Numata, M. Osaka J. Math. 11 (1974), 389–400

# ON A CHARACTERIZATION OF A CLASS OF THE REGULAR GRAPHS OF DIAMETER 2

MINORU NUMATA

(Received October 8, 1973)

## 1. Introduction

S.S. Schrikhande [2], [3], W.S. Conner [4] and A.J. Hoffman [5] determined all of the graphs with intersection matrices B(2t-2, t-2, 2) for  $t \ge 2$  and B(2t-4, t-2, 4) for  $t \ge 4$ .

The lattice graphs of dimension 2 with intersection matrices B(2t-2, t-2, 2) for  $t \ge 3$  and the triangle graphs with intersection matrices B(2t-4, t-2, 4) for  $t \ge 6$  have the remarkable property that for any three vertices which are not joined to each other, no vertex is joined to all of these three vertices.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the regular graph of diameter 2 satisfying the following conditions :

1. For any two vertices which are joined to each other, the number of the vertices joined to them is constant.

2. There exist three vertices which are not joined each other.

3. For any three vertices which are not joined to each other, no vertex is joined to all of these three vertices.

Then,  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs:

a)  $L_2$ -graphs, that is, the lattice graphs of dimension 2 with intersection matrices B(2t-2, t-2, 2) for  $t \ge 3$ .

b)  $T_2$ -graphs, that is, the triangle graphs with intersection matrices B(2t-4, t-2, 4) for  $t \ge 6$ .

c) the graph defined by 27 lines on cubic surface with intersection matrix B (16, 10, 8).

d)  $L'_2$ -graphs, that is, the graphs such that for any two vertices which are not joined to each other, the number of the vertices joined to them is one or two. The number of the vertices of the graph is  $5 \cdot h^2$  for  $h \ge 2$ , and the graph exists uniquely for any integer  $h \ge 2$ .

M. NUMATA



#### 2. General definitions and notation

An undirected linear graph  $\Gamma$  is a pair (V, B) where V is a finite set and B is a subset of the unordered pair of the elements of V. The elements of V are called vertices. We say that a vertex  $\alpha$  is joined to a vertex  $\beta$  if the unordered pair of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is an element of B.

We define for any vertex  $\alpha$  of V

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \{\beta \in V | (\beta, \alpha) \in B\}, \Gamma(\alpha) = V \setminus \Delta(\alpha) \setminus \{\alpha\}.$$

 $\Gamma = (V, B)$  is regular if the number of the vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha)$  is constant for any vertex  $\alpha$ , and is of diameter 2 if for any two vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma$  is not joined to  $\alpha$ , the intersection of  $\Delta(\alpha)$  and  $\Delta(\gamma)$  is not empty and B is a proper subset of the set of all the unordered pairs of V. The regular graph  $\Gamma$  is strongly regular when the number of vertices joined to each of two distinct vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  depends only on whether or not  $\alpha$  is joined to  $\beta$ .

For the strongly regular graph  $\Gamma = (V, B)$  of diameter 2, we put

$$|\Delta(lpha)|=k\,, \quad |\Delta(lpha)\cap\Delta(eta)|=egin{cases} a & ext{ for } eta\in\Delta(lpha)\ c & ext{ for } eta\in\Gamma(lpha) \end{cases}.$$

We call the matrix with three parameters k, a and c

$$B(k, a, c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ k & a & c \\ 0 & k-a-1 & k-c \end{pmatrix}$$

the intersection matrix of the strongly regular graph.

The following condition is necessary for the existance of the strongly regular graph of diameter 2 with intersection matrix B(k, a, c);

- (i) k=2c, a=c-1, or
- (ii)  $(a-c)^2+4(k-c)=s^2$  for some integer s, and

$$m = \frac{k}{2cs} \left\{ (k-1+c-a) (s+c-a) - 2c \right\}$$

is a positive integer.

The matrix B(k, a, c),  $c \ge 1$ , is feasible if three parameters satisfy the condition (i) or (ii), and is realizable if there is the strongly regular graph of diameter 2 with intersection matrix B(k, a, c).

### 3. Proof of the Theorem 1

Let  $\Gamma = (V, B)$  be a regular graph of diameter 2 satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1. We put

$$|\Delta(\alpha)| = k, \ |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)| = a \text{ for } \beta \in \Delta(\alpha) \text{ and}$$
  
 $\mathfrak{S} = \{ |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)| \mid \alpha, \gamma \in V, \ \gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha) \}.$ 

Let  $\delta$  be the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha)$ . Then, we have by the condition 3 of the Theorem 1,

$$\Delta(\delta) = \{\Delta(\delta) \cap \Delta(\gamma)\} \cup \{\Delta(\delta) \cap \Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)\} \cup \{\alpha, \gamma\}.$$

Therefore, if we set  $|\Delta(\delta) \cap \Lambda(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)| = x$ , then we have

(1) k = a + x + 2

In addition, we have

(2) 
$$|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)| \ge a - x + 1, |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\delta)| = a - x$$

I. At first, we show that  $\mathfrak{S} = \{a - x + 2\}$  or  $\{a - x + 1, a - x + 2\}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{S}=\{a-x+1\}=\{c\}$ , then k=2a-c+3. From the condition that the intersection matrix B(2a-c+3, a, c) is feasible, we have

i) 2a-c+3 = 2c, a+1 = c or

ii) 
$$(a-c)^2+4(2a-c+3-c)=(a-c+4)^2-4=s^2$$
, for some integer s.

These cases collapse.

We assume that for the vertex  $\gamma_1$  of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ ,  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_1)| = c > a - x + 1$  and  $\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\gamma_1)$  is not empty.

There is at most c/2-vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_1)$  which are joined to each other. Hence, for any vertex  $\delta$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_1)$ ,

$$|\Delta(lpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma_1)\cap\Delta(\delta)|\ge rac{1}{2}|\Delta(lpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma_1)|$$
 .

If  $\delta$  is joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_1) \setminus \{\delta\}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a-x &= 2(a+1)-k = 2 |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\delta)| + 2-k \\ &\geq |\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_1)| \geq |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)| \geq a - x + 1 \\ &(\gamma_2 \in \Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\gamma_1)) \end{aligned}$$

This is impossible.

M. NUMATA

Therefore, for any vertex  $\delta$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_1)$ , there is the vertex  $\delta'$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_1) \setminus \{\delta\}$  such that  $\delta'$  is not jointed to  $\delta$ . From the condition 3 of the Theorem 1, we have

$$\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\delta) \cap \Delta(\delta') = \phi$$

Hence, we obtain

$$|\Delta(lpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})\cap\Delta(\delta)|\,=rac{1}{2}|\Delta(lpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})|\,\,.$$

Counting in two ways quadrilaterals  $(\alpha, \gamma_1, \delta, \beta) \beta \in \Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\delta)$ , we have

$$|V| \cdot (|V| - k - 1)(k - c)c/2 = |V| \cdot (|V| - k - 1) \cdot c \cdot x$$

that is,

$$2x-k=c$$
.

From (1), we conclude

$$c = a - x + 2$$
.

II. We suppose that  $\mathfrak{S} = \{a - x + 2\} = \{c\}$ .

If c=2, the graphs with intersection matrices B(2a+2, a, 2) satisfying the conditions of the Theorem 1 are only the lattice graphs of dimension 2 with intersection matrices B(2t-2, t-2, 2) for  $t \ge 3$  from [2].

If c=4, the graphs with intersection matrices B(2a, a, 4) satisfying the conditions of the Theorem 1 are only the triangle graphs with intersection matrices B(2t-4, t-2, 4) for  $t\geq 6$  from [4], [3], [5].

Now, we assume that  $c \ge 6$ .

Let  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  be vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha)$  such that  $\beta_2$  is not joined to  $\beta_1$ . Then, we have

$$|\Delta(lpha)\cap\Delta(eta_1)\cap\Delta(eta_2)|=2a{+}2{-}k=2a{+}2{-}(2a{-}c{+}4)=c{-}2$$

Therefore,  $|\Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)| = 1$ . Let  $\{\gamma\} = \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)$ . Then,  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) = \{\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2)\} \cup \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$  and for each vertex  $\xi$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2)$ , there is only one vertex  $\xi'$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2)$  such that  $\xi'$  is not joined to  $\xi$ .

Let  $\delta$  be the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \setminus \{\beta_2\}$ , then we have

$$|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\delta)| = \frac{c}{2} - 1, \ |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\delta)| = \frac{c}{2} - 1.$$

Furthermore, all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\delta)$  are joined to each other. Hence, let  $\eta$  be a vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\delta)$ , then we have  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\delta) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\eta) \neq \phi$  and  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\delta) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Gamma(\eta) \neq \phi$ . Therefore,

CHARACTERIZATION OF A CLASS OF THE REGULAR GRAPHS

$$\Delta(\alpha)\cap\Gamma(\beta_1)\cap\Gamma(\eta)\neq\phi \text{ and } \Delta(\alpha)\cap\Gamma(\beta_2)\cap\Gamma(\eta)\neq\phi.$$

Since  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Gamma(\eta)| + |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Gamma(\eta)| = a - c + 3 - 1 = a - c + 2$ , we may assume  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Gamma(\eta)| \ge (a - c + 2)/2$ .

Let  $\rho$  be a vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Gamma(\eta)$ , then  $\rho$  is joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Gamma(\eta)$ . Hence,  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Gamma(\eta)| \leq \frac{c}{2} - 1$ 

Thus we have

$$(a-c+2)/2 \leq |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Gamma(\eta)| \leq \frac{c}{2}-1$$
,

that is

$$(3) \quad a \leq 2(c-2)$$

Hence we have

$$k = 2a - c + 4 \leq 3c - 4 < 3c$$

This means that there are not four vertices which are not joined to each other. Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  be vertices of  $\Gamma(\alpha), \gamma_2 \in \Gamma(\gamma_1)$ . Then, we have

 $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_1)\} \cup \{\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)\} \cup \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}.$ 

Hence,

$$(2a-c+4)(a-c+3)/c = 2(2a-2c+4)-c+2$$
.

Thus we have

$$2a - 3c + 4 = 0$$
 or  $a - 2c + 3 = 0$ 

From (3), when we set c=2d, we have

$$a = \frac{3}{2}c - 2 = 3d - 2, \ k = 4d.$$

We note that the complement of the intersection matrix B(4d, 3d-2, 2d) is B(2d+2, 1, d+1). We show that the intersection matrices B(2d+2, 1, d+1) for d>4 are not realized.

Let  $\gamma$  be a vertex of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ , then vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  are not joined to each other and  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)| = d+1$ . Therefore, vertices of  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)$  are not joined to each other and  $|\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)| = d+1$ . Let  $\beta$  be a vertex of  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)$ , then  $\beta$  is joined to only one vertex of  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\alpha)$ . Thus, let  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  be two vertices of  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)$ , then we have

$$d \geq |\Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\alpha)| \geq d-1$$
.

Therefore

$$|\Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2) \cap \Gamma(\alpha) \setminus \{\gamma\}| = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Let  $\beta_i$  be vertices of  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\alpha)$ , i=1,2,3,4. Then,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} (\Delta(\beta_i) \cap \Gamma(\alpha) \setminus \{\gamma\})$  $\subseteq \Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\gamma)$ . Thus, we have

$$(d+1-1)+(d+1-1)-1+(d+1-1)-2+(d+1-1)-3 \le 3d-2$$
,

that is,

$$4d-6\leq 3d-2$$
.

This is impossible for d > 4.

When d=4, the intersection matrix B(16, 10, 8) is realized and satisfies the conditions of the Theorem 1 from [1].

When d=3, the intersection matrix B(12, 7, 6) is not feasible.

When d=2 or 1, the graphs with intersection matrix B(8, 4, 4) or B(4, 1, 2) do not satisfy the condition 2 of the Theorem 1.

III. We suppose that  $\mathfrak{S} = \{a - x + 1, a - x + 2\}.$ 

Step 1. We show that  $a-x+1 \leq \frac{k}{3}$ .

Assume that  $a-x+1>\frac{k}{3}$ . Then, it is trivial that there are not four vertices which are not joined to each other. Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  be not joined to each other, and  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_1)| = a - x + 2$ . We note that all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_2)$  are joined to each other. If  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)| = a - x + 1$ , for any vertex  $\delta$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_2)$  and for any vertex  $\eta$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)$ , we have  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2) \cap \Gamma(\delta)| = |\{\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma_2)\} \cap \Gamma(\eta)| > 0$ . Therefore,

$$|\Delta(lpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma_2)|=|\Delta(lpha)ackslash\Delta(\gamma_2)ackslash\Delta(\gamma_1)|\;.$$

Hence we have

$$a-x+1 = |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_1)| < \frac{k}{3}.$$

This is a contradiction.

Thus, we obtain that  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)| = a - x + 2$  and  $|\Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)| = a - x + 2$ . From the fact that there are not four vertices which are not joined to each other, we have

$$|V| - k - 1 = |\Delta(\gamma_1)| + |\Delta(\gamma_2)| - |\Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)| - |\Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\alpha)| - |\Delta(\gamma_1) \cup \Delta(\alpha)| + 2.$$

Therefore,  $|\Delta(\eta_1) \cap \Delta(\alpha)| + |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\eta_2)| + |\Delta(\eta_2) \cap \Delta(\eta_1)|$  are constant for any two vertices  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$  of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  such that  $\eta_1$  is not joined to  $\eta_2$ .

Hence, for the vertex  $\gamma_0$  of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  such that  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma_0)| = a - x + 1$ ,  $\gamma_0$  is joined to all vertices of  $\Gamma(\alpha) \setminus \{\gamma_0\}$ . Therefore, we have

$$\Gamma(lpha) = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} \cup \{\Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)\} \,.$$

From  $|\Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)| = a - x + 2$ , for any vertex  $\gamma$  of  $\Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2)$ , there is the vertex  $\gamma'$  of  $\Delta(\gamma_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma_2) \setminus \{\gamma\}$  such that  $\gamma'$  is not joined to  $\gamma$ . This contradicts the existance of  $\gamma_0$ .

Step 2. Now, we assume that for some vertex  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ ,  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)| = c = a - x + 1 \le \frac{k}{3}$ .

From (1), (2), we have

$$x+1 \ge \frac{k}{3} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  be vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma), \beta_2 \in \Gamma(\beta_1)$ . Then, from the condition 3 of the Theorem 1, we have

$$\Delta(lpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(eta_1) \cap \Delta(eta_2) = \phi \quad ext{and} \\ \{\Delta(lpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(eta_1)\} \cup \{\Delta(lpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(eta_2)\} = \Delta(lpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \ .$$

Each vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  is joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ , and not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ . Let  $\delta$  be the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2)$ . If there is the vertex  $\xi$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  such that  $\xi$  is not joined to  $\delta$ , then  $\delta$  is not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  and joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ .

If  $\delta$  is joined to all vertices of  $\{\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)\} \cup \{\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)\}$ , there is the vertex  $\eta$  of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\beta_2) \setminus \{\delta\}$  such that  $\eta$  is not joined to  $\delta$ . From  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)| + |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)| = 2x + 2 - c > c$  there is the vertex  $\rho$  of  $\{\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)\} \cup \{\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)\}$  such that  $\rho$  is not joined to  $\eta$ .

If  $\rho$  is the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$ , then  $\eta$  is not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  and joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ . If  $\rho$  is the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$ , then  $\eta$  is not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap$  $\Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  and joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ .

Hence,  $\delta$  is not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  and joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ , or  $\delta$  is joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  and not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ .

From the fact mentioned above, each vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  is joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  and not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ , or joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_2) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  and not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Gamma(\beta_1) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ .

If  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\beta_i)$  is not empty, for i=1, 2, let  $\eta_i$  be a vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap$ 

 $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Gamma(\beta_i)$ , for i=1, 2. Then we have

$$egin{aligned} k-c &= |\Delta(lpha)ackslash\Delta(\gamma)| = |\{\Delta(lpha)ackslash\Delta(\gamma)\}\cap\Delta(\eta_1)| + |\{\Delta(lpha)ackslash\Delta(\gamma)\}\cap\Delta(\eta_2)| \ &= 2x\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (1) we obtain

$$c = a - x + 2$$
.

This is the contradiction.

Therefore, each vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  is joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ or not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ . We define  $\sum$  the set of the vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  which are joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ . Then, all vertices of  $\sum$  are joined to each other. Hence, all vertices of  $\sum \bigcup \{\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)\}$  are joined to each other and  $|\sum \bigcup \{\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)\}| = a+1$ . Therefore, we have

$$k = 2(a+1), \quad \mathfrak{S} = \{1, 2\}.$$

Step 3. We assume that  $\mathfrak{S} = \{1, 2\}, k = 2a+2$ .

We define the largest subset L of V line such that all vertices of L are joined to each other. Then, we have the following properties

- i) each vertex is contained within exactly two lines
- ii) each line consists of exactly a+2-vertices
- iii) two vertices are contained within at most one line
- iv) there are not three lines such that any two of them intersect.

v) if for two vertices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  there is not the line containing them, there are two lines A, B such that A contains  $\alpha$ , B contains  $\beta$ , and A intersects with B.

Now, we choose the line  $L_{\infty} = \{l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{a+1}\}$  and the line  $L_i$  which contains  $l_i, L_i \neq L_{\infty}, i=0, \dots, a+1$ , and the line  $B_j$  which intersect with  $L_0, B_j \neq L_{\infty}, j=1, \dots, a+1$ . Let  $n_i = |B_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{a+1} L_j|$  and  $n_{i_0} = \max\{n_i | i=1, \dots, a+1\}$ .

We put

$$B_{i_0} \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{a+1} L_j = \{x_1, \cdots, x_{n_{i_0}}\} \text{ and } B_{i_0} \cap L_{jk} = \phi, k = 1, \cdots, n_{i_0}.$$

Any vertex is contained within at least one of  $L_{\infty}$ ,  $L_0$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $L_{a+11}$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $B_{a+1}$  from the property v).

From  $\mathfrak{S} = \{1, 2\}$ , we have

$$n_{i_0} > 0$$
.

We choose the line  $X_i$  containing  $x_i$ ,  $X_i \neq B_{i_0}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n_{i_0}$ , and show that any line is one of  $L_{\infty}$ ,  $L_0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $L_{a+1}$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $B_{a+1}$ ,  $X_1$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $X_{n_{i_0}}$ .

We assume that there exists a line X different from the lines above. Then, there exists the line  $B_{i_1}$  which intersect with X. Let  $\{x\}=X\cap B_{i_1}$ . Then, the

vertex x is not joined to the vertex  $x_i, i=1, \dots, n_{i_0}$ . From  $B_{i_1} \cap B_{i_0} = X \cap B_{i_0} = X \cap B_{i_0} = X \cap B_{i_0}$ .

$$B_{i_1}\cap X_i \neq \phi, i = 1, \cdots, n_{i_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$|B_{i_1} \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{a+1} L_j| \ge n_{i_0} + 1.$$

This contradicts the maximality of  $n_{i_0}$ .

The vertex  $x_i$  is not joined to the vertex  $l_{jk}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n_{j_0}$ ,  $k=1, \dots, n_{i_0}$ . From the fact that  $L_{\infty} \cap B_{i_0} = L_{\infty} \cap X_i = L_{jk} \cap B_{i_0} = \phi$ , we have  $L_{jk} \cap X_i \neq \phi$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n_{i_0}, k=1, \dots, n_{i_0}$ , by the property v).

On the other hand if  $L_j \neq L_{j_k}$ ,  $k=1, \dots, n_{i_0}$ , then  $L_j \cap B_{i_0} \neq \phi$ ,  $B_{i_0} \cap X_i \neq \phi$ . Therefore, we have  $L_j \cap X_i = \phi$  by the property (iii). Hence, we have

$$|X_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{a+1} B_j| = a+2-n_{i_0}, \ i=1, \dots, n_{i_0}.$$

Change the places of L and  $L_0$ , we have  $a+2-n_{i_0}=n_{i_0}$ , that is

$$n_{i_0} = \frac{a+2}{2} = \frac{a}{2} + 1$$
.

For any positive even number a, the graph satisfying the conditions exists uniquely. Automorphism group of the graph is transitive, imprimitive and rank 6 on the vertices of the graph. The number of the vertices of the graph is equal to  $5 \cdot \left(\frac{a+2}{2}\right)^2$ . q.e.d.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Gamma = (V, B)$  be the connected regular graph satisfying the conditions 1, 2 and 3 of the Theorem 1 and the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is at least three. Then we have

1). 
$$k=2(a+1), \mathfrak{S}=\{1\}$$
 or

- 2).  $k=2(a+1), \mathfrak{S}=\{1, 2\}$  or
- 3).  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the graph induced by the vertices and the edges of the icosahedron.

(We put

$$egin{aligned} &k = |\Delta(lpha)|, \, a = |\Delta(lpha) \cap \Delta(eta)| & ext{for} \quad eta \in \Delta(lpha) \,, \ & & & \otimes = \{|\Delta(lpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)| \, | \, d(lpha, \gamma) = 2, \, lpha, \, \gamma \in V \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.

Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be the vertices such that  $d(\alpha, \delta)=3$ ,  $d(\alpha, \gamma)=2$  and  $d(\gamma, \delta)=1$ , and  $\varepsilon$  be the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ . Since any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  is not joined to  $\delta$ , all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  are joined to each other. Therefore we have

$$|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)| = 2a - k + 3$$
,

M. NUMATA

Next time, we have

$$\Delta(\mathcal{E}) \cap \Delta(\delta) \subseteq \{\Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\alpha)\} \cup \{\gamma\} \cap \Delta(\mathcal{E}) \; .$$

Therefore,

$$2a-k+3\leq k-a-1,$$

that is,

$$k \geq \frac{3}{2}a + 2.$$

Case I. We suppose that  $k > \frac{3}{2}a + 2$ .

Then, we have

$$|\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)| = k - (2a - k + 3) > a + 1$$
, and  
 $|\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)| - 2 = k - (2a - k + 3) - 2 > 2a - k + 2$ 

By the same way to the proof of the step 2 of the Theorem 1, we conclude that

 $k = (2a+2), \ \mathfrak{S} = \{1\} \ \text{or} \ \mathfrak{S} = \{1, 2\}.$ 

Case II. We assume that  $k = \frac{3}{2}a + 2$ .

When a=2, it is easy to prove that the graph  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the graph induced by the vertices and the edges of the icosahedron.

We assume that a > 2.

Since  $|\Delta(\Gamma)\setminus\Delta(\gamma)|=a+1$ ,  $\Delta(\alpha)\setminus\Delta(\gamma)$  is not complete. Let  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  be two vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha)\setminus\Delta(\gamma)$  which are not joined to each other. From that a>2, we have

$$\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta) \cap \Delta(\beta') \subseteq \Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \{\beta, \beta'\}$$

By the same way to the proof of the step 2 of the Theorem 1, we conclude that each vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  is joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta)$  and not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta')$ , or joined to all vertices of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap$  $\Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta')$  and not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta)$ , or not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ . It is easy to prove that  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta)$  and

 $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta')$  are not empty from that  $k = \frac{3}{2}a + 2$ .

Let  $\eta$  be the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta)$  and  $\eta'$  be the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta')$ . We have

$$|\Delta(lpha)ackslash\Delta(\gamma)ackslash\Delta(\eta')|=1$$
 .

Let  $\rho$  be the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\eta) \setminus \Delta(\eta')$ .  $\rho$  is joined to all vertices of

 $\Delta(\alpha)\setminus\Delta(\gamma)\setminus\{\rho\}$  and not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma)$ . Now, we show that  $\mathfrak{S}=\{2a-k+3\}$ . We define  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  the set of the vertices which are distant 2 from  $\alpha$ . Let  $\gamma'$  be the vertex of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ . If  $\gamma'=\gamma$ ,  $|\Delta(\alpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma')|=2a-k+3$ . If  $\gamma'$  is the vertex of  $\Gamma(\alpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma)$ , since  $\gamma'$  is joint to  $\delta$ ,  $\delta$  is not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha)\cap\Delta(\gamma')$ . therefore, we have

$$|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma')| = 2a - k + 3$$
.

If  $\gamma'$  is the vertex of  $\Gamma(\alpha) \setminus \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \{\gamma\}$ , we have

$$\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma') \subseteq \Delta(\alpha) \backslash \Delta(\gamma)$$

By the existance of  $\rho$ , we obtain

$$|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma')| = 2a - k + 3 = k - a - 1.$$

Thus, we conclude that  $|\Gamma(\alpha)| = k$ . If there exist two vertices  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  which are not joined to each other and  $|\Delta(\xi) \cap \Delta(\xi')| = 2a - k + 4$ , then we have

 $V = \{\xi\} \cup \Delta(\xi) \cup \Gamma(\xi) \text{ and } |\Gamma(\xi)| < k.$ 

This is impossible.

Count by two ways the number of the pair of the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$ and the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma') \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  which are not joined to each other. Then we have

$$|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta')| = \Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \cap \Delta(\beta)| = \frac{1}{2}(2a - k + 3).$$

We divide the set  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$  into three subsets  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ ,  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  and  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\beta)$ . Each vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)$  is not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\beta)$ , and  $|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\beta)| < |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\beta)| < |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \Delta(\gamma)|$  because that a > 2. Now,  $\rho$  is the vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)$  and joined to all vertices of  $\{\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta) \setminus \Delta(\gamma) \setminus \{\rho\}\} \cup \{\beta\}$  and not joined to any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta) \cap \Delta(\gamma)$ . Therefore there exists not vertex of  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  such that is joined to  $\beta$  and  $\rho$ .

For any vertex of  $\Delta(\alpha)\Delta(\gamma)\setminus\{\rho\}$ , the above holds. Thus, let  $\sigma$  be the vertex of  $\Delta(\rho)\setminus\Delta(\alpha)\setminus\{\alpha\}$ , then we have

$$\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\sigma) = \{\rho\}.$$

This is a contradiction.

Example.

Let  $G=S^{\Omega}$  be the symmetric group on  $\Omega = \{0, 1, \dots, 2r\}$  for  $r \ge 2$ , and the subgroup  $H=S_r \int z_2$  of G be the wreath product of the symmetric group of degree or and the group of order 2 and act on  $\{1, \dots, 2r\}$ .

q.e.d.

We choose the subset E of the unordered pair of  $\{Hx\}_{x\in G}$  such that (Hx, Hy) is the element of E if and only if  $xy^{-1}$  is the element of  $H\sigma H$ 

 $(0^{\sigma} = 1, 1^{\sigma} = 0, i^{\sigma} = i, i = 2, \dots, 2r).$ 

Then,  $\Gamma = (\{Hx\}_{x \in G}, E)$  satisfies the conditions 1, 2, 3 of the Theorem 1 and the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is at least three and  $\mathfrak{S}=1$ .

**OSAKA UNIVERSITY** 

#### References

- [1] N. Biggs: Finite Groups of Automorphisms, Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- [2] S.S. Schrikhande: The uniqueness of the L<sub>2</sub>-association scheme, Ann. Math. Statist. 30 (1959), 781-798.
- [4] W.S. Conner: The uniqueness of the triangular association scheme, Ann. Math. Statist. 29 (1958), 262-266.
- [5] A.J. Hoffman: On the uniqueness of the triangular association scheme, Ann. Math. Statist. **31** (1960), 492–497.