scientific reports



OPEN OCT1-target neural gene PFN2 promotes tumor growth in androgen receptor-negative prostate cancer

Daisuke Obinata^{1,2}, Daigo Funakoshi¹, Kenichi Takayama³, Makoto Hara⁴, Birunthi Niranjan², Linda Teng², Mitchell G. Lawrence^{2,5,6,7,8}, Renea A. Taylor^{5,6,7,8,9}, Gail P. Risbridger^{2,5,6,7,8}, Yutaka Suzuki¹⁰, Satoru Takahashi¹ & Satoshi Inoue^{3,11}

Androgen and androgen receptor (AR) targeted therapies are the main treatment for most prostate cancer (PC) patients. Although AR signaling inhibitors are effective, tumors can evade this treatment by transforming to an AR-negative PC via lineage plasticity. OCT1 is a transcription factor interacting with the AR to enhance signaling pathways involved in PC progression, but its role in the emergence of the AR-negative PC is unknown. We performed chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIPseq) in patient-derived castration-resistant AR-negative PC cells to identify genes that are regulated by OCT1. Interestingly, a group of genes associated with neural precursor cell proliferation was significantly enriched. Then, we focused on neural genes STNB1 and PFN2 as OCT1-targets among them. Immunohistochemistry revealed that both STNB1 and PFN2 are highly expressed in human AR-negative PC tissues. Knockdown of SNTB1 and PFN2 by siRNAs significantly inhibited migration of AR-negative PC cells. Notably, knockdown of PFN2 showed a marked inhibitory effect on tumor growth in vivo. Thus, we identified OCT1-target genes in AR-negative PC using a patient-derived model, clinicopathologial analysis and an animal model.

Abbreviations

AcH3K27	Acetyl-Histone H3 (Lys27)
ACSL3	Acyl-CoA synthase long-chain family member 3
ADT	Androgen deprivation therapy
ANLN	Aniline-actin binding protein
AR	Androgen receptor
ARBS	AR binding sites
Brn2	Octamer-binding protein 7
ChIP-seq	Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing
CRPC	Castration-resistant PC

¹Department of Urology, Nihon University School of Medicine, 30-1, Ooyaguchikamicho, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo 173-8610, Japan. ²Prostate Cancer Research Group, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute Cancer Program, Department of Anatomy and Developmental Biology, Monash University, Wellington Road, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia. ³Department of Systems Aging Science and Medicine, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, 35-2 Sakae-cho, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo 173-0015, Japan. ⁴Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, Nihon University School of Medicine, 30-1, Ooyaguchikamicho, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo 173-8610, Japan. ⁵Cancer Research Division, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, 305 Grattan Street, Parkville, VIC 3000, Australia. 6Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, The University of Melbourne, 305 Grattan Street, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia. ⁷Melbourne Urological Research Alliance (MURAL), Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute Cancer Program, Monash University, Wellington Road, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia. ⁸Cabrini Institute, Cabrini Health, 183 Wattletree Road, Malvern, VIC 3144, Australia. 9Prostate Cancer Research Group, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute Cancer Program, Department of Physiology, Monash University, Wellington Road, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia. ¹⁰Department of Computational Biology and Medical Sciences Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, University of Tokyo, 5-1-5, Kashiwanoha, Chiba, Chiba 277-8562, Japan. ¹¹Research Center for Genomic Medicine, Saitama Medical University, 1397-1 Yamane, Hidaka, Saitama 350-1241, Japan. [™]email: sinoue@tmig.or.jp

CTNNB1	Catenin beta 1
DAB	3,30-Diaminobenzidine
DHT	Dihydrotestosterone
DLGAP5	DLG-associated protein 5
DNPC	AR and NE marker negative PC
ER	Epitope retrieval
FOXA1	Forkhead box A1
GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GATA2	GATA binding protein 2
GEPIA	Gene expression profiling interactive analysis
IR	Immunoreactivity
MACS	Model-based analysis of ChIP-seq
MTBP	MDM2 binding protein
MURAL	Melbourne urological research alliance
NDRG1	N-Myc downstream regulated 1
NE	Neuroendocrine
NEPC	AR-negative CRPC model with NE characteristics
NRF1	Nuclear respiratory factor 1
OCT1	Octamer transcription factor
OCT4	Octamer-binding transcription factor 4
PC	Prostate cancer
PDX	Patient-derived xenografts
PERP	P53 apoptosis effector related to PMP22
PFN2	Profilin 2
qRT-PCR	Quantitative reverse transcription PCR
RAD21	RAD21 cohesin complex component
RBP1	Retinol binding protein 1
RRID	Research resource identifier
ROSE	Rank ordering of super-enhancers
SAT1	Spermidine/spermine N1-acetyltransferase 1
SOX2	SRY-box transcription factor 2
SDC1	Syndecan 1
siSNTB1	SiRNA targeting SNTB1
siPFN2	SiRNA targeting PFN2
SNTB1	Syntrophin beta 1
STR	Short tandem repeat
TCGA	The Cancer Genome Atlas
TFs	Transcription factors
ZIC4	Zic family member 4

Although androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is initially effective for the treatment of prostate cancer (PC), inevitably castration-resistant tumors emerge¹. Since androgen receptor (AR) signaling persists in most cases of castration-resistant PC (CRPC), second-generation AR signaling inhibitors are commonly used to treat CRPC²⁻⁶. However, with increasing use of AR signaling inhibitors, new mechanisms of resistance have emerged, including loss of AR expression, via lineage plasticity⁷.

AR signaling involves co-factors and AR-collaborating transcription factors (TFs)⁸. AR-collaborating TFs act on the AR-binding region condensed and packed by nucleosomes to support AR-binding, resulting in the enhanced expression of androgen-responsive genes. Among these TFs, GATA binding protein 2 (GATA2), forkhead box A1 (FOXA1), and octamer transcription factor (OCT1) were known to be significantly enriched along with functional AR in 68% of AR-binding regions⁹.

We previously demonstrated that high expression of OCT1 is correlated with poor outcome of PC patients¹⁰. Comprehensive analysis of OCT1 signals revealed that acyl-CoA synthase long-chain family member 3 (*ACSL3*) is the most highly expressed gene regulated by AR and OCT1 in hormone-responsive PC, LNCaP cells^{11,12}. Moreover, we observed increased expression of OCT1 in CRPC tissues compared with hormone-sensitive PC¹³. The genome-wide analysis of OCT1-target genes in 22Rv1 cells, a model of AR-positive CRPC, identified another set of target genes distinct from LNCaP cells that accelerate PC cell proliferation by promoting cell cycle, including aniline-actin binding protein (*ANLN*) and DLG-associated protein 5 (*DLGAP5*)^{13,14}. These data raise the hypothesis that the set of genes targeted by the OCT1/AR complex changes with PC progression.

On the other hand, AR-negative PC with neuroendocrine characteristics (NEPC) is initially a rare histological type that accounts for approximately 1% of PC patients, but it has highly malignant features¹⁵. However, the selective pressure of long-term androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) can eventually induce the development of NEPC¹⁶ or double (AR and NEPC marker) negative PC (DNPC). They arise in approximately 36% of patients treated with AR inhibitors¹⁷. Yet, the role of OCT1 in the transition of tumors to an AR-negative phenotype is still unknown.

Although PC3 and DU145 recapitulate AR-negative CRPC, they do not encompass the heterogeneity of CRPC. To address this challenge, efforts are underway to develop new in vivo, ex vivo, and in vitro models from samples of patients who have been treated. This includes patient-derived xenografts (PDX) which typically retain the histological and genome characteristics of the original patient's tumor¹⁸. We have previously established



Figure 1. Global analysis of octamer transcription factor (OCT1) binding in androgen receptor (AR)-negative castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) patient-derived xenograft (PDX). (**A**) Identification of Acetyl-Histone H3 (Lys27) (AcH3K27) and octamer transcription factor (OCT1)-binding regions by chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing (ChIP-seq). ChIP-seq analyses were performed using organoid established from PDX-201.2A-cx. AcH3K27and OCT1-binding regions (vs. input control, P < 1.0e–4) were determined by model-based analysis for ChIP-seq (MACS). (**B**) Motif analysis of OCT1-binding regions showing the enrichment of POU, Homeobox-binding motifs. We used HOMER motif analysis for 200-bp DNA sequences around OCT1-binding peaks. The two of top three motifs by this analysis are related to POU, Homeobox binding Protein 7 (Brn2), Forkhead Box A2 (Foxa1). (**C**) An outline of OCT1-binding regions in the vicinity of the representative OCT1-regulated gene acyl-CoA synthase long-chain family member 3 (ACSL3) on chromosome 2. AcH3K27 (AcH3), chromosome 2 (Chr 2).

new PDXs of CRPC that were resistant to conventional ADT, second-generation AR-directed inhibitors, and chemotherapy, one of which was an AR-negative CRPC model¹⁹. In the present study, we used this PDX model to elucidate the genome-wide network of OCT1 in AR-negative CRPC.

Results

Identification of OCT1-binding sites in AR-negative CRPC using ChIP-seq. We previously reported the distribution of OCT1-binding regions throughout the human genome in AR-positive PC cell lines, LNCaP, VCaP and 22Rv1^{11,13}. We demonstrated that OCT1 recruitment around AR binding sites (ARBS) is enhanced by androgens, and OCT1-regulated genes differ between castration-sensitive PC and AR-positive CRPC cells^{11,13}. However, the role of OCT1 in AR-negative CRPC is unknown; hence we conducted ChIP-seq analysis to identify genome wide activated histone marker, histone H3 lysine (K) 27 acetylated (AcH3K27) sites, and OCT1-binding regions in PDX 201.2A-Cx [Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo), accession number GSE193073]. PDX 201.2A-Cx is a patient-derived model of AR-negative CRPC that was established from a rapid autopsy sample of a lung metastasis from a PC patient who failed treatment with AR signaling inhibitors¹⁹. OCT1-binding regions were detected by calculating the enrichment of OCT1 compared with the input using the MACS software. In total, 12,005 binding regions were identified as OCT1 enriched sites and 9333 regions were identified as AcH3K27 enriched sites (vs. input control, P < 1.0e-4) (Fig. 1A). Among these, 508 regions overlapped (4.2% of OCT1 sites) (Fig. 1A). The HOMER program showed that the top two motifs are related to OCT family-binding motifs, suggesting direct OCT1 binding to the genome sequences with OCT family-binding motifs (Fig. 1B). Notably, Octamer-Binding Protein 7 (Brn2) is a major driver of neuroendocrine differentiation in prostate cancer²⁰. We previously confirmed by luciferase assay that the enhancer region of ACSL3 is indeed regulated by AR and OCT1 in LNCaP¹¹, while only exhibited signal enrichments in the promoter region in PDX 201.2A-Cx cells, unlike cells from a model of AR-positive CRPC, where both ACSL3 enhancer and promoter regions show signal enrichments (Fig. 1C)¹³. Using this ChIP-seq data and our previous data (GSE123565, GSE146886), we observed reduced histone acetylation and Oct1 bindings in promoter/ enhancer regions of AR and other Oct1/AR target genes in the AR negative CRPC model (201.2A-Cx) compared with AR positive 22Rv1 cells (Supplementary Fig. S1). In contrast, high acetylation was observed in the genomic region of a NEPC marker, SRY-Box Transcription Factor 2 (SOX2)²¹, suggesting the changed transcriptional program and Oct1 target in the transition of AR positive state to AR negative.

Combined analysis of hyperacetylated chromatin domains with OCT1-binding sites and RNA-seq data identified putative OCT1-regulated genes in AR-negative CRPC. Next, we examined ACSL3 and OCT1 expression in the PDX 201.2A-Cx model of AR-negative CRPC using immunohisto-chemistry. OCT1 was strongly expressed, while ACSL3 was weakly expressed (Fig. 2A,B). We then investigated





Figure 2. Analysis of OCT1 and putative OCT1-regulated gene expression in AR-negative CRPC. Representative images of immunohistochemistry for ACSL3 (**A**) and OCT1 (**B**) in PDX 201.2A-Cx. Scale bars equal 50 μm. (**C**) Line graph showing the number of putative super-enhancers defined by ranked AcH3K27 signal. (**D**) Top twenty highly expressed genes in the vicinity of OCT1-binding sites in PDX 201.2A-Cx. (**E**) Top twenty highly expressed genes among the putative super-enhancer associated genes in the vicinity of Oct1binding sites in PDX 201.2A-Cx.

highly expressed OCT1-target genes by AcH3K27 ChIP-seq data in 201.1A-Cx. In addition, Rank Ordering of Super-Enhancers (ROSE) analysis²² revealed enhancers and putative super-enhancers using ChIP-seq signals for AcH3K27 in PDX 201.2A-Cx cells, and identified 288 putative super-enhancers (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, using Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) version 4.0.4, we detected 669 putative OCT1-regulated genes located within 1000 kb of the OCT1 binding signal overlapping with significant AcH3K27 sites. Among them, 168 genes were identified to be putative super-enhancers and OCT1-regulated genes, which overlapped with the putative super-enhancer regions.

We used our previous RNA-seq data¹⁹, to examine the mRNA abundance of these genes. The top 20 genes based on high expression levels are shown for putative OCT1-target genes (Fig. 2D) and putative super-enhancer and OCT1-regulated genes (Fig. 2E). Several genes were present in both categories, including Catenin Beta 1 (*CTNNB1*), Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 1 (*FGFR1*), N-Myc Downstream Regulated 1 (*NDRG1*), P53 Apoptosis Effector Related To PMP22 (*PERP*), profilin 2 (*PFN2*), RAD21 Cohesin Complex Component (*RAD21*), Retinol Binding Protein 1 (*RBP1*), Spermidine/Spermine N1-Acetyltransferase 1 (*SAT1*), and Syndecan 1 (*SDC1*).

Identification of OCT1-regulated genes related to neural function. To evaluate the relationship between OCT1 binding and gene function, we performed functional gene set analysis using Metascape which is a web-based analysis tool that provides a comprehensive gene list annotation and analysis resource²³. We extracted a list of the top 100 most highly expressed genes among putative super-enhancer and OCT1-regulated genes. We found that these genes are associated with various annotation groups and are significantly enriched in the Wnt signaling pathway, head development, chondrocyte differentiation, and neural precursor cell proliferation (Fig. 3A). Of note, it is interesting to find that a group of neural precursor cell proliferation, which may influence NEPC proliferation, were enriched.



OCT1-target genes in the vicinity of the top 25 highest AcH3K27 signals



Figure 3. Identification of putative OCT1-regulated genes. (**A**) Functional annotations for putative superenhancer associated OCT1 putative target genes in PDX 201.2A-Cx. (**B**) The log2-fold changes against AR-positive CRPC tissues in gene expression of 13 OCT1 target genes in the vicinity of the top 25 highest AcH3K27 signals of the top 100 most highly expressed genes in AR-negative CRPC tissues. (**C**) Venn diagram showing unique and common features of putative super-enhancer-associated genes in the vicinity of OCT1binding sites.

To prioritize putative OCT1 target genes for further analysis, we used a filtered the dataset using several criteria. First, we focused on chromosome 8, which is commonly amplified in prostate cancer²⁴. These amplifications include MYC, which promotes prostate tumorigenesis²⁵ and has a copy number gain in 201.2- Cx^{19} .

In addition, we selected 13 OCT1-target genes in the vicinity of the top 25 putative super-enhancer regions among the top 100 most highly expressed putative super-enhancer and OCT1-regulated genes (Fig. 3B). We also examined which genes were more highly expressed in the AR negative versus AR positive PDX from the same patient using our previously reported RNA-seq data¹⁹ (Fig. 3B). We found seven putative super-enhancer and OCT1-regulated genes were more highly (>4.0 fold) expressed in AR negative CRPC than in AR-positive CRPC tissues.

Next, we identified two genes that are related to neural precursor cell proliferation. In addition, two genes are identified to be located in chromosome 8 (Fig. 3C). Therefore, we focused on these four genes, *profillin2 (PFN2)*,



Figure 4. The expression characteristics of candidate OCT1-regulated genes. (**A**) RNA expression levels of each candidate OCT1 regulated gene in representative prostate cancer cell lines and organoids grown from PDX 201.2A-Cx (201.2). 100 nM of dihydrotestosterone treatment (DHT), Bars, standard error of the mean (SEM). (**B**) ChIP analysis of OCT1 binding in the enhancer regions of each gene in 201.2A. The regulatory region of Zic Family Member 4 (*ZIC4*) was used as negative control. The OCT1 binding region targeted by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) and the corresponding gene are shown below. MDM2 Binding Protein (*MTBP*): model-based analysis for ChIP-seq (MACS)_peak_10653, profilin 2 (*PFN2*): MACS_peak_6593, SRY-Box Transcription Factor 2 (*SOX2*): MACS_peak_6774, and Syntrophin Beta 1 (*SNTB1*): MACS_peak_10658. Bars, standard deviation (SD). (**C**) Images of OCT1-binding regions in the vicinity of the putative OCT1-regulated genes *PFN2* and *SNTB1*.

0 0

SOX2, Syntrophin Beta 1 (*SNTB1*), and MDM2 Binding Protein (*MTBP*). To validate the expression level of these genes, we performed qRT-PCR using AR-positive and -negative PC cell lines as well as PDX 201.2A-Cx cells. All genes were more highly expressed in PDX 201.2A-Cx cells than the cell lines, except for *SOX2* (Fig. 4A). Moreover, we performed ChIP analysis with the OCT1 antibody to validate OCT1 binding. We observed significant enrichment of OCT1-binding in the regulatory regions of all genes, but not the regulatory region of Zic Family Member 4 (*ZIC4*), which was used as negative control (Fig. 4B,C).

SNTB1 and PFN2 are positively regulated by OCT1 and highly expressed in bone metastatic prostate cancer tissues. To investigate the relationship between the putative OCT1-target genes and OCT1, we performed transient transfection of OCT1 into PC3 AR-negative PC cells (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. S2). Increased expression of *SNTB1* and *PFN2* was detected in both OCT1 transfected cells, whilst *MTBP* was decreased (Fig. 5B and Supplementary Fig. S3). Thus, we analyzed *SNTB1* and *PFN2* gene expression in prostate adenocarcinoma tissues using Oncomine. Interestingly, we found that the expression levels of these two genes were higher in bone metastases than in primary tumors (Fig. 5C).

Immunohistochemistry of PFN2 and SNTB1 in clinical CRPC tissues and inhibitory effects on migration and tumor growth by PFN2 knockdown. Since the expression of SNTB1 and PFN2 in AR-negative CRPC has not been reported, immunohistochemistry was performed on tissues from 16 cases of NEPC, DNPC or CRPC. Then, we revealed that SNTB1 and PFN2 proteins were positively expressed in most of AR-negative PC tissues including NEPC (Fig. 6A,B). To investigate the effects of altered expression of these two genes on the proliferation and migration of AR-negative CRPC cells, PC3 and DU145 were transfected with siRNA targeting *SNTB1* and *PFN2* (siSNTB1 and siPFN2). We confirmed that these siRNAs significantly



Figure 5. OCT1 positively regulates PFN2 and SNTB1. The effect of transient transfection of OCT1 on gene expression. PC3 cells were transfected with or without OCT1 expression plasmid (PC3-OCT1 and PC3) for 48 h. OCT1 expression was analyzed by Western blotting (**A**), and expression of each candidate gene was analyzed by qRT-PCR. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, Student's t-tests. Bars, SEM (**B**). (**C**) Expression of *SNTB1* and *PFN2* mRNA is higher in bone metastatic prostate cancer tissues. These expression levels were analyzed using a public database in Oncomine⁴⁹. The original Western blots are presented in Supplementary Fig. S2.

.....

reduced the expression of endogenous *SNTB1* and *PFN2* in DU145 and PC3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4). PC3 and DU145 cells transfected with si*SNTB1* and si*PFN2* showed no obvious change in proliferation compared to those transfected with siNegative Control. However, there was a significant decrease in migration in both cell lines (Fig. 6C and Supplementary Fig. S5). Meanwhile, we showed that transient expression of OCT1 increased the migration of PC3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S6).

To explore in vivo roles of these genes, xenografts of PC3 cells in male nude mice were injected with siPFN2, siSNTB1, or siNegative Control. Similar to the in vitro results, qRT-PCR and immunohistochemistry of harvested tumor specimens showed that both *PFN2* and *SNTB1* expression levels in the tumors were reduced by each siRNA (Fig. 6D, Supplementary Fig. S7A,B). Notably, whereas the siSNTB1-treated tumors had a similar growth rate to those treated with siNegative Control, there was a significant reduction in tumor volume in tumors treated with siPFN2 (Fig. 6E). Visceral metastases or lymph node swelling were not observed. Using GEPIA, an online tool for analyzing the RNA-seq expression data²⁶, *PFN2* high expression is significantly correlated with poor overall survival of prostate cancer patients in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) dataset (Supplementary Fig. S8), while *SNTB1* is not.

Discussion

The AR plays an important role in prostate cancer, even in the development of CRPC. Although ASRI are used after or in combination with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), AR-positive PC cells can adapt into AR-negative phenotype, becoming independent of AR expression or signaling⁷. Furthermore, CRPC is a highly heterogeneous disease unlike conventional cell lines. Thus, the lack of in vitro prostate cancer models that recapitulate the diversity of human prostate cancer has prevented an understanding of pathogenesis and treatment response of the disease. We previously focused on four PDXs, one of which was AR-negative CRPC, from rapid autopsy samples of two CRPC patients who had exhausted multiple therapies, and confirmed that they recapitulate the phenotypic diversity of CRPC by genomic profiling¹⁹. We also examined the core pathways enriched throughout these PDXs based on RNA-seq data¹⁹. To understand the role of core transcription factors in AR-negative CRPC,



Figure 6. Immunohistochemistry of PFN2 and SNTB1 in clinical CRPC tissues and functional analysis in AR-negative CRPC model cells. (A) Representative images of immunohistochemistry for NEPC (PCa-NE; N=5) and CRPC cases (N=10). (B) Results of immunohistochemistry using clinical specimens. The expression level of each protein using the immunoreactivity (IR) score is summarized in the heat map. Note that, in the case of 2. bladder tumor (BT)/PCa-NE, the bladder and prostate were resected endoscopically, so it was unable to determine whether it originated from the prostate or the bladder. DNPC: AR and NE marker double negative PC(N=1). (C) Data from cell migration assays where the number of migratory cells was counted in five random fields and compared. Both siRNAs inhibited the migration ability on PC3 and DU145. ***p<0.001, One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. Bars, SD. siNegative Control (siNeg), siRNA targeting SNTB1 (siSNTB1), siRNAs targeting PFN2 (siPFN2). (D) RNA was extracted from harvested tumors and qRT-PCR was performed. ***p<0.001 for siPFN2 vs. siNeg, **p<0.01 for siSNTB1 vs. siNeg, Student's t-tests (n=6 each). Bars, SEM. (E) siPFN2 significantly reduced the volume of tumors compared to the volume when siNeg was administered. On the other hand, siSNTB1 did not show any significant difference. The mean volume $(V \text{ mm}^3)$ of tumors formed in mice was shown and calculated by the following formula: $V = 0.5 \times \text{maximum}$ diameter × intermediate diameter × minimum diameter. *p<0.05 for siPFN2 vs. siNeg, bars, SD, Student's t-tests for each day (n = 6 each, one mouse in the SNTB1 group died during the course of the study). Bars, SD.

.....

we profiled OCT1 binding in a patient-derived model and identified two OCT1-target genes that promote the migration and/or tumor growth of AR-negative PC.

The distribution of AR-binding regions as well as the type of TFs cooperating with AR are different between hormone-sensitive and castration-resistant PCs. Although the CRPC-specific AR-binding regions are not occupied with typical AR-collaborating factors as observed in hormone-sensitive PC cells, the AR independent TFs, such as MYC, are recruited into these sites²⁷. These data suggest that the type of TFs recruited into the AR-binding region change in accordance with lineage plasticity. On the other hand, OCT1 is widely expressed, including in the placenta, rectum and testis (Human Protein Atlas available from http://www.proteinatlas.org), in the human body among the eight OCT proteins, and known to be associated with the master regulator of pluripotency, octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (OCT4), in stem cells^{28–30}. We recently reported that OCT4 associates with Nuclear Respiratory Factor 1 (NRF1) in AR-negative PC and consists an important component of the TF complex in CRPC³¹. Interestingly, OCT1 binding sites were enriched in a series of genes that are regulated by MYC in MYC-driven lung adenocarcinomas³², suggesting that OCT1 and MYC may co-regulate a series of androgen-responsive genes in PC. Consistent with these results, our PDXs are shown to express high levels of MYC¹⁹. We have previously reported that OCT1-regulated genes that overlap with AR signals differ between LNCaP and 22Rv1 cells^{11,13,14}. Here, using a PDX model of AR-negative PC, we demonstrated the enrichment of OCT1-bound genes associated with proliferation of neural progenitor cells, which may be independent of the

AR network. Interestingly, some of the genes we focused on in this study were mildly repressed in an androgendependent manner in LNCaP and 22Rv1 (Fig. 4A). Genes involved in cellular plasticity, such as MYC and SOX2, are known to be negatively correlated with AR³³. Androgen deprivation can induce the expression level of androgen-repressed genes, leading to high expression in CRPC^{34,35}. Therefore, we expect that negative regulation of these genes might be correlated with high expression level in our PDX. Loss of AR expression in this models is not due to genomic loss, but likely due to epigenetic changes¹⁹. Accordingly, there was no significant histone H3K27 acetylation in the *AR* promoter region in this PDX model (Supplementary Fig. S1). Although further analysis of the epigenome is required, such as DNA methylation, we hypothesize that epigenetic changes in this locus may affect the distribution of TFs, including OCT1, during the phenotypic transition of prostate cancer cells. Thus, these results suggest that in addition to the types of AR collaborating TFs changing with disease progression, the genes regulated by the TFs also change significantly.

Concerning the two OCT1-target genes in AR-negative PC, PFN2 and SNTB1, that were focused on in this study, the functions of SNTB1 are not well characterized. PDX-201.1A-Cx was generated from a metastasis, and Oncomine data shows that these genes are more highly expressed in metastases than in primary tumors. SNTB1 has been associated with intense myopia and muscle contraction^{36,37}. On the other hand, PFN2, a member of profilin family, regulates actin polymerization in response to extracellular signals by binding to an actin monomer and is essential for controlling cytoskeletal movement, particularly in synapses where it regulates vesicle release, neuronal excitability, and ultimately complex behavior³⁸. Recent reports have shown that aberrant expression of PFN2 is associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer and that PFN2 is regulated by FOXD2-AS1 and OCT4³⁹. Furthermore, PFN2 was shown to be upregulated in head and neck cancers and its knockdown inhibited proliferation, invasion and migration of these cells. It is supposed that PFN2 activates the PI3K/Akt/β-catenin signaling pathway in head and neck cancers⁴⁰. Meanwhile, there has been no previous report on the relationship between PFN2 and PC cells to our knowledge. In our study, siPFN2 and siSNTB1 both inhibited migration in vitro, but interestingly, only siPFN2 markedly inhibited tumor growth in vivo. This might be caused by the lower expression of SNTB1 in PC3 cells compared to PFN2. It is tempting to speculate that PFN2 is involved in epithelial mesenchymal transition, which may affect cell migration and tumorigenicity in vivo. Moreover, the mechanical properties of a cell are likely to be crucial for its migratory behavior. Previous reports have shown that spatial cytoskeletal organization is required for the deformation of cancer cells to promote migration⁴¹. Therefore, it is possible that PFN2 enhances migration by regulating actin polymerization for cytoskeleton organization.

In conclusion, this study uncovered global OCT1-binding sites in a patient-derived model of AR-negative PC. Neuronal cell proliferation associated signals were significantly enriched among OCT1-targets in this model. We identified OCT1-target genes in AR-negative PC cells, including *PFN2*, which affects the growth of AR-negative PC tumors. Although additional in vivo experiments and AR negative PDXs are necessary to validate the results of this study, we propose that targeting *PFN2* could be a promising therapeutic strategy for aggressive AR-negative phenotypes of CRPC.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and reagents. PDX 201.2A-Cx was provided by the Melbourne Urological Research Alliance (MURAL)¹⁹ and cultured as organoids. Briefly, PDX 201.2A-Cx was minced and digested in growth factor reduced, phenol red-free, ldEV-free Matrigel and cultured in advanced DMEM/F-12 media containing 1% penicillin–streptomycin, 2 mM Glutamax, 1 nM DHT, 1.25 mM *N*-acetylcysteine, 50 ng/mL EGF, 500 nM A83-01, 10 mM, nicotinamide, 10 μ M SB202190, 2% B27, 100 ng/mL noggin, 10 ng/mL FGF10, 5 ng/mL FGF2, 1 μ M prostaglandin E2 and 10% R-spondin 1 conditioned media. 10 μ M Y-27632 dihydrochloride as previously described¹⁹. The PC cell lines LNCaP [Research Resource Identifier (RRID): CVCL_0395], 22RV1 (RRID: CVCL_1045), PC3 (RRID: CVCL_0035) and DU145 (RRID: CVCL_0105) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA). LNCaP, 22RV1, PC3 are cultured using RPMI-1640 and DU145 using DMEM medium, respectively, and both are supplemented with 10% FBS, 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 μ g/mL streptomycin, in addition, some of LNCaP and 22Rv1 cells are treated with 100 nM of dihydrotestosterone (DHT) (Wako, Tokyo, Japan) for 3 days¹⁴. Short tandem repeat (STR) analysis was performed to authenticate the cell lines used in the present study^{31,42}. Also, these cells have been checked for Mycoplasma contamination using real-time PCR performed by Funakoshi Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing (ChIP-seq). OCT1 and Acetyl-Histone H3 (Lys27) (AcH3K27) ChIP-seq with organoids from PDX 201.2A-Cx was performed as in previous studies using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA)¹³. The signal score for OCT1 binding was calculated using Model-based analysis of ChIP-seq (MACS; 20). The threshold for binding sites was set at P < 1.0e-4. The dataset was examined using the Integrative Genome Viewer. Genes located within 1000 kb of the upstream and downstream of the binding site were extracted with the Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) version 4.0.4 to be candidates for OCT1-target genes⁴³. Motif search (50 bp around the peaks obtained by ChIP-seq) was performed using HOMER⁴⁴, and the samples used for ChIP-seq were further validated for binding to some regions by qPCR as described below. To identify putative super enhancers, we used Rank Ordering of Super-Enhancers (ROSE) downloaded from Young Lab (http://younglab.wi.mit.edu/super_enhancer_code. html)²².

Ouantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). Total RNA extraction of all PC cell lines and PDX 201.2A-Cx, first-strand cDNA synthesis, and qRT-PCR were performed. Total RNA was obtained using RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN, Tokyo, Japan). First-strand cDNAs were generated using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara, Kyoto, Japan) according to the manufacture's protocol. The expression level of genes was measured by

qRT-PCR using the SYBR green mix (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA)⁴⁵. The expression level of each gene as a ratio to the expression level of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was calculated by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method⁴⁶. The primers used in this study are shown in the Supplementary Table S1. For the MYC primer, we referred to a previous report⁴⁷.

Transient expression of OCT1 in PC3 cells. We used an OCT1 pcDNA3.1-FLAG construct as previously reported¹¹. PC3 cells were transfected with 1000 ng OCT1-pcDNA3.1-FLAG (PC3-OCT1) or without plasmids for control cells (PC3) using X-tremeGENE HP DNA transfection Reagent (Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland) according to manufacturer's protocol.

Western blot analysis. PC3 and PC3-OCT1 were thawed on ice and whole protein lysates extracted from these cells using RIPA lysis buffer with protease inhibitor (nacalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan). Lysates were mixed with NuPAGE[™] Sample Reducing Agent (10X) and NuPAGE[™] LDS Sample Buffer (4X) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Tokyo, Japan) and loaded on Bis–Tris Gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific), separated by electro-phoresis. Samples were transferred to Immobilion-P Transfer Membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight and then were incubated with secondary antibodies. Chemiluminescence was achieved with Chemi-Lumi One Super (nakalai tesque). The following antibodies were used in this study: Rabbit polyclonal anti-OCT1 antibody (abcam, Cambridge, UK)¹⁰, Mouse monoclonal anti- β -actin monoclonal antibody (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA)¹⁰.

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry was performed on PDX tissue using the Leica BOND-MAX-TM automated system (Autostainer) with BondTM epitope retrieval (ER)-1 for anti-ACSL3 Abnova (Taipei, Taiwan) and ER-2 for anti-OCT1 antibody¹⁹. Prostate cancer tissues obtained by prostate biopsy were stained by the streptavidin–biotin amplification method⁴⁸. The use of prostate cancer tissue for immunohistochemistry has been approved by the Institutional Review Board and the Research Ethics Committee of the Nihon University School of Medicine (approval number: RK-190611-03). Briefly, anti-SNTB1 and anti-PFN2 polyclonal antibodies (HPA024659 and HPA35611 from Sigma, 1:200 dilution) were applied, followed by Histofine Simple Stain MAX-PO (Nichirei, Tokyo Japan). The antigen–antibody complex was visualized with 3,30-diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution (1 mM DAB, 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer [pH 7.6], and 0.006% H₂O₂). Immunoreactivity (IR) score (0–8) was obtained as the sum of the proportion and the intensity of immunoreactivity. Proportion (0, none; 1, <1/100; 2, 1/100 to 1/10; 3, 1/10 to 1/3; 4, 1/3 to 2/3; and 5, >2/3), Intensity (0, none; 1, weak; 2, moderate; and 3, strong).

Small interfering RNA. We transfected cells with 10 nM of siRNA using Lipofectamine RNAi MAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacture's protocol. We purchased Silencer Select Negative Control #1 siRNA (siNegative Control, #4390844) and Silencer Select siRNAs (#44427037) targeting *SNTB1* (siSNTB1, #1: s13252, #2: s13253) and *PFN2* (siPFN2, #1: s10378, #2: s10379) from ThermoFisher Japan (Tokyo, Japan).

Migration assay. Migration assays were performed by using the Cell Culture Insert with 8.0- μ m pore size polyester filters (BD Biosciences). We added 700 μ L of cultured medium for PC3 or DU145 cells in the lower chamber and 300 μ L of cultured medium containing cells transfected with siRNAs (5×10³) in the upper chamber. After 24 h of incubation, the cells on the lower side of inserts were fixed, then stained with Giemsa solution (Muto Pure Chemicals, Tokyo, Japan). The cells that migrated on the lower surface were counted in four randomly selected fields under a microscope at a magnification of ×40.

Cell proliferation assay. PC3 and DU145 cells transfected with siRNA complex were seeded at 5000 cells/ well in 96 well plates and cultured in RPMI-1640 and DMEM. At the indicated time points, cell proliferation was quantified using 2-(2-methoxy-4-nitrophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-(2,4-disulfophenyl)-2H tetrazolium, mono-sodium salt (WST-8), Cell Count Reagent SF (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Assays were performed in four wells and data were presented as average and SD.

In vivo xenograft experiment. In vivo study was approved by the animal ethics committee of Nihon University School of Medicine (Approval number: AP18MED051-1). All animal experiments described adhere to policies and practices approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committe (IACUC). The study was performed in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines (2010). PC3 cells (3×10^6 cells) were injected subcutaneously into the right flank of 5-week-old male nude mice (BALB/cA-nu/nu obtained from Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Japan) (total n = 18). Tumor size was monitored by caliper measurements every 3 days, and the volume of tumors was calculated by the following formula: $V = 0.5 \times \text{maximum diameter} \times \text{intermediate diameter} \times \text{minimum diameter}$. When tumor size reached approximately 100 mm³, 5 µg of siNegative control, siPFN2, or siS-NTB1 (siPFN2: Silencer select Pre-Designed siRNA ID: s10378, siSNTB1: Silencer select Pre-Designed siRNA ID: s13252) mixed with RNAi MAX Transfection Reagent was injected into the tumor twice weekly for 2 weeks. Xenografts were harvested from mice anesthetized with isoflurane and stained with SNTB1 and PFN2 antibodies, and homogenized in ISOGEN (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan) solution to extract RNA for cDNA.

Analysis of clinical data in the public databases. We compared the transcriptional expression of *PFN2* and *SNTB1*, and prognosis of prostate cancer cases based on publicly available data from Gene Expression

Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA)²⁶ and Oncomine databases (https://www.oncomine.org/)⁴⁹. Using data from the Cancer Genome Atlas database, GEPIA performed the Log-rank test to analyze overall survival (OS) based on gene expression.

Statistical analysis. Cell proliferation, cell migration assays, and xenograft experiments were evaluated using Student's t-tests and One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. Statistical assessments were implemented in Graphpad Prism for Mac 8.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and JMP 14 software (SAS Institute Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and p-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Data availability

The RNA-seq dataset has previously been reported¹⁹ and is available on request from the Melbourne Urological Research Alliance (MURAL). ChIP-seq data has been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo), accession number GSE193073. The remaining datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 18 January 2022; Accepted: 23 March 2022 Published online: 12 April 2022

References

- Scher, H. I. *et al.* Design and end points of clinical trials for patients with progressive prostate cancer and castrate levels of testosterone: Recommendations of the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 26, 1148–1159. https://doi.org/ 10.1200/JCO.2007.12.4487 (2008).
- 2. Scher, H. I. *et al.* Increased survival with enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **367**, 1187–1197. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1207506 (2012).
- Beer, T. M. et al. Enzalutamide in metastatic prostate cancer before chemotherapy. N. Engl. J. Med. 371, 424–433. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa1405095 (2014).
- de Bono, J. S. et al. Abiraterone and increased survival in metastatic prostate cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 364, 1995–2005. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa1014618 (2011).
- Small, E. J. et al. Apalutamide and overall survival in non-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Ann. Oncol. 30, 1813–1820. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz397 (2019).
- Obinata, D., Takayama, K., Takahashi, S. & Inoue, S. Crosstalk of the androgen receptor with transcriptional collaborators: Potential therapeutic targets for castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancers (Basel)*. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers9030022 (2017).
- Ellis, L. & Loda, M. LSD1: A single target to combat lineage plasticity in lethal prostate cancer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 4530–4531. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804205115 (2018).
- Obinata, D. *et al.* Recent discoveries in the androgen receptor pathway in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 10, 581515. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.581515 (2020).
- Wang, Q. et al. A hierarchical network of transcription factors governs androgen receptor-dependent prostate cancer growth. Mol. Cell 27, 380–392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2007.05.041 (2007).
- Obinata, D. et al. Oct1 regulates cell growth of LNCaP cells and is a prognostic factor for prostate cancer. Int. J. Cancer 130, 1021–1028. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26043 (2012).
- Obinata, D. et al. Targeting Oct1 genomic function inhibits androgen receptor signaling and castration-resistant prostate cancer growth. Oncogene 35, 6350–6358. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.171 (2016).
- 12. Migita, T. *et al.* ACSL3 promotes intratumoral steroidogenesis in prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Sci.* **108**, 2011–2021. https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.13339 (2017).
- Takayama, K. I. *et al.* Integrative genomic analysis of OCT1 reveals coordinated regulation of androgen receptor in advanced prostate cancer. *Endocrinology* 160, 463–472. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2018-00923 (2019).
- 14. Yamamoto, S. *et al.* Identification of new octamer transcription factor 1-target genes upregulated in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Sci.* **110**, 3476–3485. https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.14183 (2019).
- Aggarwal, R., Zhang, T., Small, E. J. & Armstrong, A. J. Neuroendocrine prostate cancer: Subtypes, biology, and clinical outcomes. J. Natl. Compr. Cancer Netw. 12, 719–726. https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2014.0073 (2014).
- Yao, J. et al. Neuroendocrine carcinoma as an independent prognostic factor for patients with prostate cancer: A population-based study. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne). https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021.778758 (2021).
- Bluemn, E. G. *et al.* Androgen receptor pathway-independent prostate cancer is sustained through FGF signaling. *Cancer Cell* 32, 474-489 e476. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.09.003 (2017).
- Hidalgo, M. et al. Patient-derived xenograft models: An emerging platform for translational cancer research. Cancer Discov. 4, 998–1013. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-0001 (2014).
- Lawrence, M. G. *et al.* Patient-derived models of abiraterone- and enzalutamide-resistant prostate cancer reveal sensitivity to ribosome-directed therapy. *Eur. Urol.* 74, 562–572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2018.06.020 (2018).
- Bishop, J. L. *et al.* The master neural transcription factor BRN2 is an androgen receptor-suppressed driver of neuroendocrine differentiation in prostate cancer. *Cancer Discov.* 7, 54–71. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-1263 (2017).
- 21. Mu, P. *et al.* SOX2 promotes lineage plasticity and antiandrogen resistance in TP53- and RB1-deficient prostate cancer. *Science* **355**, 84–88. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah4307 (2017).
- Whyte, W. A. *et al.* Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers at key cell identity genes. *Cell* 153, 307–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.035 (2013).
- Zhou, Y. *et al.* Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1523. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6 (2019).
- Sato, K. et al. Clinical significance of alterations of chromosome 8 in high-grade, advanced, nonmetastatic prostate carcinoma. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 91, 1574–1580. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/91.18.1574 (1999).
- Hawksworth, D. et al. Overexpression of C-MYC oncogene in prostate cancer predicts biochemical recurrence. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 13, 311–315. https://doi.org/10.1038/pcan.2010.31 (2010).
- Tang, Z. et al. GEPIA: A web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, W98–W102. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx247 (2017).
- Sharma, N. L. et al. The androgen receptor induces a distinct transcriptional program in castration-resistant prostate cancer in man. Cancer Cell 23, 35–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2012.11.010 (2013).
- Tantin, D. Oct transcription factors in development and stem cells: Insights and mechanisms. *Development* 140, 2857–2866. https:// doi.org/10.1242/dev.095927 (2013).

- Vazquez-Arreguin, K. & Tantin, D. The Oct1 transcription factor and epithelial malignancies: Old protein learns new tricks. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1859, 792–804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2016.02.007 (2016).
- Uhlen, M. et al. Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. Science 347, 1260419. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1260419 (2015).
- Takayama, K. I. *et al.* Subtype-specific collaborative transcription factor networks are promoted by OCT4 in the progression of prostate cancer. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 3766. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23974-4 (2021).
- Reymann, S. & Borlak, J. Transcription profiling of lung adenocarcinomas of c-myc-transgenic mice: Identification of the c-myc regulatory gene network. BMC Syst. Biol. 2, 46. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-2-46 (2008).
- Formaggio, N., Rubin, M. A. & Theurillat, J. P. Loss and revival of androgen receptor signaling in advanced prostate cancer. Oncogene 40, 1205–1216. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-020-01598-0 (2021).
- Takayama, K. I., Fujimura, T., Suzuki, Y. & Inoue, S. Identification of long non-coding RNAs in advanced prostate cancer associated with androgen receptor splicing factors. *Commun. Biol.* 3, 393. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01120-y (2020).
- Zhang, A. *et al.* LncRNA HOTAIR enhances the androgen-receptor-mediated transcriptional program and drives castrationresistant prostate cancer. *Cell Rep.* 13, 209–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.08.069 (2015).
- Cheong, K. X., Yong, R. Y. Y., Tan, M. M. H., Tey, F. L. K. & Ang, B. C. H. Association of SNTB1 with high myopia. *Curr. Eye Res.* 46, 144–150. https://doi.org/10.1080/02713683.2020.1772835 (2021).
- Yoshizawa, K. *et al.* Analyses of beta-1 syntrophin, syndecan 2 and gem GTPase as candidates for chicken muscular dystrophy. *Exp. Anim.* 52, 391–396. https://doi.org/10.1538/expanim.52.391 (2003).
- Pilo Boyl, P. et al. Profilin2 contributes to synaptic vesicle exocytosis, neuronal excitability, and novelty-seeking behavior. EMBO J. 26, 2991–3002. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601737 (2007).
- Jiang, M. et al. Long noncoding RNA FOXD2AS1/miR1505p/PFN2 axis regulates breast cancer malignancy and tumorigenesis. Int. J. Oncol. 54, 1043–1052. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2019.4671 (2019).
- Zhou, K. et al. Profilin 2 promotes proliferation and metastasis of head and neck cancer cells by regulating PI3K/AKT/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Oncol. Res. 27, 1079–1088. https://doi.org/10.3727/096504019X15579146061957 (2019).
- Rolli, C. G., Seufferlein, T., Kemkemer, R. & Spatz, J. P. Impact of tumor cell cytoskeleton organization on invasiveness and migration: A microchannel-based approach. *PLoS One* 5, e8726. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008726 (2010).
- Takayama, K. et al. TET2 repression by androgen hormone regulates global hydroxymethylation status and prostate cancer progression. Nat. Commun. 6, 8219. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9219 (2015).
- McLean, C. Y. et al. GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. Nat. Biotechnol. 28, 495–501. https://doi. org/10.1038/nbt.1630 (2010).
- Heinz, S. *et al.* Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol. Cell* 38, 576–589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05.004 (2010).
- Takayama, K. *et al.* Identification of novel androgen response genes in prostate cancer cells by coupling chromatin immunoprecipitation and genomic microarray analysis. *Oncogene* 26, 4453–4463. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210229 (2007).
- Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262 (2001).
- Dragoj, M. *et al.* Association of overexpressed MYC gene with altered PHACTR3 and E2F4 genes contributes to non-small cell lung carcinoma pathogenesis. *J. Med. Biochem.* 38, 188–195. https://doi.org/10.2478/jomb-2018-0022 (2019).
- Obinata, D. et al. Abhydrolase domain containing 2, an androgen target gene, promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation and migration. Eur. J. Cancer 57, 39–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2016.01.002 (2016).
- 49. La Tulippe, E. *et al.* Comprehensive gene expression analysis of prostate cancer reveals distinct transcriptional programs associated with metastatic disease. *Cancer Res.* **62**, 4499–4506 (2002).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the patient representatives, clinical co-ordinators, scientists, and clinicians, who contribute to the Melbourne Urological Research Alliance (MURAL) and its collection of patient-derived models; the CASCADE rapid autopsy program at the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, including Shahneen Sandhu, Lisa Devereux, and Heather Thorne for providing patient tissue; the Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute Organoid Program for reagents; and technical assistance from Hong Wang, Melissa Papargiris, Jenna Kraska, Asako Oguni, Toyoharu Jike, Isamu Isahai, and Naoko Abe. The authors are supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia (fellowship to G.P.R. 1102752, project Grants 1138242), the Department of Health and Human Services acting through the Victorian Cancer Agency (fellowship to M.G.L. MCRF18017, fellowship to R.A.T MCRF15023), P-CREATE (Grant number JP18ck0106194 from AMED, Japan to SI), Takeda Science Foundation, and JSPS KAKENHI (Grant numbers JP19H03793 to DO, JP19K09740 to ST, and JP20K07875 to MH, JP20K21667 and JP21H04829 to SI).

Author contributions

D.O.: Conceptualization, methodology, data curation, writing—original draft. D.F.: Investigation, data curation. K.T.: Validation, investigation, data curation, writing—review & editing. M.H.: Investigation, B.N.: Investigation, L.T.: Investigation, M.L.: Resources, writing—review & editing, and supervision. R.T.: Resources, writing—review & editing, and supervision. Y.S.: Data curation, validation. S.T.: Supervision, S.I.: Project administration, supervision, writing—review & editing, and funding acquisition.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10099-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.I.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022