^{87}$ SMAC is a homo-dimeric protein containing a total of two N-terminal AVPI (alanine-valine-prolineisoleucine) IBMs which form tight associations with the BIR2 and BIR3 IBM binding grooves of XIAP,


Figure 8. (A) SMAC homolog used by Jiang to probe the mechanism of SMAC-XIAP interaction and caspase displacement. ${ }^{2}$ (B) Structural model of SMAC homodimer (purple and gold monomers) Ntermini simultaneously binding the BIR2/BIR3 domains of XIAP (cyan). ${ }^{9}$ inhibiting its caspase binding activity. ${ }^{6}$ Although initially thought to bind two separate molecules of XIAP via its adjacent N-terminal IBMs, later work identified that SMAC in-fact forms a tight 2:1 SMAC-BIR2/3 complex ( $K_{d}=0.3 \mathrm{nM}$ ) whereby the two AVPI sequences of the SMAC dimer simultaneously bind the BIR2 and BIR3 IBM binding grooves of a single XIAP molecule(Figure 8B). ${ }^{9}$ Interestingly, SMAC is also able to displace already-bound caspases 3,7 and 9 from XIAP through competitive binding. A study by the Jiang group in 2007 used a SMAC homolog composed of two AVPI sequences spaced by a PEG linker (Figure 8A) to simulate a dimeric SMAC protein. ${ }^{2}$ They found that their SMAC analogue was able to re-initiate caspase-3/9 activity and proposed divergent mechanisms for this observation. While it was accepted that SMAC displaced caspase9 from XIAP via competitive binding at the BIR3 domain ${ }^{88}$ less was known about the mechanism
of caspase-3/7 liberation. Jiang and colleagues found that initial binding of one SMAC IBM to the BIR3 of XIAP was followed by a second SMAC BIR2 association which inhibited the ability of the BIR1-2 linker of XIAP to retain caspase3/7, allowing its release. Thus SMAC regulates XIAP through direct binding and is an activator of apoptosis through both direct and indirect liberation of caspase activity. ${ }^{89}$

### 1.2.4.B cIAP 1 \& 2: Regulation anti-apoptotic activity and attenuation of SMAC

The cellular apoptosis proteins are two functionally similar proteins with anti-apoptotic properties. They have BIR1-3 domains as in XIAP (Figure 6) and while they exhibit poor binding towards caspases ${ }^{90}$ they show a high affinity for SMAC and are able to inhibit its pro-apoptotic activity through binding. ${ }^{5}$ Both cIAP-1 and 2 also have a RING domain which holds E3 ubiquitin ligase activity and can mark SMAC, as well as other pro-apoptotic proteins, for proteasomal degradation. ${ }^{91}$ In addition to directly binding and degrading pro-apoptotic proteins, c-IAPs also play an integral role in activation of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway and the regulation of prosurvival genes, particularly via the NF-кB signalling pathway. ${ }^{92}$ TNF binding to the TNFR1 receptor can produce a myriad of responses depending on the conditions of the internal cellular environment. Upon TNF binding, TRADD, RIPK1, TRAF2 (TNF-receptor associated factor 2) and cIAP-1/2 are recruited to the apical surface of the TNFR1 receptor to form a protein aggregate known as complex I. In healthy cells, RIPK1 is ubiquitinylated by the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of cIAPs- $1 / 2^{93}$ or TRAF2 ${ }^{94}$ which leads to downstream activation of the IкB kinase (IKK complex) which in-turn phosphorylates IкB, leading to its degradation. ${ }^{95}$ Loss of IкB liberates p50 and transcription factor RELA (REL-associated protein) which translocate to the nucleus and activate NF-кB genes, promoting the expression of various anti-apoptotic proteins including cIAPs, TRAFs and c-FLIP. ${ }^{5,96}$ Complex I stabilization by c-FLIP (cellular FADD-like IL-1 $\beta$-converting enzyme inhibitory protein) is lost following a decrease in either protein synthesis or NF-kB gene expression leading to c-FLIP degradation. ${ }^{97}$ Following c-FLIP loss, and prior RIPK1 ubiquitinylation, the TRADD bound TNFR1 receptor is phagocytized into the cell where FADD and caspase-8 associate to from complex Ila which is able to promote apoptosis. ${ }^{98}$

If instead SMAC is released from the mitochondria and cIAP-1/2 levels drop (SMAC binds c-IAPs via BIR3 domain) ${ }^{99}$ RIPK1 survives at the apical surface of the TNFR1 receptor. Intact RIPK1 is then
able to dissociate from the TNFR1 receptor and serves as a scaffold for RIPK3, FADD and caspase8 recruitment to from pro-apoptotic complex llb. ${ }^{68,38}$ In summary, cIAPs-1 \& 2 inhibit apoptosis through the binding and inhibition of SMAC as well as ubiquitination of RIPK1 leading to activation of NF-кB pro-survival genes. However, cIAPs also play a role in the extrinsic mediated cell death pathways.

## 3 SMAC Mimetics

### 1.3.1 Background

The IAP binding protein SMAC/Diablo was first reported in July 2000 by two separate research groups ${ }^{89,6}$ and its therapeutic potential was quickly recognized. Two subsequent studies also examined the structural basis for the interaction between SMAC and XIAP in-depth. ${ }^{82 a .100}$ Through the use of both X-ray and NMR techniques the structure of SMAC, as well as its binding interactions with the BIR2 and BIR3 domains of XIAP were mapped (Figure 9A). Site-directed mutagenesis of the SMAC protein found that the N-terminal portion was essential for activity, specifically the first four residues: alanine, valine, proline and isoleucine termed the AVPI IAP binding motif (IBM). Amino acid substitution experiments on the AVPI IBM were conducted and found that the positive charge and methyl substituent of the N -terminal alanine were also essential for strong BIR 2/3 binding. The other residues of the AVPI peptide sequence were found to stabilize the SMAC-XIAP interaction through a combination of amide hydrogen bonds and sidechain hydrophobic interactions. Substitution of these three residues generally resulted in a drop in BIR2/BIR3 binding efficiency, although Fesik noted that substitution of phenylalanine for isoleucine resulted in a modest increase in binding efficiently, an observation which would later be exploited. ${ }^{89}$ Several groups recognized preferential binding by native SMAC for the BIR3 domain of XIAP and noted a weaker interaction at BIR2. A later study by would show that SMAC is in fact able to simultaneously occupy both the BIR2 \& 3 domains of XIAP in its dimeric state. ${ }^{9}$ An x-ray crystal structure ${ }^{6}$ combined with detailed NMR data ${ }^{89}$ provided a clear picture of the interaction between the AVPI sequence of SMAC and the IBM binding groove of XIAP BIR3 (Figure 9B). To summarize, Leu 307 and Trp 310 create a hydrophobic pocket in which the methyl
substituent of the AVPI alanine sits. Glu 314 makes the essential charge stabilized hydrogen bond with the N -terminal alanine nitrogen. The valine residue is stabilized by hydrogen bonds to its nitrogen and carbonyl components by Gly 306 and Thr 308 respectively. In addition, the hydrophobic side chain of valine forms an association with the methyl group of Thr 308. Trp 323 engages in hydrogen bond interactions with the AVPI alanine, valine and proline, with which it also forms a hydrophobic stack. Finally, Gly 306 along with the hydrophobic portions of Lys 297 and 299 provide a channel for the docking of the AVPI isoleucine residue. Fesik noted that these key binding residues in BIR3 were also present in the BIR3 domain of cIAP-1 confirming the relatively ubiquitous ability of the AVPI sequence to bind IAP BIR3 domains. In BIR2 of XIAP, the


Figure 9. (A) Close up view of the interaction between the $N$-terminal AVPI sequence of SMAC (green) and the BIR3 IBM binding groove of XIAP (purple, key interacting residues in yellow). Hydrogen bonds are shown as red dotted lines, blue balls represent nitrogen atoms, red balls are oxygen. (B) A surface representation of the Nterminal AVPI sequence of SMAC (green) interacting with the XIAP BIR3 IBM binding groove. Areas of blue and white represent the most and least hydrophobic surfaces respectively. ${ }^{6}$
equivalent to Gly 306 is replaced and a histidine residue substitutes Trp 323. They suggested these modifications may explain the reduced affinity of SMAC for BIR2 ( $K_{D}=2.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) compared to BIR3 $\left(K_{D}=0.42 \mu \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{89}$

This early work gave medicinal chemists a detailed picture of the biochemical interaction between SMAC and its native substrates. Knowledge that both XIAP and cIAP-1/2 are frequently overexpressed in human cancers ${ }^{101}$ kick-started a race to develop a small molecule analogue of
the AVPI SMAC peptide sequence, which was itself already a moderate inhibitor of XIAP BIR3 invitro $\left(K_{D}=0.48 \mu \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{102}$

### 1.3.2 Mechanism of Action

In the 14 years that SMAC mimetics have existed significant research has been conducted on their mode of action. Work by both chemists and biologists has progressively de-convoluted how SMAC mimetics activate apoptosis in tumor cells while sparing non-cancerous cells. Deregulation of IAPs is known to play a role in cancer and was suspected to act via the suppression of caspases. ${ }^{103}$ Medicinal chemists have successfully described the synthesis of peptide-derived small molecules mimicking the protein SMAC which are able to inhibit IAPs by binding them at highly conserved BIR domains using an interaction which mimics that of SMAC's N-terminal AVPI sequence. ${ }^{104}$ Numerous studies have reported SMAC mimetics with potent binding affinities for the BIR domains of common IAPs: XIAP (BIR2 and BIR3), cIAP-1 (BIR3), cIAP-2 (BIR3), ML-IAP (BIR $3)^{105,106,107}$ as well as described caspase-3,7,8 \& 9 activation following treatment in cells. ${ }^{108,109,110}$ SMAC mimetics have been shown to induce apoptosis in cancer cells through the activation of two caspase pathways.

### 1.3.2.A Caspase-9 activation

Potent, cell permeable SMAC mimetics are able to interact with the BIR2 and BIR3 IBM binding motifs of XIAP, this binding event is known to relieve XIAP-caspase interaction and liberate caspases to promote apoptosis. ${ }^{101,2}$ XIAP inhibition is important for activation of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway (refer to 1.2.4.A) by initiating caspase-9 activation which in-turn promotes procaspase-3/7 cleavage and RCD. ${ }^{36}$ Both SMAC mimetic monomers and dimers (vida infra) may bind to XIAP, although dimeric SMAC mimetics have been shown to interact with both the BIR2 and BIR3 domains of XIAP yielding significantly higher binding affinities. ${ }^{111}$ The early SMAC literature placed heavy emphasis on the inhibition of XIAP as the primary means of reactivating apoptosis in cancer cells, however recent findings suggest that the relief of caspase-8 inhibition may be a more significant target. ${ }^{107}$ Despite these findings, it has been shown by several research groups that "pan-selective" antagonists, which target cIAP-1/2 in addition to XIAP, yield the most potent activators of apoptosis. ${ }^{112}$
1.3.2.B Caspase-8 Activation

Caspase-8 activation was initially an afterthought in the early design of SMAC mimetics. ${ }^{113}$ Medicinal chemists believed that that IAP antagonists produced their effects by inhibiting XIAP to re-activating caspase 9 through the intrinsic pathway. Data from two 2007 Cell publications altered this view dramatically. ${ }^{114,115}$ These studies showed that caspase-8 activation through TNF$\alpha /$ TNFR1 signalling could be achieved by the inhibition of the IAPs cIAP-1 \& 2 which served as the barrier to TNFR1 mediated apoptosis and that TNF signalling is essential for SMAC mimetic induced apoptosis. ${ }^{116}$ cIAP-1/2 proteins contain both a BIR3 and RING domain, the latter possessing ubiquitin ligase activity. Upon TNF- $\alpha$ binding to the TNFR1 receptor in cancer cells cIAPs ubiquitinate RIPK1 leading to downstream activation of NF-kB genes and synthesis of prosurvival proteins, which include IAPs (refer to 1.2.4.B). In their inactive state, cIAPs sequester their RING domain; SMAC mimetics can bind the BIR3 domains of cIAP-1/2 which induces a conformational change and exposes these RING domains which in-turn promotes dimerization with nearby cIAPs through their exposed domains. ${ }^{5}$ RING dimerization activates E3 ubiquitin ligase activity causing ubiquitination of nearby proteins, in addition to the dimerized cIAPs themselves (Figure 10A). This burst of ubiquitin transfer activity causes initial RIPK1 degradation

and activation of the NF-кB pathway, which promotes autocrine TNF- $\alpha$ secretion in certain cells. ${ }^{117}$ The secreted TNF- $\alpha$ protein binds TNFR1 receptors, however due to cIAP-1/2 depletion from SMAC mimetic activity cIAP-1/2 is degraded and cannot mark RIPK1 for ubiquitination, thus it survives. RIPK1 is able to associate with FADD and caspase-8 to form the RIP-dependent DISC complex and activate caspase-8 to promote apoptosis through effector caspases 3/7 (Figure

10B). ${ }^{118}$ The inability of SMAC mimetics to induce apoptosis in certain cell types has been predicted to stem from a lack of autocrine TNF- $\alpha$ secretion in those cells. ${ }^{114}$

### 1.3.2.C Tumor Selectivity

These mechanistic studies have raised an interesting question. If TNF- $\alpha$ mediated cell death is the primary actor in SMAC mimetic treated cancer cells then why do so many studies report minimal toxicity of SMAC mimetics toward non-cancerous cells in-vitro and in animal models? If IAP antagonists are indiscriminately promoting autocrine TNF- $\alpha$ secretion and downregulating NF-кB pro-survival genes then why should healthy cells be immune? Numerous others have posed the same questions and the answer continues to elude researchers. ${ }^{119}$ It has been suggested that cellular transformation from healthy to cancerous may modify surface receptor behaviour of cells to shift from pro-survival to pro-death, however this is unproven. ${ }^{119} \mathrm{~A}$ recent Nature article suggests that SMAC mimetics may activate cytokine secretion in tumor cells, marking them for destruction by the immune system. ${ }^{120}$ It is commonly accepted that IAP antagonists lower the threshold for apoptosis through inhibition of caspase activity and reduction in pro-survival gene expression ${ }^{121}$ but more research is required to uncover the exact mechanism behind the selective tumor killing effects observed with SMAC mimetics. ${ }^{122}$

### 1.4 Concluding Remarks

Our goal was to design novel SMAC mimetics capable of inducing apoptosis selectively in cancer cells. We drew inspiration from other successful strategies employed in the synthesis of reported SMAC mimetics and sought to design, synthesize and test a novel library of IAP antagonists.
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## Chapter 2: The Development of SMAC Mimetics to Treat Cancer

### 2.1 Early SAR Work

Initial efforts in the design of SMAC mimetics focused substituting peptides in the short AVPI peptide sequence, which was known to mediate binding to IAPs. ${ }^{1}$ The Abbott laboratories research group, under the direction of Dr. Fesik, filed the first patent for an IAP antagonist in 2001 claiming rights to several AVPI derived short peptide sequences. ${ }^{2}$ A key study by McLendon and colleagues examined the contribution that each residue of the AVPI sequence made to binding affinity; this early work provided an essential framework for the structure activity relationship (SAR) strategies employed by other research groups. ${ }^{3}$ They obtained dissociation constant values from the interaction between their peptides and the purified BIR3 domain of XIAP and their results can be easily summarized: Alanine (P1) proved to be the least amenable to modification, which is not surprising considering it possesses 5 hydrogen bonds between the AVPI sequence and XIAP. Substitution of A for either glycine or serine reduced binding affinity, however the substitution of a 2 -amino butyric acid residue (ethyl side chain) halved the $K_{D}$ (relative to AVPI) to $0.24 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Valine (P2) was reasonably open to substitution and faired best when hydrophobic branched residues (leu, ile, phe) or basic amino acids (his, lys) were substituted. Substitution of the proline residue (P3) produced small decreases in binding efficiency which became more pronounced in more potent analogues and was deemed to be unmodifiable. The fourth isoleucine position (P4) was the most easily modified, non-polar amino acids such as phenylalanine and tryptophan provided the best results. This was consistent with observations by Shi that the isoleucine residue of SMAC occupies a hydrophobic channel in the BIR3 binding groove. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}$-methylation of the AVPI amide nitrogens was largely not tolerated, with the exception of N -methylation at the fourth residue when phenylalanine was substituted for isoleucine. A study by Genentech employing a phage-display peptide library screen to identify novel BIR3 binding motifs achieved similar results, and corroborated McLendon's findings. ${ }^{5}$

Although these peptide based SMAC analogues displayed promising binding affinities for XIAP they were predicted to suffer from poor cell permeability as well as proteolytic instability. ${ }^{6}$ The first peptidomimetics were reported in 2004 and these adhered to the AVPI scaffold but
possessed more "drug like" properties. Shaomeng Wang from the University of Michigan published the first such article in a JACS communication where he briefly described several novel SMAC derived peptidomimetics, including 1, able to bind XIAP BIR3 with low nanomolar affinities and enhance etoposide mediated cell death. ${ }^{7}$ Two follow-up publications described his group's efforts in greater detail. ${ }^{8,9}$ Wang's early work focussed on modifying the P4 position isoleucine residue of the AVPI motif with various hydrophobic moieties. The most potent analogue from this series, 2 (Figure 11) possessed a P4 diphenylmethyl amide with an N-terminal 2-amino butyrate residue and exhibited a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of 24 nM versus the BIR3 domain of XIAP. ${ }^{8}$ Another paper by Wang described novel, conformationally constrained 6,5-bicyclic SMAC mimetics where the position 2 (P2) valine was substituted with a six-membered ring tethered to the position 3 (P3) proline. ${ }^{10}$ This compound series exhibited weaker potency than his earlier report however his most potent compound $\mathbf{2}$ was able to enhance apoptosis in PC-3 prostate tumor cells (from 9 to 23\%) when combined with cisplatin and a carrier peptide.

Oost and Fesik of Abbott pharmaceuticals released a landmark publication which contributed immensely the current understanding of SMAC SAR. ${ }^{11}$ They tested AVPI peptide-substitution analogues for binding affinity with the XIAP BIR3 domain and disclosed that bulky tert-leucine (tle) and cyclohexylglycine residues at P2 conferred the highest potency; these residues are now ubiquitous among many SMAC mimetics. Through amino acid substitution they also found that L-stereochemistry along the AVPI sequence was imperative for effective BIR3 binding. Nmethylation of the P1 alanine was explored and the authors observed no ill-effects on binding affinity, although N,N-di-methylation proved detrimental. They tested numerous aromatic P4 residues and found that peptidomimetic 3 (Figure 11) with an ( $R$ )-linked tetrahydronapthyl moiety at P4, a P2 cyclohexylglycine and N-methylation of alanine gave good BIR3 binding affinity ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}=16 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Crucially, they demonstrated the ability of their peptidomimetics to induce cell death, unaided, in several cancer cell lines. This, combined with results demonstrating tumor regression of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells in a mouse-xenograft model proved SMAC mimetics could act as stand-alone therapeutics.


Figure 11. Examples of early SMAC peptidomimetics. Compounds 1 and 2 by the Wang group show high binding affinities for the BIR3 domain of XIAP, 1 was reported in the 2004 JACs publication as an improved version of their initial 6,5-bicycle. Compound 3 the most potent among a series of compounds tested against cells by Abbott pharmaceuticals, it showed low nanomolar toxicity against BT-549 breast cancer cells.

Another significant early report in the SMAC mimetic literature was that of dimerization. The dimeric SMAC mimetic 4 (Figure 11) was discovered serendipitously by the Li/Harran groups as a side product from a copper catalysed reaction; it resulted from the combination of two monomers at the P2 propargylic residue via a Glaser coupling. ${ }^{12,13}$ Testing of dimer 4 in a caspase 3activation assay and found that it significantly outperformed its monomeric counterpart. They reasoned that it was able to simultaneously interact with the BIR2 and BIR3 domains of XIAP in its dimeric state, a feature already known to exist in XIAP's native interaction with the SMAC protein. ${ }^{14}$

These early reports effectively laid the groundwork for the
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Figure 12. A general scheme for SMAC mimetic design. N -methylation of P1 (blue) improves cell permeability, bulky hydrophobic residues (green and red) at the P2 side chain and P4 improve compound potency. The P2 side chain serves as an effective linker site for dimeric SMAC mimetics (purple). strategy of SMAC mimetic design. Through detailed SAR studies it was determined that the P1 (alanine) and P3 (positions) of the AVPI motif should remain largely unaltered, that P2 (valine) and P4 (isoleucine) are open to modification with bulky hydrophobic moieties and that inhibitor dimerization may allow small molecule mimetics to
replicate the 2-point binding mode of SMAC for XIAP (Figure 12). Subsequent work in the filed draws heavily from these early findings.

### 2.2 Classes of SMAC Mimetics

SMAC mimetics can largely be divided into two categories: monovalent and bivalent IAP antagonists. Each has its own inherent strengths/weaknesses and both have been pursued equally through SAR work since the dichotomy was first established by Li and Harran in 2004. Monomeric (or monovalent) SMAC mimetics benefit from good physiochemical properties and largely do not violate Lipinski's rule ${ }^{15}$ however they exhibit less potency relative to their dimeric counterparts. ${ }^{1}$ Conversely, dimeric (or bivalent) SMAC mimetics can be highly efficient inhibitors of IAPs and often exceed the potency of their monovalent cousins by 2-3 orders of magnitude. ${ }^{6}$ However, they are large molecules which are not orally bioavailable and must be given intravenously, which could complicate their development as therapeutics. ${ }^{1}$

### 2.2.1 Monovalent SMAC Mimetics

Numerous publications have reported monomeric SMAC mimetics from groups of both industrial and academic origin. In general, 3 strategies for monovalent SMAC mimetics have been adopted in the literature: (1) the use of a conformationally restricted bicyclic mimetic wherein the P3 proline forms a bicycle with an adjoining ring at P2 or P4, (2) non-constrained mimetics with a bulky P2 residue and heavy modification to the P4 and its short linker, (3) nonpeptidomimetics composed of heterocyclic rings in place of amide bonds.

### 2.2.1.A Constrained Bicyclic SMAC mimetics

A 2006 Genentech publication described the synthesis of several 7,5-bicyclic SMAC mimetics linked bearing a P2-P3 ring junction. ${ }^{16}$ Computational analysis was used to design the 7-memberd ring and pyrazole P4 residue. Additional, modifications to the proline ring included the incorporation of a sulfur atom and di-methylation to yield their most potent analogue 5 (Figure 13). Several compounds had nanomolar binding affinities to IAPs which included XIAP as well as CIAP-1/2 and in addition, were able to induce apoptosis through confirmed caspase-3/7 activation in cell based assays. Another Genentech study explored inhibitory effects of a P3-P4 azabicyclooctane SMAC mimetic on IAP activity. ${ }^{17}$ X-ray studies suggested that the cis-fused bicyclic core was able to re-orient the direction of the P3-P4 amide linkage and constrain the
molecular conformation such that the lipophilic P4 moiety maximized its interaction with the BIR domain hydrophobic pocket. Compound 6 (Figure 13) was the most efficient IAP inhibitor of those tested, it was able to bind the BIR3 domains of XIAP and cIAP-1 with binding affinities of 140 nM and 33 nM respectively. 6 activated caspase-3/7 and killed MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=980 \mathrm{nM}$. Interestingly, it was not cytotoxic to non-cancerous human mammary epithelial cells (HMECs) and demonstrated no caspase activation in that particular assay. This result demonstrated the ability of SMAC mimetics to re-activate caspase activity and apoptosis in cancerous cells by binding IAPs without inducing apoptosis in healthy cells. A mouse xenograft experiment showed that 6 was able to elicit tumor shrinkage at a dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.

The Wang group continued their bicyclic SMAC mimetic work, releasing an article in 2006 detailing modifications made to compound $\mathbf{1}$. They described that N -methylation of the alanine nitrogen improved cell-based growth inhibition 500 fold over the original compound in MDA-MB231 breast cancer cells (new $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=100 \mathrm{nM}$ ) without comprising its affinity for XIAP BIR3. ${ }^{18} \mathrm{An}$ ensuing publication described modifications to this new, N -methyl derivative of $1 .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~A}$ phenyl group was added to the top of the 7-membered ring to form a tricyclic mimetic, this compound experienced a 5 fold drop in potency versus MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. Expansion of the proline ring by one carbon to form a 7,6-bicyclic monomer caused a massive drop in potency ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $1.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Molecular modeling showed that the expanded proline ring distorted its interaction with Trp 323, although all the original hydrogen bonds remained intact. Substitution of the diphenylmethyl P4 moiety in $\mathbf{1}$ for the (R)-tetrahydronapthyl used by Fesik ${ }^{11}$ significantly enhanced potency leading to the 7,5-bicyclic compound 7 (Figure 13), with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=68 \mathrm{nM}$ in their cell based assay.


5
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=50 \mathrm{nM}$


7
EC $_{50}=68 \mathrm{nM}$


6

$$
\mathrm{EC}_{50}=980 \mathrm{nM}
$$



Figure 13. Examples of potent, bicyclic monovalent SMAC mimetics

Novartis developed a P3-P4 bicyclic monovalent SMAC monomer (LBW242) 8 (Figure 13) with potent activity against multiple myeloma (MM) cancer cells. ${ }^{20}$ Their compound was toxic to bortezomib resistant MM cells with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8-30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (reported as a range); PARP (Poly ADP ribose polymerase) cleavage (a hallmark of apoptosis) ${ }^{21}$ was detected, which suggested that they were activating caspases and promoting apoptosis. Further analysis determined that 8 was activating caspase-3,8 and 9 in MM cells and testing in healthy lymphocytes produced no toxic effects below $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, demonstrating a degree of selectivity towards cancer cells.

### 2.2.1.B Non-Constrained SMAC Mimetics

Several groups have produced potent monovalent SMAC peptidomimetics which do not incorporate bicyclic structures. The early goal of SMAC mimetic design was to create potent inhibitors of XIAP. ${ }^{6}$ Later work recognized the co-relation between CIAP-1 \& 2 inhibition and enhanced potency however little was understood of the contribution cIAP made to the overall induction of apoptosis by SMAC mimetics. Salvesen and colleagues mapped the peptide binding interactions between various IAP BIR domains and a library of short peptides, however they did not disclose any IAP selective peptidomimetic agonists. ${ }^{22}$ A team from Genentech released an article in 2009 which detailed their efforts to design a selective inhibitor of cIAP-1 capable of
inducing apoptosis. ${ }^{23}$ Using X-ray crystal structure data of the XIAP and cIAP-1 BIR3 IBM binding grooves, they constructed analogues which exploited differences between the two to achieve selective inhibition (Table 1). The XIAP BIR3 binding groove was more congested than that of cIAP-1; a Tyr 234 residue near the proline binding position and Thr 308 in the P 4 hydrophobic binding pocket of XIAP created potential steric interaction sites not found in cIAP-1. Compound 9 was synthesized to bind cIAP-1 selectively, a methyl group on the proline ring clashed with the phenolic oxygen of Tyr 308 while a 2-pyrimidinyl heterocycle engaged in lone pair repulsion with the hydroxyl of Thr 308 in the XIAP BIR3 binding groove. Compound 10 lacked these modifications and engaged in high affinity binding with both BIR domains. Through various assays the authors were able to demonstrate that cIAP-1/2 activation was sufficient to induce apoptosis in cancer cells however they stated that "pan-selectivity" for both XIAP and cIAP produced significantly more potent inhibitors.

Table 1. Comparison of binding affinities for cIAP selective (6) and pan selective (7) SMAC mimetics. The methylated proline (blue) 2, pyramidal heterocyclic P4 (red) were found to impart selective cIAP BIR 3 binding.


9
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}=15 \mathrm{nM}$

10
$I C_{50}=150 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$

| Antagonist | cIAP-1 | CIAP-2 | XIAP | Selectivity <br> $(X / c 1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BIR3 | BIR3 | BIR3 | $\left(\begin{array}{cc} & \\ \mathbf{9} & 0.016 \\ \mathbf{1 0} & 0.085 \\ >34 & >2000 \\ & 0.036\end{array} 0.096\right.$ |

Recently, Genentech reported the development of a new monomeric SMAC mimetic (GDC-0152) 11 (Figure 14) which was advanced to Phase I clinical trials. ${ }^{24}$ They developed their compound through SAR optimization primarily via substitution of bulky hydrophobic residues at the P2 and

P4 residues consistent with work by other groups. They took an interesting approach to P1 however, which is usually left unmodified, and extended the classical $N$-methyl group with various functionalities. Addition of a cyclopropyl methyl group and a 2,3-dihydroxypropyl group led to no enhancement in IAP binding affinity over the original P1 N-methyl derivative. Their lead compound exhibited nanomolar $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values with high binding affinities to several IAPs: ML-IAP BIR3 $=14 \mathrm{nM}$, XIAP BIR3 $=28 \mathrm{nM}$, XIAP BIR2 $=112 \mathrm{nM}, ~ c I A P-1$ BIR3 $=17 \mathrm{nM}, ~ c I A P-2$ BIR $3=43 \mathrm{nM}$. Testing of $\mathbf{1 1}$ against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells demonstrated cell killing below 100 nM and a caspase-3/7 activation assay showed positive results. Mice, treated orally with $\mathbf{1 1}$ showed tumor size reduction in a human-tumor xenograft model. Pre-clinical data gathered on GDC-0152 suggested a favourable PK (pharmacokinetic) profile and it was advanced to phase I clinical trials. ${ }^{25} \mathrm{~A} 2^{\text {nd }}$ generation analogue GDC-0197 12 was also entered into clinical trials. ${ }^{26}$

Novartis developed compound 14 (LCL161) (Figure 14) with a cyclohexyl moiety at P2 and a $p$ -fluoro-benzoyl thiazole linked P4 residue. ${ }^{27,28}$ Testing of 14 alone was conducted in tyrosine kinase resistant leukemia cell lines with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 50 nM to $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ depending on cell type. A combination therapy consisting of LCL161 and nilotinib was administered to mice with BCR-ABL expressing leukemia for 5 weeks ( $n=10$ ). The investigators found that the combination therapy reduced tumor load below that of nilotinib alone to an almost undetectable level and, following treatment cessation, showed an $80 \%$ survival rate past day 100 compared to nilotinib alone which had no survivors past day 80.
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Figure 14. Some examples of potent non-constrained SMAC monomers. 13 was reported in a patent by Tetralogic pharmaceuticals and explored the hydrophobic P4 binding pocket with a large PEG chain. ${ }^{29} 15$ was reported as a XIAP BIR2 selective binding agent. ${ }^{30} 16$ was described in a patent by Agera pharmaceuticals in which analogues had their P2 and P3 residues combined into a single 7membered ring. ${ }^{31}$

### 2.2.1.C Non-peptide based SMAC Mimetics

Some research groups have deviated from the amide -linked peptidomimetic scaffold and have favoured the use of polycyclic heterocycles, common in medicinal chemistry ${ }^{32}$, to mimic the effects of the SMAC AVPI motif in BIR domain binding. The McLendon group attempted to replace the P2-P3 amide linkage with an oxazole ring 17 (Figure 15) in order to enhance proteolytic stability and cell permeability. ${ }^{33}$ Binding studies showed it was 50 times less potent at binding XIAP BIR3 ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=11 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) relative to the AVPI sequence, however in a cell-based assay against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells it induced $25 \%$ cell death at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ while AVPI did not, even at 500 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. Crystal structure overlay of 17 in the XIAP BIR3 IBM binding groove showed that it lost a net 2-hydrogen bonds, however it maintained the essential P1 nitrogen Glu 314 charge stabilized hydrogen bond.

Another research group used in-silico modeling of the XIAP BI3 IBM binding groove to computationally design potent SMAC mimetics using a library of "drug like" substituents. Several
lead compounds were synthesized and tested for their XIAP BIR3 binding affinities, compound 18 (Figure 15) had a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and performed well in an assay against MDA-MB-231 cells inhibiting growth with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=16 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{34}$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\begin{gathered}17 \\ 11 \mu \mathrm{M}\end{gathered}$
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Figure 15. Examples of non-peptide based SMAC mimetics. Compound 19 was disclosed in a Novartis patent. ${ }^{35}$

### 2.2.2 Bivalent SMAC mimetics

Since Li and Harran first reported their dimeric SMAC mimetic 4 numerous groups have applied the same strategy to generate a rich class of bivalent IAP inhibitors. ${ }^{6}$ The general SAR strategies applied to the synthesis of bivalent SMAC mimetics mirror those used in the design of their monovalent relatives (section 2.3.1) and are often simply the product of a cross-linking reaction. Most experimentation has been in the placement and properties of the linker connecting the two monomeric fragments in the bivalent mimetic. SMAC mimetics have generally been linked via the P2 and P4 residues employing a diverse array of linkers. In addition, some groups have experimented with unusual strategies such as P3 linkage, hetero-dimerization and homo-trimerization, achieving mixed results.

### 2.2.2.A P4-Linked Homodimeric SMAC mimetics

Although the first bivalent SMAC mimetic was reported as a P2 dimer ${ }^{13}$ subsequent publications focussed on dimerization at P4. Perhaps spurred by the results of Jiang ${ }^{36}$, which showed that two AVPI residues connected by a flexible linker at the C-terminus could concurrently bind the BIR2 and BIR3 domains of XIAP, many groups employed a P4 tethering strategy. Genentech showed that a bivalent SMAC mimetic (BV6) 21 joined by a flexible P4 linker was able to bind IAP BIR3 domains with high affinity: $K_{i}$ XIAP $=1.3 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ cIAP-1 $=0.46 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{37}$ Western analysis showed rapid degradation (minutes) of cIAP-1 in cells treated with 21 and the
dimer showed a significantly enhanced potency over the monomer (20, MV1) with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 14 nM and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively. In an elegant experiment, they were able to show using siRNA that SMAC mimetic treated cells were undergoing TNF- $\alpha$ dependent caspase- 8 activation, suggesting that caspase-8 activation rather than caspase-9 was responsible for inducing apoptosis (Figure 16). They proposed that their compounds were able to antagonize cIAP-1/2 in cells leading to TNRF1 mediated caspase-8 activation and apoptosis.


Figure 16. (A) Graph representing treatment of A2058 melanoma cells treated at 400 nM with either 21 or a combination of 21 + TNF- $\alpha$, results show that siRNA inactivation of either TNF- $\alpha$ or caspase- 8 significantly hampers induction of cell death by 20. (B) Western analysis of cell lysates demonstrating effective protein knockdown by siRNA. (C) Structures of the monovalent 20 and bivalent 21 SMAC mimetics tested

The Wang group has contributed significantly to the investigation of linker strategies in bivalent SMAC mimetics. In their first report, they dimerized an 8,5-
 bicyclic version of their earlier 7,5-bicyclic monomer 1. They used computational modeling to show that one of the phenyl rings in their biphenyl methyl P4 substituent sat outside of the P4 BIR hydrophobic binding pocket and predicted that this could serve as a reasonable attachment point, ${ }^{38}$ in a later study they confirmed this prediction to be true using an X-ray crystallography. ${ }^{9}$ To their delight dimer 22 (SM-164) (Figure 17), connected through a flexible alkyl-aryl linker, showed superior cell growth inhibition $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ in $\mathrm{HL}-60$ leukemia cells with minimal nonapoptotic cell death. A study evaluating its mechanism of apoptotic induction showed that $\mathbf{2 2}$ potently inhibited cIAP-1/2 ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.3,1.1 \mathrm{nM}$ respectively) as well as XIAP. They concluded that their compound was inducing TNF- $\alpha$ mediated apoptosis through caspase- 8 activation but stressed that XIAP inhibition was still essential for effective apoptosis by protecting the caspase-

3 from XIAP binding. ${ }^{39}$ In a 2011 paper they revealed how chemical modification to the linker connecting bivalent SMAC mimetics can modulate their activity against IAPs (Figure 17). ${ }^{40}$ They noticed that compounds like $\mathbf{2 2}$ with long lipophilic linkers garnered enhanced anti-tumor cell potency while maintaining relatively constant IAP inhibition compared to compounds with polar PEG based 23 or shortened linkers 24 . They developed a technique to measure the relative cellular concentration of their compounds in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and found that compounds such as 22 with longer, lipophilic linkers were present in cells at higher concentrations. Thus, they concluded that the observed enhancement in potency of $\mathbf{2 2}$ over compounds such as $\mathbf{2 3}$ and $\mathbf{2 4}$ was a function of cellular permeability, and not IAP inhibition.

Figure 17. Examples of potent P4 linked SMAC mimetic dimers reported by Wang. IC50 values were obtained following incubation of compounds in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells.
Other groups have reported


24
$1 C_{50}=152 \mathrm{nM}$ similar results in P4 linker optimization. AstraZeneca disclosed its first attempts at designing bivalent SMAC mimetics in a 2013 J. Med. Chem. article. ${ }^{41}$ Their SAR work adhered to previously reported strategies, substituting the P2 and P4 positions with bulky lipophilic groups. They also attempted to modify the P3 proline ring through the addition of a cyclopropane as well as heteroatom substitution, however the unaltered proline moiety performed best. Using P2 cyclohexyl and P4 indanyl groups in their
monomers, they explored several dimerization strategies through a P4 linkage. Consistent with the findings of Wang, they noted that linker length/flexibility had minimal effects on IAP binding affinity but translated to marked differences in cellular growth inhibition. Compound 25 (AZD5582) (Figure 18), with a bis-alkyne linker reminiscent of the first bivalent SMAC mimetic 4, was chosen as a lead for advanced clinical development. It demonstrated excellent growth inhibition in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells ( $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=0.1 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and was well tolerated in a mouse xenograft efficacy model, promoting tumor shrinkage and growth suppression for 40 days posttreatment.

Birinapant 26 (Figure 18) was patented in 2009 by Tetralogic ${ }^{42}$ however the first detailed account of its biological activity did not surface until 2014;43 an earlier publication described the activity of another, less efficient analogue. ${ }^{44}$ Birinapant is a bivalent SMAC mimetic connected through a short bis-indole linkage at P4. It is the most advanced SMAC mimetic to date and is engaged in/has completed several clinical trials for cancer treatment at the phase I/II level (refer to clinicaltrials.gov). Unlike other SMAC mimetics, 26 lacks a bulky substituent at P2, having been replaced with a non-branched ethyl group. They found that a reduction in $\beta$-branching at the P2 position resulted in weakened affinity for the BIR3 domains of XIAP and cIAP-2 while maintaining affinity for cIAP-1. Using previous research which showed that both XIAP ${ }^{-/ /} / \mathrm{cIAP}^{-1 /-}$ and $\mathrm{cIAP}-2^{-/-}$ / cIAP-1/- double knockout mutant mice suffered mid-embryonic lethality ${ }^{45}$ they predicted that simultaneous inhibition of CIAP-1 with either cIAP-2 or XIAP depletion may lead to the poor patent tolerability associated observed in their pan-selective antagonist 27. They showed that the heightened XIAP antagonism by 27 promoted XIAP dependent NOD-mediated NF-кB deactivation which is known to produce clinical manifestations of inflammatory tissue damage. ${ }^{46,}$ Compound $\mathbf{2 6}$ did not antagonize XIAP sufficiently to initiate this pathway and this is predicted to be one source of its improved tolerability profile in human studies. ${ }^{43}$
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Figure 18. Some examples of potent P4 linked SMAC mimetics.

### 2.2.2.B P2 Linked Homodimeric SMAC Mimetics

X-ray crystal structures of non-constrained SMAC peptidomimetics bound to the IBM binding grooves of many IAPs show that the P2 residue side chain is solvent exposed and thus an appropriate site for dimerization. ${ }^{6}$ Aegera Therapeutics reported a potent P2 dimer from a series of compounds disclosed in a 2006 patent. ${ }^{47}$ Their most potent analogue 28 (Figure 19) had high affinities for the BIR3 domains of XIAP ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=100 \mathrm{nM}$ ), cIAP-1 $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=17 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and cIAP-2 $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=34 \mathrm{nM}\right) .{ }^{48}$ Cell based assays demonstrated the potency of $\mathbf{2 8}$ which promoted $\sim 25 \%$ cell death in SKOV3 ovarian cancer cells at a 5 nM dose after 24 hours of exposure. Using immunocoprecipitation they found that their compounds induced caspase-3/8 activation while promoting XIAP and cIAP1/2 degradation. In addition, RIPK1 ubiquitination decreased while TNF- $\alpha$ production increased. Taken together they concluded that their compound was inducing apoptosis through cIAP degradation and autocrine TNF mediated apoptosis (see section 2.2.2).

The Wang group published a recent report describing the synthesis of a novel P2 linked bivalent SMAC mimetic 29 (SM-1200) (Figure 19) capable of causing apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 breast
cancer cells with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=11 \mathrm{nM}$. They tested several linkers and found that, unlike in the case of their 2011 P4 linker screen, P2 linker modification resulted in moderate changes in IAP binding affinity in addition to cell-growth inhibition potency. Generally, long hydrophobic linkers produced potent compounds, however the authors noted they had poor PK properties in mice. A urea style attachment site on either side of the linker was required for improved IAP binding and cell permeability over an endo single-nitrogen amide-based linker attachment point. Linker length was also important, the researchers found that shorter aromatic linkers yielded the most potent compounds while short, cyclic aliphatic linkers performed poorly. Compound $\mathbf{2 9}$ showed excellent properties in a mouse xenograft model; over an 80 day treatment period consisting of three doses at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (last treatment at day 40) tumors were quickly eliminated and did not return by the $80^{\text {th }}$ day. In addition animal body weight remained relatively constant throughout the treatment indicating compound $\mathbf{2 9}$ was not promoting wasting effects.
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Figure 19. Some potent bivalent SMAC mimetics linked at P2.

### 2.2.2.C SMAC Mimetics using divergent strategies

SMAC mimetics linked through the proline residue are less common however some groups have reported potent P3 linked analogues (Figure 20). Genentech released a patent describing several analogues including $\mathbf{3 0}$ which is dimerized through a benzyl bis-alkyne linker and has a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}<10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against XIAP BIR3. ${ }^{49}$ Joyant pharmaceuticals filed a patent which tested several P3 linker strategies, their most potent compound 31 contained a unique adamantyl based
linker and induced apoptosis in HCC 461 hepatocellular carcinoma cells with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5 \mathrm{nM}$. They also disclosed several unique homo-trimeric structures 32 however no inhibitory data was provided. Bristol-Meyers-Squibb has focused on heterodimeric SMAC mimetics lined through P3. ${ }^{50}$ Their most recent paper ${ }^{51}$ described attempts at modifying the linker which connects the two unique monomeric fragments. Several linker lengths and polarities were tested giving results consistent with other groups. ${ }^{40}$ Somewhat surprisingly however, the most efficient inhibitor of IAPs proved to be a direct linker between the two monomers similar to that of Birinapant 26. Compound 33 was a pan-selective IAP antagonist and promoted apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.8 \mathrm{nM}$. Studies in a lung cancer xenograft mouse model demonstrated good tolerability and efficacy of tumor destruction.

 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5 \mathrm{nM}$




Figure 20. Some examples of unusual SMAC dimers from the recent literature linked at P3.

### 2.3 SMAC Mimetics: A Bright Future

Clearly, a tremendous amount of scientific research has been invested into the development of SMAC mimetics as cancer therapeutics and some compounds have begun to find their way into the clinic. Birinapant $\mathbf{2 6}$ currently leads the way, it has successfully completed a phase II study examining its effects when dosed with irinotecan for patients with relapsed colorectal cancer and is recruiting for a phase II study on the effects of birinipant combined with azacitidine for the treatment of myelomonocytic leukemia. Novartis's LCL161 14 is entering phase II clinical trials to examine the its efficacy at treating patients with relapsed multiple myeloma and Genentech's GDC-0197/CUDC-427 is recruiting patients for a phase I safety and efficacy study for patients with refractory solid tumors or lymphomas.

While SMAC mimetics have proven their ability to act as single-agent therapeutics they also hold significant potential for use in combination therapies with other chemotherapeutics. ${ }^{52}$ One such study by Dr. John Bell at the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute combined LCL161 with an oncolytic virus to promote apoptosis in various cancer cell lines. ${ }^{53}$

The future for SMAC mimetics is promising, hopefully, further research will eventually yield a clinically approved, tumor selective chemotherapeutic compound which will help save lives.

### 2.4 Goals and Objectives: Logical Design of Novel SMAC Mimetics

### 2.4.1 General Approach

Given the depth of the current SMAC Mimetic literature we chose to model our lead compound after other successful SMAC mimetics using previously studied SAR strategies derived from modification of the AVPI peptide sequence (see sections 2.3-2.4). In the spirit of classical SAR, we established a core SMAC scaffold and identified sites of potential chemical variation (Figure 21).


Figure 21. SMAC mimetic scaffold used in the design of our compound series.
The P1 alanine and P3 proline residues from the AVPI motif were kept as well as the N-terminal methylation, these fragments have previously demonstrated their importance for effective IAP inhibition. ${ }^{11}$ Additionally, the natural L stereochemistry of the peptide backbone was maintained to promote optimal IAP binding. ${ }^{1}$

A compound library was generated with the goal of discovering novel SMAC mimetic compounds with potent cytotoxic effects against tumor cells. Modifications were made at P2 to evaluate the effects of hybridization of unbranched P2 moieties, something which has not been explored in the literature to our knowledge. We also tested P2 dimerization strategies to assess the effects of linker flexibility and length on compound potency. Our work also explored how changes to the P4 linker region affect potency without modifying the P4 hydrophobic moiety itself. Finally, we probed the effects of dimerization at the P4 position.

### 2.4.2 Modifications to P2 - Monomers

We began by decorating the P2 position of our SMAC mimetic scaffold with different nonbranched alkyl chains of varying hybridization, in addition to glycine, to generate monomeric SMAC mimetics 33-36 (Figure 22). In order to accurately assess the degree to which our changes were affecting potency we left all other positions constant. This included P4 and its respective P3-P4 linker, which were chosen to exist as a naphthalene moiety connected through a sulfide linker. The rationale for the napthyl residue stemmed from success by other groups using tetrahydronapthyl group as their P4 substituent. ${ }^{11,19}$ We reasoned that a napthylene residue could mimic some of the hydrophobic interactions of the tetrahydronapthyl group and adequately fill the P4 binding pocket whilst serving as a synthetically basic placeholder which could be substituted for other functionalities in later studies. The sulfide linker was inspired from to a relative absence of sulfur linked P4 substituents in the literature, most reported SMAC mimetics rely on either an amide bond or an $\alpha$-amine.



Figure 22. Monovalent P2 modification targets 33-36.

### 2.4.3 Exploring P2 Linker Strategies

SMAC mimetic dimers are significantly more active than monomers at inducing apoptosis in cells through enhanced IAP binding. ${ }^{6}$ Different linker types and positions have been explored extensively by medicinal chemists. ${ }^{13,40,37}$ We designed $P 2$ linked compounds $\mathbf{5 6}, \mathbf{6 4}, \mathbf{6 6}$ possessing hydrophobic linkers, a property shown by Wang to be essential for cellular penetration (Figure 23). ${ }^{40}$ By varying linker length and flexibility we gauged the effects of $P 2$ linker variation on IAP binding by bivalent SMAC mimetics.





Figure 23. P2 linked bivalent targets 56, 64 and 66.

### 2.4.4 Exploring P4 Linker Strategies.

Next, we turned our attention towards exploring the chemical space of the P4 hydrophobic pocket in the IBM binding groove (see section 1.3.1). Many SMAC mimetics have simply employed an amide to connect the rest of the molecule to the P 4 residue ${ }^{24}$, others have used single heteroatoms ${ }^{29}$ and some have used heterocycles attached directly to the proline ring. ${ }^{28}$ Evidently, an amide isostere or some other functionality possessing moderate polar character is required at this position for efficient binding with IAPs. In the interest of generating numerous compounds in the most efficient manner possible our goal was to design a key intermediate compound which could serve as a stepping stone to several P4 linked derivatives in 1-2 steps. Ultimately, compound 67 was selected (Scheme 1) possessing a terminal alkyne residue at the "c-terminus" of P3. Terminal alkynes are rich handles for functionalization and can undergo several different cyclcoadditions to produce a diverse array of heterocycles. ${ }^{54,55,56} \mathrm{In}$
addition, they can be subjected to different cross coupling reactions ${ }^{57}$ and hydroaminations ${ }^{58}$ among others. ${ }^{59}$ A nor-valine substituent was selected for P 2 in 67 since it would be synthetically inert.

P4-linked triazoles





Scheme 1. P4 linker modification targets from common precursor 67.

### 2.4.4.A Triazole P4 Linkers

Triazoles have found common use by medicinal chemists as amide bond isosteres. ${ }^{60}$ They effectively mimic the relative bond distance/polarity of an amide and are proteolytically stable. ${ }^{61}$ We predicted that the 1,3-substituted 1,2,3-triazole (Figure 24B) would more accurately mimic the amide linkage of SMAC than its 1,5-substituted counterpart (Figure 24C) spatially. Compounds 79 and 82 were made from alkyne 67 bearing 1,3- and 1,5- substituted 1,2,3-triazole linkers respectively.


Figure 24. 1,2,3 triazoles as isosteres for an amide linkage. (A) P4 linked through an amide (blue), (B) P4 linked through a 1,3 -substituted triazole (red), (C) P4 linked through a 1,5-triazole (purple).

### 2.4.4.B C-linked P4 Linkers

Several C-linked SMAC mimetic monomers were prepared (Scheme 1). In order to gauge the importance of an amide isostere at P4, we prepared 86 and 91 bearing ( $E$ ) and ( $Z$ ) olefins respectively. Double bonds are known to serve as effective amide isosteres ${ }^{62}$ and offer proteolytic stability in addition to higher lipophilicity which we hypothesized could help enhance cell permeability. Compounds 84 and 88 were prepared to assess the impact that flexibility and electronic character have on IAP inhibition for the C-linked series (Scheme 1).

### 2.4.4.C Oxidized P4 Linkers

We predicted that the introduction of a carbonyl at the P4 linker position may prove beneficial by adding a hydrogen bond acceptor and creating the potential for a novel hydrogen bond in the IAP IBM binding groove which would offer significant stabilizing effects. We designed variants of 56 and 86 in which a sulfone 94 and ketone 97 functionality were installed respectively (Scheme 1).
2.4.4.D P4 Linked Dimers

Several other successful bivalent SMAC mimetics have utilized the P4 residue as their cross-linking site. ${ }^{41,43}$ We explored the ability of our intermediate scaffold 67 to serve as an effective precursor for dimerization. As with the other compounds tested, the napthalene P4 moiety was held constant. Two compounds 99 and 103 were prepared, 103 was a negative control to assess the effects of linker length and hydrophobicity at the P4 position as well as to determine how the lack of a hydrophobic P4 residue would affect IAP inhibition (Scheme 1).
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## Chapter 3: Synthesis and Testing of Monovalent and Divalent SMAC Mimetics in MDA-MB-231 Breast Cancer Cells

### 3.2 Modifications to P2 - Monomers 33-36

To prepare the P2 modified monomeric analogues we envisioned a short synthetic sequence to the S-aryl SMAC monomer 33 which would be amenable to subsequent chemical modification and allow access to numerous additional compounds for testing. Compounds containing substituted amino acid residues, including 34 and 36 , would have to be synthesized de-novo.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of aryl sulfide 39 via direct substitution of prolinol 37.

The synthesis towards 33 began with Boc-L-Proline 37 which was reduced under lewis acidic conditions to yield prolinol 38 ( Scheme 2). Several approaches were considered for the subsequent thiolation step to give 39. While a two-step tosylation/thiolation procedure seemed reasonable a more efficient transformation was desired. Attempts to thiolate using variants of Mitsunobu's conditions ${ }^{2}$ gave poor yields (Table 2). Application of


Scheme 3. Phosphonium salt proposed by Hata and co-workers. ${ }^{4}$ Hata's conditions for aryl-thiolation provided enhanced yields but suffered from lengthy reaction times. ${ }^{3}$ Hata proposed that the reaction proceeded via a sulfur-phosphonium salt 40 which served to activate the alcohol and facilitate the ensuing thiolation (Scheme 3). ${ }^{1,4,5}$ In an attempt to generate the disulfide (from naphthalene-2-thiol) required for the Hata reaction DIAD was added as an in-situ oxidant (Table 2, entry D). No formation of the desired disulfide was observed, however upon treatment with n-tributylphosphine an exothermic reaction took place and an orange precipitate formed in the reaction flask. Addition of alcohol $\mathbf{3 8}$ clarified the mixture and TLC analysis indicated complete conversion to the desired product 39 . We reasoned that the $n$ tributylphosphine reacted with the DIAD to form a phopshonium salt which subsequently reacted
with the nucleophilic aryl thiol to afford complex 40. This complex subsequently reacted with the added alcohol to give the thiolated product 39. Attempts to replicate the results using triphenylphosphine in-place of $n$-tributylphosphine produced sluggish reaction conditions and poor yield (Table 2, entry E). It was noted that during the reaction, following the addition of triphenylphosphine a precipitate formed analogous to entry D , however upon addition of the alcohol $\mathbf{2}$ the mixture did not resolve, even after several days of stirring. One could imagine how the phenyl rings of triphenylphosphine might help stabilize a phosphonium salt similar to 40 via charge delocalization. It is reasonable to suggest that n-tributylphosphine, which does not possess such stabilizing characteristics, would form a more reactive intermediate than its aromatic counterpart. This, perhaps, explains the differences in reaction rate observed between entries D and E. A literature search yielded a plethora of articles which used Hata's method to effect aryl thiolations, often at the expense of time and chemical yield. ${ }^{6,7,8}$ This simple modification to Hata's conditions could serve as a new, efficient alternative.

Table 2. Experimental conditions for the thiolation of 38.
Entry

With an economical route to 39 in-hand we continued the synthesis of 33-36. Standard peptide coupling using HCTU and Hunig's base with propargyl glycine 42 and N-methyl alanine 46 (prepared from alanine in 2-steps) provided Boc-protected monomeric SMAC mimetics 43-45a (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4. Synthesis of SMAC monomers 33, 34 and 36. Reagents and conditions: AA represents the commercially available amino acid coupling partner used, 43a = Boc-Pra-OH, 44a = Boc-allyl-Gly-OH, 45a = Boc-Gly-OH; (a-c) 5 N $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, r.t. * $=48 \mathrm{a}$ was synthesized with an FMOC-protecting group and de-protected using $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF at r.t.

Compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ was made via the hydrogenation of $\mathbf{3 3}$ using palladium on activated carbon (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5. Synthesis of 35.
Another monomeric SMAC mimetic 50 with a glycine residue substituted for the P3 proline was synthesized as a negative control compound using a similar synthetic sequence to Scheme 4 (Scheme 6).


Scheme 6. Synthesis of P3 glycine insert 50 as negative control.
We tested our first set of monomers in-vitro against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for each compound.

Table 3. Properties of compounds 33-36 and 50. cLogP and polar surface area (PSA) values were obtained computationally from Marvin Sketch v.15.3.30.
Compound


Figure 25. Functional antagonism of SMAC mimetics 33-36 and $\mathbf{5 0}$ against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells in a dose-responsive manner. Data shown in the figure are averages with standard error of duplicate wells in assay plates. Experiments were performed in-triplicate. A staurosporine positive control (black) was used as a reference standard for cell death. Non-linear regression was used to generate a line of best fit which was used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values.

Our results show that compounds 33-36 and $\mathbf{5 0}$ exhibit modest cytotoxicity towards the MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line (Figure 25). Statistical analysis indicated that the $I_{50}$ value of the most potent analogue 34 was significantly higher than other monomers ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) and that monomers 33-36 were significantly more potent than 50 (minimum * $\mathrm{P}<0.05,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ). Interestingly compounds $\mathbf{3 3 , 3 5}$, and $\mathbf{3 6}$ all had statistically similar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ( $\mathrm{ns} \mathrm{P}>0.05,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) indicating that perhaps substitution at the P2 position contributes very little to IAP binding in cells. Calculated LogP and polar surface area (PSA) showed that compounds 33-36 and 50 are all reasonably lipophilic and should be capable of passively entering cells. This conclusion is supported by the enhanced killing efficiency of $\mathbf{3 4}$ over $\mathbf{3 5}$ despite its lower clogP value. It appears that $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ hybridization of a non-branched P2 linker is modestly favoured for IAP binding however it is difficult to speculate the exact mechanism without access to in-silico modeling. The unbranched alkyl chains at P2 in 33-35 may lead to reduced XIAP binding relative to a branched chain, as was reported by Condon. ${ }^{9}$ Perhaps the lack of $\beta$-branching at P2 could explain the modest efficiency of 33-36 relative to other SMAC monomers with P2 branching which have been reported in the literature and have achieved $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values as low as $100 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{10}$ Compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ with a glycine residue in place of proline showed reduced potency against MDA-MB-231 cells, this
result was consistent with findings by other groups and served as an effective negative control for IAP interaction. ${ }^{11,12}$

### 3.3 P2 Linker Strategies- Compounds 56, 58, 64, 66

Synthesis of the P2 linked bivalent compound series began with 47a. Subjection of 47a to a Glaser coupling ${ }^{13}$ and Boc-deprotection easily afforded the bis-alkyne SMAC mimetic dimer 55 (Scheme 7). A similar procedure applied to the P3 glycine substituted analogue 54 yielded dimer 58 (Scheme 8). The bis-alkyne linker style has been used successfully in numerous other SMAC mimetics. ${ }^{14,15}$


Scheme 7. Synthesis of bivalent SMAC mimetic 56.


Scheme 8. Synthesis of bivalent SMAC mimetic 58.
To probe linker flexibility we sought to synthesize a dimer connected through a saturated aliphatic linker. Hydrogenation of 56 seemed to be the obvious means of effecting this transformation. Frustratingly, catalytic hydrogenation using of 56 in the presence of palladium on carbon under hydrogen atmosphere proved ineffective, event at pressures up to 100 bar. It was believed that perhaps the crowded structure surrounding the bis-alkyne linker was
preventing adsorption onto the solid catalyst surface. Wilkinson's and Crabtree's homogeneous catalysts were tried but they too failed. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~A}$ heterogeneous rhodium on carbon catalyst was able to partially reduce the bis-alkyne linker (above stoichiometric catalyst loading), however it generated a complex mixture of semi-hydrogenation products which were inseparable by HPLC. Sulfur is known to poison transition metal catalysts ${ }^{17}$ and we hypothesized that this effect, combined with the steric bulk of 56 hindered the desired transformation. Compound 64 would have to be synthesized de-novo, a short synthetic sequence was employed to achieve this goal (Scheme 9).


Scheme 9. Synthesis of bivalent SMAC mimetic 64 bearing a saturated alkyl linker.
To minimize steric occlusion and negate the effects of sulfur, the saturated P2 linker 61 was assembled prior to peptide coupling. Boc-L-Propargylglycine 58 was benzylated to improve its chromatographic and provide a chromophore for easy detection; a cesium counter ion was used in the reaction to supress N -benzylation. ${ }^{18}$ The benzylated peptide 59 was cross-linked via a Glaser coupling to give 60 which was subjected to a palladium catalyzed hydrogenation/hydrogenolysis to give the de-benzylated, saturated dimer 61. Two successive peptide coupling reactions with intermediate Boc-deprotection steps gave the desired bivalent SMAC mimetic 64.

We were also interested in testing how linker length would affect the potency of our SMAC mimetic analogues. To test this we prepared 66, which is analogous to 56 but contains an aryl
spacer. Compound 66 was easily prepared from a Sonogashira cross coupling between 47b and 1,4-diiodobenzene (Scheme 10). ${ }^{19}$


Scheme 10. Synthesis of bivalent SMAC mimetic 66 possessing a long, rigid linker.

We tested our P2 dimerized SMAC mimetics in-vitro against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for each compound.

Table 4. Properties of bivalent SMAC mimetics 56, 58, 64, 66. cLogP and polar surface area (PSA) values were obtained computationally from Marvin Sketch v.15.3.30.
Compound

64


66
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183

183
0.97
0.460
0.533

Figure 26. Functional antagonism of SMAC mimetics 56, 58, 64 and 66 against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells in a dose-responsive manner. Data points shown in the figure are averages with standard error bars of duplicate wells in assay plates. Experiments were performed in-triplicate. A staurosporine positive control (black) was used as a reference standard for cell death. Non-linear regression was used to generate a line of best fit which was used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values.

The data shows that the bivalent SMAC mimetics 56, 64 and 66 have reasonable potency against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells while 58 does not (Figure 26). Statistical analysis of 56, 64 and 66 indicates that the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained are not statistically different (ns $\mathrm{P}>0.05,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) however all three have inhibitory values much lower than 58 (*** P<0.001, 95\% CI). Additionally, 56, 64 and 66 do not show inhibitory concentrations which are significantly different from the staurosporine control ( $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}=371 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Based on our data it seems that linker flexibility/length
does not have a significant effect on IAP binding and activation of apoptosis in the tested compounds. This result is consistent with findings by Wang, who also tested P2 linked SMAC mimetic dimers and found only short, cyclic linkers of 4 atoms or less reduced binding affinity to the BIR3 domains of XIAP and CIAP-1/2. ${ }^{20}$ It is reasonable to suggest that the modest enhancement in ant-tumor cell potency for 64 is a result of its flexible highly lipophilic linker region, which has been shown to produce improved cellular permeability over more constrained ones. ${ }^{21}$ The bis-alkyne P3 glycine insert 58 was expected to perform poorly for reasons stated above (see section 3.2) and in addition, the low cLogP of 58 probably impaired cell permeability relative to the other less polar dimers tested.

### 3.4 Exploring P4 Linker Strategies

To prepare our propargylic common precursor 67 the Ohira-Bestman reagent $\mathbf{7 2}$ was chosen to install the alkyne through a Seyferth-Gilbert homologation ${ }^{22}$, 72 was prepared in 3 steps from literature procedures (Scheme 11A). ${ }^{23}$


Scheme 11. (A) preparation of the Ohira-Bestman reagent 72, (B) Attempts at a one pot oxidation-homologation reaction.

We synthesized 67 beginning with Boc-L-prolinol 38. A one-pot oxidation homologation was attempted using different oxidants (Scheme 11B) however the two step procedure consistently provided better yields and was selected for scale-up. With 73a in-hand, standard peptide
coupling with 75 (prepared from Boc-Pra-OH in 1 step, see experimental) followed by 46 gave the tripeptide intermediate 67 in good overall yield (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12. Synthesis of intermediate 67.
We used 67 as a launch-pad prepare derivatives using divergent P4 linker strategies. The naphthalene P4 residue itself was left untouched in this series to facilitate comparison between the compounds which were tested.

### 3.4.1 Triazole P4 Linkers - Compounds 79 and 82

Triazoles 79 and 82 were prepared from alkyne 67 via the Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, commonly referred to as "click-chemistry". ${ }^{24}$ Triazole 79 was accessed from the reaction of 67 with a 2-napthylazide which was pre-formed in-situ from a Chan-Lam crosscoupling ${ }^{25}$ between boronic acid 77 and sodium azide (Scheme 13A). ${ }^{26}$ Compound 82 was prepared from 67 using a pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ruthenium(II) chloride tetramer and microwave radiation which gave the desired 1,5 -substituted triazole selectively (Scheme 13B).

A




Scheme 13. Synthesis of triazoles 79 and 82.

We tested the triazole containing compounds in-vitro against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for each compound.

Table 5. Properties of P4 triazole-linked monovalent SMAC mimetics 79 and 82. cLogP and polar surface area (PSA) values were obtained computationally from Marvin Sketch v.15.3.30.

| Compound | Structure | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | cLogP | PSA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 |  | 33.6 | -0.20 | 96.7 |
| 82 |  | > 100 | -0.51 | 96.7 |



Figure 27. Functional antagonism of SMAC mimetics 79 and $\mathbf{8 2}$ against MDA-MB- 231 breast cancer cells in a dose-responsive manner. Data points shown in the figure are averages with standard error bars of duplicate wells in assay plates. Experiments were performed in-triplicate. A staurosporine positive control (black) was used as a reference standard for cell death. Non-linear regression was used to generate a line of best fit which was used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values.

Surprisingly, both triazole linked monomers $\mathbf{7 9}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$ performed quite poorly in the inhibition assay versus MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells (Figure 27). Statistical analysis of the results indicates that the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are significantly different between the monomers tested (*** $\mathrm{P}<0.001,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ). Our prediction that 79 would perform better than 82 was correct however given the results it appears that the use of P4 linked 1,2,3-triazoles is not a beneficial strategy in the design of SMAC mimetics.

### 3.4.2 C-linked P4 linkers - Compounds $84,86,88$ and 91

The C-linked compounds 84-91 were synthesized according to Scheme 14. The alkynlnapthalene 84 was easily prepared via a Sonigashira cross coupling between alkyne 67 and 2bromonapthalene. The Boc-protected version 83 was used to access 86 by heterogeneous palladium hydrogenation. Compound 88 was also prepared from 83 through a palladium catalysed transfer semi-hydrogenation using formic acid as the reductant ( $E / Z=84: 14$ ). ${ }^{27}$ Attempts to synthesize $\mathbf{9 1}$ from $\mathbf{8 3}$ using Lindlar's catalyst proved ineffective and produced a complex mixture of over-reduction product and starting material. Instead, an indium mediated, (Z)-selective radical hydroindination of 67 was employed to generate a carbo-indium
intermediate which was quenched with iodine to provide the vinyl-iodide 89 as a mixture of the E/Z isomers (4:96). ${ }^{28}$ The vinyl iodide was subsequently coupled to 2-bromonapthalene using a Suzuki cross-coupling. ${ }^{29}$


Scheme 14. Synthesis of C-linked analogues 84, 86, 88, 91.

We tested the C-linked monomeric compounds in-vitro against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for each compound.

Table 6. Properties of C-linked monovalent SMAC mimetics $\mathbf{8 4}, \mathbf{8 6}, \mathbf{8 8}$ and $\mathbf{9 1}$. cLogP and polar surface area (PSA) values were obtained computationally from Marvin Sketch v.15.3.30.
Compound


Figure 28. Functional antagonism of SMAC mimetics $84,86,88$ and 91 against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells in a dose-responsive manner. Data points shown in the figure are averages with standard error bars of duplicate wells in assay plates. Experiments were performed in-triplicate. A staurosporine positive control (black) was used as a reference standard for cell death. Non-linear regression was used to generate a line of best fit which was used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values.

The C-linked SMAC derivatives exhibited cytotoxicity comparable to the first set of monomeric compounds tested (Figure 28). Statistical analysis of the results for 84-91 indicated that the IC $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values obtained were not significantly different ( $\mathrm{ns} \mathrm{P}>0.05,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ). This result is somewhat surprising given the prolific placement of a polar atom or amide isostere at the P 4 linker position in other reported SMAC mimetics. Despite this, binding studies have demonstrated that the leucine portion of the SMAC backbone does not form any hydrogen bonds with residues in the BIR3 IBM binding groove. ${ }^{30,31}$ Additionally, Fesik and Oost showed that N-methylation of the P3P4 amide does not significantly affect binding affinities to IAPs ${ }^{11}$ such a modification would disrupt the rigid $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ amide conformation producing a flexible linker. Taken together this may indicate that both flexible and rigid lipophilic linkers are able to orient the P4 substituent in a way which is amenable to hydrophobic interactions with the P4 hydrophobic binding pocket of the IBM binding motif.

### 3.4.3 Oxidized P4 Linkers - Compounds 94 and 97

Compound 94 was prepared by oxidizing the sulfide in 47a to the sulfone 92 using a molybdenum catalyst in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. ${ }^{32}$ The sulfone 92 was then subjected
to a Glaser coupling and subsequent Boc-deprotection to yield the bivalent sulfone 94 (Scheme 15).


Scheme 15. Synthesis of bivalent sulfone 94.


Scheme 16. Mercury nitrate mediated oxidation of internal alkynes. The alkyne reacts to form a mercurium ion which is trapped by water and tautomerized to give an $\alpha$-keto mercuric nitrate which can either be attacked by water to provide the mono-keto product following tautomerizaion (path B) or can react with a nitrate to from the $\alpha$-keto nitrate which oxidizes the attached carbon to produce the diketone (path A).

We initially envisioned using the alkyne functionality of 83 to perform a Wacker style oxidation using $\mathrm{PdBr}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ under aerobic conditions to form 1,2diketone 95, however in our hands this reaction was not able to achieve the stated transformation. ${ }^{33}$ A prep utilizing mercuric nitrate was attempted which furnished the monooxidation product 97 (following deprotection) with trace amounts of the 1,2-diketone 95 recovered
(Scheme 17). ${ }^{34}$ The authors noted that some substrates produced the mono-oxidation product following mercuric catalysed hydration (Scheme 16, path B). In the desired reaction the $\alpha$-keto mercuric nitrate is intercepted by a nitrate which is reductively eliminated to provide the 1,2diketone oxidation product and nitrous acid (Scheme 16, path A).


Scheme 17. Synthesis of ketone 97 + side product 95 .

We tested the C-linked oxidized compounds in-vitro against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for each compound.

Table 7. Properties of P4 oxidized linker SMAC mimetics 94 and 96 . cLogP and polar surface area (PSA) values were obtained computationally for the hydrochloride salt of each compound using Marvin Sketch v.15.3.30.
Compound


Figure 29. Functional antagonism of SMAC mimetics 94 and 97 against MDA-MB- 231 breast cancer cells in a dose-responsive manner. Data points shown in the figure are averages with standard error bars of duplicate wells in assay plates. Experiments were performed in-triplicate. A staurosporine positive control (black) was used as a reference standard for cell death. Non-linear regression was used to generate a line of best fit which was used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values.

The results from the cell based assay for compounds 94 and 97 suggest that additional oxidation in the P4 linker is detrimental to IAP binding affinity and induction of apoptosis (Figure 29). Statistical analysis showed that $\mathbf{9 4}$ had an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ which was significantly higher than its non-oxidized counterpart 56 (*** $\mathrm{P}<0.001,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) however, despite this drop in activity 94 was still more potent than the most potent monomeric SMAC mimetic tested 34 (** $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ). Compound 97 showed virtually no cytotoxic activity, the $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ cell treatment was repeated in triplicate (total $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) and similar values were obtained. This likely means that the transient increase in potency at the $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ treatment level is an outlier. Without kinetic binding data for the pure IAP proteins it is difficult to ascertain whether these decreases in cell-killing efficiency are the result of decreased membrane permeability or reduced IAP binding efficiency. In the case of 94 it is reasonable to speculate that the sharp enhancement in polarity relative to 56 (decrease of nearly 3 log units) may have hindered its ability to cross the plasma membrane of the MDA-MB-231 cells and thus induce cell death. Compound 97 does not inherit the same degree of polar character relative to its un-oxidized form 86 and would be expected to cross the membrane with reasonable efficiency. Therefore it is more likely that the ketone incorporated in 97 alpha to the napthyl ring is engaging in lone pair repulsion with a polar amino acid residue in the BIR3 IBM
binding groove. These results convey that oxidation of the P4 linker may not be an effective strategy to generate more efficient SMAC mimetics, although a broader substrate scope is required to draw more definitive conclusions.

### 3.4.4 Dimerization at the P 4 residue - Compounds 99, 101 and 103

Compound 99 was synthesized from 67 in one step via a Sonigashira cross-coupling using a bivalent 2,6-dibromonapthalene donor (scheme 18). ${ }^{35}$ Analogue 101 was the monocoupling by-product which was testing as well.


Scheme 18. Synthesis of P4 linked bivalent SMAC mimetic 99 and 6-bromo monomer 101.
The negative control 103 was made in one step from 67 through the use of a Glaser coupling (Scheme 19).



Scheme 19. Synthesis of P4 linked negative control 103.

We tested the P4 dimers compounds in-vitro against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for each compound.

Table 8. Properties of P4 linked SMAC mimetics 99, 101 and 103. cLogP and polar surface area (PSA) values were obtained computationally for the hydrochloride salt of each compound using Marvin Sketch v.15.3.30.
Compound


Figure 30. Functional antagonism of SMAC mimetics 99, 101 and 103 against MDA-MB- 231 breast cancer cells in a dose-responsive manner. Data points shown in the figure are averages with standard error bars of duplicate wells in assay plates. Experiments were performed in-triplicate. A staurosporine positive control (black) was used as a reference standard for cell death. Non-linear regression was used to generate a line of best fit which was used to calculate $I C_{50}$ values.

The results obtained for this series of compounds demonstrated that bivalent SMAC mimetic linkage through P4 is an effective strategy for the design of potent inhibitors of IAPs. Statistical analysis showed that 99 had an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value which was not significantly different from any of the other bivalent SMAC mimetics tested, with the exception of 58 (Table 8) (ns P>0.05, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ). Brominated monomer $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ had significant error associated with the $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ cell treatment and produced a broad $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range causing it to be not statistically different from any of the other monomers ( $\mathrm{ns}, \mathrm{P}>0.05,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ). The negative control $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ performed as expected and exhibited limited toxicity towards the MDA-MB-231 cell line. There is a significant difference between the growth inhibition properties of 99 and 103 with the former being 5 orders of magnitude more potent at promoting death in the cell based assay. This result is likely a combination of the lower clogP value of $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ combined with the apparent requirement for a hydrophobic P4 residue as ubiquitously found in other reported SMAC mimetic dimers. The incorporation of bromine at the C6 position of the naphthalene ring in $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ did not provide an advantage over the non-brominated version 84 , however it did significantly raise the clogP of 84 . Given the equal potencies of $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ this suggest that membrane permeability may not have been limiting for the cytotoxicity of $\mathbf{8 4}$.
3.5 Caspase-3/7 Activation - Confirmation of Apoptosis as a Mechanism of Cell Death

Although many of the SMAC mimetics tested were able to promote cell death in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells the means by which they were achieving this remained ambiguous. To understand if our compounds were acting selectively by inducing apoptosis we conducted a caspase- $3 / 7$ activation assay to assess their mechanism of action against the cell line.

Promega's Apo-ONE ${ }^{\circledR}$ caspase-3/7 detection kit was used. It is composed of a lysis buffer and capsase-3/7 substrate which becomes fluorescent green upon cleavage by active caspases. Although this test for apoptosis is not as robust as some other more involved diagnostic tools, it has been used successfully by other research groups to confirm or deny apoptotic activity. ${ }^{36}$ Thus, it was deemed an adequate test to initially confirm that our compounds were effecting cell death via their predicted mechanism of action and not through general cytotoxicity and necrosis.

Compounds $33,34,56,64,91$ and 99 were tested for their ability to promote caspase activation with staurosporine, a known apoptotic agent, as a positive control (Figure 31). ${ }^{37}$


Figure 31. Functional activation ofCaspase-3/7 by the denoted compounds at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MDA-MB231 breast cancer cells assessed using an Apo-ONE assay. Caspase activation was measured by fluorescence at the indicated time points and reported in relative fluorescence units (RFUs). Staurospoine (stau +) represents the positive control for apoptosis while the DMSO vehicle control represents background apoptosis.

The results from Figure 31 suggest that all compounds tested in the Apo-ONE assay were able to promote apoptosis via caspase-3/7 activation. This conclusion is supported by statistical analysis which determined that each data point was significantly higher than the 0.1 M DMSO control (minimum * $\mathrm{P}<0.05,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ). Monomers 33, 34 and 91 all show similar activity ( $\mathrm{ns}, \mathrm{P}>0.05,95 \%$ CI ) while dimers 56, 64 and 99 are statistically different ( ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.001,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ). Compound 99 is the most active among the series ( $* * * \mathrm{P}<0.001,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) while 64 is the least active and statistically similar to staurosporine ( $\mathrm{ns}, \mathrm{P}>0.05,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ). The bis-alkyne linked dimer 56 showed similar caspase-3/7 activation to its propargylic monomer 33 ( $\mathrm{ns}, \mathrm{P}>0.05,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) but was more active than monomers 34 and 91 (** $\mathrm{P}<0.01,95 \% \mathrm{CI})$.

Due to the simplicity of this assay it is difficult to extract meaningful IAP binding affinities from the data due to the numerous variables which could skew the data. Despite this, some inferences may be gathered. The caspase-3/7 activation activity of 64 was well below that of any other compound tested in the Apo-ONE assay, yet in the cell proliferation assay it faired best among the entire library of compounds tested. One explanation for the discrepancy between cytotoxcicity and caspase-3/7 activation may stem from the highly flexible, lipophilic nature of the P2 linker region in 64. Compound 64 has similar caspase-3/7 activity to staurosporine, which is known to disrupt membrane integrity at concentrations above $6.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and promote necrosis. ${ }^{38}$ Perhaps the highly amphipathic hydrochloride salt of 64 is behaving as a pseudosurfactant, disrupting the membranes of cells and increasing the ratio of necrotic to apoptotic cells. This would promote cell death by necrosis before caspase3/7 activation could occur and could help explain the potent cytotoxicity of 64, despite its low caspase activation results. Cellular morphology appears to support this conclusion (Table 10, Appendix I), at the $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ treatment with staurosporine cells appear to show a combination of apoptosis (small clean spheres) and necrosis (amorphous shadowy blobs). This effect is reduced, but still present in 64 and nearly absent in 99 which would co-relate well with its high caspase-3/7 activation profile and presumably selectivity for apoptosis over necrosis.

### 3.6 Results Summary

In total, 20 compounds were synthesized and tested against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells (Table 9). Of these compounds, bivalent SMAC mimetic 64 was the most potent analogue
with a calculated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=460 \mathrm{nM}$. Caspase-3/7 activation was empirically confirmed in a subset of compounds, suggesting that they were selectively promoting cell death via the IAP inhibition and activation of apoptosis.

Table 9. List of compounds tested with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells.
Compound

64


66

79

0.46

99

0.85

14
$>100$

We reported chemical modifications to our general SMAC mimetic scaffold which had variable effects on their activity against MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, and potentially, on IAP binding affinities. Notable results include: (1) The conclusion that unbranched residues/lack of substitution at the P2 position contribute very little to gain or loss of activity in monomeric SMAC mimetics 33-36 (Table 3); (2) P2 linked bivalent SMAC mimetics benefit somewhat from a long, flexible linker (Table 4); (3) P4 1,2,3-triazole rings attached directly to the proline residue of P3 sharply reduce monomer activity (Table 5); (3) Both flexible and rigid non-polar linkers at P4 in monovalent SMAC mimetics promote cell death with equal efficiency (Table 6); (4) Oxidation of the P4 linker is detrimental in both monovalent and divalent SMAC mimetics, although this is not generalizable given the sample size (Table 7); (5) There is a structural/distance requirement for bivalent SMAC mimetics linked at P4, compounds lacking a P4 hydrophobic moiety like 103 display significantly impaired cytotoxicity relative to those that do 99 (Table 8).

### 3.7 Future Work

Using the data obtained from our SAR work a new series of target compounds 104-110 (Figure 32) are proposed which combine some beneficial features reported in compounds 33103 as well as new chemical diversity.



108


109

Figure 32. Proposed targets for future synthesis and testing. $109 \mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{S}$ or N$), \mathrm{R}=$ hydrophobic moiety.
Compound 104 incorporates $\alpha$-branching common in several reported SMAC mimetics while maintaining the $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ character found to be beneficial for activity in our library screen. Analogues

106 and 107 are predicted to help determine the contributions of length and flexibility to P4 linked bivalent SMAC mimetic cytotoxicity. Analogue 106 maintains the rigidity of the P4 linker while extending its reach and incorporating a second hydrophobic P4 moiety. Compound $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ has a $9.04 \AA$ A flexible linker (similar in length to the $9.95 \AA$ linker in 99) to assess if linker rigidity/bulk dictates potency in these analogues. $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ combines the successful $\mathrm{SP}_{2}$ P2 substituent with a shorter linker in an attempt to improve membrane permeability and reduce non-apoptotic cytotoxicity through a reduction in amphipathic character. Analogue 110 incorporates halogenation to the aryl spacer based on the observation that bromination of 101 resulted in an increase of its clogP compared to the non-brominated derivative. Fluorinated 110 is predicted to have augmented membrane permeability relative to 103 , which had a low clogP and may have had difficulty crossing the cellular membrane. In addition, the use of fluorine instead of bromine should maintain the enhancement in hydrophobicity while also providing metabolic stability.
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## Experimental Protocols and Characterization Data

## General Materials and Methods for Chemical Synthesis

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received or purified from existing stock prior to use. All anhydrous reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under a positive pressure of dry argon. Air or moisture-sensitive reagents and anhydrous solvents were transferred with oven-dried syringes or cannulae. All flash chromatography was performed with ZEOprep 60 HYD (230-400 mesh) silica gel. All solution phase reactions were monitored using analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) with 0.2 mm pre-coated silica gel aluminum plates 60 F254 (E. Merck). Components were visualized by illumination with a short-wavelength (254 nm) ultra-violet light and/or staining (ceric ammonium molybdate, potassium permanganate, or Ninhydrin). Alkylamine bases were distilled from calcium hydride directly before use. All solvents used for anhydrous reactions were distilled. tetrahydrofuran, dichloromethane, acetonitrile and toluene were dispensed from a SPBT- Bench Top Solvent Purification System (LC Technology Solutions inc.) and stored under argon. N,Ndimethylformamide (DMF), pyridine and dioxane were purchased from Fisher Scientific and stored over activated $4 \AA ̊$ molecular sieves under argon. Solvents were degassed via 5 cycles of freeze-pump-thaw or sparging with argon for 1 hour. $1 \mathrm{H}(300,400$ or 500 MHz ) and 13C NMR (75, 100 or 125 MHz ) spectra were recorded at ambient temperature (unless otherwise noted) on a Bruker Avance 300, Avance 400, Bruker Avance 500, or Varian Inova 500 spectrometer. Deuterated chloroform (CDCI3), methanol (CD3OD), dimethylsulfoxide((CD3)2SO), acetone $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, benzene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ and water (D2O) were used as NMR solvents, unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield from trimethylsilane (TMS) or the solvent residual peak as an internal standard. Splitting patterns are designated as follows: $s$, singlet; $d$, doublet; $t$, triplet; q, quartet; quint, quintet; m, multiplet and br, broad. Low resolution mass spectrometry (LRMS) was performed on a Micromass Quatro-LC Electrospray spectrometer with a pump rate of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ using electrospray ionization (ESI). Final compounds were purified by HPLC (Varian, PrepStar 218/ProStar 330 DAD) using acetonitrile (Fisher, HPLC grade) and Mili-Q water with 0.1 \% trifluoroacetic acid as modifier. Chromatography was performed using reversed phase C18
analytical (Varian, Polaris C18 $5 \mu \mathrm{~m} 4.16 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and preparative (Varian, Polaris C18 $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ $21.2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) columns. Compounds were lyophilized using a Labconco FreeZone lyophilizer with 0.1 M aqueous HCl prior to testing in cells. ${ }^{1}$

## General Peptide Coupling Procedure

A flame dried flask is flushed with argon and fitted with a magnetic stir bar. Amino acid and HCTU are transferred to the flask and suspended in dry DMF to a concentration of 0.1 M ; the mixture is left to stir for 45 minutes. In a separate dry flask, the amine coupling partner is dissolved in dry DMF and DIPEA is added. The amine coupling partner is transferred via cannula to the initial flask and allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. Solvent is removed under reduced pressure until a thick oil results, which is re-suspended in methylene chloride and allowed to sit for 30 minutes. A white precipitate forms which is removed by filtration and the filtrate is transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( $3 x$ ), Water ( 1 x ) and brine ( 1 x ). Volatiles are dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in-vacuo to provide a crude product which is subsequently purified by flash chromatography.

## General Boc-deprotection Procedure

The Boc protected amino acid is placed in a round bottom flask with stir bar. Anhydrous methanolic hydrochloric acid (titrated to 5 N ) or a 1:1 mixture of trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane (run at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) are added and the mixture is stirred until starting material consumption by TLC. Solvent is removed under a stream of air or by rotary evaporation.

## General Methods and Materials for Cell Based Viability Assays

## General Cell Culture

MDA-MB-231 cells, from the mammary gland of a human breast, were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection as ATCC number HTB-26. Cells were grown in Eagle's Medium (MEM) containing 10\% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Fisher, 361015856, supplier number SH30397-3C), 1\% penicillin-streptomycin (Sigma, P4333) in $75 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ T-75 flasks (Fisher,

10-126-37) and maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. All cells were removed from the plates using a trypsin-EDTA solution (Sigma, T4174) for use in experiments.

## AlamarBlue ${ }^{\circledR}$ Viability Assay

Sterile polycarbonate 96 -well flat-bottom culture plates (Costar 3596) were used in the Alamar Blue assay. To minimize edge-related anomalies, only the inner wells of each plate were inoculated with medium containing 10,000 cells/well; the remaining perimeter wells contained $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of media. The plates were placed in a humidity-controlled incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and cells were allowed to adhere over 24 hours. The supernatant was aspirated out carefully and $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solution of the compound as an HCl salt in media containing 0.1 M DMSO was added to the appropriate cell. Cells were allowed to incubate with the added compounds for 48 hours before a $30 \mu$ l of a $0.01 \%$ resazurin solution was added to each compound-cell containing well. The 96 -well plates were incubated as mentioned above for an additional 22 hours. Fluorescence measurements were made on a SpectraMax Absorbance Micro plate reader, set at 560 nm excitation and 590 nm emission. Readings from blank wells with media were subtracted from each test well. Wells containing only $0.01 \%$ resazurin with media served as the positive control, wells containing cells and media without added compounds served as the negative control; cell viability was assessed relative to these controls. All experiments were performed in-triplicate.

```
Apo-ONE® Homogeneous Caspase-3/7 Assay
Opaque, sterile polycarbonate 96-well flat-bottom culture plates (Corning \({ }^{\circledR}\) Costar \({ }^{\circledR} 3596\) )
``` were used in the Apo-ONE \({ }^{\circledR}\) assay. To minimize edge-related anomalies, only the inner wells of each plate were inoculated with medium containing 10,000 cells/well; the remaining perimeter wells contained \(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}\) of media. The plates were placed in a humidity-controlled incubator at 37 \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) with \(5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\) and cells were allowed to reach confluence over 48 hours. The supernatant was aspirated out carefully and \(100 \mu\) l solution of the compound in media containing 0.1 M DMSO was added to the appropriate cell; cells were incubated for an additional 48 hours. The substrate/buffer solution was prepared according to the procedure supplied by Promega \({ }^{\circledR}\) and \(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}\) was added to each cell-containing well for a final cell volume of \(200 \mu \mathrm{~L}\). The 96 -well plate was covered and placed on a plate shaker at ambient temperature, fluorescence measurements
were taken at \(4,8,12,24\) and 48 hour time points using a SpectraMax Absorbance Micro plate reader, set at 485 nm excitation and 530 nm emission.

\section*{Data Plotting and \(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\) Calculations}

Cell viability data was plotted using GraphPad Prism \({ }^{\circledR}\) v. 6.05 for Windows, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com. Non-linear regression was used to obtain a line of best fit from which \(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\) data was calculated. Apo-ONE caspase-3/7 activation data was plotted using Microsoft Excel 2013.

\section*{Statistical Analysis}

One-way ANOVA with Turkey's post test was performed using GraphPad InStat version 3.10 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA, www.graphpad.com. A 95\% confidence interval was applied, compared data sets with \(P\) values greater than 0.05 were considered not significantly different while P values below 0.05 were considered more significantly different than expected by chance.

Cryopreservation of Cell line
MDA-MB-231 cells, from the mammary gland of a human breast, were cultured and trypsinized as described above. Cells were diluted with MEM and counted using a haemocytometer (VWR, 15170-172). Aliquots containing \(5 \times 10^{6}\) cells were added to 15 mL centrifuge tubes and cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 2000 rpm . The supernatant was removed and the cells were re-suspended in 1.5 mL MEM. Cell suspensions were transferred to 2 mL cryogenic vials (VWR, CA16001-102) and placed in a Mr. Frostyll freezing container (Nalgene, 5100-0001, Sigma, C1562). The container was placed in an ultra-cold freezer \(\left(-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\) for 24 h . Samples were transferred to a dewar containing liquid nitrogen ( \(-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) ) for long term storage. To initiate cell culture, samples were rapidly thawed in a \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) water bath with gentle agitation. Thawed samples were transferred to 15 mL centrifuge tubes (Diamed, STK3217P) and slowly diluted to 3 mL by drop wise addition of Eagle's MEM (Sigma, M4655) cell culture medium. After 3 min samples were further diluted to 9 mL . Cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 2000 rpm . The supernatant was decanted and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL MEM and transferred to a growth plate.

\section*{Experimental Protocols and Characterization Data for Chemical Compounds}

tert-butyl (2S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate [38]. 38 was prepared according to the prep by Zhao. \({ }^{2}\) A solution of sodium borohydride ( \(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 44.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.60\) equiv.) in isopropyl acetate ( 100 mL ) was cooled to \(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) in a 250 mL round bottom flask. L-Boc-Pro-OH \(37(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 27.9\) mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added to the solution and allowed to stir for 1 hour. A dropping funnel was fitted to the reaction flask and borontriflouride diethyletherate \((7.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 56.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.04\) equiv.) was added dropwise over 1.5 hours; the mixture was then allowed to stir for an additional 2 hours. The reaction was gradually quenched using 200 mL 0.5 N NaOH over 30 minutes before the mixture was heated to \(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) and stirred vigorously for 10 min to afford a biphasic solution. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with 60 mL isopropyl acetate, volatiles were combined and washed with 100 mL saturated aqueous sodium chloride. The organic layer was concentrated azeotropically to afford the alcohol 38 as a clear, colourless oil which was recrystalized from heptane ( \(5.41 \mathrm{~g} 26.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%\) yield). Spectral data consistent with previously reported values. \({ }^{3}\)
\(R_{f}=0.34\) (silica gel, 1:1 ethyl acetate/hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 3.95\) (br, OH), 3.64 (dd, J = 11.0, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J = 11.0, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dt, J = 11.0, \(6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 3.31 (dt, J = \(10.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (100 \(\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.7,80.2,67.3,60.0,47.5,28.8,28.5,24.0 ;\) ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 224.1\), Found: 224.0.


Tert-butyl (4S)-4-methyl-5-oxo-1,3-oxazolidine-3-carboxylate [46a]. Prepared according to the procedure reported by Paleo. \({ }^{4}\) Boc protected alanine 46 ( \(12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 63.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was added to a 1 L round bottom flask and dissolved in 630 mL of toluene. Para-formaldehyde (2.47 \(\mathrm{g}, 82.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.30\) equiv.) and \(p\)-toluenesulfonic acid ( \(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 3.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05\) equiv.) were added while stirring and the flask was fitted with a Dean-Stark trap and condenser. The mixture was heated to \(130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) and allowed to stir for 3 hours, until the production of water ceased. The flask contents were cooled to room temperature and transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( \(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}\) ) and brine ( 200 mL ); the organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give an oil. The crude product was suspended in heptanes ( 65 mL ) and heated to 40 \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\), upon cooling the title compound 46 b crystalized from solution as long, spiny crystals ( 7.02 g , 35.1 mmol, \(55 \%\) yield). Characterization consistent with the reported literature. \({ }^{4}\)
(2S)-2-\{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl](methyl)amino\}propanoic acid [46]. Prepared according to the procedure reported by Perrone. \({ }^{5}\) A round bottom flask was charged with 46b ( \(6.28 \mathrm{~g}, 31.2 \mathrm{mmol}\), 1.00 equiv.) and equipped with a stir bar. A \(1: 1\) mixture of TFA/DCM was added at \(0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) followed by slow addition of triethylsilane ( \(15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 93.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.). The mixture was stirred until gas evolution ceased and solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator. The white residue was re-suspended in 100 mL anhydrous THF and triethylamine ( \(9.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 67.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.15\) equiv.) was added. Boc anhydride ( \(6.84 \mathrm{~g}, 31.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was dissolved in 50 mL THF and added to a dropping funnel. The reaction mixture was cooled to \(0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) and the Boc anhydride was added dropwise over an hour, followed by overnight stirring at room temperature. The mixture was heated to \(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 3 hours to destroy excess Boc anhydride before solvent was removed invacuo. The remaining residue was suspended in ethyl acetate \((200 \mathrm{~mL})\) and extracted with water \((2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL})\). The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to afford
a crude white solid, the product was recrystallized from n-heptane to afford 46 (5.12 g, 25.2 mmol ) as spiny white crystals in \(80 \%\) yield over 2 steps. Spectra consistent with the reported literature. \({ }^{6}\)

tert-butyl (2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate [39]. A flame dried round bottomed flask was charged with 2-napthalenethiol ( \(2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.) under an atmosphere of argon. Anhydrous toluene ( 40 mL ) was added followed by diisopropyl azidodicarboxylate ( \(2.92 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.). Tributylphosphine ( \(4.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}\), 4.00 equiv.) was then added dropwise while stirring resulting in the production of heat; after 10 minutes the initially clear yellow solution became an orange slurry. To this, \(38(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}\), 1.00 equiv.) was cannulated which caused the reaction mixture to quickly regain pellucidity. After an additional 5 minutes of stirring the reaction was diluted with diethyl ether and transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic phase was washed with \(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})\) and brine ( \(1 \times 75\) mL ) then dried using sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product as a yellow oil. Purification by flash chromatography (silica gel, gradient 7-15 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes) provided the title compound 39 as a colourless oil ( \(1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 4.72 \mathrm{mmol}\), \(98 \%\) yield). Spectra matched published values. \({ }^{7}\)
\(\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5\) (silica gel, \(15 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\) NMR ( \(\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.90\) (brs, 1 H ), 7.73\(7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), \(3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.5,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( 100 MHz , \(\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.5,133.9,133.8,131.9,128.5,127.8,127.2,126.6,125.7,79.6,56.7,47.0,37.3,30.0\), 28.7, 23.3; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 366.1\), Found: 366.0.

tert-butyl \(\mathrm{N}-[(2 \mathrm{~S})-1-[(2 S)-2-[(n a p h t h a l e n-2-y l s u l f a n y l) m e t h y l] p y r r o l i d i n-1-y l]-1-o x o p e n t-4-y n-\) 2-yl]carbamate [43a]. Refer to general peptide coupling procedure. Reagents: L-Boc-Pra-OH ( \(0.457 \mathrm{~g}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.), HCTU ( \(0.887 \mathrm{~g}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.) 41 ( \(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.715\) mmol, 1.00 equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.250 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.). Purified via flash chromatography (silica gel, \(25 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+1 \%\) triethylamine) to give the title compound 43a in 93 \% yield ( \(0.292 \mathrm{~g}, 0.666 \mathrm{mmol}\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.31\) (silica gel, 25 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes +1 \% triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)\) \(\delta 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.1,6.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.97\) (brs, 1H), \(4.62(q, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.6,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-3.00(\mathrm{~m}\), 2 H ), 2.62 (ddd, \(J=2.4,7.3,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75\) ( \(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 1.55-1.62 (m, 1H), 1.40(s, 9H), 1.33-1.43 (m, 1H), 1.18-1.29 (m, 1H), 1.03-1.10 ( \(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\) ) \(\delta 169.3,155.4,134.8,134.4,131.9,128.7,128.0,127.7,126.8\), 126.4, 125.6, 125.2, 79.6, 79.4, 71.3, 56.2, 51.0, 47.2, 33.8, 28.7, 28.4, 23.7, 23.4; ESI-LRMS m/z calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 461.2, Found: 461.2.

tert-butyl \(\quad \mathrm{N}\)-methyl- \(\mathrm{N}-[(1 \mathrm{~S})-1-\{[(2 \mathrm{~S})-1-[(2 \mathrm{~S})-2-[(\) naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopent-4-yn-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [47a]. Refer to general peptide coupling procedure. Reagents: Boc-N-Me-Ala-OH 46 ( \(0.598 \mathrm{~g}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.50\) equiv.) HCTU ( \(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 2.94\) \(\mathrm{mmol}, 3.50\) equiv.), 43b ( \(0.315 \mathrm{~g}, 0.840 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.292 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\)
equiv.). Purified via flash chromatography (silica gel, 50 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+2 \%\) triethylamine) to give the title compound 47a in \(98 \%\) yield ( \(0.434 \mathrm{~g}, 0.829 \mathrm{mmol}\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.69\) (silica gel, \(5 \%\) methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 7.96\) (d, \(\mathrm{J}=\) \(1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.45(\mathrm{~m}\), \(2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 3.56-3.64 (m, 2H), 2.78 (s, 3H), 2.75 (dd, J = 9.9, \(13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 2.56-2.63 (m, 2H), 1.85-2.03 (m, \(5 \mathrm{H})\), \(1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.1,168.6,156.3,133.9\), 133.4, 131.6, 128.4, 127.7, 127.2, 126.5, 126.4, 125.7, 125.5, 80.7, 78.7, 71.1, 56.9, 49.3, 47.7, 46.0, \(34.3,30.1,28.8,28.4,24.0,22.8,13.6\); ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 524.3\), Found: 524.1.
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopent-4-yn-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [33]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR (500 MHz, d-DMSO) \(\delta 9.01\) (d, J = \(8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\), 1H), 4.20 (brs, 1H), 3.86 (brs, 1H), 3.65-3.71 (m, 1H), 3.51-3.56 (m, 2H), \(2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), ~ 2.84-2.90(\mathrm{~m}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( 75 MHz, d-DMSO) \(\delta\) 168.5, 167.9, 133.7, 133.5, 131.0, 128.4, 127.7, 126.7, 126.6, 125.9, 125.5, 124.5, 80.0, \(73.4,56.3,55.9,50.0,46.9,33.0,30.8,28.3,23.4,21.4,15.8\); ESI-HRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 424.2059\), Found: 424.2059.

tert-butyl N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopent-4-en-2-yl]carbamate [44a]. See general peptide coupling procedure. Reagents: 41 ( \(0.128 \mathrm{~g}, 0.457\) mmol, 1.00 equiv.), Boc-L-allylglycine ( 0.526 g, 2.44 mmol, 5.34 equiv.), HCTU ( \(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 2.44 \mathrm{~g}, 5.34\)
equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.239 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.). Purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, \(15 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}\) ) to give 44 a ( \(0.175 \mathrm{~g}, 0.397 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%\) yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.3\) (silica gel, \(15 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+1 \%\) acetic acid); \({ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\) \(7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45\) (ddd, \(J=8.1,6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76\) (dddd, \(J=7.2,10.1,12.2,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72\) ( \(q, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.37-4.42 (m, 1H), 3.51-3.68 (m, 3H), \(2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{dt}, J\) \(=6.8,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( 125 \(\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 170.6,155.4,134.0,133.5,132.8,131.6,128.5,127.7,127.3,126.6,126.5,125.9\), 125.6, 118.8, \(79.7,56.8,51.7,47.6,46.0,37.6,34.7,28.8,28.4,24.2,21.4\); ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}\): 479.1, Found: 479.1.

\section*{(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methylN-methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-} ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopent-4-en-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [48a]. See general peptide coupling procedure. Reagents: 44 b ( \(0.175 \mathrm{~g}, 0.464 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), Fmoc- N -Me-Ala-OH ( \(0.755 \mathrm{~g}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.00\) equiv.), HCTU ( \(0.961 \mathrm{~g}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.00\) equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.243 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.). Purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, \(1: 1\) ether/hexanes \(+2 \%\) acetic acid) to give 48a ( \(0.167 \mathrm{~g}, 0.258 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%\) yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.37\) (silica gel, \(60 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+1 \%\) acetic acid; \({ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\) 7.02-7.93 (m, 17H), 5.70 (dddd, J = 7.2, 8.6, 10.1, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 4.68-5.08 (m, 4H), 4.38-4.47 (m, \(2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-\) \(2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 175.4,170.2\), 144.0, 141.4, 138.0, 134.0, 131.7, 129.1, 128.6, 128.3, 127.8, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 127.1, 126.6, \(126.5,125.9,125.6,125.4,125.1,120.1,68.0,57.0,50.4,47.8,47.4,37.0,34.7,28.8,24.2,21.6\), 20.8 .
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopent-4-en-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [34]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz , d-DMSO) 8 8.78-8.94 \((\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.79\) (dddd, \(J=7.2,8.8,10.3,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.3,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.87(\mathrm{~m}\), \(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94\) (dd, J = 10.0, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 1.82-2.35 (m, 5H), 1.35 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( 75 MHz , d-DMSO) \(\delta 169.1,168.4,133.8,133.6,133.6,131.0,128.4,127.7,126.8,126.7,126.0,125.6\), 124.5, 118.3, 56.2, 56.0, 50.8, 46.9, 35.7, 33.3, 30.8, 28.4, 23.6, 15.8; ESI-HRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 426.2215\), Found: 426.2209.

(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [35]. Alkyne 33 ( \(0.0136 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0296 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was added to a round bottom flask in methanol ( 0.1 M ). Palladium on activated carbon ( \(0.0015 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \% / \mathrm{wt}\) ) was added before the flask was sealed and fitted with a balloon containing hydrogen. After stirring at room temperature overnight the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite to give 35 in \(93 \%\) yield ( \(0.0127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0275 \mathrm{mmol}\) ).
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 8.54-8.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.72(\mathrm{~m}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8\), \(16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})\), \(1.84-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\), 3H); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}\)-DMSO) \(\delta 170.0,157.7,133.9,133.5,131.0,128.4,127.7,126.8,126.7\), 126.0, 125.5, \(124.5,56.2,56.0,50.8,46.9,33.5,33.3,30.8,28.3,23.7,18.5,15.7,13.6\); ESI-HRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\): 428.2372, Found: 428.2374.

tert-butyl-N-\{2-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-2-
oxoethyl\}carbamate [45a]. See general peptide coupling procedure. Reagents: Boc-L-glycine ( \(0.576 \mathrm{~g}, 3.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.00\) equiv.), HCTU was added ( \(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 3.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.00\) equiv.), 41 ( 0.200 g , \(0.822 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.286 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.). Flash chromatography (silica gel, \(35 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+1 \%\) triethylamine) yielded the coupling product 45a as a colourless crystalline solid ( \(0.289 \mathrm{~g}, 0.722 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%\) yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.42\) (silica gel, 40 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes +1 \% triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)\) \(\delta 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}\) \(=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.3\) \(\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( 75 MHz , \(\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)\) ( 167.2, 156.0, 134.8, 134.3, 131.9, 128.7, 128.6, 127.7, 126.9, 126.3, 125.6, 125.1, 79.1, 56.7, 45.4, 43.6, 33.6, 28.5, 28.4, 23.3; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 423.2\), Found: 423.2.
tert-butyl N -methyl-N-[(1S)-1-(\{2-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-2oxoethyl\}carbamoyl)ethyl]carbamate [49a]. Refer to general peptide coupling protocol. Reagents: 15b ( \(0.142 \mathrm{~g}, 0.422 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), 45b ( \(0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.50\) equiv.), HCTU ( \(0.610 \mathrm{~g}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.50\) equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.147 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.843 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.). Purified via flash chromatography (silica gel, 50 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes + 2 \% triethylamine) to give 49a (0.197 g, \(0.406 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%\) yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.57\) (silica gel, \(4 \%\) methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98\) (d, \(\mathrm{J}=\) \(1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.83(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38\) (dd, J= \(7.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-\) \(3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.4,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}\)
\(=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\) ) \(\delta 171.6,166.9,156.6,134.8,134.3,132.0,128.8,128.6\), 127.6, 126.9, 126.4, 125.6, 125.3, 80.2, 72.8, 61.9, 56.8, 45.6, 42.5, 33.7, 30.1, 28.5, 28.5, 23.4, 14.2; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 508.2 Found: 508.0.
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-\{2-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-2oxoethyl\}propanamide hydrochloride [36]. (Characterized as the free amine) \({ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 500 MHz , d-DMSO) \(\delta 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})\), 7.44 (ddd, \(J=1.3,6.9,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.18-4.20 (m, 1H), 3.95 (dd, \(J=5.6,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 3.76 (dd, J = \(4.9,17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.07\) (m, 5H), 1.14 (d, J = \(7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\) ); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta\) 174.7, 167.4, 133.9, 133.6, 130.9, \(128.3,127.6,126.7,126.6,125.8,125.4,124.4,59.5,56.3,45.7,41.1,34.5,33.1,28.2,23.2,19.1\);

ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 386.2\) Found: 386.0.
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tert-butyl N-[2-(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)ethyl]carbamate [52a]. 52a was prepared according to a modified procedure by Skarzewski. \({ }^{8}\) An on oven dried thick walled glass tube was charged with N-Boc-ethanolamine 51 ( \(0.202 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), 2-napthyl disulfide ( \(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.76 \mathrm{mmol}\), 3.00 equiv.) and anhydrous THF ( 7.5 mL ). Freshly distilled \(n\)-tributylphosphine ( \(1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.01\) \(\mathrm{mmol}, 4.00\) equiv.) was added before the tube was sealed and heated to \(70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) while stirring for 3 days. The reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether, transferred to a separatory funnel and washed with \(2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})\) and brine. The organic phase was dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and solvent was removed prior to flash chromatography (silica gel, \(10 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes). The product 52a was isolated in \(85 \%\) yield as a colourless oil ( \(0.325 \mathrm{~g}, 1.07\) mmol ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.55\) (silica gel, \(20 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})\), \(3.13(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta\) 155.8, 133.8, 132.7, 131.9, 128.7, 127.7, 127.6, 127.2, 126.7, 125.9, 79.5, 39.8, 34.0, 28.4; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 302.1\), Found: 302.0.
tert-butyl \(\quad \mathrm{N}-[(1 \mathrm{~S})-1-\{[2-(\) naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)ethyl]carbamoyl\}but-3-yn-1-yl]carbamate [53a]. Refer to general peptide coupling protocol. Reagents: 52b (0.258 g, \(1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), Boc-L-Propargylglycine ( \(0.808 \mathrm{~g}, 3.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.), HCTU ( \(1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 3.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.440 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.). Purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, \(30 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+3 \%\) triethylamine), isolated 53a in \(77 \%\) yield as a colourless solid ( \(0.389 \mathrm{~g}, 0.977 \mathrm{mmol}\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.41\) (silica gel, \(30 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(\left.+1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83\) ( \(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 7.75-7.80 (m, 3H), 7.43-7.50 (m, 3H), \(6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=\) \(2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.6,5.4,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58\) (ddd, J = 2.6, 6.7, \(16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), \(2.05(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\) \(170.4,155.5,133.8,132.3,132.1,128.8,128.2,127.8,127.7,127.3,126.8,126.1,80.7,79.5,71.8\), 53.0, 38.7, 33.5, 28.4, 22.6; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\): 397.2, Found: 397.1.

tert-butylN-methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(1S)-1-\{[2-(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)ethyl]carbamoyl\}but-3-yn-
1-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [54]. Refer to general peptide coupling protocol. Reagents: 53b ( \(0.255 \mathrm{~g}, 0.762 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), 46 ( \(0.619 \mathrm{~g}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.00\) equiv.), HCTU ( \(1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol}\), 4.00 equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.270 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.). Column chromatography (silica gel, \(40 \%\)
ethyl acetate in hexanes + \(1 \%\) triethylamine) gave the title compound 54 ( \(0.335 \mathrm{~g}, 0.693 \mathrm{mmol}\), \(91 \%\) yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.25\) (silica gel, \(40 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+1 \%\) triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 500 MHz , \(\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-\) \(7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74\) (ddd, J \(=2.7,5.7,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67\) (ddd, \(J=2.7,6.9,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), \(1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (75 MHz, \(\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 172.1,170.8,156.5,135.0\), 134.4, 132.7, 129.4, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 127.5, 127.1, 126.6, 80.8, 80.5, 72.4, 55.3, 52.6, 39.7, 38.8, 32.7, 38.6, 22.7, 14.3; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 506.2, Found: 506.2.

\section*{(2S)-2-[(2S)-2-(methylamino)propanamido]-N-[2-(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)ethyl]pent-4-} ynamide hydrochloride [50]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 9.18\) (brs, 2H), 9.00 (d, J = 8.2 Hz , \(1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45\) (ddd, \(J=5.3,8.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63\) (ddd, \(J=2.6,5.7,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 2.55 (ddd, \(J=2.6,8.2,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), \(2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\), 3H); \({ }^{13}\) C NMR (100 MHz, d-DMSO) \(\delta 169.2,168.5,133.5,133.3,131.1,128.4,127.6,126.9,126.7\), 126.5, 125.6, 125.2, 80.4, 73.2, 56.1, 51.9, 38.2, 31.1, 30.7, 22.0, 15.8; ESI-HRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 384.1746\), Found: 384.1736.

tert-butyl \(\mathrm{N}-[(1 \mathrm{~S})-1-\{[(2 S, 9 \mathrm{~S})-9-[(2 S)-2-\{[(\) tert-butoxy)carbonyl](methyl)amino\}propanamido]-1,10-bis[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1,10-dioxodeca-4,6-diyn-2-
yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-N-methylcarbamate [55]. 55 was prepared using a modified version of the procedure described by Hennessy. \({ }^{9}\) Tripeptide 47a ( \(0.230 \mathrm{~g}, 0.439 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was dissolved in 10 mL of acetonitrile in a 50 mL round bottom flask fitted with a condenser. Copper(II)acetate ( \(0.614 \mathrm{~g}, 3.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.00\) equiv.) was added and the mixture was stirred at 70 \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 1 hour. The acetonitrile was stripped off and the resulting green solid was re-suspended in ethyl acetate and transferred to a separatory funnel with \(10 \%\) aqueous ammonium hydroxide ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was collected and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate \((3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})\) until the extracts were clear. The combined organic phases were washed with water ( 100 mL ) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride ( 75 mL ) then dried using sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in-vacuo to yield a solid yellow residue. Purification through a plug of silica (silica gel, \(10 \%\) methanol in dichloromethane) gave the bis-alkyne product 55 ( 0.207 g , 0.198 mmol ) in 90 \% yield.
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43\) (silica gel, 4 \% methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\) ) \(\delta 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 7.81 (d, \(8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), \(7.52-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 4.77 (dd, \(J=6.5,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 2.86-3.00 (m, 2H), \(2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47\) (dd, J = 5.3, 17.0 Hz, 1H), 1.64-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 9H), 1.41-1.50 (m, 3H), 1.26 (d, J = 7.2 Hz , \(3 H), 1.12-1.18(m, 1 H)\).
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S,9S)-9-[(2S)-2-(methylamino)propanamido]-1,10-bis[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1,10-dioxodeca-4,6-diyn-2-yl]propanamide dihydrochloride [56].
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 9.09\) (d, \(J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 8.88 (brs, 2 H ), 8.07 ( \(\mathrm{d} J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 7.79\(7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})\), 2.61\(2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d} J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 168.5,167.5\), \(133.7,133.5,131.0,128.4,127.7,126.7,126.7,125.9,125.5,124.4,74.4,66.5,56.3,56.0,49.8\), 46.9, 33.0, 30.8, 28.3, 23.4, 22.0, 15.8; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 845.4\), Found: 845.0 .

tert-butyl \(\mathrm{N}-[(1 \mathrm{~S})-1-\{[(1 \mathrm{~S}, 8 \mathrm{~S})-8-[(2 \mathrm{~S})-2-\{[(\) tert-butoxy)carbonyl](methyl)amino\}propanamido]-1,8-bis(\{[2-(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)ethyl]carbamoyl\})octa-3,5-diyn-1-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-Nmethylcarbamate [57]. Compound 57 was prepared in accordance with the general procedure reported by Hennessey. \({ }^{9}\) A round bottom flask was equipped with a stir bar and charged with alkyne 54 ( \(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.310 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) dissolved in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ). Copper(II) acetate ( \(0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 0.360 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.15\) equiv.) and pyridine ( \(0.150 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.00\) equiv.) were added and the reaction was allowed to stir at \(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight. The flask contents were transferred to a separatory funnel, diluted with ethyl acetate ( 60 mL ) and washed with a 40 mL volume of \(50 \%\) aqueous ammonium hydroxide. The aqueous phase was back-extracted with ethyl acetate ( 2 x 25 mL ) and the combined organic extracts were dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in-vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica gel, \(30 \rightarrow 60 \%\) acetone in toluene) of the crude product gave 57 ( \(0.131 \mathrm{~g}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%\) yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.32\) (silica gel, 60 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes + 1\% triethylamine); \({ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz , dDMSO) \(\delta 8.28\) (brs, 1H), 7.94 (brs, 1H), 7.81-7.89 (m, 4H), 7.51 (ddd, J = 1.3, 6.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.44\(7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1\) \(\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{brs}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (100 MHz, d-DMSO) \(\delta\) 177.1, 170.0, 155.7, 133.9, 133.7, 131.6, 128.9, 128.1, 127.3, 127.2, 127.0, 126.1, 125.8, \(79.6,75.1,67.1,58.7,51.7,38.8,31.7,30.5,28.5,22.9,15.6 ;\) ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}\): 1003.4, Found: 1003.4.
(2S,9S)-2,9-bis[(2S)-2-(methylamino)propanamido]-N, \(\mathrm{N}^{\prime}\)-bis[2-(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)ethyl]deca-4,6-diynediamide dihydrochloride [58]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta\)
\(9.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73-9.20(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.53\) \((\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2\), \(17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (100 MHz, d-DMSO) \(\delta 168.9,168.6,133.5,133.3,131.1,128.5,127.7,126.9,126.8,126.5,125.7\), 125.3, 74.5, 66.7, 56.1, 51.4, 38.2, 31.2, 30.8, 22.7, 15.8; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 765.3\), Found: 765.0.

benzyl (2S)-2-\{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]amino\}pent-4-ynoate [59]. A flame dried flask fitted with a stir bar was charged with L-Boc-Pra-OH 58 ( \(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.469 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), Cesium carbonate ( \(0.168 \mathrm{~g}, 0.516 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10\) equiv.) and tetrabutylamonium iodide ( \(0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0469 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10\) equiv.). The flask contents were dried under vacuum before being dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 3 mL ). Freshly distilled benzyl bromide ( \(0.110 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.938 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.) was added via syringe and the mixture was stirred at room temperature until complete by TLC (silica gel, 20 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes). The reaction was poured into a separatory funnel containing 30 mL saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate and extracted with diethyl ether ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water ( \(4 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}\) ), brine ( 30 mL ), dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting colourless oil was pure enough to carry over to the next step, purification via flash chromatography was performed for characterization purposes (silica gel, \(20 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes) to provide the title compound 59 in \(98 \%\) yield \((0.140 \mathrm{~g}, 0.462 \mathrm{mmol})\). Spectral data was consistent with the reported literature. \({ }^{10}\)
\(R_{f}=0.33\) (silica gel, \(20 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.40\) (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (ddd, J = 4.2, 4.6, 8.2 Hz, \(1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75\) (ddd, \(J=2.3,4.2,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 2.72 (ddd, \(J=2.3,4.6,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\),

1H), 1.44 (s, 9H); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 170.6,155.1,135.3,128.6,128.5,128.3,80.1,78.6\), 71.8, 67.4, 52.1, 28.3, 22.8; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 326.1, Found: 326.0.


1,10-dibenzyl (2S,9S)-2,9-bis(\{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]amino\})deca-4,6-diynedioate [60]. Bisalkyne 60 was prepared using a modified version of the procedure reported by Hennessey. \({ }^{9}\) A round bottom flask was equipped with a stir bar and charged with alkyne 59 ( \(0.140 \mathrm{~g}, 0.462\) \(\mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) dissolved in acetonitrile ( 3 mL ). Copper(II) acetate ( \(0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.531 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.15\) equiv.) and pyridine ( \(22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.277 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.00\) equiv.) were added and the reaction was allowed to stir at \(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight. The flask contents were transferred to a separatory funnel, diluted with ethyl acetate ( 60 mL ) and washed with a 40 mL volume of \(50 \%\) aqueous ammonium hydroxide. The aqueous phase was back-extracted with ethyl acetate ( \(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}\) ) and the combined organic extracts were dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in-vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica gel, \(30 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes) gave the bis-alkyne dimer 60 ( 0.122 g , 0.203 mmol ) in 88 \% yield.
\(R_{f}=0.41\) (silica gel, \(30 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 7.36\) (s, 5 H ), 5.35 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, J = \(12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.48-4.51 (m, 1H), 2.86 (dd, J \(=3.9,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.6,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.3\), 155.1, 135.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 80.4, 72.6, 68.0, 67.7, 52.1, 28.4, 23.8; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 627.3\), Found: 627.1.


1,10-dibenzyl (2S,9S)-2,9-bis(\{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]amino\})decanedioate [61]. A flask containing dimer 60 ( \(0.122 \mathrm{~g}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was diluted with 3 mL of methanol. Palladium \(5 \% /\) wt on activated carbon ( \(0.013 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \% / \mathrm{wt}\) ) was added and the reaction vessel was sealed under a positive pressure of hydrogen. Stirring for 30 minutes afforded the desired transformation and upon filtration through a pad of celite, 61 ( \(0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 0.197 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%\) yield) was isolated as a pure colourless flask residue.
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.09\) (silica gel, \(2 \%\) methanol in dichloromethane \(+1 \%\) acetic acid); \({ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\) \(\delta 4.05\) ( \(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 1.75-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.58-1.67 (m, 1H), 1.28-1.44 (m, 13H); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (100 MHz, \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta\) 176.5, 158.1, 80.4, 54.9, 32.8, 30.0, 28.7, 26.8; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 455.2\), Found: 455.1.


61


62a
tert-butylN-[(2S,9S)-9-\{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]amino\}-1,10-bis[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1,10-dioxodecan-2-yl]carbamate [62a]. Refer to general peptide coupling procedure. Reagents: 61 ( \(0.160 \mathrm{~g}, 0.370 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), HCTU ( 0.153 g , \(0.370 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), 41 ( \(0.310 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00\) equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.387 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.00\)
equiv.). Purified via flash chromatography (silica gel, \(2 \%\) methanol in dichloromethane \(+1 \%\) acetic acid) to give the title compound 62a in \(34 \%\) yield ( \(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.69\) (silica gel, 4 \% methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\) ) \(\delta 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 7.75 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.54-7.56 (m, 3H), 7.18-7.26 (m, 2H), \(5.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.50(\mathrm{~m}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74\) (dd, J = 9.5, 13.4 Hz, 1H), 1.60-1.72 (m, 2H), 1.37-1.51 (m, 14H), 1.14-1.23 (m, 3H); \({ }^{13}\) C NMR (100 \(\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.7,155.7,134.0,133.6,131.7,128.6,127.8,127.3,126.7,126.6,126.0,125.6\), 79.6, 56.8, 52.1, 47.6, 34.9, 33.4, 29.5, 28.9, 28.5, 25.5, 24.3; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 905.4\), Found: 905.1.

(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S,9S)-9-[(2S)-2-(methylamino)propanamido]-1,10-bis[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1,10-dioxodecan-2-yl]propanamide dihydrochloride [63]. Refer to general peptide coupling. Reagents: N-methyl-alanine 46 (0.100 \(\mathrm{g}, 0.492 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.00\) equiv.), HCTU ( \(0.204 \mathrm{~g}, 0.492 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.00\) equiv.), 62 b ( \(0.062 \mathrm{~g}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}\), 1.00 equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.028 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 2.00\) equiv.) The crude product 63 was treated with 5 N HCl to provide 64 which was free-based to the diamine using triethylamine and purified by HPLC (gradient, 50-90 \% acetonitrile in water \(+0.1 \%\) TFA, \(20 \rightarrow 25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 40 \mathrm{~min}\) ). Subsequent treatment of the pure material with methanolic HCl gave 64 in \(69 \%\) isolated yield ( \(0.052 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0563\) mmol ).
\({ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 8.82-9.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79\) \((\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\),
\(3.54-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.1,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-\) \(2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-0.36(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 170.0,168.4,133.8,133.5,130.9\), \(128.3,127.6,126.7,126.6,125.9,125.4,124.5,55.6,51.0,46.9,33.5,31.1,30.7,30.6,28.3,25.2\), 23.6, 15.9, 15.8; ESI-HRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 875.4328 , Found: 875.4292.

tert-butylN-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-5-\{4-[(4S)-4-[(2S)-2-\{[(tert-
butoxy)carbonyl](methyl)amino\}65propanamido]-5-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-
ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-5-oxopent-1-yn-1-yl]phenyl\}-1-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopent-4-yn-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-N-methylcarbamate [65]. 65 was prepared using a modified version of the procedure described by Peyrottes. \({ }^{11} \mathrm{~A}\) flame dried round bottom flask was charged with 1,4-diiodobenzene ( \(0.0189 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0573 \mathrm{mmol}\), 1.00 equiv.), Copper(I) iodide ( \(0.001 \mathrm{~g}, 0.00573 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10\) equiv.) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( \(0.0033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.00286 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05\) equiv.). The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times before a solution of 47 a ( \(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}\), 2.50 equiv.) dissolved in \(3: 1\) triethylamine/dichloromethane ( 0.1 M , degassed) was cannulated over. The flask was fitted with a condenser and heated to \(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel containing 30 mL saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with ethyl acetate ( \(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}\) ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine, dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide an orange residue which was subjected to flash chromatography (silica gel, \(2 \%\) methanol in
dichloromethane + 0.5 \% triethylamine). Subsequent HPLC purification (isocratic, 80 \% acetonitrile in water \(+0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}, 13 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 60 \mathrm{~min}\) ) gave 65 as a colourless solid ( \(0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0624\) mmol, 87 \% yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.26\) (silica gel, \(2 \%\) methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 7.46-7.77 (m, 4H), 7.18-7.31 (m, 5H), 4.91 (q, J=6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.76-4.78 (m, 1H), 4.30-4.35 (m, 1H), \(3.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), \(1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})\); ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\) \([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 1143.5\), Found: 1143.5.

\section*{(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-5-\{4-[(4S)-4-[(2S)-2-(methylamino)propanamido]-5-[(2S)-2-} [(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-5-oxopent-1-yn-1-yl]phenyl\}-1-[(2S)-2-
[(naphthalen-2-ylsulfanyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopent-4-yn-2-yl]propanamide dihydrochloride [66]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 8.88-9.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), \(7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 4.17-4.24 (m, 1H), 3.86-3.87 (m, 1H), 3.69-3.75 (m, 1H), 3.56-3.61 (m, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J=2.5, 13.3 \(\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37\) (d, J = \(6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\) ); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 168.1,167.6,133.2,133.1,131.1,131.0,130.9\), 130.6, 128.0, 127.3, 126.3, 125.5, 125.1, 124.1, 122.0, 87.7, 81.2, 55.9, 55.5, 49.6, 46.6, 32.8, 30.3, 27.9, 23.1, 22.1, 15.4; ESI-HRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\): 921.4196 , Found: 921.4227.


Toluenesulfonyl azide [69]. Prepared according to a the procedure described by Katritzky. \({ }^{12} \mathrm{~A}\) round bottom flask equipped with stir bar was charged with sodium azide ( \(3.72 \mathrm{~g}, 57.2 \mathrm{mmol}\), 1.00 equiv.) then suspended in a mixture of water ( 120 mL )/acetone ( 60 mL ) and cooled to \(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Freshly re-crystalized tosyl chloride 68 ( \(10.9 \mathrm{~g}, 57.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) in 60 mL dry acetone was transferred to an addition funnel fitted to the reaction flask. The tosyl chloride was added to the reaction mixture over 30 minutes and the reaction was allowed to stir for an additional 2 hours. Acetone was removed in-vacuo (no heating) and the flask contents were transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with diethyl ether ( \(3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL}\) ). The combined organic extracts were dried using sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to give a clear, colourless oil which crystalized to a white solid upon storage at \(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(11.1 \mathrm{~g}, 56.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%\) yield). Obtained spectra were consistent with the reported literature. \({ }^{12}\)

Dimethyl-2-oxopropylphosphonate [71]. Compound 71 prepared according to the procedure described by Pietruska. \({ }^{13}\) A flame dried round bottom flask was charged with potassium iodide (dried in-vacuo) and dissolved in 1:1 acetone/acetonitrile ( 75 mL ). While stirring, chloroacetone 70 ( \(15 \mathrm{~mL}, 182 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was added dropwise, the orange slurry was allowed to stir for 1 hour at room temperature. Trimethylphosphite ( \(21.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 182 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was added in portions and the mixture was allowed to stir overnight, producing a cloudy white suspension. A condenser was fitted and the reaction was heated to \(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 3 hours. The reaction was allowed to cool and the flask contents were filtered through a pad of celite and concentrated in-vacuo to afford a dark red oil. Fractional vacuum distillation at 1.2 mmHg gave the product 71 ( \(13.7 \mathrm{~g}, 82.3\)
mmol ) in the fraction which collected at \(93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) in \(45 \%\) yield. The colourless oil gave spectroscopic data consistent with the literature. \({ }^{13}\)

\section*{Dimethyl-1-diazo-2-oxopropylphosphonate (Ohira-Bestmann reagent) [72]. Prepared} according to the procedure described. \({ }^{13}\) Sodium hydride ( \(60 \% / \mathrm{wt}\) in mineral oil) ( \(2.57 \mathrm{~g}, 64.1\) mmol, 1.25 equiv.) was added to a flame dried round bottom flask, dissolved in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 180 mL ) and cooled to \(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Phosphonate \(\mathbf{7 1}(8.52 \mathrm{~g}, 51.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) in THF was cannulated into the reaction flask and allowed to stir for 1 hour. Toluenesulfonyl azide 69 ( \(11.1 \mathrm{~g}, 56.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10\) equiv.) was dissolved in THF and added dropwise to the reaction mixture via cannula. The mixture was allowed to stir for one hour before being warmed to room temperature. Water was added ( 5 mL ) and the mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resultant crude orange oil was purified via flash chromatography (silica gel, gradient 1:1 to 7:3 ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the OhiraBestmann reagent 72 as a yellow oil ( \(6.55 \mathrm{~g}, 34.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%\) yield). Observed spectroscopic properties were consistent with the literature. \({ }^{13}\)


Tert-butyl (2S)-2-formylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylate [74]. Aldehyde 74 was prepared according to a modified procedure from Ireland. \({ }^{14}\) A flame dried round bottom flask was charged with 100 mL anhydrous dichloromethane and cooled to - \(78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Oxalyl chloride ( \(2.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.30\) equiv.) was added followed by dropwise addition of dimethylsulfoxide ( \(3.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 48.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.50\) equiv.). After stirring for 10 minutes the starting alcohol 38 ( \(3.87 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was added dropwise in DCM down the side of the flask and the cloudy white mixture was allowed to stir for 15 minutes. Triethylamine ( \(10.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 73.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.80\) equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred at \(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 20 minutes, then warmed to room temperature. The reaction
was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and the flask contents were transferred to a separatroy funnel where the organic phase was washed with brine ( \(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}\) ), dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was re-suspended in diethyl ether and the oxalic acid present was allowed to crystalize out of solution. The ethereal supernatant was collected, concentrated and purified via flash chromatography (silica gel, 30 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give the desired aldehyde \(\mathbf{7 4}\) in 91 \% yield ( \(3.47 \mathrm{~g}, 17.4 \mathrm{mmol}\) ). Spectral data was consistent with published values. \({ }^{15}\)
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.4\) (silica gel, \(30 \%\) ethylacetate in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05\) ( \(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 3.42-3.59 (m, 2H), 1.84-2.17 (m, 4H), \(1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})\); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 200.5\), 154.0, 80.7, 65.1, 46.8, 28.4, 28.1, 24.0; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\): 199.12; [ \(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}:\)222.1. Found: 222.1.

Tert-butyl (2S)-2-ethynylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylate [73]. 73 was prepared using a modified version of the procedure reported by Pietruszka. \({ }^{13}\) A flame dried round bottom flask was charged with the Ohira-Bestmann reagent 72 ( \(4.32 \mathrm{~g}, 22.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.20\) equiv.), potassium carbonate ( 4.78 g, \(34.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.) and dissolved in 100 mL anhydrous methanol. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 minutes before aldehyde 74 ( \(3.45 \mathrm{~g}, 17.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) in methanol was added dropwise via cannula. The reaction was allowed to stir for 3 hours, on completion by TLC analysis (silica gel, \(30 \%\) ethylacetate in hexanes) saturated aqueous sodium chloride was added ( 10 mL ) and the flask contents were concentrated in-vacuo then resuspended in diethylether. The organic phase was extracted with saturated aqueous sodium carbonate ( 100 mL ), water ( 100 mL ) and brine \((100 \mathrm{~mL})\) before being dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The resulting yellow oil was purified using flash chromatography (silica gel, \(30 \%\) diethylether in hexanes) to provide the alkyne 73 in \(83 \%\) yield as a colourless oil ( 2.80 \(\mathrm{g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol})\). Characterization consistent with the reported literature. \({ }^{16}\)
\(R_{f}=0.40\) (silica gel, \(30 \%\) diethylether in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 4.36-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), \(3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl \({ }_{3}\) )
\(\delta\) 154.0, 84.3, 79.7, 69.6, 47.9, 45.6, 33.7, 28.5, 23.6; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 218.1, Found: 218.0.

(2S)-2-\{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]amino\}pentanoic acid [75]. A round bottom flask fitted with a stir bar was charged with L-Boc-propargylglycine 58 ( \(0.307 \mathrm{~g}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) and 8 mL methanol. Palladium on carbon ( \(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \% / \mathrm{wt}\) ) was added and the flask was fitted with a rubber septum and balloon containing hydrogen. The flask was purged with hydrogen while stirring vigorously and allowed to continue stirring under hydrogen atmosphere for 1 hour. The flask contents were filtered through a pad of celite and concentrated in-vacuo to afford the product 75 as a pale yellow oil ( \(0.312 \mathrm{~g}, 1.144 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%\) yield). Spectra matched reported values. \({ }^{17}\)
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR \(\left(400, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 1.60-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.37-1.44 (m, 11H), \(0.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 177.7\), 155.8, 80.3, 53.4, 34.6, 28.4, 18.8, 13.8; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 240.1\), Found: 240.0.

tert-butyl N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-ethynylpyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamate [76a]. Refer to general peptide coupling procedure. Reagents: L-Boc-Norvaline ( \(0.449 \mathrm{~g}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.50\) equiv.), HCTU ( \(0.856 \mathrm{~g}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.50\) equiv.), 73 b ( \(0.173 \mathrm{~g}, 0.827 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), DIPEA
( \(0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.). Purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, \(60 \%\) ether in hexanes) to afford 76a ( \(0.171 \mathrm{~g}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%\) yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.3\) (silica gel, 60 \% ether in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(300 \mathrm{MH}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.73-4.76 (m, 1H), 4.31-4.38 (m, 1H), 3.52-3.67 (m, 2H), 2.19 (d, J = 2.12 Hz, 1H), 2.00-2.18 (m, \(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 173.05\), 157.6, 85.1, 81.5, 72.2, 53.6, 49.5, 48.2, 37.4, 34.0, 30.4, 26.9, 20.6, 16.0; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 317.2\). Found: 317.1.

\section*{tert-butyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-ethynylpyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-}
yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-N-methylcarbamate [67]. Refer to general peptide coupling. Reagents Boc-N-methyl-L-Alanine 46 ( \(0.280,1.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.50\) equiv.), HCTU ( \(0.570 \mathrm{~g}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.50\) equiv.), 76b ( \(0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 0.551 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), DIPEA ( \(0.193 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.) Flash chromatography (silica gel, 60 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes + \(1 \%\) triethylamine) provided 67 as a colourless oil in \(86 \%\) yield ( \(0.179 \mathrm{~g}, 0.472 \mathrm{mmol}\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R f}=0.28\) (silica gel, \(60 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-\) \(4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}\) \(=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}\) \(=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\) ); \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.1,170.1,155.8,82.9,80.5,70.2,50.2,48.0,47.5\), 46.2, 34.8, 31.9, 30.1, 28.3, 24.9, 18.4, 13.8, 13.7; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 402.2. Found: 402.1.

tert-butyl-N-methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [78]. Compound 78 was prepared according to a modified procedure by Grimes. \({ }^{18} \mathrm{~A}\) round bottom flask equipped with stir bar was charged with starting boronic acid \(77(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.395 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) and 2 mL of methanol ( 0.2 M ). Sodium azide ( \(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.395 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) and copper acetate ( 0.008 g , \(0.0395 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1\) equiv.) were added and the brown mixture was stirred at \(55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 20 minutes. Sodium ascorbate ( \(0.0156 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0791 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2\) equiv.) was added, followed by alkyne 67 dissolved in 1.2 mL dichloromethane. The reaction was complete after one hour, solvent was stripped off and the residue was re-suspended in 75 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with \(20 \%\) aqueous ammonium hydroxide ( 50 mL ) and the aqueous washing was backextracted with 50 mL ethyl acetate. Combined organic layers were washed with water ( 50 mL ), brine ( 50 mL ), dried using sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide a residue which was purified via flash chromatography to afford the 1,4-1,2,3 triazole 78 in \(71 \%\) yield ( \(0.124 \mathrm{~g}, 0.226 \mathrm{mmol}\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.36\) (silica gel, 3 \% methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 8.12\) (d, J=2 \(\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\), 1H), 4.75 (ddd, J = 5.0, 8.1, \(8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.50-4.70 (brs, 1H), 3.70-3.85 (m, 2H), 2.78 (s, 3H), 2.55\(2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0\) \(\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl \({ }_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.3,170.8\), \(148.8,134.6,133.3,133.0,130.1,128.4,128.1,127.5,127.1,121.0,119.0,118.4,80.7,52.7,51.3\), 50.5, 47.3, 46.4, 34.9, 30.2, 28.5, 25.2, 18.7, 13.9, 13.8; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 571.3\) Found: 571.2.
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [79]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz , d-DMSO) \(\delta 8.82\) (brs, \(2 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.2(\mathrm{~d}, J-8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-8.11\) \((\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.75(\mathrm{~m}\), \(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-\) \(0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 169.5,168.5,150.1,134.1,132.9,132.3,130.0\), \(128.2,127.9,127.5,126.9,118.5,117.5,114.3,55.9,52.7,50.6,46.4,32.8,31.3,30.7,24.0,18.4\), 15.7, 13.5; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\): 449.3 Found: 449.2.


Napthalene-2-azide [80]. Prepared in accordance with the procedure reported by Grimes. \({ }^{18} \mathrm{~A}\) round bottom flask equipped with stir bar was charged with starting boronic acid 77 ( 0.400 g , \(2.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) and 9 mL of methanol ( 0.25 M ). Sodium azide ( \(0.166 \mathrm{~g}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10\) equiv.) and copper acetate ( \(0.046 \mathrm{~g}, 0.233 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1\) equiv.) were added and the brown mixture was stirred at \(55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 2 hours until completion by TLC (silica gel, \(5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) in hexanes). The flask contents were transferred to a separatory funnel and diluted with diethyl ether ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was washed with 75 mL of \(20 \%\) aqueous ammonium hydroxide and the blue aqueous phase was back extracted with 50 mL diethyl ether. The combined organics were washed with water ( 75 mL ), brine ( 75 mL ), dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in-vacuo to afford 80 as a brown oil which solidified upon storage at \(0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.339 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%)\). Spectral data consistent with reported values. \({ }^{18}\)
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.69\) (silica gel, \(5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) in hexanes); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 7.81-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}\), \(J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,6.9,8.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 137.6,134.1,131.2,130.0,128.0,127.1,127.1,125.5,118.8,115.9\).

tert-butylN-methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-5-yl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [81]. Prepared using a modified version of a procedure reported by Fokin. \({ }^{19}\) A 5 mL flame dried vial was charged with starting alkene 67 ( \(0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 0.226 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) and purged with argon. 2-napthaleneazide ( \(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.248 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10\) equiv.) was dissolved in 2 mL DMF ( 0.1 M ) and added to the vial followed by chloro(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)ruthenium(II)tetramer ( \(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0226 \mathrm{mmol}\), 0.10 equiv.). The vial was sealed under argon atmosphere and placed in a microwave reactor to stir for 20 minutes at \(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). The vial contents were partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( \(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}\) ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( \(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}\) ), dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in-vacuo to give a crude black residue which was purified twice by flash chromatography (silica gel, gradient 20\(25 \%\) acetone in toluene \(+1 \%\) triethylamine) affording the 1,5-1,2,3 triazole 81 ( \(0.0642,0.117\) mmol, 52 \% yield).
\(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.36\) (silica gel, 3 \% methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10\) (d, \(\mathrm{J}=\) \(2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.60(\mathrm{~m}\) , 2H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 6.72 (brs, 1H), 5.33 (dd, J = 3.5, \(7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.72 (ddd, J = 8.0, 8.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.40-4.60 (brs, 1H), 3.75-3.84 (m, 2H), 2.76 (s, 3H), 2.00-2.16 (m, 3H), 1.68-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.53\(1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.3,171.0,149.6,140.6,133.6,133.5,133.1,130.8,129.9,128.6,128.0\), 127.6, 127.4, 124.8, 123.2, 80.7, 51.9, 50.4, 47.1, 34.9, 32.1, 30.1, 28.4, 24.4, 18.6, 13.9; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 571.3 Found: 571.3.
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-5-yl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [82]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz , d-DMSO) \(\delta 8.76\) (brs, \(2 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83\) \((\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.87\) \((\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}\) \(=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\) ), \(0.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 169.7,168.4,141.2,133.4\), \(132.8,132.6,130.8,129.6,128.4,127.9,127.6,127.4,124.3,123.2,55.8,51.3,50.5,46.5,32.7\), 31.7, 30.7, 24.1, 18.4, 15.6, 13.5; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 449.3\) Found: 449.1.


\section*{tert-butylN-methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethynyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-} oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [83]. Prepared according to a modified procedure by Hanamoto. \({ }^{20}\) A flame dried round bottom flask with stir bar was charged with 2bromonapthalene ( \(0.109 \mathrm{~g}, 0.527 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( \(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1\) equiv.) and Copper(I) lodide ( \(0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1\) equiv.). The flask was placed under vacuum and backfilled with argon three times. A 3:1 mixture of trimethylamine/dichloromethane was sparged with argon for 45 minutes and \(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}(0.1 \mathrm{M})\) was added to a flame dried vial containing starting alkyne 67 ( \(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.264 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.). The alkyne was cannulated into the reaction flask, a condenser was fitted and the reaction was allowed to stir at \(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for two hours. Solvent was removed in-vacuo and the residue was resuspended in EtOAc ( 30 mL ). The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( \(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}\) ), water ( 25 mL ), brine ( 30 mL ), dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated.

Purification via flash chromatography ( \(15 \%\) acetone in toluene \(+1 \%\) triethylamine) afforded the coupling product 83 in \(63 \%\) yield as a light yellow solid ( \(0.091 \mathrm{~g}, 0.170 \mathrm{mmol}\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.33\) (silica gel, \(15 \%\) acetone in toluene \(+1 \%\) triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.88\) (s, 1H), 7.71-7.78 (m, 3H), 7.39-7.49 (m, 3H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 5.06 (dd, J = 3.5, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (dd, J \(=2.5,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-\) 1.82 (m, 2H), \(1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (100 MHz, \(\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.2,170.2,156.1,133.0,132.8,132.3,131.65,128.6,127.9,127.8\), \(126.6,126.5,120.3,88.8,82.5,80.7,50.5,49.1,48.5,46.3,35.0,32.3,30.2,28.5,25.1,18.5,14.0\), 13.9; ESI-MS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 528.2838. Found: 528.2495.

\section*{(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethynyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-} oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [84]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 8.93\) (brs, 2H), 8.81 (dd, J = \(7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 7.96 (d, J = \(1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 7.87-7.96 (m, 3H), 7.50-7.57 (m, 2H), 7.39 (dd, J \(=1.6,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ddd}, J=5.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 3.65-3.69 (m, 1H), 3.30-3.59 (m, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 1.95-2.36 (m, 4H), 1.55-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.36 (d, J \(=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 169.3,168.4\), 132.5, 132.5, 132.4, 132.3, 131.4, 130.9, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.9, 126.9, \(90.2,81.4,55.9,50.6,47.9,46.0,33.2,31.9,30.7,24.8,18.2,15.7,13.6\); ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 406.2\). Found: 406.1.

tert-butyl \(\quad \mathrm{N}\)-methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2R)-2-[2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [85]. A round bottom flask was charged with 83 ( \(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), palladium on activated carbon ( \(0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \% / \mathrm{wt}\) ) and methanol, 1.5 mL . The reaction mixture was placed under an atmosphere of hydrogen and stirred vigorously for 30 minutes before being filtered through a pad of celite and concentrated in-vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, \(20 \%\) acetone in toluene \(+1 \%\) triethylamine) to give 85 ( \(0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1139 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%\) yield).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.4\) (silica gel, \(20 \%\) acetone in toluene \(+1 \%\) triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.77-\) \(7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 6.77 (brs, 1H), 4.71 (ddd, \(J=5.3,7.8,7.8,1 H\) ), 4.42-4.60 (brs, 1H), 4.19-4.23 (m, 1H), 3.65-3.70 \((\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})\), 1.34-1.36 (m, 2H), \(1.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\) \(171.0,170.4,139.2,133.6,132.0,127.9,127.6,127.4,127.2,126.3,125.9,125.2,80.5,57.3,50.5\), 50.3, 46.8, 35.2, 35.0, 32.9, 30.2, 30.0, 29.2, 28.4, 24.3, 18.5, 13.9, 13.7; ESI-LRMS m/z calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 532.3\) Found: 571.2.

\section*{(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2R)-2-[2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-} oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [86]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz , d-DMSO) 8 8.70-8.94 (m, \(3 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37\) (dd, J=1.6, 8.39 H, 1H), 4.51 (ddd, J \(=5.1,8.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})\), 1.49\(1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( 100 MHz ,
d-DMSO) \(\delta 169.4,168.3,139.5,133.2,131.6,127.8,127.7,127.5,127.3,127.2,126.0,125.9\), 125.2, 56.6, 55.9, 50.7, 46.3, 34.2, 33.4, 31.9, 30.7, 28.6, 24.0, 18.4, 15.7, 13.6; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 410.3 Found: 410.1.

tert-butyl \(N\)-methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(E)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethenyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [87]. Compound 87 was prepared according to a modified version of the procedure reported by Han. \({ }^{21}\) A flame dried round bottom flask was fitted with a stir bar and charged with starting alkyne 83 ( \(0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), Tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (0.0037 g, \(0.0041 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02\) equiv.) and 1,4Bis(diphenylphosphino)butane ( \(0.0035 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0081 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04\) equiv.); the flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times. A 0.5 mL volume of dioxane (degassed via argon sparge) was added and the mixture was allowed to stir for 15 minutes before \(65 \mu \mathrm{~L}\) ( 2.00 equiv.) of \(25 \%\) aqueous formic acid (degassed) was added. The mixture was heated to \(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 3 hours at which point an additional \(65 \mu \mathrm{~L}\) ( 2.00 equiv.) \(25 \%\) aqueous formic acid was added and the reaction was allowed to stir for another 2 hours. Solvent was removed under a stream of air and the brown residue was purified via flash chromatography (silica gel, gradient, 10-15 \% acetone in toluene + \(1 \%\) triethylamine) to give the product \(87(0.082 \mathrm{~g}, 0.162 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%\) ) as an isomeric mixture ( \(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}\) \(=86: 14\) ). Subsequent preparative HPLC purification provided the E-isomer exclusively (RP C18, gradient \(50-90 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\) in water with \(0.1 \%\) TFA, \(\left.40 \mathrm{~min}, 25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right)\).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.39\) (silica gel, \(20 \%\) acetone in toluene \(+1 \%\) triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\) 7.67-7.79 (m, 4H), 7.54 (ddd, J = 1.6, 8.8, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.47 (m, 2H), 6.98 (brs, 1H), 6.57 (d, J
\(=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 6.19 (dd, \(J=6.0,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79\) (ddd, \(J=5.3,8.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.42\) ( \(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\) ), \(1.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.8,171.2\), \(156.2,134.2,133.7,133.1,130.5,128.9,128.3,128.1,127.8,126.9,126.4,126.0,123.7,80.9\), 58.9, 50.9, 50.7, 47.2, 34.9, 33.1, 30.8, 28.5, 24.2, 18.8, 14.0, 13.6; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 530.3\) Found: 530.3.

\section*{(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(E)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethenyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-} oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [88]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) 88.47 ( \(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7\) \(\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.4,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-\) \(7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.8,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59\) (ddd, \(J=4.7,8.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27\) (d, J = \(6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\) ), 1.21-1.41 (m, 3H), 0.92 (ddd, J = 7.4, 7.4, \(9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\) ); ESI-LRMS m/z calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 408.3\) Found: 408.3.

[tert-butylN-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(Z)-2-iodoethenyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-
yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-N-methylcarbamate] [89]. Vinyl iodide 89 was prepared via a modified version of the procedure reported by Oshima. \({ }^{22}\) A round bottom containing indium(III) chloride ( \(0.094 \mathrm{~g}, 0.427 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.35\) equiv.) was placed under vacuum ( 1.2 mmHg ) and heated in bursts using a heat gun for 2 minutes. Upon cooling, anhydrous THF ( 2 mL ) was added and the mixture was cooled to \(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .1 \mathrm{M}\) Diisobutylaluminum hydride in hexanes \((0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.411 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.30\) equiv.) was added dropwise and the dark brown suspension was allowed to stir 30 minutes. Alkyne 67 ( \(0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 0.316 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) and triethylborane ( \(0.063 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.0632 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2\)
equiv.) were added and the flask contents were allowed to stir for 2.5 hours at \(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). In a dry vial, iodine ( \(0.481 \mathrm{~g}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.00\) equiv.) was dissolved in 1 mL THF and cannulated into the reaction mixture. After 30 minutes the reaction mixture was poured into a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 10 mL ), \(50 \mathrm{~mL} 60 \%\) aqueous sodium thiosulfate was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( 75 mL ). The organic phase was dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in-vacuo to provide a colourless oil which was purified by flash chromatography to give the (Z)-vinyl iodide 89 ( 0.149 g , 0.294 mmol ) as a colourless oil in 93 \% yield ( \(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=96: 4\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.24\) (silica gel, \(60 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+1 \%\) triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)\) \(\delta 6.67\) (brs, 1H), 6.28 (dd, 1.1, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (dd, 7.6, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49-4.71 (m, 3H), 3.48-3.56 \((\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-\) \(1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.0,170.6,156.0,141.4,83.5\), 80.6, \(61.8,50.7,50.5,47.5,35.2,33.2,30.6,28.4,25.0,18.6,14.1,13.9\); ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 530.1\) Found: 530.0.
tert-butyl N -methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(Z)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethenyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [90]. Compound 90 was prepared using a modified version of the procedure reported by Stoltz. \({ }^{23}\) Napthalene-2-boronic acid ( 0.0854 g , \(0.497 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00\) equiv.) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium ( \(0.029 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0248 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10\) equiv.) were added to a flame dried round bottom flask. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times before a degassed mixture of toluene, methanol and \(2 \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\) (5:1:0.125 mL respectively) containing vinyl iodide 89 ( \(0.126 \mathrm{~g}, 0.248 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) was cannulated over. The yellow mixture was stirred at \(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 2.5 hours before being poured into a separatory funnel containing a 60 mL saturated aqueous sodium chloride. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( \(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}\) ), the combined organic extracts were dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting orange resin was purified using flash chromatography (silica gel, \(20 \%\) acetone in toluene \(+1 \%\) triethylamine) to give product 90
( \(0.0621 \mathrm{~g}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%\) yield). Preparative HPLC purification furnished the Z-alkene exclusively ( RP C 18 , gradient \(50-90 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\) in water with \(0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}, 40 \mathrm{~min}, 25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\) ).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.46\) (silica gel, \(3 \%\) methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 7.73-7.85\) ( m , \(4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85\) (brs, 1H), 6.63 (d, J = \(11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 5.55 (dd, J=9.0, \(11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.53\(5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})\), \(1.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.4,170.9,156.0\), \(134.5,133.2,133.1,132.5,132.3,131.1,128.2,127.9,127.7,126.4,126.2,80.7,56.0,50.8,50.6\), 47.5, 35.2, 32.5, 30.4, 28.5, 25.0, 18.8, 14.0, 13.3; ESI-MS m/z calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 530.2995 Found: 530.3012.

\section*{(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(Z)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethenyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-} oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [91]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 9.14\) (brs, 2H), 8.72 (d, J = 7.3, 1H), 7.81-7.96 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.63 (m, 3H), \(6.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=\) 9.1, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (ddd, \(J=4.5,8.4,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.52 (ddd, \(J=5.1,8.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), \(3.60-3.81\) \((\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13-1.43(\mathrm{~m}\), \(2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 169.9,168.2,134.3,133.4132 .9\), 131.8, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 126.2, 126.0, 55.9, 54.9, 50.7, 46.5, 33.8, 33.4, 30.7, 24.5, 18.4, 15.7, 13.7; ESI-MS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 408.3 Found: 408.1.

tert-butyl N -methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(naphthalene-2-sulfonyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopent-4-yn-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [92]. 92 was prepared according to a modified version of the procedure reported by Chand. \({ }^{24}\) A 1 dram vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with aryl sulfide \(47 \mathrm{a}(0.0771 \mathrm{~g}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), ammonium
heptamolybdate tetrahydrate ( \(0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0147 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10\) equiv.) and methanol ( 0.4 M ). A solution of \(30 \%\) aqueous hydrogen peroxide ( \(60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.589 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.00\) equiv.) was added and the mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 2 hours. Solvent was removed under a stream of air and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water ( 25 mL ), brine \((30 \mathrm{~mL})\) then dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in-vacuo. Sulfone 92 ( \(0.0481 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0866\) mmol, 59 \% yield) was isolated following flash chromatography of the crude residue (silica gel, \(10 \%\) acetone in dichloromethane \(+1 \%\) triethylamine).
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.53\) (silica gel, \(15 \%\) acetone in dichloromethane \(+1 \%\) triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz , \(\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=1.8,8.79 .2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})\), 6.75 (d, J = \(4.6 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.76 ( \(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 4.17-4.72 (m, 2H), \(3.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 3.54-3.65 (m, 2H), 3.09 (dd, J = 10.2, 13.8 Hz, 1H), \(2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.38(\mathrm{~m}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.1\), \(168.6,155.9,136.5,135.5,132.2,129.9,129.8,129.6,129.4,128.1,127.8,122.6,80.8,78.6,71.1\), 56.5, 53.2, 49.3, 47.3, 46.3, 30.2, 29.3, 28.4, 24.3, 22.7, 11.5; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 578.2\) Found: 578.2.
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\(5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} \longrightarrow 93 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Boc}\)
\(\mathrm{MeOH} \longrightarrow 94 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{HCl}\)
tert-butyl \(\mathrm{N}-[(1 \mathrm{~S})-1-\{[(2 \mathrm{~S}, 9 \mathrm{~S})-9-[(2 \mathrm{~S})-2-\{[(\) tert-butoxy)carbonyl](methyl)amino\}propanamido]-1,10-bis[(2S)-2-[(naphthalene-2-sulfonyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1,10-dioxodeca-4,6-diyn-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-N-methylcarbamate [93]. See preparation of 60.
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.26\) (silica gel, 90 \% ethyl acetate in hexanes \(+1 \%\) triethylamine; \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-\) \(7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4\), \(13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})\), 2.29-2.37 (m, 1H), 1.87-2.16 (m, 3H), 1.42 (s, 9H), \(1.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.2,168.3,156.4,136.4,135.5,132.3,129.9,129.8,129.7,129.4,128.2,127.8,122.7,80.7\), 73.1, 67.4, 56.3, 53.3, 49.3, 47.3, 46.0, 30.3, 29.3, 28.4, 24.4, 23.6, 13.7; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 1131.4\) Found: 1131.3.
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S,9S)-9-[(2S)-2-(methylamino)propanamido]-1,10-bis[(2S)-2-[(naphthalene-2-sulfonyl)methyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1,10-dioxodeca-4,6-diyn-2-yl]propanamide dihydrochloride [94]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 8.80-9.01\) (m, 3H), 8.59 (d, \(2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 8.17\(8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.65(\mathrm{~m}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.32(\mathrm{~m}\), 3H); \({ }^{13}\) C NMR (100 MHz, d-DMSO) \(\delta 168.4,167.0,136.3,134.9,131.7,129.7,129.6,129.5,129.3\), 128.0, 127.8, 122.6, 74.5, 66.3, 55.9, 55.8, 52.4, 49.6, 46.1, 30.8, 28.7, 23.5, 15.7, 15.4; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 931.3 Found: 931.2.

tert-butyl N -methyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2-oxoethyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]carbamate [96]. Prepared using a modified procedure of that reported by Jung. \({ }^{25}\) A dry flask was charged with starting material 83 ( \(0.0775 \mathrm{~g}, 0.153 \mathrm{mmol}\),
1.00 equiv.) dissolved in 1.5 mL anhydrous DMF. Mercuric nitrate monohydrate ( 0.105 g , 0.307 mmol, 2.00 equiv.) was added in two portions and the reaction was allowed to stir under argon for 24 hours at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with water \((30 \mathrm{~mL})\) and extracted with ethyl acetate \((3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})\). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine, dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated in-vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica gel, 20 \% acetone in toluene + \(1 \%\) triethylamine) gave \(96(0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0917 \mathrm{mmol})\) in \(81 \%\) yield .
\(R_{f}=0.36\) (silica gel, \(20 \%\) acetone in toluene \(+1 \%\) triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.64\) \((\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.7,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})\), 6.75 (brs, 1H), 4.73 (ddd, \(J=5.2,8.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 3.67-3.74 (m, 1H), 3.54-3.60 (m, 1H), 2.91 (dd, J = 9.8, \(14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), \(2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.12(\mathrm{~m}\), \(4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\), 3H); \({ }^{13}\) C NMR (100 MHz, \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 198.6,171.2,170.8,156.2,135.8,134.0,132.7,130.6,129.9\), \(128.6,128.5,127.8,126.8,124.0,80.7,55.2,54.2,50.6,47.2,42.3,35.2,30.2,29.7,28.5,24.4\), 18.7, 14.0, 13.9; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 546.3 Found: 546.2.
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2-oxoethyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide hydrochloride [97]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR (400 MHz, d-DMSO) ס 8.70-8.91 (m, \(4 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0\), \(15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})\), 0.85-0.91 (m, 3H); \({ }^{13}\) C NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 198.42,169.5,168.4,135.1,134.0,132.2\), 130.0, 129.6, 128.7, 128.3, 127.7, 126.9, 123.6, 55.9, 54.2, 50.7, 46.4, 41.6, 33.2, 30.7, 29.6, 23.7, 18.5, 15.6, 13.6; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 424.3\) Found: 424.1 .


\section*{tert-butylN-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[2-(6-\{2-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(2S)-2-}

\section*{\{[(tertbutoxy)carbonyl](methyl)amino\}propanamido]pentanoyl]pyrrolidin-2-}

\section*{yl]ethynyl\}naphthalen-2-yl)ethynyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-N-}
methylcarbamate [98]. Compounds \(98 / 100\) were prepared using a modified version of the procedure reported by Caldarelli. \({ }^{11}\) A flame dried round bottom flask was charged with 2,6dibromonapthalene ( \(0.0663 \mathrm{~g}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.), Copper(I) iodide ( \(0.0044 \mathrm{~g}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}\), 0.10 equiv.) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( \(0.0134 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0116 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05\) equiv.). The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times before a solution of \(67(0.220 \mathrm{~g}, 0.580\) mmol, 2.50 equiv.) dissolved in 3:1 triethylamine/dichloromethane ( 0.1 M , degassed) was cannulated over. The flask was fitted with a condenser and heated to \(70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 20 hours. The reaction mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel containing 50 mL saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with ethyl acetate ( \(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}\) ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine, dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide a yellow residue which was subjected to flash chromatography (silica gel, 20 \% acetone in dichloromethane + 1 \% triethylamine). Dimer 98 ( \(0.097 \mathrm{~g}, 0.110 \mathrm{mmol}\) ) and monomer 100 ( \(0.052 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0892 \mathrm{mmol}\) ) were isolated in \(47 \%\) and \(38 \%\) yields respectively.
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.32\) (silica gel, \(20 \%\) acetone in dichloromethane \(+1 \%\) triethylamine); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz , \(\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), \(4.81(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})\),
1.56-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.48 (s, 9H), 1.32 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.24-1.42 (m, 2H), 0.90-0.96 (m, 3H); \({ }^{13} \mathbf{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.2\) 170.3, 156.4, 132.2, 131.4, 129.3, 127.8, 127.6, 121.1, 89.5, 82.3, 80.7, \(50.5,49.1,48.5,46.4,35.0,32.3,30.2,28.5,25.1,18.5,14.1,14.0\); ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 905.5\) Found: 905.4.
tert-butyl \(\quad \mathrm{N}-[(1 \mathrm{~S})-1-\{[(2 \mathrm{~S})-1-[(2 \mathrm{~S})-2-[2-(6-b r o m o n a p h t h a l e n-2-y l) e t h y n y l] p y r r o l i d i n-1-\mathrm{yl}]-1-\) oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-N-methylcarbamate [100]. \(\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.5\) (silica gel, \(20 \%\) acetone in dichloromethane \(+1 \%\) triethylamine), \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.1,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J\) \(=1.6,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72\) (ddd, \(J=5.6,7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\), 3.36-3.72 (m, 2H), 2.79 (s, 3H), 2.26-2.31 (m, 1H), 2.07-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.99-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.63-1.82 \((\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR (400 MHz, \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 171.1,170.2,156.2,133.7,132.2,131.4,129.9,129.8,129.6,129.2\), \(126.9,120.8,120.6,89.4,82.0,80.6,50.4,49.0,48.4,46.3,34.9,32.2,30.1,28.4,25.1,18.4,13.9\), 13.8; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 608.2 Found: 608.1.
(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[2-(6-\{2-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(2S)-2-
(methylamino)propanamido]pentanoyl]pyrrolidin-2-yl]ethynyl\}naphthalen-2-
yl)ethynyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide dihydrochloride [99]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR (400 MHz, d-DMSO) \(\delta 8.96\) (brs, 2H), 8.80 (d, J = \(7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.86-7.91 (m, 1H), 7.42 (dd, \(J=1.4,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68\) ( \(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\) ), 3.30-3.56 (m, 1H), \(2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.44\) ( \(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\) ) , \(1.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta 169.3,168.4\), \(131.8,131.2,130.8,128.9,128.1,91.0,81.2,55.9,50.6,47.9,46.0,33.2,31.8,30.7,24.8,18.3\), 15.7, 13.6; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 705.4 Found: 705.1.
(2S)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[2-(6-bromonaphthalen-2-yl)ethynyl]pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]-2-(methylamino)propanamide hydrochloride [101]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz , d-DMSO) \(\delta 8.95\) (brs, \(2 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-\) \(7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{ddd}, J=5.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\), \(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-\) \(1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\) DMSO) \(\delta 169.3,168.4,133.4,131.4,131.1,131.0,129.9,129.8,129.6,129.5,129.2,127.5,90.9\), 81.1, 55.9, 50.6, 47.9, 46.0, 33.2, 31.8, 30.7, 24.8, 18.3, 15.7, 13.6; ESI-LRMS \(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 484.2\) Found: 484.0.


\section*{tert-butyl-N-[(1S)-1-\{[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-\{4-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(2S)-2-\{[(tert-}
butoxy)carbonyl](methyl)amino\}propanamido]pentanoyl]pyrrolidin-2-yl]buta-1,3-diyn-1-
yl\}pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]carbamoyl\}ethyl]-N-methylcarbamate [102]. Prepared using a modified version of the procedure reported by Hennessey. \({ }^{9}\) A round bottom flask was equipped with a stir bar and charged with starting alkyne 67 ( \(0.0411 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\) equiv.) dissolved in acetonitrile ( 3 mL ). Copper(II) acetate ( \(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1245 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.15\) equiv.) and pyridine ( \(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.650 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.00\) equiv.) were added and the reaction was allowed to stir at 70 \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight. The flask contents were transferred to a separatory funnel, diluted with ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) and washed with a 40 mL volume of \(50 \%\) aqueous ammonium hydroxide. The aqueous phase was back-extracted with ethyl acetate ( \(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}\) ) and the combined organic
extracts were dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in-vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica gel, \(95 \%\) ethyl acetate in hexanes + \(1 \%\) triethylamine) gave the bis-alkyne dimer 102 ( \(0.0201 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0266 \mathrm{mmol}\) ) in \(50 \%\) yield.
\(\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.22\) (silica gel, 4 \% methanol in dichloromethane); \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) ) \(\delta 6.71\) (brs, \(1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-\) \(1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR \(\left(125 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.3,170.4,156.2,80.7,66.7,50.4,48.9,48.3,46.3,35.0,31.9,30.2,28.5\), 25.1, 18.7, 14.0, 13.7; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\): 779.5 Found: 779.3.

\section*{(2S)-2-(methylamino)-N-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-\{4-[(2S)-1-[(2S)-2-[(2S)-2-}
(methylamino)propanamido]pentanoyl]pyrrolidin-2-yl]buta-1,3-diyn-1-yl\}pyrrolidin-1-yl]-1-oxopentan-2-yl]propanamide dihydrochloride [103]. \({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( 400 MHz d-DMSO) \(\delta 9.10\) (brs, \(2 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{q}, J=3.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-\) \(3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.37\) ( \(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\) ) , \(0.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR ( \(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{DMSO}\) ) \(\delta\) 169.6, 168.6, 79.2, 65.6, 56.0, 50.6, 47.7, 46.0, 33.1, 31.5, 30.8, 25.0, 18.5, 15.9, 13.7; ESI-LRMS \(m / z\) calcd for \(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\): 557.4 Found: 557.1.
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\section*{Appendix I: Cellular morphology Images}

Table 10. Images of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells treated with denoted compound at either \(10 \mu \mathrm{M}\) or 10 nM . Pictures taken at \(30 \mathrm{~min}, 24 \mathrm{hrs}\) and 48 hrs post inoculation using a bright field microscope. Apoptotic cells are indicated by the white arrow while necrotic cells are indicated by a black arrow.



\section*{Supplementary Spectral Data}
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) and \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) spectra are provided for previously unpublished compounds, published compounds with poor or no available spectral data, and key compounds; all other compounds have references to the published spectra provided in the experimental section above.

Some \({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) samples show residual trifluoroacetic acid peaks at \(164(q)\) and 116 (q) ppm. This is due to partial counter-ion exchange during HPLC purification as \(0.01 \%\) TFA is present in the water eluent as a modifier. Lyophilization with 0.1 M HCl prior to testing is effective at removing this impurity (see General Materials and Methods for Chemical Synthesis).

\section*{Compound 33 - 1H NMR}
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Compound 35 - 13C


Compound 36 - 1H NMR
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Compound 50 - 1H


Compound 50-13C




Compound 58 - 13 C
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Compound 64-1H
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Compound 79 - 1H
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Compound 82 - 1H
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Compound \(84-1 \mathrm{H}\)



Compound 84 - 13C





Compound 86 －13C
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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Compound \(88-1 H\)




Compound 91 - 1 H


Compound 91-13C
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Compound 94 - 13C
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Compound 99 - 1H


Compound 99 - 1H
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Compound 103 -1H




Compound 103-13C
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Compound \(88-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) VT NMR to show pea coalescence 1H NMR - KM-02-104_VTexpt


Compound \(91-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) VT NMR to show peak coalescence
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