

Bedřich Pondělíček

On a certain relation for closure operation on a semigroup

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 20 (1970), No. 2, 220–231

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100962>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1970

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON A CERTAIN RELATION FOR CLOSURE OPERATION
ON A SEMIGROUP

BEDŘICH PONDĚLČEK, Poděbrady

(Received January 6, 1969)

Let S be a semigroup. It is well known that S is regular if and only if the relation

$$(*) \quad A \cap B = AB$$

holds for every right ideal A and for every left ideal B of S . (See [1].)

If the relation $(*)$ holds for every left ideals A, B of S , then every left ideal of S is a two-sided ideal of S and S is a left regular semigroup. Analogously for right ideals of S . (See [2].) Finally, if the relation $(*)$ holds for any left ideals A, B of S and for any right ideals A, B of S , then S is a semilattice of groups. (See [3].)

In this paper we consider semigroups satisfying the relation $(*)$ for every \mathbf{U} -closed non-empty subset A of S and for every \mathbf{V} -closed non-empty subset B of S where \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} are arbitrary closure operations on S .

I

In this section, S will be a fixed non-empty set.

Definition 1. The mapping $\mathbf{U} : \exp S \rightarrow \exp S$ is said to be a *topological Čech's closure operation* (or simply a *C-closure operation*) if the mapping \mathbf{U} satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\mathbf{U}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$;
2. if $A \subset B \subset S$, then $\mathbf{U}(A) \subset \mathbf{U}(B)$;
3. $A \subset \mathbf{U}(A)$ for each $A \subset S$;
4. $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}(A)) = \mathbf{U}(A)$ for each $A \subset S$.

For $x \in S$ we write simply $\mathbf{U}(x)$ instead of $\mathbf{U}(\{x\})$. The set of all C-closure operations for the set S will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(S)$. (See [4] and [5].)

Lemma 1. Let $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ and $A_i \subset S$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$). Then

a) $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(A_i) \subset \mathbf{U}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right);$

b) $\mathbf{U}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right) \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(A_i).$

Proof follows from Definition 1.

Definition 2. A \mathcal{C} -closure operation \mathbf{U} is said to be a *quasi-discrete closure operation* (or simply a *\mathcal{Q} -closure operation*) if there holds

5. $\mathbf{U}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(A_i)$ for $A_i \subset S$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$).

Let $\mathcal{Q}(S)$ be the set of all \mathcal{Q} -closure operations for the set S . (See p. 479 [6].)

Definition 3. Let $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. A subset A of S will be called \mathbf{U} -closed if $\mathbf{U}(A) = A$, \mathbf{U} -open if $\mathbf{U}(S - A) = S - A$. The set of all \mathbf{U} -closed (\mathbf{U} -open) subsets of S will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$ ($\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U})$).

Theorem 1. Let $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. Then:

1. $\emptyset, S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U});$
2. if $A_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$), then $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U});$
3. if $A \subset S$, then $\mathbf{U}(A) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ where A_i ($i \in I$) are all \mathbf{U} -closed subsets of S such that $A \subset A_i$.

Proof. 1. Evident. 2. If $A_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$), then $\mathbf{U}(A_i) = A_i$. From Definition 1 and Lemma 1 it follows that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \subset \mathbf{U}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right) \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. Thus $\mathbf{U}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$.

3. Clearly $\mathbf{U}(A)$ is a \mathbf{U} -closed subset of S . If A_i is an arbitrary \mathbf{U} -closed subset of S such that $A \subset A_i$, then by Definition 1 we have $\mathbf{U}(A) \subset \mathbf{U}(A_i) = A_i$. Therefore, we have $\mathbf{U}(A) \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ and since $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \subset \mathbf{U}(A)$ we get the required result.

Remark 1. If \mathbf{U} is a \mathcal{Q} -closure operation, then we also have:

4. if $A_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$), then $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}).$

Proof follows from Definition 2.

Now we shall introduce an order relation \leqq in the set $\mathcal{C}(S)$.

Definition 4. If $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, then $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{V}$ if and only if $\mathbf{U}(A) \subset \mathbf{V}(A)$ for each $A \subset S$. (See [4].)

Put $\mathbf{O}(A) = A$ for each $A \subset S$ and $\mathbf{I}(A) = S$ for each $A \subset S$, $A \neq \emptyset$; $\mathbf{I}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{I} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ and for each $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$,

$$\mathbf{O} \leqq \mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{I}$$

holds.

Remark 2. If \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} are \mathcal{D} -closure operations, then $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{V}$ if and only if $\mathbf{U}(x) \subset \mathbf{V}(x)$ for every $x \in S$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{V}$, then by Definition 4 we have $\mathbf{U}(x) \subset \mathbf{V}(x)$ for every $x \in S$. Conversely, let $\mathbf{U}(x) \subset \mathbf{V}(x)$ for every $x \in S$. It follows from Definition 2 that $\mathbf{U}(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} \mathbf{U}(x) \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} \mathbf{V}(x) = \mathbf{V}(A)$ for each $A \subset S$, $A \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2. If $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, then $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{V}$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{V}$. If $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V})$, then $A = \mathbf{V}(A)$. By Definition 1 we have $A \subset \mathbf{U}(A) \subset \mathbf{V}(A) = A$. Hence $A = \mathbf{U}(A) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$. This implies $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$.

Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$. If $A \subset S$, then it follows from Theorem 1 that $\mathbf{U}(A) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ where A_i ($i \in I$) are all \mathbf{U} -closed subsets of S such that $A \subset A_i$. Since $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V})$ is non-empty (it contains S) there exists a subset of indices $K \subset I$ such that A_k ($k \in K$) are all \mathbf{V} -closed subsets of S containing A . Hence it follows that $\mathbf{U}(A) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \subset \bigcap_{k \in K} A_k = \mathbf{V}(A)$. Therefore $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{V}$.

Corollary. If $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, then $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V})$.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \exp S$ and

1. $\emptyset, S \in \mathcal{F}$;
2. if $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$), then $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then there exists a unique \mathcal{C} -closure operation \mathbf{U} such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$.

Proof. If $A \subset S$, then we put $\mathbf{U}(A) = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ where A_i ($i \in I$) are all sets from \mathcal{F} such that $A \subset A_i$. Evidently \mathbf{U} is a \mathcal{C} -closure operation. The unicity of \mathbf{U} follows from Corollary to Theorem 2.

Remark 3. Let \mathcal{F} satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3 and the following condition:

3. if $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$), then $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then there exists a unique \mathcal{D} -closure operation \mathbf{U} such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3 that there exists a unique $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$. We shall prove that $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$. Let $A_i \subset S$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$). It follows from Lemma 1 and Definition 1 that $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(A_i) \subset \mathbf{U}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$. Thus $\mathbf{U}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \subset$

$\subset \mathbf{U}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(A_i)) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(A_i) \subset \mathbf{U}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$. Hence $\mathbf{U}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}(A_i)$. This implies $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$.

If $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, then their greatest lower bound in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{U} \wedge \mathbf{V}$ and their least upper bound in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{U} \vee \mathbf{V}$.

Theorem 4. If $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, then there exist $\mathbf{U} \vee \mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{U} \wedge \mathbf{V}$ and

1. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U} \vee \mathbf{V}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V})$;
2. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U} \wedge \mathbf{V}) = \{A \cap B | A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}), B \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V})\}$.

The ordered set $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a lattice.

Proof follows from Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

Remark 4. Let for $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ be $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U} \wedge \mathbf{V}$. Evidently, if $A \subset S$, then we have $\mathbf{W}(A) = \mathbf{U}(A) \cap \mathbf{V}(A)$.

Remark 5. If $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$, then $\mathbf{U} \vee \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$. The following example shows that $\mathbf{U} \wedge \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ does not hold in general.

Let $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, S\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V}) = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, S\}$. Evidently $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$. Further we have $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U} \wedge \mathbf{V}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) \cup \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V}) \cup \{a\} \cup \{b\} \cup \{c\} \cup \{d\}$. This implies $\mathbf{U} \wedge \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S) - \mathcal{D}(S)$.

Definition 5. Let $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. We define $\mathbf{U}^* : \exp S \rightarrow \exp S$. If $A \subset S$, then $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(A)$ if and only if $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 5. If $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, then $\mathbf{U}^* \in \mathcal{D}(S)$.

Proof. We shall show that \mathbf{U}^* satisfies conditions 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Definition 1 and condition 5 of Definition 2.

1. Evident. 2. Let $A \subset B \subset S$. If $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(A)$, then $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Hence $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(B)$. Therefore $\mathbf{U}^*(A) \subset \mathbf{U}^*(B)$.

3. Let $A \subset S$. If $x \in A$, then it follows from Definition 1 that $x \in \mathbf{U}(x) \cap A$. Thus $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(A)$. This implies $A \subset \mathbf{U}^*(A)$.

4. Let $A \subset S$. From 3 and 2 it follows that $\mathbf{U}^*(A) \subset \mathbf{U}^*(\mathbf{U}^*(A))$. If $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(\mathbf{U}^*(A))$, then $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap \mathbf{U}^*(A) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that there exists some $z \in \mathbf{U}(x) \cap \mathbf{U}^*(A)$. Since $z \in \mathbf{U}^*(A)$, we have $\mathbf{U}(z) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Definition 1 implies $\mathbf{U}(z) \subset \mathbf{U}(x)$, hence $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Therefore we have $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(A)$. Hence $\mathbf{U}^*(\mathbf{U}^*(A)) \subset \mathbf{U}^*(A)$. Therefore $\mathbf{U}^*(A) = \mathbf{U}^*(\mathbf{U}^*(A))$. By Definition 1 we have $\mathbf{U}^* \in \mathcal{C}(S)$.

5. Let $A_i \subset S$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$). It follows from Lemma 1 that $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}^*(A_i) \subset \mathbf{U}^*(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$. If $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$, then $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \neq \emptyset$. There exists therefore some $k \in I$ such that $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$. Thus $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(A_k)$, hence $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}^*(A_i)$. Therefore $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{U}^*(A_i) = \mathbf{U}^*(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$ and $\mathbf{U}^* \in \mathcal{D}(S)$.

Theorem 6. Let $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. Then:

1. $\mathbf{U}^{**}(x) = \mathbf{U}(x)$ for every $x \in S$;
2. $\mathbf{U}^{**} \leqq \mathbf{U}$;
3. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}^*)$;
4. $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}^*)$.

Proof. 1. The proof follows from $z \in \mathbf{U}(x) \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathbf{U}^*(z) \Leftrightarrow z \in \mathbf{U}^{**}(x)$.

2. Let $A \subset S$. By Theorem 5 and Lemma 1 we have $\mathbf{U}^{**}(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} \mathbf{U}^{**}(x) = \bigcup_{x \in A} \mathbf{U}(x) \subset \mathbf{U}(A)$. Hence $\mathbf{U}^{**} \leqq \mathbf{U}$.

3. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$. Suppose that $A \notin \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}^*)$. Then $S - A \neq \mathbf{U}^*(S - A)$. There exists therefore some x such that $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(S - A)$ and $x \notin S - A$. Thus $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap (S - A) \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in A$. Consequently, there exists some z such that $z \in \mathbf{U}(x)$, $z \notin A$. On the other hand, $z \in \mathbf{U}(x) \subset \mathbf{U}(A) = A$. This is a contradiction. Hence $A \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}^*)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}^*)$.

4. Let $A \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U})$. Then $S - A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$. By 3 it follows that $S - A \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}^*)$. Hence $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}^*)$. Consequently $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}^*)$.

Theorem 7. Let $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. If $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{V}$, then $\mathbf{U}^* \leqq \mathbf{V}^*$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{V}$ and $A \subset S$. If $x \in \mathbf{U}^*(A)$, then $\mathbf{U}(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Since $\mathbf{U}(x) \subset \mathbf{V}(x)$, we have $\mathbf{V}(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Hence $x \in \mathbf{V}^*(A)$. Therefore $\mathbf{U}^*(A) \subset \mathbf{V}^*(A)$. This implies $\mathbf{U}^* \leqq \mathbf{V}^*$.

Theorem 8. Let $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{Q}(S)$;
2. $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^{**}$;
3. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}^*)$;
4. $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}^*)$.

Proof. 1 \Rightarrow 2. This follows from Theorem 6 and Definition 2.

2 \Rightarrow 3. It follows from Theorem 6 that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}^*) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}^{**}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$. This implies $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}^*)$.

3 \Rightarrow 4. Evident.

4 \Rightarrow 1. Let $A_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$ ($i \in I \neq \emptyset$). Then $S - A_i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}^*)$. According to Theorem 1, $S - \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} (S - A_i) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}^*) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{U})$. Thus $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$. From Remark 3 it follows that $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{Q}(S)$.

Corollary. If $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{Q}(S)$, then $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^*$ or $\mathbf{U} \parallel \mathbf{U}^*$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{U} \leqq \mathbf{U}^*$, then by Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 $\mathbf{U}^* \leqq \mathbf{U}^{**} = \mathbf{U}$ holds. Hence $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^*$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{U}^* \leqq \mathbf{U}$, then $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^*$.

Remark 6. Evidently $\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{O}^*$ and $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}^*$.

II

Let now S be an arbitrary semigroup.

Definition 6. Let $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. We shall say that $\mathbf{U} \varrho \mathbf{V}$ if there holds

$$(*) \quad A \cap B = AB$$

for every \mathbf{U} -closed non-empty subset A of S and for every \mathbf{V} -closed non-empty subset B of S .

From Definition 6 and Theorem 2 there follows

Lemma 2. Let $\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2, \mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2 \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ and $\mathbf{U}_1 \leqq \mathbf{U}_2, \mathbf{V}_1 \leqq \mathbf{V}_2$. If $\mathbf{U}_1 \varrho \mathbf{V}_1$, then $\mathbf{U}_2 \varrho \mathbf{V}_2$.

Let $A \subset S, A \neq \emptyset$. Put $L(A) = S^1 A = SA \cup A$ and $R(A) = AS^1 = AS \cup A$. Finally $L(\emptyset) = \emptyset = R(\emptyset)$. Clearly $L, R \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ and $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is the set of all left ideals of S (including \emptyset), $\mathcal{F}(R)$ is the set of all right ideals of S (including \emptyset).

Theorem 9. Let $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. Then $\mathbf{U} \varrho \mathbf{V}$ if and only if $R \leqq \mathbf{U}, L \leqq \mathbf{V}$ and $x \in \mathbf{U}(x) \mathbf{V}(x)$ for every $x \in S$.

Proof. 1. Let $\mathbf{U} \varrho \mathbf{V}$. Clearly $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V})$. If $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$, then $A = A \cap S = AS$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$, hence $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}) \subset \mathcal{F}(R)$. From Theorem 3 it follows that $R \leqq \mathbf{U}$. Similarly we can show that $L \leqq \mathbf{V}$. Finally, by Definition 1 we have $\mathbf{U}(x) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U})$ and $\mathbf{V}(x) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V})$. Thus $x \in \mathbf{U}(x) \cap \mathbf{V}(x) = \mathbf{U}(x) \mathbf{V}(x)$.

2. Let now $R \leqq \mathbf{U}, L \leqq \mathbf{V}$ and $x \in \mathbf{U}(x) \mathbf{V}(x)$ for every $x \in S$. If $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}), A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{V}), B \neq \emptyset$, then according to Theorem 2 $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}(L)$. Thus $AB \subset AS \subset A$ and $AB \subset SB \subset B$. Hence $AB \subset A \cap B$.

Let $x \in A \cap B$. Since $x \in A$, there holds $\mathbf{U}(x) \subset A$. Similarly we obtain that $\mathbf{V}(x) \subset B$. Thus $x \in \mathbf{U}(x) \mathbf{V}(x) \subset AB$. Hence $A \cap B \subset AB$. This implies (*).

Remark 7. It is clear that $I \varrho I$ if and only if $S^2 = S$.

Put $\mathbf{M} = L \vee R, \mathbf{H} = L \wedge R$. Evidently $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ and $\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. By Theorem 4 it follows that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M})$ is the set of all two-sided ideals of S (including \emptyset) and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{H})$ is the set of all quasi-ideals of S (including \emptyset).

A semigroup S is called *left regular* (*right regular, regular*) if $x \in Sx^2$ ($x \in x^2S$, $x \in xSx$) for every $x \in S$.

Lemma 3. A semigroup S is left regular (*right regular, regular*) if and only if $x \in S^1 x^2$ ($x \in x^2 S^1, x \in x S^1 x$) for every $x \in S$.

Proof is obvious.

Theorem 10. $\mathbf{R} \varrho \mathbf{L}$ if and only if the semigroup S is regular.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{R} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{L}$. Then by Theorem 9 $x \in \mathbf{R}(x)$ $\mathbf{L}(x) = xS^1S^1x \subset xS^1x$. It follows from Lemma 3 that S is regular.

Let S be a regular semigroup. Then $x \in xSx \subset \mathbf{R}(x)$. Theorem 9 implies that $\mathbf{R} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{L}$.

(See [1].)

Theorem 11. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*

1. $\mathbf{L} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{L}$;
2. $\mathbf{L} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{M}$;
3. S is left regular and $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}$.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$. This follows from Lemma 2.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$. By Theorem 9 we have $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}$ and $x \in \mathbf{L}(x)$ $\mathbf{M}(x) = \mathbf{L}(x)$ $\mathbf{L}(x) = S^1xS^1x = S^1\mathbf{R}(x)x \subset S^1\mathbf{L}(x)x \subset S^1x^2$. It follows from Lemma 3 that S is left regular.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$. If S is left regular and $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}$, then $x \in Sx^2$. Hence $x \in \mathbf{L}(x)$. Theorem 9 implies that $\mathbf{L} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{L}$.

(See [2].)

The following left-right dual of Theorem 11 holds:

Theorem 12. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*

1. $\mathbf{R} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}$;
2. $\mathbf{M} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}$;
3. S is right regular and $\mathbf{L} \leqq \mathbf{R}$.

Theorem 13. $\mathbf{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{M}$ if and only if the semigroup S is regular and $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}$.

Proof. 1. Let $\mathbf{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{M}$. Then by Theorem 9 $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{H}$ and $x \in \mathbf{H}(x)$ $\mathbf{M}(x)$. Thus $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}(x)$ $\mathbf{L}(x) = xS^1S^1x$. Lemma 3 implies that S is regular.

2. If S is regular and $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}$, then by Theorem 10 $\mathbf{R} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{L}$. Hence $\mathbf{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{M}$.

Theorem 14. $\mathbf{M} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{H}$ if and only if the semigroup S is regular and $\mathbf{L} \leqq \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. The proof is dual to the proof of Theorem 13.

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{R}$. A semigroup S is regular if and only if S is left regular (right regular).*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3 and from $x^2S^1 = x\mathbf{R}(x) = x\mathbf{L}(x) = xS^1x = \mathbf{R}(x)x = \mathbf{L}(x)x = S^1x^2$.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{R}$. Then $ef = fe$ for any couple of idempotents $e, f \in S$.*

Proof. The proof is an easy modification of the proof of Lemma 1 [7]. Evidently

$L(e) = R(e)$. Thus $ef \in eS^1 = S^1e = Se$ and $fe \in S^1e = eS^1 = eS$. This implies that $ef = ue$ for some $u \in S$ and $fe = ev$ for some $v \in S$. Hence $ef = (ue)e = efe = e(ev) = fe$.

Lemma 6. *S is a semilattice of groups if and only if S is regular and $L = R$.*

Proof. Let be $L = R$ and S regular. It follows from Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and Theorem 8 [8] that S is a semilattice of groups.

If S is a semilattice of groups, then clearly S is regular. From Remark to Theorem 2 [9] it follows that $L = R$.

Theorem 15. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*

1. $L \subseteq R$;
2. $L \subseteq L$ and $R \subseteq R$;
3. $L \subseteq M$ and $M \subseteq R$;
4. S is a semilattice of groups.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$. From Theorem 9 we have $L \leq R$ and $R \leq L$. Hence $L = R$ and thus $L \subseteq L$, $R \subseteq R$.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$. This follows from Lemma 2.

$3 \Rightarrow 4$. This follows from Theorem 11, Theorem 12, Lemma 4 and Lemma 6.

$4 \Rightarrow 1$. By Lemma 6 it follows that S is regular and $L = R$. Theorem 10 implies that $R \subseteq L$. Hence $L \subseteq R$.

(See [3].)

Lemma 7. $L \vee R^* = I = L^* \vee R$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}(L \vee R^*)$, $A \neq \emptyset$. Then by Theorem 4 and Theorem 8 it follows that $A \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ and $S - A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$. Suppose that $A \neq S$. Then we obtain $(S - A)A \subset \subset A \cap (S - A)$ which is a contradiction. Hence $A = S$ and $L \vee R^* = I$. Similarly we obtain that $L^* \vee R = I$.

A semigroup S is called *simple* (*left simple, right simple*) if $M = I$ ($L = I$, $R = I$).

Lemma 8. *A semigroup S is simple if and only if $L \leq M^*$ ($R \leq M^*$).*

Proof. 1. If $M = I$, then $L \leq I = I^* = M^*$ and $R \leq I = M^*$.

2. Let $L \leq M^*$. Since $R \leq M$ we have by Theorem 7 $R^* \leq M^*$. Now from Lemma 7 it follows that $I = L \vee R^* \leq M^*$. Thus $M^* = I$. By Corollary to Theorem 8 we have $M = I$.

Theorem 16. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*

1. $M^* \subseteq I$;
2. $I \subseteq M^*$;
3. S is simple.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 3$ and $2 \Rightarrow 3$ follow from Theorem 9 and Lemma 8.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$ and $3 \Rightarrow 2$. If S is simple, then clearly $S^2 = S$ and $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{M}^*$. Remark 7 implies that $\mathbf{M}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{M}^*$.

Lemma 9. *A semigroup S is left simple if and only if $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}^*$.*

Proof. 1. If $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I}$, then $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}^* = \mathbf{L}^*$.

2. Let $\mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}^*$. Lemma 7 implies that $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{L}^* \vee \mathbf{R} \leqq \mathbf{L}^*$. Thus $\mathbf{L}^* = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I}$.

Theorem 17. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*

1. $\mathbf{L}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{I}$;
2. $\mathbf{L} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{L}^*$;
3. S is left simple.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 16.

Lemma 10. *A semigroup S is right simple if and only if $\mathbf{L} \leqq \mathbf{R}^*$.*

Theorem 18. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*

1. $\mathbf{I} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}^*$;
2. $\mathbf{R}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}$;
3. S is right simple.

Evidently, a semigroup S is a group if and only if S is left simple and right simple, i.e. $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}$.

Theorem 19. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*

1. $\mathbf{L}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}^*$;
2. $\mathbf{L}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}$;
3. $\mathbf{L} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}^*$;
4. $\mathbf{L}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}^*$;
5. $\mathbf{L} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{L}^*$ and $\mathbf{R}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}$;
6. S is a group.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$. From Theorem 9 we have $\mathbf{L} \leqq \mathbf{R}^*$. By Lemma 10 it follows that $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{R}^*$. Thus $\mathbf{L}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}$.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$. From Theorem 9 and Lemma 9 we obtain $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{L}^*$ and $\mathbf{L} \leqq \mathbf{R}$. Thus $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{R}^*$. Hence $\mathbf{L} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}^*$.

$3 \Rightarrow 4$. It follows from Theorem 9 and Lemma 10 that $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{R}^*$ and $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{L}^*$. Thus $\mathbf{L}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}^*$.

$4 \Rightarrow 5 \Rightarrow 6$. This follows from Theorem 17 and Theorem 18.

$6 \Rightarrow 1$. If S is a group, then $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{L}^*$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{R}^*$ and $S^2 = S$. By Remark 7 we have $\mathbf{L}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{R}^*$.

A simple semigroup S is called *completely simple* if it contains at least one minimal left and at least one minimal right ideal of S .

Lemma 11. *A semigroup S is completely simple if and only if $L = L^*$ and $R = R^*$.*

Proof. 1. If S is a completely simple semigroup, then by [10] every left ideal of S is a union of disjoint minimal left ideals and every right ideal of S is a union of disjoint minimal right ideals. Clearly $L = L^*$ and $R = R^*$.

2. Let $L = L^*$ and $R = R^*$. Then $M = L \vee R = L \vee R^* = I$ (Lemma 7), and S is simple. Let $a \in S$. Evidently $L(a)$ is a left ideal of S . We shall show that $L(a)$ is a minimal left ideal of S . Let A be a left ideal of S such that $A \subset L(a)$. If $x \in A$, then $x \in L(x) \subset A \subset L(a)$. It follows from Definition 5 that $a \in L^*(x) = L(x)$. This implies that $L(a) \subset L(x)$ and therefore $L(x) = A = L(a)$. Hence $L(a)$ is a minimal left ideal. Similarly we obtain that $R(a)$ is a minimal right ideal. Consequently, S is completely simple.

Theorem 20. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*


1. $R^* \subsetneq L^*$;
2. $R \subsetneq L^*$ and $R^* \subsetneq L$;
3. $I \subsetneq L^*$ and $R^* \subsetneq I$;
4. S is completely simple.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 4$. This follows from Theorem 9, Corollary to Theorem 8, Lemma 2 and Lemma 11.

$4 \Rightarrow 1$. Let S be completely simple. Then by Lemma 11 $R = R^*$ and $L = L^*$. Obviously S is regular and Theorem 10 implies that $R \subsetneq L$. Thus $R^* \subsetneq L^*$.

Put $P(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. If $A \subset S$, $A \neq \emptyset$, then we denote by $P(A)$ the subsemigroup generated by all elements of A . It is clear that $P \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ and $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is the set of all subsemigroups of S (including \emptyset). Further $P \leqq H$.

Evidently the set $\{O, P, H, L, R, M, I\}$ is ordered according to the following diagram:



Remark 8. Let $A \subset S$, $A \neq \emptyset$. It follows from Definition 5 that $P^*(A)$ is the set of all almost nilpotent elements with respect to A in the sense of paper [11].

Lemma 12. $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{P}^*$ if and only if $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O}$.

Proof. 1. Let $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{P}^*$. According to Theorem 7 and Theorem 6 it follows that $\mathbf{P}^* \leq \mathbf{P}^{**} \leq \mathbf{P}$. Hence $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^*$. If $x \in S$, then $\mathbf{P}(x) = \mathbf{P}^*(x)$. Thus $x = x^n$ for some integer $n > 1$. Evidently $\mathbf{P}(x)$ is a cyclic subgroup of S . Let e be an identity of $\mathbf{P}(x)$. Since $e \in \mathbf{P}^*(x)$, there exists some positive integer m such that $x = e^m = e$. Consequently $\mathbf{P}(x) = \{x\} = \mathbf{O}(x)$ for every $x \in S$. It follows from Remark 2 and Theorem 5 that $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O}$.

2. If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O}$, then it is clear that $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{P}^* = \mathbf{O}$.

A semigroup S is called a *left zero (right zero) semigroup* if $xy = x$ ($xy = y$) for every $x, y \in S$. Evidently, each left zero semigroup (right zero semigroup) is left simple (right simple).

Clearly:

Lemma 13. A semigroup S is a left zero semigroup (right zero semigroup) if and only if $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{O}$ ($\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{O}$).

Theorem 21. The following conditions on S are equivalent:

1. $\mathbf{P} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{M}$;
2. $\mathbf{O} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{I}$;
3. $\mathbf{P}^* \trianglelefteq \mathbf{I}$;
4. S is a left zero semigroup.

Proof. 1 \Rightarrow 2. It follows from Theorem 9 that $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{P}$ and $x \in \mathbf{P}(x) \mathbf{M}(x)$ for every $x \in S$. Thus $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{M}$. If $x \in S$, then $x \in \mathbf{P}(x) \mathbf{M}(x) = \mathbf{R}(x) \mathbf{L}(x) = xS^1S^1x \subset xS^1x = \mathbf{R}(x)x = \mathbf{P}(x)x$. Hence there exists some integer $n > 1$ such that $x = x^n$. Evidently $\mathbf{P}(x)$ is a cyclic subgroup of S . Let e be an identity of $\mathbf{P}(x)$. Then $ex \in \mathbf{R}(e) = \mathbf{P}(e) = \{e\}$ and $x = ex = e$. Every element x of S is an idempotent. Consequently $\mathbf{P}(x) = \{x\} = \mathbf{O}(x)$ for every $x \in S$. Thus $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{O}$, $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I}$. Hence $\mathbf{O} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{I}$.

2 \Rightarrow 3. This follows from Lemma 2.

3 \Rightarrow 4. It follows from Theorem 9 that $\mathbf{R} \leq \mathbf{P}^*$ and thus $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{P}^*$. By Lemma 12 we have $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O}$. Hence $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{O}$. According to Lemma 13 S is a left zero semigroup.

4 \Rightarrow 1. If S is a left zero semigroup, then it follows from Lemma 13 that $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{O}$. Thus $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I}$. Since $x \in \mathbf{O}(x) = \mathbf{O}(x) \mathbf{I}(x)$, we get by Theorem 9 that $\mathbf{O} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{I}$. Thus $\mathbf{P} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{M}$.

Dually we have the following:

Theorem 22. The following conditions on S are equivalent:

1. $\mathbf{M} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{P}$;
2. $\mathbf{I} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{O}$;
3. $\mathbf{I} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{P}^*$;
4. S is a right zero semigroup.

Theorem 23. *The following conditions on S are equivalent:*

1. $L \subseteq P$;
2. $P \subseteq R$;
3. $L^* \subseteq P$;
4. $P \subseteq R^*$;
5. $L^* \subseteq P^*$;
6. $P^* \subseteq R^*$;
7. $L \subseteq P^*$;
8. $P^* \subseteq R$;
9. $O \subseteq I$ and $I \subseteq O$;
10. $S = \{e\}$ where $e^2 = e$.

Proof follows from Theorem 21 and Theorem 22.

References

- [1] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston: The algebraic theory of semigroups, Vol. I, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
- [2] S. Lajos: A note on completely regular semigroups, Acta Sci. Math. 28, (1967), 261–265.
- [3] S. Lajos: On Clifford semigroups, Symposium on semigroup theory and applications, Smolenice, June 17–22, 1968.
- [4] M. Sekanina: Системы топологий на данном множестве, Czechoslovak Math. J. 15 (90) (1965), 9–29.
- [5] E. Čech: Topologické prostory, Čas. pro pěst. mat. 67 (1938), D. 225–264.
- [6] E. Čech: Topological spaces, Prague 1966.
- [7] Št. Schwarz: A theorem on normal semigroups, Czechoslovak Math. J. 10 (85) (1960), 197–200.
- [8] A. H. Clifford: Bands of semigroups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5, (1954), 499–504.
- [9] M. Petrich: Semigroups certain of whose subsemigroups have identities, Czechoslovak Math. J. 16 (91) (1966), 186–198.
- [10] E. С. Ляпин: Полугруппы, Москва 1960.
- [11] R. Šulka: On the nilpotency in semigroups, Mat. časopis Slovensk. Akad. Vied 18 (1968), 148–157.

Author's address: Poděbrady, zámek, ČSSR (České vysoké učení technické).