

On a combinatorial problem

Citation for published version (APA):

Bruijn, de, N. G., & Erdős, P. (1948). On a combinatorial problem. *Proceedings of the Section of Sciences of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam*, 51(10), 1277-1279.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1948

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

N. G. DE BRUIJN and P. ERDÖS: *On a combinatorial problem.*

(Communicated at the meeting of November 27, 1948.)

Let there be given n elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n . By A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m we shall denote combinations of the a 's. We assume that we have given a system of $m > 1$ combinations A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m so that each pair (a_i, a_j) is contained in one and only one A . Then we prove

Theorem 1. We have $m \geq n-1$, with equality occurring only if either the system is of the type $A_1 = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$, $A_2 = (a_1, a_n)$, $A_3 = (a_2, a_n) \dots A_n = (a_{n-1}, a_n)$, or if n is of the form $n = k(k-1) + 1$ and all the A 's have k elements, and each a occurs in exactly k of the A 's.

Corollary: If the elements a_i are points in the real projective plane the theorem can be stated as follows: Let there be given n points in the plane, not all on a line. Connect any two of these points. Then the number of lines in this system is $\geq n$. In this case equality occurs only if $n-1$ of the points are on a line.

This corollary can be proved independently of Theorem 1 by aid of the following theorem of GALLAI (= GRÜNWARD) ²⁾:

Let there be given n points in the plane, not all on a line. Then there exists a line which goes through two and only two of the points.

Remark: The points of inflexion of the cubic show that it is essential that the points should all be real, thus GALLAI's theorem permits no projective and a fortiori no combinatorial formulation. Also the result clearly fails for infinitely many points.

We now give GALLAI's ingenious proof: Assume the theorem false. Then any line through two of the points also goes through a third. Project one of the points, say a_1 to infinity, and connect it with the other points. Thus we get a set of parallel lines each containing two or more points a_i (in the finite part of the plane). Consider the system of lines connecting any two of these points, and assume that the line $(a_i a_j a_k)$ forms the smallest angle with the parallel lines. (This line again contains at least three points). But the line connecting a_j with a_1 (at infinity) contains at least another (finite) point a_r , and clearly (see figure) either the line $(a_i a_r)$

¹⁾ This was also proved by G. SZEKERES but his proof was more complicated.

²⁾ This theorem was first conjectured by SYLVESTER, GALLAI's proof appeared in the Amer. Math. Monthly as a solution to a problem by P. ERDÖS. The corollary to Theorem 1 also appeared as a problem in the Monthly.

See also H. S. M. COXETER, Amer. Math. Monthly 55, 26—28 (1948), where very simple proofs due to KELLY and STEINBERG are given.

otherwise A_i and A_j would have two points in common). Hence by (2) (putting $k_n = v$)

$$s_2 \leq k_1, \quad s_3 \leq k_2, \dots, \quad s_v \leq k_{v-1}, \quad s_1 \leq k_v; \quad s_j \leq k_n \text{ for } j > v. \quad (3)$$

From (1), (3) and the minimum property of k_n we obtain $m \geq n$, which proves the first part of Theorem 1.

We now determine the cases where $m = n$. If $m = n$, then all the inequalities of (3) have to be equalities. Consequently we can renumerate the points so that $s_1 = k_1, s_2 = k_2, \dots, s_n = k_n$. We may suppose that $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n > 1$. There are two cases:

a) $k_1 > k_2$. Hence by $s_1 = k_1 > k_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$), (2) shows that all the a_i ($i \geq 2$) lie on A_1 . Of course a_1 does not lie on A_1 and we have the first case of Theorem 1.

b) $k_1 = k_2$. If no k_i is less than k_1 then clearly $k_i = s_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$). We shall show that this is the only possibility. If $k_j < k_1$, then we have by (2) that a_j lies on both A_1 and A_2 . Hence k_n is the only k which can be less than k_1 . Now $s_n = k_n$ different lines contain a_n . Any line through a_n contains one further point and all but one contain two further points, since $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_{n-1} > k_n \geq 2$. Thus there are at least two lines which do not contain a_n ; for both of these lines we have by (2) $s_j \leq k_n$. This contradicts $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_{n-1} > k_n$.

Apart from case a) we only have the case where $s_i = k_j = k$, ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$). It is easily seen that then $n = k(k-1) + 1$, and also that any pair of lines has exactly one intersection point. For if A_i does not intersect A_j ; and if a_i lies on A_i then we infer from (2) that $k_i \geq s_j + 1$ which is not possible since $k_i = s_j = k$. The two dimensional ~~projective~~ finite geometries with $k-1 = p^a$, p prime, are known to be systems of this type, but F. W. LEVI³⁾ constructed a non-projective example with $k = 9$.

³⁾ F. W. LEVI, Finite geometrical systems, Calcutta 1942.

desarguesian