Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 19 NO. 2 (1996) 267-278

ON A CLASS OF EXACT LOCALLY CONFORMAL COSYMLECTIC MANIFOLDS

I. MIHAI and L. VERSTRAELEN

Department Wiskunde, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200 B, B - 3000 Leuven, Belgium

R. ROSCA

59 Avenue Emile Zola
75015 Paris, France

(Received May 6, 1993 and in revised form June 1994)

ABSTRACT. An almost cosymplectic manifold M is a $(2m+1)$-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold endowed with a 2-form Ω of rank $2m$, a 1-form η such that $\Omega^m \wedge \eta \neq 0$ and a vector field ξ satisfying $i_\xi \Omega = 0$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$. Particular cases were considered in [3] and [6].

Let (M, g) be an odd dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold carrying a globally defined vector field T such that the Riemannian connection is parallel with respect to T. It is shown that in this case M is a hyperbolic space form endowed with an exact locally conformal cosymplectic structure. Moreover T defines an infinitesimal homothety of the connection forms and a relative infinitesimal conformal transformation of the curvature forms.

The existence of a structure conformal vector field C on M is proved and their properties are investigated. In the last section, we study the geometry of the tangent bundle of an exact locally conformal cosymplectic manifold.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Locally conformal cosymplectic manifold, T-parallel connection, infinitesimal homothety, infinitesimal conformal transformation, Hamiltonian vector field, tangent bundle, Liouville vector field, complete lift, mechanical system.
1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 53C15, 53C05, 53C20, 58A15, 58A30.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade a series of papers have been devoted to almost cosymplectic manifolds $M(\Omega, \eta, \xi, g)$. As is well known, an almost cosymplectic manifold M is an odd dimensional (say $2m+1$) oriented manifold, where the triple (Ω, η, ξ) of tensor fields is

i) a 2-form Ω of rank $2m$

ii) a 1-form η such that $\Omega^m \wedge \eta \neq 0$

iii) a vector field (called the Reeb vector field) such that $i_\xi \Omega = 0$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$.

One has the following more studied cases:

1° Ω and η are both closed forms. Then M is called a cosymplectic manifold.

2° $d\eta = 0$, $d\Omega = 2\eta \wedge \Omega$. Then M is called a Kenmotsu manifold.

3° $d\eta = \omega \wedge \eta$, $d\Omega = 2\omega \wedge \Omega$. Then M is called a locally conformal cosymplectic manifold (see [3],[16]). In this case ω and its dual vector $T = b^{-1}(\omega)$ with respect to g is called the Lee form (or characteristic form) and Lee vector field respectively.

In the present paper we consider an almost cosymplectic manifold $M(\Omega, \eta, \xi, g)$ carrying a globally defined vector field T whose dual form $b(T)$ is denoted by ω.

Next denote by $0 = \text{vect}\{e_A; A = 0, 1, \ldots, 2m\}$ an orthonormal vector basis on M and by $\left\{\theta^A_B\right\}$ the associated connection forms. If the connection forms satisfy

$$\theta^A_B = \langle T, e_B \wedge e_A \rangle ; \quad \wedge \text{ is the wedge product},$$

then one has

$$\nabla_T e_A = 0$$

Therefore we agree to say that M is structured by a T-parallel connection. In this condition the following significative fact emerges: the almost cosymplectic structure $1 \times Sp(2m, \mathbf{R})$ of M moves to an exact locally conformal cosymplectic structure $1 \times Sp(2m, \mathbf{R})$ (abbreviated exact L.C.C.), having T (resp. $\omega = -df/f$) as Lee vector field (resp. Lee form).

Moreover any such a manifold M is a space form of curvature $-2c$ and f is the energy function corresponding to a Hamiltonian vector field associated with T (in the sense of [3]). If θ (resp. Θ) represents the indexless (or generic) connection forms (resp. curvature forms) of M, then T defines an infinitesimal homothety of θ, i.e. $L_T\theta = 2c\theta$, and a relative infinitesimal T conformal transformation of Θ and Ω, i.e.

$$d(L_T\Theta) = 2c\omega \wedge \Theta\,, \quad d(L_T\Omega) = 2c\omega \wedge \Omega\,.$$

In Section 3 the existence of a structure conformal vector field C on M is proved, i.e.

$$\nabla_Z C = \lambda Z + g(Z,T)C - g(Z,C)T\,; \quad \lambda \in C^\infty M\,, \quad Z \in \Gamma(TM)\,.$$

Moreover C is a divergence conformal vector field, i.e. grad (div C) is a concurrent vector field and it defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation of:

i) the conformal cosymplectic form Ω, i.e. $L_C\Omega = \rho\Omega$, $\rho = 2\lambda$;

ii) the dual forms ω^A, i.e. $L_C\omega^A = \frac{\rho}{2}\omega^A$;

iii) the curvature forms Θ^A_B, i.e. $L_C\Theta^A_B = \rho\Theta^A_B$;

iv) all the $(2q+1)$-forms $\alpha_q = b(C) \wedge \Omega^q$, i.e. $L_C\alpha_q = (1+q)\rho\alpha_q$;

v) all the functions $g(C,Z)$, i.e. $L_C g(C,Z) = \rho g(C,Z)$, $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

In the last section, we discuss some properties of the tangent bundle manifold TM having as basis the exact (L.C.C.)-manifold M. Denote by V, γ and ν the Liouville vector field ([13]), the Liouville 1-form and the Liouville function respectively, on TM.

The following properties are proved:

i) the complete lift Ω^c of Ω is a $d^{-\omega}$-exact 2-form (d^ω is the cohomological operator [11]) and is homogeneous of class 1, i.e.

$$L_V\Omega^c = \Omega^c\,;$$

ii) γ satisfies $d^{-\omega}\gamma = \psi$ and ψ is a Finslerian form, i.e.

$$L_V\psi = \psi\,, \quad \dot{i}_v\psi = 0$$

($\dot{i}_v$ denotes the vertical differentiation operator [11]);

iii) the vertical lift T^v of T defines an infinitesimal automorphism of ψ, i.e. $LT^v\psi = 0$;

iv) the function $r = f\nu$ and the 2-form $f\psi$ define a regular mechanical system $\mathcal{M}$ ([13]) having r as kinetic energy and $f\psi$ as canonical symplectic (exact) form.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M,g) be a Riemannian C^∞-manifold and let ∇ be the covariant differential operator with respect to the metric tensor g. Assume that M is oriented and ∇ is a Levi-Civita connection. Let $\Gamma(TM) = \chi(M)$ and $b: TM \to T^*M$ be the set of sections of the tangent bundle TM and the musical isomorphism ([18]) defined by g, respectively. Following [18] we set

$$A^q(M,TM) = \Gamma\, Hom(\Lambda^q TM, TM)$$

and notice that elements of $A^q(M,TM)$ are vector valued q-forms ($q \leq dim M$).

Denote by $d^{\nabla}: A^q(M,TM) \to A^{q+1}(M,TM)$ the exterior covariant derivative operator with respect to ∇. It should be noticed that generally $d^{\nabla'} = d^{\nabla} \circ d^{\nabla} \neq 0$ unlike $d^2 = d \circ d = 0$. If $p \in M$, then the vector valued 1-form $dp \in A^1(M,TM)$ is the canonical vector valued 1-form of M ([5]) and since ∇ is symmetric one has $d^{\nabla}(dp) = 0$. The operator

$$d^{\omega} = d + e(\omega) \tag{1.1}$$

acting on ΛM, where $e(\omega)$ means the exterior product by the closed 1-form ω, is called the cohomological operator ([11]). One has

$$d^{\omega} o d^{\omega} = 0\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Any form $u \in \Lambda M$ such that $d^{\omega}u = 0$ is said to be d^{ω}-closed and if ω is an exact form, then u is said to be a d^{ω}-exact form. Any vector field $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ such that

$$d^{\nabla}(\nabla Z) = \nabla^2 Z = \pi \wedge dp \in A^2(M,TM) \tag{1.3}$$

for some 1-form π, is said to be an exterior concurrent vector field ([17]). The form π which is called the concurrence form is given by

$$\pi = \lambda b(Z)\,; \quad \lambda \in C^{\infty}M\,. \tag{1.4}$$

A non flat manifold of dimension $m > 2$ is an elliptic or hyperbolic space-form if and only if every vector field on M is an exterior concurrent one ([17]). On the tangent bundle manifold TM, d_v and i_v define the vertical differentiation and the vertical derivation operators respectively ([7]). d_v is an anti-derivation of degree 1 on $\Lambda(TM)$ and i_v is a derivation of degree 0 on $\nabla(TM)$.

In an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M, denote by

$$\mathbf{O} = vect\{e_A; A = 1,\ldots,n\}$$

a local field of orthonormal frames and let

$$\mathbf{O}^* = covect\{\omega^A; A = 1,\ldots,n\}$$

be its associated coframe.

The soldering form dp is expressed by

$$dp = \omega^A \otimes e_A \tag{1.5}$$

and E. Cartan's structure equations written indexless manner are

$$\nabla e = \theta \otimes e \tag{1.6}$$

$$d\omega = -\theta \wedge \omega \tag{1.7}$$

$$d\theta = -\theta \wedge \theta + \Theta \tag{1.8}$$

Any vector field T such that

$$\nabla T = s\, dp + u \otimes T\,, \quad u \in \Lambda^1 M \tag{1.9}$$

is called a torse forming (K. Yano [20]). If $du = 0$, then T is a closed torse forming, which implies that T is an exterior concurrent vector field, and if $u = 0$, then T is a concurrent vector field ([22]).

Let now W be any conformal vector field on M (i.e. the conformal version of Killing's equations). As is well known, W satisfies

$$L_W g = \rho g \quad \text{or} \quad g(\nabla_Z W, Z') + g(\nabla_{Z'} W, Z) = \rho g(Z,Z') \tag{1.10}$$

where the conformal scalar ρ is defined by

$$\rho = \frac{2}{n}(div W)\,. \tag{1.11}$$

We recall some basic formulas which we shall use in the following sections.

$$L_W b(Z) = \rho b(Z) + b[W,Z] \qquad \text{(Orsted lemma)} \tag{1.12}$$

$$L_W K = (n-1)\Delta\rho - K\rho \tag{1.13}$$

$$2L_W S(Z,Z') = (\Delta)\rho g(Z,Z') - (n-2) \quad (\text{Hess}\,\nabla^{\rho})(Z,Z'). \tag{1.14}$$

In the above equations L_W, K, Δ and S denote the *Lie* derivative with respect to W, the scalar curvature of M, the Laplacian and the Ricci tensor field of ∇, respectively. One has

$$(\text{Hess}_{\nabla}\rho)(Z,Z') = g(Z,H_\rho Z'), \quad H_\rho Z' = \nabla_{Z'}(\text{grad}\,\rho)$$

(see also [2]).

2. EXACT LOCALLY CONFORMAL COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

Let (M,g) be a $(2m+1)$-dimensional oriented Riemannian C^∞-manifold and let $T = \sum_{A=0}^{2m} t^A e_A$ and $\omega = b(T)$ be a globally defined vector field on M and its dual form respectively.

Denote by $\mathbf{O} = vect\{e_A; A = 0,1,\dots,2m\}$ (resp. θ^A_B) a local field of orthonormal frames on M (resp. the associated connection forms). Recall that the vectorial wedge product $\wedge$ is defined by

$$(X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y; \quad Z \in \Gamma(TM)$$

i.e.

$$X \wedge Y = b(Y)\otimes X - b(X)\otimes Y.$$

Assume now that all the connection forms θ satisfy

$$\theta^A_B = \langle T, e_B \wedge e_A\rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

Then by the structure equations (1.6), it follows at once

$$\theta^A_B = t^B\omega^A - t^A\omega^B. \tag{2.2}$$

It should be noticed that if θ satisfy (2.2) one has $\theta(T) = 0$ and the above equation shows that all the connection forms θ are relations of integral invariance for the vector field T (in the sense of A. Lichnerowicz [14]).

Next by the structure equations (1.6) and by (2.2) one obtains

$$\nabla e_A = t^A dp - \omega^A \otimes T \tag{2.3}$$

and the above equation implies

$$\nabla_T e_A = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.4) the following significative fact emerges: all the vectors of the **O**-basis are T-parallel. Therefore we agree to say that the Riemannian manifold under consideration is structured by a T-parallel connection (abr. T.P.).

Further again by (2.2) one derives by the structure equations (1.7)

$$d\omega^A = \omega \wedge \omega^A; \quad \omega = b(T) = t^A\omega^A \tag{2.5}$$

which by a simple argument implies that the dual form ω of T is closed, i.e.

$$d\omega = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus in terms of d^ω-cohomology, (2.5) may be written as

$$d^{-\omega}\omega^A = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

and $\mathbf{O}^* = \{\omega^A\}$ is defined as a $d^{-\omega}$-closed covector basis.

Now for reasons which will soon appear, we set

$$\omega^0 = \eta, \quad e_0 = \xi \tag{2.8}$$

and consider on M the globally defined 2-form Ω of rank $2m$ given by

$$\Omega = \Sigma\omega^a \wedge \omega^{a^*} ; \quad a = 1,\dots,m ; \quad a^* = a + m . \tag{2.9}$$

Then since $\Omega^m \wedge \eta \neq 0$, $i_\xi\Omega = 0$, one may say that the triple (Ω,η,ξ) defines an almost cosymplectic structure $1 \times Sp(2m,\mathbf{R})$ having ξ as Reeb's vector field.

Next taking the exterior differential of Ω a short calculation gives with the help of (2.5)

$$d\Omega = 2\omega \wedge \Omega \Leftrightarrow d^{-2\omega}\Omega = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

and by (2.5) we may write

$$d\eta = \omega \wedge \eta \Leftrightarrow d^{-\omega}\eta = 0 . \tag{2.11}$$

We conclude that any odd dimensional Riemannien manifold M structured by a T-parallel connection is endowed with a locally conformal cosymplectic structure $1 \times CSp(2n,\mathbf{R})$ (abr. L.C.C.). We notice that the vector field T (resp. the 1-form $\omega = b(T)$) is the Lee vector field (resp. the Lee form) of this structure.

Moreover since $\omega = t^A\omega^A$, then by a simple argument it follows on behalf of (2.5) that one may set

$$dt^A = f\omega^A ; \quad f \in C^\infty M \tag{2.12}$$

which by exterior differentiation gives instantly

$$\omega = -df/f . \tag{2.13}$$

Therefore since ω is an exact form, it follows on behalf of a known terminology, that the manifold M under consideration is an exact (L.C.C.)-manifold. We agree to call f the distinguished scalar field associated with the exact (L.C.C.)-structure.

Now taking the covariant differential of T one finds by (2.3) and (2.12)

$$\nabla T = (f + 2l)dp - \omega \otimes T \tag{2.14}$$

where we have set

$$g(T,T) = 2l . \tag{2.15}$$

Using (2.12) and (2.15), we have

$$dl = f\omega \Rightarrow l + f = c = const \neq 0 \tag{2.16}$$

and (2.14) becomes

$$\nabla T = (l + c)dp - \omega \otimes T . \tag{2.17}$$

Hence, by (1.9) and (2.6) T is a closed torse forming and consequently an exterior concurrent (abr. E.C.)-vector field.

Operating now on ∇e_A and ∇T by the exterior covariant derivative operator d^∇, one gets by (2.12) and (2.16)

$$d^\nabla(\nabla e_A) = \nabla^2 e_A = 2c\omega^A \wedge dp \tag{2.18}$$

$$d^\nabla(\nabla T) = \nabla^2 T = 2c\omega \wedge dp . \tag{2.19}$$

From the above equations it is seen that any vector field Z on M is E.C. with constant conformal scalar $2c$. Therefore on behalf of the general properties of E.C.-vector fields ([17]), we may state the following striking property: the exact L.C.C.-manifold $M(\Omega,\eta,\xi)$ under discussion is a space-form of curvature $-2c$.

As a consequence, it follows that the curvature forms Θ are expressed by

$$\Theta^A_B = -2c\omega^A \wedge \omega^B \tag{2.20}$$

Next taking the exterior differential of the forms Θ, one quickly finds by

$$d\Theta^A_B = 2\omega \wedge \Theta^A_B \Leftrightarrow d^{-2\omega}\Theta^A_B = 0 \tag{2.21}$$

which shows that all the curvature forms Θ are $d^{-2\omega}$-exact.

On the other hand taking the Lie derivatives of the covectors ω^A of $\mathbf{O}^*$ one derives by (2.12) and (2.16)

$$L_l\,\omega^A = (l+c)\omega^A - t^A\omega\,. \tag{2.22}$$

Therefore since L_l satisfies Leibniz rule one deduces by (2.20)

$$L_l\Theta^A_B = 2(l+c)\Theta^A_B + 2c\,\theta^A_B \wedge \omega \tag{2.23}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$d\Theta^A_B = 2f\omega^B \Lambda \omega^A + \omega \Lambda \theta^A_B \tag{2.24}$$

Clearly by (2.12) one has $L_l t^A = ft^A$ and with the help of (2.22) we deduce

$$L_l\theta^A_B = 2c\,\theta^A_B\,. \tag{2.25}$$

Accordingly by the above equations we may say that the Lie vector field T defines on infinitesimal homothety of all the connection forms θ.

Taking now the exterior differential of the equations (2.23), a standard calculation gives

$$d(L_T\Theta^A_B) = 8c\,\omega \wedge \Theta^A_B \tag{2.26}$$

which proves that T defines a relative infinitesimal conformal transformation ([19]) of the curvature forms.

let $\mu : TM \to T^*M$, $\mu(Z) = i_Z\Omega$ be the bundle isomorphism defined by Ω and set $\overline{\omega} = \mu(T)$, i.e.

$$\overline{\omega} = i_T\Omega = \sum_{a=1}^{m}(t^a\omega^{a^*} - t^{a^*}\omega^a) \tag{2.27}$$

for the dual form of T with respect to Ω. By (2.5) and (2.12) an easy calculation gives

$$d\overline{\omega} = 2f\Omega + \omega \wedge \overline{\omega} \tag{2.28}$$

and by (2.10) and (2.13) one gets

$$L_T\Omega = 2(l+c)\Omega + \overline{\omega} \wedge \omega \tag{2.29}$$

and consequently by (2.28) it follows

$$d(L_T\Omega) = 2c\,\omega \wedge \Omega\,. \tag{2.30}$$

Hence as for the curvature forms Θ, T defines a relative conformal transformation of the structure 2-form Ω.

Consider now the vector valued 1-form

$$F = \omega^a \otimes e_{a^*} - \omega^{a^*} \otimes e_a \in A^1(M,TM)\,. \tag{2.31}$$

If Z is any vector field, a simple calculation gives

$$\langle F,Z\rangle = Z^a e_{a^*} - Z^{a^*} e_a = \overline{Z} \tag{2.32}$$

which implies

$$g(Z,Z') + g(Z,\overline{Z}') = 0\,, \quad Z,Z' \in \Gamma(TM) \tag{2.33}$$

and $\langle F, dp\rangle = 2\Omega$.

On the other hand since $\overline{\omega}(T) = 0$ one gets by (2.27)

$$L_T\overline{\omega} = 2c\overline{\omega} \tag{2.34}$$

that is T defines an infinitesimal homothety of $\overline{\omega} = (\mu \circ b)T$.

Next by (2.12) and (2.13) one easily gets

$$i_{\overline{T}}\Omega = \frac{df}{f} - \frac{1}{f}\xi(f)\eta \, . \tag{2.35}$$

Therefore by reference to [3] one may call $\overline{T}$ the cosymplectic Hamiltonian vector field of M and the distinguished scalar f turns out to be the energy function corresponding to $\overline{T}$.

Moreover by (2.35) one derives

$$L_{\overline{T}}\Omega = \eta(\overline{T})\eta \wedge \omega \Rightarrow d(L_{\overline{T}}\Omega) = 0 \tag{2.36}$$

which shows that $\overline{T}$ defines a relative infinitesimal automorphism (R. Abraham [1]) of Ω.

Summing up, we state the following

THEOREM. Let M be a $(2m+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and let T be a globally defined vector field on M. If M is structured by a T-parallel connection, then M is endowed with an exact locally conformal cosymplectic structure $1 \times CSp(2m, \mathbf{R})$, having T (resp. $\omega = b(T)$) as Lee vector (resp. Lee form) and any such an M is a space-form of curvature $-2c$.

Moreover one has the following properties:

i) T defines an infinitesimal homothety of the connection forms θ and of the 1-form $\mu(T)$, i.e.

$$L_T\theta = 2c\theta \, , \quad L_T\mu(T) = 2c\mu(T)$$

ii) T defines a relative infinitesimal conformal transformation of the curvature forms Θ and of the structure 2-form Ω, i.e.

$$d(L_T\Theta) = 8c\omega \wedge \Theta \, , \quad d(L_T\Omega) = 2c\omega \wedge \Omega$$

iii) the vector field $\overline{T} = (b^{-1} \circ \mu)\, T$ (resp. f) is the cosymplectic Hamiltonian associated with the $1 \times CSp(2m, \mathbf{R})$-structure of M (resp. its corresponding energy function) and $\overline{T}$ defines a relative infinitesimal automorphism of Ω.

Let now $\Phi : M \to \tilde{M}$ be a conformal diffeomorphism (abr. C.D.) that is

$$\Phi : g \to e^{2\sigma}g = \tilde{g} \, ; \quad \sigma \in C^\infty M \, .$$

One also say that g and $\tilde{g}$ are conformally equivalent metrics and setting $e^{2\sigma} = \nu^2$, we agree to call the function ν the argument of the C.D.

As is shown one has for $Z, Z' \in \Gamma(TM)$

$$\tilde{\nabla}Z = \nabla Z + b(\operatorname{grad}\sigma) \otimes Z - b(Z) \otimes \operatorname{grad}\sigma + g(Z, \operatorname{grad}\sigma)dp \tag{2.37}$$

or equivalently

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{Z'}Z = \nabla_{Z'}Z + Z'(\sigma)Z + Z(\sigma)Z' - g(Z, Z')\operatorname{grad}\sigma \tag{2.38}$$

and if K and $\tilde{K}$ denote the scalar curvature of M and $\tilde{M}$ respectively then one has ([8])

$$\tilde{K} = e^{-2\sigma}\{K + 2(n-1)(n-2)\|\operatorname{grad}\sigma\|^2\} \tag{2.39}$$

$(n = dim M)$.

If M is an exact (L.C.C.)--manifold, its Ricci tensor field S satisfies

$$S(Z, Z') = -4mc\, g(Z, Z') \, ; \quad Z, Z' \in \Gamma(TM) \tag{2.40}$$

and the scalar curvature K is given by

$$K = -4m(2m+1)c \, . \tag{2.41}$$

Perform now a conformal transformation of M having as argument e^σ the energy function f. It is obvious that

$$(2.42) d\sigma = df/f = -\omega \, . \tag{2.42}$$

Then we have $\operatorname{grad}\sigma = -T$, which implies

$$\Delta\sigma = div\, T = (2m+1)c + (2m-1)l\,. \tag{2.43}$$

Hence by (2.41) and (2.43) we derive at once from (2.39), $\tilde{K} = 0$, that is $\tilde{M}$ is a flat manifold. We notice that this fact is in accordance with the known

PROPOSITION. A Riemannian manifold of constant curvature is conformally flat, provided $n \geq 3$.

Using (2.37) one may prove that all vectors $\tilde{e}_A$ are parallel (the connection forms $\tilde{\theta}^A_B$ vanish, i.e. $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a flat connection). Thus we have

PROPOSITION. If M is an exact (L.C.C.)-manifold with metric tensor g and energy function f, then the metric $f^2 g$ is flat.

3. STRUCTURE CONFORMAL VECTOR FIELDS ON AN EXACT (L.C.C.)-MANIFOLD

In consequence of some conformal properties induced by the T-parallel connection which structures $M(\Omega,\eta,\xi,g)$ we are naturally led to see if the manifold M under consideration carries a structure conformal vector field C in the sense of [6], [15]. Therefore the covariant differential of C is expressed by

$$\nabla C = \lambda dp + C \wedge T = \lambda dp + \omega \otimes C - \alpha \otimes T\,; \quad \lambda \in C^\infty M\,, \quad \alpha = b(C)\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Put

$$C = C^A e_A \Rightarrow b(C) = \alpha = C^A \omega^A \tag{3.2}$$

and $s = g(C,T)$. Then by (2.3) and (3.1) one quickly gets

$$dC^A = (\lambda - s)\omega^A + C^A\omega \tag{3.3}$$

$$d\alpha = 2\omega \wedge \alpha \Rightarrow d^{-2\omega}\alpha = 0\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Next since $ds = \langle \nabla C, T\rangle + \langle \nabla T, C\rangle$,a short calculation gives

$$ds = \lambda\omega - (l-c)\alpha \tag{3.5}$$

$$ds = d\lambda \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) it is seen that the existence of C is assured by an exterior differential system Σ whose characteristic numbers are

$$r = 3\,, \quad s_0 = 2\,, \quad s_1 = 1\,.$$

Then Σ is in involution in the sense of E. Cartan (i.e. $r = s_c + s_1$). Accordingly one may say that the existence of C depends on 2 arbitrary functions of one argument (E. Cartan's test). The conformal scalar ρ associated with $C(L_C g = \rho g)$ is given by

$$\rho = 2\lambda\,. \tag{3.7}$$

By a short calculation one has

$$[C,T] = -\lambda T - (l-c)C\,; \quad [\quad]\text{: Lie bracket} \tag{3.8}$$

and from (3.5) it follows

$$L_C\omega = ds = \lambda\omega - (l-c)\alpha\,. \tag{3.9}$$

This equation matches by Orsted's lemma (1.12) the expression of $[C,T]$.

On the other hand since C is necessarily an E. C. vector field (M is a space-form), then operating (3.1) by d^∇ and taking account of (3.4) and (3.5), one derives

$$d^\nabla(\nabla C) = \nabla^2 C = 2c\alpha \wedge dp\,. \tag{3.10}$$

The above equation is coherent with the properties obtained in Section 2.

Setting now

$$\bar{\alpha} = \iota_C\Omega = \Sigma(C^a\omega^{a^*} - C^{a^*}\omega^a) \tag{3.11}$$

one gets by (3.4) and (2.5)

$$d\overline{\alpha} = 2(\lambda - s)\Omega + 2\omega \wedge \overline{\alpha} \tag{3.12}$$

and one follows

$$L_C \Omega = \rho\Omega . \tag{3.13}$$

Hence (3.13) reveals that C defines an infinitesimal conformal transformation (abr. I.C.T.) of the conformal cosymplectic form Ω.

By similar methods, one gets by (2.5), (2.24), (2.20) and (2.21)

$$L_C\omega^A = \frac{\rho}{2}\omega^A , \quad L_C\theta^A_B = \frac{\rho}{2}\theta^A_B , \quad L_C\Theta^A_B = \rho\Theta^A_B . \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore one may say that C defines an I.C.T. of the exact (L.C.C.)-structure of M.

Moreover let L be the operator of type (1.1) on forms defined by S. Goldberg ([8]), that is $Lu = u \wedge \Omega; u \in \Lambda^1 M$, and consider on M the $(2q+1)$-forms

$$L^q\alpha = \alpha_q = \alpha \wedge \Omega^q . \tag{3.15}$$

Since by Orsted's lemma one has

$$L_C\alpha = \rho\,\alpha \tag{3.16}$$

then by (3.13) and a standard calculation one derives

$$L_C\alpha_q = (q+1)\rho\,\alpha_q . \tag{3.17}$$

Hence C defines an (I.C.T.) of all the $(2q+1)$-forms α_q.

Next since C is a conformal vector field, then as is known (see (1.11)) one has

$$div\ C = (\rho/2)(2m+1) \tag{3.18}$$

and since $\rho = 2\lambda$ it follows by (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\text{grad}\ \rho = \rho T + 2(c - l)C . \tag{3.19}$$

Further by (2.16) and taking account of (2.14) and (3.1) it is easily deduced

$$\nabla\ \text{grad}\ \rho = 2c\rho dp . \tag{3.20}$$

Thus one may state the following relevant property: the gradient of the associated scalar ρ of C is a concurrent vector field (K. Yano and B. Y. Chen [22]). We agree to call a conformal vector field such that the gradient of its conformal scalar ρ is a concurrent vector field, a divergence conformal vector field. Such a situation occurs also when studying conformal vector fields on Lorentzian P.S. manifolds (see I. Mihai and R. Rosca [15]).

On the other hand from (2.14) one derives

$$div\ T = (2m-1)l + (2m+1)c \tag{3.21}$$

and since $div\ C = (2m+1)\lambda$, one gets on behalf of (3.20)

$$\Delta\rho = -div(\text{grad}\ \rho) = -2(2m+1)c\rho \tag{3.22}$$

which shows that ρ is an eigenfunction of Δ.

C being an E.C. vector field satisfying (3.10), one has ([17])

$$S(C,Z) = -4mc\ g(C,Z) , \quad Z \in \Gamma(TM) \tag{3.23}$$

where S denotes the Ricci tensor field of ∇.

Now making use of (1.14) and carrying out the calculations, one finds by (3.19) and (3.22)

$$L_C g(C,Z) = \rho g(C,Z) . \tag{3.24}$$

Hence the vector field C defines an I.C.T. of all the functions $g(C,Z)$, where $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Concuding, we have proved the following

THEOREM. Let M be the exact (L.C.C.) manifold defined in Section 2 and C a structure conformal vector field on M (which existence is proved), i.e.

$$\nabla C = \frac{\rho}{2} d\rho + C \wedge T\,; \quad L_C g = \rho g$$

Then C is a divergence conformal vector field (i.e. grad($div\ C$) is a concurrent vector field) and it defines the following infinitesimal conformal transformations

$$L_C \Omega = \rho\Omega\,, \quad L_C \omega^A = \frac{\rho}{2}\omega^A\,, \quad L_C \theta^A_B = \frac{\rho}{2}\theta^A_B$$

$$L_C \Theta^A_B = \rho\Theta^A_B\,, \quad L_C \alpha_q = (1+q)\rho\alpha_q\,, \quad L_C g(C,Z) = \rho g(C,Z)(Z \in \Gamma(TM))$$

where Ω, ω^A, θ^A_B, Θ^A_B and $\alpha_q = b(C) \wedge \Omega^q$ are the conformal symplectic 2-form, the dual forms, the connection forms, the curvature forms and the $(2q+1)$-forms defined by the (1,1)-operator L, respectively on M.

4. GEOMETRY OF THE TANGENT BUNDLE OF AN EXACT (L.C.C.)-MANIFOLD

Let now TM be the tangent bundle manifold having the exact (L.C.C.)-manifold M discussed in Section 2 as a basis.

Denote by $V(v^A)(A = 0, 1, \ldots, 2m)$ the Liouville vector field (or the canonical vector field [7]). Accordingly we may consider the set $B^* = \{\omega^A, dv^A\}$ as an adapted cobasis in TM. Following Godbillon ([7]) we denote by d_v and i_v the vertical differentiation and the vertical derivative operators with respect to B^*, respectively (d_v is an antiderivation of degree 1 on $\Lambda(TM)$ and i_v is a derivation of degree 0 on $\Lambda(TM)$). Let $T^r_s M$ be the set of all tensor fields of type (r,s) on M.

In general as is known ([23]) the vertical and complete lifts are linear mappings of $T^r_s M$ into $T^r_s(TM)$ and one has

$$(T_1 \otimes T_2)^c = T_1^v \otimes T_2^c + T_1^c \otimes T_2^v\,. \tag{4.1}$$

In the case under discussion we may define the complete lift Ω^c of the structure 2-form Ω of M by the 2-form of rank $4m$ on TM

$$\Omega^c = \Sigma(dv^a \wedge \omega^{a^*} + \omega^a \wedge dv^{a^*}), \quad a = 1,\ldots,m\,; \quad a^* = a + m\,. \tag{4.2}$$

On the other hand since the Liouville vector field V is expressed by

$$V = \Sigma\, v^A \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A} \tag{4.3}$$

then as is known the basic 1-form

$$\gamma = \Sigma\, v^A \omega^A \tag{4.4}$$

is called the Liouville form (see also [13]).

Taking now the exterior differential of Ω^c one finds by (2.5)

$$d\Omega^c = \omega \wedge \Omega^c \Leftrightarrow d^{-\omega}\Omega^c = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

which shows that Ω^c is similarly as Ω a $d^{-\omega}$-exact form. We recall that in general conformal properties are not preserved by complete lifts ([23]).

One has

$$i_V \Omega^c = \Sigma(v^a \omega^{a^*} - v^{a^*} \omega^a) \tag{4.6}$$

which implies $\omega(V) = 0$ and so by (4.5) and (4.6) one gets

$$L_V \Omega^c = \Omega^c\,. \tag{4.7}$$

Accordingly on behalf of a known definition ([13]), the above equation shows that Ω^c is of class 1, a homogeneous form on TM. Taking now the exterior differential of the Liouville form γ defined by (4.4), one gets at once by (2.5)

$$d\gamma = \omega \wedge \gamma + \psi \Leftrightarrow d^{-\omega}\gamma = \psi \tag{4.8}$$

where we have set

$$\psi = \Sigma\, dv^A \wedge \omega^A \,. \tag{4.9}$$

From (4.8) and (1.2) one obtains instantly

$$d^{-\omega}\psi = 0 \Leftrightarrow d\psi = \omega \wedge \psi \,. \tag{4.10}$$

Since clearly the 2-form ψ is of maximal rank, we agree to call ψ the canonical conformal symplectic form of M. Noticing that one has

$$i_V\psi = \gamma\,, \quad \omega(V) = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

which implies

$$L_V\psi = \psi \,. \tag{4.12}$$

Hence ψ is as Ω^c a homogeneous of class 1, 2-form.

Next making use of the vertical operator i_v defined by $i_v\lambda = 0$, $i_v dv^A = \omega^A$, $i_v\omega^A = 0(\lambda \in C^\infty M)$ one quickly finds by (4.9)

$$i_v\psi = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

and the above equation together with (4.12) proves that ψ is a Finslerian form ([7]).

We recall that the vertical lift Z^v ([23]) of a vector field $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ with components Z^A in M, has as components

$$Z^v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Z^A \end{pmatrix} = Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A} \,.$$

Hence in the case under consideration one has

$$T^v = \Sigma\, t^A \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A}\,; \quad A = 0, 1, \ldots, 2m \tag{4.14}$$

and by (4.9) one gets

$$i_{T^v}\psi = \omega \,. \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore by (4.10) one derives

$$L_{T^v}\psi = 0 \tag{4.16}$$

and one may say that T^v defines an infinitesimal automorphism of ψ.

Finally we set

$$r = f\nu \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$\mathrm{v} = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma(v^A)^2 \tag{4.18}$$

denotes the Liouville function on M ([9]).

Operating on r by the vertical differentiation operator d_v ([7]) one gets

$$d_v r = f \sum_A v^A\omega^A = f\mu \tag{4.19}$$

and taking the exterior differential of (4.19) we obtain by (2.13) and (4.9)

$$d(d_v r) = f\,\Sigma\, dv^A \wedge \omega^A = f\psi \,. \tag{4.20}$$

Next putting $II = f\psi$ it follows by (2.13)

$$dII = 0 \,. \tag{2.21}$$

Therefore the exact symplectic form II can be viewed as the canonical symplectic form of the $(4m+2)$-dimensional manifold TM ([13]).

Finally by reference to [13] one may consider that the pair (r, II) defines a regular mechanical system $\mathcal{M}$ (in the sense of Klein [13]) having the scalar r as kinetic energy.

THEOREM. Let TM be the tangent bundle manifold having as basis the exact (L.C.C.)-manifold $M(\Omega, T, \omega)$ discussed in Section 2. Let V, γ and v be the Liouville vector field, the Liouville form and the Liouville function of TM, respectively. One has the following properties:

i) the complete lift Ω^c on TM of the conformal cosymplectic form Ω of M is a homogeneous of class 1, 2-form, i.e. $L_V \Omega^c = \Omega^c$, and it is $d^{-\omega}$-exact, i.e. $d^{-\omega} = 0$;

ii) γ satisfies $d^{-\omega}\gamma = \psi \Rightarrow d^{-\omega}\psi = 0$ and ψ is the canonical conformal symplectic form of TM and ψ enjoys also the property to be a Finslerian form;

iii) the vertical lift T^v of T defines an infinitesimal automorphism of ψ, i.e. $L_{T^v}\psi = 0$;

iv) $r = fv$ and $f\psi$ define a regular mechanical system on TM having r as kinetic energy and $f\psi$ as canonical symplectic form (where f is the energy function of M).

REFERENCES

[1] ABRAHAM, R. Foundations of Mechanics, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York (1967).

[2] BRANSON, T. Conformally covariant equations of differential forms, Comm. Partial Diff. Equations, 7 (1982), 393-431.

[3] CHINEA, D., DE LEON, M. and MORRERO, J. C. Locally conformal cosymplectic manifolds and time-dependent Hamiltonian systems, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 32 (1991), 383-387.

[4] DATTA, D. K. Exterior recurrent forms in a manifold, Tensor N.S., 36 (1982), 115-120.

[5] DIEUDONNÉ, J. Treaties on Analysis, Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York (1974).

[6] DONATO, S. and ROSCA, R. Structure conformal vector fields on almost paracontact manifolds with parallel structure vector, Osterreiche Akademie des Wissenschaften, Wien, 198 (1989), 201-209.

[7] GODBILLON, C. P. Géométrie Differentielle et Mécanique Analitique, Hermann, Paris (1969).

[8] GOLDBERG, S. Curvature and Homology, Academic Press, New York (1962).

[9] GOLDBERG, V. V. and ROSCA, R. Pseudo-Sasakian manifolds endowed with a contact conformal connection, Inernat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 9 (1986), 733-747.

[10] GOLDBERG, V. V. and ROSCA, R. Foliate conformal Kählerian manifolds, Rend. Sem. Mat. Messina, Serie II, Vol. I (1991), 105-122.

[11] GUEDIRA, F. and LICHNEROWICZ, A. Géométrie des algébres de Lie locales de Kirilov, J. Math. Pures Appl., 63 (1984), 407-494.

[12] KERMOTSU, K. A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J., 24 (1972), 93-103.

[13] KLEIN, I. Espaces variationels et mécanique, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 12 (1962), 1-124.

[14] LICHNEROWICZ, A. Les relations intégrals d'invariance et leura applications a la dynamique, Bull. Sci. Math., 70 (1946), 82-95.

[15] MIHAI, I. and ROSCA, R. On Lorentisian P-Sasakian manifolds, Classical Analysis, World Scientific Publ., Singapore (1992), 155-169.

[16] OLCSAK, Z. and ROSCA, R. Normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, Publicationes Math. (Debrecen), 39 (1991), 315-323.

[17] PETROVIC, M., ROSCA, R. and VERSTRAELEN, L. On exterior concurrent vector fields I. Some general results, Socehow J. Math., 15 (1989), 179-187.

[18] POOR, W. A. Differential Geometric Structures, McGraw Hill Book Co., New York (1981).

[19] ROSCA, R. On some infinitesimal transformations in Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (Preprint).

[20] YANO, K. On the torse-forming directions in Riemannian spaces, Proc. Imp. Acad., Tokyo, 20 (1944), 340-345.

[21] YANO, K. Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry, M. Dekker, New York (1970).

[22] YANO, K. and CHEN, B. Y. On the concurrent vector fields of immersed manifolds, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 23 (1971), 343-350.

[23] YANO, K. and ISHIHARA, S. Differential Geometry of Tangent and Cotangent Bundles, M. Dekker, New York (1973).


Advances in Operations Research
Advances in Decision Sciences
Journal of Applied Mathematics
Algebra
Journal of Probability and Statistics
The Scientific World Journal
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of Differential Equations
International Journal of Combinatorics
Advances in Mathematical Physics
Journal of Complex Analysis
Journal of Mathematics
Mathematical Problems in Engineering
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society
International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Journal of Discrete Mathematics
Journal of Function Spaces
International Journal of Stochastic Analysis
Journal of Optimization