# Number Operators for Representations of the Canonical Commutation Relations

#### JAN M. CHAIKEN

Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y.\*
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.\*\*

Received December 30, 1967

Abstract. A number operator for a representation of the canonical commutation relations is defined as a self-adjoint operator satisfying an exponentiated form of the equation  $Na^* = a^*(N+I)$ , where  $a^*$  is an arbitrary creation operator. When N exists it may be chosen to have spectrum  $\{0,1,2,\ldots\}$  (in a direct sum of Fock representations) or  $\{0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots\}$  (otherwise). Examples are given of representations having number operators, and a necessary and sufficient condition is given for a direct-product representation to have a number operator.

#### Introduction

The Fock representation of the canonical commutation relations has a total occupation number operator N. One way of completely describing N is to say

- (i) it is self-adjoint
- (ii) its spectrum is  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  and
  - (iii) it satisfies the commutation relation,

$$Na^*(\varphi) = a^*(\varphi) (N+I) \tag{0.1}$$

in a suitably rigorous form. Here  $a^*(\varphi)$  is the creation operator for a wavefunction  $\varphi$ , and (0.1) is to hold for all  $\varphi$ .

In fact, the only representations of the canonical commutation relations which have a number operator N satisfying (i)—(iii) are direct sums of Fock representations [2, 4, 5].

If we relax the requirements on N by eliminating the assumption (ii) about the spectrum, then there exist other representations of the canonical commutation relations possessing such number operators. We call them particle representations.

In Section 1 we discuss general properties of particle representations. For a *strange* particle representation (other than a direct sum of Fock

<sup>\*</sup> Research supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant GP 5882.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Address for 1967-8. Research supported by AFOSR Grant 44620-67-C-0008.

representations) the number operator is always unbounded below (Theorem 1.3). Furthermore, given a strange particle representation, one can always select a number operator which has every integer (negative as well as positive) as an eigenvalue (Theorem 1.3).

In Sections 3 and 4 we consider direct-product representations of the canonical commutation relations as described by Klauder, McKenna, and Woods [11] and by Streit [20]. We determine precisely which ones are particle representations; they are the ones having in the representation space a vector  $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \cdots$  where each  $\varphi_j$  is a multiple of a Hermite function (Theorem 3.3). We discuss the problem of extending these representations so that they are defined over a Hilbert space, showing that this can be done in a smooth way if and only if the indices on the Hermite functions are bounded (Theorem 4.7). We understand that M. Reed [14] has considered similar and related questions about direct-product representations, but his work was not yet available at the time of this writing.

In Section 5 we discuss a class of particle representations which includes the extreme universally invariant representations as described by Shale and Segal [18] and the representations corresponding to a non-relativistic infinite free Bose gas as described by Araki and Woods [1]. They have generating functionals of the form

$$\mu(z) = \exp[-1/4 \|Tz\|^2],$$

where  $T \ge I$ . The corresponding representation is a direct sum of Fock representations if and only if  $T^2 - I$  is trace class (Theorem 5.1).

## 1. Number Operators

We consider representations of the canonical commutation relations over a space  $\mathfrak H$  of test functions.  $\mathfrak H$  is assumed to be a complex inner product space, with the imaginary part of the inner product serving as the commutator bracket. This means that the commutation relations, in the Weyl form, are

where z and z' are arbitrary elements of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and (z, z') is their inner product (linear on the left).

By a representation of the Weyl relations (or a Weyl system) over  $\mathfrak{S}$  we mean a map W from  $\mathfrak{S}$  into the unitary operators on some complex Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that the Weyl relation (1.1) is satisfied, and, in addition, for each fixed  $z \in \mathfrak{S}$ , the function W(tz) of the real variable t is weakly continuous at 0. For a description of the motivation for this definition and its connection with other formulations of the commutation relations, see [2] or [19].

If W is a representation of the Weyl relations over  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ , one can define the associated creation operator  $a^*(z)$  to be the closure of  $2^{-1/2} [R(z) - iR(iz)]$ , where R(z) is the self-adjoint generator of the group  $t \to W(tz)$ . In case  $W_F$  is the Fock-Cook representation [9, 3] and N is the total occupation number operator, a suitable exponentiated form of the commutation relation

$$Na_F^*(z) = a_F^*(z) (N+I) \tag{1.2}$$

is satisfied. To be precise, for each  $z \in \mathfrak{H}$  the relation

$$e^{itN} W_F(z) e^{-itN} = W_F(e^{it}z)$$
 (1.3)

is satisfied for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  [3, 2].

As has been suggested by Segal [16, 19 p. 64], if one has a Weyl system W and an operator N satisfying the indicated commutation relations, then that N should have a physical interpretation as an occupation number operator. Accordingly, we take the commutation relation as a definition of a number operator, and then we investigate the properties of such operators.

1.1 Definition. Let W be a Weyl system over  $\mathfrak{H}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$ . A self-adjoint operator N on  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a number operator for W if

$$e^{itN} W(z) e^{-itN} = W(e^{it}z),$$
 (1.4)

for all  $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This definition differs in two respects from the definition

$$N = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^*(e_k) a(e_k)$$
 ,

where  $\{e_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . First, the infinite sum can converge in certain strange representations where Eq. (1.4) fails to hold [2]. These representations agree with the Fock-Cook representation on a dense subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Second, we shall see that number operators (in the sense of Definition 1.1) exist in many physically interesting representations where the infinite sum fails to converge. In fact the sum  $\sum a^*(e_k) \, a(e_k)$  exists only in representations which are direct sums of those indicated above (i.e. those which agree with the Fock-Cook representation on a fixed dense subspace) [2, 4]. The distinction between the two definitions arises from the fact that when the sum exists, it is a nonnegative operator, whereas number operators are not generally bounded below.

One difficulty with Definition 1.1 is that a number operator need not have integer eigenvalues. To see this, suppose  $W_F$  is the Fock-Cook representation of the Weyl relations on  $\Re_F$ , and N is the usual number operator. Let  $\Re$  be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and let A be a self-adjoint operator on  $\Re$  having continuous spectrum. Then the self-

adjoint generator of the group  $t \to e^{itA} \otimes e^{itN}$  is a number operator for the Weyl system  $I \otimes W_F$  acting on  $\Re \otimes \Re_F$ . (*I* is the identity operator.) This number operator for  $I \otimes W_F$  is easily seen to have no eigenvectors.

In this example one sees a feature which occurs in general; namely the representation  $I \otimes W_F$  also has another number operator  $I \otimes N$  which does have integer eigenvalues.

1.2 Lemma. If a Weyl system W has a number operator N, then it has another number operator N' whose spectrum is a subset of the integers.

Proof. Since, for every  $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $e^{2\pi i N} W(z) e^{-2\pi i N} = W(e^{2\pi i z}) = W(z)$ , the unitary  $U = e^{2\pi i N}$  commutes with all the W(z)'s. Now U has a spectral resolution  $U = \int\limits_0^1 e^{2\pi i \theta} dF(\theta)$  where the spectral projections  $F(\theta)$  commute with every bounded operator which commutes with U (see [22], p. 307). Thus each  $F(\theta)$  commutes with all the W(z)'s and also with  $e^{itN}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $A = -\int\limits_0^1 \theta dF(\theta)$ , and  $V(t) = e^{itN} e^{itA}$ .

Then V is a continuous one-parameter unitary group, and

$$V(t) \ W(z) \ V(-t) = e^{itN} \ [e^{itA} \ W(z) \ e^{-itA}] \ e^{-itN}$$
  
=  $e^{itN} \ W(z) \ e^{-itN}$ ,

so the self adjoint generator N' of V is a number operator for W. The spectrum of N' is a subset of the integers since

$$e^{2\pi i N'} = e^{2\pi i N} e^{2\pi i A} = e^{2\pi i N} U^{-1} = I$$
.

Actually the spectrum of N' looks like  $\{n_0, n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \ldots\}$  or  $\{\cdots -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ . It appears easy enough to prove this: Take an eigenvector  $\varphi$  of N', with eigenvalue n. Then  $a^*(z) \varphi$  should be, according to (1.2), an eigenvector of N' with eigenvalue n + 1. However it is important to realize that (1.2) is symbolic, not rigorous, so to make this argument correct we would have to check that  $\varphi$  is in the domain of  $a^*(z)$  and that  $a^*(z) \varphi$  is in the domain of N. Instead of this, we shall prove the desired result, and more, using only bounded operators.

**1.3 Theorem.** Suppose W is a Weyl system with a number operator N. If the spectrum of N is bounded below, then W is a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations. Otherwise W has a number operator N' whose spectrum is the set of all integers  $\{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ .

*Proof.* Define A and N' as in the proof of Lemma 1.2. Since N = N' + A in the sense of strong sum of commuting operators, and the spectrum of A is a subset of [-1, 0], the spectrum of N' is bounded below if and only if that of N is. If the spectrum of N' is bounded below by the integer n, then N' + nI is a number operator for W whose spectrum

consists of non-negative integers. By Theorem 1 of [2], p. 64, W is a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations.

The possibility that N' is not bounded below is handled by the next lemma.

**1.4 Lemma.** If N' is a number operator whose spectrum is unbounded below and consists of integers, then its spectrum is  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$ .

*Proof.* Fix a unit vector  $z_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Then by the Stone-von Neumann Theorem [12] (or see Ref. [2], p. 27), the representation of the Weyl relations over  $\mathbb{C}$  given by  $\alpha \to W(\alpha z_0)$  is unitarily equivalent to a direct sum of copies of the Schrödinger representation  $W_s$ . So we may assume that  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{R}_s$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}_s$  is the representation space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for the Schrödinger representation, and

$$W(\alpha z_0) = I \otimes W_s(\alpha)$$
.

Let  $N_s$  be the usual number operator  $\frac{1}{2}(P^2+Q^2-1)$  for the Schrödinger representation. Then, writing  $U(t)=(I\otimes e^{it\,N_s})\,e^{-it\,N'}$ , we have  $U(t)\,W(\alpha z_0)\,U(-t)=W(\alpha z_0)$  for all  $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ , so U(t) commutes with all the operators  $I\otimes W_s(\alpha),\,\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ . Thus U(t) must lie in the commutator of the algebra  $\{I\otimes W_s(\alpha):\alpha\in\mathbb{C}\}''$ . Since the Schrödinger representation is irreducible this commutator consists of all operators of the form  $A_1\otimes I$ , so we have  $U(t)=U_1(t)\otimes I$  or  $e^{it\,N'}=U_1(t)\otimes e^{it\,N_s}$ . Thus  $U_1(t)$  is a continuous one-parameter unitary group; call its self-adjoint generator A. The spectrum of A is a subset of the integers since  $e^{2\pi iA}\otimes I=I$ . Furthermore A cannot be bounded below, because  $N_s$  is non-negative, and we are assuming N' is not bounded below.

Now we can prove that any integer m is in the spectrum of N'. Select an integer  $m_0 \le m$  belonging to the spectrum of A. Since the spectrum of  $N_s$  is  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ ,  $(m - m_0)$  is in the spectrum of  $N_s$ . But the spectrum of N' is the sum of that of A and that of  $N_s$ , so  $m = m_0 + (m - m_0)$  is in the spectrum of N'.

The existence of a number operator imparts a particle interpretation to the vectors in the representation space. To see this consider a Weyl system W acting on  $\Re$ , and suppose no subrepresentation of W is unitarily equivalent to the Fock-Cook representation. Then if W has a number operator N, we may suppose, according to Theorem 1.3, that N has spectrum  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$ . We may think of any eigenvector v of N with eigenvalue 0 as a "ground" state, even though it has an infinite number of "bare" particles with probability one [2]. Then an eigenvector of N with eigenvalue n > 0 has n more particles than v has, and an eigenvector with eigenvalue -n < 0 has n fewer particles than v. In

fact, using the spectral representation  $N = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n P_n$ , we may asso-

ciate with any unit vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the probability  $\langle P_n x, x \rangle$  that the number of particles in x differs from the number in v by n. For this reason we adopt the following terminology.

**1.5 Definition.** A representation W of the Weyl relations which has a number operator is called a *particle representation*. A number operator for W is called *normalized* if its spectrum is either  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$  or  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ .

## 2. Generating Functionals for Particle Representations

We review briefly the definition of generating functional. Terms not defined here are explained in Ref. [2], p. 44-45.

Let W be a Weyl system over  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Define for each finite-dimensional subspace  $\mathscr{M}$  of H the weakly-closed algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathscr{M}}(W) = \{W(z) : z \in \mathscr{M}\}''$ . Then the Weyl algebra  $\mathfrak{A}(W)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by all the  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathscr{M}}(W)$ 's as  $\mathscr{M}$  varies over the finite-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . As a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is independent of W [16].

Given any state E of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , the Gelfand-Segal construction [10, 15], [6] yields a cyclic representation  $\pi_E$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{R}_E$  with a normalized cyclic vector  $v_E$  such that

$$E(A) = \langle \pi_E(A) v_E, v_E \rangle$$

for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

Assuming E is regular, which means that E is strongly continuous on the unit ball of each  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathscr{M}}(W)$ ,  $\mathscr{M}$  finite-dimensional, then the operators  $W_E(z) = \pi_E(W(z))$  form a representation of the Weyl relations whose Weyl algebra is  $\pi_E(\mathfrak{A})$ . Furthermore the complex-valued function  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$  defined by

$$\mu(z) = \langle W_E(z) v_E, v_E \rangle = E(W(z))$$

completely determines E and is called the *generating functional* of E [17]. Suppose W is a *particle* representation of the Weyl relations (Defn. 1.5). If N is a normalized number operator, and v is any eigenvector of

N, then the generating functional

$$\mu(z) = \langle W(z) v, v \rangle$$

is invariant under changes of phase:

$$\mu(e^{it}z) = \mu(z) \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (2.1)

In fact,

$$\mu(e^{it}z) = \langle e^{itN} W(z) e^{-itN} v, v \rangle$$

$$= \langle W(z) e^{-itN} v, e^{-itN} v \rangle$$

$$= \mu(z).$$

12 Commun. math. Phys., Vol. 8

It is easy to see that every particle representation is a direct sum of cyclic representations whose cyclic vectors are eigenvectors of N, and hence whose generating functionals satisfy (2.1). Conversely, as we prove below, any generating functional  $\mu$  which has the property (2.1) corresponds to a particle representation. Since it is quite easy to exhibit generating functionals which are invariant under changes of phase, and it is also easy to determine whether a given functional has that property, this observation is quite helpful in studying particle representations.

We now proceed to a proof of the statement made above about generating functionals which satisfy (2.1).

2.1 Proposition. Let  $\mu$  be a generating functional which is invariant under changes of phase (2.1). Then the Weyl system  $W_E$  determined by  $\mu$  via the Gelfand-Segal construction has a number operator which annihilates the cyclic vector  $v_E$ .

*Proof.* A theorem of Segal [16] shows that the map  $W(z) \to W(e^{it}z)$  induces an automorphism  $\gamma_t$  of the Weyl algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The condition (2.1) implies that the regular state E determined by  $\mu$  is invariant under  $\gamma_t$ :

$$E(\gamma_t(A)) = E(A)$$
 for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

Now it is an easily checked property of the Gelfand-Segal construction that the invariance of E under  $\gamma_t$  implies the existence of a unitary U(t) on the representation space  $\Re_E$  which leaves the cyclic vector  $v_E$  invariant and which implements the automorphism:

$$U(t) A U(t)^{-1} = \gamma_t(A)$$
.

In fact U(t) is defined by

$$U(t) A v_E = \gamma_t(A) v_E$$
, for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

Clearly U(t+t') = U(t) U(t'), so U is a one-parameter group of unitary operators. To prove the existence of a number operator N, we just have to show that U(t) is a strongly continuous function of t at t = 0. Then its self-adjoint generator N will be a number operator since

$$e^{i\,t\,N}\;W(z)\;e^{-\,i\,t\,N} = \gamma_{\,t}(W(z)) = \,W(e^{i\,t}\,z)\;.$$

To do this it suffices to prove that for all  $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ 

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \|[U(t)-I] W(z) v_E\| = 0.$$

But

$$\begin{split} \|(U(t)-I)\ W(z)\ v_E\|^2 &= 2-2\ \mathrm{Re}\ \big\langle U(t)\ W(z)\ v_E,\ W(z)\ v_E\big\rangle \\ &= 2-2\ \mathrm{Re}\ \big\langle W(-z)\ W(e^{it}z)\ v_E,\ v_E\big\rangle \\ &= 2-2\ \mathrm{Re}\ \mu((e^{it}-1)\ z)\ \mathrm{exp}\left[\frac{1}{2}i\sin t\,\|z\|^2\right]\,. \end{split}$$

This  $\to 0$  as  $t \to 0$  because  $\mu$  is continuous on finite-dimensional subspaces and its value at 0 is 1.

If a generating functional is not invariant under change of phase, then the corresponding representation may or may not have a number operator. In future work I hope to present a criterion by which one can tell directly from  $\mu$  whether or not a number operator exists.

## 3. Number Operators for Direct-Product Representations

Suppose  $\mathfrak{B} = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and  $\mathscr{V}$  is the set of all finite linear combinations of vectors in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . We consider direct-product representations of the Weyl relations over  $\mathscr{V}$  following Klauder, McKenna, and Woods [11]. Our goal will be to determine which of them are particle representations.

For each  $n=1,2,\ldots$  let  $\Re_n$  be the representation space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for the Schrödinger Weyl system  $W_s$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $\Re$  the complete infinite tensor product space  $\Re_1 \otimes \Re_2 \otimes \cdots$  [13].

If 
$$z \in \mathscr{V}$$
, say  $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i e_i$ , then defining 
$$W(z) = W_s(z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes W_s(z_n) \otimes I \otimes I \otimes \cdots$$

we get a representation of the Weyl relations over  $\mathscr V$  acting on  $\Re$ . This representation leaves invariant each incomplete infinite tensor product space. In fact, if  $\psi = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \cdots$  is a decomposable vector in  $\Re$  such that  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$  for all n, then the incomplete infinite tensor product space  $\Re_{\psi}$  whose distinguished vector is  $\psi$  is defined as the closed subspace spanned by vectors  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \cdots$  such that  $\sum_n |1 - \langle \varphi_n, \varphi \rangle|$  con-

verges. Since, for  $z \in \mathscr{V}$ , W(z) changes only a finite number of factors in  $\varphi$ , such a W(z) maps  $\mathcal{R}_{v}$  into  $\mathcal{R}_{v}$ .

So for each  $\psi$ , by restricting W to  $\Re_{\psi}$  we get a Weyl system  $W_{\psi}$  on  $\Re_{\psi}$ , which we shall call a *direct-product* representation. It is known [11] that each  $W_{\psi}$  is irreducible, and that  $W_{\psi}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $W_{\varphi}$  if and only if  $\psi$  is weakly equivalent to  $\varphi$  (i.e.  $\sum |1 - |\langle \varphi_n, \psi_n \rangle||$  converges).

One might guess that the self-adjoint generator N of the unitary group

$$U(t) = e^{itN_s} \otimes e^{itN_s} \otimes e^{itN_s} \otimes \cdots$$
 (3.1)

is a number operator for the whole representation W because U(t) W(z)  $U(-t) = W(e^{it}z)$ , for  $z \in \mathscr{V}$ . (Here  $N_s$  is the usual number operator for the Schrödinger representation.) However it is easy to see that  $t \to U(t)$  is not weakly continuous at zero, so it has no self-adjoint generator. In fact if  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is a normalized eigenfunction of  $N_s$  with eigenvalue 1, then  $\langle U(t) \ [\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \cdots], \varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \cdots \rangle$  is one when t is an integer multiple of  $2\pi$ , zero otherwise.

To find the representations  $W_{w}$  for which a number operator does exist, we first look for those  $\psi$  such that the generating functional

$$\mu_{\psi}(z) = \langle W_{\psi}(z) \; \psi, \, \psi \rangle \tag{3.2}$$

is invariant under change of phase.

**3.1 Proposition.** Suppose  $\psi = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \cdots$ , where each  $\|\psi_k\| = 1$ . The generating functional  $\mu_{\psi}$  given by (3.2) is invariant under change of phase if and only if each  $\psi_k$  is an eigenfunction of  $N_s$  (i.e. each  $\psi_k$  is a multiple of some Hermite function).

*Proof.* If each  $\psi_k$  is an eigenfunction of  $N_s$  then the generating functional

 $\mu_k(z) = \langle W_s(z) | \psi_k, \psi_k \rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$ 

 $\mu_k(z) = \langle W_s(z) \ \psi_k, \psi_k \rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$  (3.3) is invariant under change of phase for each k. Then if  $z = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k e_k$ , we have

$$\mu_{\psi}(e^{it}z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k}(e^{it}z_{k})$$
$$= \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k}(z_{k})$$
$$= \mu_{\psi}(z).$$

On the other hand, if  $\mu_v$  is invariant under change of phase, then each  $\mu_k$ , as given in (3.3), will have the same property. By Prop. 2.1, this implies there exists a number operator for the Schrödinger representation which annihilates  $\psi_k$ . Because  $W_s$  is irreducible, any number operator for it differs from  $N_s$  by an additive constant. So  $\psi_k$  is an eigenfunction of  $N_s$ .

**3.2 Corollary.** If  $\psi = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \cdots$  and each  $\psi_k$  is an eigenfunction of  $N_s$ , then the direct-product representation  $W_w$  has a number operator.

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the proposition, using Prop. 2.1 and the fact that  $W_w$  is irreducible (so that  $\psi$  is a cyclic vector).

It is easy to exhibit explicitly the number operator N for  $W_w$  which annihilates  $\psi$ . In fact, if  $n_k$  is the eigenvalue of  $N_s$  corresponding to  $\psi_k(N_s\psi_k=n_k\psi_k)$ , then

$$e^{itN} = \exp it(N_s - n_1 I) \otimes \exp it(N_s - n_2 I) \otimes \cdots$$

[This is proved by observing that the operator on the right leaves  $\Re_{w}$ invariant, and (1.4) is satisfied.] So we see that N is obtained from  $\sum a^*(e_k) a(e_k)$  by subtracting a constant multiple of the identity. The constant is infinite, except in the case where all but a finite number of the  $n_k$ 's are zero, which is the case that  $W_v$  is unitarily equivalent to the Fock-Cook representation (Theorem 1.3; or this can be proved directly by calculating the generating functional).

The representations  $W_{v}$  singled out by Prop. 3.1 were among the first strange representations to be discussed; they are unitarily equivalent to the discrete representations of Wightman and Schweber [21]. It may appear that Prop. 3.1 identifies these representations as the only direct-product representations having a number operator. However, there remains the possibility that some direct-product representation is a particle representation, but that no number operator for it annihilates any vector of the form  $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \cdots$ . This is excluded by the next result.

**3.3 Theorem.** The only direct-product representations of the Weyl relations which have number operators are the discrete representations, i.e. those with a vector  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \cdots$  in the representation space such that each  $\varphi_k$  is an eigenfunction of  $N_s$  (i.e. is a multiple of a Hermite function).

*Proof.* Suppose  $\psi = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \cdots$ , each  $\|\psi_k\| = 1$ , and  $W_{\psi}$  has a number operator N, assumed normalized.

Step 1. For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $U(t) \psi$  is weakly equivalent to  $\psi$ , where U(t) is defined in (3.1).

Proof of Step 1: For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $V(t) = U(t) e^{-itN}$ . Considered as a map from  $\Re_{\psi}$  to  $\Re_{U(t)\psi}$ , V(t) is unitary. Moreover, for each  $z \in \mathscr{V}$ 

$$\begin{split} V(t)^{-1} \, W_{\,U\,(t)\,\psi}(z) \,\, V(t) &= e^{it\,N} \, \left[ \, U\,(-t) \,\, W_{\,U\,(t)\,\psi}(z) \,\, U(t) \, \right] e^{it\,N} \\ &= e^{it\,N} \,\, W_{\psi}(e^{-\,i\,t}\,z) \,\, e^{it\,N} \\ &= \,\, W_{v}(z) \,\,. \end{split}$$

This shows that for each t V(t) establishes a unitary equivalence between  $W_{\psi}$  and  $W_{U(t)\psi}$ . It follows [11] that for each t U(t)  $\psi$  is weakly equivalent to  $\psi$ .

Step 2. There exist real constants  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  such that

$$e^{itN} = \exp it(N_s - a_1 I) \otimes \exp it(N_s - a_2 I) \otimes \cdots$$
 (3.4)

Proof of Step 2: By Step 1 and the definition of weak equivalence,

we know that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |1 - |\mu_k(t)||$  converges for each t, where

$$\mu_k(t) = \langle e^{itN_s} \psi_k, \psi_k \rangle$$
.

Now each  $\mu_k(t)$  is the characteristic function (Fourier transform) of a probability measure, as one sees by using the spectral resolution of  $N_s$ . It follows from a theorem in probability theory (e.g. Doob [8] Th. 2.7) that there exist real constants  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |1 - e^{-ia_k t} \mu_k(t)| < \infty \tag{3.5}$$

for all t.

For each real s, let  $Y(s) = e^{-ia_1 s} \otimes e^{-ia_2 s} \otimes \cdots$ , a unitary operator on  $\Re$  [13] which commutes with all the U(t)'s. Now (3.5) says U(t) Y(t)  $\psi \in \Re_{\psi}$ , so we may restrict U(t) Y(t) to  $\Re_{\psi}$  getting a unitary operator Z(t).

Z is easily seen to be a one-parameter group, and Z(t) is weakly measurable in t since

$$Z(t) = \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{st-lim}} \exp it(N_s - a_1 I) \otimes \cdots \otimes \exp it(N_s - a_n I) \otimes I \otimes I \otimes \cdots.$$

Since  $\Re_{\psi}$  is separable this implies that  $t \to Z(t)$  has a self-adjoint generator N'. Clearly N' is a number operator for  $W_{\psi}$  because

$$Z(t) W_{\psi}(z) Z(-t) = U(t) W_{\psi}(z) U(-t)$$
  
=  $W_{w}(e^{it}z)$ .

Then, since  $e^{itN'}e^{-itN}$  commutes with all the  $W_{\psi}(z)$ 's, the irreducibility of the representation implies that N' differs from N by a constant multiple of the identity. Hence by changing the real number  $a_1$  selected above, we may suppose N' = N. This gives (3.4).

Step 3. The constants  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  in (3.4) may be selected to be integers  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$ 

Proof of Step 3: Since each  $\mu_k(2\pi) = 1$ , we have from (3.5)

$$\sum |1 - e^{-2\pi i a_k}| < +\infty. {(3.6)}$$

If we write  $a_k = n_k + b_k$ , where  $n_k$  is an integer and  $-1/2 < b_k \le 1/2$ , then (3.6) says  $\sum |1 - e^{-2\pi i b_k}| < +\infty$ , which implies [13] that  $\sum |b_k|$  converges. So we have

$$e^{itN} = \exp\left(-it\sum_k b_k\right) \exp it(N_s - n_1 I) \otimes \exp it(N_s - n_2 I) \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot .$$

Taking  $t=2\pi$ , we see that  $\sum b_k$  is an integer, which we may incorporate into  $n_1$ . We then have

$$e^{itN} = \exp it(N_s - n_1 I) \otimes \exp it(N_s - n_2 I) \otimes \cdots$$
 (3.7)

Step 4. If  $h_k$  is the kth Hermite function, then  $\psi$  is weakly equivalent to

$$h=h_{n_1}\otimes h_{n_2}\otimes h_{n_3}\otimes \cdots$$

where  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$  are the integers in (3.7).

Proof of Step 4: Using (3.7) and the fact that  $e^{itN} \psi \in \Re_{\psi}$ , we have

$$\langle e^{itN} \, \psi, \psi \rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \exp it(N_s - n_k I) \, \psi_k, \psi_k \rangle.$$
 (3.8)

Using the spectral theorem we see that the function  $t \to \langle e^{itN} \psi, \psi \rangle$  is the characteristic function of a probability measure, and likewise  $t \to \langle \exp it(N_s - n_k I) \psi_k, \psi_k \rangle$  is the characteristic function of a probability measure  $m_k$ . In fact, if  $P_n$  is the projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by the Hermite function  $h_n$ , then

$$N_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P_n$$
. Hence  $m_k$  assigns measure  $\langle P_n \psi_k, \psi_k \rangle$  to the integer  $n - n_k, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ 

Now we use the Kolmogorov three series theorem (see [8], p. 111, or [20]) which tells us that since the infinite product of the characteristic functions of the  $dm_k$ 's converges to a characteristic function, we have

$$\sum_{k} \int_{|x|>c} dm_k(x) < +\infty.$$
 (3.9)

Here c is any positive number; for our purposes we take c = 1/2. Then

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{|x| < c} d \, m_k(x) &= m_k(\{0\}) \\ &= \langle P_{n_k} \psi_k, \, \psi_k \rangle \\ &= |\langle h_{n_k}, \, \psi_k \rangle|^2 \, . \end{split}$$

So

$$\int\limits_{|x|>c}d\,m_k(x)=1-|\langle h_{n_k},\,\psi_k
angle|^2$$

and (3.9) says

$$\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1-\left|\left\langle h_{n_k}, \psi_k \right
angle 
ight|^2 \right) < \infty$$
 .

Since each  $|\langle h_{n_r}, \psi_k \rangle| \leq 1$ , this implies the convergence of

$$\sum (1-|\langle h_{n_k},\psi_k\rangle|)$$
,

which is the definition of weak equivalence of  $\psi$  with  $h_{n_1} \otimes h_{n_2} \otimes \cdots$ . Step 5. The theorem is now proved, since if  $\psi$  is weakly equivalent to h, then [13] there exist constants  $c_1, c_2, \ldots$  such that

$$\sum |1 - \langle c_k h_{n_k}, \psi_k \rangle|$$

converges. Then  $\varphi=c_1h_{n_1}\otimes c_2h_{n_2}\otimes\cdots\in\Re_{\psi}$ , and each  $\varphi_k=c_kh_{n_k}$  is an eigenfunction of  $N_s$ .

## 4. Continuity Properties of Discrete Representations

The direct-product representations, as described in Section 3, are defined only over the space  $\mathscr{V}$ , which is the algebraic span of a basis. But for physical applications such a space is too small; generally one needs a representation defined over a space of test functions or over a complete space. So it is of interest to inquire which of the discrete representations can be extended from  $\mathscr{V}$  to  $\mathfrak{H}$ . And for our purposes it is not sufficient to prove abstractly that such an extension exists, since we would want the extended Weyl system over  $\mathfrak{H}$  to have a number operator. Examples are known [2] of Weyl systems over  $\mathfrak{H}$  which have no number operator, yet whose restrictions to  $\mathscr{V}$  do have number operators.

The most natural idea is to extend the representation by continuity. For the case of a direct-product representation W, STREIT [20] has determined the precise set of  $z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j e_j$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  to which the representation

can be extended via the formula

$$W(z) = \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{st-lim}} W\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} e_{j}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

However, to use his criterion to determine whether or not a particular discrete representation can be extended to every  $z \in \mathfrak{H}$  using (4.1) is much more difficult than proceeding directly. So our method is independent of Streit's Theorem. The result is that some of them can be extended to all of  $\mathfrak{H}$  via (4.1) and some cannot be.

**4.1 Definition.** Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be an inner product space and W a Weyl system over  $\mathfrak{H}$ . W is continuous (on all of  $\mathfrak{H}$ ) if the map  $z \to W(z)$  is continuous from the metric topology of  $\mathfrak{H}$  into the weak operator topology.

We recall that every Weyl system is continuous on finite-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , but examples are known [2, 20] of Weyl systems which are not continuous on all of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Our interest in continuous Weyl systems lies in the fact that they may be easily extended from dense subspaces to the whole space, and if the original representation had a number operator, so will the extended one. There is nothing difficult about these results, and the first is essentially proved elsewhere [1], but we give the proofs here for later reference.

**4.2 Lemma.** Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be an inner product space and  $\mathscr{V}$  a dense subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . A continuous Weyl system over  $\mathscr{V}$  has a unique extension to a continuous Weyl system over  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

*Proof.* We just have to prove the existence of a continuous extension, since such an extension is clearly unique and satisfies the Weyl relation (1.1). Since every representation is a direct sum of cyclic representations it suffices to consider a cyclic continuous representation W over  $\mathscr V$  acting on, say,  $\Re$ .

We must show that if  $z_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  is any sequence in  $\mathscr V$  converging to  $z_0$ , then the sequence  $\{W(z_n) \, x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathscr R$  for every  $x \in \mathscr R$ . Since the  $W(z_n)$ 's are unitary, it actually suffices to prove this only for those x lying in a total subset S of  $\mathscr R$ . For S we choose  $\{W(z) \, v : z \in \mathscr V\}$ , where v is a unit cyclic vector. The Weyl relation (1.1) then gives directly

$$||[W(z_m) - W(z_n)]| W(z) v||^2$$
(4.2)

$$= 2 - 2 \; \mathrm{Re} \left[ \mu (z_n - z_m) \; \exp \frac{1}{2} i \; \mathrm{Im} \{ (z_n, z_m) + 2 (z_n - z_m, z) \} \right] \, ,$$

where  $\mu(z_n-z_m)=\langle W(z_n-z_m)\,v,v\rangle$ . This  $\to 0$  as  $m,n\to\infty$  since  $z_n-z_m\in\mathscr{V}$  and  $\|z_n-z_m\|\to 0$ , so that by the continuity of W at 0 in  $\mathscr{V}$ ,  $W(z_n-z_m)\to I$ .

Hence we know there is an operator  $W(z_0)$  such that for any sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $\mathscr V$  converging to  $z_0$ , st-lim  $W(z_n) = W(z_0)$ . Since  $W(z_0)$  is the limit of unitaries, it is isometric. But since  $W(-z_0)$  also exists, and the Weyl relation shows it is the inverse of  $W(z_0)$ , we know  $W(z_0)$  is unitary.

**4.3 Lemma.** Let W be a continuous representation of the Weyl relations over  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and  $\mathscr{V}$  a dense subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . If the restriction of W to  $\mathscr{V}$  has a number operator N, then N is a number operator for W over  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

*Proof.* If  $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathscr V$  converging to z, then

$$\begin{split} e^{itN} \ W(z) \ e^{-itN} &= \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{st-lim}} \ e^{itN} \ W(z_n) \ e^{-itN} \\ &= \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{st-lim}} \ W(e^{it} z_n) \\ &= W(e^{it} z) \ . \ \blacksquare \blacksquare \end{split}$$

Now we need a practical criterion for deciding whether or not a representation is continuous, and this is given in the next result.

**4.4 Proposition.** Let W be a cyclic Weyl system over  $\mathscr V$  on  $\Re$ , let v be a unit cyclic vector and  $\mu$  the generating functional

$$\mu(z) = \langle W(z) v, v \rangle$$
.

W is continuous on all of  $\mathscr V$  if and only if  $\mu$  is continuous at  $0 \in \mathscr V$ .

4.5 Corollary. A Weyl system is continuous if and only if it is continuous at zero.

Proof of Proposition (sufficiency). Suppose  $\mu$  is continuous at 0. If  $z_0 \in \mathscr{V}$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  is any sequence in  $\mathscr{V}$  converging to  $z_0$ , we must prove that st-lim  $W(z_n) = W(z_0)$ . This is done exactly as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, except that in (4.2) we replace  $z_m$  by  $z_0$ .

Now we use these observations to analyse the discrete representations. In this case  $\mathscr V$  is the algebraic span of the orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots\}$ . Each discrete representation is unitarily equivalent to a  $W_h$ , where h has the form  $h = h_{n_1} \otimes h_{n_2} \otimes \cdots$ , and  $h_n$  is the nth Hermite function. The generating functional

$$\mu(z) = \langle W_h(z) h, h \rangle \tag{4.3}$$

is entirely determined by the functions  $\mu_n$  on  $\mathbb C$  defined by

$$\mu_n(\alpha) = \langle W_s(\alpha) h_n, h_n \rangle, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (4.4)

For if  $z = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_j e_j \in \mathscr{V}$ , then

$$\mu(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} \mu_{n_j}(\alpha_j) . \tag{4.5}$$

The functions  $\mu_n$  are easily calculated using the fact that

$$h_n = (n!)^{-1/2} C^n h_0$$
,

where  $h_0(x) = \pi^{-1/4} e^{-(1/2)x^2}$ , and C is the creation operator for the Schrödinger representation. We omit the details, and give the result:

$$\mu_n(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{k!} \left( -\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} \right)^k e^{-(1/4)|\alpha|^2}. \tag{4.6}$$

Here  $\binom{n}{k}$  is the binomial coefficient.

Knowing the explicit form of the generating functionals, we can determine their continuity properties. The result is this:

**4.6 Proposition.** Let  $h = h_{n_1} \otimes h_{n_2} \otimes \cdots$ , where  $h_n$  is the nth Hermite function. The generating functional  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathscr{V}$  by (4.3) is continuous at 0 if and only if the sequence  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$  is bounded.

As an immediate corollary of 4.2-4.4, 4.6 we have

**4.7 Theorem.** Let  $h = h_{n_1} \otimes h_{n_2} \otimes \cdots$ , where  $h_n$  is the nth Hermite function, and let  $W_h$  be the direct-product representation of the Weyl relations over  $\mathscr{V}$ , which acts on the infinite tensor product space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \cdots$  with distinguished vector h. Then  $W_h$  has a continuous extension to a particle representation (Defin. 1.5) on  $\mathfrak{H}$  (= completion of  $\mathscr{V}$ ) if and only if the occupation numbers  $n_1, n_2, n_3, \ldots$  are bounded.

For the purpose of proving Proposition 4.6 we will need the following simple inequality.

**4.8 Lemma.** Let  $\mu_n$  be the generating functional (4.3). If  $|\alpha| \leq 2^{-n}$ , then

$$1 \ge \mu_n(\alpha) \ge \exp\left(-2^n |\alpha|^2\right). \tag{4.7}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_n$  is a generating functional,  $|\mu_n(\alpha)| \leq 1$  for all  $\alpha$ , and since  $\mu_n$  is real [cf. (4.6)], half of the inequality is proved. For the other half, write

$$\mu_n(\alpha) = (1 + b_n(\alpha)) e^{-(1/4)|\alpha|^2},$$
 (4.8)

where

$$b_n(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{k!} \left( -\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} \right)^k.$$

Then for  $|\alpha| < 2^{-n}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n(\alpha)| &\leq \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \right) = \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} (2^n - 1) \\ &\leq |\alpha|^2 2^{n-1} < 1/2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\log(1+b_n(\alpha))| \leq 2|b_n(\alpha)| \leq (2^n-1)|\alpha|^2$$
,

so

$$1 + b_n(\alpha) \ge \exp[-(2^n - 1) |\alpha|^2]$$
.

In view of (4.8) this gives

$$\mu_n(\alpha) \ge \exp(-2^n |\alpha|^2)$$
,

and the lemma is proved.

Proof of Proposition 4.6 (sufficiency). Suppose the sequence  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$  is bounded above by the integer M. Then if  $z = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_j e_j \in \mathscr{V}$  is such that  $\|z\|^2 < 2^{-2M}$ , then each  $|\alpha_j| < 2^{-M}$  so it follows from Lemma 4.8 that

$$1 \ge \mu_{n_i}(\alpha_j) \ge \exp(-2^M |\alpha_j|^2)$$
,

for  $j=1,\ldots,p$ .

Hence, using (4.5), if  $||z||^2 < 2^{-2M}$  we have

$$1 \ge \mu(z) \ge \prod_{j=1}^{p} \exp(-2^{M} |\alpha_{j}|^{2}) = \exp(-2^{M} ||z||^{2}).$$

This shows  $\mu$  is continuous at zero in  $\mathscr{V}$ .

(Necessity). Suppose the sequence  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$  is unbounded. We will show that for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $z \in \mathscr{V}$  such that  $||z|| < \delta$  and yet

$$|1 - \mu(z)| > 1/4$$
. (4.9)

This proves  $\mu$  is not continuous at 0.

So suppose  $\delta > 0$  is given. Since the sequence  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$  is unbounded we can find  $n_j$  such that  $(2/n_j)^{1/2} < \delta$ , and we suppose  $n_j \ge 3$ . Select  $z = (2/n_j)^{1/2} e_j$ . Then  $||z|| < \delta$  and we see that (4.9) is true as follows (we drop the subscript j, writing n for  $n_j$ ):

$$egin{aligned} \mu(z) &= \mu_n((2/n)^{1/2}) \ &= \left[1 - {n \choose 1} rac{1}{n} + r
ight] \exp\left(-rac{1}{2n}
ight) \ &= r \exp\left(-rac{1}{2n}
ight), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$r = \sum_{k=2}^{n} {n \choose k} \frac{1}{k!} \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k}.$$

Thus we have  $|\mu(z)| < |r|$ . But

$$\begin{split} |r| & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-k)! \, k!} \, \frac{1}{k!} \, \frac{1}{n^k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{n!}{n^k \, (n-k)!} \, \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} < e - 2 < \frac{3}{4} \, , \end{split}$$

so  $|\mu(z)| < 3/4$  which implies  $|1 - \mu(z)| > 1/4$ .

It is still thinkable that the discrete representations corresponding to unbounded occupation numbers  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$  might be extendable to all of  $\mathfrak{H}$  via (4.1), even though the Weyl system is not continuous. But an easy modification of the proof just completed shows that this does not happen. In general, such a representation can be extended by (4.1) to a Weyl system on a subspace strictly larger than  $\mathscr{V}$ , but not to all of  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

### 5. Some Other Particle Representations

If T is a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $T \geq I$ , and  $\mathscr{D}$  is its domain, then a generating functional on  $\mathscr{D}$  is given by

$$\mu(z) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4} \|Tz\|^2\right], \quad z \in \mathscr{D}. \tag{5.1}$$

Special examples of representations having generating functionals of this form are those given by the extreme universally invariant states found by Shale, in which case T is a constant operator (see Segal [18]), and the states of an infinite free nonrelativistic Bose gas discussed by Araki and Woods [1].

Since the  $\mu$  given in (5.1) is invariant under change of phase, by Prop 2.1 there is a number operator for the cyclic representation of the Weyl relations determined by  $\mu$ . Using a construction due essentially to Araki and Woods, it is possible to exhibit explicitly the representation and its number operator. This construction also proves that (5.1) is actually a generating functional.

Let  $A = \frac{1}{2}(T^2 - I)$ , and let  $\beta$  be any conjugation of  $\mathfrak{H}$  which commutes with A. ( $\beta$  is anti-linear, and  $\beta^2 = I$ ; such a conjugation always exists.) Now let  $\mathscr{M}$  be the closure of the range of  $A^{1/2}$ , a subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and denote by  $\mathfrak{H}_F$  (resp.  $\mathscr{M}_F$ ) the Fock-Cook space constructed over  $\mathfrak{H}$  (resp.  $\mathscr{M}$ ). For  $z \in \mathscr{D}$ , it is easy to see that  $[I + A]^{1/2}z$  and  $A^{1/2}\beta z$  are both defined, so we may define a unitary operator W(z) on  $\mathfrak{H}_F \otimes \mathscr{M}_F$  by

$$W(z) = W_F((I+A)^{1/2}z) \otimes W_F(A^{1/2}\beta z). \tag{5.2}$$

Here the first  $W_F$  is the Fock-Cook representation of the Weyl relations over  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and the second is the analogous representation over  $\mathscr{M}$ .

Direct calculations show that W is a representation of the Weyl relations over  $\mathcal{D}$  and that the function  $\mu$  given in (5.1) satisfies

$$\mu\left(z\right)=\left\langle W\left(z\right)v_{0}\otimes v_{0},v_{0}\otimes v_{0}\right\rangle ,$$

where  $v_0$  is the zero-particle state in the Fock-Cook representation. Also simple modifications of the proofs in Araki-Woods [1] show that  $v_0 \otimes v_0$  is cyclic for W(z), and W is a factor representation, reducible unless A = 0.

In case  $A \neq 0$ , an explicit normalized number operator for W is the closure of  $N_F \otimes I - I \otimes N_F$ , where the first  $N_F$  is the usual number operator for the Fock-Cook representation over  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and the second is the analogous operator for  $\mathscr{M}$ . In fact

$$\begin{split} (e^{itN_F} \otimes e^{-itN_F}) \; W(z) \; (e^{-itN_F} \otimes e^{itN_F}) \\ &= W_F(e^{it} \; [I+A]^{1/2} \, z) \otimes W_F(e^{-it} \, A^{1/2} \, \beta z) \\ &= W_F([I+A]^{1/2} \, (e^{it} \, z)) \otimes W_F(A^{1/2} \, \beta (e^{it} \, z)) \\ &= W(e^{it} \, z) \; , \end{split}$$

which shows that the self-adjoint generator of the group  $t \to e^{itN_F} \otimes e^{-itN_F}$  is a number operator N for W, and this is the operator described above. Since  $e^{itN}$  leaves invariant the cyclic vector  $v_0 \otimes v_0$  whose generating functional is  $\mu$ , this is the operator one obtains using the construction described in the proof of Prop. 2.1.

The simplicity of constructing N disguises the fact that it is not really a natural number operator for W. First of all, N is not affiliated with the weakly-closed algebra  $\mathfrak B$  generated by the W(z)'s, i.e.  $e^{itN} \notin \mathfrak B$ . Hence it is difficult to think of N as an observable or as a renormalization

of the formal operator  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^* a_k$ , since the finite sums  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^* a_k$  are all affiliated with  $\mathfrak{B}$ . To see that  $e^{itN} \notin \mathfrak{B}$ , observe that when  $A \neq 0$  it is always possible to find non-zero  $z_0 \in \mathscr{D}$  such that  $[I+A]^{1/2} z_0 \in \mathscr{M}$ . Then the Weyl relations show that the operator

$$W_F(A^{1/2} \beta z_0) \otimes W_F([I+A]^{1/2} z_0)$$

commutes with all the W(z)'s; but it does not commute with  $e^{itN}$  except when t is an integer multiple of  $2\pi$ .

A second peculiarity of N, related to the first, is that its spectrum always consists of all integers (positive and negative). However for certain choices of T the cyclic representation (5.2) determined by  $\mu$  is actually unitarily equivalent to a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations. So for these representations it is possible to find a non-negative number operator, in which case the operator N selected above is a particularly unnatural choice.

We conclude with a determination of which T's give rise to a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations. The proof uses the fact that such representations are the only finite-particle representations [2].

**5.1 Theorem.** Suppose  $T \ge I$  is a self-adjoint operator with domain  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ . The cyclic particle representation W of the Weyl relations determined by the generating functional

$$\mu(z) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4} \|Tz\|^2\right], \quad z \in \mathscr{D}$$

is (unitarily equivalent to) a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations if and only if  $T^2 - I$  has convergent trace.

*Proof.* First we show that if  $A = \frac{1}{2}(T^2 - I)$  does not have pure point spectrum, then the representation (5.2) corresponding to  $\mu$  is not a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_c$  be the continuous subspace for A, i.e. the orthogonal complement of the subspace of  $\mathfrak{F}_c$  spanned by the eigenvectors of A. It suffices to show that the W(z)'s for  $z \in \mathfrak{F}_c$  give a Weyl system which is not a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations over the same subspace  $\mathfrak{F}_c$ . So there is no loss of generality in assuming A has no point spectrum, i.e.  $\mathfrak{F}_c = \mathfrak{F}_c$ .

We shall use the following lemma which is proved, but not stated, by Araki and Woods [1].

5.2 Lemma. Suppose the weakly-closed algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  of operators on  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a factor other than all bounded operators on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and v is a cyclic vector for  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Suppose further that there exists a unitary operator U on  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $U\mathfrak{B}U^{-1}=\mathfrak{B}$  and v is the unique eigenvector of U. Then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is not type I.

We apply this lemma to the algebra  $\mathfrak{B} = \{W(z) : z \in \mathfrak{D}\}^{\prime\prime}$ , which is a reducible factor, as mentioned earlier. For v we take the cyclic vector  $v_0 \otimes v_0$ . For U we take the operator  $V \otimes V^{-1}$  where

$$V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^{iA})^{\otimes n}$$

a unitary operator on the Fock-Cook space  $\mathfrak{F}_F$ . Since A has only continuous spectrum, the same is true of  $(e^{iA})^{\otimes n}$ , so that V has only one eigenvector,  $v_0$ . Hence U has only one eigenvector, namely  $v = v_0 \otimes v_0$ .

Furthermore, for  $z \in \mathcal{D}$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} U\,W(z)\;U^{-1} = \,V\,W_F([I+A]^{1/2}\,z)\,\,V^{-1}\otimes\,V^{-1}\,\,W_F(A^{1/2}\,\beta z)\,\,V\\ \\ = \,W_F(e^{i\,A}\,[I+A]^{1/2}\,z)\otimes\,W_F(e^{-\,i\,A}\,A^{1/2}\,\beta z)\\ \\ = \,W(e^{i\,A}\,z)\;. \end{array}$$

This shows  $U\mathfrak{B}U^{-1}=\mathfrak{B}$ , and then the Lemma 5.2 says  $\mathfrak{B}$  is not Type I. But the algebra generated by a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations is Type I, since the Fock-Cook representation is irreducible. So if A has continuous spectrum, the representation is not a direct sum of Fock-Cooks.

We are thus reduced to the case that A (or T) has pure point spectrum. So let  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{F}$  consisting of eigenvectors of T:

$$Te_j = t_j e_j$$
.

For simplicity we shall first consider  $\mu$  as defined only on the set  $\mathscr V$  of finite linear combinations of the basis vectors  $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ 

Let E be the regular state of the Weyl algebra over  $\mathscr V$  whose generating functional is  $\mu$ . According to Theorem 4, p. 77 [2], the cyclic representation determined by E is a direct sum of Fock representations if and only if the functions  $\psi_{\mathscr M}(t) = E(e^{itN(\mathscr M)})$  converge uniformly in t as  $\mathscr M \to \mathscr V$  through the finite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathscr V$ . (Here  $N(\mathscr M)$  is the usual number operator over  $\mathscr M$ .) In the present case, since every finite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathscr V$  is contained in some  $\mathscr M_k$  = span $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ , it can be shown that this is equivalent to the convergence of the sequence  $\psi_k(t) = E(\exp it N(\mathscr M_k))$  to a characteristic function.

Now if  $z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}e_{j} \in \mathcal{V}$ , then the generating functional  $\mu$  factors as

$$\mu(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}(z_{j})$$
 (5.3)

where

$$\mu_j(\alpha) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4}t_j^2 |\alpha|^2\right], \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(5.4)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_j$  be the weakly-closed algebra generated by the Weyl operators corresponding to z's lying in the one-dimensional subspace  $[e_j]$  spanned by  $e_j$ . And let  $E_j$  be the state of  $\mathfrak{A}_j$  whose generating functional is  $\mu_j$  (5.4). Then by (5.3) and the regularity of E it follows that if  $A_j \in \mathfrak{A}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ , we have

$$E(A_1 A_2 \ldots A_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n E_j(A_j).$$

But the operator  $\exp(itN(\mathcal{M}_k))$  is just such a product. In fact (e.g. [2] p. 35, 37)

$$\exp(itN(\mathcal{M}_k)) = \exp(itN([e_1])) \dots \exp(itN([e_k]))$$
,

so

$$\psi_k(t) = E(\exp(itN(\mathcal{M}_k)))$$
 (5.5)

$$= \prod_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(t)$$

where

$$\varphi_j(t) = E_j(\exp it N([e_j])). \tag{5.6}$$

Thus we are reduced to finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the infinite product  $\Pi \varphi_j$  to converge to a characteristic function.

These are given by the Kolmogorov Three-series Theorem if we know the measure whose characteristic function is  $\varphi_j$ . For this we need the explicit formula for  $E_j$  as given by Segal [18], Theorem 1. Namely

$$E_j(A) = (1 - c_j) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_j^n \operatorname{trace} (A P_n(j)).$$

where  $c_j$  is selected between 0 and 1 so that  $t_j^2 = \frac{(1+c_j)}{(1-c_j)}$ , and  $P_n(j)$  is the projection onto the *n*-particle subspace of  $N([e_j])$ . Then, using (5.6), we see that the measure whose characteristic function is  $\varphi_j$  has mass  $(1-c_j) c_j^n$  at  $n, n=0, 1, 2, \ldots$  The Three-series Theorem (e.g. [20]) then says that  $\Pi \varphi_j$  converges if and only if these three series converge:

$$\sum_{j} c_j$$
,  $\sum_{j} c_j/(1-c_j)$ , and  $\sum_{j} \left[ \left( \frac{c_j}{1-c_j} \right)^2 + \frac{c_j}{1-c_j} \right]$ .

The convergence of these three is equivalent to that of  $\sum c_j/(1-c_j)$  and since  $t_j^2-1=2c_j/(1-c_j)$ , this is equivalent to the convergence of the trace of  $T^2-I$ .

It follows that if  $T^2-I$  is not a trace class operator, then the representation W over  $\mathscr V$  is not a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations over  $\mathscr V$ . In this case the representation over  $\mathscr D \supset \mathscr V$  cannot be a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations over  $\mathscr D$ .

Conversely, if  $T^2 - I$  is trace class, then the representation W over  $\mathscr{V}$  is a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations over  $\mathscr{V}$ . Since T is bounded,  $\mathscr{D} = \mathfrak{H}$  so the representation W is defined on all of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and by Prop. 4.4 it is continuous on all of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Since a direct sum of Fock-Cook representations is also continuous on all of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , the two agree everywhere.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank J. GLIMM for bringing to my attention special examples of the types of representations discussed in Sections 3 and 5 and for helpful comments about an earlier version of this work. Thanks also to L. Gross for technical suggestions; and to I. E. Segal for conjecturing Theorem 5.1 and for the hospitality of the M. I. T. Mathematics Department where this work was completed.

#### References

- 1. Araki, H., and E. J. Woods: J. Math. Phys. 4, 637 (1963).
- 2. CHAIKEN, J. M.: Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 42, 23 (1967).
- 3. Cook, J. M.: Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 74, 222 (1953).
- 4. Dell'Antonio, G.-F., and S. Doplicher: J. Math. Phys. 8, 663 (1967).
- 5. -, and D. RUELLE: Commun. Math. Phys. 2, 223 (1966).
- 6. Dixmier, J.: Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leur représentations. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1963.
- Les algèbres d'operateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1957.
- 8. Doob, J. L.: Stochastic processes. New York: Wiley 1953.
- 9. Fock, V.: Z. Physik 75, 622 (1932).
- 10. GELFAND, I. M., and M. A. NAIMARK: Mat. Sb. 12, 197 (1943).
- 11. KLAUDER, J. R., J. McKenna, and E. J. Woods: J. Math. Phys. 7, 822 (1966).
- 12. NEUMANN, J. von: Math. Ann. 104, 570 (1932).
- 13. Compos. Math. 6, 1 (1938).
- 14. Reed, M.: Stanford Ph. D. Thesis.
- 15. SEGAL, I. E.: Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 53, 73 (1947).
- 16. Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab, Mat.-Fys. Medd. 31, No. 12 (1959).
- 17. Can. J. Math. 13, 1 (1961).
- 18. Ill. J. Math. 6, 500 (1962).
- Mathematical problems of relativistic physics. Providence, R. I.: Amer. Math. Soc. 1963.
- 20. Streit, L.: Commun. Math. Phys. 4, 22 (1967).
- 21. WIGHTMAN, A. S., and S. S. SCHWEBER: Phys. Rev. 98, 812 (1955).
- 22. Yosida, K.: Functional analysis. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1966.

Dr. J. M. CHAIKEN
Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, Mass. 02139, USA