

**ON A DECOMPOSITION OF AN EXTENDED CONTRAVARIANT  
 ALMOST ANALYTIC VECTOR IN A COMPACT  $K$ -SPACE  
 WITH CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE**

BY KICHIRO TAKAMATSU

**1. Introduction.**

We have defined an another kind of an almost analytic vector in [5], which is called an extended contravariant almost analytic vector, that is, in an almost complex manifold we have called  $v^i$  an extended contravariant almost analytic vector if it satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_v F_j^i + \lambda F_j^r N_{ri}^s v^l = 0$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_v$  is the operator of Lie derivation with respect to  $v^i$ ,  $F_j^i$  the almost complex structure tensor,  $\lambda$  a scalar function and  $N_{ji}^h$  the Nijenhuis tensor:

$$N_{ji}^h = F_j^r (\partial_r F_i^h - \partial_i F_r^h) - F_i^r (\partial_r F_j^h - \partial_j F_r^h).$$

When  $\lambda=0$ , (1.1) is the defining equation of usual contravariant almost analytic vector [6] and when  $\lambda=-1/2$ , (1.1) is Satô's contravariant almost  $(\varphi, \psi)$ -analytic vector obtained by the cross-section of a tangent bundle [3].

On the other hand, we have proved that a contravariant almost analytic vector  $v^i$  in a compact  $K$ -space with constant scalar curvature can be decomposed into the form

$$(1.2) \quad v^i = p^i + F_s^i q^s$$

where  $p^i$  and  $q^i$  are both Killing vectors [9]. This generalizes the well known Matsushima's theorem [2] and also results of Lichnerowicz [1] and Sawaki [4].

The purpose of the present paper is to prove that an extended contravariant almost analytic vector for a constant  $\lambda$  such that  $-3/4 \leq \lambda \leq 0$  in a compact  $K$ -space with constant scalar curvature can be decomposed into the form (1.2).

In §2 we shall give some definitions and identities. In §3 we shall give a characterization of the extended contravariant almost analytic vector. In §4 we shall prepare some lemmas on the extended contravariant almost analytic vector in a  $K$ -space. The last section will be devoted to the proof of the main theorem. Throughout this paper, indices run over the range  $1, 2, \dots, 2n$ .

**2. Preliminaries.**

Let  $M$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional almost-Hermitian manifold which admits an almost

---

Received October 26, 1967.

complex structure tensor  $F_j^s$  and a positive definite Riemannian metric tensor  $g_{ji}$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad F_i^t F_j^t = -\delta_j^i,$$

$$(2.2) \quad g_{it} F_j^t F_i^t = g_{ji}.$$

Then from (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad F_{ji} = -F_{ij}$$

where  $F_{ji} = F_j^l g_{li}$ .

In an almost Hermitian manifold, if it satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_j F_{in} + \nabla_i F_{jn} = 0,$$

where  $\nabla_j$  denotes the operator of covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian connection, the manifold is called a  $K$ -space or Tachibana space.

From (2.4) we have easily

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_j F_i^j = 0.$$

Generally, in an almost complex manifold, a tensor  $T_{ji}(T_j^i)$  is called pure in  $j, i$ , if it satisfies

$$*O_{ji}^{ab} T_{ab} = 0 \quad (*O_{ji}^{ab} T_a^b = 0)$$

and  $T_{ji}(T_j^i)$  is called hybrid in  $j, i$ , if it satisfies

$$O_{ji}^{ab} T_{ab} = 0 \quad (O_{ji}^{ab} T_a^b = 0)$$

where

$$*O_{ji}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^a \delta_i^b + F_j^a F_i^b) \quad \text{and} \quad O_{ji}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^a \delta_i^b - F_j^a F_i^b).$$

For instance in an almost-Hermitian manifold,  $\nabla_j F_{in}$  is pure in  $j, i$  and  $g_{ji}$  is hybrid in  $j, i$ .

We have easily the following

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $T_j^s$  is pure (hybrid) in  $j, i$ , then we have*

$$F_i^t T_j^t = F_j^t T_i^t \quad (F_i^t T_j^t = -F_j^t T_i^t).$$

PROPOSITION 2. *If  $S^{ji}$  is pure (hybrid) in  $j, i$ , then we have*

$$F_i^j S^{ti} = F_i^t S^{jt} \quad (F_i^j S^{ti} = -F_i^t S^{jt}).$$

PROPOSITION 3. *If  $T_{ji}$  is pure in  $j, i$  and  $S_j^s$  is pure (hybrid) in  $j, i$ , then  $T_{jr} S_i^r$  is pure (hybrid) in  $j, i$ .*

PROPOSITION 4. *If  $T_{ji}$  is pure in  $j, i$  and  $S^{ji}$  is hybrid in  $j, i$ , then we have  $T_{ji} S^{ji} = 0$ .*

PROPOSITION 5.<sup>1)</sup>  *$N_{ji}^h$  is pure in  $j, i$  and hybrid in  $i, h$ .*

---

1) See Yano [10].

Now in a  $K$ -space, let  $R_{kji}{}^b$  and  $R_{ji} = R_{tji}{}^t$  be Riemannian curvature tensor and Ricci tensor respectively. Then we have the following identities:<sup>2)</sup>

$$(2.6) \quad *O_{ji}^{ab} \nabla_a F_{bk} = 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad F_{hk} \nabla^t \nabla_j F_t{}^h = R^*{}_{kj} - R_{jk}$$

where  $\nabla^t = g^{ta} \nabla_a$  and  $R^*{}_{ji} = (1/2) F^{ab} R_{abti} F_j{}^t$ .

$$(2.8) \quad O_{ji}^{ab} R_{ab} = 0, \quad O_{ji}^{ab} R^*{}_{ab} = 0,$$

$$(2.9) \quad R^*{}_{ji} = R^*{}_{ij},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla_j F_{it} (\nabla_i F^{it}) = R_{ji} - R^*{}_{ji}$$

where  $F^{ji} = F_t{}^i g^{tj}$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad R - R^* = \text{constant}$$

where  $R = g^{ji} R_{ji}$  and  $R^* = g^{ji} R^*{}_{ji}$ .

In a Riemannian manifold, we have

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i R = \nabla^j R_{ji}$$

and in a  $K$ -space

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i R^* = \nabla^j R^*{}_{ji}.$$
<sup>3)</sup>

Therefore from (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla^k (R_{ik} - R^*{}_{ik}) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i (R - R^*) = 0.$$

Moreover, for any vector  $v^i$ , we have

$$(2.15) \quad \nabla_k (N_{it}{}^k \nabla^t v^i) = 0$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad N_{ji}{}^k = 4F_j{}^s \nabla_s F_t{}^k.$$

### 3. A characterization of an extended contravariant almost analytic vector.

Let  $M$  and  $T(M)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional almost complex manifold with structure tensor  $F$  and a tangent bundle of  $M$  respectively. We denote the natural projection  $T(M) \rightarrow M$  by  $\pi$ . It is well known that a differentiable cross-section  $f$  defines a contravariant almost analytic vector if it satisfies that

$$(3.1) \quad df_p \circ F_p = \Phi_{f(p)} \circ df_p \quad \text{for } p \in M$$

where  $\Phi$  is an almost complex structure on  $T(M)$ .

2) See Tachibana [7], [8].

3) See Sawaki [4].

Let  $x^s$  be local coordinates in a neighborhood  $U$  of a fixed point  $p$  of  $M$  and  $y^s$  be the components of a tangent vector  $v$  with respect to the natural frame  $\partial/\partial x^i$ . Then  $(x^s, y^i)$  is a local coordinate in a neighborhood  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  of  $T(M)$ .

If we put

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_{j^s} = F_{j^s}, & \Phi_{\bar{j}^s} = 0, \\ \Phi_{j^{\bar{s}}} = (\partial_r F_{j^s})y^r + \lambda F_{j^s} N_{sr^2} y^r, & \Phi_{\bar{j}^{\bar{s}}} = F_{j^s}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{j} = 2n + j$  and  $\lambda$  is a scalar function, then we have a tensor field  $\Phi$  of type (1, 1) on  $T(M)$  whose component are  $\Phi_{j^I}$  with respect to the coordinate neighborhood  $\pi^{-1}(U)(x^s, y^i)$ , and it is easily verified that  $\Phi$  is an almost complex structure on  $T(M)$  by virtue of Proposition 5 where  $I, J = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$ .

Now, since cross-section  $f$  can be locally expressed by

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} x^i = x^i, \\ x^{\bar{i}} = y^i(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{2n}) \end{cases}$$

in terms of the local coordinate system  $(x^s, y^i)$  on  $T(M)$ , (3.1) can be written

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} F_j^r \partial_r' x^i = \Phi_r^i \partial_j' x^r + \Phi_r^{\bar{i}} \partial_j' x^{\bar{r}}, \\ F_j^r \partial_r' x^{\bar{i}} = \Phi_r^{\bar{i}} \partial_j' x^r + \Phi_r^i \partial_j' x^{\bar{r}}. \end{cases}$$

The first equation in (3.4) is an identity and from the second equation in (3.4) we have

$$(3.5) \quad F_j^r \partial_r y^i = y^r \partial_r F_{j^s} + \lambda F_{j^s} N_{ri^2} y^i + F_{r^i} \partial_j y^r.$$

If we denote the components of vector field  $v$  by  $v^s$ , (3.5) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_v F_{j^s} + \lambda F_{j^s} N_{ri^2} v^i = 0$$

which is nothing but the formula which defines our extended contravariant almost analytic vector.

#### 4. Some lemmas.

In this section, we assume that we are in a  $K$ -space. In a  $K$ -space, by (2.16), (1.1) turns to

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma v^r \nabla_r F_{j^s} - F_j^r \nabla_r v^s + F_{r^i} \nabla_j v^r = 0$$

or

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma v^t \nabla_t F_{ji} - F_j^t \nabla_i v_t + F_{ti} \nabla_j v^t = 0$$

where  $\sigma = 1 + 4\lambda$ .

Now, we need following lemmas to prove the main theorem,

LEMMA 4. 1.<sup>4)</sup> In an almost-Hermitian space, if tensor  $S_{ji}$  is skew-symmetric, then we have

$$\nabla^i \nabla^t S_{jti} = 0.$$

LEMMA 4. 2.<sup>5)</sup> In a compact K-space with constant scalar curvature, if  $\nabla_j p_i + \nabla_i p_j$  is pure in  $j, i$  and  $r_\alpha$  is a vector such that  $r_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha r$  for a certain scalar  $r$ , then we have

$$\int_M p^i r^j R_{ji} dV = 0$$

where  $dV$  means the volume element of the space  $M$ .

LEMMA 4. 3. In a K-space, if  $v^\alpha$  is an extended contravariant almost analytic vector for a constant  $\lambda$ , then following relation holds good:

$$(4. 3) \quad \sigma(R_{ri} - R^*_{ri})v^r + \frac{1}{2} N_{jri} \nabla^j v^r = 0.$$

*Proof.* Operating  $\nabla^j$  to (4. 1) and taking account of (2. 5), we have

$$(4. 4) \quad \sigma \nabla^j v^t (\nabla_t F_j^i) + \sigma v^t \nabla^j \nabla_t F_j^i - F_j^i \nabla^j \nabla_t v^t + \nabla^j F_t^i (\nabla_j v^t) + F_t^i \nabla^j \nabla_j v^t = 0.$$

In this place, for the second term of the left hand side of (4. 4), by (2. 7) and (2. 9), we have

$$\sigma v^t \nabla^j \nabla_t F_j^i = \sigma v^t (-R^*_{t\alpha} F_\alpha^i + R_t^s F_s^i)$$

where  $R^*_{j^i} = g^{ti} R^*_{jt}$ , and for the third term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_j^i \nabla^j \nabla_t v^t &= \frac{1}{2} F^{jt} (\nabla_j \nabla_t v^i - \nabla_t \nabla_j v^i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} F^{jt} R_{jts}^i v^s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4. 4) turns to

$$(\sigma - 1) \nabla_r F_j^i (\nabla^j v^r) + \sigma F_\alpha^i R_r^\alpha v^r - \sigma F_\alpha^i R^*_{r\alpha} v^r - \frac{1}{2} F^{jr} R_{jrs}^i v^s + F_r^i \nabla^j \nabla_j v^r = 0.$$

Transvecting this equation with  $F_{ik}$ , and using (2. 16), we have

$$(4. 5) \quad \nabla^j \nabla_j v_k + \sigma R_{rk} v^r - (\sigma - 1) R^*_{rk} v^r - \frac{(\sigma - 1)}{4} N_{kjr} \nabla^j v^r = 0.$$

On the other hand, operating  $F^{kj} \nabla_k$  to (4. 1), we have

$$(4. 6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma F^{kj} (\nabla_k v^r) \nabla_r F_j^i + \sigma v^r F^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_r F_j^i - F^{kj} (\nabla_k F_j^r) \nabla_r v^i - F^{kj} F_j^r \nabla_k \nabla_r v^i \\ + F^{kj} (\nabla_k F_r^i) \nabla_j v^r + F^{kj} F_r^i \nabla_k \nabla_j v^r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

---

4), 5) See Takamatsu [9].

In the left hand side of this equation, for the first term and the fifth term, by (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma F^{kj}(\nabla_k v^r) \nabla_r F_j^s + F^{kj}(\nabla_k F_r^i) \nabla_j v^r &= -(\sigma+1) F_j^k (\nabla_k F_r^i) \nabla^j v^r \\ &= -\frac{(\sigma+1)}{4} N_{jr}^i \nabla^j v^r, \end{aligned}$$

for the second term, by (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_r F_j^s &= -\frac{1}{2} F^{kj} (\nabla_k \nabla_j F_r^i - \nabla_j \nabla_k F_r^i) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} F^{kj} (R_{kj}^i F_r^s - R_{kjr}^s F_s^i) \\ &= -R_{r}^{*s} + R_{r}^{*i} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term  $F^{kj} \nabla_k F_j^r$ ,  $F^{kj}$  being hybrid in  $k, j$  and  $\nabla_k F_j^r$  pure in  $k, j$ , then this term vanishes by virtue of Proposition 4. For the last term we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{kj} F_r^i \nabla_k \nabla_j v^r &= \frac{1}{2} F_r^i F^{kj} (\nabla_k \nabla_j v^r - \nabla_j \nabla_k v^r) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} F_r^i F^{kj} R_{kj}^r v^s \\ &= R_{s}^{*i} v^s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (4.6) becomes

$$(4.7) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v_k + R_{rk}^{*i} v^r - \frac{(\sigma+1)}{4} N_{jrk} \nabla^j v^r = 0.$$

Thus, subtracting (4.7) from (4.5), we get (4.3).

LEMMA 4.4. *In a compact K-space, if  $v^i$  is an extended contravariant almost analytic vector for a constant  $\lambda \neq -1/4$  and  $r^i$  is a vector such that  $r^i = \nabla^i r$  for a certain scalar  $r$ , then we have*

$$(4.8) \quad \int_M r^j v^h (R_{hj} - R_{hj}^*) dV = 0.$$

*Proof.* From

$$\nabla^j \{r v^h (R_{hj} - R_{hj}^*)\} = r^j v^h (R_{hj} - R_{hj}^*) + r \nabla^j v^h (R_{hj} - R_{hj}^*) + r v^h \nabla^j (R_{hj} - R_{hj}^*),$$

by Green's theorem, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \int_M [r^j v^h (R_{hj} - R_{hj}^*) + r \nabla^j v^h (R_{hj} - R_{hj}^*) + r v^h \nabla^j (R_{hj} - R_{hj}^*)] dV = 0.$$

On the other hand, operating  $\nabla^i$  to (4.3), we have

$$\sigma \nabla^i (R_{ri} - R_{ri}^*) v^r + \sigma (R_{ri} - R_{ri}^*) \nabla^i v^r + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^i (N_{jri} \nabla^j v^r) = 0.$$

In this place, since  $1+4\lambda=\sigma\neq 0$ , taking account of (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$(4.10) \quad \nabla^i v^r (R_{ri} - R^*_{ri}) = 0.$$

Consequently, from (4.9), we have (4.8).

LEMMA 4.5. *In a compact K-space, an extended contravariant almost analytic vector  $v^s$  for a constant  $\lambda$  such that  $-3/4 \leq \lambda \leq 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq -1/4$ , can be decomposed into the form*

$$(4.11) \quad v^s = p^s + r^s$$

where  $\nabla_i p^s = 0$  and  $r^s$  is a vector such that  $r^s = \nabla^i r$  for a certain scalar  $r$  and

$$(4.12) \quad *O_{ab}^i (\nabla^a p^b + \nabla^b p^a) = 0,$$

$$(4.13) \quad r^t \nabla_t F_{ji} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By the theory of harmonic integrals, (4.11) is the result that holds good for any vector  $v^s$  in a compact orientable Riemannian space. Next putting

$$T_{ji} = \nabla_j p_i + \nabla_i p_j + F_j^a F_i^b (\nabla_a p_b + \nabla_b p_a)$$

and writing out the square of  $T_{ji}$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{4} T_{ji} T^{ji} = (\nabla_j p_i + \nabla_i p_j) \nabla^j p^i + F_j^a F_i^b \nabla^j p^i (\nabla_a p_b + \nabla_b p_a).$$

Now, operating  $\nabla^i$  to  $p^j T_{ji}$ , we have

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^i (p^j T_{ji}) &= \frac{1}{4} T_{ji} T^{ji} + p^j \nabla^i T_{ji} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} T_{ji} T^{ji} + p^j \{ \nabla^i (\nabla_j p_i + \nabla_i p_j) + F_j^a (\nabla^i F_i^b) (\nabla_a p_b + \nabla_b p_a) \\ &\quad + (\nabla^i F_j^a) F_i^b (\nabla_a p_b + \nabla_b p_a) + F_j^a F_i^b \nabla^i (\nabla_a p_b + \nabla_b p_a) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} T_{ji} T^{ji} + p^j \{ \nabla^i (\nabla_j p_i + \nabla_i p_j) + F_j^a F_i^b \nabla^i (\nabla_a p_b + \nabla_b p_a) \}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\nabla^i F_i^b = 0$  and since  $(\nabla^i F_j^a) F_i^b = (\nabla_i F^{ba}) F_j^s$  is skew-symmetric with respect to  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $(\nabla^i F_j^a) F_i^b (\nabla_a p_b + \nabla_b p_a)$  vanishes.

On the other hand, interchanging  $j$  and  $i$  in (4.2) and subtracting the equation thus obtained from (4.2), we get

$$(4.15) \quad 2\sigma v^t \nabla_t F_{ji} - F_j^t (\nabla_t v_i - \nabla_i v_t) + F_{ti} (\nabla_j v^t - \nabla^t v_j) = 0.$$

Substituting (4.11) into (4.15) and taking account of  $\nabla_j r_i = \nabla_i r_j$ , we have

$$2\sigma v^t \nabla_t F_{ji} - F_j^t (\nabla_t p_i - \nabla_i p_t) + F_{ti} (\nabla_j p^t - \nabla^t p_j) = 0.$$

Since  $\nabla_i F_j^s = 0$  and  $\nabla_i p^s = 0$ , this equation can be easily written as

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &F_j^t (\nabla_t p_i + \nabla_i p_t) - F_i^t (\nabla_j p_t + \nabla_t p_j) \\ &= -2\sigma v^t \nabla_t F_{ji} + 2p^t \nabla_t F_{ji} + 2\nabla^t (F_{jt} p_i + F_{ti} p_j + F_{ij} p_t). \end{aligned}$$

Operating  $\nabla^i$  to (4.16) and using (4.11) and  $\nabla^i r^t(\nabla_t F_{ji})=0$ , we have

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \nabla^i F_j^t(\nabla_i p_t + \nabla_t p_i) + F_j^t \nabla^i(\nabla_i p_t + \nabla_t p_i) - F_i^t \nabla^i(\nabla_j p_t + \nabla_t p_j) \\ &= -2(\sigma-1)(\nabla^i v^t) \nabla_t F_{ji} - 2(\sigma-1)v^t \nabla^i \nabla_t F_{ji} - 2r^t \nabla^i \nabla_t F_{ji} + 2\nabla^i \nabla^t S_{jti} \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_{jti} = F_{jt} p_i + F_{ti} p_j + F_{ij} p_t$ .

In (4.17), since  $\nabla^i F_j^t$  is skew-symmetric with respect to  $i$  and  $t$ ,  $\nabla^i F_j^t(\nabla_i p_t + \nabla_t p_i) = 0$  and by Lemma 4.1,  $\nabla^i \nabla^t S_{jti} = 0$ .

Hence, (4.17) turns to

$$\begin{aligned} & F_j^t \nabla^i(\nabla_i p_t + \nabla_t p_i) - F_i^t \nabla^i(\nabla_j p_t + \nabla_t p_j) \\ &= -2r^t \nabla^i \nabla_t F_{ji} - 2(\sigma-1)\nabla^i v^t(\nabla_t F_{ji}) - 2(\sigma-1)v^t \nabla^i \nabla_t F_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Transvecting this equation with  $p^h F_{hj}$  and taking account of (2.7) and (2.16), we have

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & p^h \{ \nabla^i(\nabla_i p_h + \nabla_h p_i) + F_h^j F_i^t \nabla^i(\nabla_j p_t + \nabla_t p_j) \} \\ &= 2p^h r^t (R^*_{th} - R_{th}) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma-1)N_{ith}(\nabla^i v^t) p^h + 2(\sigma-1)p^h v^t (R^*_{th} - R_{th}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.3) into (4.18), we get

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & p^h \{ \nabla^i(\nabla_i p_h + \nabla_h p_i) + F_h^j F_i^t \nabla^i(\nabla_j p_t + \nabla_t p_j) \} \\ &= 2p^h r^t (R^*_{th} - R_{th}) + (\sigma-1)(\sigma+2)p^h v^t (R^*_{th} - R_{th}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, substituting (4.19) into (4.14) and making use of Green's theorem, we have

$$(4.20) \quad \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left[ \frac{1}{4} T_{ji} T^{ji} + 2p^h r^t (R^*_{th} - R_{th}) + (\sigma-1)(\sigma+2)p^h v^t (R^*_{th} - R_{th}) \right] dV = 0.$$

Substituting  $p^h = v^h - r^h$  into (4.20) and taking account of Lemma 4.4, (4.20) becomes

$$(4.21) \quad \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left[ \frac{1}{4} T_{ji} T^{ji} + 2r^h r^t (R_{th} - R^*_{th}) + (\sigma-1)(\sigma+2)v^h v^t (R^*_{th} - R_{th}) \right] dV = 0,$$

or by (2.10),

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left[ \frac{1}{4} T_{ji} T^{ji} + 2r^h \nabla_h F_{ji} (r^t \nabla_t F^{ji}) - (\sigma-1)(\sigma+2)v^h \nabla_h F_{ji} (v^t \nabla_t F^{ji}) \right] dV = 0.$$

Thus, if  $-2 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ , that is,  $-3/4 \leq \lambda \leq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq -1/4$ , then we can deduce  $T_{ji} = 0$  and  $r^h \nabla_h F_{ji} = 0$ .

LEMMA 4.6. *If  $-3/4 < \lambda < 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq -1/4$ , we have*

$$(4.23) \quad v^h \nabla_h F_{ji} = 0, \quad r^h \nabla_h F_{ji} = 0.$$

*Proof.* This follows from (4.22).

LEMMA 4.7. *In a compact K-space, if  $v^s$  is an extended contravariant almost*



analytic vector for a constant  $\lambda$  such that  $-3/4 \leq \lambda \leq 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq -1/4$ , then it satisfies

$$(4.24) \quad \nabla^i \nabla_i v^j + R_{ik} v^k = 0.$$

*Proof.* When  $-3/4 < \lambda < 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq -1/4$ , multiplying (4.23) by  $\nabla_k F^{ji}$  and taking account of (2.10), we have

$$(R_{ik} - R^*_{ik}) v^k = 0,$$

and hence, from (4.3),  $N_{iij} \nabla^i v^j = 0$ . Consequently by (4.7), we get (4.24).

When  $\lambda = -3/4$  or  $\lambda = 0$ , forming (4.5)  $\times (\sigma + 1) - (4.7) \times (\sigma - 1)$ , we have

$$(4.25) \quad 2\nabla^i \nabla_i v_k + \sigma(\sigma + 1) R_{rk} v^r - (\sigma - 1)(\sigma + 2) R^*_{rk} v^r = 0.$$

In this place, if  $\lambda = -3/4$  or  $\lambda = 0$ , that is, if  $\sigma = 1$  or  $\sigma = -2$ , then from (4.25) we have (4.24).

LEMMA 4.8. *In a compact K-space, an extended contravariant almost analytic vector  $v^i$  for a constant  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda = -1/4$ , can be decomposed into the form*

$$(4.26) \quad v^i = p^i + r^i$$

where  $\nabla_i p^i = 0$  and  $r^i$  is a vector such that  $r^i = \nabla^i r$  for a certain scalar  $r$  and

$$(4.27) \quad *O_{ab}^i (\nabla^a p^b + \nabla^b p^a) = 0,$$

$$(4.28) \quad p^i \nabla_i F_{ji} = 0.$$

*Proof.* In (4.2) if  $\lambda = -1/4$ , i.e.  $\sigma = 0$ , we have

$$(4.29) \quad \nabla_j v_i - F_j^a F_i^b \nabla_a v_b = 0.$$

Interchanging  $j$  and  $i$  in (4.29) and subtracting the equation thus obtained from (4.29) and substituting  $v^i = p^i + r^i$ , we have

$$(4.30) \quad (\nabla_j p_i - \nabla_i p_j) - F_j^a F_i^b (\nabla_a p_b - \nabla_b p_a) = 0.$$

Transvecting (4.30) with  $F_k^j$  and taking account of  $\nabla^j F_{ji} = 0$  and  $\nabla_a p^a = 0$ , we have

$$(4.31) \quad F_k^a (\nabla_i p_a + \nabla_a p_i) - F_i^b (\nabla_k p_b + \nabla_b p_k) = 2p^a \nabla_a F_{ki} + 2\nabla^a S_{kai}$$

where  $S_{kai} = F_{ka} p_i + F_{ai} p_k + F_{ik} p_a$ .

Operating  $\nabla^i$  to (4.31), taking account of that  $\nabla^i F_k^a$  is skew-symmetric in  $i, a$  and  $\nabla^i F_i^b = 0$ , by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$(4.32) \quad F_k^a \nabla^i (\nabla_i p_a + \nabla_a p_i) - F_i^b \nabla^i (\nabla_k p_b + \nabla_b p_k) = 2\nabla^i p_a (\nabla^a F_{ki}) + 2p^a \nabla^i \nabla_a F_{ki}.$$

Transvecting (4.32) with  $F_h^k$  and making use of (2.7), we have

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^i (\nabla_i p_h + \nabla_h p_i) + F_h^k F_i^a \nabla^i (\nabla_k p_a + \nabla_a p_k) &= -2F_h^k (\nabla^i p_a) \nabla^a F_{ki} - 2p^a F_h^k \nabla^i \nabla_a F_{ki} \\ &= -F_h^k (\nabla^a F_k^i) (\nabla_i p_a - \nabla_a p_i) - 2p^a (R^*_{ha} - R_{ha}) \\ &= 2p^a (R_{ha} - R^*_{ha}) \end{aligned}$$

because, since  $\nabla^a F_h^i$  is pure in  $a, i$  and by (4.30),  $\nabla_i p_a - \nabla_a p_i$  is hybrid in  $a, i$ ,

$F_h^k(\nabla^a F_k^i)(\nabla_i p_a - \nabla_a p_i)$  vanishes by virtue of Proposition 4.

Next substituting (4.33) into (4.14) in which  $h=j$ , we have

$$(4.34) \quad \nabla^i(p^h T_{ih}) = \frac{1}{4} T^{ih} T_{ih} + 2p^h p^a (R_{ah} - R^*_{ah})$$

and by Green's theorem, we have

$$\int_M \left[ \frac{1}{4} T^{ih} T_{ih} + 2p^h p^a (R_{ah} - R^*_{ah}) \right] dV = 0.$$

Thus, we get  $T_{ih} = 0$  and  $p^h \nabla_h F_{ji} = 0$ .

LEMMA 4.9. *In a compact K-space, if  $v^i$  is an extended contravariant almost analytic vector for a constant  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda = -1/4$ , then it satisfies*

$$(4.35) \quad \nabla^i v^i + R^*_{ij} v^j = 0.$$

*Proof.* (4.35) follows from (4.25).

### 5. Proof of the main theorem.

THEOREM. *In a compact K-space with constant scalar curvature, an extended contravariant almost analytic vector  $v^i$  for a constant  $\lambda$  such that  $-3/4 \leq \lambda \leq 0$  is decomposed into the form*

$$v^i = p^i + F_r{}^i q^r$$

where  $p^i$  and  $q^i$  are both Killing vectors.

*Proof.* First of all, we shall prove that  $p^i$  is a Killing vector. When  $-3/4 \leq \lambda \leq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq -1/4$ , we put

$$U_{ji} = \nabla_j p_i + \nabla_i p_j.$$

Operating  $\nabla^i$  to  $p^j U_{ji}$  and making use of  $p_i = v_i - r_i$ , we have

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^i(p^j U_{ji}) &= \frac{1}{2} U_{ji} U^{ji} + p^j (\nabla^i \nabla_j v_i + \nabla^i \nabla_i v_j - 2\nabla^i \nabla_j r_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} U_{ji} U^{ji} + p^j (\nabla^i \nabla_j v_i + \nabla^i \nabla_i v_j - \nabla_j \nabla^i v_i + \nabla_j \nabla^i v_i - 2\nabla^i \nabla_j r_i + 2\nabla_j \nabla^i r_i - 2\nabla_j \nabla^i r_i). \end{aligned}$$

In this place, by the Ricci's identity and (4.24), we have

$$(5.2) \quad \nabla^i \nabla_j v_i + \nabla^i \nabla_i v_j - \nabla_j \nabla^i v_i = \nabla^i \nabla_i v_j + R_{ji} v^i = 0$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad \nabla^i \nabla_j r_i - \nabla_j \nabla^i r_i = r^i R_{ji}.$$

Hence, making use of (5.2) and (5.3), from (5.1) by Green's theorem we find

$$(5.4) \quad \int_M \left[ \frac{1}{2} U_{ji} U^{ji} - 2p^j r^i R_{ji} + p^j \nabla_j \alpha \right] dV = 0$$

where  $\alpha = \nabla^i v_i - 2\nabla^i r_i$ .

From  $\nabla_j(\alpha p^j) = p^j \nabla_j \alpha + \alpha \nabla_j p^j = p^j \nabla_j \alpha$ , we have

$$(5.5) \quad \int_M p^j \nabla_j \alpha dV = 0.$$

Thus taking account of (4.12) and Lemma 4.2, (5.4) becomes

$$\int_M \frac{1}{2} U_{ji} U^{ji} dV = 0$$

from which it follows that

$$(5.6) \quad U_{ji} = \nabla_j p_i + \nabla_i p_j = 0,$$

that is,  $p^i$  is a Killing vector.

Next, when  $\lambda = -1/4$ , again we consider (5.1). In this place, by the Ricci's identity and Lemma 4.9, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^i \nabla_i v_j + \nabla^i \nabla_j v_i - \nabla_j \nabla^i v_i &= \nabla^i \nabla_i v_j + R_{ji} v^i \\ &= -R^*_{ji} v^i + R_{ji} v^i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, making use of (5.3) and (5.7), from (5.1) by Green's theorem, we find

$$(5.8) \quad \int_M \left[ \frac{1}{2} U_{ji} U^{ji} + p^j v^i (R_{ji} - R^*_{ji}) - 2p^j r^i R_{ji} + p^j \nabla_j \alpha \right] dV = 0$$

where  $\alpha = \nabla^i v_i - 2\nabla^i r_i$ .

Multiplying (4.28) by  $\nabla_h F^{ji}$  and using (2.10), we have

$$p^i (R_{ji} - R^*_{ji}) = 0.$$

Thus taking account of (4.27), by Lemma 4.2 and (5.5), (5.8) becomes

$$\int_M \frac{1}{2} U_{ji} U^{ji} dV = 0$$

from which it follows that

$$U_{ji} = \nabla_j p_i + \nabla_i p_j = 0.$$

If we put

$$(5.9) \quad q^s = -F_i^s r^i, \quad \text{or} \quad r^s = F_i^s q^i$$

then,  $v^s = p^s + r^s$  can be written as

$$(5.10) \quad v^s = p^s + F_i^s q^i.$$

Lastly, we shall prove that  $q^s$  is a Killing vector. From (5.9), we have  $q_i = F_i^t r_t$  from which it follows

$$(5.11) \quad \nabla_h q_i + \nabla_i q_h = (\nabla_h F_i^t + \nabla_i F_h^t) r_t + (F_i^t \nabla_h r_t + F_h^t \nabla_i r_t).$$

Interchanging  $j$  and  $i$  in (4.2) and adding the equation thus obtained to (4.2), we get

$$F_i^t(\nabla_j v_t + \nabla_t v_j) + F_j^t(\nabla_i v_t + \nabla_t v_i) = 0.$$

Substituting  $v_i = p_i + r_i$  into this equation and using (5.6) and  $\nabla_i r_i = \nabla_i r_i$ , we have

$$(5.12) \quad F_i^t \nabla_j r_t + F_j^t \nabla_i r_t = 0.$$

Thus, by (2.4) and (5.12), the right hand side of (5.11) vanishes. Consequently we find  $q^p$  is a Killing vector. q.e.d.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] LICHNEROWICZ, A., Geometrie des groupes de transformations. Paris (1958).
- [2] MATSUSHIMA, Y., Sur la structure du groupe d'homeomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété Kählérienne. Nagoya Math. J. **11** (1957), 145-150.
- [3] SATÔ, I., Almost analytic tensor fields in almost complex manifolds. Tensor, New Series **17** (1966), 105-119.
- [4] SAWAKI, S., On the Matsushima's theorem in a compact Einstein  $K$ -space. Tôhoku Math. J. **13** (1961), 455-465.
- [5] SAWAKI, S., AND K. TAKAMATSU, On extended almost analytic vectors and tensors in almost complex manifolds. Sci. Rep. Niigata Univ. **4** (1967), 17-29.
- [6] TACHIBANA, S., On almost-analytic vectors in almost-Kählerian manifolds. Tôhoku Math. J. **11** (1959), 247-265.
- [7] TACHIBANA, S., On almost-analytic vectors in certain almost-Hermitian manifolds. Tôhoku Math. J. **11** (1959), 351-363.
- [8] TACHIBANA, S., On infinitesimal conformal and projective transformations of compact  $K$ -space. Tôhoku Math. J. **13** (1961), 386-392.
- [9] TAKAMATSU, K., On a decomposition of an almost-analytic vector in a  $K$ -space with constant scalar curvature. Tôhoku Math. J. **16** (1964), 72-80.
- [10] YANO, K., The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications. Amsterdam, 1957.

NIIGATA UNIVERSITY.