OBSERVATION OF GROUND-STATE TWO-NEUTRON DECAY*

M. THOENNESSEN^{a,b}, Z. KOHLEY^a, A. SPYROU^{a,b}, E. LUNDERBERG^c P.A. DEYOUNG^c, H. ATTANAYAKE^d, T. BAUMANN^a, D. BAZIN^a B.A. BROWN^{a,b}, G. CHRISTIAN^{a,b}, D. DIVARATNE^d, S.M. GRIMES^d A. HAAGSMA^e, J.E. FINCK^e, N. FRANK^f, B. LUTHER^g, S. MOSBY^{a,b} T. NAGI^c, G.F. PEASLEE^c, W.A. PETERS^h, A. SCHILLER^d J.K. SMITH^{a,b}, J. SNYDER^{a,b}, M. STRONGMAN^{a,b}, A. VOLYAⁱ ^aNSCL, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA ^bDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University East Lansing, MI 48824, USA ^cDepartment of Physics, Hope College, Holland, MI 49423, USA ^dDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA ^eDepartment of Physics, Central Michigan Univ., Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859, USA ^fDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Augustana College Rock Island, IL 61201, USA ^gDepartment of Physics, Concordia College, Moorhead, MN 56562, USA ^hDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

ⁱDepartment of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahasee, FL 32306, USA

(Received November 14, 2012)

Neutron decay spectroscopy has become a successful tool to explore nuclear properties of nuclei with the largest neutron-to-proton ratios. Resonances in nuclei located beyond the neutron dripline are accessible by kinematic reconstruction of the decay products. The development of two-neutron detection capabilities of the Modular Neutron Array (MoNA) at NSCL has opened up the possibility to search for unbound nuclei which decay by the emission of two neutrons. Specifically, this exotic decay mode was observed in $^{16}\mathrm{Be}$ and $^{26}\mathrm{O}.$

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolB.44.543 PACS numbers: 21.10.-k, 21.10.Dr, 25.60.-t, 29.30.Hs

^{*} Presented at the Zakopane Conference on Nuclear Physics "Extremes of the Nuclear Landscape", Zakopane, Poland, August 27–September 2, 2012.

1. Introduction

Exploration of nuclear systems with the largest neutron excess requires the study of neutron-unbound states and nuclei. The first neutron-unbound nucleus was already discovered in 1937 by Williams, Shepperd, and Haxby who used the transfer reaction ⁷Li $(d, \alpha)^5$ He to deduce the existence of ⁵He from the measured α -particle spectrum [1]. It took almost 30 years until the next unbound resonance was unambiguously identified with the determination of the scattering length of the dineutron system in 1965 [2]. An overview of the discovery of light neutron-unbound nuclei can be found in Ref. [3].

Transfer reactions with stable beams were initially the best method to populate and study unbound states, although pion induced reactions were also an effective tool by utilizing the missing mass method. These reactions are limited to the lightest unbound nuclei, where the dripline is relatively close to the stable isotopes which have to be available as targets. In order to reach heavier unbound systems, new methods had to be developed. Figure 1 gives an overview of the different methods which were first used to observe neutron unbound states from helium to fluorine. Nuclei where the unbound states were first observed with transfer reactions with stable or



Fig. 1. Section of the chart of nuclei. Stable nuclei are indicated by black squares and the solid thick/dark blue line denotes the neutron drip line. Note that the drip line is experimentally verified only up to oxygen and the status of heavier fluorine isotopes has not been determined in experiments. Nuclei for which neutronunbound states have been measured are color coded, according to their detection technique: missing mass with transfer reactions (dark gray/red), β -delayed neutron decay (medium gray/blue) and invariant mass (light gray/yellow) measurements. The white squares beyond the drip line indicate isotopes which have been shown to be neutron unbound (adapted from Ref. [4]).

pion beams dominate the region close to the valley of stability and are shown in dark gray/red. Beta-delayed neutron emission was utilized to observe unbound states in ¹⁸N, ²¹O, and ²²O for the first time (medium gray/blue); this method was also used to study many of the nuclei closer to stability. Invariant mass measurements, shown in light gray/yellow, were necessary to populate even more neutron-rich nuclei. The diagonally shaded nuclei were explored with the missing mass as well as invariant mass method. The white squares beyond the dripline (solid thick line) show the isotopes which have been demonstrated to be unbound but no spectroscopy data has been measured. Although not explicitly stated, ¹⁷Be and ²³C are almost certain to be unbound because at least one heavier isotone for these isotopes has already been shown to be unbound. Similarly, ¹⁸Be is not expected to be bound because already ¹⁶Be is unbound. Thus, all bound isotopes up to oxygen have been observed. However, there are still several bound isotopes, where no unbound excited states have been observed as well as several unbound isotopes, where the resonance parameters are not yet known.

The first nucleus demonstrated to be unbound with respect to twoneutron emission was ⁵H [5]. Subsequently, resonances in ¹⁰He [6] and ¹³Li [7] were reconstructed using invariant mass measurements with radioactive beams. In the present paper, we discuss results of recent two-neutron measurements of ¹⁶Be [8] and ²⁶O [9].

2. ¹⁶Be

¹⁶Be was predicted to be bound with respect to one-neutron emission but unbound with respect to two-neutron emission, and is thus an ideal case to search for correlated two-neutron or dineutron-like decay. In the previous cases (⁵H and ¹⁰He), the intermediate unbound systems (⁴H and ⁹He, respectively) have broad resonances, which can extend below the singleneutron emission threshold and thus favor sequential decay. The situation is predicted to be different as shown in Fig. 2. The energies levels of ¹⁴Be, ¹⁵Be and ¹⁶Be calculated with the shell model in the s-p-sd-pf model space using the WBP interaction [12] indicate that the only open decay path is the direct emission of two neutrons. This is supported by the non-observation of ¹⁴Be in the recent two-proton removal reaction from ¹⁷C which determined the lower limit of the ¹⁵Be ground state to be at the energy of the first excited state of ¹⁴Be [11].

The decay of ¹⁶Be was measured following the one-proton removal reaction from ¹⁷B at the Coupled Cyclotron Facility at NSCL/MSU. Neutrons were measured with MoNA in coincidence with charged fragments. The three-body decay energy as well as the neutron-neutron-¹⁴Be correlations were measured [8]. The neutron interactions were simulated with GEANT4 [14] using the physics class MENATE_R [15] in order to distinguish true two-neutron events from a single neutron interacting twice in the detector array [16].



Fig. 2. Level and decay scheme for neutron-rich isotopes of beryllium. The solid light gray/blue lines represent previous experimental observations of the first excited state in ¹⁴Be [10] and a lower limit on the position of the ¹⁵Be ground state [11]. The dashed black lines represent shell model calculations within the s-p-sd-pf model space using the WBP interaction [12]. The recently measured ¹⁶Be ground state [8] is shown as the solid dark gray/red line (adapted from Ref. [13]).



Fig. 3. (a) Three-body decay energy from the reconstruction of ${}^{14}\text{Be} + n + n$, (b) two-body relative energy of the two neutrons, (c) opening angle θ_{n-n} between the two neutrons in the center-of-mass frame of ${}^{16}\text{Be}$. The experimental data are shown in black triangles, sequential emission in dashed lines, simultaneous phase-space emission in dot-dashed lines and dineutron decay in solid lines (adapted from Ref. [8]).

Figure 3 shows the three-body decay energy from the reconstruction of (a) ${}^{14}\text{Be} + n + n$, (b) the two-body relative energy of the two neutrons, (c) the opening angle θ_{n-n} between the two neutrons in the center-of-mass frame of ${}^{16}\text{Be}$. Simulations corresponding to three different decay modes were performed. Sequential emission (dashed lines) and simultaneous phasespace emission (dot-dashed lines) cannot reproduce the two-neutron relative energy nor the two-neutron opening angle. Only the dineutron decay simulation can reproduce all three spectra. It should be mentioned that the dineutron decay as simulated in a two-body model is only an approximation and full correlated three-body model calculations are necessary in order to describe the decay of ${}^{16}\text{Be}$ more realistically.

3.²⁶O

Another example of a possible dineutron emitter is 26 O. The predictions for the two-neutron separation energies show significant differences ranging from 5 MeV bound to 3 MeV unbound (see Fig. 4). Several experimental searches for bound 26 O were unsuccessful [46–49]. The definite proof that 26 O is indeed unbound was established in a recent invariant mass measurement at NSCL/MSU. The setup was similar to the previously discussed 16 Be experiment. 26 O was produced with the one-proton removal reaction from a secondary 27 F beam. The three-body decay energy spectrum shown in Fig. 5 shows a clear peak near threshold. The extracted decay energy was 150^{+50}_{-150} keV [9]. The observed width was dominated by the experimental resolution so that the expected very narrow width of the ground state (see discussion below) could not be determined.

The statistics were not sufficient to extract any correlations and thus the details of the decay could not be determined in this measurement. However, it is an even stronger candidate for a dineutron-like emission than ¹⁶Be, because the ground-state of the intermediate unbound system of ²⁵O is unbound by 0.77(2) MeV [50] and thus is located about 600 keV above the ²⁶O ground-state (see Fig. 6). The figure also shows neutron-unbound states in the last two bound neutron-rich oxygen isotopes ²³O and ²⁴O. With the exception of the second excited state of ²³O [51] and the negative parity state in ²⁴O [52] all measurements were performed with MoNA at NSCL/MSU [9, 50, 53–55]. The energies of the first two excited states of ²⁴O were recently confirmed by the recent RIKEN measurement [52].

The low decay energy of 26 O very close to the two-neutron separation energy gives rise to speculations that 26 O even represent a case for twoneutron radioactivity with a potentially fairly long lifetime. Grigorenko *et al.* [56] have calculated the decay widths and half-lives for the two-neutron emission of 26 O for different angular momenta of the neutrons (see Fig. 7).



Fig. 4. Predictions for the two-neutron separation energy S_{2n} for ²⁶O from various theoretical models.



Fig. 5. Decay energy spectrum for ${}^{26}O$ (adapted from [9]).



Fig. 6. Unbound levels in neutron-rich oxygen isotopes near and beyond the neutron dripline. All energies are in MeV and the decay energies are from [9, 50–55].

The valence neutrons in ²⁶O are most likely in a [d^2] configuration. A lower limit of 10^{-14} s can be extracted from Fig. 7 for the d^2 configuration using the upper limit of the decay energy from the present experiment (200 keV). The unsuccessful searches for ²⁶O using fragment separators yield an upper limit of the half-life of about 200 ns. Thus, the possible range of half-lives for ²⁶O is still about 7 orders of magnitude with 2×10^{-7} s $< T_{1/2} < 10^{-14}$ s.



Fig. 7. Calculated widths and half-lives as a function of decay energy for twoneutron emission in different orbital configurations (adapted from Ref. [56]).

4. Conclusion and outlook

Neutron decay spectroscopy with radioactive beams is an effective tool to explore nuclei at and beyond the neutron dripline. The two-neutron emission of 16 Be corresponds to the first observation of a dineutron-like ground-state

decay and the coincidence measurement of two-neutrons with ^{24}O following the one-proton removal reaction from ^{27}F determines unambiguously that ^{26}O is unbound with respect to two-neutron emission.

The MoNA Collaboration has recently commissioned an additional neutron detector array. LISA, the Large multi-Institutional Scintillator Array, was designed, built, and installed at the NSCL by a collaboration of undergraduate institutions [57]. The addition of LISA improves the efficiency, acceptance, and resolution for one- and especially two-neutron experiments. The data of the first experiment, where the decay of unbound excited states of 24 O was measured with significantly improved resolution, are currently under analysis.



Fig. 8. Typical layout of the MoNA-LISA in at the NSCL. The beam enters the vault from the bottom right (figure from [57]).

This material is based upon work supported by the NSF under Grants PHY-02-44953, PHY-06-06007, PHY-07-57678, PHY-08-55456, PHY-09-69058, PHY-10-68217, and PHY-11-02511, and the DOE Awards DE-NA0000979 and DE-FG02-92ER40750.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.H. Williams, W.G. Shepherd, R.O. Haxby, *Phys. Rev.* 51, 888 (1937).
- [2] R.P. Haddock et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 318 (1965).
- [3] M. Thoennessen, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 98, 43 (2012).

- [4] T. Baumann, A. Spyrou, M. Thoennessen, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 75, 036301 (2012).
- [5] M.G. Gornov et al., JETP Lett. 45, 252 (1987).
- [6] A.A. Korsheninnikov et al., Phys. Lett. B326, 31 (1994).
- [7] Y. Aksyutina et al., Phys. Lett. B666, 430 (2008).
- [8] A. Spyrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 102501 (2012).
- [9] E. Lunderberg et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 142503 (2012).
- [10] T. Sugimoto et al., Phys. Lett. B654, 160 (2007).
- [11] A. Spyrou et al., Phys. Rev. C84, 044309 (2011).
- [12] E.K. Warburton, B.A. Brown, *Phys. Rev.* C46, 923 (1992).
- [13] Z. Kohley et al., arXiv:1208.2969 [nucl-ex].
- [14] S. Agostinelli et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A506, 250 (2003).
- [15] P. Désesquelles et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A307, 366 (1991) adapted for GEANT4 by B.T. Roeder, 2008.
- [16] Z. Kohley et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A682, 59 (2012).
- [17] R. Blumel, K. Dietrich, Nucl. Phys. A471, 453 (1987).
- [18] H. Sagawa, H. Toki, J. Phys. G 13, 453 (1987).
- [19] N.A.F.M. Poppelier, A.A. Wolters, P.W.M. Glaudemans, Z. Phys. A346, 11 (1993).
- [20] Z. Ren *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* C52, R20 (1995).
- [21] F. Grummer et al., Phys. Lett. **B387**, 673 (1996).
- [22] L. Prochniak, S. Szpikowski, W. Berej, J. Phys. G 23, 705 (1997).
- [23] N.K. Timofeyuk, P. Descouvemont, I.J. Thompson, J. Phys. G 25, 933 (1999).
- [24] A.T. Kruppa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2217 (1997).
- [25] W.E. Ormand, *Phys. Rev.* C56, R1678 (1997).
- [26] T. Siiskonen, P.O. Lipas, J. Rikovska, *Phys. Rev.* C60, 034312 (1999).
- [27] H. Nakada, M. Sato, Nucl. Phys. A699, 511 (2002) [Erratum ibid. 714, 696 (2003)].
- [28] J. Meng, S.-G. Zhou, I. Tanihata, *Phys. Lett.* **B532**, 209 (2002).
- [29] M.V. Stoitsov et al., Phys. Rev. C68, 054312 (2003).
- [30] G. Thiamova, N. Itagaki, T. Otsuka, Czech. J. Phys. 54, 329 (2004).
- [31] B.A. Brown, W.A. Richter, *Phys. Rev.* C72, 057301 (2005).
- [32] H. Nakada, Nucl. Phys. A764, 117 (2006) [Erratum ibid. 801, 169 (2008)].
- [33] A. Volya, V. Zelevinsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 052501 (2005).
- [34] K.A. Gridnev et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. E15, 673 (2006).
- [35] B.A. Brown, W.A. Richter, *Phys. Rev.* C74, 034315 (2006).
- [36] X.-R. Zhou et al., Phys. Rev. C78, 054306 (2008).
- [37] H. Nakada, *Phys. Rev.* C78, 054301 (2008).

- [38] H. Masui, K. Kato, K. Ikeda, Eur. Phys. J. A42, 535 (2009).
- [39] W. Satula et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. E18, 808 (2009).
- [40] T. Otsuka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 032501 (2010).
- [41] A. Shukla, S. Aberg, S.K. Patra, J. Phys. G 38, 095103 (2011).
- [42] L. Coraggio et al., J. Phys: Conf. Ser. **312**, 092021 (2011).
- [43] A. Bhagwat, Y.K. Gambhir, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E20, 1663 (2011).
- [44] C. Yuan et al., Phys. Rev. C85, 064324 (2012).
- [45] G. Hagen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 242501 (2012).
- [46] D. Guillemaud-Mueller et al., Phys. Rev. C41, 937 (1990).
- [47] M. Fauerbach et al., Phys. Rev. C53, 647 (1996).
- [48] O. Tarasov et al., Phys. Lett. **B409**, 64 (1997).
- [49] A. Schiller et al., Phys. Rev. C72, 037601 (2005).
- [50] C.R. Hoffman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 152501 (2008).
- [51] Z. Elekes et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 102502 (2007).
- [52] K. Tshoo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 022501 (2012).
- [53] A. Schiller et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 112501 (2007).
- [54] C.R. Hoffman et al., Phys. Lett. B672, 17 (2009).
- [55] C.R. Hoffman et al., Phys. Rev. C83, 031303 (2011).
- [56] L.V. Grigorenko et al., Phys. Rev. C84, 021303 (2011).
- [57] S.L. Stephenson *et al.*, Proc. of the 19th Int. Sem. on Interaction of Neutrons with Nuclei: Fundamental Interactions & Neutrons, Nuclear Structure, Ultracold Neutrons, Related Topics, JINR, Dubna 2011.