#### REVIEW



# Occurrence of Pharmaceutical and Pesticide Transformation Products in Freshwater: Update on Environmental Levels, Toxicological Information and Future Challenges

P. Rodrigues<sup>1,2,3</sup> · L. Oliva-Teles<sup>1,2</sup> · L. Guimarães<sup>1,2</sup> · A. P. Carvalho<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 9 May 2022 / Accepted: 28 November 2022 / Published online: 7 December 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

### Abstract

Pharmaceuticals and pesticides are recognized micropollutants in freshwater systems. Their ever-increasing frequency of detection, levels found and little information available about their effects on non-target organisms, make them emerging contaminants. However, parental compounds are not the only substances of concern. Their metabolites and degradation products, hereby referred to as transformation products, are increasingly detected in freshwater samples and wastewater effluents. In the past years, a wealth of publications provided concentration levels detected in freshwater and some toxicological data, which required critical systematization. This review identified concentrations for 190 transformation products (92 from pesticides and 98 from pharmaceuticals) in water bodies and wastewater effluents. A concentration heatmap was produced to easily spot the substances found at higher levels and plan future research. The very limited available toxicological data link exposure to transformation products to adverse outcomes in humans (genotoxicity and alteration in detoxification products may pose a severe threat to aquatic organisms and need to be further investigated in sound experimental designs, testing for the effects of the single substances as well as of their mixtures. Such toxicological information is highly needed to improve both water treatment technologies and monitoring programmes.

# Pesticide and Pharmaceutical Transformation Products as Environmental Contaminants

Over the past decades, scientists produced a wealth of information about the toxic effects of pesticides and pharmaceuticals on freshwater species. Both groups of compounds are widely used in the world, with recognized benefits for human health and welfare (Santos et al. 2010; Mcknight

L. Guimarães guimlid@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> CIIMAR Interdisciplinary Centre of Marine and Environmental Research, University of Porto, Terminal de Cruzeiros do Porto de Leixões Av. General Norton de Matos S/n, 4450-208 Matosinhos, Portugal
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, FCUP Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, S/N, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal
- <sup>3</sup> ICBAS/UP-Institute of Biomedical Sciences Abel Salazar, University of Porto, Rua de Jorge Viterbo Ferreira, 228, 4050-313 Porto, Portugal

et al. 2015). Moreover, their use is globally escalating, owing to i) today's social habits, ii) the increase in life expectancy, iii) the human population growth and iv) the consequent increase in food demand. More so under the actual pandemia caused by the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus. Despite the need for them, these classes of chemicals have also been associated with severe human and environmental health risks and are common micropollutants of freshwater systems (Corcoran et al. 2010; Santos et al. 2013; Reemtsma et al. 2013; Ortiz de García et al. 2014; Mcknight et al. 2015). Pesticides and pharmaceuticals are designed to have specific biological activity, exerting the desired effect before undergoing excretion and/or degradation. These same characteristics also make them persistent in the environment, ultimately causing toxicity to non-target fauna and flora (Fent et al. 2006; McKnight et al. 2015). Due to these characteristics and the still limited information available, they are the most representative classes included in the watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring of the Water Framework Directive (WFD). Currently, they represent over 88% of the compounds listed in the WFD (European Union 2022).

Pesticides occur in natural water, mainly by run-off from the agricultural fields where they are applied and through industrial wastewater. Although soils can store a good amount of pesticides due to the high affinity of these compounds to organic matter, surface water and groundwater are susceptible to pesticide contamination because of the existing soil-water interconnections, mainly adsorption (Sharma et al. 2019). Pesticides that are highly adsorbed to soil particles are less likely to infiltrate deep but can easily be carried via run-off of and reach surface water (Syafrudin et al. 2021). Due to their increased use, detection of pesticides in different water compartments is becoming more and more frequent (Corcoran et al. 2010; Reemtsma et al. 2013; Ortiz de García et al. 2014; Evgenidou et al. 2015; Vryzas, 2018). On the other hand, the distribution of pharmaceutical substances in the environment is predominantly made by aqueous transport of compounds contained in discharged wastewater effluents, which persisted through the conventional treatment processes (Khan et al. 2020). Contamination by pharmaceutical compounds may also occur by terrestrial run-off from agricultural fields and aquaculture activities (Hong et al. 2018). Sorption is also an important process for the transport of pharmaceuticals in an aquatic environment. This process is responsible for the partitioning of pharmaceuticals between the water and the sediment phase (Bavumiragira et al. 2022).

Once pesticides and pharmaceuticals reach the aquatic environment, they undergo a series of abiotic and biotic transformation and degradation processes. Hydrolysis, photodegradation and biodegradation are considered the most important mechanisms involved in their transformation or degradation (Syafrudin et al. 2021; Khan et al. 2020). Hydrolysis is an abiotic degradation process that creates products more polar than the parental compounds. These reactions are mainly catalysed by hydrogen or hydroxide molecules (Bavumiragira et al. 2022). Photolysis or photochemical degradation of pesticides and pharmaceuticals occurs by decomposition of these compounds in the presence of ultraviolet (UV) light. When exposed to sunlight, pesticides and pharmaceuticals containing chemical functional groups able to absorb solar radiation are prone to photolysis. The reaction transforms parental compounds into transformation products that are usually more biodegradable and hydrolysable (Wilkinson et al. 2017; Bavumiragira et al. 2022). Biodegradation is a biotic process that can result in the partial or complete transformation of pesticides and pharmaceuticals by microorganisms, such as certain fungi, bacteria, protozoans and microalgae. These microorganisms are present in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) or occur naturally in suspended solids, sediments and within animals (i.e. gut microbiota) (Wilkinson et al. 2017; Jaffar et al. 2022). Microbial degradation is recognized in the literature as having an important role in the degradation of several pharmaceuticals in a wide range of water compartments (Christensen and Li, 2014). For pesticides, microbial degradation includes the mineralisation process, which consists in the break of a parental pesticide into carbon dioxide and co-metabolization where microbial-catalysed reactions break pesticides into other chemical forms (Syafrudin et al. 2021). Surface waters receiving wastewater effluents rich in microorganisms are usually prone to show higher biodegradation effectiveness. High rates of biodegradation are typically observed along the sediment-water interface in water bodies and wetlands (Li et al. 2016). Degradation effectiveness varies according to biotic and abiotic factors, such as temperature, pH, UV light, presence of dissolved organic matter, suspended material and micro- and macrobiota (Vryzas, 2018). Low turbidity, small depth, low total organic carbon content and sandy sediments favour the degradation of pesticides and pharmaceuticals (Baena-Nogueras et al. 2017). On the other hand, higher depths, low temperature and higher turbidity can lower the degradation effectiveness (Syafrudin et al. 2021; Bavumiragira et al. 2022). Nevertheless, the described processes originate transformation products that enter in natural water by a panoply of different sources. In recent years, several works have reported the detection of these transformation products in the range of ng to µg/L, sometimes at concentrations even higher than those found for the parental compounds (le Cor et al. 2021). However, the focus of the reports was primarily on the detection and quantification of the parental compounds. Concern about their transformation products, with the involvement of more groups in this research, took off mostly in the last decade, especially for pharmaceutical transformation products.

Investigation about the occurrence and fate of transformation products in the aquatic environment skyrocketed in recent years, mainly due to advances reached in the chemical analytical methods (Fent et al. 2006; Valls-Cantenys et al. 2016). New instruments and methods with higher separation efficiencies, ability to find more polar compounds and deal with confounding matrix effects, appeared allowing scientists to detect trace concentrations in environmental compartments (Fent et al. 2006; Celiz et al. 2009; Valls-Cantenys et al. 2016). Previous excellent reviews have been dedicated to this topic, although mostly to pharmaceutical and personal care products or emerging contaminants of concern and less so to pesticides (La Farre et al. 2008; Celiz et al. 2009; Mompelat et al. 2009; Evgenidou et al. 2015; Picó & Barceló, 2015; le Cor et al. 2021; Ibáñez et al. 2021; Mosekiemang et al. 2021; Madikizela et al. 2022). Furthermore, the number of works produced about this theme suffered a remarkable increase in recent years. Many of these compounds, parental or transformation products, are however little known in terms of potential detrimental effects and not included in the regulatory monitoring frameworks. Hence, they are nowadays recognized as emerging contaminants of concern (Murray et al. 2010; Evgenidou et al. 2015; NOR-MAN network, www.norman-network.net). Pesticides and pharmaceuticals are the two main classes of chemicals continuously represented in the watch list of the WFD and are thus the focus of this review.

The aim of this literature review was to identify ecotoxicological knowledge gaps limiting the risk assessment of transformation products of pesticides and pharmaceuticals found in aquatic samples. We present and discuss updated information about quantification methods, occurrence, fate and the effects of transformation products of these two classes of chemicals. Over recent years, information has been published that needed to be systematized and appraised to bring understanding about their potential impacts on human health and aquatic biota. An important aspect, still enigmatic, is whether these transformation products are more harmful to non-target organisms than their parental compounds and which other factors may influence their potential toxicity. Another problem is the concern raised by transformation products not only as sole compounds per se but also in complex mixtures; mixtures of different metabolites of the same substance and mixtures of different substances, including parental compounds and transformation products.

### **Applied Methodology**

The literature review carried out focused on the global occurrence and fate of the target contaminants in freshwater (i.e. surface-, ground- and influent/effluent wastewater), as well as on the available toxicological and ecotoxicological data. It covers articles published between 1997 and 2022, which have been searched in SCOPUS, Web of Science, PubMed and Google Scholar databases. The terms "pesticides" or "pharmaceuticals" were searched for in combination with "transformation products" or "degradation products", "metabolites", "freshwater", "quantification", "human health" or "aquatic species". The search fields were the "article title", "abstract" and "keywords". Criteria for inclusion of articles in the review were related to the detail provided by the studies (i.e. quantification of the transformation products identified, suitable information about the species employed in the biotests, the age of the exposed organisms, relevant exposure design and endpoints assessed), as well as authors' awareness and control of essential experimental conditions that may bias the results. All analytical methods of quantification have been included, rather than focusing on the most widespread techniques. Adding to this, most articles available in the literature are directed to parental compounds. Some of these works identify a few metabolites. Others do not include terms related to transformation products in the search fields and so they may not been detected.

Some articles identify transformation products but do not quantify them, preventing prediction of their concentration in environmental samples (i.e. Mosekiemang et al. 2021; Madikizela et al. 2022). Articles about degradation experiments of pesticides and pharmaceuticals under controlled conditions have also been included, since such transformation processes can occur in natural conditions.

# Sources and Fate of Environmental Contamination

### **Transformation Products of Pesticides**

Pesticides have been used since ancient times. Most of them were mainly inorganic compounds or substances of natural origin. However, the development and synthesis of organic pesticides after the second world war increased exponentially its use, making pesticides the second most used group of substances in the environment, only behind fertilizers (Davis 2014; Stokstad and Grullon 2013). Millions of tons of pesticides are applied each year, predominantly in agriculture, which is the main activity responsible for the leaking of pesticides and their sub-products into freshwater ecosystems (Fenner et al. 2013). Although applied in the soil, pesticides can reach aquatic ecosystems through diffuse/non-point-source or point-source pollution sites (Vryzas 2018; Fig. 1).

Diffuse or non-point-source pollution is related with the movement of pesticides from large areas across the watersheds that reach the aquatic environment. Point-source pollution is related to a specific identifiable source which can include chemical run-off during storage, loading, disposal, as well as the misapplication of pesticides to water bodies (Syafrudin et al. 2021). Groundwater is heavily impacted by pesticides, and their metabolites or degradation products (i.e. N,N-dimethylsulfamide; aminomethylphosphonic acid; 2,6-Dichlorobenzamide), mainly resulting from point-source pollution (Postigo and Barcelo 2015). Leaching of landfill and septic tanks or industrial leakage are amongst the main sources of groundwater contamination by pesticides and metabolites (Postigo and Barcelo 2015). Pesticide characteristics (i.e. solubility and vapour pressure) are responsible for higher or lower rates of leaching to groundwater (Park et al. 2020). They also play an essential influence on the degradation of pesticides and the formation of transformation products, including active metabolites. Sorption-desorption, volatilization, chemical and biological degradation, uptake by plants, soil infiltration and leaching are some processes responsible for the appearance of new metabolites and their transportation into groundwater (Arias-Estévez et al. 2007). Groundwater tends, however, to be less affected by contamination than other water bodies, due to the natural attenuation capacity of aquifers and their large capacities (Postigo



Fig. 1 Sources of pollution, as well as formation and fate of transformation products deriving from pesticides

and Barcelo 2015). Nonetheless, recent monitoring studies show that pesticides, mainly herbicides, and their metabolites/degradation products (i.e. desethylatrazine; cyanazine amide) are present in aquifers (Lapworth and Gooddy 2006; Reemtsma et al. 2013).

Surface waters are mainly contaminated by diffuse pollution sources. Run-off of pesticides and metabolites from agricultural fields after heavy rains are the main pathways of transportation of these substances to surface waters (Vryzas 2018). Moreover, during rainfall pesticides and degradation products imprisoned in soil or sediments can reach surface waters due to movements of those sediments (Vryzas 2018). Application of pesticides using sprays or even the plantation of seeds can be a source of surface water contamination, thanks to wind dispersal (Vryzas 2018). In these waters, photodegradation is the main process responsible for the degradation of pesticides. The formation of such metabolites can reach higher concentrations and show higher toxicity than the parental compounds (Reddy and Kim 2015). Wastewater treatment plants are also amongst the main sources of point-source pollution. Pesticides applied in urban areas (i.e. in maintenance of green areas or ponds) tend to finish in WWTPs, where traditional wastewater treatment methods are ineffective for the removal of these compounds (Rousis et al. 2017; Munze et al. 2017). Moreover, WWTPs effluents show in some cases higher concentrations of pesticides and their transformation products, as well as more toxicity, than the influents. Owing to all this, pesticides and their metabolites can ultimately reach drinking water, exposing humans, as indicated by their detection in the serum and blood of some patients in clinical and scientific studies (Chau et al. 2015; Tyagi et al. 2015).

#### **Transformation Products of Pharmaceuticals**

According to Daughton (2016), the first studies regarding the presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment date back to the 1940s. Later on, between the 60 s and 70 s, several works were produced about the possibility of contamination of drinking and surface water by pharmaceuticals, through the discharge of wastewater effluents (i.e. Stumm-Zollinger and Fair 1965; Hignite and Azarnoff 1977). Nowadays, pharmaceutical products are continuously released into the environment, although in small quantities (Fig. 2).

After consumption by humans, pharmaceuticals pass through the liver where they are directly effluxed from the organism (phase 0) or enter phase I and phase II of drug metabolism (Fig. 3). In phase I, more polar metabolites,



Fig. 2 Sources of pollution as well as formation and fate of transformation products deriving from pharmaceuticals



Fig. 3 Schematic representation of pharmaceutical's biotransformation and excretion

often still active, are produced through oxidation, reduction or hydrolysis reactions. These reactions are commonly mediated by different CYP450 genes (i.e. CYP1A; CYP2B; CYP3A). Many of these transformation products become

substrates of phase II, where endogenous hydrophilic groups are added through methylation, glucuronidation, acetylation, sulfation or conjugation with glutathione or amino acids such as glycine, taurine and glutamic acid to form watersoluble inactive compounds that can be excreted by the body in phase III (Fig. 3). Phase III excretion is mediated by ABC transporters and different solute carriers. Due to such reactions, pharmaceuticals can thus be excreted by humans in different forms: unchanged (small proportion) or as active or inactive metabolites (Jjemba 2006; Brown et al. 2015).

Hospital effluents and direct elimination (i.e. through inadequate sanitary disposal) of unused pharmaceuticals in sewage are therefore amongst the most important sources of water contamination (Santos et al. 2010) by pharmaceutical transformation products. Influents are treated in WWTPs (Wastewater Treatment Plants) by three main processes of pollutant removal. In the first treatment, the removal of suspended solids occurs. This treatment has a low degree of efficiency in the removal of micropollutants, like pharmaceuticals (parental compounds or metabolites). In the second treatment, several types of reactions occur, such as dilution, partition, biotic and abiotic transformation (Luo et al. 2014). In this treatment, the level of efficiency is variable depending on the substance or metabolite in question, as well as their physicochemical properties (Luo et al. 2014). The third treatment is related to health questions to humans or specific uses of the treated water. It consists in further removal of substances, like nitrogen or phosphorus, and it is not mandatory, in general (Guardabassi et al. 2002; Luo et al. 2014). After this processing, in some cases, the total load of pharmaceutical compounds or metabolites in the effluent can be higher than that in the influent (Luo et al. 2014). This can be explained by the degradation of parental compounds into several metabolites or degradation products and the transformation of metabolites back into the parental compounds that can occur during the biological treatment in the WWTPs (Luo et al. 2014). Parental compounds and metabolites can also be imprisoned in faecal matter and released into the water during the biological treatment, thus increasing the overall concentration of those substances (Luo et al. 2014). This shows that the treatments available in WWTPs are still not fully efficient in the removal of these micropollutants. Hence, discharge of contaminated effluents introduces into natural waters the parental compounds and many more metabolites or transformation products (i.e. venlafaxine, tramadol, O-desmethyltramadol) (Santos et al. 2010; Luo et al. 2014). Additionally, some of these pharmaceutical metabolites are expected to be more toxic than parental compounds and consequently more dangerous to the wildlife (Celiz et al. 2009). The use of medicines is not exclusive to humans. These are also used in agriculture and aquaculture to treat diseased animals. As in humans, they are also excreted mostly as metabolites in the urine and faeces of animals or through adsorption in dirt pounds and after tanks cleaning, thus entering the environment without any kind of treatment and contaminating the soil and water (Santos et al. 2010). This contamination contributes to further input of transformation products into natural waters via run-off and leaching from the affected soils (Kemper 2008). Other anthropological activities also act as sources of contamination. Industry discharges (sometimes illegally), the use of WWTPs sludge contaminated with all kinds of pharmaceutical compounds as fertilizer, or leakage of septic tanks from households still not connected to the sewage systems, are examples of these (Carrara et al. 2008; Santos et al. 2010).

# Detection of Pesticide and Pharmaceutical Transformation Products in Water Compartments

# Analytical Methods of Pesticide and Pharmaceutical Transformation Products

As previously mentioned, knowledge about contamination of the aquatic environment by pesticides and pharmaceutical transformation products has increased, mainly due to advances reached in analytical methods (Fent et al. 2006; Valls-Cantenys et al. 2016). New methods, with higher separation efficiencies and the ability to find more polar compounds, appeared. This allowed scientists to detect concentrations in environmental compartments in the order of ng/L and µg/L and consequently raised awareness and concern about their potential hazardousness (Fent et al. 2006; Santos et al. 2010; Valls-Cantenys et al. 2016). However, these advances in analytical methods are not efficient if the correct sample preparation is not performed. The extraction of the analytes from an environmental water sample is a crucial step before the instrumental analysis. Extraction techniques are based on the passage of an analyte by different solvents, which must be the most suitable for the type of analytical tool to be employed (Rutkowska et al. 2019; Campanale et al. 2021). This step can highly influence the analytical process, mainly for quantitative analysis, since the analyte volume must be increased, whilst any interferences must be eliminated (Campanale et al. 2021). Several sample preparation techniques are already described in the literature. For analysis of water samples, SPE (solid-phase extraction) is the most extensively used technique (Dimpe and Nomngongo 2016; Campanale et al. 2021). This method uses columns or disks able to retain the active compounds present in water samples and posteriorly release them by washing with small quantities of suitable solvents (Dimpe and Nomngongo 2016; Campanale et al. 2021). This provides an extract with few interferences, suitable for different analytical methodologies, such as High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-MS) and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). More recently, a new SPE-based approach has been tested: Solid-Phase MicroExtraction (SPME). This newer method is faster and requires fewer quantity of solvents and is well described as suitable for Gas chromatography (GC) analysis (Campanale et al. 2021). Liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) is a simple method widely used for water samples that is also applied in the analysis of pesticide and pharmaceuticals (Dimpe and Nomngongo 2016; Campanale et al. 2021). This method has the advantage to be well established amongst different governmental agencies, but it also is time-consuming and requires the use of organic solvents that are harmful to the environment and even the handler (Dimpe and Nomngongo 2016; Campanale et al. 2021). Though the techniques described are the most well established for pharmaceuticals and pesticides, they have some disadvantages. One is the loss of more volatile analytes during the extraction process, which can affect the result of the analysis; another is the use of toxic solvents (Dimpe and Nomngongo 2016; Campanale et al. 2021). Much more methods are available in the literature, although less widespread. The development of new cost-effective and green methodologies for fast extraction is the next challenge in need to be addressed to improve and analytical determination. Regarding the analytical methods and instrumentation, GC and/or liquid chromatography (LC) coupled to mass spectrometry (MS) are, nowadays, the most applied methods to detect pesticides and pharmaceutical compounds. For LC, some variations to this method are well established in the literature, such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) (Gumustas et al. 2013). Gas chromatography is the most suitable method to separate nonpolar parental compounds and their transformation products (Sparkman et al. 2011). This happens because of the inclusion of a derivatization process during GC that increases volatility and sensitivity, but also increases the duration of the procedure (Subramaniam et al. 2013). Coupling the GC with the MS has the advantage to offer a specific mass spectrum for a certain compound when an electron ionization (EI) is also performed (Foltz et al. 2016). Polar pharmaceutical or pesticide and their transformation products are mainly separated by LC (Martín-Pozo et al. 2019). Most of the pesticides and pharmaceuticals in their unchanged form or as transformation products are usually quantified at low concentrations in environmental water samples (ng to  $\mu g/L$ ). This makes liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectroscopy (LC-MS/MS) a widely used method for determination of these compounds. This is due to its higher discrimination between the analyte and matrix signal, coupled to robustness and relative ease of use (Kaufmann et al. 2012). However, the selectivity and sensitivity of the MS vary with the selected ionization. Electrospray ionization

(ESI) is the most chosen technique for detecting pharmaceuticals, since it is the most potent ionization method for the target compounds (Huang et al. 2019).

### **Levels in Different Water Compartments**

#### **Transformation Products of Pesticides**

As mentioned above, advances in the detection techniques led to an increase in knowledge about the occurrence of pharmaceutical and pesticide transformation products in different water compartments. Nevertheless, the quantification of transformation products is still not a focus in scientific investigation, as often studies only present new methods of detection and their validation, but not the concentrations found in real samples, even for parental compounds (Wode et al. 2015; Boix et al. 2016). Overall, the search carried out in the scientific databases returned 87 articles providing concentrations of pesticide and pharmaceutical transformation products in environmental water samples. Only one article quantified both pesticide and pharmaceutical transformation products (Huntscha et al. 2012). Of these, 29 articles were dedicated to pesticides, presenting concentrations obtained for 92 transformation products resulting from 43 parental compounds (Fig. 4, Table S1 in the Support Information). The most assessed pesticide transformation products (69%)belonged to three functional classes: organochlorine and chloroacetanilide herbicides/pesticides; triazine herbicides; and organophosphate and carbamate pesticides (Fig. 4, Table S1). Data about the quantification of pesticide transformation products found in different water samples, and respective detection methods, are presented in Fig. 5 and Table S1 (supplementary data). Transformation products of triazine herbicides were frequently reported in different studies, with a special focus on atrazine and terbuthylazine (Fig. 5, Table S1). Concentrations of these varied widely from 0.046 µg/L for desethylatrazine to 124,01 µg/L for hydroxy-terbuthylazine. The high concentration found for hydroxy-terbuthylazine resulted from an experiment where the parental compound was applied in a constructed wetland planted with Typha latifolia (Papadopoulos et al. 2007). According to the authors, the maximum concentration of the metabolite was within the highest concentration range found for the parental compound. This is of main concern, given that these concentrations are in the order of  $\mu$ g/L. Though knowledge about the environmental impact of this transformation product is sparse, recent works highlighted negative effects on the early developmental stages of fish species even at concentrations found in natural water samples (Velisek et al. 2014).

Chloroacetanilide herbicides are widely used for grass control in several crops. Compounds of this class are structurally similar and were extensively used from the mid-1990s



Fig. 4 Relative frequency of transformation products quantified in environmental water samples per functional class of pesticides or pharmaceuticals. Concentrations for 92 pesticide transformation

until recently (Elsayed et al. 2015). The main transformation products ethane sulfonic acid (ESA) and oxalinic acid (OXA), alongside the parental compound, are easily transported to water bodies and usually detected in both surface and groundwater (Table S1), contributing to the degradation of water quality (Baran and Gourcy 2013). Another interesting observation is the concentration level of metolachlor OXA and ESA in relation to the parental compound. Studies reported that metabolites of metolachlor, mainly ESA, were found in groundwater at higher concentrations than the parental compound (White et al. 2009; Baran and Gourcy 2013). This may occur because metabolites adsorb less to soil particles, compared to the parental compound and are thus more prone to infiltration to aquifer recharge (Baran and Gourcy 2013). This highlights the importance of monitoring programmes not only for pesticides alone but also for their transformation products.

One of the first mass-produced pesticides in the world was DDT (Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane). It is an inexpensive and highly efficient short-term insecticide, but in the long term, it is problematic to human and animal health (Kezios et al. 2013). This pesticide was systematically banned in developed countries since the 1970s and a global ban of DDT, for non-vector control use, was exerted in the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, which took effect in 2004. However, this substance as well as several of its transformation products are still found in natural water bodies (Table S1) and tissues of different organisms

products derived from 43 parental compounds and 98 pharmaceutical transformation products derived from 64 parental compounds were found in the scientific literature published between 2000 and 2020

(Veljanoska-Sarafiloska et al. 2013). A study conducted in African lakes showed that 4,4-DDE, a DDT metabolite, was biomagnified in fish species of the lake (Deribe et al. 2013). This was worrying, as those fish were consumed by local populations, possibly impacting human health. It is also of high concern the fact that metabolites of DDT, as well as the parental compound, are still commonly found in the environment even after an almost total ban worldwide, showing the great persistence of this substance and its transformation products in the ecosystems.

Carbofuran is one of the carbamate pesticides most toxic to vertebrates, including humans, but knowledge about its main transformation products is still sparse. Otieno and colleagues (2010) reported the presence of very high concentrations of 3-ketocarbofuran and carbofuran-3-hydroxy (Table S1) in surface waters highly impacted by agrochemical procedures. Concentrations found (>890  $\mu$ g/L) were well above the standard water concentrations allowed by the USA and European authorities for safe drinking and human use (Otieno et al. 2010). Also, these two compounds appeared to be more persistent and were detected in higher concentrations than the parental compound (Otieno et al. 2010). Considering this, it would be crucial to gain a higher level of knowledge about the possible effects of these substances on non-target organisms, including humans, that could help infer about the need for more strict monitoring routines aiming at minimizing potential impacts on water quality and populations' health.

### **Transformation Products of Pharmaceuticals**

Fifty-eight articles were dedicated to pharmaceuticals, presenting concentrations obtained for 98 transformation products resulting from 64 parental compounds (Fig. 4, Table S2 in the Support Information). The most investigated transformation products belonged also to three functional classes: psychotropic drugs; analgesics, antipyretics and opioid painkillers and anticonvulsants (Fig. 4, Table S2). Carbamazepine transformation products, alongside metabolites of selective monoamine reuptake inhibitors and of ibuprofen, were the ones most reported in the literature (Fig. 5). The range of concentrations found varied from < 0.50 ng/L to 462000 ng/L, showing that very high concentration values of pharmaceutical metabolites are already found in the natural environment. Acetaminophen metabolites were the ones with higher reported concentrations. Sunkara and Wells (2010) reported concentrations higher than 400000 ng/L for acetaminophen glucuronide and sulphate in WWTP effluents. Those values were obtained in samples collected after application of conventional treatment processes in WWTP, pointing out the inefficiency of these treatments for the removal of micropollutants. Moreover, the authors refer that sometimes, metabolite concentrations were higher in the effluent than in the influent and one of the reasons for that was the bioconversion that may occur during the biological treatment, as mentioned previously. However, following UV treatment, none of the metabolites was found. This could be soothing, but the UV treatment is not always applied in WWTP; it is an optional treatment used mainly in water for human consumption (Luo et al. 2014; Guardabassi et al. 2002). Water without UV treatment loaded with transformation products can thus re-enter the water cycle, potentially risking aquatic fauna and flora. Also, it can be reused in agricultural practices and therefore contaminate crops, making metabolites enter the food chain with risk to human health. Carboxy ibuprofen was also reported at a very high concentration, higher than 100000 ng/L, in WWTP influents according to Paíga and colleagues (2016). Samples were collected in a relatively small WWTP designed to serve a little less than 50,000 people. Receiving wastewaters were mainly domestic and conventional treatments with activated sludge were applied (Paíga et al. 2016). Carboxy ibuprofen is one of the most representative ibuprofen metabolites. Ibuprofen is a commonly used non-steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAID) drug and in 2016 it was the most used NSAID in Portugal, where the study was conducted (Monteiro et al. 2017). It is thus important to have a stricter monitoring routine for these substances to better evaluate the possible effects of metabolites on human and non-human health.

Also, carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide was reported to occur at concentrations higher than 10000 ng/L in WWTP influents (Gros et al. 2012) and municipal wastewater (Petrovic et al. 2014). This is one of the main carbamazepine metabolites and one of the most detected in natural water samples (Table S2). An interesting fact in the study of Petrovic et al. (2014) is that carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide was found at a much higher concentration than the parental compound. This was also reported previously by Lopez-Serna et al. (2012) in a study conducted in the Ebro River in Spain. Those data reinforce the necessity of an extensive assessment and monitoring routine for metabolites, once they can be more prevalent in water compartments, compared to their parental compounds.

# Risks of Pharmaceutical and Pesticide Transformation Products

### **Human Health**

Although the available data are sparse, freshwater contamination does not affect only organisms living in those systems. Ultimately, humans can also suffer negative effects from exposure to transformation products. Humans are exposed to pesticide and pharmaceutical transformation products in different ways. Data presented in Tables S1 and S2 show levels of those transformation products detected in drinking water and groundwater as well, which is a common source of drinking water in cities around the world (Guimarães et al. 2019). As previously mentioned, exposure can occur via contaminated recreational water and/or consumption of contaminated freshwater organisms or other food produced with water originating from contaminated sites. Knowledge about human health risks caused by transformation products of pesticides and pharmaceuticals is still sparse, compared to parental compounds. Studies available in the scientific literature are presented in Table 1.

The adverse effects that pesticides can cause on human health are a long-known problem. This discussion gained bigger attention and impact since the publication of the book Silent Spring in 1962. In this publication, Rachel Carson described not only the environmental impacts coinciding with the widespread use of DDT in agriculture in the USA, but also the potential of DDT to cause cancer in exposed workers. In the book, other pesticides were also surveyed, such as 2,4-D (2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid), chlordane and heptachlor. More recently different environmental agencies, including EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) and ECHA (European Chemicals Agency) or international conventions are banning the use of some pesticides that were described as hazardous to human health. Amongst the pesticide metabolites that can elicit problems,

surrace water wastewater other samples drinking water		groundwater surface water wastewater other sample drinking wate			groundwater surface wate wastewater other sample drinking wati	D	Α
	desethylatrazine		endos	ulfan sulfate		3.4.5	6-tetrahydrophthalic acid
	desiosopropylatrazine		p p'-D	DT		2-(4-	chlorophenoxy)propanoic acid
	hydroxyatrazine		0.p'-D	DT		4-chl	or-2-methylphenol
	desethylhidroxyatrazine		p.p'-D	DE		dime	thenamid ESA
	desiosopropyl-hydroxyatrazine		o,p'-D	DE		dime	thenamid OXA
	didealkylatrazine		p,p'-D	DD		p-din	nethenamid metabolite 27
	deethyldeisopropylatrazine		o,p'-Di	DD		chlor	idazon-methyl-desphenyl
	desethyl-terbuthylazine		p-p'-D	DA		desp	henylchloridazon
	hydroxy-therbuthylazine		p,p'-D	DH		1R,6	S-6-Carbamoylcyclohex-3-ene-
	desethyl-hydroxy-terbuthylazine		p,p'-D	BP		1,2,3	6-Tetrahydrophthalimide
	deethylcyanizide acid	_	P,P'-D	HU	_	3-4-0	lichloroaniline
	cyanizine acid		Chioro	thalonii metabolite R41/888	_	trino	kystrobin metabolite NOA 4131
	decomino motomitron		4-Hyu	roxychiorothaionii	-	aimo	xystrobin metabolite 505-MU8
	desamino-metamition		nontar	achior metabolite CGA309073	-	mota	M CGA62826
	hidroxysimazino		carbof	uran 3 hydroxy	_	flufor	hacot M2
	metolaclor ESA		3 keto	carbofuran	-	flufor	
	metolacior OXA		233	trichloro_prop_2_en_sulfonic acid	-	BH-5	18-2
	metolachlor metabolite CGA357704		aldica	th sulfoxide	-	dem	eton-s-methyl
	metolachlor metabolite NOA413173		aldica	rb sulfone		paco	butrazol CGA149907
	deschlorometolachlor	_	2-2 dir	chloroacetic acid		desn	itro-imidacloprid
	2-hidroxy-metolachlor		4-nitro	phenol		imida	acloprid urea
	metolachlor morpholimnone		amino	methylphosphonic acid		carbe	endazin
	acetochlor ESA		dichlor	robenzamide		para	oxon-ethyl
	acetochlor OXA		2,6-dic	chlorobenzonitrile		n,n-d	limethylsulfamide
	acetochlor sulfinylacetic acid		2,6-did	chlorobenzoic acid		terbu	imeton-deethyl
	hydroxyacetochlor		2,6-did	chlorophenol		dcpm	nu
	metazachlor ESA		fipruni	I sulfone		desa	mino-metribuzin
	metazachlor OXA		fipruni	l sulfide		Diket	tonitrile isoxaflutole
	alachlor ESA		fipruni	l desulfinyl		Isoxa	aflutole acid RPA
atment iter er	0.00	uent stment ater	4.	00 6.00 8.00 10	uent atment ater	r Mes	В
TP treatment ing water indwater	0.00	IP influent IP effluent IP treatment ing water odwater	ewater •	00 6.00 8.00 10	IP influent TP effluent TP treatment ing water ndwater	ice water ewater r samples	В
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	other samples	WWTP inffluent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water occurred water	surface water by wastewater by the samples	00 6.00 8.00 10	WWTP influent WWTP difluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surrace water wastewater other samples	В
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine	WWTP influent WWTP reatment drinking water	surgeneration surface water wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10	WWTP infiluent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surrace water wastewater other samples	<b>B</b> n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	0.00 september o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine	WWTP inffluent WWTP freatment drinking water drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surrace water wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine	WWTP inffluent WWTP treatment drinking water fromdwater	surface water wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10 norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen	WWTP infiluent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater other samples	R n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n,o-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-Didesmethylvenlafaxine	WVTP influent WVTP effluent WVTP treatment drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10 norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen	WWTP infiltuent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphametrazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine
WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine nDidesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine	WVTP infiluent WVTP effluent WVTP treatment drinking water or non-water	surface water wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10 norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid	WVTP influent WVTP effluent WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine
WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	0.00 sectors and the sector of the sector o	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	surface water wastexe water other samples	norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac	WWTP influent WWTP reatment WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater vastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine no-didesmethylvenlafaxine desmethylsertraline desmethylcitalopram	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	successes surface water wastewater other samples	norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac	WWTP influent WWTP reatment WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater vastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfoxide n acoth euffenzieite
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion bydroxybupropion	WWTP inffluent WWTP featment drinking water drinking water	wastewater wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10 norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 5-hydroxy diclofenac acridone	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dabydronifenidine
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylsertraline desmethylsertraline desmethylsertraline desmethylsertraline desmethylsertraline	WWTP infiluent WWTP reatment drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10 norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 5-hydroxy diclofenac acridone	WVTP infiluent 000 WVTP effluent 000 WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethydroifepidine
WWTP treatment drinking water grundwater	0.00 0.00	WVTP infiluent WVTP cefiluent WVTP treatment drinking water	soundation and the same of the	00 6.00 8.00 10 norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 5-hydroxy diclofenac acridone acridin acetaminophen glucoronide	WWTP infiltent 000 WWTP effluent 000 drinking water groundwater	wastewater vastewater other samples	B n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfonide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone
WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	0.00 0.00	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 5-hydroxy diclofenac acridone acridin acetaminophen glucoronide acetaminophen sulfate	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16q-hidroxyestrone
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n.desmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitriptyline norverapamil carbamazepine-10.11- epoxide	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	surface water warfeve water other samples	norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 5-hydroxy diclofenac acridone acridin acetaminophen glucoronide acetaminophen sulfate p-Aminophenol	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	wastewater wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine ndesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafaxine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitriptyline norverapamil carbamazepine-10,11- epoxide licarbazepine	WWTP infiluent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10 norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac acridone acridin acetaminophen glucoronide acetaminophen sulfate p-Aminophenol 4-acetylamino-antipyrine	WVTP Influent WVTP effluent WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surface water water water other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldilitiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone
WWTP treatment drinking water gurdoroudwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine ndesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylsertraline desm	WWTP infiluent WWTP reatment drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	00 6.00 8.00 10 norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 5-hydroxy diclofenac acridone acetaminophen glucoronide acetaminophen sulfate p-Aminophenol 4-acetylamino-antipyrine	WVTP infiluent 000 WVTP effluent 0000 WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surface water wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldilitazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydrosupropion hy	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	soundation to the same of the	norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 5-hydroxy diclofenac acridin acetaminophen glucoronide acetaminophen sulfate p-Aminophenol 4-acetylamino-antipyrine 4-formylaminoantipyrine paraxantine	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	Surface water wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfapridine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	0.00 sectors o-desmethylvenlafaxine ndesmethylvenlafaxine n.o-didesmethylvenlafa	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment diming water droundwater	auface water wastevater	norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid 3-hydroxy diclofenac 4-hydroxy diclofenac 5-hydroxy diclofenac acridin acetaminophen glucoronide acetaminophen sulfate p-Aminophenol 4-acetylamino-antipyrine 4-formylamino-antipyrine paraxantine ritalinic acid	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP freatment drinking water groundwater	sufface water wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion hydroxycarbamazepine 2-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	auformation surface water warfeverater	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil     hydroxy ibuprofen   carboxy ibuprofen     carboxy ibuprofen   salicylic acid     3-hydroxy diclofenac   4-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   5-hydroxy diclofenac     acridone   acridin     acetaminophen glucoronide   acetaminophen sulfate     p-Aminophenol   4-acetylamino-antipyrine     paraxantine   ritalinic acid     clofibric acid   diclofibric acid	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	Alternative service servi	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate
WWTP treatment drinking water grundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitriptyline norverapamil carbamazepine=0,11- epoxide licarbazepine oxcarbazepine 2-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine temazepam	WWTP infiluent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water	surface water watewater other samples	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil   10     hydroxy ibuprofen   salicylic acid   3     salicylic acid   3-hydroxy diclofenac   4-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   acridone   acridone     acridin   acetaminophen glucoronide   acetaminophen sulfate     p-Aminophenol   4-acetylamino-antipyrine     4-formylaminoantipyrine   paraxantine     ritalinic acid   clofbric acid     o-hydroxy atorvastatine   -	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surface water water water other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldilitazem 4-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestr
WWTP treatment drinking water grundwater	0.00 0.00	WWTP infiluent WWTP reatment drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil     hydroxy ibuprofen   salicylic acid     3-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     acridone   acridone     acridin   acetaminophen glucoronide     acetaminophen sulfate   p-Aminophenol     4-acetylamino-antipyrine   4-formylaminoantipyrine     paraxantine   ritalinic acid     clofibric acid   o-hydroxy atorvastatine     p-hydroxy atorvastatine   phydroxy atorvastatine	WVTP influent WVTP effluent WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	Surface water wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfonide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 3-colephenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate carboxy-abacavir descyclopropyl-abacavir
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	0.00 0.00	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water	auface water wastewater	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil   hydroxy ibuprofen     norbuyi buprofen   salicylic acid     3-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     acridone   acridin     acetaminophen glucoronide   acetylamino-antipyrine     4-formylaminoantipyrine   paraxantine     ritalinic acid   clofibric acid     o-hydroxy atorvastatine   p-hydroxy atorvastatine     hydroxy atorvastatina acid   4. bydroxy atorvastatine	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surface water wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone desnethylditiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate carboxy-abacavir descyclopropyl-abacavir carboxy-emitricitabine omitricitabine
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitriptyline norverapamil carbamazepine-10,11- epoxide licarbazepine oxcarbazepine 2-hidroxycarbamazepine 2-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine temazepam nordiazepam oxazepam oxazepam	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	4. Surface water wastewater	00     6.00     8.00     10       norbuprenorphine norfentanil	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP freatment drinking water groundwater	soften same and a set of the same set of the s	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate carboxy-abacavir descyclopropyl-abacavir carboxy-emitricitabine emitricitabine-s-oxide carboxy-avecavir
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	0.00 o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine nortipusetine desmethylsertraline desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitriptyline norverapamil carbamazepine-10,11- epoxide licarbazepine 10,11-dihydroxicarbamazepine 2-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxydiazepam desmethyl-diazepam lamotripine, 2-n chrosenaite	WWTP infiluent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	auromotoria surface water warfeverater	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil     hydroxy ibuprofen   carboxy ibuprofen     carboxy ibuprofen   salicylic acid     3-hydroxy diclofenac   4-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   5-hydroxy diclofenac     acridone   acridin     acetaminophen glucoronide   acetaminophen sulfate     p-Aminophenol   4-acetylamino-antipyrine     paraxantine   ritalinic acid     clofibric acid   o-hydroxy atorvastatine     p-hydroxy sinvastatin acid   4-hydroxy omeprazole sulfide     5-hydroxy omeprazole   sulfate	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	Alternative and a second se	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfayridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate carboxy-abacavir descyclopropyl-abacavir carboxy-emitricitabine emitricitabine-s-oxide carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-acyclovir
WWTP treatment drinking water gurdwater surface water	0.00 0.00	WWTP infiluent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil   hydroxy ibuprofen     norboxy ibuprofen   salicylic acid   3-hydroxy diclofenac     3-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac   acridone     acridone   acridin   acetaminophen glucoronide     acetaminophen sulfate   p-Aminophenol   4-acetylamino-antipyrine     paraxantine   ritalinic acid   clofbric acid     o-hydroxy atorvastatine   p.hydroxy atorvastatine   hidroxy sinvastatin acid     4-hydroxy omeprazole sulfide   shydroxy omeprazole   sulfate	WVTP influent WVTP effluent WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surface water water water water other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestratiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate carboxy-abacavir carboxy-amitricitabine emitricitabine-s-oxide carboxy-lamivudine norketamine
drinking water grundwater grundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,o-didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydrobupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitriptyline norverapamil carbamazepine 10,11-dihydroxicarbamazepine 2-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine desmethyl-diazepam desmethyl-diazepam lamotrigine-2-n-glucoronide phenylethylmalonamide n-desmethyltramadol	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	surface water wastewater other samples	00     6.00     8.00     10       norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid     3. hydroxy diclofenac       4-hydroxy diclofenac     3-hydroxy diclofenac       5-hydroxy diclofenac     actaminophen sulfate       p-Aminophenol     4-acetylamino-antipyrine       4-formylaminoantipyrine     paraxantine       ritalnic acid     clofbric acid       o-hydroxy atorvastatine     p.hydroxy atorvastatine       p.hydroxy atorvastatine     p.hydroxy omeprazole sulfide       5-hydroxy omeprazole     metropolol acid	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	Surface water wastewater other samples	B n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulforide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate carboxy-abacavir descyclopropyl-abacavir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-lamivudine norketamine clopidroel acid
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylsertraline desmethylsertraline desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion hydroxybupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitiptyline norverapamil carbamazepine 0.0,11-dihydroxicarbamazepine 2-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine temazepam nordiazepam oxazepam 3-hidroxydiazepam desmethyl-diazepam lamotrigine-2-n-glucoronide phenylethylmalonamide n-desmethyltramadol	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	4.1 Surface water wastewater	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil   hydroxy ibuprofen     norbuyi buprofen   salicylic acid   3     3-hydroxy diclofenac   4-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   5-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     acridin   acetaminophen glucoronide   acetaminophen sulfate     p-Aminophenol   4-acetylamino-antipyrine   4-formylaminoantipyrine     4-formylaminoantipyrine   paraxantine   nitalinic acid     clofbric acid   o-hydroxy atorvastatine   hydroxy omeprazole sulfide     5-hydroxy omeprazole   4-hydroxy omeprazole   4-hydroxy omeprazole     4-hydroxy ormeprazole   4-hydroxy ormeprazole   4-hydroxy ormeprazole	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP freatment drinking water groundwater	Alternative varies	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine deshydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 2-hidrox
WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	0.00 sectors o-desmethylvenlafaxine n.desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylsertraline desmethylitalopram hydroxybupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitriptyline norverapamil carbamazepine-10,11- epoxide licarbazepine 0.00 10,11-dihydroxicarbamazepine 2-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxydiazepam oxazepam 3-hidroxydiazepam amotrigine-2-n-glucoronide phenylethylmalonamide n-desmethyltramadol o-desmethyltramadol norcodeine	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water	4.1 Surface water wastewater	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil   10     hydroxy ibuprofen   carboxy ibuprofen   10     salicylic acid   3-hydroxy diclofenac   4-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   5-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   5-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     5-hydroxy diclofenac   5-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac     4-formylaminophen glucoronide   acetaminophen sulfate   9-Aminophenol     4-acetylamino-antipyrine   4-formylaminoantipyrine   9-araxantine     ritalinic acid   clofibric acid   0-hydroxy atorvastatine     p-hydroxy atorvastatina acid   4-hydroxy omeprazole sulfide     5-hydroxy omeprazole   4-hydroxy omeprazole     4-hydroxy omeprazole   4-hydroxy omeprazole     4-hydroxy orpopanolol   desmethyl-dextrophan	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water groundwater	All and a second sec	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate carboxy-abacavir descyclopropyl-abacavir carboxy-amitucitabine emitricitabine-s-oxide carboxy-amivudine norketamine clopidrgel acid valsartan acid oxypirunol
WVTP treatment drinking water gurdonoutwater surface water	0.00 0.00	WWTP infiluent WWTP feffluent WWTP treatment drinking water	auromotoria surface water warfeverater	00     6.00     8.00     10       norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid     1       3-hydroxy diclofenac     1       4-hydroxy diclofenac     1       4-hydroxy diclofenac     1       acridone     1       acetaminophen glucoronide     1       acetaminophen glucoronide     1       acetaminophen sulfate     1       p-Aminophenol     4-acetylamino-antipyrine       paraxantine     1       ritalinic acid     1       clofibric acid     0       o-hydroxy atorvastatine     1       p-hydroxy omeprazole sulfide     5       5-hydroxy omeprazole     4       hidroxy sinvastatin acid     4       4-hydroxy omeprazole     1       hidroxy omeprazole     1       hidroxy omeprazole     1       hidroxy-propanolol     1       desmethyl-dextrophan     1	WVTP Influent WVTP reatment WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	Surface water water water other samples	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfoxide n-acetyl-sulfapyridine dehydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 16α-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxyestradiol modafinil acid zolpiden phenyl-4-carboxilic ac guanylurea methylbiguanide oseltamivir carboxylate carboxy-abacavir descyclopropyl-abacavir carboxy-abacavir carboxy-amitricitabine emitricitabine-s-oxide carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-alacavir descyclopropyl-abacavir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-lamivudine norketamine clopidrgel acid oxypirunol
WWTP treatment drinking water grundwater	o-desmethylvenlafaxine n-desmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine n,n-Didesmethylvenlafaxine norfluoxetine desmethylcitalopram hydroxybupropion nortriptyline 10-hidroxy-amitriptyline norverapamil carbamazepine-10,11- epoxide licarbazepine xcarbazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine 3-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxycarbamazepine b-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxycarbamazepine b-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxycarbamazepine b-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxycarbamazepine b-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxycarbamazepine b-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxycarbamazepine b-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxycarbamazepine b-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxycarbamazepine b-hidroxycarbamazepine a-hidroxydiazepam desmethyl-diazepam lamotrigine-2-n-glucoronide phenylethylmalonamide n-desmethyltramadol o-desmethyltramadol norcodeine dihydrocodeine normorphine	WWTP Influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water drinking water	aufournation surface water wastewater other samples	00   6.00   8.00   10     norbuprenorphine   norfentanil   hydroxy ibuprofen     norbuyrenorphine   norfentanil   hydroxy ibuprofen     salicylic acid   3-hydroxy diclofenac   4-hydroxy diclofenac     4-hydroxy diclofenac   3-hydroxy diclofenac   acridin     acetaminophen sulfate   p-Aminophenol   4-acetylamino-antipyrine     4-formylaminoantipyrine   paraxantine   ritalnic acid     clofibric acid   o-hydroxy atorvastatine   phydroxy omeprazole sulfide     5-hydroxy omeprazole   sulfide   5-hydroxy omeprazole     metropolol acid   atenolol-desisopropyl   4-hidroxy-propanolol     desmethyl-dextrophan   hydrochlorothiazide   enalapril	WVTP influent WVTP effluent WVTP treatment drinking water groundwater	surface water water water wate	B n-acetyl sulfamethoxazole n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfonie desydronifepidine desmethyldiltiazem 4-hidroxyestrone 2-hidroxy-abacavir carboxy-abacavir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-acyclovir carboxy-amitricitabine emitricitabine-s-oxide carboxy-alamivudine norketamine clopidrgel acid valsartan acid oxypirunol ambroxol nandrolone
WWTP treatment drinking water grundwater	0.00 0.00	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP treatment drinking water	4.1 Surface water wastewater	00     6.00     8.00     10       norbuprenorphine norfentanil hydroxy ibuprofen carboxy ibuprofen salicylic acid     1       3-hydroxy diclofenac     1       4-hydroxy diclofenac     1       5-hydroxy diclofenac     1       4-hydroxy diclofenac     1       actaminophen glucoronide acetaminophen glucoronide acetaminophenol     1       4-acetylamino-antipyrine paraxantine ritalinic acid clofibric acid     0       0-hydroxy atorvastatine p-hydroxy atorvastatine hidroxy sinvastatin acid     1       4-hydroxy omeprazole sulfide     1       5-hydroxy omeprazole sulfide     1       9-hydroxy atorvastatine hidroxy sinvastatin acid     1       4-hydroxy omeprazole sulfide     1       9-hydroxy atorvastatine hidroxy omeprazole     1       9-hydroxy omeprazole sulfide     1	WWTP influent WWTP effluent WWTP freatment drinking water groundwater	Alternative and a second se	B n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphadiazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamerazine n-acetyl-sulphamethazine anydroerythromicine triclabendazole sulfone triclabendazole sulfone

Fig. 5 Maximum concentrations of pesticide (A) and pharmaceutical (B) transformation products found in different water compartments. The heatmaps were done with the log-transformed values (pg/L) (Tables S1 and S2). Grey squares represent situations for which no information could be found

mitotane was proven to be a selective toxicant to humans and is used as an adjuvant drug to treat adrenocortical tumours (Wajchenberg et al. 2000). Mitotane or o,p'-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane (o-p'-DDD) is a DDT metabolite and apparently the only chemical able to inhibit corticoid synthesis and at the same time destroy cortical cells (Wajchenberg et al. 2000). However, despite the therapeutic use, mitotane was already reported in the literature to have side effects at hormonal levels in patients who were treated with this compound (Daffara et al. 2008). The authors analysed the blood cells and the saliva of the patients and found that mitotane treatment was linked to the inhibition of cortisol and DHEAS (Dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate). Also, perturbations of the thyroid function were described. Moreover, for males, an inhibition of testosterone secretion was also found. However, these side effects were usually reversible with the adequate treatment. Another DDT metabolite, DDE (dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene) was reported to induce apoptosis of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, both in vitro and in vivo (Perez-Maldonado et al. 2006). The authors studied blood collected from 61 healthy children during the year 2004 and from 57 children from southern Mexico. Exposure to both DDT, DDD and DDE was found in the tested children. However, significant correlations between apoptosis and exposure to pesticides were only found for DDE blood levels, (p = 0.010 and 0.040 for 2003 and 2004, respectively). This causes great concern since DDE is the most persistent DDT metabolite and thus exposure tends to be chronic, and apoptosis of the cells could result in an impairment of the immune system (Perez-Maldonado et al. 2006). Both p,p'-DDE chloroethane and p,p'-DDD (dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane) were reported to induce DNA damage in human lymphocytes, even at low concentrations (Geric et al. 2012). In this study, in vitro human lymphocytes were exposed for 1, 6 and 24 h to p,p'-DDE (4.1  $\mu$ g/ mL) or p,p'-DDD (3.9 µg/mL) and genotoxic effects were assessed using the cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay and the comet assay. Results showed an increase in the number of cells containing micronucleus, in relation to the control, in the 24-h exposures. Also, according to the comet assay, the percentage of DNA damages increased, in relation to the control. It is important to notice that the concentrations used are in the range found in human fluids, suggesting that these effects are already occurring in humans exposed to the metabolites (Geric et al. 2012).

The metabolite 2,4-dichlorophenol, from the herbicide 2,4-D, was reported to cause effects on antioxidant enzymes

and glutathione levels in human erythrocytes in vitro (Bukowska, 2003): the activity of superoxide dismutase decreased whilst that of glutathione peroxidase increased in a dose-dependent (10-500 ppm) manner. Moreover, exposure to 250-ppm 2,4-dichlorophenol also decreased the level of reduced glutathione in erythrocytes by 32%, in relation to the control. These effects are similar, though more pronounced, to those resulting from exposure to the parental compound 2,4-D, pointing to a major need for monitoring pesticide metabolites in natural samples. Dialkylquinoneimine metabolites of chloroacetanilide herbicides like alachlor and acetochlor were reported to induce in vitro sister chromatid exchanges in human lymphocytes (Hill et al. 1997). This study was performed to test the hypothesis that the oncogenicity of chloroacetanilide herbicides previously described was caused by genotoxic intermediates, like diethylbenzoquinoneimine, an alachlor metabolite. The investigation was done with cultured human peripheral lymphocytes, mostly T cells. At 0.3-µM high variability was observed, with effects elicited by N-dealkyl-alachlor, aniline metabolites and their 4-hydroxy derivatives and diethylbenzoquinone, in only half of the cases. At 0.1–0.3 µM the ratio between treated and control cells for sister chromatid exchange was always higher in exposures to diethylbenzoquinoneimine than to dimethyl- and ethylmethylbenzoquinoneimines. The study showed that all the compounds assessed were toxic to lymphocytes and provided the first evidence that metabolites of chloroacetanilide herbicides were genotoxic to humans and could significantly affect the immune system (Hill et al. 1997). Glyphosate metabolites were also reported to have cyto- and hematotoxicity in humans. Aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) is the main metabolite of glyphosate. This transformation product is recognized to have similar levels of toxicity comparing to its parental compound, and human exposure was already described (Benachour and Séralini, 2009; Kwiatkowska et al. 2014). The embryonic kidney, HUVEC primary neonate umbilical cord vein and JEG3 placental cell lines were exposed to 18 different AMPA concentrations varying from 10 ppm to 10% for 24 h (Benachour and Séralini, 2009). The authors reported that AMPA exposure induced succinate dehydrogenase and adenylate kinase effects on human cells and thus mortality. AMPA exposure resulted in the destruction of the cell membrane, in all cell types. More recently, another study was performed to determine AMPA hematotoxicity in human erythrocytes (Kwiatkowska et al. 2014). The authors exposed human erythrocytes to 0.01-5 mM AMPA, during 1, 4 or 24 h and evaluated the exposure effects in haemolysis, haemoglobin oxidation, ROS formation and the erythrocytes morphology. Results showed that AMPA induced haemolysis at concentrations equal or higher than 0.05 mM and haemoglobin oxidation ( $\geq 0.25$  mM) after 24 h of incubation. An increase in ROS production was also registered

,		4			
Transformation product [Paren- tal compound] Reference	Concentrations	Sample	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
Pesticides Chloroacetanilide, aniline; hydroxychloroacetanilide; and diethylquinoneimine [Alachlor] (Hill et al. 1997)	0; 0.03; 0.1; and 0.3 µM	Lymphocyte cells	72 h	Oncogenicity	Induction of chromatid exchange at 0.1 μM for hydroxychloroac- etanilide, 0.3 μM for chloroac- etanilide and aniline
Mitotane [DDT] ( Daffara et al. 2008)	Distinct values for each sample	Blood cells and saliva	not applicable	Hormonal levels and organ toxicity	Inhibition of cortisol and DHEAS. Induction of thyroid function perturbations. Inhibi- tion of testosterone secretion
2–4-dichlorophenol [2–4-D] (Bukowska, 2003)	10 to 500 ppm	Blood cells	1 h	Antioxidant enzymes	Increase of superoxide dismutase and increase of gluthathione peroxidase activities
DDE [DDT] (Perez-Mal- donado et al. 2006)	Distinct values for each sample	Blood cells	not applicable	Genotoxicity	Induction of peripheral blood mononuclear cells
p-p' DDE [DDT] (Geric et al. 2012)	4.1 μg/ml	Lymphocyte cells	1; 6 and 24 h	Genotoxicity	Induction of DNA damage
p-p' DDE [DDT] (Geric et al. 2012)	3.9 μg/ml	Lymphocyte cells	1; 6 and 24 h	Genotoxicity	Induction of DNA damage
AMPA [glyphosate] (Bena- chour and Séralini, 2009)	18 concentrations from 10 ppm to 10%	Embryonic kidney HUVEC primary neonate umbilical cord vein, embry- onic kidney. and JEG3 placen- tal cell lines	24 h	Cytotoxicity	Increased cellular mortality. Destruction of the membrane of all cell types
AMPA [glyphosate] (Kwiat- kowska et al. 2014))	0.01–5 mM	Erythrocytes	1, 4 and 24 h	Haemolysis, haemoglobin oxidation, ROS formation and morphology	Induction of haemolysis (0.05 to 5 mM) and haemoglobin oxida- tion (0.25 to 5 mM) at 24-h incubation. Increase in ROS production at concentrations starting from 0.25 Mm
Methylsulphonic acid [glypho- sate] (Kwiatkowska et al. 2014))	0.01–5 mM	Erythrocytes	1, 4 and 24 h	Haemolysis, haemoglobin oxidation, ROS formation and morphology	Induction of haemolysis (0.1 to 5 mM) and haemoglobin oxidation (0.5 to 5 mM) at 24-h incubation. Increase in ROS production at 0.5 and 5 mM
Pharmaceuticals Gemfibrozil 1-O-β- glucoro- nide [gemfibrozil] (Ogilvie et al. 2006)	0.25 to 64 µM	Liver microsomes	2 to 40 min	CYP2C8 activity	Potent inhibitor of CYP2C8

Table 1 Toxicological studies about the human health risks of pesticide and pharmaceutical transformation products

levels of extracellular matrix

Table 1 (continued)					
Transformation product [Paren- tal compound] Reference	Concentrations	Sample	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
2-hidroxyestrone and 16-α hydroxyestrone [estrogens] (Eliassen et al. 2008)	not applicable	Blood cells	not applicable	Genotoxicity and mitogenicity	Levels of 2-hydroxyestrone, and the ratio between 2-hydrox- yestrone and $16-\alpha$ hydrox- yestrone were linked with certain types of breast cancer tumours in woman
Morphine-3-glucoronide [mor- phine] (Dozio et al. 2022)	1, 10 and 100 μM	Astrocytes	12, 24, 48 and 96 h	Proteomics	96-h exposure lead to dysregula- tion of biological pathways linked with extracellular matrix organization, antigen presenta- tion, cell adhesion and gluta- mate homeostasis
Morphine-6-glucoronide [mor- phine] (Dozio et al. 2022)	1, 10 and 100 µM	Astrocytes	12, 24, 48 and 96 h	Proteomics	Acute exposure increased the levels of proteins involved in cell adhesion and decreased the

Page 13 of 35 14

at concentrations starting from 0.25 mM. The same study also investigated the hematotoxic effects of other glyphosate metabolite: methylphosphonic acid. The results were similar to those obtained for AMPA, although at a different concentration range. Induction of haemolysis and haemoglobin oxidation occurred at concentrations  $\geq 0.1$  and 0.5 mM, respectively. In addition, ROS production was found at concentrations  $\geq 0.5$  mM (Kwiatkowska et al. 2014).

Pharmaceutical metabolites are not usually expected to represent an exposure concern to humans. However, biotransformation and detoxification reactions can lead to the formation of active pharmaceutical metabolites potentially more toxic than the respective parental compounds (Celiz et al. 2009). For example, gemfibrozil 1-O-β-glucuronide, the major gemfibrozil metabolite, was found to be a more potent inhibitor of CYP2C8 than the parental compound in human liver microsomes (Ogilvie et al. 2006). Also, Ogilvie and colleagues found that gemfibrozil glucuronide, contrarily to the parental compound gemfibrozil, was found to be a CYP2C8 selective inhibitor acting in a metabolismdependent way. To depict such differences, the authors evaluated both the parental compound and its main metabolites as inhibitors of the main drug metabolizing CYP450 enzymes (CYP1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 3A4) in human liver microsomes. Compounds inhibiting the activity of the CYP450 complex can affect the metabolism of other drugs and lead to accumulation and potential toxic effects, exerting an undesired effect in the exposed person (Ogilvie et al. 2006). In fact, the chemical reactivity of glucuronide metabolites has been linked with toxic properties. These metabolites can reach appreciable concentrations in human tissues and blood. They can also undergo hydrolysis and pH-dependent intramolecular acyl migration, irreversibly reacting with human tissues. This can cause chemical alterations leading to drug toxicity expressed by alterations in functional properties of the modified molecules or hypersensitivity and other immunotoxic reactions (Shipkova et al. 2003).

Pharmaceutical endocrine disruptors have been linked to several adverse effects on human health (Safe 2000). A wide range of parental compounds have been associated with hazardous effects on human reproduction and cancer development, amongst others, and metabolites are not excluded. Estrogen metabolites are reported as possible mitogenic and genotoxic substances. Investigating blood samples collected between 1989 and 1990 in subjects taking oestrogens and in controls not taking them, Eliassen et al. (2008) found a significant positive association in women of the plasma levels of 2-hydroxyestrone, and the ratio between 2-hydroxyestrone and 16- $\alpha$  hydroxyestrone, with certain types of breast cancer tumours. The authors recognized, nevertheless, the need for replicating the study and increasing research about the relationship between estrogen metabolites and estrogen and progesterone receptors related to breast tumours. Morphine is a strong painkiller, which is widely prescribed worldwide. However, this opiate was described to be potentially toxic to humans, not only the parental compound but also its metabolites' morphine-3-glucoronide and morphine-9-glucoronide (Dozio et al. 2022). In a recent study, Dozio and colleagues performed a deep proteomic study in human astrocytes to investigate the role of central nervous system glial cells in the mechanisms originating the side effects of morphine administration in humans. For that, they exposed astrocytes during 12, 24, 48 and 96 h to 1-, 10- and 100-µM morphine, morphine-3-glucoronide and morphine-6-glucoronide. The proteomic analysis showed the 96-h exposure to morphine-3-glucoronide lead to dysregulation of biological pathways linked with extracellular matrix organization, antigen presentation, cell adhesion and glutamate homeostasis. For morphine-6-glucoronide (12-24-h exposure), increased levels of proteins involved in cell adhesion and decreased levels of extracellular matrix were observed.

#### **Aquatic Biota**

#### **Transformation Products of Pesticides**

Knowledge about toxic effects caused by pesticide transformation products is still sparse, compared to parental compounds. Studies available in the scientific literature are presented in Table 2.

One of the most controversial pesticides is DDT, which was reported to cause health issues to humans and living organisms in general. Moreover, studies are available in the literature linking exposure to DDT metabolites to negative effects on the health of aquatic organisms. Donohoe and Curtis (1996) injected juvenile rainbow trout with o,p'-DDT, o,p'-DDE or p,p'-DDE with doses ranging from 5 to 30 mg/ kg at 0, 14 and 28 days and sampling was done at 14 and/ or 42 days. They reported that o,p'-DDT and o,p'-DDE had estrogenic activity, because of the elevated plasma vitellogenin levels they can elicit in vivo and their interaction with hepatic estrogenic binding sites (Donohoe and Curtis, 1996). A study conducted in freshwater amphipods (Hyalella azteca and Diporeia spp.) reported that the metabolites DDD and DDE are less lethal than DDT (Lotufo et al. 2000). Hyalella azteca and Diporeia spp. were exposed to a wide range of concentrations of DDD for 10 days and DDT and DDE for 28 days. Besides mortality, median lethal residue (LR50), mean effect concentration (EC50) and mean effect residue (ER50) in tissues were also assessed. Although metabolites were less lethal, mortality of *H. azteca* was significantly higher in DDD and DDE treatments than in the control at 0.692 µg/L and 2.258 µg/L, respectively (Lotufo et al. 2000). This raises high concern, once concentrations of DDD in this range have already been reported in freshwater ecosystems.

The endocrine-disrupting activity of o,p'-DDE was also evaluated more recently (Davis et al. 2009). In this study, the authors investigated the effects of this metabolite and other compounds on the expression of the vitellogenin gene from the tilapia Oreochromis mossambicus and the growth hormone insulin-like growth factor-I axis. Injection of 100 µg/g o,p'-DDE in fish increased the expression of vitellogenin A and B, as well as the transcription of estrogen receptors  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  and the expression of the putative somatolactin receptor and insulin-like growth factor (Davis et al. 2009). This once again reinforces the potential endocrine disruption that DDT metabolites may cause in freshwater fish. As previously mentioned, metabolites of triazine herbicides are amongst the most frequently found in freshwater systems. Moreover, there is evidence in the literature linking these substances to negative effects on living organisms. The main degradation product of diuron is 3,4-dichloroaniline for which the toxic potential towards freshwater organisms is described in the literature. In zebrafish, a sub-chronic exposure (11 days) to this metabolite caused deformations at  $\geq 0.25$  mg/l, whilst locomotor activity and mortality were impaired at  $\geq$  0.5 mg/l (Scheil et al. 2009). A recent work investigated the effects of 3,4-dichloroaniline on biotransformation enzymes and the oxidative stress response in the liver and gills of the Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) (Felicio et al. 2018). The authors found that in fish exposed for seven days to 40 and 200 ng/L the levels of several biotransformation and antioxidant enzymes were altered often in a non-monotonic response, except for ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase (EROD) activity that exhibited a dose-dependent increase. Moreover, the multixenobiotic resistance (MXR) activity and the activity of glutathione S-transferase (GST) enzymes were decreased in gills after exposure to 3-4-dichloroaniline. Because the MXR mechanism is crucial for the protection of aquatic organisms against xenobiotics aggression (Ferreira et al. 2014), this suggests that exposure to this metabolite is endangering the health of fish and the contaminated aquatic systems. A reduction in this mechanism can lead to higher susceptibility of animals to xenobiotics by impairing homeostatic processes.

The acute and chronic toxicity of deethylatrazine and deisopropylatrazine, metabolites of atrazine, were investigated in two amphipod species and in the microalgae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* (Ralston-Hooper et al. 2009). *Hyalella azteca* and *Diporeia* spp. were exposed to concentrations ranging from 0.55 to 15 mg/L for 96 h and from 0.03 to 3000 µg/L for 21 days. Results showed the median lethal concentrations (LC50) and median growth inhibition concentration (IC50) for algae were  $\geq 1.5$  mg/L, i.e. higher than the levels found in the environment (Ralston-Hooper et al. 2009). In a recent study, marbled crayfish (*Procambarus fallax* f. *virginalis*) were exposed for 62 days to four concentrations of terbuthylazine-2-hydroxy: 0.75 µg/L

	ī				
Transformation product [paren- tal compound]	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
Reference					
o-p' DDT [DDT] (Donohoe and Curtis, 1996)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	0, 0.1, 1, 5, 10 and 30 mg/kg	42 days	Determination of vitellogenin levels	Increased levels of vitellogenin in plasma and interaction with hepatic estrogenic binding sites in vivo
o-p' DDE [DDT] (Donohoe and Curtis, 1996)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	0, 0.1, 1, 5, 10 and 30 mg/kg	42 days	Determination of vitellogenin levels	Increased levels of vitellogenin in plasma and interaction with hepatic estrogenic binding sites in vivo
p-p' DDE [DDT] (Donohoe and Curtis, 1996)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	0, 0.1, 1, 5, 10 and 30 mg/kg	42 days	Determination of vitellogenin levels	No differences found in vitel- logenin levels, relative to controls
DDD [DDT] (Lotufo et al. 2000)	Hyalella azteca	0.095, 0.178, 0.366, 0.692 and 1.381 µg/L	10 days	Mortality and lethal residues in tissues	DDD was less lethal than the parental compound (DDT) but its lethality was higher than that of the control at > 0.69 µg/L
	Diporeia spp.	0.944, 2.791, 7.420 and 17.056 µg/L	28 days		No significant effects found
DDE [DDT] (Lotufo et al. 2000)	Hyalella azteca	1.117, 2.258, 4.947, 8.208 and 22.021 µg/L	10 days	Mortality and lethal residues in tissues	DDE was less lethal than the parental compound (DDT) but its lethality was higher than that of the control at > 2.258 µg/L
	Diporeia spp.	2.293, 4.726, 9.141 and 20.194 µg/L	28 days		No significant effects found
o-p' DDE [DDT] (Davis et al. 2009)	Oreochromis mossambicus	5 µg/g	35 days	Determination of Vitellogenin levels and hormone/insulin-	Increase in plasma levels of insulin growth factor
		100 µg/g	5 days	like growth factor i-axis	Increase in expression of both vitellogenin A and B, estrogen receptors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and also in insulin growth factor
3-4-dichloroaniline [diuron] (Scheil et al. 2009)	Danio rerio	0.005, 0.01, 0.1 0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/L	8 and 11 days	Mortality and locomotor activity	Locomotor activity and mortal- ity were impaired at $\geq 0.5 \text{ mg/l}$
		0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2 and 0.2 5 mg/L	168 h	Hsp70 levels	A significant increase in rela- tion to control was found at 0.25 mg/L
		0.5, 0.7, 1, 1.5 and 2 mg/L	11 days	Embryonic and larval develop- ment	By-product caused larvae deformations at $\geq 0.25 \text{ mg/l}$

Transformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
3-4-dichloroaniline [diuron] (Felicio et al. 2018)	Oreochromis niloticus	40 and 200 ng/L	7 days	Antioxidant and biotransforma- tion biomarkers	By-product caused significant alterations in antioxidant and biotransformation biomarkers, with ethoxyresorufin-O-deeth- ylase (EROD) activity showing a dose-dependent response
Deethylatrazine [atrazine] (Ralston-Hooper et al. 2009)	Hyalella azteca	550, 1000, 2500, 5000, 10,000, 15000 µg/L	96 h, 21 and 42 days	Mortality and sex ratio	LC50 values were 5100 µg/L at 96 h and higher than 3000 µg/L at 21 days; no change in the sex ratio was found
	Diporeia spp.	0.03, 0.3, 3, 30, 300, 3000 µg/L	96 h, 21 and 42 days	Mortality and sex ratio	LC50 values were 7200 µg/L at 96 h and higher than 3000 µg/L at 21 days; no change in the sex ratio was found
	Pseudokirchneriella subcapi- tata	No reported	96 h	Growth inhibition	Growth inhibition occurred at concentrations > 2000 µg/L
Deisopropylatrazine [atrazine] (Ralston-Hooper et al. 2009)	Hyalella azteca	550, 1000, 2500, 5000, 10,000, 15000 µg/L	96 h, 21 and 42 days	Mortality and sex ratio	LC50 values were > 3000 μg/L at 96 h and 330 μg/L at 21 days; no change in the sex ratio was found
	Diporeia spp.	0.03, 0.3, 3, 30, 300, 3000 µg/L	96 h, 21 and 42 days	Mortality and sex ratio	LC50 values were > 3000 μg/L at 96 h and 300ug/L at 21 days; no change in the sex ratio was found
	Pseudokirchneriella subcapi- tata	No reported	96 h	Growth inhibition	Growth inhibition occurred for concentrations higher than 3000 µg/L
Therbuthylazine-2-hydroxy [therbuthylazine] (Koutnik et al. 2017)	Procambarus fallax f. virgin- alis	0.75, 75, 375 and 750 µg/L	62 days	Mortality, growth, oxida- tive balance, antioxidant defences, ontogeny and histology	Lower weight at 75 µg/L; delayed ontogenic develop- ment and lowered antioxidant defences in exposed animals

Table 2 (continued)

Transformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
Desethyl-terbuthylazine [ther- buthylazine] (Velisek et al. 2016)	Cyprinus carpio	1.80, 180, 900 and 1800 µg/L	7, 14, 20, 27 and 31 days	Growth, LC50, histology, oxi- dative stress, mortality	LC50 of 441.6 $\mu$ g/L at 31 days; lower weight and length in fish exposed to 1800 $\mu$ g/L for 7 days and 900 $\mu$ g/L for 20 days; delayed ontogenetic development at > 1.8 $\mu$ g/L; decreased antioxidant enzyme activity in all concentrations
AMPA [glyphosate] (Guil- herme et al. 2014)	Anguilla anguilla	11.8 and 23. µg/L	1 and 3 days	DNA and chromosome damage	Significant genotoxic effect in relation to control group
Fiprunil sulphide and sulfone [fipronil] (Weston and Lydy, 2014)	14 macroinvertebrate species	4 - 7 concentration steps separated by a factor of 2	48 and 96 h	Mortality and ability to swim, cling or crawl, depending on the species	Mean 96-h EC50 of 7 – 10 ng/L
Fiprunil sulphide [fipronil] (Gong et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	0.1 to 10 mg/L	72 h	Mortality and oxidative stress	LC50=0.36 mg/L. Significant decreased of SOD activity at 5 mg/L
	Chlorella pyrenoidosa			Algae growth inhibition rate; content of pigment	EC50: 0.10 mg/L; chlorophyll content significantly decreased in dose–response relationship;
Fiprunil sulfone [fipronil] (Gong et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	0.1 to 10 mg/L	72 h	Mortality and oxidative stress	LC50=0.21 mg/L. Significant decreased of SOD activity at 5 mg/L
	Chlorella pyrenoidosa			Algae growth inhibition rate; content of pigment	EC50: 0.13 mg/L; chlorophyll content significantly decreased in dose–response relationship;
Fiprunil desulfinyl [fipronil] (Gong et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	0.1 to 10 mg/L	72 h	Mortality and oxidative stress	LC50 = 1.13 mg/L. Significant decreased of SOD activity at 5 mg/L
	Chlorella pyrenoidosa			Algae growth inhibition rate; content of pigment	EC50: 0.43 mg/L; chlorophyll content significantly decreased in dose response relation- ship;
Metolachlor OXA [metola- chlor] (Velisek et al. 2018)	Procambarus fallax f. virgin- alis	4.2, 42 and 420 µg/L	45 days	Growth rate, behaviour, oxida- tive stress, histology and mortality	Decreased growth and activity of antioxidant enzymes in all tested concentrations; delayed ontogenetic development and lower levels of reduced glu- tathione and linid neroxidation

Table 2 (continued)

Transformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
Metolachlor OXA [metola- chlor] (Rozmánková et al. 2020)	Danio rerio	1, 30, 100 and 300 μg/L (single exposure); 1 and 30 μg/L (mixture)	120 h	Mortality, hatching success, embryonic malformations, locomotion, spontaneous movements, heartbeat and gene expression	Increased craniofacial, non- inflated gas bladder and yolk sac malformations at $100 \mu g/L$ or higher. Induction of $p53$ gene at $100 \mu g/L$
Metolachlor ESA [metolachlor] (Rozmánková et al. 2020)	Danio rerio	1, 30, 100 and 300 μg/L (single exposure); 1 and 30 μg/L (mixture)	120 h	Mortality, hatching success, embryonic malformations, locomotion, spontaneous movements, heartbeat and gene expression	Increased craniofacial, non- inflated gas bladder and yolk sac malformations at 100 $\mu g/L$ or higher. Induction of p53 gene at 100 $\mu g/L$ . Induction of p53 and thyroid system regula- tion (dio2, thra, thrb) at 30 and 1 $\mu g/L$ , respectively
3-trifluoromethyl-4-aminophe- nol [3-trifluoromethyl-4-ni- trophenol] Huerta et al. 2020)	Petromyzon marinus	0, 5, 50 and 200 µM	Undefined exposure time	Respiratory control ratio, mitochondrial oxygen con- sumption and mitochondrial transmembrane potential	No significant effects found
4-nitro-3-methyl-phenol [3-tri- fluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol] (Huerta et al. 2020)	Petromyzon marinus	0, 5, 50 and 200 µM	Undefined exposure time	Respiratory control ratio, mitochondrial oxygen con- sumption and mitochondrial transmembrane potential	No significant effects found
4-amino-3-methylphenol [3-tri- fluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol] (Huerta et al. 2020)	Petromyzon marinus	0, 5, 50 and 200 µM	Undefined exposure time	Respiratory control ratio, mitochondrial oxygen con- sumption and mitochondrial transmembrane potential	Decreased respiratory control ratio at 50 µM; decreased oxy- gen consumption at 200 µM
4-nitroso-3-methyl-phenol [3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitro- phenol] (Huerta et al. 2020)	Petromyzon marinus	0, 5, 50 and 200 µM	Undefined exposure time	Respiratory control ratio, mitochondrial oxygen con- sumption and mitochondrial transmembrane potential	No significant effects found
3-phenoxybenzyl alcohol [per- methrin] (Hernández-Moreno et al. 2022)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	0.78, 3.15, 12.5, 50 and 100 mg/L	96 h	Mortality	Moderately toxic (LC50=1.93 mg/L)
Benzenesulfonamide [asulam] (Hernández-Moreno et al. 2022)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	0.78, 3.15, 12.5, 50 and 100 mg/L	96 h	Mortality	Non-toxic (LC50>100 mg/L)
benzimidazol [carbendazim] (Hernández-Moreno et al. 2022)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	0.78, 3.15, 12.5, 50 and 100 mg/L	96 h	Mortality	Slightly toxic (LC50=66.19 mg/L)

Table 2 (continued)

Table 2 (continued)					
Transformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
cyanoacetamide [DBNPA] (Hernández-Moreno et al. 2022)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	0.78, 3.15, 12.5, 50 and 100 mg/L	96 h	Mortality	Slightly toxic (LC50=68 mg/L)
cis-2,6-dimethylmorpholine [fenpropimorph] (Hernández- Moreno et al. 2022)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	0.78, 3.15, 12.5, 50 and 100 mg/L	96 h	Mortality	Non-toxic (LC50>100 mg/L)
ethiprole sulfone [ethiprole] (Gao et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	100, 300, 800, 2000, 5000 µg/L	4 days	Mortality; oxidative stress; development	LC50 value was 1750 µg/L; induction of antioxidant enzymes and the developmen- tal anomalies at 100 µg/L
ethiprole sulphide [ethiprole] (Gao et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	100, 110, 120, 150, 180 μg/L	4 days	Mortality; oxidative stress; development	LC50 value was 111 μg/L; induction of antioxidant enzymes and the developmen- tal anomalies at 10 μg/L or higher
rac-ethiprole amide [ethiprole] (Gao et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	100, 500, 2500, 10,000, 50,000 μg/L	4 days	Mortality; oxidative stress; development	LC50 > 50,000 µg/L
ethiprole sulfone amide [ethip- role] (Gao et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	100, 500, 2500, 10,000, 50000 µg/L	4 days	Mortality; oxidative stress; development	LC50 > 50,000 µg/L
desethylsulfinyl ethiprole [ethiprole] (Gao et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	500, 800, 1500, 2500, 5000 µg/L	4 days	Mortality; oxidative stress; development	LC50=1728 µg/L

(environmentally relevant), 75, 375 and 750 µg/L (Koutnik et al. 2017). Antioxidant defences, oxidative balance, histology, early ontogeny, growth and mortality were the parameters assessed to depict possible effects of this metabolite. Concentrations over 75 µg/L caused lower weight compared to the control group. The outcome of the study showed that terbuthylazine-2-hydroxy delayed ontogenetic development. Also, levels of thiobarbituric acid and antioxidant enzymes were significantly (p < 0.01) lower in groups exposed to the metabolite. This shows the potential danger of this metabolite to freshwater species, although the alterations found occurred in the groups exposed to non-environmental concentrations (Koutnik et al. 2017). The toxicity of terbuthylazine-desethyl, another metabolite of triazine herbicides, was assessed in the early stages of development of the common carp (Cyprinus carpio) (Velisek et al. 2016). Carp embryos were exposed to 1.80 µg/L (environmentally relevant), 180 µg/L, 900 µg/L and 1800 µg/L and samples were collected on days 7, 14, 20, 27 and 31. The 31d LC50 of terbuthylazine-desethyl was estimated to be 441.6 µg/L. Animals also exhibited lower weight and length at 7 (1800  $\mu$ g/L) and 20 (900 µg/L) days of exposure. Terbuthylazine-desethyl at non-environmental concentrations also delayed the ontogenetic development, in relation to control. However, antioxidant enzyme activity was significantly lower in all test concentrations, including the environmentally relevant one, indicating that contamination by this metabolite should be compromising feral aquatic populations.

The main metabolite of glyphosate, AMPA is one of the most controversial pesticides nowadays, due to its potential hazard to wildlife and human populations. Moreover, AMPA by itself was reported as hazardous to Anguilla anguilla by Guilherme et al. (2014). The eels were exposed for 1 and 3 days to environmentally relevant concentrations (11.8 and 23.6  $\mu$ g/L) and genotoxicity was investigated by assessing damage to DNA through the Comet assay and erythrocytic nuclear abnormalities. These results showed a genotoxic effect of AMPA at concentrations already found in aquatic systems. About organophosphates, a recent study was conducted with the parasitic sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) to address possible effects on cardiac mitochondrial bioenergetics of the lampricide 3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol and its metabolite 3-trifluoromethyl-4-aminophenol, as well as 4-nitro-3-methyl-phenol (Huerta et al. 2020). The latter has a similar molecular structure and is a known transformation product of fenitrothion and its metabolites 4-amino-3-methylphenol and 4-nitroso-3-methyl-phenol. Mitochondria were extracted from the hearts of animals captured on the great lakes and incubated with 0, 5 and 50 µM of the test compounds to assess the respiratory control ratio and mitochondrial oxygen consumption or with 0, 5, 50 and 200 µM to assess the mitochondrial transmembrane potential. Results showed that 4-amino-3-methylphenol significantly lowered the respiratory control ratio (88% at 50  $\mu$ M) and oxygen consumption by 64% (at 200  $\mu$ M and with the addition of high concentrations of ADP) and by 45% (at 200  $\mu$ M and addition of substrate for complex II). At last, for mitochondrial transmembrane potential, none of the tested transformation products caused significant alterations.

Fipronil is a phenylpyrazole insecticide with crescent use in urban areas. The toxicity of its sulphide and sulfone metabolites was not recognized until 2014 when Weston and Ludy carried out a study determining EC50 values for 14 macroinvertebrate species. Results indicated a mean 96 h EC50 of 7-10 ng/L for fipronil metabolites in Chironomus dilutus (Weston and Lydy 2014). The same study also reported that creeks receiving urban stormwater run-off in California contained metabolite concentrations twice the EC50 found for C. dilutus and approximately one-third of the EC50 found for other aquatic macroinvertebrates (Weston and Lydy 2014). A recent study evaluated the toxicity of different fipronil metabolites: fipronil sulphide, fipronil sulphone and fipronil desulfinyl (Gong et al. 2021). In this work, the authors analysed the effects of 72-h exposure to these metabolites at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 mg/L on zebrafish embryos and the green algae Chlorella pyrenoidosa. In zebrafish, LC50 values of 0.36, 0.31 and 1.13 mg/L were found for fipronil sulphide, sulfone and desulfinyl, respectively. Moreover, at 5 mg/L all metabolites significantly increased SOD activity, in relation to control. In C. pyrenoidosa growth inhibition, EC50 values of 0.10, 0.13 and 0.43 mg/L were found for fipronil sulphide, sulfone and desulfinyl, respectively. The metabolites investigated also caused a significant decrease in chlorophyll content, in relation to control, in a dose-response manner (Gong et al. 2021).

Metabolites of chloroacetanilide herbicides are highly prevalent in aquatic ecosystems, mainly in oxalinic and endosulfonic acid forms. Metolachlor OXA was reported to negatively affect the early life stages of marbled crayfish (Velisek et al. 2018). Animals were exposed for 45 days to 4.2  $\mu$ g/L (environmentally relevant), 42  $\mu$ g/L and 420  $\mu$ g/L and several endpoints were assessed. Metolachlor OXA caused significantly lower growth and decreased activity of antioxidant enzymes at all tested concentrations. The highest tested concentrations delayed ontogenetic development and decreased the levels of reduced glutathione and lipid peroxidation (Velisek et al. 2018). More recently, a study was performed to evaluate the impacts of single and combined exposure of metolachlor and its metabolites metolachlor ESA and metolachlor OXA on zebrafish embryos (Rozmánková et al. 2020). In this study, zebrafish embryos were exposed for 120 h to 1, 30, 100 and 300  $\mu$ g/L of the single compounds or to 1 and 30 µg/L of a compound mixture and sublethal endpoints such as malformations, hatching rate, larval length, spontaneous movements, heartbeat and locomotion, as well as expression levels of eight genes linked to different critical pathways, were monitored. Increased craniofacial, noninflated gas bladder and yolk sac malformations at 100 µg/L or higher were reported for both metabolites. For metolachlor OXA, a significant induction of p53 gene was found at 100 µg/L, compared to control, whilst for metolachlor ESA, a significant induction of p53 gene at 30 and 100 µg/L and thyroid system regulation (dio2, thra, thrb) was observed at 1  $\mu$ g/L, in comparison to the control group. The disruption of the thyroid system represented a plausible danger for population maintenance, since it occurred at low environmental concentrations (Rozmánková et al. 2020). A recent study evaluated the acute toxicity of several biocide metabolites using the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) as a test model (Hernández-Moreno et al. 2022). The author exposed juvenile trout according to OECD TG203, for 96 h to 0.78, 3.15, 12.5, 50 and 100 mg/L of the following metabolites: 3-phenoxybenzyl alcohol, benzenesulfonamide, benzimidazole, cyanoacetamide and cis-2,6-dimethylmorpholine. The most toxic metabolite was 3-phenoxybenzyl alcohol, with an LC50 value of 1.93 mg/L, considered moderately toxic by the authors. Benzimidazole and cyanoacetamide with LC50 values of 66.19 and 68 mg/L, respectively, were reported as slightly toxic, whilst benzenesulfonamide and cis-2.6-dimethylmorpholine with LC50 values higher than 100 mg/L were considered non-toxic (Hernández-Moreno et al. 2022).

Ethiprole is a non-systemic phenylpyrazole compound widely used as an insecticide. Recently, a study was performed to evaluate zebrafish embryotoxicity and effects on antioxidant enzymes (catalase, CAT and superoxide dismutase, SOD, activities) and oxidative stress (lipid peroxidation) of its main metabolites, *i.e.* ethiprole sulfone, ethiprole sulphide, ethiprole amide, ethiprole sulfone amide and desethylsulfinyl ethiprole (Gao et al. 2021). Results showed that only ethiprole sulfone and sulphide had effects on antioxidant defences and embryonic development. Ethiprole sulfone had an LC50 value of 1750 µg/L, induced antioxidant enzymes and increased developmental anomalies at 100  $\mu$ g/L. Ethiprole sulphide had an LC50 value of 111 µg/L, induced antioxidant enzymes and increased developmental anomalies at 10 µg/L or higher. Rac-ethiprole amide and ethiprole sulfone amide had LC50 values higher than 5000 µg/L, whilst the LC50 value for desethylsulfinyl ethiprole was 1728 µg/L (Gao et al. 2021).

#### **Transformation Products of Pharmaceuticals**

Nowadays, one main challenge to the scientific community is to understand the effects of these substances on non-target organisms. There are, already, several reports about this topic. However, knowledge about the toxic effects caused by pharmaceutical transformation products is still scarce. A summary of the works found in the literature is shown in Table 3.

As mentioned above, metabolites can be formed during wastewater treatment in WWTPs. In fact, this situation is reported for photodegradation products of both prednisone and dexamethasone (DellaGreca et al. 2004). In this study, photoproducts of both pharmaceuticals were isolated, from an initial solution of 100 mL of both compounds mixed with 500 mL of water and their toxicity to different species was evaluated: the rotifer Brachionus calyciflorus and the crustaceans Thamnocephalus platyurus and Daphnia magna for acute toxicity and the microalgae Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata and the crustacean Ceriodaphnia dubia for chronic toxicity. Acute assays lasted for 24 h and were based on mortality (LC50). In chronic assays, growth inhibition was the endpoint assessed for algae (72-h duration) and population growth was the endpoint for C. dubia (7-day duration). Some photodegradation products of prednisone and dexamethasone were found to be more toxic than the parental compounds. However, the LC50 values obtained by the authors were considerably higher than the concentrations generally found in surface waters. The chronic exposures decreased the population growth in C. dubia (DellaGreca et al. 2004). A similar study was conducted for the non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug naproxen and its photodegradation products (Isidori et al. 2005). In this work, acute toxicity tests were conducted with B. calyciflorus, T. platyurus and C. dubia. Chronic toxicity was assessed (reproduction and/or growth) in B. calyciflorus, C. dubia and the microalgae P. subcapitata. Results showed that photodegradation products were more acutely toxic than the parental compound, although at levels (mg/L range) well above those found in freshwater systems. Chronic exposure reduced the population growth in C. dubia at low concentrations ( $\mu$ g/L) for some photoproducts (Isidori et al. 2005). This situation warns of the need to improve treatment methodologies, for better removal of both the parental compounds and their transformation products. A more recent study also reported that diclofenac metabolites formed through UV photolysis treatments were more toxic than their parental compound (Diniz et al. 2015) (Table 2).

Lienert and colleagues (2007) developed a study where the ecotoxicological risk of 42 pharmaceuticals and their metabolites was evaluated. In the study, both parental compounds and their respective metabolites were treated as a mixture of toxicants of similar action. When relevant data were not available in the literature, the authors estimated them from quantitative structure–activity relationships (QSAR). Moreover, from their known pharmaceutical information, they figured out the removal efficiency of these contaminants from urine. The results of this evaluation showed that mixtures of ibuprofen and its metabolites could represent an ecotoxicological risk for aquatic organisms.

)	•		•		
Transformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
Prednisone, dexamethasone and their undisclosed photodegradation products [prednisone, dexa- methasone] (Della Greca et al. 2004)	Brachionus calyciflorus	5 different test concentrations without known value. Results are reported as median effec- tive concentrations in ppm	24 h	Mortality	5-prednisone and 2-dexametha- sone photoderivates had lower LC50 values than parent com- pounds but at levels not found in environmental samples (mg/L range)
	Thamnocephalus platyurus		24 h	Mortality	All photoderivates had lower LC50 values than parental compounds (higher toxicity), but at non environmentally relevant concentrations (>710 ppm)
	Daphnia magna		24 h	Mortality	All photoderivates had lower EC50 values than parental compounds (higher toxicity), but at non environmentally relevant concentrations (mg/L range)
	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata		72 h	Growth inhibition	Toxic effects similar to those found for the other species, except Ceriodaphnia dubia
	Ceriodaphnia dubia		7 days	Population growth	Both the photoderivatives of pred- nisolone and dexamethasone showed higher toxic effects on <i>C. dubia</i> growth after 7 days

Table 3 Ecotoxicological studies about the effects of pharmaceutical transformation products on freshwater species

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$  Springer

Table 3 (continued)					
Transformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
naproxen and its undisclosed photodegradation products [naproxen](Isidori et al. 2005)	Brachionus calyciflorus	Concentration values are not given. All test solutions were dissolved in DMSO (0.01% v/v). 5 different concentration were tested, as well as, a negative control	24 /48 h	Mortality and reproduction	All photoderivates had lower LC50 values than parental com- pounds, but at levels not found in environmental samples (mg/L range) for acute assay. In the chronic reproduction assay only one photoderivate was less toxic than the parental compound
	Thamnocephalus platyurus		24 h	Mortality	All photoderivates had lower LC50 values than parental compounds, but at levels not found in environmental samples (mg/L range)
	Ceriodaphnia dubia		24 h and 7 days	Mortality and reproduction	All photoderivates had lower LC50 values than parental com- pounds, but at levels not found in environmental samples (mg/L range). For reproduction, only one photoderivate was less toxic than the parental drug
	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata		96 h	Growth	All photoderivatives of naproxen showed higher toxic effects on <i>P. subcapitata</i> growth
diclofenac, ketoprofen, atenolol and their photodegrada- tion products (undisclosed) [diclofenac, ketoprofen, ateno- lol] (Diniz et al. 2015)	Danio rerio	1 mg/L	7 days	Oxidative stress	Diclofenac metabolites formed through UV photolysis treat- ments were more toxic than their parental compounds. Activity of antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation levels were higher for by-products than the parental drugs. Overall, oxidative stress response causing toxicity was observed for all pharmaceuticals and by-products

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$  Springer

Transformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
norfluoxetine [fluoxetine] (Stan- ley et al. 2007)	Pimephales prome las	1 to 250 µg/L	7 days	survival and growh	The authors related higher toxicity in fish exposed to s-fluoxetine, which in mammals is expected to be more potent than R-nor- fluoxetine
	Daphnia magna	10 to 1000 μg/L	21 days	immobilization, reproduction and grazing rate	No observed effects
Norfluoxetine [fluoxetine] (Fong and Molnar, 2008)	Dreissena polymorpha	100 nM to 50 µM	4 h	spawning	Increased spawning in zebra mus- sels at 1–50 µM
	Mytilopsis leucophaeata	100 nM to 50 µM	4 h	spawning	Increased spawning in zebra mus- sels at 1–50 µM
	Sphaerium striatinum	100 nM to 10 µM	4 h	parturition	Significant increase in parturition induced at 10 µM
norfluoxetine [fluoxetine] (Rod- rigues et al. 2020)	Danio rerio	0.64, 3.2, 16, 80 and 400 ng/L	80 h	Embryonic development, gene expression and sensorimotor responses	Increase of embryonic anomalies in relation to control, mainly for pigmentation. No effects found for gene expression and senso- motory response
Norfluoxetine [fluoxetine] (Atzei et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	0.03 to 10 µM	5 days	Embryonic development, gene expression and light/dark movement	Inhibition of light/dark, zebrafish locomotory activity, mainly in dark. Responses followed a dose-response relationship
norfluoxetine [fluoxetine] (Rod- rigues et al. 2022)	Danio rerio	400 ng/L	80 h	Embryonic development and gene expression	Increase in pigmentation anoma- lies of embryos and larvae, rela- tive to the parental compound
n-desmethylsertraline [sertra- line] (Lajeunesse et al. 2011)	Salvelinus fontinalis	WWTP water samples (undis- closed concentrations)	3 months	Tissue bioaccumulation and Na/K-ATPase activity	Bioaccumulation in several tis- sues, including (brain and liver). Na/K-ATPase activity negatively correlated with brain bioaccu- mulation desmethylsertraline- exposed brain tissue
o-desmethylvenlafaxine [venla- faxine] (Stropnicky, 2017)	Orconectes obscurus	0, 1 and 8 μg/L	14 days	Aggressive behaviour	Increase in the number of attacks per minute at the highest con- centration tested
	Procambarus clarkii	0, 1 and 8 $\mu g/L$	14 days	Aggressive behaviour	Increase in the number of attacks per minute at the highest con- centration tested

🙆 Springer

Table 3 (continued)

Transformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
o-desmethylvenlafaxine [venla- faxine] (Atzei et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	0.03 to 300 µM	5 days	Embryonic development, gene expression and light/dark movement	Inhibition of light/dark, zebrafish locomotory activity, mainly in dark. Responses followed a dose-response relationship
Clofibric acid [clofibrate] (Nunes et al. 2008)	Gambusia holbrooki	176.4, 211.6, 253.92, 304.71 and 365.65 mg/L	96 h	Oxidative damage	Decrease in the amount of oxi- dized glutathione content in the liver and gills in exposed fish
n- and o-desmethyltramadol [tramadol] (Zhuo et al. 2012)	Danio rerio	Intraperitoneal injection of tramadol (65 mg/kg)	41	Weight, mitochondrial changes and behaviour	Detection of n- (mostly) and o-desmethyltramadol in brain tissue. Fish exposed to tramadol exhibited weight loss, abnormal behaviour and mitochondrial structural changes, possibly mediated by its by-products
Oxazepam [temazepam] (Huerta et al. 2016)	Pimephales promelas	0.8, 4.7 and 30.6 µg/L	28 days	Behaviour and bioaccumulation	Brain was the tissue with higher accumulation rates; behavioural effects detected in the novel tank diving test were observed in fish exposed to $4.7 \mu g/L$
Oxazepam [temazepam] (Fahl- man et al. 2021)	Perca fluviatilis	15 μg/L	14 days	anti-predator behaviour	Stimulation of anti-predator behaviour (decreased activity, decreased distance to conspecif- ics and increased littoral habitat use)
Oxcarbamazepine [carbamaz- epine] (Desbiolles et al. 2020)	Lemna minor	27 ng/L	17 days	Phytometabolites	Increase in nitrogen compounds. Chlorophyll index was higher in relation to control
	Hydra circumcincta	900 ng/L	14 days	Reproduction, morphological changes and oxidative stress biomarkers	Single exposure impacted the total antioxidant capacity
Acridine 9-carboxylic acid [oxcarbazepine] (Desbiolles et al. 2020)	Lemna minor	27 ng/L	17 days	Phytometabolites	Alterations of the nitrogen bal- ance and chlorophyll indices at environmental concentrations
Oseltarnivir carboxylate [ose- talmivir] (Chen et al. 2020)	Oryzias latipes	0, 0.06, 0.3, 90 and 300 µg/L	14, 21 and 56 days	median survival, growth, repro- duction and hatchability	Long-term parental exposure to by-products affected the embryonic development of fish hatchability at 300 µg/L and development 90 µg/L

Table 3 (continued)

Table 3 (continued)					
Iransformation product [paren- tal compound] Reference	Species	Concentrations	Exposure duration	Endpoints	Effects
Oseltamivir ethyl ester [ose- talmivir] (Chen et al. 2020)	Oryzias latipes	0, 0.06, 0.3, 90 and 300 µg/L	14, 21 and 56 days	median survival, growth, repro- duction and hatchability	Long-term parental exposure to by-products affected the embryonic development of fish hatchability at 300 µg/L and development 90 µg/L
Fenofibric acid [fenofibrate] (Jung et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	5, 10, 20, 30 and 40 mg/L	72 h	Mortality	$LC_{50} = 53.32 mg/L$
Carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide [carbamazepine] (Bars et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	250 μg/L	120 h	embryonic development	Delay in swim bladder inflation at 120hpf
5-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-phenyl- hydantoin [phenytoin] (Bars et al. 2021)	Danio rerio	250 μg/L	120 h	embryonic development	No effects found

Likewise, acetylsalicylic acid, bezafibrate, carbamazepine, diclofenac, fenofibrate and paracetamol in a mixture with their respective metabolites could be of potential risk for aquatic organisms, however, to a lesser extent than ibuprofen. In Table S2, ibuprofen metabolites detected in environmental samples reach concentrations > 120 000 ng/l that, together with the results of Lienert et al. (2007), suggests that this contamination is jeopardizing affected aquatic ecosystems and their populations. Whilst QSAR models have some limitations that may generate not fully accurate data, the information presented by those authors established a relevant basis for highly needed subsequent research and risk assessment studies.

Norfluoxetine, the main fluoxetine metabolite, was reported to cause enantiospecific sublethal effects in Pimephales promelas and Daphnia magna (Stanley et al. 2007). In this study, P. promelas juveniles were exposed for seven days to 1, 10, 50, 100 and 250 µg/L of R-, rac- and S-fluoxetine. The enantiomer S-fluoxetine showed higher toxicity to growth, survival and feeding rate. The authors related their results to the fact that S-norfluoxetine is more potent to mammals than R-fluoxetine. But this pattern was not found for D. magna. For this microcrustacean, a 21-day toxicity test was performed to determine immobilization, reproduction and grazing rate. Less than 24-hpf individuals were exposed to 10, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 1000 µg/L of R-, rac- and S-fluoxetine. The results obtained were similar for the three compounds, and the taxa differences were attributed to the higher homology between fish and mammals than between crustaceans and mammals. Norfluoxetine was also reported to induce spawning and parturition in bivalves (Fong and Molnar 2008). The authors exposed zebra mussels to 100 nM-50 µM, dark false mussels to 100 nM-50 µM and finger-nail clams to 100 nM-10 µM. Norfluoxetine increased spawning in both zebra mussels and dark false mussels, relative to the respective controls, at concentrations in the range of 1-50 µM. In finger-nail clams, norfluoxetine induced significant parturition only at 10 µM, relative to controls. Recently, Rodrigues and colleagues (2022) found that norfluoxetine could affect the embryonic development of zebrafish larvae. In the study, newly hatched embryos were exposed for 80hpf to norfluoxetine (0.0014  $\mu$ M) and fluoxetine (0.0015 µM). Larvae exposed to norfluoxetine showed an increased frequency of pigmentation anomalies, in relation to the parental compound (Rodrigues et al. 2022).

Still concerning the SSRI (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors) type of depressants, the primary metabolite of sertraline, n-desmethylsertraline, was found to affect Na/K-ATPase activity in the trout brain (Lajeunesse et al. 2011). The authors studied the distribution of selected SSRI in several tissues of brook trout, as well as the Na/K-dependent ATPase pump activity in the brain. Fish were exposed for 3 months to a WWTP-treated effluent (primary treatment) before and after ozonation. The metabolite n-desmethylsertraline was one of the main substances found in various tissues. Also, Na/K-ATPase activity was negatively correlated with the accumulation of n-desmethylsertraline in the brain. Within the group of serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI), o-desmethylvenlafaxine (the active metabolite of venlafaxine) was implicated in behavioural changes of freshwater organisms (Stropnicky, 2017). The author exposed two species of crayfish, Orconectes obscurus and Procambarus clarkii to 0, 1 or 8 µg/L of o-desmethylvenlafaxine. The aggression behaviour of the crayfish, measured by the number of attacks per minute of exposed animals, was the endpoint assessed. An increase in the number of attacks was found for both species at 8 µg/L (Stropnicky, 2017). A more recent study related o-desmethylvenlafaxine exposure to behavioural changes in freshwater species (Atzei et al. 2021). The authors exposed zebrafish embryos to this metabolite in a concentration range of  $0.03-300 \,\mu\text{M}$ , for 5 days. Embryonic development was monitored and a light/dark behavioural assay was performed. No significant developmental anomalies were elicited by o-desmethylvenlafaxine. However, a dose-response inhibition on locomotory function, mainly under dark conditions, was found (Atzei et al. 2021).

Clofibric acid, a metabolite of clofibrate, is another metabolite with reported negative effects on fish species. This compound caused modifications of biomarkers related to antioxidant defences and oxidative stress in Gambusia holbrooki (Nunes et al. 2008). In their work, the authors exposed the fish for 96 h to 176.34, 211.60, 253.92, 304.71 and 365.65 mg/L of clofibric acid. This metabolite caused a decrease in the activity of several antioxidant enzymes and in particular the levels of oxidized glutathione, in both the liver and gills. The effects of chronic tramadol exposure were studied in the zebrafish brain (Zhuo et al. 2012). Following intramuscular injections (25 or 65 mg/kg), both n- and o-desmethyltramadol were detected in brain tissue, mainly n-desmethyltramadol. This is important, since fish chronically exposed to tramadol exhibited weight loss, abnormal behaviour and mitochondrial structural changes. Considering that the two metabolites were present in the brain tissue, it may be possible that both can exert their effects on the exposed animals. Nevertheless, further studies focused on their administration and specific effects are needed to support this.

Oxazepam is one of the main metabolites of diazepam, a widely used benzodiazepine that is prescribed as an anticonvulsant, amongst other functions. In a recent study, specimens of *Pimephales promelas* were exposed to 0.8, 4.7 and 30.6  $\mu$ g/L oxazepam for 28 days and the relationship between its internal concentrations and effects on fish behaviour was investigated with two types of tests: novel tank diving test and shelter-seeking test (Huerta et al. 2016b). The authors concluded the brain was the tissue with higher accumulation rates and significant behavioural effects in the novel tank diving test were observed in fish exposed to 4.7  $\mu$ g/L. Although 4.7  $\mu$ g/L is a concentration higher than found in freshwater bodies, it raises concern about the effects this metabolite can exert on fish behaviour and ultimately endanger populations impacted by this substance. Another study with the same compound revealed behavioural changes on *Perca fluvialis* (Fahlman et al. 2021). The results showed that anti-predation behaviour was stimulated in exposed animals, characterized by decreased activity and distance to conspecifics, as well as increased littoral habitat use (Fahlman et al. 2021).

Carbamazepine is one of the most used anticonvulsants worldwide. Recently, some of its transformation products were a matter of study by Desbiolles et al. (2020). Their study focused on the chronic effects of oxcarbamazepine and acridine 9-carboxylic acid, in single or combined exposure with carbamazepine, in two different models: the duckweed Lemna minor and the cnidarian Hydra circumcinta. Tested concentrations were the same for both models; 600, 27 and 900 ng/L for carbamazepine, oxcarbamazepine and acridine 9-carboxylic acid, respectively. For L. minor, exposure lasted 17 days and different phytometabolites were monitored. Exposure to the transformation products separately and in a mixture with the parental compound caused alterations of nitrogen balance, namely an increase in nitrogen compounds. The chlorophyll index was also higher in oxcarbamazepine groups than in the control. Nevertheless, the phenols index varied deeply without any specific trend or alteration relative to the control group. Hydra circumcinta individuals were exposed to the compounds for 14 days and different endpoints were assessed, such as reproduction, morphological changes and evaluation of antioxidant and oxidative stress biomarkers. The results showed that oxcarbamazepine exposure had implications in the total antioxidant capacity of H. circumcincta increasing two-fold in relation to control. Exposure to acridine 9-carboxylic acid affected all tested endpoints, except the reproduction. Combined exposure assays resulted in an increase in malformations on cnidarians and a decrease in the budding rate (Desbiolles et al. 2020). Another carbamazepine metabolite (carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide) was recently addressed for its possible effects on zebrafish embryonic development (Bars et al. 2021). The authors exposed zebrafish embryos from ~ 3 to 120 hpf to a concentration of 250  $\mu$ g/L of this metabolite, i.e. considerably higher than the maximum concentration found in the environment. Embryonic development was monitored through the exposure period and anomalies were registered. Results showed that swim bladder inflation was significantly delayed in carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide-exposed larvae, compared to the control (Bars et al. 2021). This is important since inflation of the swim bladder allows larvae to stay in the water column and have more chances of survival.

A recent study focused on the metabolites of the wellknown antiviral oseltamivir (Tamiflu) and their chronic effects on the medaka *Oryzias latipes* (Chen et al. 2020). Results showed that long-term parental exposure to both oseltamivir carboxylate and oseltamivir ethyl ester affected embryonic development and fish hatchability at 300  $\mu$ g/L and embryonic development at 90  $\mu$ g/L. Fenofibric acid, a metabolite of the anti-lipidemic agent fenofibrate, was also evaluated for its toxicity to zebrafish embryos (Jung et al. 2021). An LC50 value of 53.32 mg/L was found at 72 h, which is considerably higher than the normally occurring concentration in the environment.

# **The Way Forward**

This review gives an updated perspective on freshwater contamination by pharmaceuticals and pesticide transformation products and the available information about the toxicity of these substances. Detection of pharmaceuticals and pesticides is increasing in freshwater ecosystems, and concentrations in the range of ng to  $\mu$ g/L have been widely reported. Moreover, this same trend is described for their metabolites and transformation products. This occurrence made this field one of the most studied by the scientific community in the last years, with a number of published works addressing the potentially hazardous effects of such previously overlooked substances. The present research identified concentrations of 190 metabolites and transformation products (92 from pesticides and 98 from pharmaceuticals) in water bodies and wastewater effluents, none of them included in monitoring programmes set to achieve the good environmental status of freshwater ecosystems. Their formation processes, environmental fate in aquatic ecosystems and effects on humans and biota, summarized in Fig. 6, are varied and a considerable cause of concern. Reported concentrations are mainly in the order of ng to  $\mu$ g/L. The concentration heatmap produced in this work allows us to easily spot the substances found at higher levels.

Although the information presented herein about the quantification of pesticides and pharmaceutical transformation products is extensive (almost 200 compounds), this may just represent the tip of the iceberg. Worldwide there are more than 1500 pesticides approved for use in agriculture and about 4000 pharmaceutical compounds approved for human consumption (aus der Beek et al. 2016; Anagnostopoulou et al. 2022). These parental compounds can have one or several transformation products, which brutally increases the potential number of these pollutants in the aquatic environment. Also, transformation products of pesticides banned for several decades now are still found



Fig. 6 Overall representation of pesticides and pharmaceutical transformation products aquatic contamination and risks for human and aquatic species

in freshwater. Transformation products are in several cases more stable in the environment and consequently reach concentrations higher than their parental compounds (Schuhmann et al. 2019; Celiz et al. 2009). All these numbers and characteristics reinforce the need to increase the monitoring of these compounds in aquatic systems and evaluate their impact on human and environmental health.

The toxicological information available for the transformation products identified is very little and scattered, with no strategic approach underlying data collection for risk assessment and monitoring prioritization. Concerning the risk to humans, less than twenty metabolites (of the two groups combined) were investigated in in vitro studies. Several of these were found to elicit genotoxicity and effects on biotransformation and antioxidant processes. In aquatic organisms, only about 34% of the transformation products originating from pesticides and 14% of those originating from pharmaceuticals were evaluated for their potentially hazardous effects on biota. Most of these studies evaluated effects on only one (majority) or two trophic levels and more than half of them on vertebrates. Effects on plants and algae were rarely assessed. For pesticides, over 50% of the assessments were about acute and subacute toxicity effects, whilst for pharmaceuticals only about 20% of the assessments concerned chronic toxicity. Adding to this, for pharmaceutical metabolites various studies tested very high exposure levels, reporting effects at concentrations higher than those found in the environment. Nevertheless, for pesticide metabolites, several reports described a considerably wide range of negative effects on freshwater organisms, occurring at environmentally relevant concentrations. For pharmaceutical metabolites, different classes of drugs were proven to cause hazardous effects and jeopardize the homeostasis of freshwater species.

All in all, the data presented herein clearly demonstrate that pesticide and pharmaceutical transformation products pose a threat to aquatic fauna and flora. Concerning the relative toxicity of transformation products, compared to the parental compounds, the available data prevent a clear global conclusion. In some cases, the transformation products are in fact less toxic. In other cases, some transformation products can be more active and toxic than the parental substance. Nowadays, there is increasing evidence that pesticide transformation products can be more toxic and persistent than their parental compounds (Iwafune 2018). In silico assays, performed with the ECOSAR (Ecological Structure Activity Relationships) software, which predicts the toxicity of different compounds, showed that the transformation products of several pesticides have a high toxicity potential to aquatic fauna and flora (Anagnostopoulou et al. 2022). Transformation products resulting from penoxsulam, pyrimethanil, imidacloprid, acetamiprid, thiacloprid and carbendazim were predicted to be more toxic than their parental compounds.

In contrast, transformation products of fipronil present equal levels of toxicity, relative to fipronil itself (Anagnostopoulou et al. 2022). For pharmaceutical transformation products, there is a general idea that these compounds are less active and, consequently, less toxic than their parental compounds. However, there is evidence that some transformation products may be more toxic than the parental compounds. In humans, metabolites such as morphine and o-desmethyltramadol are more active than the parental compound (codeine and tramadol, respectively) (Rodieux et al. 2018). There are also reports of potential toxic effects elicited in patients, *i.e.* pethidine and dextroptopoxyphene (Coller et al. 2009). On the other hand, photodegradation products of prednisone, dexamethasone, naproxen, diclofenac, ketoprofen and atenolol formed in watercourses or even in WWTPs were reported to be toxic to different aquatic species at higher magnitude than their parental compounds (DellaGreca et al. 2004; Isidori et al. 2005; Diniz et al. 2015). Nonetheless, for most of the transformation products identified, the information is still scarce to draw sound conclusions.

Something that is still not accounted for in most of the ecotoxicological works is the metabolism of parental substances in the test media. During exposure, parental compounds are metabolized and transformed by the exposed organisms. This is a process, influenced by media abiotic factors, which originates different transformation products. Such compounds can cause negative effects on the organisms, by themselves or in mixture with the respective parental compound. A previous study reported that fish exposed to tramadol exhibited weight loss, abnormal behaviour and structural mitochondrial changes that could be linked to the metabolites formed during the exposure, which accumulated in the animals' brains and muscular tissue (Zhuo et al. 2012). The possibility that several negative impacts reported on aquatic species exposed to pharmaceuticals may derive not only from those compounds, but also from the mixture with their metabolites or even exclusively from the metabolites needs to be addressed soon.

Overall, the results warn of the need to continue improving treatment methodologies, for better removal of transformation products, not only to avoid their discharge to the aquatic environment but also to assure a better quality for water reuse. From a toxicological viewpoint, it is also striking the lack of mechanistic information useful to improve predictive toxicology and the risk assessment of these chemicals. Most works focused on assessing classical apical endpoints employing standard testing approaches. Whilst this is always fruitful to obtain a quick grasp of the severity of a contamination scenario, more studies investigating the modes of action of these compounds are urgently needed. Also, the limited availability of reference standards for several transformation products makes it difficult to test the toxicity of these compounds to living organisms (Anagnostopoulou et al. 2022). However, this obstacle can be surpassed using in silico approaches, which reduce the need for animals and chemicals and can be valuable tools for toxicity and risk assessment.

Future toxicological investigations should be based on the framework of Adverse Outcome Pathways (AOP) (Ankley et al. 2010). This concept identifies various key events and relationships between them, linking a molecular initiating event to an adverse outcome of significance to risk assessment. The adverse outcome is usually considered at the organ level or higher, preferably the ecological level. It indicates a morphological or physiological alteration occurring in an organism or its systems that elicits functional impairment or impairs its ability to compensate for chemical stress and achieve homeostasis. The AOP framework is recognized as useful to support regulatory decision-making and the prioritization of chemicals for risk assessment (Vinken et al. 2017; Perkins et al. 2019), a most important aspect for the contamination scenario described herein. Present-day high-throughput technologies (i.e. proteomic sequencing) allowing for the rapid and cost-effective generation of data should be used to identify key events and key event relationships through which the initiating event(s) will reflect on adverse outcomes to apical endpoints. Guidance documents for the development of AOPs were made available (OECD, 2013, 2018), as well as supporting databases and tools, such as the e.AOP.portal (http://aopkb.org), the AOP Wiki (http://aopwiki.org), the Effectopedia (http://effectoped ia.org) and the Wikipathways (https://www.wikipathways. org/index.php/WikiPathways), the Harmonized Template 201: Intermediate effects (https://www.oecd.org/ehs/templ ates/harmonised-templates-intermediate-effects.htm) and the AOP Xplorer (http://datasciburgoon.github.io/aopxplorer. Collaborative networks based on resource and knowledge sharing, and rational effort application, should be made at a global level to establish and implement a structured strategy rapidly allowing to fulfil these gaps whilst avoiding unnecessary experimental redundancy (Martens et al. 2018).

The present work emphasizes the need to reinforce the existing knowledge about contamination by pharmaceutical and pesticide transformation products in freshwater systems. This report compiled and analysed a significant amount of information linking exposure to transformation products to adverse outcomes in aquatic species and humans. Technological needs and knowledge gaps were identified and discussed, delineating future research steps on the topic, ultimately aiming at improving water management and monitoring programmes.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s44169-022-00014-w.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by project BioReset (DivRestore/0004/2020) funded by BiodivRestore 2020 ERA-NET

Cofund (a joint action of Biodiversa+ and Water JPI) and Strategic Funding UIDB/04423/2020 and UIDP/04423/2020 (FCT, ERDF) and PR was supported by a FCT fellowship SFRH/BD/134518/2017.

**Data Availability** Authors confirm that all relevant data are included in the article or its supplementary file.

### **Declarations**

**Competing Interest** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# References

- Anagnostopoulou K, Nannou C, Evgenidou E, Lambropoulou D (2022) Overarching issues on relevant pesticide transformation products in the aquatic environment: A review. Sci Total Environ. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152863
- Ankley GT, Bennett RS, Erickson RJ, Hoff DJ, Hornung MW, Johnson RD, Mount DR, Nichols JW, Russom CL, Schmieder PK, Serrrano JA, Tietge JE, Villeneuve DL (2010) Adverse outcome pathways: a conceptual framework to support ecotoxicology research and risk assessment. Environ Toxicol Chem 29:730– 741. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.34
- Arias-Estévez M, López-Periago E, Martínez-Carballo E, Simal-Gándara J, Mejuto J-C, García-Río L (2007) The mobility and degradation of pesticides in soils and the pollution of groundwater resources. Agr Ecosyst Environ 123:247–260. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.agee.2007.07.011
- Atzei A, Jense I, Zwart EP, Legradi J, Venhuis BJ, van der Ven LTM, Heusinkveld HJ, Hessel EVS (2021) Developmental neurotoxicity of environmentally relevant pharmaceuticals and mixtures thereof in a zebrafish embryo behavioural test. Int J Environ Res Public Health 18(13):6717. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph1813 6717
- aus der Beek, T., Weber, F.A., Bergmann, A., Hickmann, S., Ebert, I., Hein, A., and Küster, A. (2016) Pharmaceuticals in the environment–Global occurrences and perspectives. Environ Toxicol Chem 35:823–835. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3339
- Baena-Nogueras RM, Gonzalez-Mazo E, Lara-Martin PA (2017) Degradation kinetics of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in surface waters: photolysis vs biodegradation. Sci Total Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.015
- Baran N, Gourcy L (2013) Sorption and mineralization of S-metolachlor and its ionic metabolites in soils and vadose zone solids: consequences on groundwater quality in an alluvial aquifer (Ain Plain, France). J Contam Hydrol 154:20–28. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jconhyd.2013.07.009
- Bars C, Hoyberghs J, Valenzuela A, Buyssens L, Ayuso M, Van Ginneken C, Labro AJ, Foubert K, Van Cruchten SJ (2021) Developmental toxicity and biotransformation of two anti-epileptics in zebrafish embryos and early larvae. Int J Mol Sci 22(23):12696. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222312696
- Bavumiragira JP, Ge J, Yin H (2022) Fate and transport of pharmaceuticals in water systems: A processes review. Sci Total Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153635
- Benachour N, Séralini GE (2009) Glyphosate formulations induce apoptosis and necrosis in human umbilical, embryonic, and placental cells. Chem Res Toxicol 22(1):97–105. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/tx800218n
- Boix C, Ibanez M, Sancho JV, Parsons JR, Voogt P, Hernandez F (2016) Biotransformation of pharmaceuticals in surface water

and during waste water treatment: Identification and occurrence of transformation products. J Hazard Mater 302:175– 187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.09.053

- Brown AK, Challis JK, Wong CS, Hanson ML (2015) Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and beta-blocker transformation products may not pose a significant risk of toxicity to aquatic organisms in wastewater effluent-dominated receiving waters. Integr Environ Assess Manag 11:618–639. https://doi.org/10. 1002/ieam.1637
- Bukowska B (2003) Effects of 2,4-D and its metabolite 2,4-dichlorophenol on antioxidant enzymes and level of glutathione in human erythrocytes. Comp Biochem Physiol C: Toxicol Pharmacol 135:435-441. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1532-0456(03)00151-0
- Campanale C, Massarelli C, Losacco D, Bisaccia D, Triozzi M, Uricchio VF (2021) The monitoring of pesticides in water matrices and the analytical criticalities: A review. TrAC Trend Anal Chem. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2021.116423
- Carrara C, Ptacek CJ, Robertson WD, Blowes DW, Moncur MC, Sverko E, Backus S (2008) Fate of pharmaceutical and trace organic compounds in three septic system plumes, Ontario, Canada. Environ Sci Technol 42:2805–2811. https://doi.org/10. 1021/es070344q
- Celiz MD, Tso J, Aga DS (2009) Pharmaceutical metabolites in the environment: Analytical challenges and ecological risks. Environ Toxicol Chem 28:2473–2484. https://doi.org/10.1897/09-173.1
- Chau ND, Sebesvari Z, Amelung W, Renaud FG (2015) Pesticide pollution of multiple drinking water sources in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam: evidence from two provinces. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 22:9042–9058. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-4034-x
- Chen WY, Wu YT, Lin HC, Ieong MI, Lee BH (2020) Impact of long-term parental exposure to Tamiflu metabolites on the development medaka offspring (*Oryzias latipes*). Environ Pollut 261:114–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114146
- Christensen ER, Li A (2014) Physical and Chemical Processes in the Aquatic Environment. Wiley & Sons Inc, Hoboken, pp 255–259
- Coller JK, Christrup LL, Somogyi AA (2009) Role of active metabolites in the use of opioids. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 65:121–139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-008-0570-y
- Corcoran J, Winter M, Tyler C (2010) Pharmaceuticals in the aquatic environment: a critical review of the evidence for health effects in fish. Crit Rev Toxicol 40:287–304. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 10408440903373590
- Daffara F, De Francia S, Reimondo G, Zaggia B, Aroasio E, Porpiglia F, Volante M, Termine A, Di Carlo F, Dogliotti L, Angeli A, Berruti A, Terzolo M (2008) Prospective evaluation of mitotane toxicity in adrenocortical cancer patients treated adjuvantly. Endocr Relat Cancer 15(4):1043–1053
- Daughton CG (2016) Pharmaceuticals and the Environment (PiE): Evolution and impact of the published literature revealed by bibliometric analysis. Sci Total Environ 562:391–426. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.109
- Daughton CG, Ternes TA (1999) Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment: agents of subtle change? Environ Health Perspectives 107:907–938
- Davis LK, Visitacion N, Riley LG, Hiramatsu N, Sullivan CV, Hirano T, Grau IE (2009) Effects of o, p'-DDE, heptachlor, and 17betaestradiol on vitellogenin gene expression and the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor-I axis in the tilapia, *Oreochromis mossambicus*. Comp Biochem Physiol C: Toxicol Pharmacol 149:507–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2008.11.007
- Davis, F. R. (2014). Banned: a history of pesticides and the science of toxicology. Yale University Press, London. https://www.jstor. org/stable/j.ctt13x1tbs.
- DellaGreca M, Fiorentino A, Isidori M, Lavorgna M, Previtera L, Rubino M, Temussi F (2004) Toxicity of prednisolone, dexamethasone and their photochemical derivatives on aquatic

organisms. Chemosphere 54:629–637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2003.09.008

- Deribe E, Rosseland BO, Borgstrøm R, Salbu B, Gebremariam Z, Dadebo E, Skipperud L, Eklo OM (2013) Biomagnification of DDT and its metabolites in four fish species of a tropical lake. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 95:10–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ecoenv.2013.03.020
- Desbiolles F, Moreau X, de Jong L, Malleret L, Grandet-Marchant Q, Wong-Wah-Chung P, Laffont-Schwob I (2020) Advances and limits of two model species for ecotoxicological assessment of carbamazepine, two transformation products and their mixture at environmental level in freshwater. Water Res 169:115267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.115267
- Dimpe KM, Nomngongo PN (2016) Current sample preparation methodologies for analysis of emerging pollutants in different environmental matrices. TrAC Trends Anal Chem 82:199–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2016.05.023
- Diniz MS, Salgado R, Pereira VJ, Carvalho G, Oehmen A, Reis MA, Noronha JP (2015) Ecotoxicity of ketoprofen, diclofenac, atenolol and their photolysis transformation products in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Sci Total Environ 505:282–289. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.103
- Donohoe RM, Curtis LR (1996) Estrogenic activity of chlordecone, o, p'-DDT and o, p'-DDE in juvenile rainbow trout: induction of vitellogenesis and interaction with hepatic estrogen binding sites. Aquat Toxicol 36(1–2):31–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0166-445X(96)00799-0
- Dozio V, Daali Y, Desmeules J, Sanchez JC (2022) Deep proteomics and phosphoproteomics reveal novel biological pathways perturbed by morphine, morphine-3-glucuronide and morphine-6-glucuronide in human astrocytes. J Neurosci Res 100(1):220– 236. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.24731
- Eliassen AH, Missmer SA, Tworoger SS, Hankinson SE (2008) Circulating 2-hydroxy- and 16alpha-hydroxy estrone levels and risk of breast cancer among postmenopausal women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prevention 17:2029–2035. https://doi.org/10.1158/ 1055-9965.epi-08-0262
- Elsayed OF, Maillard E, Vuilleumier S, Millet M, Imfeld G (2015) Degradation of chloroacetanilide herbicides and bacterial community composition in lab-scale wetlands. Sci Total Environ 520:222–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.061
- Evgenidou EN, Konstantinou IK, Lambropoulou DA (2015) Occurrence and removal of transformation products of PPCPs and illicit drugs in wastewaters: a review. Sci Total Environ 505:905– 926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.021
- Fahlman J, Hellstrom G, Jonsson M, Fick JB, Rosvall M, Klaminder J (2021) Impacts of oxazepam on Perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) behavior: fish familiarized to lake conditions do not show predicted anti-anxiety response. Environ Sci Technol 55(6):3624–3633. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05587
- Felicio AA, Freitas JS, Scarin JB, de Souza Ondei L, Teresa FB, Schlenk D, de Almeida EA (2018) Isolated and mixed effects of diuron and its metabolites on biotransformation enzymes and oxidative stress response of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 149:248–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ecoenv.2017.12.009
- Fenner K, Canonica S, Wackett LP, Elsner M (2013) Evaluating pesticide degradation in the environment: blind spots and emerging opportunities. Science 341(6147):752–758. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1236281
- Fent K, Weston A, Caminada D (2006) Ecotoxicology of human pharmaceuticals. Aquat Toxicol 76:122–159. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.aquatox.2005.09.009
- Ferreira M, Costa J, Reis-Henriques MA (2014) ABC transporters in fish species: a review. Front Physiol 5:266. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fphys.2014.00266

- Foltz RL, Andrenyak DM, Crouch DJ (2016) Forensic science, applications of mass spectrometry. In: Lindon J, Tranter GE, Koppenaal D (eds) Encyclopedia of Spectroscopy and Spectrometry. Elsevier Ltd, Amsterdam, pp 707–711
- Fong PP, Molnar N (2008) Norfluoxetine induces spawning and parturition in estuarine and freshwater bivalves. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 81:535–538. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-008-9558-7
- Gao J, Wang F, Cui J, Zhang Q, Wang P, Liu D, Zhou Z (2021) Assessment of toxicity and environmental behavior of chiral ethiprole and its metabolites using zebrafish model. J Hazard Mater 414:125492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125492
- Geric M, Ceraj-Ceric N, Gajski G, Vasilic Z, Capuder Z, Garaj-Vrhovac V (2012) Cytogenetic status of human lymphocytes after exposure to low concentrations of p, p'-DDT, and its metabolites (p, p'-DDE, and p, p'-DDD) in vitro. Chemosphere 87:1288–1294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.01.037
- Gong W, Barrett H, Hu Y, Han J, Wang F, Wang W, Zhou S, Qu H (2021) Application of biochar: An approach to attenuate the pollution of the chiral pesticide fipronil and its metabolites in leachate from activated sludge. Process Saf Environ Prot 149:936– 945. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2021.03.044
- Gros M, Rodriguez-Mozaz S, Barcelo D (2012) Fast and comprehensive multi-residue analysis of a broad range of human and veterinary pharmaceuticals and some of their metabolites in surface and treated waters by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to quadrupole-linear ion trap tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 1248:104–121. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.chroma.2012.05.084
- Guardabassi L, Wong LF, D.M., and Dalsgaard, A. (2002) The effects of tertiary wastewater treatment on the prevalence of antimicrobial resistant bacteria. Water Res 36:1955–1964. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/s0043-1354(01)00429-8
- Guilherme S, Santos MA, Gaivão I, Pacheco M (2014) DNA and chromosomal damage induced in fish (Anguilla anguilla L.) by aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA)—the major environmental breakdown product of glyphosate. Environ Sci Pollut Res 21(14):8730–8739. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2803-1
- Guimarães L, Guilhermino L, Afonso MJ, Marques JM, Chaminé I (2019) Assessment of urban groundwater: towards integrated hydrogeological and effects-based monitoring. Sustain Water Resources Manag 5:217–233. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40899-019-00301-w
- Gumustas M, Kurbanoglu S, Uslu B, Ozkan SA (2013) UPLC versus HPLC on drug analysis: advantageous, applications and their validation parameters. Chromatographia 76:1365–1427. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10337-013-2477-8
- Hernández-Moreno D, Blázquez M, Navas JM, Fernández-Cruz ML (2022) Fish cell lines as screening tools to predict acute toxicity to fish of biocidal active substances and their relevant environmental metabolites. Aquat Toxicol 242:106020. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.aquatox.2021.106020
- Hignite C, Azarnoff DL (1977) Drugs and drug metabolites as environmental contaminants: chlorophenoxyisobutyrate and salicyclic acid in sewage water effluent. Life Sci 20(2):337–341. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(77)90329-0
- Hill AB, Jefferies PR, Quistad GB, Casida JE (1997) Dialkylquinoneimine metabolites of chloroacetanilide herbicides induce sister chromatid exchanges in cultured human lymphocytes. Mutation Res/genetic Toxicol Environ Mutagenesis 395:159–171. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s1383-5718(97)00163-0
- Hong B, Lin Q, Yu S, Chen Y, Chen Y, Chiang P (2018) Urbanization gradient of selected pharmaceuticals in surface water at a watershed scale. Sci Total Environ 634:448–458. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.392
- Huang Z, Yao YN, Li W, Hu B (2019) Analytical properties of electrospray ionization mass spectrometry with solid substrates and

nonpolar solvents. Anal Chim Acta 1050:105–112. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.10.064

- Huerta B, Margiotta-Casaluci L, Rodriguez-Mozaz S, Scholze M, Winter MJ, Barcelo D, Sumpter JP (2016) Anti-anxiety drugs and fish behavior: Establishing the link between internal concentrations of oxazepam and behavioral effects. Environ Toxicol Chem 35:2782–2790. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3448
- Huerta B, Chung-Davidson YW, Bussy U, Zhang Y, Bazil JN, Li W (2020) Sea lamprey cardiac mitochondrial bioenergetics after exposure to TFM and its metabolites. Aquat Toxicol 219:105380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.105380
- Huntscha S, Singer HP, McArdell CS, Frank CE, Hollender J (2012) Multiresidue analysis of 88 polar organic micropollutants in ground, surface and wastewater using online mixed-bed multilayer solid-phase extraction coupled to high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 1268:74–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.10.032
- Ibáñez M, Bijlsma L, Pitarch E, López FJ, Hernández F (2021) Occurrence of pharmaceutical metabolites and transformation products in the aquatic environment of the Mediterranean area. Trends Environ Anal Chem. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teac.2021.e00118
- Isidori M, Lavorgna M, Nardelli A, Parrella A, Previtera L, Rubino M (2005) Ecotoxicity of naproxen and its phototransformation products. Sci Total Environ 348:93–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2004.12.068
- Iwafune T (2018) Studies on the behavior and ecotoxicity of pesticides and their transformation products in a river. J Pesticide Sci 43(4):297–304
- Jaffar S, Ahmad S, Lu Y (2022) Contribution of insect gut microbiota and their associated enzymes in insect physiology and biodegradation of pesticides. Front Microbiol 13:979383. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2022.979383
- Jjemba PK (2006) Excretion and ecotoxicity of pharmaceutical and personal care products in the environment. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 63:113–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2004.11.011
- Jung F, Thurn M, Krollik K, Gao GF, Hering I, Eilebrecht E, Emara Y, Weiler M, Günday-Türeli N, Türeli E, Parnham MJ, Wacker MG (2021) Predicting the environmental emissions arising from conventional and nanotechnology-related pharmaceutical drug products. Environ Res 192:110219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envres.2020.110219
- Kaufmann A, Dvorak V, Crüzer C, Butcher P, Maden K, Walker S, Widmer M, Schürmann A (2012) Study of high-resolution mass spectrometry technology as a replacement for tandem mass spectrometry in the field of quantitative pesticide residue analysis. J AOAC Int 95(2):528–548. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint. 11-074
- Kemper N (2008) Veterinary antibiotics in the aquatic and terrestrial environment. Ecol Ind 8:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind. 2007.06.002
- Kezios KL, Liu X, Cirillo PM, Cohn BA, Kalantzi OI, Wang Y, Factor-Litvak P (2013) Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), DDT metabolites and pregnancy outcomes. Reprod Toxicol 35:156– 164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2012.10.013
- Khan HK, Rehman MYA, Malik RN (2020) Fate and toxicity of pharmaceuticals in water environment: An insight on their occurrence in South Asia. J Environ Manage. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvm an.2020.111030
- Koutnik D, Stara A, Zuskova E, Kouba A, Velisek J (2017) The chronic effects of terbuthylazine-2-hydroxy on early life stages of marbled crayfish (*Procambarus fallax* f. virginalis). Pestic Biochem Physiol 136:29–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2016.08.008
- Kwiatkowska M, Huras B, Bukowska B (2014) The effect of metabolites and impurities of glyphosate on human erythrocytes (in vitro). Pestic Biochem Physiol 109:34–43. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.pestbp.2014.01.003

- La Farre M, Pérez S, Kantiani L, Barceló D (2008) Fate and toxicity of emerging pollutants, their metabolites and transformation products in the aquatic environment. TrAC, Trends Anal Chem 27(11):991–1007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2008.09.010
- Lajeunesse A, Gagnon C, Gagne F, Louis S, Cejka P, Sauve S (2011) Distribution of antidepressants and their metabolites in brook trout exposed to municipal wastewaters before and after ozone treatment—evidence of biological effects. Chemosphere 83:564–571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere. 2010.12.026
- Lapworth DJ, Gooddy DC (2006) Source and persistence of pesticides in a semi-confined chalk aquifer of southeast England. Environ Pollut 144:1031–1044. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2005. 12.055
- Le Cor F, Slaby S, Dufour V, Iuretig A, Feidt C, Dauchy X, Banas D (2021) Occurrence of pesticides and their transformation products in headwater streams: Contamination status and effect of ponds on contaminant concentrations. Sci Total Environ. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147715
- Li Z, Sobek A, Radke M (2016) Fate of pharmaceuticals and their transformation products in four small European rivers receiving treated wastewater. Environ Sci Technol 50(11):5614–5621. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b06327
- Lienert J, Güdel K, Escher BI (2007) Screening method for ecotoxicological hazard assessment of 42 pharmaceuticals considering human metabolism and excretory routes. Environ Sci Technol 41:4471–4478. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0627693
- Lopez-Serna R, Petrovic M, Barcelo D (2012) Occurrence and distribution of multi-class pharmaceuticals and their active metabolites and transformation products in the Ebro river basin (NE Spain). Sci Total Environ 440:280–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scito tenv.2012.06.027
- Lotufo GR, Landrum PF, Gedeon ML, Tigue EA, Herche LR (2000) Comparative toxicity and toxicokinetics of ddt and its major metabolites in freshwater amphipods. Environ Toxicol Chem 19:368–379. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620190217
- Luo Y, Guo W, Ngo HH, Nghiem LD, Hai FI, Zhang J, Liang S, Wang XC (2014) A review on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment and their fate and removal during wastewater treatment. Sci Total Environ 473–474:619–641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.065
- Madikizela LM, Nuapia YB, Chimuka L, Ncube S, Etale A (2022) Target and suspect screening of pharmaceuticals and their transformation products in the Klip river, South Africa, using ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Environ Toxicol Chem 41:437–447. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc. 5265
- Martens M, Verbruggen T, Nymark P, Grafström R, Burgoon LD, Aladjov H, Torres Andón F, Evelo CT, Willighagen EL (2018) Introducing WikiPathways as a data-source to support adverse outcome pathways for regulatory risk assessment of chemicals and nanomaterials. Front Genet 9:661. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fgene.2018.00661
- Martín-Pozo L, de Alarcón-Gómez B, Rodríguez-Gómez R, García-Córcoles MT, Çipa M, Zafra-Gómez A (2019) Analytical methods for the determination of emerging contaminants in sewage sludge samples. A Review Talanta 192:508–533. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.talanta.2018.09.056
- McKnight US, Rasmussen JJ, Kronvang B, Binning PJ, Bjerg PL (2015) Sources, occurrence and predicted aquatic impact of legacy and contemporary pesticides in streams. Environ Pollut 200:64–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.02.015
- Mompelat S, Le Bot B, Thomas O (2009) Occurrence and fate of pharmaceutical products and transformation products, from resource to drinking water. Environ Int 35(5):803–814. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.envint.2008.10.008

- Monteiro C, Miranda CJ, Brito F, Fonseca C, Araujo AR (2017) Consumption patterns of NSAIDs in central Portugal and the role of pharmacy professionals in promoting their rational use. Drugs Therapy Perspectives 33:32–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/ S40267-016-0352-Z
- Mosekiemang TT, Stander MA, de Villiers A (2021) Ultra-high pressure liquid chromatography coupled to travelling wave ion mobility-time of flight mass spectrometry for the screening of pharmaceutical metabolites in wastewater samples: Application to antiretrovirals. J Chromatography A. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chroma.2021.462650
- Munze R, Hannemann C, Orlinskiy P, Gunold R, Paschke A, Foit K, Becker J, Kaske O, Paulsson E, Peterson M et al (2017) Pesticides from wastewater treatment plant effluents affect invertebrate communities. Sci Total Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2017.03.008
- Murray KE, Thomas SM, Bodour AA (2010) Prioritizing research for trace pollutants and emerging contaminants in the freshwater environment. Environ Pollut 158:3462–3471. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.envpol.2010.08.009
- Nunes B, Gaio AR, Carvalho F, Guilhermino L (2008) Behaviour and biomarkers of oxidative stress in Gambusia holbrooki after acute exposure to widely used pharmaceuticals and a detergent. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 71(2):341–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ecoenv.2007.12.006
- OECD (2013) OECD series on testing and assessment number 184: guidance document on developing and assessing adverse outcome pathways ENV/JM/MONO(2013) 6. OECD Publishing, Paris
- OECD (2018), "Users' Handbook supplement to the Guidance Document for developing and assessing Adverse Outcome Pathways", OECD Series on Adverse Outcome Pathways, No. 1, OECD Publishing, Paris.
- Ogilvie BW, Zhang D, Li W, Rodrigues AD, Gipson AE, Holsapple J, Toren P, Parkinson A (2006) Glucuronidation converts gemfibrozil to a potent, metabolism-dependent inhibitor of CYP2C8: implications for drug-drug interactions. Drug Metab Dispos 34:191–197. https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.105.007633
- Ortiz de García SA, Pinto Pinto G, García-Encina PA, Irusta-Mata R (2014) Ecotoxicity and environmental risk assessment of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in aquatic environments and wastewater treatment plants. Ecotoxicology 23:1517–1533. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-014-1293-8
- Otieno PO, Lalah JO, Virani M, Jondiko IO, Schramm K-W (2010) Soil and water contamination with carbofuran residues in agricultural farmlands in Kenya following the application of the technical formulation Furadan. J Environ Sci Health B 45:137–144. https:// doi.org/10.1080/03601230903472058
- Paiga P, Santos L, Ramos S, Jorge S, Silva JG, Delerue-Matos C (2016) Presence of pharmaceuticals in the Lis river (Portugal): Sources, fate and seasonal variation. Sci Total Environ 573:164–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.08.089
- Papadopoulos N, Gikas E, Zalidis G, Tsarbopoulos A (2007) Simultaneous determination of terbuthylazine and its major hydroxy and dealkylated metabolites in wetland water samples using solidphase extraction and high-performance liquid chromatography with diode-array detection. J Agric Food Chem 55:7270–7277. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0706777
- Park WP, Chang KM, Hyun HN, Boo KH, Koo BJ (2020) Sorption and leaching characteristics of pesticides in volcanic ash soils of Jeju Island. Korea Appl Biol Chem 63(1):1–13. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13765-020-00555-5
- Perez-Maldonado IN, Athanasiadou M, Yanez L, Gonzalez-Amaro R, Bergman A, Diaz-Barriga F (2006) DDE-induced apoptosis in children exposed to the DDT metabolite. Sci Total Environ 370:343–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.06.026

- Perkins EJ, Ashauer R, Burgoon L, Conolly R, Landesmann B, Mackay C, Murphy CA, Pollesch N, Wheeler JR, Zupanic A, Scholz S (2019) Building and applying quantitative adverse outcome pathway models for chemical hazard and risk assessment. Environ Toxicol Chem 38:1850–1865. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4505
- Peters K, Bundschuh M, Schäfer RB (2013) Review on the effects of toxicants on freshwater ecosystem functions. Environ Pollut 180:324–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.05.025
- Petrovic M, Skrbic B, Zivancev J, Ferrando-Climent L, Barcelo D (2014) Determination of 81 pharmaceutical drugs by high performance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry with hybrid triple quadrupole-linear ion trap in different types of water in Serbia. Sci Total Environ 468–469:415–428. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitoteny.2013.08.079
- Picó Y, Barceló D (2015) Transformation products of emerging contaminants in the environment and high-resolution mass spectrometry: a new horizon. Anal Bioanal Chem 407(21):6257–6273. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-015-8739-6
- Planas C, Puig A, Rivera J, Caixach J (2006) Analysis of pesticides and metabolites in Spanish surface waters by isotope dilution gas chromatography/mass spectrometry with previous automated solid-phase extraction Estimation of the uncertainty of the analytical results. J Chromatogr A 1131:242–252. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.chroma.2006.07.091
- Porazzi E, Pardo Martinez M, Fanelli R, Benefati E (2005) GC–MS analysis of dichlobenil and its metabolites in groundwater. Talanta 68(1):146–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2005.04. 044
- Postigo C, Barcelo D (2015) Synthetic organic compounds and their transformation products in groundwater: occurrence, fate and mitigation. Sci Total Environ 503–504:32–47. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.019
- Ralston-Hooper K, Hardy J, Hahn L, Ochoa-Acuna H, Lee LS, Mollenhauer R, Sepulveda MS (2009) Acute and chronic toxicity of atrazine and its metabolites deethylatrazine and deisopropylatrazine on aquatic organisms. Ecotoxicology 18:899–905. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10646-009-0351-0
- Reddy PVL, Kim K-H (2015) A review of photochemical approaches for the treatment of a wide range of pesticides. J Hazard Mater 285:325–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.11.036
- Reemtsma T, Alder L, Banasiak U (2013) Emerging pesticide metabolites in groundwater and surface water as determined by the application of a multimethod for 150 pesticide metabolites. Water Res 47:5535–5545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.06.031
- Rodieux F, Vutskits L, Posfay-Barbe KM, Habre W, Piguet V, Desmeules JA, Samer CF (2018) When the safe alternative is not that safe: tramadol prescribing in children. Front Pharmacol 5(9):148. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.00148
- Rodrigues P, Cunha V, Oliva Teles L, Ferreira M, L., Guimarães. (2020) Norfluoxetine and venlafaxine in zebrafish larvae: Single and combined toxicity of two pharmaceutical products relevant for risk assessment. J Hazard Mater 400:123171. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123171
- Rodrigues P, Cunha V, Ferreira M, Reis-Henriques MA, Oliva Teles L, Guimarães L, Carvalho AP (2022) Differential molecular responses of zebrafish larvae to fluoxetine and norfluoxetine. Water 14(3):417. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14030417
- Rousis NI, Bade R, Bijlsma L, Zuccato E, Sancho JV, Hernandez F, Castiglioni S (2017) Monitoring a large number of pesticides and transformation products in water samples from Spain and Italy. Environ Res 156:31–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres. 2017.03.013
- Rozmánková E, Pípal M, Bláhová L, Njattuvetty-Chandran N, Morin B, Gonzalez P, Bláha L (2020) Environmentally relevant mixture of S-metolachlor and its two metabolites affects thyroid metabolism

in zebrafish embryos. Aquat Toxicol 221:105444. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.aquatox.2020.105444

- Rutkowska M, Płotka-Wasylka J, Sajid M, Andruch V (2019) Liquid–phase microextraction: A review of reviews. Microchem J 149:103989. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.103989
- Safe SH (2000) Endocrine disruptors and human health-is there a problem? An update. Environ Health Perspectives 108:487-493
- Santos LH, Araujo AN, Fachini A, Pena A, Delerue-Matos C, Montenegro MC (2010) Ecotoxicological aspects related to the presence of pharmaceuticals in the aquatic environment. J Hazard Mater 175:45–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.10.100
- Santos LH, Paiga P, Araujo AN, Pena A, Delerue-Matos C, Montenegro MC (2013) Development of a simple analytical method for the simultaneous determination of paracetamol, paracetamolglucuronide and p-aminophenol in river water. J Chromatogr B 930:75–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2013.04.032
- Scheil V, Kienle C, Osterauer R, Gerhardt A, Kohler HR (2009) Effects of 3,4-dichloroaniline and diazinon on different biological organisation levels of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos and larvae. Ecotoxicology 18:355–363. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10646-008-0291-0
- Schuhmann A, Klammler G, Weiss S, Gans O, Fank J, Haberhauer G, Gerzabek MH (2019) Degradation and leaching of bentazone, terbuthylazine and S-metolachlor and some of their metabolites: A long-term lysimeter experiment. Plant Soil Environ 65(5):273– 281. https://doi.org/10.17221/803/2018-pse
- Sharma A, Kumar V, Shahzad B, Tanveer M, Sidhu GPS, Handa N, Kohli SK, Yadav P, Bali AS, Parihar RD et al (2019) Worldwide pesticide usage and its impacts on ecosystem. SN Appl Sci. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1485-1
- Shipkova M, Armstrong VW, Oellerich M, Wieland E (2003) Acyl glucuronide drug metabolites: toxicological and analytical implications. Ther Drug Monit 25(1):1–16. https://doi.org/10.1097/ 00007691-200302000-00001
- Sparkman OD, Penton ZE, Kitson FG (2011) Gas chromatography. In: Kitson FG, Larsen BS, McEwen CN (eds) Gas Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry: A Practical Guide. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 15–83
- Stanley JK, Ramirez AJ, Chambliss CK, Brooks BW (2007) Enantiospecific sublethal effects of the antidepressant fluoxetine to a model aquatic vertebrate and invertebrate. Chemosphere 69:9– 16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.04.080
- Stokstad E, Grullon G (2013) Infographic: Pesticide Planet. A global look at the uses, benefits, and drawbacks of pesticides. Science 341:730–731. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.341.6147.730
- Stropnicky, W. G. (2017). Effects of the Antidepressant O-Desmethylvenlafaxine on Crayfish Aggression. Theses and Dissertations (All). 1564
- Stumm-Zollinger E, Fair GM (1965) Biodegradation of Steroid Hormones Journal (water Pollution Control Federation) 37:1506–1510
- Subramaniam R, Östin A, Nilsson C, Åstot C (2013) Direct derivatization and gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry identification of nerve agent biomarkers in urine samples. J Chromatogr B 928:98–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2013.03.009
- Sunkara M, Wells MJM (2010) Phase II pharmaceutical metabolites acetaminophen glucuronide and acetaminophen sulfate in wastewater. Environ Chem. https://doi.org/10.1071/EN09098
- Syafrudin M, Kristanti RA, Yuniarto A, Hadibarata T, Rhee J, Al-Onazi WA, Algarni TS, Almarri AH, Al-Mohaimeed AM (2021) Pesticides in drinking water-a review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020468
- Tyagi V, Garg N, Mustafa MD, Banerjee BD, Guleria K (2015) Organochlorine pesticide levels in maternal blood and placental tissue with reference to preterm birth: a recent trend in North Indian

population. Environ Monit Assess 187:471. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10661-015-4369-x

- Union E (2022) Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2022/1307 of 22 July 2022: establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC. Official J Eur Union, L 197:117–121
- European Union (2004). Directive 2004/27/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 amending Directive 2001/83/EC on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use. Official Journal of the European Union. L, 136, 34–57.
- Valls-Cantenys C, Scheurer M, Iglesias M, Sacher F, Brauch HJ, Salvado V (2016) A sensitive multi-residue method for the determination of 35 micropollutants including pharmaceuticals, iodinated contrast media and pesticides in water. Anal Bioanal Chem 408:6189–6200. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-9731-5
- Velisek J, Stara A, Koutnik D, Machova J (2014) Effect of terbuthylazine-2-hydroxy at environmental concentrations on early life stages of common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.). Biomed Res Int 2014:621304. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/621304
- Velisek J, Koutnik D, Zuskova E, Stara A (2016) Effects of the terbuthylazine metabolite terbuthylazine-desethyl on common carp embryos and larvae. Sci Total Environ 539:214–220. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.152
- Velisek J, Stara A, Zuskova E, Kubec J, Buric M, Kouba A (2018) Chronic toxicity of metolachlor OA on growth, ontogenetic development, antioxidant biomarkers and histopathology of early life stages of marbled crayfish. Sci Total Environ 643:1456– 1463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.309
- Veljanoska-Sarafiloska EM, Jordanoski M, Stafilov T (2013) Presence of DDT metabolites in water, sediment and fish muscle tissue from Lake Prespa, Republic of Macedonia. J Environ Sci Health B 48:548–558. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2013.774879
- Vinken M, Knapen D, Vergauwen L, Hengstler JG, Angrish M, Whelan M (2017) Adverse outcome pathways: a concise introduction for toxicologists. Arch Toxicol 91:3697–3707. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00204-017-2020-z
- Vryzas Z (2018) Pesticide fate in soil-sediment-water environment in relation to contamination preventing actions. Curr Opinion

Environ Sci Health 4:5–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2018. 03.001

- Wajchenberg BL, bergaria Pereira, M.A., Medonca, B.B., Latronico, A.C., Campos, C.P., Alves, V.A., Zerbini, M.C., Liberman, B., Carlos, G.G and Kirschner, M.A. (2000) Adrenocortical carcinoma: clinical and laboratory observations. Cancer 88:711–736
- Weston DP, Lydy MJ (2014) Toxicity of the insecticide fipronil and its degradates to benthic macroinvertebrates of urban streams. Environ Sci Technol 48:1290–1297. https://doi.org/10.1021/ es4045874
- White PM, Potter TL, Bosch DD, Joo H, Schaffer B, Muñoz-Carpena R (2009) Reduction in metolachlor and degradate concentrations in shallow groundwater through cover crop use. J Agric Food Chem 57(20):9658–9667. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9021527
- Wilkinson J, Hooda PS, Barker J, Barton S, Swinden J (2017) Occurrence, fate and transformation of emerging contaminants in water: An overarching review of the field. Environ Pollution 231:954–970
- Wode F, van Baar P, Dunnbier U, Hecht F, Taute T, Jekel M, Reemtsma T (2015) Search for over 2000 current and legacy micropollutants on a wastewater infiltration site with a UPLC-high resolution MS target screening method. Water Res 69:274–283. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.watres.2014.11.034
- Zhuo H-Q, Huang L, Huang H-Q, Cai Z (2012) Effects of chronic tramadol exposure on the zebrafish brain: A proteomic study. J Proteomics 75(11):3351–3364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot. 2012.03.038

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.