Page 1 of 47

1

Plant Disease

2 3	
4	
5 6	
7	
8 9	
10	
11 12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
26 27	1
27	1
29 30	T
30 31	1
32	1
33 34	1
35	1
30 37	
38	
39 40	
41 42	
42 43	
44 45	
45 46	
47	
48 49	
50	
51 52	
53	
54 55	
56	
57 58	
59	

Occurrence and diversity of endophytic, potentially pathogenic black-foot
disease fungi in symptomless grapevine nursery stock in Spain
Carmen Berlanas <sup>1</sup> , Sonia Ojeda <sup>1</sup> , Beatriz López-Manzanares <sup>1</sup> , Marcos Andrés-Sodupe <sup>1</sup> ,
Rebeca Bujanda <sup>1</sup> , María del Pilar Martínez-Diz <sup>2</sup> , Emilia Díaz-Losada <sup>2</sup> and David Gramaje <sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Ciencias de la Vid y del Vino (ICVV), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones
Científicas - Universidad de la Rioja - Gobierno de La Rioja, Ctra. de Burgos Km. 6, 26007
Logroño, Spain.
<sup>2</sup> Estación de Viticultura y Enología de Galicia (AGACAL-EVEGA), Ponte San Clodio s/n
32428-Leiro-Ourense, Spain.
Corresponding Author: David Gramaje, Email: david.gramaje@icvv.es

# **Abstract**

16 Carmen Berlanas, Sonia Ojeda, Beatriz López-Manzanares, Marcos Andrés-Sodupe, Rebeca
17 Bujanda, María del Pilar Martínez-Diz, Emilia Díaz-Losada and David Gramaje. 2019
18 Occurrence and diversity of endophytic, potentially pathogenic black-foot disease fungi in
19 symptomless grapevine nursery stock in Spain. Plant Dis. XX:XX-XX.

In this study, 3,426 grafted plants ready to be sold to producers were surveyed from 15 grapevine nursery fields in northern Spain from 2016 to 2018. In all, 1,427 black-foot pathogen isolates were collected from the non-necrotic inner tissues of surface sterilized symptomless secondary roots and characterized based on phenotypical features and comparison of DNA sequence data of the nuclear ribosomal DNA-internal transcribed spacer region, histone H3, translation elongation factor 1-alpha and  $\beta$ -tubulin genes. Eleven species belonging to the genera *Dactylonectria*, Ilvonectria, Neonectria and Thelonectria were identified, namely Dactylonectria alcacerensis, D. macrodidyma, D. novozelandica, D. pauciseptata, D. torresensis, Ilyonectria liriodendri, I. pseudodestructans, I. robusta, Neonectria quercicola, N. sp. 1 and Thelonectria olida. In addition, two species are newly described, namely D. riojana and I. vivaria. Twenty-four isolates representing the 13 black-foot species were inoculated in grapevine seedlings cultivar 'Tempranillo'. The pathogenicity tests detected virulence diversity among fungal species and between isolates within each species. The most virulent species was D. novozelandica isolate BV-0760, followed by D. alcacerensis isolate BV-1240 and I. vivaria sp. nov. isolate BV-2305. The present study improves our knowledge on the etiology and virulence of black-foot disease pathogens, and opens up new perspectives in the study of the endophytic role of these pathogens on grapevines.

Page 3 of 47

### Plant Disease

Endophytes are defined as organisms living in internal tissues of the plants that exhibit no visible symptoms as a result of the colonization (Hallmann et al. 1997). This endophytic relationship is often unnoticed due to the lack of symptoms in the plant and is usually only discovered by examining internal tissues with a microscope, by aseptic isolations from plants, or from PCR-based methods of DNA extracted from surface-disinfested plant tissues (Stone et al. 2000). Many fungal endophytes have been sought and characterized for their ability to produce biologically active secondary metabolites with potential uses in agriculture, medicine, and other areas (Wang and Dai 2011). 

In grapevine, numerous studies have been conducted to analyze the endophytic fungal communities in different plant organs (Casieri et al. 2009; Martini et al. 2009; González and Tello 2011; Hofstetter et al. 2012; Cosoveanu et al. 2014; Pinto et al. 2014; Bruez et al. 2014, 2016; Dissanayake et al. 2018; Kraus et al. 2019). However, special focus has been given on the fungal microbiome on its woody tissues, due to the problems arising from grapevine trunk diseases (GTDs) (Casieri et al. 2009; Hofstetter et al. 2012; Bruez et al. 2014, 2016; Kraus et al. 2019). These diseases, namely esca, eutypa, Diaporthe and Botryosphaeria diebacks, as well as black-foot and Petri diseases, are some of the most destructive fungal diseases of grapevine in all grape growing areas of the world. Management of GTDs has been intensively studied for decades with some great advances made in the understanding of the causal pathogens, their epidemiology, impact, and control (Gramaje et al. 2018).

Black-foot disease is a soil-borne disease caused by a broad range of *Cylindrocarpon*-like
asexual morphs belonging to the genera *Campylocarpon*, *Cylindrocladiella*, *Dactylonectria*, *Ilyonectria*, *Neonectria* and *Thelonectria* (Gramaje et al. 2018). Among them, *Dactylonectria torresensis* is considered the most frequent species in Italy (Carlucci et al. 2017), Portugal (Reis

et al. 2013) and Spain (Berlanas et al. 2017). Internal symptoms of black-foot diseased vines usually range from black, sunken, necrotic lesions on roots to reddish brown discoloration in the base of the rootstock trunk (Fourie and Halleen 2001). Foliar symptoms associated with black-foot disease are practically indistinguishable from those observed in Petri disease affected vines and include delayed budbreak, chlorotic foliage with necrotic margins, overall stunting, and wilting of leaves or entire shoots (Agustí-Brisach and Armengol 2013). They also resemble symptomatology associated with abiotic disorders such as spring frost, winter damage, nutrient deficiency and/or water stress (Gramaje et al. 2018).

Black-foot disease is particularly important in grapevine nurseries and new plantations. Cylindrocarpon-like asexual morphs produce conidia and some species also chlamydospores in culture, which indicates that those propagules are likely to be produced on stem bases of infected vines and the diseased roots. The conidia are spread in soil water and the chlamydospores can allow these pathogens to survive in the soil for extended periods of time (Petit et al. 2011). Infection can occur through the small wounds made when roots break off during the planting process, through the incomplete callusing of the lower trunk or through wounds made in the grapevine propagation process, such as disbudding wounds, from which the infection progresses downward to the base of the trunk (Halleen et al. 2006a). Black-foot disease pathogens have also been detected, identified and quantified in soil samples by PCR-based methods (Damm and Fourie 2005; Probst et al. 2010; Cardoso et al. 2013; Agustí-Brisach et al. 2014; Urbez-Torres et al., 2015; Langenhoven et al. 2018) or by dilution plating technique together with the use of a semi-selective medium (Berlanas et al. 2017).

82 Much of the current knowledge on black-foot disease pathogens of grapevine has been 83 derived from research based on populations isolated from vines displaying foliar or internal

Page 5 of 47

### Plant Disease

wood disease symptoms. Recent research suggested that black-foot disease fungi could act as latent pathogens in visually healthy grapevine nursery stock in South Africa (Langenhoven et al. 2018). In Spain, grapevine nursery surveys have been previously conducted for the detection of black-foot pathogens (Alaniz et al. 2007; Agustí-Brisach et al. 2013); however, this research focused on these organisms as plant pathogens causing necrotic symptoms in grapevine tissues. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to (i) conduct extensive surveys in grapevine nurseries and identify the fungal species associated with black-foot disease from non-necrotic endorhizosphere tissues of externally asymptomatic plants based on phenotypical and molecular methods, and (ii) determine the pathogenicity of these endophytic species on grapevine.

# 94 Materials and Methods

Nurserv survey and fungal isolation. Isolates used in this study were collected from externally symptomless grafted plants of 110 Richter rootstock ready to be sold to producers from 15 nursery fields in northern Spain from 2016 to 2018 (Table 1). Fungal isolations were made from the non-necrotic endorhizosphere tissue of secondary roots. For this purpose, sections of externally symptomless roots (2 cm long and 1-3 mm diameter) were cut, washed under running tap water, surface sterilized in 33% sodium hypochlorite (commercial 40 g Cl/l) for 1 min and rinsed twice with sterile distilled water. Upon this treatment, bark was carefully peeled out and the endorhizosphere tissue was plated on malt extract agar (MEA) (Conda Laboratories) supplemented with 0.35 g liter<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin sulphate (MEAS) (Sigma-Aldrich Laboratories). Isolation plates were incubated at 25°C in darkness for 12 days, and colonies resembling black-foot disease pathogens were subcultured to potato dextrose agar (PDA) (Conda Laboratories).

All isolates were single-spored in order to obtain pure cultures and stored in filter paper at -20 °C.

Morphological identification and characterization. Cultures were grown on PDA, oatmeal agar (OA) (Sigma-Aldrich laboratories), and synthetic nutrient agar (SNA) (Sifin Diagnostics) with or without bearing two 1 cm<sup>2</sup> pieces of sterile filter paper on the medium surface (Crous et al. 2009). Plates were incubated at 25°C under near UV light with a 12 h photoperiod. To induce perithecia of new species, heterothallic and homothallic crosses were performed according to Cabral et al. (2012a). The length and width of 40 conidia were measured at  $\times 1,000$  magnification with a compound Nikon Eclipse Ni-e microscope. Minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation were calculated from measurements. Conidial color, shape, and the presence of septa were also recorded. Colony morphological characters were observed and colony colors were determined with the color chart of Rayner (1970). 

Effect of temperature on mycelial growth. Twenty-four fungal isolates, belonging to 13 different species were randomly selected for temperature-growth assay (Table 2). Five-mm diameter mycelial plugs were transferred to the center of PDA plates, and incubated in darkness from 5 to 35°C at 5°C intervals. Colony diameter was recorded after 10 days in two orthogonal directions. Four replicate plates per isolate were used and the experiment was conducted twice. Student's t-Least Significant Difference (LSD) was calculated at the 5% significance level to compare treatment means between experiments. Regression curves were fitted to the values of temperature versus radial growth in millimeters per day for each isolate. The optimum temperature for radial growth and the maximum daily radial growth were calculated in the fitted

### Plant Disease

Berlanas et al. Plant Disease

equation for each isolate. Data were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test and mean ranks of isolates were compared at P = 0.05 using Dunn's test.

Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analyses. Total genomic DNA was extracted from fresh mycelium after 10 days of incubation in PDA using the E.Z.N.A. Plant Miniprep Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The identification of black-foot pathogens was first confirmed by sequencing part of the histone H3 (his3) gene. In addition, the internal transcribed spacer and intervening 5.8S gene (ITS) region, and partial regions of the translation elongation factor 1-alpha (*tef1*) and the  $\beta$ -tubulin (*tub2*) genes were also sequenced for a better phylogenetic resolution. Each 25  $\mu$ L reaction volume contained 12.5 ul of FastGene Ready Mix (NIPPON Genetics), 1 ul of each primer, 1 ul of DNA template (10  $ng/\mu$ ) and 9.5  $\mu$ l sterile distilled water. PCR amplification was performed in a C1000 touch thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). For his3, ITS and tub2 genes amplification conditions included an initial denaturation step of 3 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s. annealing at 58°C for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 1 minute. A final extension was performed at 72°C for 1 min. For *tef1* gene, amplification conditions were as follow: initial denaturation step of 3 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 51°C for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 45 s. A final extension was performed at 72°C for 10 min. Primers used were CYLHEF and CYLH3R (Crous et al. 2004b) for his3, ITS1F (Gardes and Bruns 1993) and ITS4 (White et al. 1990) for ITS, CylEF-1 (5'-ATGGGTAAGGAVGAVAAGAC-3'; J.Z. Groenewald, unpublished) and CylEF-R2 (Crous et al. 2004b) for *tef1*, and T1 (O'Donnell and Cigelnik 1997) and Bt2b (Glass and Donaldson 1995) for tub2. PCR products were visualized in 1% agarose gels (agarose D-1 Low EEO, Conda

Laboratories). PCR products were sequenced in both directions by Eurofins GATC Biotech (Cologne, Germany)). Sequences were edited and aligned using the program MEGA v. 6 (Tamura et al. 2013). The alignments for each locus were combined in a single file using GenTool (unpublished tool).

Fungal sequences from grapevines from Spain were aligned with published GenBank sequences, including ex-type specimens from grapevines and other hosts for comparison using MAFFT sequence alignment program v. 6 (Katoh and Toh 2010) (Table 3). Alignments were corrected visually and manually edited in Sequence Alignment Editor v. 2.0a11. Firstly, a phylogenetic analysis was conducted on the his3 single-locus alignment for representative isolates obtained in this study. In addition, a multi-locus phylogenetic analysis was performed on the combined *his3*, ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* datasets. A partition homogeneity test was conducted in PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony) v. 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003). The congruence among the his3, ITS, tef1, and tub2 datasets were tested at 1,000 replicates. Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses were performed in MEGA v. X (Kumar et al. 2018) using the best fit model as estimated with the Bayesian information criterion in jModelTest 2.1.10 (Darriba et al. 2012). Branch support was calculated from 1,000 bootstrap replicates for the single and concatenated datasets. Campylocarpon (Ca.) fasciculare (CBS 112613) and Ca. pseudofasciculare (CBS 112679) were used as outgroups in phylogenetic analyses.

170 Representative black-foot disease isolate sequences derived in this study were lodged at 171 GenBank (Table 2) and the alignments in TreeBASE (<u>http://treebase.org</u>), and taxonomic 172 novelties in MycoBank (<u>www.MycoBank.org</u>) (Crous et al. 2004a).

1<sub>2</sub> 173

Page 9 of 47

### Plant Disease

Pathogenicity tests. Two-month-old grapevine seedlings (cv. 'Tempranillo') were used for pathogenicity tests. Seedlings were planted individually in 250 cm<sup>3</sup> plastic pots containing sterilized peat moss and inoculated when one to two leaves had emerged by immersing the roots in a 10<sup>5</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup> conidial suspension for 1 hour (Martínez-Diz et al. 2018). The viability of the conidia was tested by spreading 150 µL of each conidial suspension diluted to 100 conidia mL<sup>-1</sup> onto PDA plates and counting the resulting colonies after 2-3 days incubation at 25°C in the dark. Twenty-four fungal isolates, belonging to 13 different species were used (Table 2). Six seedlings per isolate were inoculated. Six control plants were inoculated with sterile distilled water. The experiment was repeated twice. Seedlings were maintained in a growth chamber at 25°C in a completely randomized design. Plants were inspected every morning from day 1 to day 61 for the development of foliar symptoms. However, due to the lack of specificity of foliar symptoms in grapevine seedlings, as well as the short time occurring from foliar symptom development to plant death, the following parameters were considered: the mean time from inoculation in which the plant stayed alive (MTA) and the % of dead plants. Two months after inoculation, all plants were uprooted and washed free of soil. Roots, crown and stem were aseptically plated on MEAS to re-isolate the black-foot disease fungal species.

191 Statistical analysis. Homogeneity of variance across treatments was evaluated using Levene's 192 test prior to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Box. et al. 1978). The percentage of dead plant 193 and the MTA data were subjected to ANOVA with factors experiment (performed twice), 194 pathogen and isolate nested in pathogen (two isolates for each pathogens except for *Neonectria* 195 sp. 1 and *Thelonectria olida*). Means were compared using the LDS value at P = 0.05.

**Results** 

> Incidence of black-foot disease pathogens. Based on colony morphology, conidial characteristics and phylogenetic analyses (see below), 1.427 isolates associated with 13 species belonging to the genera Dactylonectria, Ilvonectria, Neonectria and Thelonectria were identified. Dactylonectria torresensis was isolated from grafted plants in all grapevine nursery fields, and accounted for 75.05% of all isolates (Table 1). The remaining isolates were identified as Dactylonectria sp. (0.14%), D. alcacerensis (2.94%), D. macrodidyma (3.85%), D. novozelandica (3.22%), D. pauciseptata (0.21%), Ilvonectria sp. (0.21%), I. liriodendri (10.93%), I. pseudodestructans (0.8%), I. robusta (2.03%), Neonectria sp. 1 (0.06%), N. quercicola (0.28%), and T. olida (0.28%). In all nursery fields surveyed, disease incidence ranged from 4.9 to 90%, while disease severity ranged from 10 to 18%.

Morphological characterization. The color of the colonies ranged from pale buff to chestnut or cinnamon to vinaceous on PDA, and from buff to chestnut or brown to cinnamon on OA. Based on the microscopic observations, almost all the isolates produced macroconidia, microconidia and chlamydospores. This matched descriptions for *Cylindrocarpon*-like asexual morphs; therefore, it was possible to separate three different groups among black-foot disease isolates. The first consisted of isolates showing 5-septate and cylindrical, generally straight, macroconidia. Microconidia were ellipsoidal to oblong and no chlamydospores were observed. These characteristics matched those described earlier for the genus *Thelonectria* (Chaverri et al. 2011). The second group of isolates had 5-septate, fusiform and curved macroconidia. Microconidia and chlamydospores were uncommon. These matched descriptions for the genus Neonectria (Chaverri et al. 2011). The third consisted of isolates showing 1 to 3-septate and

Page 11 of 47

### **Plant Disease**

cylindrical, generally straight, macroconidia. Microconidia were ellipsoidal to ovoid. These
characteristics matched those described earlier for the genera *Dactylonectria* (Lombard et al.
2014) or *Ilyonectria* (Chaverri et al. 2011). According to Lombard et al. (2014), *Dactylonectria*can be distinguished from *Ilyonectria* by the characteristics of the sexual morph as well as the
absence of chlamydospore production in culture.

Effect of temperature on mycelial growth. Analyses of variance indicated no significant differences of the mycelial growth between experiments (P = 0.4115), so data from both experiments were averaged. Most of the isolates studied failed to grow on PDA at 35°C, with the exception of N. quercicola isolate BV-2137 and I. vivaria sp. nov isolate BV-1924, while some of them grew at 5°C (D. pauciseptata isolate BV-1354, I. liriodendri isolates, I. robusta isolate BV-1593, I. vivaria sp. nov isolates, N. sp. 1 isolate BV-2682, N. quercicola isolates, and T. olida isolate). Optimal temperatures for mycelial growth ranged from 18.6 to 20.6°C (Table 4). Significant differences were found in the optimal temperature between D. pauciseptata isolate BV-1360 and N. sp. 1 isolate BV-2682 (P < 0.05), but it was not possible to statistically differentiate the remaining isolates, because of the overlap in the optimal temperature measurements among them (Table 4). According to the Kruskal-Wallis test, maximum growth rates of isolates differed significantly (P < 0.05). The relationship between growth rate and temperature for all isolates was best described by a second-degree polynomial ( $Y = aT^2 + bT + bT$ ) cT). The three regression coefficients were highly significant in all cases (P < 0.01). The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) ranged from 0.71 to 0.93. Isolates with maximum growth rate < 3 mm/day included D. alcacerensis isolates, D. macrodidyma isolate BV-0535, D. riojana sp. nov. isolates, D. torresensis isolate BV-0901, I. pseudodestructans isolates and T. olida isolate.

Isolates with maximum growth rate between 3 and 5 mm/day included *D. macrodidyma* isolate BV-1366, *D. novozelandica* isolates, *D. pauciseptata* isolates, *D. torresensis* isolate BV-0666, *I. liriodendri* isolates, *I. robusta* isolate BV-1593, *I. vivaria* sp. nov. isolates, *N. quercicola* isolates, and *N.* sp. 1 isolate. The only species that grew more than 5 mm/day was *I. robusta* (isolate BV-1654).

> Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analyses. For *his3* single-locus alignment the AIC best-fit nucleotide substitution model identified by jModelTest was Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model (HKY) with gamma distributed with invariant sites rates (G+I). Three major clades belonging to the genera *Ilyonectria*, *Neonectria* and *Dactylonectria* were obtained (Fig. 1).

> Although all the isolates were identified to the specie level, the ITS, *tub2*, and *tef*1 regions were also analyzed and their sequences concatenated with those obtained from the *his3* region (Fig. 2). The four loci alignment contained 91 taxa (including the two outgroups). The AIC bestfit nucleotide substitution model identified by jModelTest was HKY+G+I model for *his3* and ITS and HKY+G for *tef*1 and *tub2*. The ML consensus trees obtained with the *his3* locus and the four-loci alignment confirmed the existence of two novel taxa within our set of isolates.

> Taxonomy. Based on the phenotypical characters previously recorded and the phylogenetic analysis, one species each of *Ilyonectria* and *Dactyonectria* are described (Figs. 3 and 4). No perithecia were observed in the heterothallic or homothallic crosses performed.

Berlanas *et al. Plant Disease* 

### Plant Disease

Dactylonectria riojana C. Berlanas, M. Andrés-Sodupe, S. Ojeda & D. Gramaje, sp. nov.
MycoBank MB 829945 (Fig. 3). Etymology: Name refers to the Spanish region of La Rioja,
where the fungus was isolated.

Diagnosis: Morphologically D. riojana, can be distinguished by its shorter conidiophores when compared with *D. novozelandica*, *D. torresensis*, *D. alcacerensis* and *D. macrodidyma*. Nineteen polymorphisms can distinguish *D. riojana* from *D. alcacerensis*: two in *tub2* locus, eleven in *his3* locus, four in *tef1* locus and two in ITS. Seventeen polymorphisms can distinguish D. riojana from D. torresensis: one in tub2 locus, four in his3 locus, ten in tef1 locus and two in ITS. Eleven polymorphisms can distinguish D. riojana from D. novozelandica: seven in his3 locus, two in *tef* locus and two in ITS. Twenty-three polymorphisms can distinguish D. riojana from D. macrodidyma: three in tub2 locus, thirteen in his3 locus and seven in tefl locus.

Typus: Spain: La Rioja, Logroño, on roots of 110 Richter grapevine rootstock (*Vitis berlandieri x Vitis rupestris*), 2018, C. Berlanas (CBS H-23883 – holotype; CBS 145413= BV1396 – ex-type culture).

Conidiophores simple. Complex conidiophores not observed. Simple conidiophores arising laterally or terminally from aerial mycelium, solitary to aggregated, unbranched or some branched with up to three phialides, 1- to 2- septate, 35 to 65  $\mu$ m long; phialides monophialidic, more or less cylindrical, tapering slightly towards the apex, 12 to 29  $\mu$ m long, 2.0 to 2.5  $\mu$ m wide at the base, 2.5 to 3.5  $\mu$ m at the widest point, 1.5 to 3  $\mu$ m near the aperture.

Macroconidia (1 to) 3-septate, straight, cylindrical, but may narrow towards the tip, more or less broadly rounded, and the base appearing acute due to the presence of the hilum; 1-septate (25-) 30 to 35 (-47) × (6.5-) 7 to 8 (-8.5) µm (av. 33 × 8 µm), L/W ratio (3-) 3.8 to 4.5 (-6) (av. 4.2), 2-septate (30-) 35 to 39 (-51) × (6-) 7 to 8.5 (-9.5) µm (av. 39 × 8 µm), L/W ratio (3-) 4 to

> Berlanas *et al. Plant Disease*

1 ว		
2 3 4	287	5 (-7) (av. 4.5), and 3-septate (36–) 44 to 48 (–55) $\times$ (7–) 7.5 to 8.2 (–9) $\mu m$ (av. 45 $\times$ 8 $\mu m$ ),
5 6	288	L/W ratio (4–) 4.5 to 6 (–7) (av. 5.5).
/ 8 0	289	Microconidia 0-1 septate, aseptate microconidia subglobose to oval, (9–) 10 to 13.5 (–16.5) $\times$
9 10 11	290	(5–) 6 to 6.5 (–7) (av. 12 $\times$ 6 µm), L/W ratio (1.5–) 1.8 to 2.2 (–2.4) (av. 2); 1-septate, mostly
12 13	291	subcylindrical, (12.5–) 18 to 21 (–23.5) $\times$ (6–) 7 to 8 (–8.5) (av. 20.3 $\times$ 7 µm), L/W ratio (2–) 2.5
14 15	292	to 2.8 (-3.2) (av. 2.7). Chlamydospores rarely observed.
16 17 18	293	Culture characteristics: Mycelium felty with average density (OA) or strong density (PDA).
19 20	294	Surface on OA straw to buff; margin buff. Surface on PDA honey to buff; margin buff. Zonation
21 22	295	absent, transparency homogenous and margin uneven (OA) and even (PDA). Reverse similar to
23 24 25	296	surface, except in color, buff to sepia on OA and sepia to chestnut on PDA. Colonies reaching a
25 26 27	297	radius of 26.8 mm after 7 d at 25°C. Minimum temperature for growth 10°C, optimum 20°C,
28 29	298	maximum 30°C.
30 31	299	Host and distribution: Vitis berlandieri x Vitis rupestris (roots of 110 Richter grapevine
32 33 34	300	rootstock) (Spain, La Rioja).
35 36	301	Notes: D. riojana is closely related to D. novozelandica, D. torresensis, D. alcacerensis and
37 38	302	D. macrodidyma. The morphology of these species is very similar, but D. riojana can be
39 40 41	303	distinguished by its shorter conidiophores and the inability to grow at 5°C. No chlamydospores
41 42 43	304	were observed in D. riojana, while these structures rarely occur in D. novozelandica, D.
44 45	305	torresensis and D. alcacerensis, or sometimes occur in D. macrodidyma.
46 47	306	
48 49 50	307	Ilyonectria vivaria C. Berlanas, B. López-Manzanares, R. Bujanda & D. Gramaje, sp. nov.
50 51 52	308	<i>MycoBank MB 829951</i> (Fig. 4). Etymology: Latin, from vivarium, meaning nursery. In reference
53 54	309	to the environment it was frequently isolated from.
55 56		1 5
57 58 59 60		Berlanas et al.14Plant Disease

Page 15 of 47

1 ว

### Plant Disease

2 3 4	310
5 6	311
7 8 9	312
9 10 11	313
12 13	314
14 15 16	315
16 17 18	316
19 20	317
21 22	318
23 24 25	319
26 27	320
28 29	321
30 31 22	322
32 33 34	323
35 36	324
37 38 30	325
39 40 41	326
42 43	327
44 45	328
46 47 48	329
49 50	330
51 52	331
53 54	332
55 56 57	
58 59	

60

Diagnosis: Morphologically *I. vivaria*, can be distinguished by its slower growth at 25°C and 11 the ability to grow at 35°C when compared with *I. mors-panacis*. Seventy six polymorphisms can 12 distinguish I. vivaria from I. mors-panacis: seventeen in tub2 locus, thirty two in his3 locus, 13 twenty five in *tef*1 locus and two in ITS. 14 Typus: Spain: Navarra, Larraga, on roots of 110 Richter grapevine rootstock (Vitis 15 berlandieri x Vitis rupestris), 2018, C. Berlanas (CBS H-23884 – holotype; CBS 145414 = BV-16 2305 – ex-type culture). 17 Conidiophores simple or complex. Simple conidiophores arising laterally or terminally from 18 aerial mycelium, solitary to aggregated, unbranched or some branched with up to four phialides, 19 1- to 4- septate, 42 to 165 µm long; phialides monophialidic, more or less cylindrical, tapering 20 towards the apex, 21 to 47 µm long, 2.0 to 2.5 µm wide at the base, 2.5 to 3.0 µm at the widest 21 point, 1.5 to 2.5  $\mu$ m near the aperture. 22 Complex conidiophores aggregated in small sporodochia, repeatedly and irregularly 23 branched; phialides cylindrical, but tapering towards the apex, 10 to 25 µm long, 1.5 to 2.5 µm 24 wide at the base, 2.0 to 2.5  $\mu$ m at the widest point, and 1.5 to 2.0  $\mu$ m wide at the apex. 25 Macroconidia (1 to) 3-septate, straight, cylindrical, but may narrow towards the tip, more or 26 less broadly rounded, and base sometimes with a visible, centrally hilum; 1-septate (22–) 27 to 27 34 (-41) × (6-) 6.5 to 8.0 (-9)  $\mu$ m (av. 31 × 7.5  $\mu$ m), L/W ratio (3-) 3.5 to 4.2 (-6) (av. 4), 2-28 septate (26–) 33 to 38 (–47) × (6–) 7.0 to 8.0 (–9)  $\mu$ m (av. 35 × 7.5  $\mu$ m), L/W ratio (3–) 4 to 5.5 29 (-7) (av. 4.5), and 3-septate (33–) 45 to 51 (–56) × (7–) 7.4 to 8 (–8.5) µm (av. 47 × 7.5 µm), 30 L/W ratio (4–) 4.5 to 6.5 (–7) (av. 5).

 331
 Microconidia 0-1 septate, aseptate microconidia ellipsoidal to oval, (8–) 9 to 11.5 (–13.5) ×

 332
 (4.5–) 5.5 to 6.5 (–7) (av. 10.5 × 6  $\mu$ m), L/W ratio (1.5–) 1.5 to 2 (–2.2) (av. 1.7); 1-septate,

2		
2 3 4	333	mostly ellipsoidal (12–) 15 to 21 (–18.5) × (5.5–) 7 to 8 (–8.5) (av. 18 × 6.5 $\mu$ m), L/W ratio (1.5)
5 6	334	2.5 to 3 (–3.3) (av. 2.8). Chlamydospores globose to cylindrical, 10 to 17 $\times$ 10 to 20 $\mu m$ diam.,
/ 8 9	335	smooth, but often appearing rough due to deposits, thick-walled, terminal on short lateral
10 11	336	branches, rarely intercalary, single, in chains or in clumps, hyaline, becoming medium brown.
12 13	337	Culture characteristics: Mycelium cottony to felty with average density in both OA and PDA.
14 15 16	338	Surface on OA honey to buff; margin buff. Surface on PDA buff, to honey to isabelline towards
17 18	339	the centre; margin buff. Zonation concentric (PDA) or absent (OA), transparency homogenous
19 20	340	and margin uneven (OA) and even (PDA). Reverse similar to surface, except in color, buff to
21 22 23	341	cinnamon on OA and chestnut to cinnamon on PDA. Colonies reaching a radius of 27.3 mm after
24 25	342	7 d at 25°C. Minimum temperature for growth 5°C, optimum 19.4°C, maximum 35°C.
26 27	343	Host and distribution: Vitis berlandieri x Vitis rupestris (roots of 110 Richter grapevine
28 29 30	344	rootstock) (Spain, Navarra).
30 31 32	345	Notes: I. vivaria is closely related to I. mors-panacis based on the his3 sequence alignment.
33 34	346	The morphology of both species is very similar, but <i>I. vivaria</i> can be distinguished by its slower
35 36 27	347	growth after 7 d at 25°C and the ability to grow at 35°C.
37 38 39	348	
40 41	349	Pathogenicity tests. In all fungi, the viability of conidia was at least 90%. For each species,
42 43	350	there were differences between pathogen isolates when evaluating the % of dead plants and the
44 45 46	351	MTA ( $P < 0.05$ ), so the data of isolates within species were not combined. Grapevine seedlings
47 48	352	did not show any foliar symptoms during the experiment; however, the susceptibility to black-
49 50	353	foot disease fungi measured as the % of dead plants and the MTA varied among fungal isolates
51 52	354	(Table 5). D. novozelandica isolate BV-0760 was the most virulent species followed by D
54 55	355	alcacerensis isolate BV-1240, D. macrodidyma isolate BV-1366 and I. vivaria sp. nov. isolates
56 57		

1

58 59

### Plant Disease

when measuring the % of dead plants. Grapevine seedlings inoculated with *D. novozelandica* isolate BV-0760 stayed significantly less mean time alive than the other fungal species. *D. alcacerensis* isolates, *D. pauciseptata* isolate BV-1360, *D. riojana* sp. nov. isolate BV-1396, *I. vivaria* sp. nov. isolate BV-2305 and *T. olida* differed significantly with respect to the control when measuring the MTA. Percentage of reisolation ranged from 41.7 to 100%. *Neonectria* sp. 1 was not successfully reisolated from any inoculated seedling. No black-foot disease pathogens were reisolated from the control treatment (Table 5).

### **Discussion**

This study is the first comprehensive effort to characterize a group of fungi associated with GTDs isolated from visually symptomless vines and non-necrotic internal wood tissue. Our results demonstrate that black-foot disease fungi can live as latent pathogens within grapevine and might become pathogenic under specific conditions. The pathogenicity of black-foot disease fungi have been so far demonstrated in tests performed under field (Sieberhagen 2016) and controlled conditions (Gubler et al. 2004; Jaspers et al. 2007; Alaniz et al. 2010; Cabral et al. 2012b; Brown et al. 2013; Probst et al. 2019). Recent studies reported the sporadic occurrence of other trunk disease fungi in grapevine as latent pathogens (Hofstetter et al., 2012, Eichmeier et al., 2018), without any disease symptoms ever becoming evident. Most of these studies employed molecular tools to decipher the grapevine fungal microbiome without the need of cultivation. However, functions such as mutualism or pathogenicity in fungi can rarely be predicted by using these tools (Brader et al. 2017).

377 Several factors have been reported to be determinant in influencing the effect triggering378 pathogenicity in an endophyte that was previously asymptomatic, such as the host genotype,

> changes in plant gene expression, nutrient status, habitat or the locally occurring abiotic stress that might reduce host fitness, resulting in distortion of this delicate balance and in the occurrence of symptomatology in plants (Johnson and Oelmüller 2009). Abiotic stress factors in grapevine nursery fields and new plantations include J-rooting, waterlogging, water stress, winter-kill, nutrition deficiency, soil compaction and/or overcropping (Gramaje et al. 2018).

In the scientific literature, observations of black-foot disease fungi as endophytes colonizing asymptomatic vines (Langenhoven et al. 2018) or other plant species (Agustí-Brisach et al. 2011; Langenhoven et al. 2018) have been documented. Many of these asymptomatic plants are cereals and brassicaceous crops, used in crop rotations in grapevine nurseries (Langenhoven et al. 2018), and weeds, which may be present in field nurseries and established vineyards along with cultivated crops (Agustí-Brisach et al. 2011; Langenhoven et al. 2018). We therefore suggest that these endophytic associations among black-foot disease fungi and symptomless hosts are not unusual relationships in nature. The fact that black-foot disease fungi can be endophytes on weeds or other hosts has important implications, such as symptomless plants inadvertently serving as sources of hidden diversity of black-foot species, or serving as inoculum reservoirs. Because most research conducted on fungal trunk diseases has focused on pathogens infecting important agricultural commodities such as grapevine, almond or olive (Gramaje et al. 2016), we still lack a thorough understanding of the true nature of the associations of these fungi with other plants and their environment. In addition, the effects of weeds and/or other symptomless hosts on the genetic composition of black-foot disease pathogen populations have seldom been explored and thus remain poorly understood.

400 A wide diversity of black-foot disease pathogens were identified in this study, bringing the 401 total number of fungal species associated with this disease isolated from grapevines in Spain to

Page 19 of 47

### Plant Disease

17. These results confirm the richness of black-foot species in the Iberian Peninsula, with 14 species reported in Portugal (Cabral et al. 2012a, 2012c; Reis et al. 2013), compared to other countries in which black-foot disease is also prevalent, such as South Africa with 8 species (Halleen et al. 2004, 2006b; Langenhoven et al. 2018), New Zealand with 7 species (Bleach et al. 2006; Pathrose 2012), and Canada (Úrbez-Torres et al. 2014) and Italy (Carlucci et al. 2017) with 5. Our findings also confirm Dactylonectria as a genus commonly associated with infections in grapevine as reported previously by Lombard et al. (2014), and D. torresensis being the most prevalent black-foot species in Spain. This study represents the first report of I. pseudodestructans and N. quercicola on grapevine in this country. Hosts and distribution of I. pseudodestructans include Kentucky bluegrass (Canada), *Ouercus* sp. (Austria) and grapevine (Portugal). To our knowledge, *N. quercicola* has been reported only in holm oak root seedlings showing decline aerial symptoms in forest nurseries in Spain (Mora-Sala et al. 2018). 

Two novel species are newly described, namely D. riojana and I. vivaria. Morphological characteristics have been reported to play a major role in the description of fungal species (Taylor et al. 2000). However, in our study, the use of such characters alone to delimit the new species was insufficient, thus highlighting the usefulness of DNA sequences for such purpose. The his3 region has previously shown to be the most informative locus for the correct identification of black-foot disease fungi (Cabral et al. 2012a). In our study, the use of the his3 alone allowed us to describe these two novel species. In addition, the multilocus sequence analysis using his3, ITS, tef1, and tub2 regions confirmed the level of polymorphism that enabled the fungal description.

423 Different inoculation methods, such as watering (Alaniz et al. 2009) or soaking (Martínez424 Diz et al. 2018) the roots of grapevine seedlings in conidial suspensions, soaking bases of

grapevine rootstock cuttings (Alaniz et al. 2010; Cabral et al. 2012b; Probst et al. 2019) or roots (Pathrose et al. 2014) in conidial suspensions, and vacuum-inoculation of conidial suspensions throughout the vascular system of rootstock cuttings (Sieberhagen, 2016) have been used for virulence screening of black-foot disease fungi. Results of our study show that soaking roots of grapevine seedlings is a rapid and effective technique for evaluating virulence of *Cylindrocarpon*-like asexual morph isolates since most of the fungi collected from asymptomatic vines were capable of colonizing roots and causing disease under controlled conditions. Virulence varied among species and between isolates within each fungal species. High degree of virulence variability was also obtained among 14 D. macrodidyma isolates (Alaniz et al. 2009) and 17 I. liriodendri isolates (Pathrose et al. 2014) collected in Spain and New Zealand, respectively. Subsequent taxonomic studies suggested that the *D. macrodidyma* isolates used by Alaniz et al. (2009) might represent separate species within the D. macrodidyma-species complex (Cabral et al. 2012b). In our study, D. novozelandica isolate BV-0760 was considered the most virulent species, followed by D alcacerensis isolate BV-1240 and I. vivaria sp. nov. isolate BV-2305. Cabral et al. (2012b) performed pathogenicity test with 60 isolates belonging to 14 black-foot species collected from grapevine and other hosts and concluded that *I. lusitanica*, D. estremocensis and I. europaea were more virulent to grapevine than the species previously accepted as the main causal agents of black-foot disease, namely D. macrodidyma and I. liriodendri.

444 Pathogenicity in fungal trunk pathogens of grapevine is a complex phenomenon. The 445 combination of many factors, such as pathogen and host genotypes and abiotic and other 446 environmental stresses, as well as microbial interactions, seems to determine the outcome of the 447 reaction of grapevine to the pathogen. The future direction of research on black-foot disease

Page 21 of 47

### Plant Disease

1 2		
2 3 4	448	needs to investigate (i) how these pathogens colonize the endorhizosphere and establish
5 6	449	themselves inside, and (ii) what triggers latent black-foot disease fungi to transition from an
7 8 9	450	endophyte to a pathogen, and cause disease symptoms in grapevine. The present study improves
10 11	451	our knowledge on the etiology and virulence of black-foot disease pathogens, and opens up new
12 13	452	perspectives in the study of the endophytic role of these pathogens on grapevines.
14 15 16	453	
17 18	454	Literature Cited
19 20	455	Agustí-Brisach, C., and Armengol, J. 2013. Black-foot disease of grapevine: an update on
21 22 23	456	taxonomy, epidemiology and management strategies. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 52:245-261.
24 25	457	Agustí-Brisach, C., Gramaje, D., García-Jiménez, J., and Armengol, J. 2013. Detection of black-
26 27	458	foot disease pathogens in the grapevine nursery propagation process in Spain. Eur. J. Plant
28 29 30	459	Pathol. 137:103-112.
31 32	460	Agustí-Brisach, C., Gramaje, D., León, M., García-Jiménez, J., and Armengol, J. 2011.
33 34	461	Evaluation of vineyard weeds as potential hosts of black-foot and Petri disease pathogens.
35 36 37	462	Plant Dis. 95:803-810.
38 39	463	Agustí-Brisach, C., Mostert, L., and Armengol, J. 2014. Detection and quantification of
40 41	464	Ilyonectria spp. associated with black-foot disease of grapevine in nursery soils using
42 43 44	465	multiplex, nested PCR and real-time PCR. Plant Pathol. 63:316-322.
45 46	466	Alaniz, S., Armengol, J., León, M., Garcia-Gimenez, J., and Abad-Campos, P. 2009. Analysis of
47 48	467	genetic and virulence diversity of Cylindrocarpon liriodendri and C. macrodidymum
49 50 51 52	468	associated with black foot disease of grapevine. Mycol. Res. 113:16-23.
53 54 55 56		
57 58 59		Berlanas <i>et al.</i> 21 <i>Plant Disease</i>

2 3	469	Alaniz, S., García-Jiménez, J., Abad-Campos, P., and Armengol, J. 2010, Susceptibility of
4 5	470	grapevine rootstocks to Culindrocarpon liriodendri and C. macrodidumum Sci. Hortic
6 7	470	grapevine rootstocks to Cytinarocarpon tirtoaenart and C. macroalaymam. Sel. Horne.
8 9	471	(Amsterdam) 125:305-308.
10 11	472	Alaniz, S., León, M., Vincent, A., Garcia-Jimenez, J., Abad-Campos, P., and Armengol, J. 2007.
12 13	473	Characterization of Cylindrocarpon species associated with black foot disease of grapevine
14 15 16	474	in Spain. Plant Dis. 91:1187-1193.
10 17 18	475	Box, G. E. P., Hunter, W. G., and Hunter, J. S. 1978. Statistics for Experimenters: An
19 20	476	Introduction to Design, Data Analysis, and Model Building. John Wiley and Sons, New
21 22	477	York.
23 24 25	478	Berlanas, C., López-Manzanares, B., and Gramaje, D. 2017. Estimation of viable propagules of
26 27	479	black-foot disease pathogens in grapevine cultivated soils and their relation to production
28 29	480	systems and soil properties. Plant Soil 417:467-479.
30 31 22	481	Bleach, M.C., Jones, E.E., and Jaspers, M.V. 2006. Survey for black foot decline in New
32 33 34	482	Zealand vineyards. In: Falloon R.E., Cromey M.G., Stewart A., Jones E.E., eds. Proceedings
35 36	483	of the 4th Australasian Soilborne Diseases Symposium. Sydney, Australia: Horticulture
37 38	484	Australia, 13.
39 40 41	485	Brader, G., Compant, S., Vescio, K., Mitter, B., Trognitz, F., Ma, L. J., and Sessitsch, A. 2017.
42 43	486	Ecology and genomic insights into plant-pathogenic and plant nonpathogenic endophytes.
44 45	487	Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 55:61–83.
46 47 48	488	Brown, D. S., Jaspers, M. V., Ridgway, H. J., Barclay, C. J., and Jones, E. E. 2013.
49 50	489	Susceptibility of four grapevine rootstocks to Cylindrocladiella parva. N. Z. Plant Prot.
51 52	490	66:249-253.
53 54		
55 56		
57 58		Berlanas <i>et al.</i> 22
59 60		Plant Disease

Page 23 of 47

#### **Plant Disease**

2	
3 4	491
5 6	492
7 8	493
9 10	494
11 12	105
13 14	495
15 16	496
17 18	497
19 20	498
21 22	499
23 24	500
25 26	501
27 28	502
29 30 21	502
31	505
33 34 25	504
35 36 37	505
37 38 20	506
40 41	507
42 43	508
44 45	509
46 47	510
48 49	511
50 51	511
52 53	512
54 55	513
56	
57 58	
50	

60

Bruez, E., Baumgartner, K., Bastien, S., Travadon, R., Guérin-Dubrana, L., and Rey, P. 2016.
Various fungal communities colonise the functional wood tissues of old grapevines
externally free from grapevine trunk disease symptoms. Aust. J. Grape Wine Res. 22:288295.

# 495 Bruez, E., Vallance, J., Gerbore, J., Lecomte, P., Da Costa, J.-P., Guerin-Dubrana, L., and Rey, 496 P. 2014. Analyses of the temporal dynamics of fungal communities colonizing the healthy 497 wood tissues of esca leaf-symptomatic and asymptomatic vines. PLoS One 9:e95928.

498 Cabral, A., Groenewald, J. Z., Rego, C., Oliveria, H., and Crous, P. W. 2012a. Cylindrocarpon
 499 root rot: Multi-gene analysis reveals novel species within the *Ilyonectria radicicola* species
 500 complex. Mycol. Prog. 11: 655-688.

# <sup>6</sup> 501 Cabral, A., Rego, C., Crous, P. W., and Oliveria, H. 2012b. Virulence and cross-infection <sup>8</sup> 502 potential of *Ilyonectria* spp. to grapevine. Phytopathol Mediterr. 51:340-354.

# Cabral, A., Rego, C., Nascimento, T., Oliveria, H., Groenewald, J. Z., and Crous, P. W. 2012c. Multi-gene analysis and morphology revel a novel *Ilyonectria* species associated with black foot disease of grapevines (*Vitis* spp.). Fungal Biol. 116:62-80.

506Cardoso, M., Inês, D., Cabral, A., Rego, C., and Oliveira, H. 2013. Unrevealing inoculum9507sources of black foot pathogens in a commercial grapevine nursery. Phytopathol. Mediterr.250852:298-312.

# Carlucci, A., Lops, F., Mostert, L., Halleen, F., and Raimondo, M. L. 2017. Occurrence fungi causing black foot on young grapevines and nursery rootstock plants in Italy. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 56:10-39.

# 512 Casieri, L., Hofstetter, V., Viret, O., and Gindro, K., 2009. Fungal communities living in the wood of different cultivars of young *Vitis vinifera* plants. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 48:73-83.

Berlanas *et al. Plant Disease* 

2		
3 4	514	Chaverri, P., Salagado, C., Hirooka, Y., Rossman, A. Y., and Samuels, G. J. 2011. Delimitation
5 6	515	of Neonectria and Cylindrocarpon (Nectriaceae, Hypocrerales, Ascomycota) and related
7 8	516	genera with Cylindrocarpon-like anamorphs. Stud. Mycol. 68:57-78.
9 10 11	517	Cosoveanu, A., Cabrera, Y., Hernández, G., and Cabrera, R. 2014. Endophytic fungi from
12 13	518	grapevine cultivars in Canary Islands and their activity against phytopatogenic fungi. Intl J
14 15	519	Agri Crop Sci 7:1497-1503.
16 17	520	Crous P.W., Gams W, Stalpers J.A., Robert V., and Stegehuis G, 2004a. MycoBank: an online
18 19 20	521	initiative to launch mycology into the 21st century. Stud. Mycol. 50:19-22.
21 22	522	Crous P.W., Groenewald J.Z., Risede J.M., Hywel-Jones N.L. 2004b. Calonectria species and
23 24	523	their Cylindrocladium anamorphs: species with sphaeropedunculate vesicles. Stud. Mycol.
25 26 27	524	50: 415-429.
28 29	525	Crous P.W., Verkleij G.J.M., Groenewald J.Z., and Samson R.A. (eds), 2009. Fungal
30 31	526	Biodiversity. CBS Laboratory Manual Series 1. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures,
32 33 34	527	Utrecht.
35 36	528	Damm, U., and Fourie, P. H. 2005. A cost-effective protocol for molecular detection of fungal
37 38	529	pathogens in soil. South Afr. J. Sci. 101:135-139.
39 40 41	530	Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., and Posada, D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new
41 42 43	531	heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods 9:772.
44 45	532	Dissanayake, A. J., Purahong, W., Wubet, T., Hyde, K. D., Zhang, W., Xu, H., Zhang, G., Fu,
46 47	533	C., Liu, M., Xing, Q., Li. X., and Yan, J. 2018. Direct comparison of culture-dependent and
48 49 50	534	culture-independent molecular approaches reveal the diversity of fungal endophytic
50 51 52	535	communities in stems of grapevine (Vitis vinifera). Fungal Div. 90:85-107.
53 54		
55 56		
57 58 59		Berlanas <i>et al.</i> 24 <i>Plant Disease</i>

60

1

Page 25 of 47

# Plant Disease

1 2	
2 3 4	53
5 6	53
7 8 0	53
9 10 11	53
12 13	54
14 15	54
16 17 18	54
19 20	54
21 22	54
23 24 25	54
25 26 27	54
28 29	54
30 31	54
32 33 34	54
35 36	55
37 38	55
39 40 41	55
41 42 43	55
44 45	55
46 47	55
48 49 50	55
51 52	55
53 54	
55 56	
57	
58 59	

60

536	Eichmeier, A., Pecenka, J., Penazova, E., Baranek, M., Català-García, S., León, M., Armengol,
537	J., and Gramaje, D. 2018. High-throughput amplicon sequencing-based analysis of active
538	fungal communities inhabiting grapevine after hot-water treatments reveals unexpectedly
539	high fungal diversity. Fungal Ecol. 36:26-38.
540	Gardes, M., and Bruns, T. D. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomicetes-
541	applications to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts Mol. Ecol. 2:113-118.
542	Fourie, P.H., and Halleen, F. 2001. Diagnosis of fungal diseases and their involvement in 13
543	dieback disease of Young vines. Wynboer 149:19-23.
544	Glass, N.L., and Donaldson G. 1995. Development of primer sets designed for use with PCR to
545	amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. Appl. Environ. Microb. 61:1323-
546	1330.
547	González, M., and Tello, M. 2011. The endophytic mycota associated with Vitis vinifera in
548	central Spain. Fungal Divers. 47:29-42.
549	Gramaje, D., Baumgartner, K., Halleen, F., Mostert, L., Sosnowski, M. R., Úrbez-Torres, J. R.,
550	and Armengol, J. 2016. Fungal trunk diseases: a problem beyond grapevines? Plant Pathol.
551	65:355-356.
552	Gramaje D, Úrbez-Torres J.R., and Sosnowski M.R. 2018. Managing grapevine trunk diseases
553	with respect to etiology and epidemiology: current strategies and future prospects. Plant Dis
554	102:12-39.
555	Gubler, W. D., Baumgartner, K., Browne, G. T., Eskalen, A., Rooney-Latham, S., Petit, E., and
556	Bayramian, L. A. 2004. Root diseases of grapevines in California and their control.
557	Australas. Plant Pathol. 33:157-165.

60

3 4	558	Halleen, F., Fourie, P. H., and Crous, P. W. 2006a. A review of black foot disease of grapevine.
5 6	559	Phytopathol. Mediterr. 45:S55-S67.
7 8 0	560	Halleen F, Schroers H-J, Groenewald J.Z., Rego C, Oliveira H, and Crous P.W. 2006b.
9 10 11	561	Neonectria liriodendri sp. nov., the main causal agent of black foot disease of grapevines.
12 13	562	Stud. Mycol.55:227-234.
14 15 16	563	Halleen F, Schroers H-J, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW, 2004. Novel species of Cylindrocarpon
16 17 18	564	(Neonectria) and Campylocarpon gen. nov. associated with black foot disease of grapevines
19 20	565	(Vitis spp.). Stud. Mycol. 50:431-455.
21 22 22	566	Hallmann, J., Quadt-Hallmann, A., Mahaffee, W.F., and Kloepper, J.W. 1997. Bacterial
23 24 25	567	endophytes in agricultural crops. Can. J. Microbiol. 43:895-914.
26 27	568	Hofstetter, V., Buyck, V., Croll, D., Viret, O., Couloux, A., and Gindro, K. 2012. What if esca
28 29	569	disease of grapevine were not a fungal disease? Fungal Divers. 54:51-67.
30 31 32	570	Jaspers, M. V., Bleach, C. M., and Harvey, I. C. 2007. Susceptibility of grapevine rootstocks to
33 34	571	Cylindrocarpon disease. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 46:114.
35 36	572	Johnson, J.M., and Oelmüller R. 2009. Mutualism or parasitism: life in an unstable continuum.
37 38 39	573	What can we learn from the mutualistic interaction between Piriformospora indica and
40 41	574	Arabidopsis thaliana? Rev. Endocytobiosis Cell Res. 19:81-111.
42 43	575	Katoh, K., and Toh, H. 2010. Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment
44 45 46	576	program. Brief Bioinform. 9:286-298.
40 47 48	577	Kraus, C., Voegele, R. T., and Fischer, M. 2019. Temporal development of the culturable,
49 50	578	endophytic fungal community in healthy grapevine branches and occurrence of GTD-
51 52 53 54 55 56	579	associated fungi. Microbial Ecol. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-018-1280-3</u> .
57 58 59		Berlanas et al.26Plant Disease

Page 27 of 47

1

# Plant Disease

2 3	580	Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics				
	581	Analysis across computing platforms. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:1547-1549.				
7 8	582	Langenhoven, S. D., Halleen, F., Spies, C.F. J., Stempien, E., and Mostert, L. 2018. Detection				
9 10 11	583	and quantification of black foot and Crown and root rot pathogens in grapevine nursery soils				
12 13	584	in the Western Cape of South Africa. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 57:519-537.				
14 15	585	Lombard, L., Van Der Merwe, N. A., Groenewald, J. Z., and Crous, P W. 2014. Lineages in				
16 17 18	586	Nectriaceae: re-evaluating the generic status of Ilyonectria and allied genera. Phytopathol.				
19 20	587	Mediterr. 53:515-532.				
21 22	588	Martínez-Diz, M. P., Díaz-Losada, E., Armengol, J., León, M., Berlanas, C., Andrés-Sodupe, M.,				
23 24 25	589	and Gramaje, D. 2018. First report of Ilyonectria robusta causing black foot disease of				
26 27	590	grapevine in Spain. Plant Dis. 102:2381.				
28 29	591	Martini, M., Musetti, R., Grisan, R., Polizzotto, R., Borselli, S., Pavan, F., and Osler, R., 2009.				
30 31 32	592	DNA-dependent detection of the grapevine fungal endophytes Aureobasidium pullulans				
33 34	593	<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i> . Plant Dis. 93:993-998.				
35 36	594	Mora-Sala, B., Cabral, A., León, M., Agustí-Brisach, C., Armengol, J., and Abad-Campos, P.				
37 38 30	595	2018. Survey, identification, and characterization of Cylindrocarpon-like asexual morphs in				
40 41	596	Spanish forest nurseries. Plant Dis. 102:2083-2100.				
42 43	597	O'Donnell, K., and Cigelnik, E. 1997. Two divergent intragenomic rDNA ITS2 types within a				
44 45 46	598	monophyetic lineage of the fungus Fusarium are nonorthologus. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.				
40 47 48	599	7:103-116.				
49 50	600	Pathrose, B. 2012. Characterising sub-species variation in New Zealand Cylindrocarpon species				
51 52	601	that cause black foot of grapevines. PhD thesis. New Zealand: Lincoln University.				
53 54 55						
56 57						
58 59 60		Berlanas et al.27Plant Disease27				

2		
3 4	602	Pathrose, B., Jones, E. E., Jaspers, M. V., and Ridgway, H. J. 2014. High genotypic and
5 6 7	603	virulence diversity in Ilyonectria liriodendri isolates associated with black foot disease in
7 8 9	604	New Zealand vineyards. Plant Pathol. 63:613-624.
) 10 11	605	Petit, E., Barriault, E., Baumgartner, K., Wilcox, W.F., and Rolshausen, P.E. 2011.
12 13	606	Cylindrocarpon species associated with black-foot of grapevine in northeastern United
14 15	607	States and southeastern Canada. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 62:177-183.
16 17 18	608	Pinto, C., Pinho, D., Sousa, S., Pinheiro, M., Egas, C., and Gomes, A. 2014. Unravelling the
19 20	609	diversity of grapevine microbiome. PLoS ONE 9:e85622.
21 22	610	Probst, C. M., Jaspers, M. V., Jones, E. E., and Ridgway, H. J. 2010. A quantitative PCR method
23 24 25	611	for detecting two Cylindrocarpon species in soil. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 49:115.
26 27	612	Probst, C. M., Ridgway, H. J., Jaspers, M. V., and Jones. E. E. 2019. Pathogenicity of Ilyonectria
28 29	613	liriodendri and Dactylonectria macrodidyma propagules in grapevines. Eur. J. Plant Pathol.
30 31 32	614	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-018-01664-0.
33 34	615	Rayner, R.W. 1970. A Mycological Colour Chart. British Mycological Society and CAB
35 36	616	International Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey; UK.
37 38 30	617	Reis P, Cabral A, Nascimento T, Oliveira H and Rego C. 2013. Diversity of Ilyonectria species
40 41	618	in a young vineyard affected by black foot disease. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 52:335-346.
42 43	619	Sieberhagen, M. 2016. Determining the resistance or susceptibility of grapevine rootstocks used
44 45 46	620	in South Africa towards fungal trunk disease pathogens. Master's Thesis. Stellenbosch
40 47 48	621	University, Stellenbosch, South Africa.
49 50	622	Stone, J.K., Bacon, C.W., and White J.F. 2000. An overview of endophytic microbes:
51 52	623	endophytism defined. In: Bacon C.W., White J.F. (eds) Microbial endophytes. Dekker, New
53 54 55 56 57	624	York, pp 3-30.
57 58 59		Berlanas et al.28Plant Disease

### Plant Disease

2 3 4	625	Swofford, D.L. 2003. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony and other methods,					
- 5 6	626	version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.					
7 8	627	Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular					
9 10 11	628	Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30 2725-2729.					
12 13	629	Taylor, J. W., Jacobson, D. J., Kroken, S., Kasuga, T., Geiser, D. M., Hibbett, D. S., and Fisher,					
14 15 16	630	M. C. 2000 Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. Fungal Genet.					
10 17 18	631	Biol. 31:21-32.					
19 20	632	Úrbez-Torres, J. R., Haag, P., Bowen, P., and O'Gorman, D. T. 2014. Grapevine Trunk Diseases					
21 22 23	633	in British Columbia: Incidence and characterization of the fungal pathogens associated with					
24 25	634	black foot disease of grapevine. Plant Dis. 98:469-482.					
26 27	635	Úrbez-Torres, J. R., Haag, P., Bowen, P., Lowery, T., and O'Gorman, D. T. 2015. Development					
28 29 30	636	of a DNA macroarray for the detection and identification of fungal pathogens causing					
31 32	637	decline of young grapevines. Phytopathology 105:1373-1388.					
33 34	638	Wang, Y., and Dai, C. C. 2011. Endophytes: a potential resource for biosynthesis,					
35 36 27	639	biotransformation, and biodegradation. Ann. Microbiol. 61:207-215.					
37 38 39	640	White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., and Taylor, J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of					
40 41	641	fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand D, Sninsky JJ, White					
42 43	642	TJ (eds), PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, San Diego,					
44 45 46	643	pp. 315e322.					
40 47 48	644						
49 50	645						
51 52	646						
53 54 55	647						
56 57							
58 59 60		Berlanas et al.29Plant Disease					

### 

# 648 Acknowledgements

The research was funded by CAR (Government of La Rioja, Spain), under the project 'Characterization, epidemiology and control of fungal trunk pathogens of grapevine in La Rioja' (project number R-03-16). David Gramaje was supported by the DOC-INIA program from the National Institute for Agronomic Research (INIA), co-funded by the European Social Fund. Carmen Berlanas and María del Pilar Martínez were supported by the FPI-INIA program from the INIA. This study could be co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund, through a grant to the Autonomous Community of La Rioja, within the ERDF Operational Program La Rioja 2014-2020. We thank Pilar Yécora for her technical support and Angel Berlanas and his students at I.E.S. La Sènia for the development of GenTool.

# **Figure captions**

**Fig. 1.** Maximum likelihood phylogeny of *Cylindrocarpon*-like asexual morphs as estimated from the alignment of the histone H3 gene sequences. Maximum likelihood bootstrap percentages are indicated at the nodes. Support values less than 70% bootstrap are omitted. The tree was rooted to *Campylocarpon fasciculare* (CBS 112613) and *Ca. pseudofasciculare* (CBS 112679). The scale bar indicates 0.05 expected changes per site. (T): ex-type cultures. Tentative new species are indicated in red.

Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of *Cylindrocarpon*-like asexual morphs as estimated
from concatenated alignments of four gene dataset (ITS, *tub2*, *his3*, and *tef1*). Maximum
likelihood bootstrap percentages are indicated at the nodes. Support values less than 70%
bootstrap are omitted. The tree was rooted to *Campylocarpon fasciculare* (CBS 112613) and *Ca*.

### Plant Disease

2		
3 4	671	pseudofasciculare (CBS 112679). The scale bar indicates 0.05 expected changes per site. (T): ex-
5 6	672	type cultures. New species are indicated in red.
7 8	673	
9 10 11	674	Fig. 3. Dactylonectria riojana (ex-type culture BV-1396). Ten-day-old colonies grown at 20°C
12 13	675	in darkness on PDA (A) and OA (B). C-D, Simple, sparsely branched conidiophore of the aerial
14 15	676	mycelium. <b>E-H</b> , Micro- and macroconidia. Scale bars: $C-F = 10 \ \mu m$ ; $G = 20 \ \mu m$ ; $H = 50 \ \mu m$ .
16 17 18	677	
19 20	678	Fig. 4. Ilyonectria vivaria (ex-type culture BV-2305). Ten-day-old colonies grown at 20°C in
21 22	679	darkness on PDA (A) and OA (B). C-F, Simple, sparsely branched conidiophore of the aerial
23 24 25	680	mycelium. G, Chlamydospores in mycelium. H-I, Micro- and macroconidia. Scale bars: $C-I = 10$
26 27	681	μm.
28 29	682	
30 31 32	683	
33 34	684	Tables
35 36		
37 38 39		
40 41		
42 43		
44 45 46		
47 48		
49 50		
50 51		
52		
53		
54 55		
55 56		
57		
58		Berlanas <i>et al.</i> 31
59		Plant Disease
60		

2	
3	
1	(
4	(
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
1/	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
ΔΔ	
44	
45	
46	
47	

Table 1. Characteristics of the field nurseries surveyed, number of plants analyzed and the incidence of severity of black-foot disease
 fungi.

Location	Year of	Plants	Incidence (%) <sup>a</sup>	Severity (%) <sup>b</sup>	Fungal species (No of isolates)
	sampling	analyzed			
Larraga, Navarra	2016	10	60.0	13.3	D. torresensis (8)
Larraga, Navarra	2016	10	90.0	11.1	D. torresensis (10)
Mendavia, Navarra	2016	5	80.0	10.0	D. torresensis (4)
Mendavia, Navarra	2017	598	23.4	15.8	D. macrodidyma (25)
					D. novozelandica (26)
					D. torresensis (168)
					I. liriodendri (1)
					T. olida (1)
Mendavia, Navarra	2017	614	23.0	13.7	D. macrodidyma (21)
					D. novozelandica (12)
					D. torresensis (154)
					I. liriodendri (5)
					I. robusta (1)
Larraga. Navarra	2017	40	12.5	10.0	D. macrodidvma (2)
5,					D. torresensis (3)
Larraga, Navarra	2017	120	60.8	13.3	D. alcacerensis (8)
					D. torresensis (89)
Larraga, Navarra	2017	220	14.1	11.9	D. alcacerensis (1)
					D. macrodidyma (2)
					D. torresensis (33)
					I. liriodendri (1)
O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	2018	50	26.0	14.6	D. macrodidyma (5)
,					D. pauciseptata (3)
					D. torresensis (8)
					I. liriodendri (2)
					L robusta (1)
O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	2018	243	4.9	16.7	D. alcacerensis (2)
					D. torresensis (6)
					L liriodendri (12)
Larraga. Navarra	2018	136	8.8	10.8	D. torresensis (13)
Larraga Navarra	2018	120	60.0	16.1	D alcacerensis (25)
	-010		00.0	10.1	D torresensis (91)

Berlanas *et al. Plant Disease* 

	Logroño, La Rioja	2018	260	19.2	14.0	D. alcacerensis (4)
						D. novozelandica (6)
						D. torresensis (54)
						<i>D. riojana</i> sp. nov. (2)
						I. liriodendri (3)
	Larraga, Navarra	2018	960	51.8	12.3	D. alcacerensis (2)
						D. torresensis (425)
						I. liriodendri (132)
						I. pseudodestructans (11)
						I. robusta (27)
						<i>I. vivaria</i> sp. nov. (3)
						N. quercicola (2)
						N.  sp. 1 (1)
		2010		10.5	10.0	I.  olida  (3)
	Logrono, La Rioja	2018	40	12.5	18.0	D. novozelandica (2)
						D. torresensis (5)
			1	0 . 1 . 1	···· ··· C···· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
37 38 39 90	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path Neonectria.</li> </ul>	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. (D.): I	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (1	that were colonized by black-foo (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; ( <i>T</i> .): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path Neonectria.</li> </ul>	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. (D.): I	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (i	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; ( <i>T</i> .): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path Neonectria.</li> </ul>	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	f the <i>his3</i> gene. (D.): I	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (I	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path Neonectria.</li> </ul>	t pathogens was determined afted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): I	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (1	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path Neonectria.</li> </ul>	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of as the percentage of by sequencing part o	f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>I</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (i	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path Neonectria.</li> </ul>	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of as the percentage of by sequencing part o	f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): I	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (I	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; ( <i>T</i> .): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of as the percentage of by sequencing part o	f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>I</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (I	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>i</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (1	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>I</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (i	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; ( <i>T</i> .): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>I</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (I	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 10	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>I</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (1	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>i</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (1	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>I</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (I	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; ( <i>T</i> .): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>I</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (I	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>i</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (1	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>i</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (1	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; (T.): <i>Thelonectria</i>
37 38 39 90	<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence of black-foot <sup>b</sup> Disease severity in infected gra <sup>c</sup> Identification of black-foot path <i>Neonectria</i> . Berlanas <i>et al</i> .	t pathogens was determined ifted plants was determined hogens was first confirmed	as the percentage of by sequencing part o	root segments (10 seg f the <i>his3</i> gene. ( <i>D</i> .): <i>i</i>	ments per plant) Dactylonectria; (1	that were colonized by black-foot (.): <i>Ilyonectria</i> ; ( <i>T</i> .): <i>Thelonectria</i>

Table 2. Fungal species associated with black-foot disease recovered from grafted plants in Spain and used in the phylogenetic analyses

					GenBank acc	ession number	-a
	Species	Isolate	Location	ITS	tub2	his3	tefl
	Dactylonectria alcacerensis	BV-1240	Larraga, Navarra	MK602783	MK602798	MK579234	MK602813
n	D. alcacerensis <sup>b</sup>	BV-1222	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579235	-
1	D. alcacerensis	BV-1245	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579236	-
2	D. alcacerensis <sup>b</sup>	BV-1469	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579237	-
3	Dactylonectria macrodidyma	BV-0535	Mendavia, Navarra	MK602784	MK602799	MK579238	MK602814
+ ;	D. macrodidyma <sup>b</sup>	BV-0506	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579239	-
5	D. macrodidyma	BV-0560	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579240	-
7	D. macrodidyma	BV-0797	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579241	-
3	D. macrodidyma	BV-0872	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579242	-
	D. macrodidyma	BV-0899	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579243	-
	D. macrodidyma	BV-1359	O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	-	-	MK579244	-
	D. macrodidyma	BV-1364	O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	-	-	MK579245	-
	D. macrodidyma <sup>b</sup>	BV-1366	O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	-	-	MK579246	-
	Dactylonectria novozelandica	BV-0760	Mendavia, Navarra	MK602785	MK602800	MK579247	MK602815
	D. novozelandica	BV-0513	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579248	-
	D. novozelandica <sup>b</sup>	BV-0428	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579249	-
	D. novozelandica	BV-0777	Mendavia, Navarra	1 -	-	MK579250	-
	D. novozelandica <sup>b</sup>	BV-1369	Mendavia, Navarra	_	-	MK579251	-
	D. novozelandica	BV-1378	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579252	-
	D. novozelandica	BV-1379	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579253	-
	D. novozelandica	BV-1401	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579254	-
	D. novozelandica	BV-1409	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579255	-
	Dactylonectria pauciseptata <sup>b</sup>	BV-1354	O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	MK602786	MK602801	MK579256	MK602816
	D. pauciseptata	BV-1358	O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	-	-	MK579257	-
	D. pauciseptata <sup>b</sup>	BV-1360	O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	-	-	MK579258	-
	Dactylonectria torresensis <sup>b</sup>	BV-0666	Mendavia, Navarra	MK602787	MK602802	MK579259	MK602817
	D. torresensis	BV-0827	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579260	-

Berlanas et al. Plant Disease

1 2							
3	D. torresensis <sup>b</sup>	BV-0901	Mendavia, Navarra	-	-	MK579261	-
4 5	D. torresensis	BV-1076	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579262	-
6	D. torresensis	BV-1255	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579263	-
7	D. torresensis	BV-1256	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579264	-
8	D. torresensis	BV-1300	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579265	-
9 10	D. torresensis	BV-1313	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579266	-
11	D. torresensis	BV-1315	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579267	-
12	D. torresensis	BV-2103	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579268	-
13	<i>Dactylonectria riojana</i> sp. nov. <sup>b</sup>	BV-1396	Mendavia, Navarra	MK602796	MK602811	MK602831	MK602826
14 15	<i>D. riojana</i> sp. nov. <sup>b</sup>	BV-1397	Mendavia, Navarra	MK602797	MK602812	MK602832	MK602827
16	Ilyonectria liriodendri <sup>ь</sup>	BV-1591	O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	MK602788	MK602803	MK579269	MK602818
17	I. liriodendri <sup>ь</sup>	BV-1642	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579270	-
18	I. liriodendri	BV-1757	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579271	-
19 20	I. liriodendri	BV-1762	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579272	-
21	I. liriodendri	BV-1763	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579273	-
22	I. liriodendri	BV-1764	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579274	-
23	I. liriodendri	BV-1844	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579275	-
24 25	I. liriodendri	BV-1923	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579276	-
26	I. liriodendri	BV-1925	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579277	-
27	I. liriodendri	BV-1929	Larraga, Navarra	<b>N</b> -	-	MK579278	-
28	Ilyonectria pseudodestructans <sup>b</sup>	BV-2142	Larraga, Navarra	MK602789	MK602804	MK579279	MK602819
29 30	I. pseudodestructans	BV-2306	Larraga, Navarra	<u> </u>	-	MK579280	-
31	I. pseudodestructans	BV-2307	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579281	-
32	I. pseudodestructans	BV-2506	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579282	-
33	I. pseudodestructans <sup>b</sup>	BV-2609	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579283	-
34 35	I. pseudodestructans	BV-2652	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579284	-
36	I. pseudodestructans	BV-2678	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579285	-
37	Ilyonectria robusta	BV-1593	Mendavia, Navarra	MK602790	MK602805	MK579286	MK602820
38	I. robusta <sup>b</sup>	BV-0816	O Barco de Valdeorras, Galicia	-	-	MK579287	-
39 40	I. robusta <sup>b</sup>	BV-1654	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579288	-
41	I. robusta	BV-2051	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579289	-
42 43	Berlanas <i>et al</i> .		35				

Plant Disease

2							
3	I. robusta	BV-2069	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579290	-
4 5	I. robusta	BV-2565	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579291	-
6	I. robusta	BV-2649	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579292	-
7	Ilyonectria vivaria sp. nov. <sup>b</sup>	BV-1924	Larraga, Navarra	MK602793	MK602808	MK602828	MK602823
8	<i>I. vivaria</i> sp. nov. <sup>b</sup>	BV-2276	Larraga, Navarra	MK602794	MK602809	MK602829	MK602824
9 10	I. vivaria sp. nov.	BV-2305	Larraga, Navarra	MK602795	MK602810	MK602830	MK602825
11	Neonectria quercicola <sup>b</sup>	BV-1661	Larraga, Navarra	MK602791	MK602806	MK579293	MK602821
12	N. quercicola <sup>b</sup>	BV-2137	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579294	-
13 14	N. quercicola	BV-2140	Larraga, Navarra	-	-	MK579295	-
15	Neonectria sp. 1 <sup>b</sup>	BV-2682	Larraga, Navarra	MK602792	MK602807	MK579296	MK602822
16	Thelonectria olida <sup>b,c</sup>	BV-0537	Mendavia, Navarra	MK602793	-	MK579297	-
17	<sup>a</sup> ITS = internal transcribed spac	er, $tub2 = \beta$ -tubulin, <i>his</i> .	3 = histone H3 and <i>tef1</i> = translation elong	gation factor 1- $\alpha$ .			

<sup>a</sup> ITS = internal transcribed spacer,  $tub2 = \beta$ -tubulin, his3 = histone H3 and tef1 = translation elongation factor 1-  $\alpha$ . 

phology, in the comparison of the phylogenetic analyses <sup>b</sup> Isolates used for colony and conidial morphology, in the temperature growth assay and for pathogenicity tests 

<sup>c</sup> Thelonectria olida was not included in the phylogenetic analyses 

> Berlanas et al. Plant Disease

# Plant Disease

Spacios	Strain	Host	Collector	Location	GenBank acc	cession number		
species	number <sup>a</sup>	nost	Collector	Location	ITS <sup>b</sup>	tub2	his3	tefl
Campylocarpon fasciculare	CBS 112613	Vitis vinifera	F. Halleen	South Africa	AY677301	AY677221	JF735502	JF735
C. pseudofasciculare	CBS112679	V. vinifera	F. Halleen	South Africa	AY677306	AY677214	JF735503	JF735
Dactylonectria alcacerensis	CBS 129087	V. vinifera	A. Cabral & H. Oliveira	Portugal	JF735333	AM419111	JF735630	JF735
	Cy134	V. vinifera	J. Armengol	Spain	JF735332	AM419104	JF735629	JF735
D. amazonica	MUCL55433	Piper sp.	A. Gordillo & C. Decock	Ecuador	MF683707	MF683644	MF683686	MF68
	MUCL55430	Piper sp.	A. Gordillo & C. Decock	Eucador	MF683706	MF683643	MF683685	MF68
D. anthuriicola	CBS 564.95	Anthurium sp.	R. Pieters	The Netherlands	JF735302	JF735430	JF735579	JF735
D. ecuadoriensis	MUCL55425	Piper sp.	A. Gordillo & C. Decock	Ecuador	MF683705	MF683642	MF683684	MF68
	MUCL55424	Piper sp.	A. Gordillo & C. Decock	Ecuador	MF683704	MF683641	MF683683	MF68
D. estremocensis	CBS 129085	V. vinifera	C. Rego & T. Nascimento	Portugal	JF735320	JF735448	JF735617	JF735
	CPC 13539	Picea glauca	R. C. Hamelin	Canada	JF735330	JF735458	JF735627	JF735
D. hispanica	CBS 142827	Pinus halepensis	B. Mora-Sala	Spain	KY676882	KY676876	KY676864	KY67
	Cy228	Ficus sp.	F. Caetano	Portugal	JF735301	JF735429	JF735578	JF735
D. hordeicola	CBS 162.89	Hordeum vulgare	M. Barth	The Netherlands	AM419060	AM419084	JF735610	JF735
D. macrodidyma	CBS 112615	V. vinifera	F. Halleen	South Africa	AY677290	AY677233	JF735647	JF735

Plant Disease

	CBS 112604	V. vinifera	F. Halleen	South Africa	AY677284	AY677229	JF735644	JF735833
D. novozelandica	CBS 112608	V. vinifera	F. Halleen	South Africa	AY677288	AY677235	JF735632	JF735821
	CBS 113552	Vitis sp.	R. Bonfiglioli	New Zealand	JF735334	AY677237	JF735633	JF735822
D. palmicola	MUCL55426	Euterpe precatoria	A. Gordillo & C.	Ecuador	MF683708	MF683645	MF683687	MF683666
D. pauciseptata	CBS 100819	Erica melanthera	H. M. Dance	New Zealand	EF607090	EF607067	JF735582	JF735771
	CBS 120171	Vitis sp.	M. Zerjav	Slovenia	EF607089	EF607066	JF735587	JF735776
D. pinicola	CBS 173.37	P. laricio	T. R. Peace	UK	JF735319	JF735447	JF735614	JF735803
	CBS 159.43	<u>-</u>	H. W. Wollenweber	Germany	JF735318	JF735446	JF735613	JF735802
D. polyphaga	MUCL55209	Costus sp.	A. Gordillo & C.	Ecuador	MF683689	MF683626	MF683668	MF683647
	MUCL55208	Costus sp.	A. Gordillo & C.	Ecuador	MF683699	MF683636	MF683678	MF683657
D. torresensis	CBS 129086	V. vinifera	A. Cabral	Portugal	JF735362	JF735492	JF735681	JF735870
	CBS 119.41	<i>Fragaria</i> sp.	H. C. Koning	The Netherlands	JF735349	JF735478	JF735657	JF735846
D. vitis	CBS 129082	V. vinifera	C. Rego	Portugal	JF735303	JF735431	JF735580	JF735769
D. valentina	CBS 142826	Ilex aquifolium	B. Mora-Sala	Spain	KY676881	KY676875	KY676863	KY676869
Ilyonectria capensis	CBS 132815	Protea sp.	C. M. Bezuidenhout	South Africa	JX231151	JX231103	JX231135	JX231119
	CBS 132816	Protea sp.	C. M. Bezuidenhout	South Africa	JX231160	JX231112	JX231144	JX231128
I. coprosmae	CBS 119606	Metrosideros sp.	G. J. Samuels	Canada	JF735260	JF735373	JF735505	JF735694

Berlanas et al.

Plant Disease

# Plant Disease

I. crassa	CBS 129083	Panax quinquefolium	S. Hong	Canada	AY295311	JF735395	JF735536	JF735725
	CBS 158.31	Narcissus sp.	W. F. van Hell	The Netherlands	JF735276	JF735394	JF735535	JF735724
I. cyclaminicola	CBS 302.93	<i>Cyclamen</i> sp.	M. Hooftman	The Netherlands	JF735304	JF735432	JF735581	JF735770
I. destructans	CBS 264.65	Cyclamen persicum	L. Nilsson	Sweden	AY677273	AY677256	JF735506	JF735695
I. europaea	CBS 102892	Phragmites australis	W. Leibinger	Germany	JF735295	JF735422	JF735569	JF735758
	CBS 129078	V. vinifera	C. Rego	Portugal	JF735294	JF735421	JF735567	JF735756
I. gamsii	CBS 940.97	Soil	J. T. Poll	The Netherlands	AM419065	AM419089	JF735577	JF735766
I. ilicicola	CBS 142828	<i>Ilex</i> sp.	B. Mora-Sala	Spain	KY676884	KY676878	KY676866	KY676872
	Cy-FO-226	<i>Ilex</i> sp.	B. Mora-Sala	Spain	KY676885	KY676879	KY676867	KY676873
I. leucospermi	CBS 132809	Leucospermum sp.	C. M. Bezuidenhout	South Africa	JX231161	JX231113	JX231145	JX231129
	CBS 132810	Protea sp.	C. M. Bezuidenhout	South Africa	JX231162	JX231114	JX231146	JX231130
I. liliigena	CBS 189.49	Lilium regale	M. A. A. Schippers	The Netherlands	JF735297	JF735425	JF735573	JF735762
	CBS 732.74	Lilium sp.	G. J. Bollen	The Netherlands	JF735298	JF735426	JF735574	JF735763
I. liriodendri	CBS 110.81	Liriodendron tulipifera	J. D. MacDonald & E. E.	USA	DQ178163	DQ178170	JF735507	JF735696
	CBS 117527	V. vinifera	C. Rego	Portugal	DQ178165	DQ178172	JF735509	JF735698
I. lusitanica	CBS 129080	V. vinifera	N. Cruz	Portugal	JF735296	JF735423	JF735570	JF735759
I. mors-panacis	CBS 124662	Pa. ginseng	Y. Myazawa	Japan	JF735290	JF735416	JF735559	JF735748
	I. crassa I. cyclaminicola I. destructans I. europaea I. gamsii I. ilicicola I. leucospermi I. liliigena I. liliigena I. liriodendri I. lusitanica I. nors-panacis	I. crassa       CBS 129083         CBS 158.31       CBS 158.31         I. cyclaminicola       CBS 302.93         I. destructans       CBS 264.65         I. destructans       CBS 102892         I. europaea       CBS 102892         I. gamsii       CBS 129078         I. gamsii       CBS 940.97         I. ilicicola       CBS 142828         Cy-FO-226       Cy-FO-226         I. leucospermi       CBS 132809         CBS 132810       CBS 132810         I. liliigena       CBS 132810         I. liniodendri       CBS 110.81         CBS 117527       CBS 117527         I. husitanica       CBS 129080         I. mors-panacis       CBS 124662	I. crassaCBS 129083Panax quinquefoliumCBS 158.31Narcissus sp.I. cyclaminicolaCBS 302.93Cyclamen sp.I. destructansCBS 264.65Cyclamen persicumI. destructansCBS 102892Phragmites australisI. europaeaCBS 102892Phragmites australisCBS 129078V. viniferaI. gamsiiCBS 940.97SoilI. ilicicolaCBS 142828Ilex sp.Cy-FO-226Ilex sp.I. leucospermiCBS 132809Leucospermum sp.CBS 132810Protea sp.I. liliigenaCBS 110.81Lilium regaleCBS 110.81Lilium sp.I. lusitanicaCBS 129080V. viniferaI. nors-panacisCBS 124662Pa. ginseng	I. crassaCBS 129083Panax quinquefoliumS. HongCBS 158.31Narcissus sp.W. F. van HellI. cyclaminicolaCBS 302.93Cyclamen sp.M. HooftmanI. destructansCBS 264.65Cyclamen persicumL. NilssonI. europaeaCBS 102892Phragmites australisW. LeibingerCBS 102892Phragmites australisW. LeibingerI. gamsiiCBS 940.97SoilJ. T. PollI. ilicicolaCBS 142828Ilex sp.B. Mora-SalaCy-FO-226Ilex sp.B. Mora-SalaCy-FO-226Ileucospermum sp.C. M. BezuidenhoutCBS 132809Leucospermum sp.C. M. BezuidenhoutCBS 132810Protea sp.C. M. BezuidenhoutI. liligenaCBS 110.81Lilium regaleM. A. A. SchippersI. liriodendriCBS 110.81Liriodendron hulipifera Y. viniferaJ. D. MacDonald & E. E. C. RegoI. lusitanicaCBS 129080V. viniferaN. CruzI. nors-panacisCBS 124662Pa. ginsengY. Myazawa	I. crassaCBS 129083Panax quinquefoliumS. HongCanadaCBS 158.31Narcissus sp.W. F. van HellThe NetherlandsI. cyclaminicolaCBS 302.93Cyclamen sp.M. HooftmanThe NetherlandsI. destructansCBS 264.65Cyclamen persicumL. NilssonSwedenI. europaeaCBS 102892Phragmites australisW. LeibingerGermanyI. gamsiiCBS 940.97SoilC. RegoPortugalI. ilicicolaCBS 128282Ilex sp.B. Mora-SalaSpainCy-FO-226Ilex sp.B. Mora-SalaSouth AfricaI. leucospermiCBS 132810Protea sp.C. M. BezuidenhoutSouth AfricaI. liliigenaCBS 110.81Lilium regaleM. A. A. SchippersThe NetherlandsI. liliigenaCBS 110.81Lilium sp.G. J. BollenUSAI. lusitanicaCBS 12908V. viniferaC. RegoPortugalI. lusitanicaCBS 12908V. viniferaJ. D. MacDonald & E.E C. RegoPortugalI. lusitanicaCBS 12908V. viniferaN. CruzPortugalI. lusitanicaCBS 12908V. viniferaN. CruzPortugalI. nors-panacisCBS 124662Pa. ginsengY. MyazawaJapan	L crassaCBS 12903Panax quinquefoliumS. HongCanadaAY295311L crassaCBS 158.31Narcissus sp.W. F. van HellHe NetherlandsJF735204L cyclaminicolaCBS 302.93Cyclamen persM. HooftmanThe NetherlandsJ735204L destructansCBS 102802Phragmites australisM. LeibingerGermanyJF735204L europaeaCBS 102802Phragmites australisW. LeibingerGermanyJF735294I gamsiiCBS 142075SoilJ. T. PollThe NetherlandsAM419065L ilicicolaCBS 14282Ilex sp.B. Mora-SalaSpainKY676884L leucospermiCBS 132809Icucospermum sp.C. M. BezuidenhoutSouth AfricaJX231161L liliigenaCBS 132810Iclium regaleM. A. A. SchippersThe NetherlandsJ735294L liriodendriCBS 11081Liriodendron ulpferaG.J. BollenThe NetherlandsJ735295L lirioidendriCBS 11752V. viniferaG.J. BollenUSAQ178163L hisitanicaCBS 11980V. viniferaN. CruzPortugalQ178163L husitanicaCBS 129080V. viniferaN. CruzPortugalJ735296L husitanicaCBS 12980P. signengY. MyazawaJapanJ735296	L crassaCBS 12908Panax quinquéfoliuS. HongCanadaAY295311JE735394L cyclaminicolaCBS 302.93Cyclamen sp.M. HooftmanThe NetherlandJF735204JF735424L destructansCBS 102.93Cyclamen persicumL. NilssonSwedenAY677273AY677256L europaeaCBS 102.93Phragmites australisW. LeibingerGermanyJF735294JF735424I gamsiiCBS 102.93PirigéraC. RegoPortugalJF735294JF735294I gamsiiCBS 142.82Jers p.B. Mora-SalaSpainKY676884KY676876L luicolaCBS 132.80Ieucospermum sp.C. M. BezuidenhoutSouth AfricaJX231161JX2311161L luicosperminCBS 132.80Ieucospermum sp.C. M. BezuidenhoutSouth AfricaJX231161JX2311161L luiligenaCBS 132.80Icium regaleM.A. A. SchippersThe NetherlandsJF735294JF735426L liriodendriCBS 132.81Icium regaleG.J. BollenThe NetherlandsJF735294JF735426L liriodendriCBS 11527Lilium sp.G.J. BollenUSADQ178163DQ178163L luistianicaCBS 129.80IviniferaM. CruzPortugalDQ178163DQ178163L luistianicaCBS 129.80V. viniferaN. CruzPortugalDQ178163DQ178163L luistianicaCBS 129.80V. viniferaN. CruzPortugalDQ178163DQ178163L luistianicaCBS 129.60 <td>L crassaCBS 12908Panax quinquefoliuS. HongCanadaAY29511J. 735304J. 735334L cyclaminicolaCBS 158.31Narcissus sp.W. F. van HellThe NetherlandJ. 735304J. 735334J. 735334L cyclaminicolaCBS 202.33Cyclamen sp.M. HooftmanThe NetherlandJ. 735304J. 735304J. 735304L destructansCBS 102.82Cyclamen persicuL. NilssonSwedenAY67725AY67725J. 735304L europaeaCBS 102.82Piragmites australiW. LeibingerGermanyJ. F35290J. 735421J. 735507L gamstiCBS 102.82Piragmites australiJ. T. PollThe NetherlandAM19065AM19089J. 735577L licicolaCBS 128.82Jex sp.B. Mora-SalaSpainKY676884KY676879KY676876L leucospermiCBS 132.80Jeucospermum sp.C.M. BezuidenhoutSouth AfricaJ. 231116J. 231114J. 231114L liligenaCBS 132.81Jeune regalM. A. A. SchippersJen NetherlandsJ. 735290J. 735420J. 735577L liligenaCBS 116.81Airlour sp.G.J. BollenThe NetherlandsJ. 735290J. 735420J. 735577L liligenaCBS 116.81Lilium sp.G.J. BollenThe NetherlandsJ. 735290J. 735420J. 735577L liligenaCBS 116.81Lilium sp.G.J. BollenThe NetherlandsJ. 735290J. 735420J. 735570L liligenaCBS 116.82Je. Yintjera<!--</td--></td>	L crassaCBS 12908Panax quinquefoliuS. HongCanadaAY29511J. 735304J. 735334L cyclaminicolaCBS 158.31Narcissus sp.W. F. van HellThe NetherlandJ. 735304J. 735334J. 735334L cyclaminicolaCBS 202.33Cyclamen sp.M. HooftmanThe NetherlandJ. 735304J. 735304J. 735304L destructansCBS 102.82Cyclamen persicuL. NilssonSwedenAY67725AY67725J. 735304L europaeaCBS 102.82Piragmites australiW. LeibingerGermanyJ. F35290J. 735421J. 735507L gamstiCBS 102.82Piragmites australiJ. T. PollThe NetherlandAM19065AM19089J. 735577L licicolaCBS 128.82Jex sp.B. Mora-SalaSpainKY676884KY676879KY676876L leucospermiCBS 132.80Jeucospermum sp.C.M. BezuidenhoutSouth AfricaJ. 231116J. 231114J. 231114L liligenaCBS 132.81Jeune regalM. A. A. SchippersJen NetherlandsJ. 735290J. 735420J. 735577L liligenaCBS 116.81Airlour sp.G.J. BollenThe NetherlandsJ. 735290J. 735420J. 735577L liligenaCBS 116.81Lilium sp.G.J. BollenThe NetherlandsJ. 735290J. 735420J. 735577L liligenaCBS 116.81Lilium sp.G.J. BollenThe NetherlandsJ. 735290J. 735420J. 735570L liligenaCBS 116.82Je. Yintjera </td

Berlanas et al. Plant Disease

	CBS 306.35	Pa. quinquefolium	A. A. Hildebrand	Canada	JF735288	JF735414	JF735557	JF735746
I. palmarum	CBS 135753	Howea forsteriana	G. Polizzi	Italy	HF937432	HF922609	HF922621	HF922615
	CBS 135754	H. forsteriana	G. Polizzi	Italy	HF937431	HF922608	HF922620	HF922614
I. panacis	CBS 129079	Pa. quinquefolium	K. F. Chang	Canada	AY295316	JF735424	JF735572	JF735761
I. protearum	CBS 132811	Protea sp.	C. M. Bezuidenhout	South Africa	JX231157	JX231109	JX231141	JX231125
	CBS 132812	Protea sp.	C. M. Bezuidenhout	South Africa	JX231165	JX231117	JX231149	JX231133
I. pseudodestructans	CBS 117824	Quercus sp.	E. Halmschlager	Austria	JF735292	JF735419	JF735562	JF735751
	CBS 129081	V.vinifera	C. Rego	Portugal	AJ875330	AM419091	JF735563	JF735752
I. robusta	CBS 129084	V. vinifera	N. Cruz	Portugal	JF735273	JF735391	JF735532	JF735721
	CBS 308.35	Pa. quinquefolium	A. A. Hildebrand	Canada	JF735264	JF735377	JF735518	JF735707
I. rufa	CBS 153.37	Dune sand	F. Moreau	France	AY677271	AY677251	JF735540	JF735729
	CBS 640.77	A. alba	F. Gourbière	France	JF735277	JF735399	JF735542	JF735731
I. venezuelensis	CBS 102032	Bark	A. Rossman	Venezuela	AM419059	AY677255	JF735571	JF735760
I. vredehoekensis	CBS 132807	Protea sp.	C. M. Bezuidenhout	South Africa	JX231155	JX231107	JX231139	JX231123
	CBS 132814	Protea sp.	C. M. Bezuidenhout	South Africa	JX231158	JX231110	JX231142	JX231126
Neonectria candida	CBS 182.36	Malus sylvestris	H. W. Wollenweber	-	JF735314	JF735439	JF735603	JF735792

Berlanas *et al. Plant Disease* 

Page 41 of 47	Page 41	of 47
---------------	---------	-------

Plant Disease

2 3 4 5 6	<i>N. candida</i> , authentic strain of <i>C. obtusiusculum</i>	CBS 151.29	Ma. sylvestris	H. W. Wollenweber	UK	JF735313	JF735438	JF735602	JF735791
7 8 9 10	<i>N. ditissima</i> , authentic strain of <i>C.</i> <i>wilkommii</i>	CBS 226.31	Fagus sylvatica	H. W. Wollenweber	Germany	JF735309	DQ789869	JF735594	JF735783
12 13 14 15	<i>N. ditissima</i> , representative strain of <i>N. galligena</i>	CBS 835.97	Salix cinerea	W. Gams	Belgium	JF735310	DQ789880	JF735595	JF735784
16 17	N. major	CBS 240.29	Alnus incana	H. W. Wollenweber	Norway	JF735308	DQ789872	JF735593	JF735782
18 19 20	N. neomacrospora	CBS 324.61	A. concolor	J. A. von Arx	The Netherlands	JF735312	DQ789875	JF735599	JF735788
20 21 22		CBS 503.67	A. alba	F. Roll-Hansen	Norway	AY677261	JF735436	JF735600	JF735789
23 24	N. obtusispora	CBS 183.36	Solanum tuberosum	H. W. Wollenweber	Germany	AM419061	AM419085	JF735607	JF735796
25 26		CPC 13544	Prunus armenica	J. A. Traquair	Canada	AY295306	JF735443	JF735608	JF735797
27 28 29	N. quercicola	CBS 143704	Q. ilex	P. Abad-Campos	Spain	KY676880	KY676874	KY676862	KY676868
30 31		CPC 13530	Pyrus sp.	J. A. Traquair	Canada	AY295302	JF735441	JF735605	JF735794
32 33 34	Neonectria sp. 1	CPC 13545	<i>Pyrus</i> sp.	J. A. Traquair & B. Harrison	Canada	AY295303	JF735437	JF735601	JF735790
35 36 37 38 39 40 41	697 <sup>a</sup> Ex-type culture in 698 CBS. <b>MUCL</b> : My 699 <sup>b</sup> ITS = internal tra	ndicated in bold. cothèque de l'Un inscribed spacer,	<b>CBS</b> : Westerdijk Fungal iversité catholique de Lou $tub2 = \beta$ -tubulin, <i>his3</i> = h	Biodiversity Institute, Utrect vain. histone H3 and <i>tef1</i> = translat	ht, The Netherlands; ion elongation factor	<b>CPC</b> : Culture co	ollection of Pedr	o Crous, housed	at
42 43 44 45 46	Berlanas <i>et al.</i> <i>Plant Disease</i>		43	1					

<ul> <li>c Growth (mm/da 3.0 bc 2.6 bcd 3.2 bc 3.0 bc 3.1 bc 3.8 bc 3.7 bc 4.4 ab 2.2 d</li> </ul>
3.0 bc 2.6 bcd 3.2 bc 3.0 bc 3.1 bc 3.8 bc 3.7 bc 4.4 ab 2.2 d
2.6 bcd 3.2 bc 3.0 bc 3.1 bc 3.8 bc 3.7 bc 4.4 ab 2.2 d
3.2 bc 3.0 bc 3.1 bc 3.8 bc 3.7 bc 4.4 ab 2.2 d
3.0 bc 3.1 bc 3.8 bc 3.7 bc 4.4 ab 2.2 d
3.1 bc 3.8 bc 3.7 bc 4.4 ab 2.2 d
3.8 bc 3.7 bc 4.4 ab 2.2 d
3.7 bc 4.4 ab 2.2 d
4.4 ab 2.2 d
2.2 d
2.3 cd
2.7 bcd
3.6 bc
3.1 bc
3.9 bc
2.1 d
3.0 bc
4.2 ab
5.1 a
3.9 bc
3.9 bc
4.3 ab
4.5 ab
3.5 bc
2.7 bcd

Plant Disease

708	Table 5 Pathogenicity of black-foot nathogens on seedlings of Vitis vinifera cy 'Tempranillo'a
700	Table 5. Famogenery of black foot pathogens on secondings of <i>Familyera</i> ev. Femplatino
709	

	Fungal species	Isolate	% of dead	MTA (days) <sup>b</sup>	% of reisolation <sup>c</sup>
			plants		
	Dactylonectria alcacerensis	BV-1240	75.0 b	41.2 e	100
		BV-1469	33.3 cd	49.5 bcde	75.0
	Dactylonectria macrodidyma	BV-1366	66.7 bc	56.4 ab	100
		BV-0535	41.7 cd	54.9 abc	83.3
	Dactylonectria novozelandica	BV-0760	100 a	12.9 f	100
		BV-1369	16.7 cde	57.4 ab	90.9
	Dactylonectria pauciseptata	BV-1354	8.3 de	55.4 abc	83.3
		BV-1360	41.7 cd	47.3 cde	100
	Dactylonectria riojana sp. nov.	BV-1396	33.3 cd	49.8 bcde	100
		BV-1397	41.7 cd	57.5 ab	100
	Dactylonectria torresensis	BV-0901	25.0 cd	58.2 ab	100
		BV-0666	8.3 de	56.3 ab	100
	Ilyonectria liriodendri	BV-1591	25.0 cd	60.3 a	100
		BV-1642	16.7 cde	54.5 abc	91.7
	Ilyonectria pseudodestructans	BV-2142	25.0 cde	56.2 abc	83.3
		BV-2609	8.3 de	59.0 a	75
	Ilyonectria robusta	BV-1593	8.3 de	60.5 a	66.7
		BV-1654	16.7 cde	59.3 a	83.3
	Ilyonectria vivaria sp. nov.	BV-1924	50.0 c	53.3 abcd	100
		BV-2305	50.0 c	49.8 bcde	41.7
	Neonectria quercicola	BV-2137	8.3 de	60.4 a	50
		BV-1661	0.0 e	61.0 a	100
	Neonectria sp. 1	BV-2682	25.0 cde	55.3 abc	0
	Thelonectria olida	BV-0537	58.3 c	45.4 de	100
	Control		10.4 de	59.4 a	0
	LSD (P<0.05)		16.4	8.5	-
710 711 712	<sup>a</sup> For each column, means with the sam <sup>b</sup> MTA: Mean time from inoculation in	e letter are not significantl which the plant stayed ali	by different ( $P = 0.05$ ) accorve	ding to the LSD test	
713					
-					
714					
/14					
		40			
	Berlanas <i>et al.</i>	43			

Plant Disease







595x793mm (72 x 72 DPI)

1	
2	
~	



595x793mm (72 x 72 DPI)



592x332mm (72 x 72 DPI)