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# Observation of an exotic narrow doubly charmed tetraquark 
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#### Abstract

Conventional hadronic matter consists of baryons and mesons made of three quarks and quark-antiquark pairs, respectively. The observation of a new type of hadronic state, a doubly charmed tetraquark containing two charm quarks, an anti-u and an anti-d quark, is reported using data collected by the LHCb experiment at the Large Hadron Collider. This exotic state with a mass of about $3875 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ manifests itself as a narrow peak in the mass spectrum of $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$mesons just below the $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass threshold. The near-threshold mass together with a strikingly narrow width reveals the resonance nature of the state.


[^0]Quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the theory of the strong force, describes interactions of coloured quarks and gluons and the formation of hadronic matter, the so-called mesons and baryons. While QCD makes precise predictions at high energies, the theory has difficulties describing the interactions of quarks in hadrons from first principles due to the highly non-perturbative regime at the corresponding energy scale. Hence, the field of hadron spectroscopy is driven by experimental discoveries that sometimes are unexpected, which could lead to changes in the research landscape. Along with conventional mesons and baryons, made of a quark-antiquark pair $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{2}\right)$ and three quarks $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{2} \mathrm{q}_{3}\right)$, respectively, particles with an alternative quark content, known as exotic states, have been actively discussed since the birth of the constituent quark model [1-6]. The discussion has been revived by recent observations of numerous tetraquark $\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{3} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{4}$ and pentaquark $\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{2} \mathrm{q}_{3} \mathrm{q}_{4} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{5}$ candidates $[7-33]$. Due to the closeness of their masses to known particle-pair thresholds [34, 35], many of these states are likely to be hadronic molecules [36-39] where colour-singlet hadrons are bound by residual nuclear forces similar to the electromagnetic van der Waals forces attracting electrically neutral atoms and molecules. An ordinary example of a hadronic molecule is the deuteron formed by a proton and a neutron. On the other hand, an interpretation of exotic states as compact multiquark structures is also possible 40.

All exotic hadrons observed so far decay via the strong interaction and their decay widths vary from a few to a few hundred MeV . A discovery of a long-lived exotic state, stable with respect to the strong interaction, would be intriguing. A hadron with two heavy quarks Q and two light antiquarks $\overline{\mathrm{q}}$, i.e. $\mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{2}$, is a prime candidate to form such a state $41-46]$. In the limit of a large heavy-quark mass the two heavy quarks $\mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{2}$ form a point-like heavy colour-antitriplet object, that behaves similarly to an antiquark, and the corresponding state should be bound. It is expected that the b quark is heavy enough to sustain the existence of a stable bbū̄ $\bar{d}$ state with its binding energy of about 200 MeV with respect to the sum of masses of the pseudoscalar and vector beauty mesons that defines the minimal mass for the strong decay to be allowed. In the case of the bc $\bar{u} \bar{d}$ and cc $\bar{u} \bar{d}$ systems, there is currently no consensus as to whether such states exist and are narrow enough to be detected experimentally. The similarity of the cc $\bar{u} \bar{d}$ tetraquark state and the $\Xi_{\mathrm{cc}}^{++}$baryon containing two c quarks and a u quark, leads to a relationship between the properties of the two states. In particular, the measured mass of the $\Xi_{\mathrm{cc}}^{++}$baryon with quark content ccu 47,49 implies that the mass of the $c c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ tetraquark is close to the sum of masses of $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{*+}$ mesons with quark content of $c \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ and $c \bar{d}$, respectively, as suggested in Ref. [50]. Theoretical predictions for the mass of the ccū $\bar{d}$ ground state with spin-parity quantum numbers $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=1^{+}$and isospin $\mathrm{I}=0$, denoted hereafter as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$, relative to the $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass threshold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta m \equiv m_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}}-\left(m_{\mathrm{D}^{*+}}+m_{\mathrm{D}^{0}}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

lie in the range $-300<\delta m<300 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ [50 81], where $m_{\mathrm{D}^{*+}}$ and $m_{\mathrm{D}^{0}}$ denote the known masses of the $\mathrm{D}^{*+}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mesons [35]. Lattice QCD calculations also do not provide a definite conclusion on the existence of the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$state and its binding energy $[70,82-84]$. The observation of the $\Xi_{\text {cc }}^{++}$baryon [47, 48] and of a new exotic resonance decaying to a pair of $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ mesons [26] by the LHCb experiment, motivates the search for the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$state.

In this Letter, the observation of a narrow state in the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$mass spectrum ${ }^{1}$ near the $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass threshold compatible with being a $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$tetraquark state is reported.

[^1]The study is based on proton-proton ( pp ) collision data collected with the LHCb detector at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN at centre-of-mass energies of 7, 8 and 13 TeV , corresponding to integrated luminosity of $9 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The LHCb detector 8586 is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2<\eta<5$, designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks and is further described in Methods.

The $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$final state is reconstructed by selecting events with two $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mesons and a positively charged pion all produced at the same pp interaction point. Both $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mesons are reconstructed in the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{-} \pi^{+}$decay channel. The selection criteria are similar to those used in Ref. [87]. To subtract background not originating from two $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates an extended unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the two-dimensional distribution of the masses of the two $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates is performed. The corresponding procedure, together with the selection criteria, is described in detail in Methods. To improve the $\delta m$ mass resolution and to make the determination insensitive to the precision of the $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ meson mass, the mass of the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations is calculated with the mass of each $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ meson constrained to the known value [35]. The resulting $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$mass distribution for selected $D^{0} D^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations is shown in Fig. 1. A narrow peak near the $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass threshold is clearly visible.

An extended unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$mass distribution is performed using a model consisting of signal and background components. The signal component is described by the convolution of the detector resolution with a resonant shape, which is modelled by a relativistic P-wave two-body Breit-Wigner function modified by a Blatt-Weisskopf form factor $[88,89]$ with a meson-radius parameter of $3.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$. The use of a P -wave resonance is motivated by the expected $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=1^{+}$quantum numbers for the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$state. A two-body decay structure $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{AB}$ is assumed with $m_{\mathrm{A}}=2 m_{\mathrm{D}^{0}}$ and $m_{\mathrm{B}}=m_{\pi^{+}}$, where $m_{\pi^{+}}$stands for the known mass of the $\pi^{+}$meson. Several alternative prescriptions are used for evaluation of systematic uncertainties. Despite its simplicity, the model serves well to quantify the existence of the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$state and to measure its properties, such as the position and the width of the resonance. A follow-up study 90 investigates the underlying nature of the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$state, expanding on the modelling of the signal shape and determining its physical properties. The detector resolution is modelled by the sum of two Gaussian functions with a common mean, where the additional parameters are taken from simulation (see Methods) with corrections applied [29, 91, 92]. The root mean square of the resolution function is around $400 \mathrm{keV} / c^{2}$. A study of the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$mass distribution for $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations in the region above the $\mathrm{D}^{* 0} \mathrm{D}^{+}$mass threshold and below $3.9 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ shows that approximately $90 \%$ of all random $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations contain a genuine $\mathrm{D}^{*+}$ meson. Based on this observation, the background component is parametrised by the product of a two-body phase space function [93] and a positive second-order polynomial. The resulting function is convolved with the detector resolution.

The fit results are shown in Fig. 1, and the parameters of interest, namely the signal yield, $N$, the mass parameter of the Breit-Wigner function relative to the $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass threshold, $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}} \equiv m_{\mathrm{BW}}-\left(m_{\mathrm{D}^{*+}}+m_{\mathrm{D}^{0}}\right)$, and the width parameter, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$, are listed in Table 1. The statistical significance of the observed $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$signal is estimated using Wilks' theorem [94] to be 22 standard deviations. The fit suggests that the mass parameter of the Breit-Wigner shape is slightly below the $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass threshold. The statistical significance of the hypothesis $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}<0$ is estimated to be 4.3 standard deviations.

To validate the presence of the signal component, several additional cross-checks are performed. The data are categorised according to data-taking periods including the polarity


Figure 1: Distribution of $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{0}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}$mass. Distribution of $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$mass where the contribution of the non- $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ background has been statistically subtracted. The result of the fit described in the text is overlaid.

Table 1: Signal yield, $N$, Breit-Wigner mass relative to $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass threshold, $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$, and width, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$, obtained from the fit to the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$mass spectrum. The uncertainties are statistical only.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| $N$ | $117 \pm 16$ |
| $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ | $-273 \pm 61 \mathrm{keV} / c^{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$ | $410 \pm 165 \mathrm{keV}$ |

of the LHCb dipole magnet and the charge of the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$candidates. Instead of statistical subtracting the non- $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ background, the mass of each $\mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{-} \pi^{+}$candidate is required to be within a narrow region around the known mass of the $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ meson $[35]$. The results are found to be consistent among all samples and analysis techniques. Furthermore, dedicated studies are performed to ensure that the observed signal is not caused by kaon or pion misidentification, doubly Cabibbo-suppressed $\mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{+} \pi^{-}$decays and $\mathrm{D}^{0} \overline{\mathrm{D}}^{0}$ oscillations, decays of charm hadrons originating from beauty hadrons, or artefacts due to the track

Table 2: Systematic uncertainties for the $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$ parameters. The total uncertainty is calculated as the sum in quadrature of all components except for those related to the $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}$ quantum numbers assignment, which are handled separately.

| Source | $\sigma_{\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}}\left[\mathrm{keV} / c^{2}\right]$ | $\sigma_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}}[\mathrm{keV}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fit model |  |  |
| $\quad$ Resolution model | 2 | 7 |
| Resolution correction factor | 1 | 30 |
| Background model | 3 | 30 |
| Model parameters | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Momentum scale | 3 | - |
| Energy loss corrections | 1 | - |
| $\mathrm{D}^{*+}-\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass difference | 2 | - |
| Total | 5 | 43 |
| $\mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{P}}$ quantum numbers | ${ }_{-14}^{+11}$ | ${ }_{-38}^{+18}$ |

reconstruction creating duplicate tracks.
Systematic uncertainties for the $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$ parameters are summarised in Table 2 and described below. The largest systematic uncertainty is related to the fit model and is studied using pseudoexperiments with alternative parametrisations of the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$mass shape. Several variations in the fit model are considered: changes in the signal model due to the imperfect knowledge of the detector resolution, an uncertainty in the correction factor for the resolution taken from control channels, parametrisation of the background component and the additional model parameters of the Breit-Wigner function. The model uncertainty related to the assumption of $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=1^{+}$quantum numbers of the state is estimated and listed separately. The results are affected by the overall detector momentum scale, which is known to a relative precision of $\delta \alpha=3 \times 10^{-4}[95]$. The corresponding uncertainty is estimated using simulated samples where the momentum-scale is modified by factors of $(1 \pm \delta \alpha)$. In the reconstruction, the momenta of charged tracks are corrected for energy loss in the detector material, the amount of which is known with a relative uncertainty of $10 \%$ [96]. The resulting uncertainty is assessed by varying the energy loss correction by $\pm 10 \%$. As the mass of the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations is calculated with the mass of each $D^{0}$ meson constrained to the known value of the $D^{0}$ mass, the $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ parameter is insensitive to the precision of the $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass. However, the small uncertainty of $2 \mathrm{keV} / c^{2}$ for the $\mathrm{D}^{*+}-\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass difference $\left.35,97,98\right]$ directly affects the $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ value. The corresponding systematic uncertainty is added.

In summary, using the full dataset corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $9 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$, collected by the LHCb experiment at centre-of-mass energies of 7,8 and 13 TeV , a narrow peak is observed in the mass spectrum of $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$candidates produced promptly in pp collisions. The statistical significance of the peak is overwhelming. Using the Breit-Wigner parametrisation, the location of the peak relative to the $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass threshold, $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$,
and the width, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$, are determined to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}} & =-273 \pm 61 \pm 5_{-14}^{+11} \mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{c}^{2} \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}} & =410 \pm 165 \pm 43_{-38}^{+18} \mathrm{keV}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second systematic and the third is related to the $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}$ quantum numbers assignment. The measured $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ value corresponds to a mass of approximately 3875 MeV . This is the narrowest exotic state observed to date [34, 35]. The minimal quark content for this newly observed state is $c c \bar{u} \bar{d}$. Two heavy quarks of the same flavour make it manifestly exotic, i.e. beyond the conventional pattern of hadron formation found in mesons and baryons. Moreover, a combination of the near-threshold mass, narrow decay width and its appearance in prompt hadroproduction demonstrates its genuine resonance nature. This is the first such exotic resonance ever observed. The measured mass and width are consistent with the expected values for a $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$isoscalar tetraquark ground state with quantum numbers $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=1^{+}$. The precision of the mass measurement with respect to the corresponding threshold is superior to those of all other exotic states, which will give better understanding of the nature of exotic states. A dedicated study of the reaction amplitudes for the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{+} \pi^{0}(\gamma)$ decays that uses the isospin symmetry for the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{D}$ transition 90 yields insights on the fundamental resonance properties, like the pole position, the scattering length and the effective range. The observation of this cc $\bar{u} \bar{d}$ tetraquark candidate close to the $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ threshold further supports the existence of a bbū̄ $\bar{d}$ tetraquark that is stable with respect to the strong and electromagnetic interactions.
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## Methods

## Experimental setup

The LHCb detector $[85,86]$ is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2<\eta<5$, designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks. The detector includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector surrounding the pp interaction region, a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm , and three stations of sil-icon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes placed downstream of the magnet. The tracking system provides a measurement of the momentum, $p$, of charged particles with a relative uncertainty that varies from $0.5 \%$ at low momentum to $1.0 \%$ at $200 \mathrm{GeV} / c$. The minimum distance of a track to a primary pp collision vertex (PV), the impact parameter (IP), is measured with a resolution of $\left(15+29 / p_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mu \mathrm{m}$, where $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the component of the momentum transverse to the beam, in $\mathrm{GeV} / c$. Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors [99]. Photons, electrons and hadrons are identified by a calorimeter system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic and a hadronic calorimeter. Muons are identified by a system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers. The online event selection is performed by a trigger, which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction. The trigger selection algorithms are primarily based on identifying key characteristics of beauty and charm hadrons and their decay products, such as high $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ final state particles, and a decay vertex that is significantly displaced from any of the pp interaction vertices in the event.

## Simulated samples

Simulation is required to model the effects of the detector acceptance, resolution and the imposed selection requirements. In the simulation, pp collisions are generated using Pythia [100] with a specific LHCb configuration [101]. Decays of unstable particles are described by EvtGen [102], in which final-state radiation is generated using Рнотоs [103]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector, and its response, are implemented using the Geant4 toolkit [104] as described in Ref. [105].

## Selection

The selection of $\mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{-} \pi^{+}$candidates and $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations is similar to those used in Refs. [87, 106-108]. Kaon and pion candidates are selected from well-reconstructed tracks within the acceptance of the spectrometer. Particle identification is provided using information from the ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors. Kaons and pions that have transverse momenta larger than $250 \mathrm{MeV} / c$ and are inconsistent with being produced in a pp interaction vertex are combined together to form $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates. The resulting $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates are required to have good vertex quality, mass within $\pm 65 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ of the known $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass [35], transverse momentum larger than $1 \mathrm{GeV} / c$, decay time larger than $100 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{c}$ and a momentum direction that is consistent with the vector from the primary to the secondary vertex. Selected pairs of $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates consistent with originating
from a common primary vertex are then combined with pion candidates of the same charge as the pions from the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{-} \pi^{+}$decay candidates to form $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$candidates. At least one of the two $\mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations is required to have good vertex quality and mass not exceeding the known $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass by more than $155 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$. For each $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$ candidate a kinematic fit [109] is performed. This fit requires both $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates and a pion to originate from the same primary vertex. A requirement on the quality of this fit is applied to further suppress combinatorial background and reduce the background from $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates produced in two independent pp interactions or in decays of beauty hadrons [87]. To suppress background from kaon and pion candidates reconstructed from one common track, all track pairs of the same charge are required to have opening angle inconsistent with being zero and mass of the combination to be inconsistent with the sum of masses of the two constituents.

## Non- $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ background subtraction

The two-dimensional distribution of the mass of one $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidate versus the mass of the other $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidate from selected $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations is used to subtract the background from fake $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates. The procedure employs the sPlot technique [110], where an extended unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the two-dimensional distribution is performed. The signal is described using a modified Novosibirsk function [111] and the background is modelled by a product of an exponential function and a positive polynomial function [87]. Each candidate is assigned a positive weight for being signal-like or a negative weight for being background-like, with the masses of the two $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidates as the discriminating variables. All candidates are then retained and the weights are used in the further analysis for statistical subtraction of non- $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ background.

## Systematic uncertainties

Several sources of systematic uncertainty on the mass $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ and width $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$ of the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$state have been evaluated. The largest systematic uncertainty is related to the fit model and is studied using a set of alternative parametrisations and pseudoexperiments. For each alternative model, an ensemble of pseudoexperiments is produced; each is generated using the model under consideration with parameters obtained from a fit to the data. A subsequent fit with the default model to each pseudoexperiment is performed and the mean values of the parameters of interest over the ensemble are evaluated. The absolute value of the difference between the ensemble mean and the value of the parameter obtained from the fit to the data sample is used to characterise the difference between the alternative model and the default model. The maximal value of such a difference over the considered set of alternative models is taken as the corresponding systematic uncertainty for the mass $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ and width $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$ of the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$state. The following sources of systematic uncertainties related to the fit model are considered:

- Imperfect knowledge of detector resolution model: to estimate the associated systematic uncertainty alternative resolution functions are studied, namely a symmetric variant of an Apollonios function [112]; a modified Gaussian function with symmetric power-law tails on both sides of the distribution [113, 114]; a generalised symmetric Student's $t$-distribution [115, 116]; a symmetric Johnson's $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{U}}$ distribution 117, 118; and a modified Novosibirsk function [111].
- Difference in detector resolution due to imperfect modelling: a correction factor of 1.05 for the resolution is applied for the default fit to account for such a difference. This factor was studied for several other decays measured with the LHCb detector and found to lie between 1.0 and $1.1[29,91,92,119-121]$. For decays with relatively low-momentum tracks, this factor is close to 1.05 . The factor is also cross-checked using large samples of $\mathrm{D}^{*+} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$decays, where a value of 1.06 is obtained. To assess the systematic uncertainty related to this factor, detector resolution models with correction factors of 1.0 and 1.1 are studied as alternative models.
- Parametrisation of the background component: to assess the associated systematic uncertainty, the order of the positive polynomial function used for the baseline fit is varied. In addition, to estimate the possible effect of a small contribution from $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations without an intermediate $\mathrm{D}^{*+}$ meson, a three-body background component is added to the fit. This component is described by a product of the three-body phase space function $[122,123$ and a positive linear or second-order polynomial function.
- Model parameters of the Breit-Wigner function: alternative parametrisations include different choices for the decay structure, $m_{\mathrm{A}}=m_{\mathrm{D}^{0}}$ and $m_{\mathrm{B}}=m_{\mathrm{D}^{0}}+m_{\pi^{+}}$; the meson radius, $1.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ and $5 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$, and the orbital angular momentum between A and B particles, corresponding to S - and D-waves. The effect of the different decay structure and choice of meson radius is smaller than $1 \mathrm{keV} / c^{2}$ and 1 keV for the $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$ parameters, respectively. The parameters of interest are more sensitive to the choice of orbital angular momentum, in which the S -wave function gives larger $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ and smaller $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$, and the D-wave function corresponds to smaller $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ and larger $\Gamma_{\mathrm{BW}}$. As the S -wave and D-wave imply that the quantum numbers of the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}^{+}$ state differ from $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=1^{+}$, the corresponding systematic uncertainty is considered separately and is not included it in the total systematic uncertainty.

The calibration of the momentum scale of the tracking system is based upon large calibration samples of $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{J} / \psi \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays [124]. The accuracy of the procedure has been checked using other fully reconstructed B decays together with two-body $\Upsilon(\mathrm{nS})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ decays and the largest deviation of the bias in the momentum scale of $\delta \alpha=3 \times 10^{-4}$ is taken as the uncertainty [95]. This is then propagated to uncertainties for the parameters of interest using simulated samples, where momentum scale corrections of $(1 \pm \delta \alpha)$ are applied. Half of the difference between the peak locations obtained with $1+\delta \alpha$ and $1-\delta \alpha$ corrections applied to the same simulated sample is taken as an estimate of the systematic uncertainty due to the momentum scale.

In the reconstruction the momenta of the charged tracks are corrected for the energy loss in the detector material. The energy loss corrections are calculated using the Bethe-Bloch formula [125, 126]. The amount of material traversed in the tracking system by a charged particle is known to $10 \%$ accuracy [96]. To assess the corresponding uncertainty, the magnitude of the calculated corrections is varied by $\pm 10 \%$. Half of the difference between the peak locations obtained with $+10 \%$ and $-10 \%$ corrections applied to the same simulated sample is taken as an estimate of the systematic uncertainty due to the energy loss corrections.

The mass of the $\mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0} \pi^{+}$combinations is calculated with both $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ candidate mases constrained to the known $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ meson mass 35 . This procedure removes the uncertainty on
the $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ parameter related to imprecise knowledge of the $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass. In contrast, the small uncertainty of $2 \mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ for the known $\mathrm{D}^{*+}-\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass difference $35,97,98$ directly affects the $\delta m_{\mathrm{BW}}$ value and therefore is assigned as the corresponding systematic uncertainty.

## References

[1] M. Gell-Mann, A schematic model of baryons and mesons, Phys. Lett. 8 (1964) 214.
[2] G. Zweig, An $S U_{3}$ model for strong interaction symmetry and its breaking; Version 1, CERN-TH-401, CERN, Geneva, 1964; G. Zweig, $\mathrm{An} \mathrm{SU}_{3}$ model for strong interaction symmetry and its breaking; Version 2, CERN-TH-412, CERN, Geneva, 1964.
[3] R. L. Jaffe, Multiquark hadrons. I. Phenomenology of $\mathrm{Q}^{2} \overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{2}$ mesons, Phys. Rev. D15 (1977) 267.
[4] R. L. Jaffe, Multi-quark hadrons. 2. Methods, Phys. Rev. D15 (1977) 281.
[5] R. L. Jaffe, $\mathrm{Q}^{2} \overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{2}$ resonances in the baryon-antibaryon system, Phys. Rev. D17 (1978) 1444.
[6] H. J. Lipkin, New possibilities for exotic hadrons - anticharmed strange baryons, Phys. Lett. B195 (1987) 484.
[7] Belle collaboration, S. K. Choi et al., Observation of a narrow charmoniumlike state in exclusive $\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{ \pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{J} / \psi$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 262001, arXiv:hep-ex/0309032.
[8] Belle collaboration, S. K. Choi et al., Observation of a resonancelike structure in the $\pi^{ \pm} \psi^{\prime}$ mass distribution in exclusive $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{K} \pi^{ \pm} \psi^{\prime}$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 142001, arXiv:0708.1790.
[9] Belle collaboration, R. Mizuk et al., Observation of two resonance-like structures in the $\pi^{+} \chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ mass distribution in exclusive $\overline{\mathrm{B}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{-} \pi^{+} \chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ decays, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 072004, arXiv:0806.4098.
[10] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., Evidence for a narrow near-threshold structure in the $\mathrm{J} / \psi \phi$ mass spectrum in $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \phi \mathrm{K}^{+}$decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 242002, arXiv:0903.2229.
[11] Belle collaboration, A. Bondar et al., Observation of two charged bottomonium-like resonances in $\Upsilon(5 S)$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 122001, arXiv:1110.2251.
[12] BESIII collaboration, M. Ablikim et al., Observation of a charged charmoniumlike structure in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{J} / \psi$ at $\sqrt{s}=4.26 \mathrm{GeV}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 252001, arXiv:1303.5949.
[13] BESIII collaboration, M. Ablikim et al., Observation of a charged charmoniumlike structure $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{c}}(4020)$ and search for the $Z_{\mathrm{c}}(3900)$ in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{c}}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 242001, arXiv:1309.1896.
[14] BESIII collaboration, M. Ablikim et al., Observation of a charged charmoniumlike structure in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{D}^{*} \overline{\mathrm{D}}^{*}\right)^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ at $\sqrt{s}=4.26 \mathrm{GeV}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 132001, arXiv:1308.2760.
[15] D0 collaboration, V. M. Abazov et al., Search for the X(4140) state in $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \phi \mathrm{K}^{+}$decays with the D0 Detector, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 012004, arXiv:1309.6580.
[16] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Observation of a peaking structure in the $\mathrm{J} / \psi \phi$ mass spectrum from $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \phi \mathrm{K}^{+}$decays, Phys. Lett. B734 (2014) 261, arXiv:1309.6920.
[17] Belle collaboration, K. Chilikin et al., Experimental constraints on the spin and parity of the $\mathrm{Z}(4430)^{+}$, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 074026, arXiv:1306.4894.
[18] Belle collaboration, K. Chilikin et al., Observation of a new charged charmoniumlike state in $\overline{\mathrm{B}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \Psi \mathrm{K}^{-} \pi^{+}$decays, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 112009, arXiv:1408.6457.
[19] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of the resonant character of the $\mathrm{Z}(4430)^{-}$state, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 222002, arXiv: 1404.1903.
[20] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of J/ p p resonances consistent with pentaquark states in $\Lambda_{\mathrm{b}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{\psi pK}^{-}$decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 072001, arXiv:1507.03414.
[21] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Model-independent confirmation of the Z(4430)- state, Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 112009, arXiv:1510.01951.
[22] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of exotic J/ $\psi \phi$ structures from amplitude analysis of $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \phi \mathrm{K}^{+}$decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017) 022003, arXiv:1606.07895,
[23] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Amplitude analysis of $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \phi \mathrm{K}^{+}$decays, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 012002, arXiv:1606.07898.
[24] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Evidence for a $\eta_{c}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \pi^{-}$resonance in $\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \eta_{\mathrm{c}}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{K}^{+} \pi^{-}$decays, Eur. Phys. J. C78 (2018) 1019, arXiv:1809.07416.
[25] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of a narrow pentaquark state, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}(4312)^{+}$, and of two-peak structure of the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}(4450)^{+}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 222001, arXiv:1904.03947.
[26] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of structure in the J/ $\mathbf{\psi}$-pair mass spectrum, Science Bulletin 65 (2020) 1983, arXiv:2006.16957.
[27] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Model-independent study of structure in $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{D}^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+}$decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 242001, arXiv:2009.00025.
[28] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Amplitude analysis of the $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{D}^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+}$decay, Phys. Rev. D102 (2020) 112003, arXiv:2009.00026.
[29] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Study of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-}$decays, JHEP 02 (2021) 024, arXiv:2011.01867.
[30] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Evidence of a $\mathrm{J} / \psi \Lambda$ structure and observation of excited $\Xi^{-}$states in the $\Xi_{\mathrm{b}}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{J} / \psi \Lambda \mathrm{K}^{-}$decay, Science Bulletin 66 (2021) 1278, arXiv:2012.10380,
[31] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of new resonances decaying into $\mathrm{J} / \psi \mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{J} / \psi \phi$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 082001, $\operatorname{arXiv:2103.01803.~}$
[32] BESIII collaboration, M. Ablikim et al., Observation of a near-threshold structure in the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$recoil-mass spectra in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}^{-} \mathrm{D}^{* 0}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*-} \mathrm{D}^{0}\right)$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 102001, arXiv:2011.07855.
[33] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Evidence for a new structure in the $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{\psi} \mathrm{p}$ and $\mathrm{J} / \psi \overline{\mathrm{p}}$ systems in $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}}$ decays, arXiv:2108.04720, submitted to PRL.
[34] N. Brambilla et al., The XYZ states: experimental and theoretical status and perspectives, Phys. Rept. 873 (2020) 1, arXiv:1907.07583.
[35] Particle Data Group, P. A. Zyla et al., Review of particle physics, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020 (2020) 083C01, and 2021 update.
[36] E. Oset et al., Tetra and pentaquarks from the molecular perspective, EPJ Web Conf. 199 (2019) 01003.
[37] J.-M. Richard, Exotic hadrons: Review and perspectives, Few-Body Systems 57 (2016) 1185, arXiv:1606.08593.
[38] F.-K. Guo et al., Hadronic molecules, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90 (2018) 015004 , arXiv:1705.00141.
[39] A. Martinez Torres, K. P. Khemchandani, L. Roca, and E. Oset, Few-body systems consisting of mesons, Few Body Syst. 61 (2020) 35, arXiv:2005.14357.
[40] A. Ali, L. Maiani, and A. D. Polosa, Multiquark Hadrons, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
[41] J. P. Ader, J.-M. Richard, and P. Taxil, Do narrow heavy multiquark states exist?, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 2370.
[42] J. l. Ballot and J. M. Richard, Four quark states in additive potentials, Phys. Lett. B123 (1983) 449.
[43] S. Zouzou, B. Silvestre-Brac, C. Gignoux, and J. M. Richard, Four quark bound states, Z. Phys. C30 (1986) 457.
[44] H. J. Lipkin, A model-independent approach to multiquark bound states, Phys. Lett. B172 (1986) 242.
[45] L. Heller and J. A. Tjon, On the existence of stable dimesons, Phys. Rev. D35 (1987) 969.
[46] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Exotic QQq̄q̄ states in QCD, Nucl. Phys. B399 (1993) 17, arXiv:hep-ph/9212236.
[47] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of the doubly charmed baryon $\Xi_{\mathrm{cc}}^{++}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 112001, arXiv:1707.01621.
[48] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., First observation of the doubly charmed baryon decay $\Xi_{\mathrm{cc}}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 162002, $\operatorname{arXiv:1807.01919.~}$
[49] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Precision measurement of the $\Xi_{\mathrm{cc}}^{++}$mass, JHEP 02 (2020) 049, arXiv: 1911.08594.
[50] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Discovery of doubly-charmed $\Xi_{\text {cc }}$ baryon implies a stable bbū̄ tetraquark, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 202001, arXiv:1707.07666.
[51] J. Carlson, L. Heller, and J. A. Tjon, Stability of dimesons, Phys. Rev. D37 (1988) 744.
[52] B. Silvestre-Brac and C. Semay, Systematics of $L=0 \mathrm{q}^{2} \bar{q}^{2}$ systems, Z. Phys. C57 (1993) 273.
[53] C. Semay and B. Silvestre-Brac, Diquonia and potential models, Z. Phys. C61 (1994) 271.
[54] M. A. Moinester, How to search for doubly charmed baryons and tetraquarks, Z. Phys. A355 (1996) 349, arXiv:hep-ph/9506405.
[55] S. Pepin, F. Stancu, M. Genovese, and J.-M. Richard, Tetraquarks with color blind forces in chiral quark models, Phys. Lett. B393 (1997) 119, arXiv:hep-ph/9609348.
[56] B. A. Gelman and S. Nussinov, Does a narrow tetraquark cc $\bar{u} \bar{d}$ state exist?, Phys. Lett. B551 (2003) 296, arXiv: hep-ph/0209095.
[57] J. Vijande, F. Fernandez, A. Valcarce, and B. Silvestre-Brac, Tetraquarks in a chiral constituent quark model, Eur. Phys. J. A19 (2004) 383, arXiv:hep-ph/0310007.
[58] D. Janc and M. Rosina, The $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}=\mathrm{DD}^{*}$ molecular state, Few Body Syst. 35 (2004) 175, arXiv: hep-ph/0405208.
[59] F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, and S. H. Lee, $Q C D$ sum rules study of $\mathrm{QQ}-\overline{\mathrm{u}} \overline{\mathrm{d}}$ mesons, Phys. Lett. B649 (2007) 166, arXiv:hep-ph/0703071.
[60] J. Vijande, E. Weissman, A. Valcarce, and N. Barnea, Are there compact heavy four-quark bound states?, Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 094027, arXiv:0710.2516.
[61] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, and W. Lucha, Masses of tetraquarks with two heavy quarks in the relativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 114015, arXiv:0706.3853.
[62] S. H. Lee and S. Yasui, Stable multiquark states with heavy quarks in a diquark model, Eur. Phys. J. C64 (2009) 283, arXiv:0901.2977.
[63] Y. Yang, C. Deng, J. Ping, and T. Goldman, S-wave QQ $\overline{q q}$ state in the constituent quark model, Phys. Rev. D80 (2009) 114023.
[64] N. Li, Z.-F. Sun, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Coupled-channel analysis of the possible $\mathrm{D}^{(*)} \mathrm{D}^{(*)}, \overline{\mathrm{B}}^{(*)} \overline{\mathrm{B}}^{(*)}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{(*)} \overline{\mathrm{B}}^{(*)}$ molecular states, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 114008, arXiv:1211.5007.
[65] G.-Q. Feng, X.-H. Guo, and B.-S. Zou, QQ'̄̄̄̄ bound state in the Bethe-Salpeter equation approach, arXiv:1309.7813.
[66] S.-Q. Luo et al., Exotic tetraquark states with the $q q \bar{Q} \bar{Q}$ configuration, Eur. Phys. J. C77 (2017) 709, arXiv:1707.01180.
[67] E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Heavy-quark symmetry implies stable heavy tetraquark mesons $\mathrm{Q}_{i} \mathrm{Q}_{j} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{k} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{l}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 202002, $\operatorname{arXiv:1707.09575.}$
[68] Z.-G. Wang, Analysis of the axialvector doubly heavy tetraquark states with $Q C D$ sum rules, Acta Phys. Polon. B49 (2018) 1781, arXiv:1708.04545.
[69] W. Park, S. Noh, and S. H. Lee, Masses of the doubly heavy tetraquarks in a constituent quark model, Acta Phys. Polon. B50 (2019) 1151, arXiv:1809.05257.
[70] P. Junnarkar, N. Mathur, and M. Padmanath, Study of doubly heavy tetraquarks in Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 034507, arXiv:1810.12285.
[71] C. Deng, H. Chen, and J. Ping, Systematical investigation on the stability of doubly heavy tetraquark states, Eur. Phys. J. A56 (2020) 9, arXiv:1811.06462.
[72] M.-Z. Liu et al., Heavy-quark spin and flavor symmetry partners of the $\mathrm{X}(3872)$ revisited: What can we learn from the one boson exchange model?, Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 094018, arXiv:1902.03044.
[73] L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa, and V. Riquer, Hydrogen bond of $Q C D$ in doubly heavy baryons and tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) 074002, arXiv:1908.03244.
[74] G. Yang, J. Ping, and J. Segovia, Doubly-heavy tetraquarks, Phys. Rev. D101 (2020) 014001, arXiv:1911.00215.
[75] Y. Tan, W. Lu, and J. Ping, QQ $\bar{q} \bar{q}$ in a chiral constituent quark model, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 135 (2020) 716, arXiv:2004.02106.
[76] Q.-F. Lü, D.-Y. Chen, and Y.-B. Dong, Masses of doubly heavy tetraquarks $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{QQ}^{\prime}}$ in a relativized quark model, Phys. Rev. D102 (2020) 034012, arXiv:2006.08087.
[77] E. Braaten, L.-P. He, and A. Mohapatra, Masses of doubly heavy tetraquarks with error bars, Phys. Rev. D103 (2021) 016001, arXiv:2006.08650.
[78] D. Gao et al., Masses of doubly heavy tetraquark states with isospin $=\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 and spin-parity $1^{+ \pm}$, arXiv:2007.15213.
[79] J.-B. Cheng et al., Double-heavy tetraquark states with heavy diquark-antiquark symmetry, Chin. Phys. C 45 (2021) 043102, arXiv:2008.00737.
[80] S. Noh, W. Park, and S. H. Lee, Doubly heavy tetraquarks, $\mathrm{qq}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{Q}} \overline{\mathrm{Q}}^{\prime}$, in a nonrelativistic quark model with a complete set of harmonic oscillator bases, Phys. Rev. D103 (2021) 114009, arXiv:2102.09614.
[81] R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, and E. M. Savchenko, Heavy tetraquarks in the relativistic quark model, Universe 7 (2021) 94, arXiv:2103.01763.
[82] Y. Ikeda et al., Charmed tetraquarks $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cc}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cs}}$ from dynamical lattice $Q C D$ simulations, Phys. Lett. B729 (2014) 85, arXiv:1311.6214.
[83] Hadron Spectrum collaboration, G. K. C. Cheung, C. E. Thomas, J. J. Dudek, and R. G. Edwards, Tetraquark operators in lattice QCD and exotic flavour states in the charm sector, JHEP 11 (2017) 033, arXiv:1709.01417.
[84] A. Francis, R. J. Hudspith, R. Lewis, and K. Maltman, Evidence for charm-bottom tetraquarks and the mass dependence of heavy-light tetraquark states from lattice $Q C D$, Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 054505, arXiv:1810.10550.
[85] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. et al., The LHCb detector at the LHC, JINST 3 (2008) S08005.
[86] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., LHCb detector performance, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A30 (2015) 1530022, arXiv:1412.6352.
[87] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of double charm production involving open charm in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7 \mathrm{TeV}$, JHEP 06 (2012) 141, Addendum ibid. 03 (2014) 108, arXiv: 1205.0975.
[88] J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, Theoretical nuclear physics, Springer, New York, 1952.
[89] F. von Hippel and C. Quigg, Centrifugal-barrier effects in resonance partial decay widths, shapes, and production amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 5 (1972) 624.
[90] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Study of a doubly charmed tetraquark state, arXiv:2109.01056.
[91] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Study of the line shape of the $\chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}(3872)$ state, Phys. Rev. D102 (2020) 092005, arXiv:2005.13419.
[92] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Study of the $\psi_{2}(3823)$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{cl}}(3872)$ states in $\mathrm{B}^{+} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{J} / \psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right) \mathrm{K}^{+}$decays, JHEP 08 (2020) 123, arXiv:2005.13422.
[93] G. Källén, Elementary particle physics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1964.
[94] S. S. Wilks, The large-sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses, Ann. Math. Stat. 9 (1938) 60.
[95] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Precision measurement of D meson mass differences, JHEP 06 (2013) 065, arXiv:1304.6865.
[96] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Prompt $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=0.9 \mathrm{Te} V$, Phys. Lett. B693 (2010) 69, arXiv:1008.3105.
[97] BaBar collaboration, J. P. Lees et al., Measurement of the $\mathrm{D}^{*}(2010)^{+}$meson width and the $\mathrm{D}^{*}(2010)^{+}-\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mass difference, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 111801, arXiv:1304.5657.
[98] CLEO collaboration, A. Anastassov et al., First measurement of $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{D}^{*+}\right)$ and precision measurement of $m_{\mathrm{D}^{*+}}-m_{\mathrm{D}^{0}}$, Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 032003, arXiv:hep-ex/0108043.
[99] M. Adinolfi et al., Performance of the LHCb RICH detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C73 (2013) 2431, arXiv:1211.6759.
[100] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820.
[101] I. Belyaev et al., Handling of the generation of primary events in Gauss, the LHCb simulation framework, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 331 (2011) 032047.
[102] D. J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A462 (2001) 152.
[103] N. Davidson, T. Przedzinski, and Z. Was, PHOTOS interface in C++: Technical and physics documentation, Comp. Phys. Comm. 199 (2016) 86, arXiv:1011.0937.
[104] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison et al., Geant4 developments and applications, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53 (2006) 270; Geant4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli et al., Geant4: A simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A506 (2003) 250.
[105] M. Clemencic et al., The LHCb simulation application, Gauss: Design, evolution and experience, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 331 (2011) 032023.
[106] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of associated production of a Z boson with a D meson in the forward region, JHEP 04 (2014) 091, arXiv:1401.3245.
[107] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Production of associated $\Upsilon$ and open charm hadrons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ and 8 TeV via double parton scattering, JHEP 07 (2016) 052, arXiv:1510.05949.
[108] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Near-threshold D $\bar{D}$ spectroscopy and observation of a new charmonium state, JHEP 07 (2019) 035, arXiv:1903.12240.
[109] W. D. Hulsbergen, Decay chain fitting with a Kalman filter, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A552 (2005) 566, arXiv:physics/0503191.
[110] M. Pivk and F. R. Le Diberder, sPlot: A statistical tool to unfold data distributions, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A555 (2005) 356, arXiv:physics/0402083.
[111] BaBar collaboration, J. P. Lees et al., Branching fraction measurements of the col-or-suppressed decays $\overline{\mathrm{B}}^{0}$ to $\mathrm{D}^{(*) 0} \pi^{0}, \mathrm{D}^{(*) 0} \eta, \mathrm{D}^{(*) 0} \omega$, and $\mathrm{D}^{(*) 0} \eta^{\prime}$ and measurement of the polarization in the decay $\overline{\mathrm{B}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{* 0} \omega$, Phys. Rev. D84 (2011) 112007, Erratum ibid. D87 (2013) 039901(E), arXiv:1107.5751.
[112] D. Martínez Santos and F. Dupertuis, Mass distributions marginalized over per-event errors, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A764 (2014) 150, arXiv:1312.5000.
[113] T. Skwarnicki, A study of the radiative cascade transitions between the $\Upsilon^{\prime}$ and $\Upsilon$ resonances, PhD thesis, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, 1986, DESY-F31-86-02.
[114] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of J/ $\mathbf{\psi}$-pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B707 (2012) 52, arXiv:1109.0963.
[115] Student (W. S. Gosset), The probable error of a mean, Biometrika 6 (1908) 1 .
[116] S. Jackman, Bayesian analysis for the social sciences, John Wiley \& Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, USA, 2009.
[117] N. L. Johnson, Systems of frequency curves generated by methods of translation, Biometrika 36 (1949) 149.
[118] N. L. Johnson, Bivariate distributions based on simple translation systems, Biometrika 36 (1949) 297.
[119] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., $\chi_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ resonance parameters with the decays $\chi_{\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{c} 2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 221801, arXiv:1709.04247.
[120] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of a new baryon state in the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{b}}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ mass spectrum, JHEP 06 (2020) 136, arXiv:2002.05112.
[121] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Updated search for $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{+}$decays to two open charm mesons, arXiv:2109.00488, submitted to JHEP.
[122] E. Byckling and K. Kajantie, Particle kinematics, John Wiley \& Sons Inc., New York, 1973.
[123] A. I. Davydychev and R. Delbourgo, Explicitly symmetrical treatment of three body phase space, J. Phys. A37 (2004) 4871, arXiv:hep-th/0311075.
[124] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurements of the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}$, $\Xi_{\mathrm{b}}^{-}$, and $\Omega_{\mathrm{b}}^{-}$baryon masses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 182001, arXiv:1302.1072.
[125] H. Bethe, Zur Theorie des Durchgangs schneller Korpuskularstrahlen durch Materie, Annalen der Physik 397 (1930) 325.
[126] F. Bloch, Zur Bremsung rasch bewegter Teilchen beim Durchgang durch Materie, Annalen der Physik 408 (1933) 285.

## LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij ${ }^{32}$, A.S.W. Abdelmotteleb ${ }^{56}$, C. Abellán Beteta ${ }^{50}$, F.J. Abudinen Gallego ${ }^{56}$, T. Ackernley ${ }^{60}$, B. Adeva ${ }^{46}$, M. Adinolf ${ }^{54}$, H. Afsharnia ${ }^{9}$, C. Agapopoulou ${ }^{13}$, C.A. Aidala ${ }^{87}$, S. Aiola ${ }^{25}$, Z. Ajaltouni ${ }^{9}$, S. Akar ${ }^{65}$, J. Albrecht ${ }^{15}$, F. Alessio ${ }^{48}$, M. Alexander ${ }^{59}$, A. Alfonso Albero ${ }^{45}$, Z. Aliouche ${ }^{62}$, G. Alkhazov ${ }^{38}$, P. Alvarez Cartelle ${ }^{55}$, S. Amato ${ }^{2}$, J.L. Amey ${ }^{54}$, Y. Amhis ${ }^{11}$, L. An ${ }^{48}$, L. Anderlini ${ }^{22}$, A. Andreianov ${ }^{38}$, M. Andreotti ${ }^{21}$, F. Archilli ${ }^{17}$, A. Artamonov ${ }^{44}$, M. Artuso ${ }^{68}$, K. Arzymatov ${ }^{42}$, E. Aslanides ${ }^{10}$, M. Atzeni ${ }^{50}$, B. Audurier ${ }^{12}$, S. Bachmann ${ }^{17}$, M. Bachmayer ${ }^{49}$, J.J. Back ${ }^{56}$, P. Baladron Rodriguez ${ }^{46}$, V. Balagura ${ }^{12}$, W. Baldini ${ }^{21}$, J. Baptista Leite ${ }^{1}$, M. Barbetii ${ }^{22, g}$, R.J. Barlow ${ }^{62}$, S. Barsuk ${ }^{11}$, W. Barter ${ }^{61}$, M. Bartolini ${ }^{24, h}$, F. Baryshnikov ${ }^{83}$, J.M. Basels ${ }^{14}$, S. Bashir ${ }^{34}$, G. Bassi ${ }^{29}$, B. Batsukh ${ }^{68}$, A. Battig ${ }^{15}$, A. Bay ${ }^{49}$, A. Beck ${ }^{56}$, M. Becker ${ }^{15}$, F. Bedeschi ${ }^{29}$, I. Bediaga ${ }^{1}$, A. Beiter ${ }^{68}$, V. Belavin ${ }^{42}$, S. Belin ${ }^{27}$, V. Bellee ${ }^{50}$, K. Belous ${ }^{44}$, I. Belov ${ }^{40}$, I. Belyaev ${ }^{41}$, G. Bencivenni ${ }^{23}$, E. Ben-Haim ${ }^{13}$, A. Berezhnoy ${ }^{40}$, R. Bernet ${ }^{50}$, D. Berninghof ${ }^{17}$, H.C. Bernstein ${ }^{68}$, C. Bertella ${ }^{48}$, A. Bertolin ${ }^{28}$, C. Betancourt ${ }^{50}$, F. Betti ${ }^{48}$, Ia. Bezshyiko ${ }^{50}$, S. Bhasin ${ }^{54}$, J. Bhom ${ }^{35}$, L. Bian ${ }^{73}$, M.S. Bieker ${ }^{15}$, S. Bifani ${ }^{53}$, P. Billoir ${ }^{13}$, M. Birch ${ }^{61}$, F.C.R. Bishop ${ }^{55}$, A. Bitadze ${ }^{62}$, A. Bizzeti ${ }^{22, k}$, M. Bjørn ${ }^{63}$, M.P. Blago ${ }^{48}$, T. Blake ${ }^{56}$, F. Blanc ${ }^{49}$, S. Blusk ${ }^{68}$, D. Bobulska ${ }^{59}$, J.A. Boelhauve ${ }^{15}$, O. Boente Garcia ${ }^{46}$, T. Boettcher ${ }^{65}$, A. Boldyrev ${ }^{82}$, A. Bondar ${ }^{43}$, N. Bondar ${ }^{38,48}$, S. Borghi ${ }^{62}$, M. Borisyak ${ }^{42}$, M. Borsato ${ }^{17}$, J.T. Borsuk ${ }^{35}$, S.A. Bouchiba ${ }^{49}$, T.J.V. Bowcock ${ }^{60}$, A. Boyer ${ }^{48}$, C. Bozzi ${ }^{21}$, M.J. Bradley ${ }^{61}$, S. Braun ${ }^{66}$, A. Brea Rodriguez ${ }^{46}$, J. Brodzicka ${ }^{35}$, A. Brossa Gonzalo ${ }^{56}$, D. Brundu ${ }^{27}$, A. Buonaura ${ }^{50}$, L. Buonincontri ${ }^{28}$, A.T. Burke ${ }^{62}$, C. Burr $^{48}$, A. Bursche ${ }^{72}$, A. Butkevich ${ }^{39}$, J.S. Butter ${ }^{32}$, J. Buytaert ${ }^{48}$, W. Byczynski ${ }^{48}$, S. Cadeddu ${ }^{27}$, H. Cai ${ }^{73}$, R. Calabrese ${ }^{21, f}$, L. Calefice ${ }^{15,13}$, L. Calero Diaz $^{23}$, S. Cali ${ }^{23}$, R. Calladine ${ }^{53}$, M. Calvi ${ }^{26, j}$, M. Calvo Gomez ${ }^{85}$, P. Camargo Magalhaes ${ }^{54}$, P. Campana ${ }^{23}$, A.F. Campoverde Quezada ${ }^{6}$, S. Capelli ${ }^{26, j}$,
L. Capriotti ${ }^{20, d}$, A. Carbone ${ }^{20, d}$, G. Carboni ${ }^{31}$, R. Cardinale ${ }^{24, h}$, A. Cardini ${ }^{27}$, I. Carli ${ }^{4}$, P. Carniti ${ }^{26, j}$, L. Carus ${ }^{14}$, K. Carvalho Akiba ${ }^{32}$, A. Casais Vidal ${ }^{46}$, G. Casse ${ }^{60}$, M. Cattaneo ${ }^{48}$, G. Cavallero ${ }^{48}$, S. Celani ${ }^{49}$, J. Cerasoli ${ }^{10}$, D. Cervenkov ${ }^{63}$, A.J. Chadwick ${ }^{60}$, M.G. Chapman ${ }^{54}$, M. Charles ${ }^{13}$, Ph. Charpentier ${ }^{48}$, G. Chatzikonstantinidis ${ }^{53}$, C.A. Chavez Barajas ${ }^{60}$, M. Chefdeville ${ }^{8}$, C. Chen ${ }^{3}$, S. Chen ${ }^{4}$, A. Chernov ${ }^{35}$, V. Chobanova ${ }^{46}$, S. Cholak ${ }^{49}$, M. Chrzaszcz ${ }^{35}$, A. Chubykin ${ }^{38}$, V. Chulikov ${ }^{38}$, P. Ciambrone ${ }^{23}$, M.F. Cicala ${ }^{56}$, X. Cid Vidal ${ }^{46}$, G. Ciezarek ${ }^{48}$, P.E.L. Clarke ${ }^{58}$, M. Clemencic ${ }^{48}$, H.V. Cliff ${ }^{55}$, J. Closier ${ }^{48}$, J.L. Cobbledick ${ }^{62}$, V. Coco ${ }^{48}$, J.A.B. Coelho ${ }^{11}$, J. Cogan ${ }^{10}$, E. Cogneras ${ }^{9}$, L. Cojocariu ${ }^{37}$, P. Collins ${ }^{48}$,
T. Colombo ${ }^{48}$, L. Congedo ${ }^{19, c}$, A. Contu ${ }^{27}$, N. Cooke ${ }^{53}$, G. Coombs ${ }^{59}$, I. Corredoira ${ }^{46}$, G. Corti ${ }^{48}$, C.M. Costa Sobral ${ }^{56}$, B. Couturier ${ }^{48}$, D.C. Craik ${ }^{64}$, J. Crkovská ${ }^{67}$, M. Cruz Torres ${ }^{1}$, R. Currie ${ }^{58}$, C.L. Da Silva ${ }^{67}$, S. Dadabaev ${ }^{83}$, L. Dai ${ }^{71}$, E. Dall'Occo ${ }^{15}$, J. Dalseno ${ }^{46}$, C. D'Ambrosio ${ }^{48}$, A. Danilina ${ }^{41}$, P. d'Argent ${ }^{48}$, J.E. Davies ${ }^{62}$, A. Davis ${ }^{62}$,
O. De Aguiar Francisco ${ }^{62}$, K. De Bruyn ${ }^{79}$, S. De Capua ${ }^{62}$, M. De Cian ${ }^{49}$, J.M. De Miranda ${ }^{1}$, L. De Paula ${ }^{2}$, M. De Serio ${ }^{19, c}$, D. De Simone ${ }^{50}$, P. De Simone ${ }^{23}$, F. De Vellis ${ }^{15}$, J.A. de Vries ${ }^{80}$, C.T. Dean ${ }^{67}$, F. Debernardis ${ }^{19, c}$, D. Decamp ${ }^{8}$, V. Dedu ${ }^{10}$, L. Del Buono ${ }^{13}$, B. Delaney ${ }^{55}$, H.-P. Dembinski ${ }^{15}$, A. Dendek ${ }^{34}$, V. Denysenko ${ }^{50}$, D. Derkach ${ }^{82}$, O. Deschamps ${ }^{9}$, F. Desse ${ }^{11}$, F. Dettori ${ }^{27, e}$, B. Dey ${ }^{77}$, A. Di Cicco ${ }^{23}$, P. Di Nezza ${ }^{23}$, S. Didenko ${ }^{83}$, L. Dieste Maronas ${ }^{46}$, H. Dijkstra ${ }^{48}$, V. Dobishuk ${ }^{52}$, C. Dong ${ }^{3}$, A.M. Donohoe ${ }^{18}$, F. Dordei ${ }^{27}$, A.C. dos Reis ${ }^{1}$,
L. Douglas ${ }^{59}$, A. Dovbnya ${ }^{51}$, A.G. Downes ${ }^{8}$, M.W. Dudek ${ }^{35}$, L. Dufour ${ }^{48}$, V. Duk ${ }^{78}$, P. Durante ${ }^{48}$, J.M. Durham ${ }^{67}$, D. Dutta ${ }^{62}$, A. Dziurda ${ }^{35}$, A. Dzyuba ${ }^{38}$, S. Easo ${ }^{57}$, U. Egede ${ }^{69}$, V. Egorychev ${ }^{41}$, S. Eidelman ${ }^{43, u, \dagger}$, S. Eisenhardt ${ }^{58}$, S. Ek-In ${ }^{49}$, L. Eklund ${ }^{59,86}$, S. Ely ${ }^{68}$, A. Ene ${ }^{37}$, E. Epple ${ }^{67}$, S. Escher ${ }^{14}$, J. Eschle ${ }^{50}$, S. Esen ${ }^{13}$, T. Evans ${ }^{48}$, A. Falabella ${ }^{20}$, J. Fan ${ }^{3}$, Y. Fan $^{6}$, B. Fang ${ }^{73}$, S. Farry ${ }^{60}$, D. Fazzini ${ }^{26, j}$, M. Féo ${ }^{48}$, A. Fernandez Prieto ${ }^{46}$, A.D. Fernez ${ }^{66}$, F. Ferrari ${ }^{20, d}$, L. Ferreira Lopes ${ }^{49}$, F. Ferreira Rodrigues ${ }^{2}$, S. Ferreres Sole ${ }^{32}$, M. Ferrillo ${ }^{50}$, M. Ferro-Luzzi ${ }^{48}$, S. Filippov ${ }^{39}$, R.A. Fini ${ }^{19}$, M. Fiorini ${ }^{21, f}$, M. Firlej ${ }^{34}$, K.M. Fischer ${ }^{63}$,
D.S. Fitzgerald ${ }^{87}$, C. Fitzpatrick ${ }^{62}$, T. Fiutowski ${ }^{34}$, A. Fkiaras ${ }^{48}$, F. Fleuret ${ }^{12}$, M. Fontana ${ }^{13}$, F. Fontanelli ${ }^{24, h}$, R. Forty ${ }^{48}$, D. Foulds-Holt ${ }^{55}$, V. Franco Lima ${ }^{60}$, M. Franco Sevilla ${ }^{66}$, M. Frank ${ }^{48}$, E. Franzoso ${ }^{21}$, G. Frau ${ }^{17}$, C. Frei ${ }^{48}$, D.A. Friday ${ }^{59}$, J. Fu ${ }^{6}$, Q. Fuehring ${ }^{15}$, E. Gabriel ${ }^{32}$, G. Galati ${ }^{19, c}$, A. Gallas Torreira ${ }^{46}$, D. Galli ${ }^{20, d}$, S. Gambetta ${ }^{58,48}$, Y. Gan ${ }^{3}$, M. Gandelman ${ }^{2}$, P. Gandini ${ }^{25}$, Y. Gao ${ }^{5}$, M. Garau ${ }^{27}$, L.M. Garcia Martin ${ }^{56}$, P. Garcia Moreno ${ }^{45}$, J. García Pardiñas ${ }^{26, j}$, B. Garcia Plana ${ }^{46}$, F.A. Garcia Rosales ${ }^{12}$, L. Garrido ${ }^{45}$, C. Gaspar ${ }^{48}$, R.E. Geertsema ${ }^{32}$, D. Gerick ${ }^{17}$, L.L. Gerken ${ }^{15}$, E. Gersabeck ${ }^{62}$, M. Gersabeck ${ }^{62}$, T. Gershon ${ }^{56}$, D. Gerstel ${ }^{10}$, L. Giambastiani ${ }^{28}$, V. Gibson ${ }^{55}$, H.K. Giemza ${ }^{36}$, A.L. Gilman ${ }^{63}$, M. Giovannetti ${ }^{23, p}$, A. Gioventù ${ }^{46}$, P. Gironella Gironell ${ }^{45}$, L. Giubega ${ }^{37}$, C. Giugliano ${ }^{21, f, 48}$, K. Gizdov ${ }^{58}$, E.L. Gkougkousis ${ }^{48}$, V.V. Gligorov ${ }^{13}$, C. Göbel ${ }^{70}$, E. Golobardes ${ }^{85}$, D. Golubkov ${ }^{41}$, A. Golutvin ${ }^{61,83}$, A. Gomes ${ }^{1, a}$, S. Gomez Fernandez ${ }^{45}$, F. Goncalves Abrantes ${ }^{63}$, M. Goncerz ${ }^{35}$, G. Gong ${ }^{3}$, P. Gorbounov ${ }^{41}$, I.V. Gorelov ${ }^{40}$, C. Gotti ${ }^{26}$, E. Govorkova ${ }^{48}$, J.P. Grabowski ${ }^{17}$, T. Grammatico ${ }^{13}$, L.A. Granado Cardoso ${ }^{48}$, E. Graugés ${ }^{45}$, E. Graverini ${ }^{49}$, G. Graziani ${ }^{22}$, A. Grecu ${ }^{37}$, L.M. Greeven ${ }^{32}$, N.A. Grieser ${ }^{4}$, L. Grillo ${ }^{62}$, S. Gromov ${ }^{83}$, B.R. Gruberg Cazon ${ }^{63}$, C. $\mathrm{Gu}^{3}$, M. Guarise ${ }^{21}$, M. Guittiere ${ }^{11}$, P. A. Günther ${ }^{17}$, E. Gushchin ${ }^{39}$, A. Guth ${ }^{14}$, Y. Guz ${ }^{44}$, T. Gys ${ }^{48}$, T. Hadavizadeh ${ }^{69}$, G. Haefeli ${ }^{49}$, C. Haen ${ }^{48}$, J. Haimberger ${ }^{48}$, T. Halewood-leagas ${ }^{60}$, P.M. Hamilton ${ }^{66}$, J.P. Hammerich ${ }^{60}$, Q. $\operatorname{Han}^{7}$, X. Han ${ }^{17}$, T.H. Hancock ${ }^{63}$, E.B. Hansen ${ }^{62}$, S. Hansmann-Menzemer ${ }^{17}$, N. Harnew ${ }^{63}$, T. Harrison ${ }^{60}$, C. Hasse ${ }^{48}$, M. Hatch ${ }^{48}$, J. He ${ }^{6, b}$, M. Hecker ${ }^{61}$, K. Heijhof ${ }^{32}$, K. Heinicke ${ }^{15}$, A.M. Hennequin ${ }^{48}$, K. Hennessy ${ }^{60}$, L. Henry ${ }^{48}$, J. Heuel ${ }^{14}$, A. Hicheur ${ }^{2}$, D. Hill ${ }^{49}$, M. Hilton ${ }^{62}$, S.E. Hollitt ${ }^{15}$, R. Hou ${ }^{7}$, Y. Hou ${ }^{8}$, J. $\mathrm{Hu}^{17}$, J. $\mathrm{Hu}^{72}$, W. $\mathrm{Hu}^{7}$, X. $\mathrm{Hu}^{3}$, W. Huang ${ }^{6}$, X. Huang ${ }^{73}$, W. Hulsbergen ${ }^{32}$, R.J. Hunter ${ }^{56}$, M. Hushchyn ${ }^{82}$, D. Hutchcroft ${ }^{60}$, D. Hynds $^{32}$, P. Ibis $^{15}$, M. Idzik ${ }^{34}$, D. Ilin ${ }^{38}$, P. Ilten ${ }^{65}$, A. Inglessi ${ }^{38}$, A. Ishteev ${ }^{83}$, K. Ivshin ${ }^{38}$, R. Jacobsson ${ }^{48}$, H. Jage ${ }^{14}$, S. Jakobsen ${ }^{48}$, E. Jans ${ }^{32}$, B.K. Jashal ${ }^{47}$, A. Jawahery ${ }^{66}$, V. Jevtic ${ }^{15}$, F. Jiang ${ }^{3}$, M. John ${ }^{63}$, D. Johnson ${ }^{48}$, C.R. Jones ${ }^{55}$, T.P. Jones ${ }^{56}$, B. Jost ${ }^{48}$, N. Jurik ${ }^{48}$, S.H. Kalavan Kadavath ${ }^{34}$, S. Kandybei ${ }^{51}$, Y. Kang ${ }^{3}$, M. Karacson ${ }^{48}$, M. Karpov ${ }^{82}$, F. Keizer ${ }^{48}$, D.M. Keller ${ }^{68}$, M. Kenzi ${ }^{56}$, T. Ketel ${ }^{33}$, B. Khanji ${ }^{15}$, A. Kharisova ${ }^{84}$, S. Kholodenko ${ }^{44}$, T. Kirn ${ }^{14}$, V.S. Kirsebom ${ }^{49}$, O. Kitouni ${ }^{64}$, S. Klaver ${ }^{32}$, N. Kleijne ${ }^{29}$, K. Klimaszewski ${ }^{36}$, M.R. Kmiec ${ }^{36}$, S. Kolieev ${ }^{52}$, A. Kondybayeva ${ }^{83}$,
A. Konoplyannikov ${ }^{41}$, P. Kopciewicz ${ }^{34}$, R. Kopecna ${ }^{17}$, P. Koppenburg ${ }^{32}$, M. Korolev ${ }^{40}$, I. Kostiuk ${ }^{32,52}$, O. $\operatorname{Kot}^{52}$, S. Kotriakhova ${ }^{21,38}$, P. Kravchenko ${ }^{38}$, L. Kravchuk ${ }^{39}$, R.D. Krawczyk ${ }^{48}$, M. Kreps ${ }^{56}$, F. Kress ${ }^{61}$, S. Kretzschmar ${ }^{14}$, P. Krokovny ${ }^{43, u}$, W. Krupa ${ }^{34}$, W. Krzemien ${ }^{36}$, M. Kucharczyk ${ }^{35}$, V. Kudryavtsev ${ }^{43, u}$, H.S. Kuindersma ${ }^{32,33}$, G.J. Kunde ${ }^{67}$, T. Kvaratskheliya ${ }^{41}$, D. Lacarrere ${ }^{48}$, G. Lafferty ${ }^{62}$, A. Lai ${ }^{27}$, A. Lampis ${ }^{27}$, D. Lancierini ${ }^{50}$, J.J. Lane ${ }^{62}$, R. Lane ${ }^{54}$, G. Lanfranchi ${ }^{23}$, C. Langenbruch ${ }^{14}$, J. Langer ${ }^{15}$, O. Lantwin ${ }^{83}$, T. Latham ${ }^{56}$, F. Lazzari ${ }^{29, q}$, R. Le Gac ${ }^{10}$, S.H. Lee ${ }^{87}$, R. Lefèvre ${ }^{9}$, A. Leflat ${ }^{40}$, S. Legotin ${ }^{83}$, O. Leroy ${ }^{10}$, T. Lesiak ${ }^{35}$, B. Leverington ${ }^{17}$, H. $\mathrm{Li}^{72}$, P. $\mathrm{Li}^{17}, \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{Li}^{7}, \mathrm{Y}^{2} \mathrm{Li}^{4}, \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{Li}^{4}, \mathrm{Z} . \mathrm{Li}^{68}$, X. Liang ${ }^{68}$, T. Lin $^{61}$, R. Lindner ${ }^{48}$, V. Lisovskyi ${ }^{15}$, R. Litvinov ${ }^{27}$, G. Liu ${ }^{72}$, H. Liu ${ }^{6}$, Q. Liu ${ }^{6}$, S. Liu ${ }^{4}$, A. Lobo Salvia ${ }^{45}$, A. Loi ${ }^{27}$, J. Lomba Castro ${ }^{46}$, I. Longstaff ${ }^{59}$, J.H. Lopes ${ }^{2}$, S. Lopez Solino ${ }^{46}$, G.H. Lovell ${ }^{55}$, Y. Lu ${ }^{4}$, C. Lucarelli ${ }^{22, g}$, D. Lucchesi ${ }^{28, l}$, S. Luchuk ${ }^{39}$, M. Lucio Martinez ${ }^{32}$, V. Lukashenko ${ }^{32,52}$, Y. Luo ${ }^{3}$, A. Lupato ${ }^{62}$, E. Luppi ${ }^{21, f}$, O. Lupton ${ }^{56}$, A. Lusiani ${ }^{29, m}$, X. Lyu ${ }^{6}$, L. $\mathrm{Ma}^{4}$, R. $\mathrm{Ma}^{6}$, S. Maccolini ${ }^{20, d}$, F. Machefert ${ }^{11}$, F. Maciuc ${ }^{37}$, V. Macko ${ }^{49}$, P. Mackowiak ${ }^{15}$, S. Maddrell-Mander ${ }^{54}$, O. Madejczyk ${ }^{34}$, L.R. Madhan Mohan ${ }^{54}$, O. Maev ${ }^{38}$, A. Maevskiy ${ }^{82}$, D. Maisuzenko ${ }^{38}$, M.W. Majewski ${ }^{34}$, J.J. Malczewski ${ }^{35}$, S. Malde ${ }^{63}$, B. Malecki ${ }^{48}$, A. Malinin ${ }^{81}$, T. Maltsev ${ }^{43, u}$, H. Malygina ${ }^{17}$, G. Manca ${ }^{27, e}$, G. Mancinelli ${ }^{10}$, D. Manuzzi ${ }^{20, d}$, D. Marangotto ${ }^{25, i}$, J. Maratas ${ }^{9, s}$, J.F. Marchand ${ }^{8}$, U. Marconi ${ }^{20}$, S. Mariani ${ }^{22, g}$, C. Marin Benito ${ }^{48}$, M. Marinangeli ${ }^{49}$, J. Marks ${ }^{17}$, A.M. Marshall ${ }^{54}$, P.J. Marshall ${ }^{60}$, G. Martelli ${ }^{78}$, G. Martellotti ${ }^{30}$, L. Martinazzoli ${ }^{48, j}$, M. Martinelli ${ }^{26, j}$, D. Martinez Santos ${ }^{46}$, F. Martinez Vidal ${ }^{47}$, A. Massafferri ${ }^{1}$, M. Materok ${ }^{14}$, R. Matev ${ }^{48}$, A. Mathad ${ }^{50}$, V. Matiunin ${ }^{41}$, C. Matteuzzi ${ }^{26}$, K.R. Mattioli ${ }^{87}$, A. Mauri ${ }^{32}$, E. Maurice ${ }^{12}$, J. Mauricio ${ }^{45}$, M. Mazurek ${ }^{48}$, M. McCann ${ }^{61}$, L. Mcconnell ${ }^{18}$, T.H. Mcgrath ${ }^{62}$, N.T. Mchugh ${ }^{59}$, A. McNab ${ }^{62}$, R. McNulty ${ }^{18}$,
J.V. Mead ${ }^{60}$, B. Meadows ${ }^{65}$, G. Meier ${ }^{15}$, N. Meinert ${ }^{76}$, D. Melnychuk ${ }^{36}$, S. Meloni ${ }^{26, j}$, M. Merk ${ }^{32,80}$, A. Merli ${ }^{25}$, L. Meyer Garcia ${ }^{2}$, M. Mikhasenko ${ }^{48}$, D.A. Milanes ${ }^{74}$, E. Millard ${ }^{56}$, M. Milovanovic ${ }^{48}$, M.-N. Minard ${ }^{8}$, A. Minotti ${ }^{26, j}$, L. Minzoni ${ }^{21, f}$, S.E. Mitchell ${ }^{58}$, B. Mitreska ${ }^{62}$, D.S. Mitzel ${ }^{15}$, A. Mödden ${ }^{15}$, R.A. Mohammed ${ }^{63}$, R.D. Moise ${ }^{61}$, S. Mokhnenko ${ }^{82}$, T. Mombächer ${ }^{46}$, I.A. Monroy ${ }^{74}$, S. Monteil ${ }^{9}$, M. Morandin ${ }^{28}$, G. Morello ${ }^{23}$, M.J. Morello ${ }^{29, m}$, J. Moron ${ }^{34}$, A.B. Morris ${ }^{75}$, A.G. Morris ${ }^{56}$, R. Mountain ${ }^{68}$, H. Mu ${ }^{3}$, F. Muheim ${ }^{58,48, ~}$ M. Mulder ${ }^{48}$, D. Müller ${ }^{48}$, K. Müller ${ }^{50}$, C.H. Murphy ${ }^{63}$, D. Murray ${ }^{62}$, P. Muzzetto ${ }^{27,48}$, P. Naik ${ }^{54}$, T. Nakada ${ }^{49}$, R. Nandakumar ${ }^{57}$, T. Nanut ${ }^{49}$, I. Nasteva ${ }^{2}$, M. Needham ${ }^{58}$, I. Neri ${ }^{21}$, N. Neri ${ }^{25, i}$, S. Neubert ${ }^{75}$, N. Neufeld ${ }^{48}$, R. Newcombe ${ }^{61}$, E.M. Niel ${ }^{11}$, S. Nieswand ${ }^{14}$, N. Nikitin ${ }^{40}$, N.S. Nolte ${ }^{64}$, C. Normand ${ }^{8}$, C. Nunez ${ }^{87}$, A. Oblakowska-Mucha ${ }^{34}$, V. Obraztsov ${ }^{44}$, T. Oeser ${ }^{14}$, D.P. O’Hanlon ${ }^{54}$, S. Okamura ${ }^{21}$, R. Oldeman ${ }^{27, e}$, F. Oliva ${ }^{58}$, M.E. Olivares ${ }^{68}$, C.J.G. Onderwater ${ }^{79}$, R.H. O'neil ${ }^{58}$, J.M. Otalora Goicochea ${ }^{2}$, T. Ovsiannikova ${ }^{41}$, P. Owen ${ }^{50}$, A. Oyanguren ${ }^{47}$, K.O. Padeken ${ }^{75}$, B. Pagare ${ }^{56}$, P.R. Pais ${ }^{48}$, T. Pajero ${ }^{63}$, A. Palano ${ }^{19}$, M. Palutan ${ }^{23}$, Y. $\mathrm{Pan}^{62}$, G. Panshin ${ }^{84}$, A. Papanestis ${ }^{57}$, M. Pappagallo ${ }^{19, c}$, L.L. Pappalardo ${ }^{21, f}$, C. Pappenheimer ${ }^{65}$, W. Parker ${ }^{66}$, C. Parkes ${ }^{62}$, B. Passalacqua ${ }^{21}$, G. Passaleva ${ }^{22}$, A. Pastore ${ }^{19}$, M. Patel ${ }^{61}$, C. Patrignani ${ }^{20, d}$, C.J. Pawley ${ }^{80}$, A. Pearce ${ }^{48}$, A. Pellegrino ${ }^{32}$, M. Pepe Altarelli ${ }^{48}$, S. Perazzini ${ }^{20}$, D. Pereima ${ }^{41}$, A. Pereiro Castro ${ }^{46}$, P. Perret ${ }^{9}$, M. Petric ${ }^{59,48}$, K. Petridis ${ }^{54}$, A. Petrolini ${ }^{24, h}$, A. Petrov ${ }^{81}$, S. Petrucci ${ }^{58}$, M. Petruzzo ${ }^{25}$, T.T.H. Pham ${ }^{68}$, L. Pica ${ }^{29, m}$, M. Piccini ${ }^{78}$, B. Pietrzyk ${ }^{8}$, G. Pietrzyk ${ }^{49}$, M. Pili ${ }^{63}$, D. Pinci $^{30}$, F. Pisani ${ }^{48}$, M. Pizzichemi ${ }^{26,48, j}$, Resmi P.K ${ }^{10}$, V. Placinta ${ }^{37}$, J. Plews ${ }^{53}$, M. Plo Casasus ${ }^{46}$, F. Polci ${ }^{13}$, M. Poli Lener ${ }^{23}$, M. Poliakova ${ }^{68}$, A. Poluektov ${ }^{10}$, N. Polukhina ${ }^{83, t}$, I. Polyakov ${ }^{68}$, E. Polycarpo ${ }^{2}$, S. Ponce ${ }^{48}$, D. Popov ${ }^{6,48}$, S. Popov $^{42}$, S. Poslavskii ${ }^{44}$, K. Prasanth ${ }^{35}$, L. Promberger ${ }^{48}$, C. Prouve ${ }^{46}$, V. Pugatch ${ }^{52}$, V. Puill ${ }^{11}$, H. Pullen ${ }^{63}$, G. Punzi ${ }^{29, n}$, H. Qi ${ }^{3}$, W. Qian ${ }^{6}$, J. Qin ${ }^{6}$, N. Qin ${ }^{3}$, R. Quagliani ${ }^{49}$, B. Quintana ${ }^{8}$, N.V. Raab ${ }^{18}$, R.I. Rabadan Trejo ${ }^{6}$, B. Rachwal ${ }^{34}$, J.H. Rademacker ${ }^{54}$, M. Rama ${ }^{29}$, M. Ramos Pernas ${ }^{56}$, M.S. Rangel ${ }^{2}$, F. Ratnikov ${ }^{42,82}$, G. Raven ${ }^{33}$, M. Reboud ${ }^{8}$, F. Redi ${ }^{49}$, F. Reiss ${ }^{62}$, C. Remon Alepuz ${ }^{47}$, Z. Ren ${ }^{3}$, V. Renaudin ${ }^{63}$, R. Ribatti ${ }^{29}$, S. Ricciardi ${ }^{57}$, K. Rinnert ${ }^{60}$, P. Robbe ${ }^{11}$, G. Robertson ${ }^{58}$, A.B. Rodrigues ${ }^{49}$, E. Rodrigues ${ }^{60}$, J.A. Rodriguez Lopez ${ }^{74}$, E.R.R. Rodriguez Rodriguez ${ }^{46}$, A. Rollings ${ }^{63}$, P. Roloff ${ }^{48}$, V. Romanovskiy ${ }^{44}$, M. Romero Lamas ${ }^{46}$, A. Romero Vidal ${ }^{46}$, J.D. Roth ${ }^{87}$, M. Rotondo ${ }^{23}$, M.S. Rudolph ${ }^{68}$, T. Ruf ${ }^{48}$, R.A. Ruiz Fernandez ${ }^{46}$, J. Ruiz Vidal ${ }^{47}$, A. Ryzhikov ${ }^{82}$, J. Ryzka ${ }^{34}$, J.J. Saborido Silva ${ }^{46}$, N. Sagidova ${ }^{38}$, N. Sahoo ${ }^{56}$, B. Saitta ${ }^{27, e}$, M. Salomoni ${ }^{48}$, C. Sanchez Gras ${ }^{32}$, R. Santacesaria ${ }^{30}$, C. Santamarina Rios ${ }^{46}$, M. Santimaria ${ }^{23}$, E. Santovetti ${ }^{31, p}$, D. Saranin ${ }^{83}$, G. Sarpis ${ }^{14}$, M. Sarpis ${ }^{75}$, A. Sarti ${ }^{30}$, C. Satriano ${ }^{30, o}$, A. Satta ${ }^{31}$, M. Saur ${ }^{15}$, D. Savrina ${ }^{41,40}$, H. Sazak ${ }^{9}$, L.G. Scantlebury Smead ${ }^{63}$, A. Scarabotto ${ }^{13}$, S. Schael ${ }^{14}$, S. Scherl ${ }^{60}$, M. Schiller ${ }^{59}$, H. Schindler ${ }^{48}$, M. Schmelling ${ }^{16}$, B. Schmidt ${ }^{48}$, S. Schmitt ${ }^{14}$, O. Schneider ${ }^{49}$, A. Schopper ${ }^{48}$, M. Schubiger ${ }^{32}$, S. Schulte ${ }^{49}$, M.H. Schune ${ }^{11}$, R. Schwemmer ${ }^{48}$, B. Sciascia ${ }^{23,48}$, S. Sellam ${ }^{46}$, A. Semennikov ${ }^{41}$, M. Senghi Soares ${ }^{33}$, A. Sergi ${ }^{24, h}$, N. Serra ${ }^{50}$, L. Sestini ${ }^{28}$, A. Seuthe ${ }^{15}$, Y. Shang ${ }^{5}$, D.M. Shangase ${ }^{87}$, M. Shapkin ${ }^{44}$, I. Shchemerov ${ }^{83}$, L. Shchutska ${ }^{49}$, T. Shears ${ }^{60}$, L. Shekhtman ${ }^{43, u}$, Z. Shen ${ }^{5}$, V. Shevchenko ${ }^{81}$, E.B. Shields ${ }^{26, j}$, Y. Shimizu ${ }^{11}$, E. Shmanin ${ }^{83}$, J.D. Shupperd ${ }^{68}$, B.G. Siddi ${ }^{21}$, R. Silva Coutinho ${ }^{50}$, G. Simi ${ }^{28}$, S. Simone ${ }^{19, c}$, N. Skidmore ${ }^{62}$, T. Skwarnicki ${ }^{68}$, M.W. Slater ${ }^{53}$, I. Slazyk ${ }^{21, f}$, J.C. Smallwood ${ }^{63}$, J.G. Smeaton ${ }^{55}$, A. Smetkina ${ }^{41}$, E. Smith ${ }^{50}$, M. Smith ${ }^{61}$, A. Snoch ${ }^{32}$, M. Soares ${ }^{20}$, L. Soares Lavra ${ }^{9}$, M.D. Sokoloff ${ }^{65}$, F.J.P. Soler ${ }^{59}$, A. Solovev ${ }^{38}$, I. Solovyev ${ }^{38}$, F.L. Souza De Almeida ${ }^{2}$, B. Souza De Paula ${ }^{2}$, B. Spaan ${ }^{15}$, E. Spadaro Norella ${ }^{25}$, P. Spradlin ${ }^{59}$, F. Stagni ${ }^{48}$, M. Stahl ${ }^{65}$, S. Stahl ${ }^{48}$, S. Stanislaus ${ }^{63}$, O. Steinkamp ${ }^{50,83}$, O. Stenyakin ${ }^{44}$, H. Stevens ${ }^{15}$, S. Stone ${ }^{68}$, M. Straticiuc ${ }^{37}$, D. Strekalina ${ }^{83}$, F. Suljik ${ }^{63}$, J. Sun ${ }^{27}$, L. Sun ${ }^{73}$, Y. Sun ${ }^{66}$, P. Svihra ${ }^{62}$, P.N. Swallow ${ }^{53}$, K. Swientek ${ }^{34}$, A. Szabelski ${ }^{36}$, T. Szumlak ${ }^{34}$, M. Szymanski ${ }^{48}$, S. Taneja ${ }^{62}$, A.R. Tanner ${ }^{54}$, M.D. Tat ${ }^{63}$, A. Terentev ${ }^{83}$, F. Teubert ${ }^{48}$, E. Thomas ${ }^{48}$, D.J.D. Thompson ${ }^{53}$, K.A. Thomson ${ }^{60}$, V. Tisserand ${ }^{9}$, S. T'Jampens ${ }^{8}$, M. Tobin ${ }^{4}$, L. Tomassetti ${ }^{21, f}$, X. Tong ${ }^{5}$, D. Torres Machado ${ }^{1}$, D.Y. Tou ${ }^{13}$, E. Trifonova ${ }^{83}$, C. Trippl ${ }^{49}$,
G. Tuci ${ }^{6}$, A. Tully ${ }^{49}$, N. Tuning ${ }^{32,48}$, A. Ukleja ${ }^{36}$, D.J. Unverzagt ${ }^{17}$, E. Ursov ${ }^{83}$, A. Usachov ${ }^{32}$, A. Ustyuzhanin ${ }^{42,82}$, U. Uwer ${ }^{17}$, A. Vagner ${ }^{84}$, V. Vagnoni ${ }^{20}$, A. Valassi ${ }^{48}$, G. Valenti ${ }^{20}$, N. Valls Canudas ${ }^{85}$, M. van Beuzekom ${ }^{32}$, M. Van Dijk ${ }^{49}$, E. van Herwijnen ${ }^{83}$, C.B. Van Hulse ${ }^{18}$, M. van Veghel ${ }^{79}$, R. Vazquez Gomez ${ }^{45}$, P. Vazquez Regueiro ${ }^{46}$, C. Vázquez Sierra ${ }^{48}$, S. Vecchi ${ }^{21}$, J.J. Velthuis ${ }^{54}$, M. Veltri ${ }^{22, r}$, A. Venkateswaran ${ }^{68}$, M. Veronesi ${ }^{32}$, M. Vesterinen ${ }^{56}$, D. Vieira ${ }^{65}$, M. Vieites Diaz ${ }^{49}$, H. Viemann ${ }^{76}$, X. Vilasis-Cardona ${ }^{85}$, E. Vilella Figueras ${ }^{60}$, A. Villa ${ }^{20}$, P. Vincent ${ }^{13}$, F.C. Volle ${ }^{11}$, D. Vom Bruch ${ }^{10}$, A. Vorobyev ${ }^{38}$, V. Vorobyev ${ }^{43, u}$, N. Voropaev ${ }^{38}$, K. Vos $^{80}$, R. Waldi ${ }^{17}$, J. Walsh ${ }^{29}$, C. Wang ${ }^{17}$, J. Wang ${ }^{5}$, J. Wang ${ }^{4}$, J. Wang ${ }^{3}$, J. Wang ${ }^{73}$, M. Wang ${ }^{3}$, R. Wang ${ }^{54}$, Y. Wang ${ }^{7}$, Z. Wang ${ }^{50}$, Z. Wang ${ }^{3}$, Z. Wang ${ }^{6}$, J.A. Ward ${ }^{56}$, N.K. Watson ${ }^{53}$, S.G. Weber ${ }^{13}$, D. Websdale ${ }^{61}$, C. Weisser ${ }^{64}$, B.D.C. Westhenry ${ }^{54}$, D.J. White ${ }^{62}$, M. Whitehead ${ }^{54}$, A.R. Wiederhold ${ }^{56}$, D. Wiedner ${ }^{15}$, G. Wilkinson ${ }^{63}$, M. Wilkinson ${ }^{68}$, I. Williams ${ }^{55}$, M. Williams ${ }^{64}$, M.R.J. Williams ${ }^{58}$, F.F. Wilson ${ }^{57}$, W. Wislicki ${ }^{36}$, M. Witek ${ }^{35}$, L. Witola ${ }^{17}$, G. Wormser ${ }^{11}$, S.A. Wotton ${ }^{55}$, H. Wu ${ }^{68}$, K. Wyllie ${ }^{48}$, Z. Xiang ${ }^{6}$, D. Xiao ${ }^{7}$, Y. Xie ${ }^{7}$, A. $\mathrm{Xu}^{5}$, J. Xu ${ }^{6}$, L. $\mathrm{Xu}^{3}$, M. Xu ${ }^{7}$, Q. Xu ${ }^{6}$, Z. Xu ${ }^{5}$, Z. Xu ${ }^{6}$, D. Yang ${ }^{3}$, S. Yang ${ }^{6}$, Y. Yang ${ }^{6}$, Z. Yang ${ }^{5}$, Z. Yang ${ }^{66}$, Y. Yao ${ }^{68}$, L.E. Yeomans ${ }^{60}$, H. Yin ${ }^{7}$, J. Yu ${ }^{71}$, X. Yuan ${ }^{68}$, O. Yushchenko ${ }^{44}$, E. Zaffaroni ${ }^{49}$, M. Zavertyaev ${ }^{16, t}$, M. Zdybal ${ }^{35}$, O. Zenaiev ${ }^{48}$, M. Zeng ${ }^{3}$, D. Zhang ${ }^{7}$, L. Zhang ${ }^{3}$, S. Zhang ${ }^{71}$, S. Zhang ${ }^{5}$, Y. Zhang ${ }^{5}$, Y. Zhang ${ }^{63}$, A. Zharkova ${ }^{83}$, A. Zhelezov ${ }^{17}$, Y. Zheng ${ }^{6}$, T. Zhou ${ }^{5}$, X. Zhou ${ }^{6}$, Y. Zhou ${ }^{6}$, V. Zhovkovska ${ }^{11}$, X. Zhu ${ }^{3}$, X. Zhu ${ }^{7}$, Z. Zhu ${ }^{6}$, V. Zhukov ${ }^{14,40}$, J.B. Zonneveld ${ }^{58}$, Q. Zou ${ }^{4}$, S. Zucchelli ${ }^{20, d}$, D. Zuliani ${ }^{28}$, G. Zunica ${ }^{62}$.
${ }^{1}$ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
${ }^{2}$ Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
${ }^{3}$ Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
${ }^{4}$ Institute Of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Beijing, China
${ }^{5}$ School of Physics State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
${ }^{6}$ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
${ }^{7}$ Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China
${ }^{8}$ Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France
${ }^{9}$ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France
${ }^{10}$ Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France
${ }^{11}$ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France
${ }^{12}$ Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France
${ }^{13}$ LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
${ }^{14}$ I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany
${ }^{15}$ Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
${ }^{16}$ Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany
${ }^{17}$ Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
${ }^{18}$ School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
${ }^{19}$ INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy
${ }^{20}$ INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
${ }^{21}$ INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
${ }^{22}$ INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy
${ }^{23}$ INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
${ }^{24}$ INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy
${ }^{25}$ INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy
${ }^{26}$ INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy
${ }^{27}$ INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy
${ }^{28}$ Universita degli Studi di Padova, Universita e INFN, Padova, Padova, Italy
${ }^{29}$ INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
${ }^{30}$ INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy
${ }^{31}$ INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
${ }^{32}$ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands
${ }^{33}$ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
${ }^{34}$ AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland
${ }^{35}$ Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland
${ }^{36}$ National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland
${ }^{37}$ Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania
${ }^{38}$ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute NRC Kurchatov Institute (PNPI NRC KI), Gatchina, Russia
${ }^{39}$ Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAS), Moscow, Russia
${ }^{40}$ Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia
${ }^{41}$ Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (ITEP NRC KI), Moscow, Russia
${ }^{42}$ Yandex School of Data Analysis, Moscow, Russia
${ }^{43}$ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS), Novosibirsk, Russia
${ }^{44}$ Institute for High Energy Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (IHEP NRC KI), Protvino, Russia, Protvino, Russia
${ }^{45}$ ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
${ }^{46}$ Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (IGFAE), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
${ }^{47}$ Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia, Spain
${ }^{48}$ European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland
${ }^{49}$ Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
${ }^{50}$ Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland
${ }^{51}$ NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine
${ }^{52}$ Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine
${ }^{53}$ University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
${ }^{54}$ H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
${ }^{55}$ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
${ }^{56}$ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
${ }^{57}$ STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
${ }^{58}$ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
${ }^{59}$ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
${ }^{60}$ Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
${ }^{61}$ Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom
${ }^{62}$ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
${ }^{63}$ Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
${ }^{64}$ Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States
${ }^{65}$ University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States
${ }^{66}$ University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States
${ }^{67}$ Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, United States
${ }^{68}$ Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States
${ }^{69}$ School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia, associated to ${ }^{56}$
${ }^{70}$ Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{71}$ Physics and Micro Electronic College, Hunan University, Changsha City, China, associated to ${ }^{7}$
${ }^{72}$ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, associated to ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{73}$ School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, associated to ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{74}$ Departamento de Fisica, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, associated to ${ }^{13}$
${ }^{75}$ Universität Bonn - Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany, associated to ${ }^{17}$
${ }^{76}$ Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, associated to ${ }^{17}$
${ }^{77}$ Eotvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary, associated to ${ }^{48}$
${ }^{78}$ INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy, associated to ${ }^{21}$
${ }^{79}$ Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, associated to ${ }^{32}$
${ }^{80}$ Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands, associated to ${ }^{32}$
${ }^{81}$ National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia, associated to ${ }^{41}$
${ }^{82}$ National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia, associated to ${ }^{42}$
${ }^{83}$ National University of Science and Technology "MISIS", Moscow, Russia, associated to ${ }^{41}$
${ }^{84}$ National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia, associated to ${ }^{41}$
${ }^{85}$ DS4DS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain, associated to ${ }^{45}$
${ }^{86}$ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, associated to ${ }^{59}$
${ }^{87}$ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States, associated to ${ }^{68}$
${ }^{a}$ Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil
${ }^{b}$ Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou, China
${ }^{c}$ Università di Bari, Bari, Italy
${ }^{d}$ Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
${ }^{e}$ Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy
${ }^{f}$ Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
${ }^{g}$ Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy
${ }^{h}$ Università di Genova, Genova, Italy
${ }^{i}$ Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy
${ }^{j}$ Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy
${ }^{k}$ Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy
${ }^{l}$ Università di Padova, Padova, Italy
${ }^{m}$ Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy
${ }^{n}$ Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
${ }^{\circ}$ Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy
${ }^{p}$ Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
${ }^{q}$ Università di Siena, Siena, Italy
${ }^{r}$ Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy
${ }^{s}$ MSU - Iligan Institute of Technology (MSU-IIT), Iligan, Philippines
${ }^{t}$ P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia
${ }^{u}$ Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia
${ }^{\dagger}$ Deceased


[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Authors are listed at the end of this Letter.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Throughout this Letter, charge conjugate decays are implied.

