

References

- [1] A. Cobham, *Effectively decidable theories*, Summaries of talks presented at the Summer Institute for Symbolic Logic, Cornell University, 1957, second edition, Institute for Defense Analysis, 1960, pp. 391-395.
- [2] Yu. L. Ershov, I. A. Lavrov, A. D. Taimanov, and M. A. Taitslin, *Elementary theories*, Russian mathematical surveys. Vol. 21 (1966), pp. 35-105, translated by P. M. Cohn.
- [3] Yu. L. Ershov, *Decidability of certain classes of Abelian groups*, Algebra i Logika Seminar, 1, pp. 37-41.
- [4] W. Feit, and J. G. Thompson, *Solvability of groups of odd order*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 774-1029.
- [5] W. Hanf, *Model-theoretic methods in the study of elementary logic*, Proceedings of the 1963 International Symposium at Berkeley. Amsterdam 1965, pp. 132-145.
- [6] V. Huber Dyson, *A decidable theory for which the theory of infinite models is decidable*, Amer. Math. Soc. Notices 10 (1963), p. 491.
- [7] — *On the decision problem for theories of finite models*, Israel Journal of Math. 2, 1 (1964), pp. 55-70.
- [8] A. I. Mal'cev, *On a correspondence between rings and groups*, Mat. Sbornik 50 (1960), pp. 257-266.
- [9] — *The undecidability of the elementary theory of finite groups*, Doklady Akademii Nauk 138 (1961), pp. 1009-1012.
- [10] — *Effective inseparability of the sets of identically true and finitely refutable formulae in some elementary theories*, *ibid.* 139 (1961), pp. 802-805.
- [11] W. Szmielew, *Elementary properties of Abelian groups*, Fund. Math. 41 (1955), pp. 203-271.
- [12] A. Tarski, R. M. Robinson and A. Mostowski, *Undecidable Theories*, Amsterdam, 1953.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 14. 6. 1967

On a class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ with applications to $\beta X \setminus X$.

by

Donald Plank* (Cleveland, Ohio)

W. Rudin has proved that, assuming the continuum hypothesis, $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ has a dense subset of 2^c P -points. A similar theorem of N. J. Fine and L. Gillman states that, assuming the continuum hypothesis, $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ has a dense subset of remote points in $\beta\mathbb{R}$. It is the purpose of this paper to unify these results by giving a more general method of finding such points.

Specifically, for a completely regular space X , we define a class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ called β -subalgebras. Examples of β -subalgebras include $C(X)$ itself and $C^*(X)$. With each β -subalgebra A of $C(X)$ we associate a (possibly empty) set of points in $\beta X \setminus X$ called A -points. We show that, under the continuum hypothesis and with reasonable restrictions on A and X , $\beta X \setminus X$ has a dense subset of 2^c A -points. The Rudin theorem is then obtained by observing that the P -points of $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ are precisely the $C^*(\mathbb{N})$ -points, and the Fine-Gillman theorem follows from the fact that the remote points in $\beta\mathbb{R}$ are precisely the $C(\mathbb{R})$ -points.

Our method considerably simplifies the Fine-Gillman proof of the existence of remote points in $\beta\mathbb{R}$ but does not have the power of their method. Using their method, we show the existence of remote points in $\beta\mathbb{R}$ which are not P -points of $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. We conclude by investigating a β -subalgebra H of $C(\mathbb{N})$ previously studied by R. M. Brooks. We correct Brooks's characterization of the maximal ideals in H and show that his characterization holds precisely for the ideals M^p where p is a P -point of $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ (equivalently, where p is an H -point).

1. Preliminaries. The basic reference for this paper will be the Gillman and Jerison text [5]; the terminology and notation will, with only a few exceptions, be that of [5].

* This paper constitutes a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation written under the supervision of Professor Leonard Gillman at the University of Rochester. The author wishes to thank Professor Gillman for his valuable advice and encouragement.

The symbol X will always denote a completely regular Hausdorff space. Specific spaces X in which we shall be interested are the complex plane \mathbf{C} and its subspaces \mathbf{R} of real numbers, \mathbf{Q} of rational numbers, and \mathbf{N} of natural numbers.

In Sections 1 through 6, $C(X)$ will denote the collection of real-valued continuous functions on X , and $C^*(X)$ will denote the subcollection of bounded functions. The constant function on X of value r will be denoted by r . Under the pointwise operations, $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ are algebras over \mathbf{R} . A *subalgebra* of $C(X)$ will mean a subalgebra in the usual sense which contains the constant functions. By an *ideal* we shall mean a proper ideal. In Section 7, the definition of subalgebra and ideal are changed slightly to accommodate complex-valued functions.

A subspace Y of X is said to be C^* -embedded if each function in $C^*(Y)$ is the restriction of some function in $C^*(X)$; the expression " C -embedded" is defined analogously. Given X , there is an essentially unique compact Hausdorff space βX which contains X as a dense C^* -embedded subspace (the extension of f to βX will be denoted by f^β). For notational simplicity, we write $X^* = \beta X \setminus X$. For additional properties of βX , the reader is referred to [5]. We mention one: if $f \in C(X)$ and $a\mathbf{R}$ denotes the one-point compactification of \mathbf{R} , then there is a (unique) continuous $f^*: \beta X \rightarrow a\mathbf{R}$ which agrees with f on X .

If τ is a function, then we let τ^- denote the inverse map (of sets). If f maps X to \mathbf{R} or $a\mathbf{R}$, then $Z(f) = f^{-1}(0)$ and $\text{Coz}(f) = X \setminus Z(f)$. A *zero-set* of X is a member of the family $Z(X) = \{Z(f): f \in C(X)\}$, and a *cozero-set* of X is the complement in X of some member of $Z(X)$.

If S is a set, then $|S|$ will denote the cardinality of S . As is standard, we shall let c denote the cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} of the continuum. If $S \subset X$, then $\text{cl}_X S$, $\text{int}_X S$, and $\partial_X S$ will denote, respectively, the closure, interior, and boundary of S in X ($\partial_X S = \text{cl}_X S \setminus \text{int}_X S$).

2. β -subalgebras. Recall the definition of the hull-kernel topology on a collection \mathcal{F} of prime ideals in a commutative ring A with an identity. Define $\bar{S} = \{P \in \mathcal{F}: \bigcap S \subset P\}$ to be the closure of the subset S of \mathcal{F} . It is easy to verify that the sets

$$E_{\mathcal{F}}(a) = \{P \in \mathcal{F}: a \in P\}, \quad a \in A,$$

are closed and constitute a base for the closed sets in \mathcal{F} . A detailed description of the hull-kernel topology is given in [4]. Let \mathcal{M}_A denote the collection of maximal ideals in A endowed with the hull-kernel topology.

Given a subalgebra A of $C(X)$, we shall now introduce a family \mathcal{G}_A of prime ideals in A . The family \mathcal{G}_A will reduce to \mathcal{M}_A in the cases $A = C(X)$ and $A = C^*(X)$. To motivate our definition, we observe that the maximal

ideals in $C = C(X)$ and $C^* = C^*(X)$ associated with the same point $p \in \beta X$ can be characterized in the following parallel ways

$$M_C^p = \{f \in C: (fg)^*(p) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in C\};$$

$$M_{C^*}^p = \{f \in C^*: (fg)^*(p) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in C^*\}.$$

The first characterization was discussed by Gelfand and Kolmogoroff [6]; the second is elementary (see [5], 7.2). Gelfand and Kolmogoroff proved that the mappings $p \rightarrow M_C^p$ and $p \rightarrow M_{C^*}^p$ are homeomorphisms of βX onto the maximal-ideal spaces \mathcal{M}_C and \mathcal{M}_{C^*} .

The similarity of the expressions for M_C^p and $M_{C^*}^p$ suggests a generalization of these ideals to any subalgebra A of $C(X)$. Thus, for $p \in \beta X$, let us define

$$M_A^p = \{f \in A: (fg)^*(p) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in A\}.$$

It is easy to see that, for $p \in X$, M_A^p is the fixed maximal ideal $\{f \in A: f(p) = 0\}$ in A , and we shall show next that, for $p \in \beta X$, M_A^p is always a prime ideal. But the general correspondence $p \rightarrow M_A^p$ need not be one-to-one, and, in spite of the notation, the ideal M_A^p need not be maximal. For example, in the algebra A of all real-valued polynomials on \mathbf{R} , M_A^p is the non-maximal ideal (0) for all $p \in \beta\mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{R}$.

Let us define $\mathcal{G}_A = \{M_A^p: p \in \beta X\}$.

THEOREM 2.1. For each $p \in \beta X$, M_A^p is a prime ideal in A ; hence \mathcal{G}_A may be given the hull-kernel topology.

Proof. For $p \in \beta X$, $0 \neq M_A^p \neq A$, since $0 \in M_A^p$ and $1 \notin M_A^p$. Clearly M_A^p is an ideal in A . Next, M_A^p is prime since whenever $f, g \in A$ with $f \notin M_A^p$ and $g \notin M_A^p$, there exist $h, k \in A$ such that $(fh)^*(p) \neq 0$ and $(gk)^*(p) \neq 0$; but then $(fghk)^*(p) \neq 0$, whence $fg \notin M_A^p$.

Let us define $\tau_A: \beta X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_A$ by $\tau_A(p) = M_A^p$. For the special subalgebras $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$, we have observed that τ_C and τ_{C^*} are homeomorphisms of βX onto \mathcal{M}_C and \mathcal{M}_{C^*} . Hence, C and C^* are β -subalgebras of $C(X)$ according to the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.2. A subalgebra A of $C(X)$ is said to be a β -subalgebra of $C(X)$ if τ_A is a homeomorphism of βX onto \mathcal{M}_A .

For $f \in A$, write $S_A(f) = \tau_A^{-1}[E_{\mathcal{G}_A}(f)] = \{p \in \beta X: f \in M_A^p\} = \bigcap_{g \in A} Z((fg)^*)$, a closed subset of βX . By [5], 7.3, 7D, 7.2, it is immediate that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S_C(f) &= \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) & \text{for } f \in C(X), \\ S_{C^*}(f) &= Z(f^\beta) & \text{for } f \in C^*(X). \end{aligned}$$

Given $f, g \in A$, we have $S_A(f) \cup S_A(g) = S_A(fg)$ since each M_A^p is prime, and $S_A(f) \cap S_A(g) = S_A(f^2 + g^2)$ by the definition of M_A^p .

When no confusion can arise, we shall abbreviate $\mathcal{M}_A, M_A^p, \mathcal{G}_A, E_{\mathcal{G}_A}, \tau_A$ and S_A to $\mathcal{M}, M^p, \mathcal{G}, E, \tau$ and S , respectively.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let A be a subalgebra of $C(X)$.

(a) $\tau_A: \beta X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_A$ is continuous, whence \mathcal{G}_A is compact.

(b) τ_A is a closed mapping if and only if \mathcal{G}_A is a Hausdorff space.

Proof. (a) For the basic closed set $E(f), f \in A$, we have $\tau^{-1}[E(f)] = S(f)$, a closed subset of βX .

(b) Since τ a continuous map of the compact Hausdorff space βX onto \mathcal{G} , this is clear (cf. [9], p. 252).

In order to give a simple characterization of β -subalgebras of $C(X)$, we make the following definitions.

DEFINITION 2.5. A subalgebra A of $C(X)$ is said to be β -determining if $\{Z(f^*): f \in A\}$ is a base for the closed sets in βX ; A is said to be closed under bounded inversion if f is a unit of A whenever $f \in A$ with $f \geq 1$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. The following are equivalent for a subalgebra A of $C(X)$.

(a) A is β -determining.

(b) \mathcal{G}_A is Hausdorff, and τ is one-to-one.

(c) τ is a homeomorphism.

Proof. (a) implies (b). Suppose that A is β -determining, and let $p, q \in \beta X$ with $p \neq q$. By [5], 6.5(b), there exist $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z(X)$ such that $p \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_1, q \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_2$ and $Z_1 \cup Z_2 = X$. Choose $f, g \in A$ such that $p \notin Z(f^*) \supset \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_1$ and $q \in Z(g^*) \supset \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_2$; then $fg = 0, f \notin M^p$ and $g \in M^q$. It follows that \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff and τ is one-to-one.

(b) implies (c). If \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff, then τ is a closed mapping, by 2.4. If, in addition, τ is one-to-one, then it is a homeomorphism.

(c) implies (a). Let F be a closed set in βX with $p \in \beta X, p \notin F$. If τ is a homeomorphism, then $\{S(f): f \in A\}$ is a base for the closed sets in βX , so there exists $f \in A$ such that $F \subset S(f), p \notin S(f)$. But then $(fg)^*(p) \neq 0$ for some $g \in A$, and $F \subset S(f) \subset Z((fg)^*)$.

An ideal I in A is said to be absolutely convex if $f \in I$ whenever $f \in A$ and $g \in I$ satisfy $|f| \leq |g|$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. The following are equivalent for a subalgebra A of $C(X)$.

(a) A is closed under bounded inversion.

(b) If I is an ideal in A , then $\bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f^*) \neq \emptyset$.

(c) Every ideal in A is contained in some M^p .

(d) $\mathcal{M}_A \subset \mathcal{G}_A$.

(e) Every $M \in \mathcal{M}_A$ is absolutely convex.

Proof. (a) implies (b). Assume (a), and let I be an ideal in A . Define $\mathfrak{z} = \{Z(f^*): f \in I\}$; to prove (b), it is clearly sufficient to show that \mathfrak{z} has the finite intersection property. Thus, let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in I$; defining

$$g = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + \dots + f_n^2 \in I, \text{ we have } Z(g^*) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Z(f_i^*). \text{ If } Z(g^*) = \emptyset, \text{ then}$$

there exists $r \in \mathbf{R}, r > 0$, such that $g \geq r$; but then g is a unit of A , contradicting the fact that g belongs to an ideal in A . So $Z(g^*) \neq \emptyset$; hence \mathfrak{z} has the finite intersection property.

(b) implies (c). Let I be an ideal in A . By (b), choose some $p \in \beta X$ such that $g^*(p) = 0$ for all $g \in I$. But then, for $f \in I, fg \in I$ for all $g \in A$, whence $f \in M^p$.

(c) implies (d). Obvious.

(d) implies (e). Each M^p is absolutely convex.

(e) implies (a). Since no maximal ideal contains 1, every $f \in A$ with $f \geq 1$ is a unit of A .

We now classify the β -subalgebras of $C(X)$, as promised.

THEOREM 2.8. The following are equivalent for a subalgebra A of $C(X)$.

(a) A is a β -subalgebra of $C(X)$.

(b) A is β -determining and closed under bounded inversion.

Proof. (a) implies (b). Suppose that A is a β -subalgebra of $C(X)$. Then A is β -determining, by 2.6, and closed under bounded inversion, by 2.7.

(b) implies (a). Suppose that A is β -determining and closed under bounded inversion. By 2.6, τ is a homeomorphism of βX onto \mathcal{G} , and by 2.7, $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{G}$. Since \mathcal{G} is T_1 , no two ideals of \mathcal{G} are comparable. Clearly then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{G}$.

The topology of uniform convergence, or u -topology, is defined on $C(X)$ by taking as a neighborhood base for $g \in C$ the ε -neighborhoods $U_\varepsilon(g) = \{f \in C: |f - g| < \varepsilon\}$. A discussion of the u -topology may be found in [8]. We now give a simple characterization of u -closed β -subalgebras of $C(X)$; this characterization clearly provides a large class of examples of β -subalgebras.

THEOREM 2.9. A subalgebra A of $C(X)$ is a u -closed β -subalgebra if and only if $C^*(X) \subset A$.

Proof. Assume that A is a u -closed β -subalgebra, and let $A^* = A \cap C^*$; clearly A^* is a u -closed subalgebra of C^* . Next, A^* separates points in βX . For, let $p, q \in \beta X$ with $p \neq q$. Since A is β -determining, there exists $f \in A$ such that $f^*(p) = 0, f^*(q) \neq 0$. Since A is closed under bounded inversion, $g = (1 + f^2)^{-1} \in A^*$; clearly $g^*(p) = 1, g^*(q) \neq 1$. By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, $A^* = C^*$, whence $C^* \subset A$.

Suppose, conversely, that $\mathcal{O}^* \subset A$. Now, A is u -closed; for let $f \in \mathcal{O}^*$ be in the u -closure of A . Then there exists $g \in A$ such that $|f-g| < 1$, which means that $f = (f-g) + g \in \mathcal{O}^* + A \subset A$. Since \mathcal{O}^* is β -determining, A is also. Clearly A is closed under bounded inversion.

As a corollary, $\mathcal{O}^*(X)$ and $\mathcal{O}(X)$ itself are u -closed β -subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(X)$. We remark that a u -closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ need not be β -determining or closed under bounded inversion. An example is the algebra of all real-valued polynomials on \mathbb{R} .

3. The A -points of $\beta X \setminus X$. Let A be a β -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(X)$. We shall now associate with A a set of points in $X^* = \beta X \setminus X$ called the A -points of X^* . Three examples of β -subalgebras A and their A -points will be examined separately in Sections 4, 5 and 7. First, we introduce some notation. By 2.6, the collection $\{S_A(f) : f \in A\}$ is a base for the closed sets in βX . For $f \in A$, define $S_A^*(f) = S_A(f) \cap X^*$; then the collection $\{S_A^*(f) : f \in A\}$ is clearly a base for the closed sets in X^* —a natural base associated with A . When no confusion can arise, we shall write $S^*(f)$ for $S_A^*(f)$. Since most of our topological considerations will take place in X^* , let us agree that the symbols “cl”, “int”, and “ ∂ ”, without subscripts, refer to the topology of X^* .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let A be a β -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(X)$. A point $p \in X^*$ is called an A -point of X^* if, for all $f \in A$, $p \notin S_A^*(f)$.

Clearly a point $p \in X^*$ is an A -point if and only if $S^*(f)$ is a neighborhood of p whenever $f \in A$ and $p \in S^*(f)$. The set of A -points is precisely the set $\bigcap_{f \in A} (X^* \setminus \partial S^*(f))$, an intersection of a family of $|A|$ dense open subsets of X^* .

Let us now prove an existence theorem for A -points. A space X is said to have the G_β -property if every nonvoid G_β -subset of X has a nonvoid interior; equivalently, if every nonvoid zero-set in X has a nonvoid interior ([5], 3.11 (b)). The following analogue of the Baire category theorem is essentially proved in [11], 4.2.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let Y be a nonvoid locally compact Hausdorff space with the G_β -property. If \mathcal{D} is a family of at most \aleph_1 dense open subsets of Y , then $\bigcap \mathcal{D}$ is dense in Y . If, in addition, Y has no isolated points, then $|\bigcap \mathcal{D}| \geq 2^{\aleph_1}$.

Proof. We may write $\mathcal{D} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Suppose that G is an arbitrary nonvoid open set in Y ; we shall show that $(\bigcap \mathcal{D}) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Let $a < \omega_1$, and suppose that there is a collection $\{V_\beta : \beta < a\}$ of nonvoid open sets in G satisfying the three conditions

- $\text{cl}_Y V_\beta$ is compact for $\beta < a$,
- $V_\beta \subset U_\beta$ for $\beta < a$, and
- $\bigcap_{\beta < a} V_\beta \neq \emptyset$.

Now $\bigcap_{\beta < a} V_\beta$ is a G_δ -subset of Y , and therefore has a nonvoid interior which must meet the dense open set U_a . By local compactness, there is a nonvoid open set V_a in Y such that $\text{cl}_Y V_a$ is compact and $\text{cl}_Y V_a \subset U_a \cap (\bigcap_{\beta < a} V_\beta) \subset U_a \cap G$; in fact, if Y has no isolated points, there are two such V_a 's with disjoint closures. Thus, $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is defined inductively in such a way that $\{\text{cl}_Y V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a collection of compact subsets with the finite intersection property satisfying $\text{cl}_Y V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha \cap G$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$. So $(\bigcap \mathcal{D}) \cap G \supset \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \text{cl}_Y V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. If Y has no isolated points, at each stage of the construction, there are two choices of V_α with disjoint closures; hence $|\bigcap \mathcal{D}| \geq 2^{\aleph_1}$.

Let us agree to use the symbol “[CH]” to indicate that we are assuming the continuum hypothesis ($\mathfrak{c} = \aleph_1$). A space X is said to be realcompact if, for every $p \in X^*$, there is a $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\beta X)$ such that $p \in Z \subset X^*$.

THEOREM 3.3. [CH]. Let X be locally compact and realcompact but not compact. If A is a β -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ with $|A| = \mathfrak{c}$, then X^* has a dense subset of $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ A -points.

Proof. Clearly X^* is a nonvoid compact set. In [2], 3.1, it is shown that, if X is locally compact and realcompact, then X^* has the G_β -property. The realcompactness of X prevents isolated points in X^* . For suppose that p were isolated in X^* . Then there would be a zero-set neighborhood Z_1 of p in βX such that $Z_1 \cap X^* = \{p\}$, and by realcompactness, there would be a $Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\beta X)$ such that $p \in Z_2 \subset X^*$. But then we would have $\{p\} = Z_1 \cap \text{cl}_Y Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\beta X)$, which by [5], 9.6, would be impossible.

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{X^* \setminus \partial S^*(f) : f \in A\}$, a family of \mathfrak{c} ($= \aleph_1$) dense open subsets of X^* . Letting X^* play the role of Y in 3.2, we conclude that $\bigcap \mathcal{D}$ is a dense subset of X^* with cardinality at least $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. But, since A is a β -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(X)$, $|X^*| \leq 2^{|A|} = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, so that $|\bigcap \mathcal{D}| = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. As we have pointed out, $\bigcap \mathcal{D}$ is the set of A -points of X^* .

Suppose that $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of β -subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(X)$. The set of points in X^* that are simultaneously A_α -points for all $\alpha \in A$ is given by

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \bigcap_{f \in A_\alpha} (X^* \setminus \partial S_{A_\alpha}^*(f))$$

An obvious modification of the proof of 3.3 gives the following generalization.

THEOREM 3.4. [CH]. Let X be locally compact and realcompact but not compact. If $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of β -subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ with $|A_\alpha| = \mathfrak{c}$ for each $\alpha \in A$ and with $|A| \leq \mathfrak{c}$, then X^* has a dense subset of $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ points which are simultaneously A_α -points for all $\alpha \in A$.

If X is separable and A is a β -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(X)$, then obviously

$|A| = c$. Thus, if X is separable, then the cardinality restrictions on the β -subalgebras in 3.3 and 3.4 are redundant. However, a locally compact, realcompact, and noncompact space X may be nonseparable and still satisfy $|C(X)| = c$. For example, let X be a nonclosed cozero-set in \mathbb{N}^* (such exists by [5], 4K.1).

Since the maximal ideal space of a β -subalgebra is Hausdorff, we can apply many of the results of [4] to β -subalgebras. For example, every prime ideal in a β -subalgebra A is contained in a unique maximal ideal M^p of A ([4], 3.4). Following [4], we may define for a β -subalgebra A of $C(X)$,

$$O_A^p = \{f \in A : p \in \text{int}_{\beta X} S_A(f)\},$$

where $p \in \beta X$. Clearly O_A^p is an ideal in A contained in M_A^p . We shall often write O^p for O_A^p . By [4], 2.6, each O^p is an intersection of prime ideals in A , and by [4], 3.4, a prime ideal in A is contained in M^p if and only if it contains O^p . Clearly then M^p properly contains some prime ideal in A if and only if $O^p \neq M^p$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *If A is a β -subalgebra of $C(X)$ and $p \in X^*$, then $M_A^p = O_A^p$ implies that p is an A -point of X^* .*

Proof. Suppose that $M^p = O^p$. If, for $f \in A$, we have $p \in S^*(f)$, then $p \in \text{int}_{\beta X} S(f)$, whence $p \in \text{int} S^*(f)$. Thus, p is an A -point of X^* .

The converse of 3.5 is false. For we know, by 3.3, that $[CH] \mathbb{N}^*$ has a dense subset of 2^c $C^*(\mathbb{N})$ -points; however, $M_{\mathbb{C}}^p = O_{\mathbb{C}}^p$ is never true for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

4. C^* -points. We now discuss a simple example of A -points, namely, the C^* -points. A point $p \in X$ is a P -point of X if any G_δ -subset (equivalently, any zero-set) of X containing p is a neighborhood of p .

THEOREM 4.1. *A point in X^* is a $C^*(X)$ -point if and only if it is a P -point of X^* .*

Proof. Evidently, a point in X^* is a P -point of X^* if and only if it is not an element of the X^* -boundary of any zero-set of X^* , and is a $C^*(X)$ -point if and only if it is not an element of the X^* -boundary of the trace on X^* of any zero-set of βX . Certainly then, every P -point of X^* is a $C^*(X)$ -point.

But the converse holds. For let $p \in \partial Z_1$ where $Z_1 \in Z(X^*)$. There is a G_δ -subset S of βX such that $S \cap X^* = Z_1$. By complete regularity, there exists $Z_2 \in Z(\beta X)$ such that $p \in Z_2 \subset S$. Surely then $p \in \partial(Z_2 \cap X^*)$.

Combining 4.1 and 3.3 gives us the following special case of a well-known result. For an even stronger result, see [5], 9M.3.

COROLLARY 4.2 (Rudin). [CH]. *Let X be locally compact and realcompact but not compact. If $|C(X)| = c$, then X^* has a dense subset of 2^c P -points.*

5. C -points. In this section, we shall turn our attention to the C -points of X^* ; thus, we shall consider $C(X)$ as a β -subalgebra of itself. We shall relate the concept of C -point with that of remote point, defined by Fine and Gillman.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *If X is completely uniformizable, in particular if X is realcompact or metrizable, then $\text{int} S^*(f) = (\text{int}_{\beta X} S(f)) \cap X^*$ for all $f \in C(X)$.*

Proof. Obviously, $(\text{int}_{\beta X} S(f)) \cap X^* \subset \text{int} S^*(f)$. Let $p \in \text{int} S^*(f)$; then there exists $g \in C$ such that $p \in X^* \setminus S^*(g) \subset S^*(f)$. But then, $g \notin M^p$ and $fg \in C_0 = \bigcap_{q \in X^*} M^q$. In [10] it is shown that, if X is completely uniformizable, then C_0 consists of all $h \in C$ with compact support. Thus, $p \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$ (see 2.3), and $K = \text{cl}_X \text{Coz}(fg)$ is compact. Hence, $p \in \beta X \setminus (K \cup \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)) \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$, so that $p \in \text{int}_{\beta X} S(f)$.

DEFINITION 5.2. A point $p \in \beta X$ is called a *remote point* in βX if p is not in the βX -closure of any discrete subset of X .

A remote point in βX necessarily lies in X^* . Following [5], we associate with each maximal ideal M_C^p in $C(X)$ the \mathcal{z} -ultrafilter

$$A^p = \{Z(f) : f \in M_C^p\} = \{Z \in Z(X) : p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z\} \quad (\text{see 2.3}).$$

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $p \in X^*$ where X is a metric space, and consider the following four conditions.*

- p is a C -point of X^* .
- A^p has no member which is nowhere dense.
- $M_C^p = O_C^p$.
- p is a remote point in βX .

Conditions (a), (b) and (c) are mutually equivalent and are implied by (d). All four conditions are equivalent if X has no isolated points.

Proof. (a) *implies* (b). Suppose that p is a C -point, and let $Z \in A^p$. Then $p \in \text{int}(\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z \setminus X)$, and by Proposition 5.1, $p \in V = \text{int}_{\beta X} \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z$. Thus, $\emptyset \neq V \cap X \subset Z$, and Z is not nowhere dense.

(b) *implies* (c). Assume (b), and let $f \in M^p$. Since X is a metric space, we may find $g \in C(X)$ such that $Z(g) = \text{cl}_X \text{Coz}(f)$; hence $X = Z(f) \cup Z(g)$. Now, if $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$, then $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} \{Z(f) \cap Z(g)\} = \text{cl}_{\beta X} \partial_X Z(f)$, contradicting our hypothesis, since $\partial_X Z(f)$ is nowhere dense. Thus, $p \in \beta X \setminus \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g) \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$, so that $f \in O^p$.

(c) *implies* (a). This follows from 3.5.

(d) *implies* (b). Suppose that A^p has a nowhere dense member Z . It is shown in [7], p.138 (VIII), that, if Z is a closed nowhere dense set in the metric space X , then there is a discrete subset D of X such that $D \cup Z = \text{cl}_X D$ and $D \cap Z = \emptyset$. Thus $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} D$, so that p is not a remote point.

Assume that X has no isolated points; we shall prove that (b) implies (d). Suppose then that p is not a remote point; then there is a discrete subset D of X such that $p \in \text{cl}_p X D$. Since any point common to D and $\text{int}_X \text{cl}_X D$ would be isolated, one easily sees that $Z = \text{cl}_X D$ is nowhere dense; clearly $Z \in A^2$.

The equivalence of (b) and (d) appears in [3] for $X = \mathbf{R}$; we wish to thank Mark Mandelker for communicating (b) implies (c).

THEOREM 5.4. [CH]. *If X is a separable, locally compact, noncompact metric space without isolated points, then βX has a collection of 2^c remote points which forms a dense subset of X^* .*

Proof. Since X is a separable metric space, it is clear that X is realcompact and $|C(X)| = c$. (In fact, [CH] for a metric space X , the separability of X is equivalent to the condition $|C(X)| = c$.) By 3.3, X^* has a dense subset of 2^c C -points, and by 5.3, the C -points are precisely the remote points in βX .

An obvious corollary to 5.4 is that [CH] $\beta \mathbf{R}$ has a collection of remote points which is dense in \mathbf{R}^* . This result was proved by Fine and Gillman in [3] by another method. Our proof appears to be simpler than the Fine-Gillman proof, but their method has wider application; they show that [CH] $\beta \mathbf{Q}$ has remote points, whereas our method fails in this case (\mathbf{Q}^* does not have the G_δ -property). Using the methods of [3], we now extend 5.4 to include the case $X = \mathbf{Q}$ by removing the local compactness from the hypotheses.

THEOREM 5.5. [CH]. *If X is a separable, noncompact metric space without isolated points, then βX has a collection of 2^c remote points which forms a dense subset of X^* .*

Proof. Let V be a closed neighborhood in βX of any point in X^* . Since X is a separable metric space, X is realcompact and has no more than $\aleph_1 (= c)$ dense open subsets. By [3], 2.3, there exists a family \mathcal{F} of zero-sets of X such that \mathcal{F} has the finite-intersection property, $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, and every dense open subset of X contains a member of \mathcal{F} . Since X is realcompact, we may construct \mathcal{F} such that each of its members is contained in V (see [3], 2.5). Now let $\Delta = \{p \in \beta X: \mathcal{F} \cap A^p\} = \bigcap_{Z \in \mathcal{F}} \text{cl}_p X Z$, a nonvoid compact subset of $V \cap X^*$. A simple modification of the proof of [3], 2.3, guarantees that Δ is infinite; hence, by [5], 9.11, we have $|\Delta| \geq 2^c$. As in the proof of 3.3, $|X^*| \leq 2^c$, whence $|\Delta| = 2^c$. Now, for $p \in \Delta$, A^p contains no member which is nowhere dense; each such p is remote by 5.3.

Thus, [CH] \mathbf{Q}^* has C -points but no C^* -points (see [5], 6 O.5). We remark that 5.3 and 5.5 remain true if we assume only that the set of isolated points in X has compact closure.

6. Remote points in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ vs. P -points in $\beta \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{R}$. We now concentrate on the case $X = \mathbf{R}$. Let P denote the set of P -points of \mathbf{R}^* , R denote the set of remote points in $\beta \mathbf{R}$, $\tilde{P} = \mathbf{R}^* \setminus P$, and $\tilde{R} = \mathbf{R}^* \setminus R$. We shall now show that no inclusions hold between the sets P , R , \tilde{P} and \tilde{R} . First we prove a preliminary result. We call X an F -space if every cozero-set in X is C^* -embedded in X . Every C^* -embedded subset of an F -space is an F -space ([5], 14.26), \mathbf{N}^* and \mathbf{R}^* are compact F -spaces ([5], 14.27), and every countable subset of an F -space is C^* -embedded ([5], 14.N.5).

PROPOSITION 6.1. *If X is an infinite compact F -space, then X contains at least 2^c non- P -points.*

Proof. Let X be an infinite compact F -space. Then, by [5], 0.13, X contains a countable discrete set $D = \{p_n: n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. As a countable set, D is C^* -embedded in X , whence $\text{cl}_X D = \beta D$ ([5], 6.9(a)). Define $f \in C^*(X)$ by letting $f(p_n) = n^{-1}$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and extending over X . Then, for every $p \in D^* = \text{cl}_X D \setminus D$, $p \in Z(f)$, but $Z(f)$ is not a neighborhood of p . Thus, every one of the 2^c points in D^* is a non- P -point of X .

As a corollary, \mathbf{N}^* and \mathbf{R}^* each have 2^c non- P -points.

THEOREM 6.2. [CH]. *The sets $P \cap R$, $P \cap \tilde{R}$, $\tilde{P} \cap R$ and $\tilde{P} \cap \tilde{R}$ are each dense subsets of \mathbf{R}^* of cardinal 2^c .*

Proof. ($P \cap R$). Apply 3.4 to the family $\{C(\mathbf{R}), C^*(\mathbf{R})\}$ of β -subalgebras of $C(\mathbf{R})$.

($P \cap \tilde{R}$ and $\tilde{P} \cap \tilde{R}$). Let V be a closed neighborhood in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ of any point in \mathbf{R}^* . Then $V \cap \mathbf{R}$ is nonpseudocompact and is C -embedded in \mathbf{R} ([5], 1F.4); hence $V \cap \mathbf{R}$ contains a copy D of \mathbf{N} which is C -embedded in \mathbf{R} ([5], 1.20). Then $D^* = \text{cl}_{\beta \mathbf{R}} D \setminus D \subset V \cap \mathbf{R}^*$, since D is closed and C^* -embedded in \mathbf{R} . A point in D^* is a P -point of D^* if and only if it is a P -point of \mathbf{R}^* ([5], 4L.2, 9M.2). But D^* is homeomorphic with \mathbf{N}^* , so that D^* has 2^c non- P -points by 6.1 and [CH] 2^c P -points by 4.2. Clearly, no point of D^* is a remote point in $\beta \mathbf{R}$.

($\tilde{P} \cap R$). Let V be a closed neighborhood in $\beta \mathbf{R}$ of any point in \mathbf{R}^* . As in the proof of 5.5, construct an infinite compact set Δ of remote points in $\beta \mathbf{R}$. Since \mathbf{R}^* is an F -space, the C^* -embedded subset Δ is also an F -space. Then, by 6.1, Δ has 2^c non- P -points, and each of these is a non- P -point of \mathbf{R}^* . Thus, $V \cap \mathbf{R}^*$ has 2^c points which are non- P -points of \mathbf{R}^* and remote points in $\beta \mathbf{R}$.

7. The algebra H . In this section, we shall let $C(X)$ denote the algebra (over the complex numbers \mathbf{C}) of complex-valued continuous functions on X and $C^*(X)$ the subalgebra of bounded functions. A subalgebra of $C(X)$ will mean a subalgebra in the usual sense which contains the constant functions and which is self-adjoint (closed under the formation

of complex conjugates). By an *ideal* we shall mean a proper self-adjoint ideal. With these conventions, it is not difficult to see that all the results that we have obtained for subalgebras of $C(X)$ in the real case are true in the complex case as well.

Following R. M. Brooks [1], let us define

$$H = \{f \in C(\mathbb{N}): \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}(n) \leq 1\}$$

where $\bar{f}(n) = |f(n)|^{1/n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is shown in [1] that H is a subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{N})$ containing $C^*(\mathbb{N})$, so by 2.9, H is a u -closed β -subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{N})$. Thus, \mathcal{M}_H is homeomorphic with $\beta\mathbb{N}$ ([1], 2.4).

PROPOSITION 7.1. $H = \{f \in C(\mathbb{N}): \bar{f}^\beta \leq 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{N}^*\}$. A function $f \in H$ is a unit of H if and only if $Z(f) = \emptyset$ and $\bar{f}^\beta = 1$ on \mathbb{N}^* .

Proof. The first part follows by observing that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \sup\{f^p(p): p \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ for any real-valued $f \in C^*(\mathbb{N})$. The second part is clear since $\bar{f}^\beta = \bar{f}^\beta \bar{g}^\beta$ for $f, g \in H$.

Following Brooks, let us define, for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the collection $\mathcal{J}^p = \{f \in H: \bar{f}^\beta(p) < 1\}$ of non-units of H .

PROPOSITION 7.2. For $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, \mathcal{J}^p is a prime ideal in H contained in M^p , whence $O^p \subset \mathcal{J}^p \subset M^p$.

Proof. We first note that $f \in \mathcal{J}^p$ implies $f^*(p) = 0$. For suppose that $\bar{f}^\beta(p) < 1$. Then there exists $\delta < 1$ and a neighborhood V of p in $\beta\mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(n)|^{1/n} \leq \delta$ whenever $n \in V \cap \mathbb{N}$; that is, $|f(n)| \leq \delta^n$ whenever $n \in V \cap \mathbb{N}$. If U is a neighborhood of p in $\beta\mathbb{N}$, then $U \cap V$ contains arbitrarily large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ yielding arbitrarily small positive values of $|f(n)|$; hence $f^*(p) = 0$.

\mathcal{J}^p is easily seen to be an ideal (see [1], 2.3.4, 2.3.5) and is clearly prime, since $\bar{fg}^\beta = \bar{f}^\beta \bar{g}^\beta$. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{J}^p$, whence $fg \in \mathcal{J}^p$ for all $g \in H$; then $(fg)^*(p) = 0$ for all $g \in H$, whereby $f \in M^p$. Since $\mathcal{J}^p \subset M^p$, it follows from [4], 3.4, that $O^p \subset \mathcal{J}^p$.

By considering H as a topological ring, it is shown in [1], 4.9, that H has at least one nonmaximal prime ideal. We can now improve on this result.

PROPOSITION 7.3. H has 2^c nonmaximal prime ideals.

Proof. Since $|H| = c$, H has no more than 2^p nonmaximal prime ideals. By [4], 2.6, 3.4, it suffices to prove that $M^p \neq O^p$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Thus, define $f(n) = n^{-n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be arbitrary. Since $\bar{f}(n) = n^{-1}$, clearly $f \in \mathcal{J}^p \subset M^p$. It is easy to see that $O^p = O^p \cap H$. Therefore $f \notin O^p$, since $Z(f) = \emptyset$.

Let us now give a simple characterization of the basic closed set $S^*(f)$ for $f \in H$ (cf. 2.3). First we state a lemma.

LEMMA 7.4. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $f \in H$. If $\bar{f}^\beta = 1$ on some \mathbb{N}^* -neighborhood of p , then $f \notin M^p$.

Proof. Suppose that $\bar{f}^\beta = 1$ on some \mathbb{N}^* -neighborhood V of p . We may assume that $V = \text{cl}_{\beta\mathbb{N}} E \setminus E$ for some subset E of \mathbb{N} and that $\bar{f}(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $n \in E$. Define $g \in C(\mathbb{N})$ by letting $g(n) = f(n)^{-1}$ for $n \in E$ and $g(n) = 1$ for $n \notin E$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}(n) = 1$, so that $g \in H$. Furthermore, $(fg)^*(p) = 1$, so that $f \notin M^p$.

PROPOSITION 7.5. For $f \in H$, $S^*(f)$ is a regular closed subset of \mathbb{N}^* ; moreover, $S^*(f) = \text{cl}\{q \in \mathbb{N}^*: \bar{f}^\beta(q) < 1\}$ and $\text{int } S^*(f) = \{q \in \mathbb{N}^*: \bar{f}^\beta(q) < 1\}$.

Proof. By 7.2, it is clear that $\text{cl}\{q \in \mathbb{N}^*: \bar{f}^\beta(q) < 1\} \subset S^*(f)$. Suppose that $p \in S^*(f)$. By 7.4, in every \mathbb{N}^* -neighborhood of p , there is a point q such that $\bar{f}^\beta(q) < 1$; that is, $p \in \text{cl}\{q \in \mathbb{N}^*: \bar{f}^\beta(q) < 1\}$.

By Proposition 7.2, we have $\{q \in \mathbb{N}^*: \bar{f}^\beta(q) < 1\} \subset \text{int } S^*(f)$. Suppose that $p \in \text{int } S^*(f)$ and $\bar{f}^\beta(p) = 1$; we shall deduce a contradiction. Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence in \mathbb{N} such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}(n_k) = 1$. Letting $E = \{n_k: k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we may assume that $\text{cl}_{\beta\mathbb{N}} E \setminus E \subset S^*(f)$. Then $\bar{f}^\beta = 1$ on the nonvoid open subset $\text{cl}_{\beta\mathbb{N}} E \setminus E$ of $S^*(f)$, and this contradicts 7.4.

In [1], it is stated that $M^p = \mathcal{J}^p$, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We now show that this is false; in fact, the equality holds precisely when p is a P -point of \mathbb{N}^* .

THEOREM 7.6. The following are equivalent for a point $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

- $\mathcal{J}^p = M^p$.
- p is an H -point of \mathbb{N}^* .
- p is a P -point of \mathbb{N}^* .

Proof. (a) implies (b). Suppose that $\mathcal{J}^p = M^p$. If $p \in S^*(f)$, then $p \in \{q \in \mathbb{N}^*: \bar{f}^\beta(q) < 1\} = \text{int } S^*(f)$. Hence, p is an H -point of \mathbb{N}^* .

(b) implies (c). Let p a non- P -point of \mathbb{N}^* , and let $g \in C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ be a real-valued function which is nonconstant on every \mathbb{N}^* -neighborhood of p ; we may assume that $0 \leq g \leq 1$ and $g(p) = 1$. Let $f(n) = g(n)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$; then $\bar{f} = g|_{\mathbb{N}}$, so that $\bar{f}^\beta = g$. Thus $f \in H$, and by 7.5, $p \notin \text{int } S^*(f)$. Now, in every \mathbb{N}^* -neighborhood of p , there is a point q such that $\bar{f}^\beta(q) < 1$, by the construction of f . So $p \in S^*(f)$, by 7.5. Hence, p is not an H -point of \mathbb{N}^* .

(c) implies (a). Suppose that $f \in M^p$ and $f \notin \mathcal{J}^p$. Then $\bar{f}^\beta(p) = 1$, but by 7.4, \bar{f}^β is not identically 1 on any \mathbb{N}^* -neighborhood of p . Clearly then, p is not a P -point of \mathbb{N}^* .

References

- [1] R. M. Brooks, *A ring of analytic functions*, *Studia Math.* 24 (1964), pp. 191-210.
- [2] N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Extensions of continuous functions in $\beta\mathbb{N}$* , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 66 (1960), pp. 376-381.

- [3] — *Remote points in βR* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), pp. 29-36.
 [4] L. Gillman, *Rings with Hausdorff structure space*, Fund. Math. 14 (1957), pp. 1-16.
 [5] — and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
 [6] I. Gelfand and A. Kolmogoroff, *On rings of continuous functions on topological spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 22 (1939), pp. 11-15.
 [7] F. Hausdorff, *Set theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1957.
 [8] E. Hewitt, *Rings of real-valued continuous functions*, I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), pp. 54-99.
 [9] W. S. Massey, *Algebraic topology: an introduction*, Harcourt, Brace and World, New York, 1967.
 [10] S. M. Robinson, *The intersection of the free maximal ideals in a complete space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), pp. 468-469.
 [11] W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Čech compactifications*, Duke Math. J. 23 (1956), pp. 409-419.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY
 Cleveland, Ohio

Requ par la Rédaction le 8. 8. 1967

Fundamental retracts and extensions of fundamental sequences

by

Karol Borsuk (Warszawa)

In order to extend some standard notions of the homotopy theory onto arbitrary compacta X, Y lying in the Hilbert space H , I introduced in [2] the notion of the *fundamental sequence from X to Y* , defined as an ordered triple $f = \{f_k, X, Y\}$ consisting of X, Y and of a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of (continuous) maps of H into itself satisfying the following condition:

For every neighborhood V of Y (neighborhoods are understood here always in the space H) there exists a neighborhood U of X such that

$$f_k|U \simeq f_{k+1}|U \text{ in } V \text{ for almost all } k.$$

The set X will be said to be the *domain*, and the set Y —the *range* of the fundamental sequence f .

Setting $i_k(x) = x$ for every point $x \in H$, we immediately see that for every compactum $X \subset H$ the triple $\{i_k, X, X\}$ is a fundamental sequence \underline{i}_X , called the *fundamental identity sequence for X* .

If c is a point of a compactum $X \subset H$, then setting $c(x) = c$ for every point $x \in H$, we get a fundamental sequence $\underline{c}_X = \{c, X, X\}$ called a *constant fundamental sequence for X* .

Let us observe that if \hat{X} is a closed subset of a compactum $X \subset H$, and Y is a closed subset of a compactum $\hat{Y} \subset H$, and if $\underline{f} = \{f_k, X, Y\}$ is a fundamental sequence, then $\underline{\hat{f}} = \{f, \hat{X}, \hat{Y}\}$ is also a fundamental sequence.

Two fundamental sequences $\underline{f} = \{f_k, X, Y\}$ and $\underline{g} = \{g_k, X, Y\}$ are said to be *homotopic* (in symbols: $\underline{f} \simeq \underline{g}$) if for every neighborhood V of Y there exists a neighborhood U of X such that

$$f_k|U \simeq g_k|U \text{ in } V \text{ for almost all } k.$$

The fundamental sequences from X to Y may be considered as a generalization of the maps of X into Y , and the classes of all homotopic fundamental sequences from X to Y (called *fundamental classes from X to Y*) may be considered as a generalization of the homotopy classes of maps of X into Y .