

## ON A DISTANCE FUNCTION BETWEEN DIFFERENTIABLE STRUCTURES\*

YOSHIHIRO SHIKATA\*

### Introduction

In this note, we investigate a relation between the connected sum of manifolds and the distance of manifolds ([2]). Since the smoothing of a piecewise linear equivalence is given by connected sum of exotic spheres ([1]), we have a certain estimate of the smoothing obstruction using the distance of manifolds (Proposition 3). In § 3, an application is given to show the impossibility of the 0.64-pinching of an exotic sphere.

1. Let  $M, N$  be smooth orientable manifolds with boundary so that the boundaries  $\partial M, \partial N$  are diffeomorphic each other through a diffeomorphism  $f$ . Denote by  $C(\partial M), C(\partial N)$  the collar neighbourhoods of  $\partial M, \partial N$ , respectively, and let

$$\alpha: \partial M \times [0, 1) \rightarrow C(\partial M), \quad \beta: \partial N \times [0, 1) \rightarrow C(\partial N)$$

be the diffeomorphisms. Then the map which sends  $\alpha(x, t) (x \in \partial M, t \in [0, 1))$  into  $\beta(f(x), 1 - t)$  defines a diffeomorphism  $F = F(f)$  between  $C(\partial M), C(\partial N)$  and the identified space  $M \cup_F N$  turns out to be a smooth manifold.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $M_i, N_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be smooth manifolds with boundary and let  $f_1$  be a diffeomorphism between  $\partial M_1$  and  $\partial N_1$ . If homeomorphisms  $g_1: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $g_2: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$  are diffeomorphic on some neighbourhoods of the closures of collar neighbourhoods  $C(\partial M_1), C(\partial N_1)$ , then there are collar neighbourhoods  $C(\partial M_2), C(\partial N_2)$  and a diffeomorphism  $F_2$  of  $C(\partial M_2)$  onto  $C(\partial N_2)$  so that  $M_2 \cup_{F_2} N_2$  is homeomorphic to  $M_1 \cup_{F(f_1)} N_1$  by a homeomorphism  $g_1 \cup g_2$  defined by*

---

Received March 12, 1974.

\* During this work, the author is supported by SFB at Bonn University, also he wishes to express his hearty thanks to Prof. Klingenberg for his constant encouragement.

$$g_1 \cup g_2(x) = \begin{cases} g_1(x), & \text{if } x \in M_1 \\ g_2(x), & \text{if } x \in N_1 \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $M_i, N_i, g_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $f_i$ , be as in Lemma 1. Suppose moreover that with respect to Riemannian metrics  $\rho_i, \sigma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) on  $M_i, N_i$  respectively, the homeomorphisms  $g_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) satisfy that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1(x, y)/k_1 \leq \rho_2(g_1(x), g_1(y)) \leq k_1\rho_1(x, y) & \text{for } x, y \in M_1, \\ \sigma_1(x, y)/k_2 \leq \sigma_2(g_2(x), g_2(y)) \leq k_2\sigma_1(x, y) & \text{for } x, y \in N_1, \end{cases}$$

then there exist Riemannian metrics  $\tau_i$  on  $M_i \cup_{F_i} N_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that

$$\tau_1(x, y)/\max(k_1, k_2) \leq \tau_2(g_1 \cup g_2(x), g_1 \cup g_2(y)) \leq \max(k_1, k_2)(\tau_1(x, y)).$$

*Proof.* Take a real valued smooth function  $\varphi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \varphi(t) \leq 1, \quad \varphi(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \leq 0, \varphi(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \geq 1, \\ 0 \leq \varphi'(t), \quad \varphi'(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \leq 0 \text{ or } t \geq 1, \\ \varphi(1 - t) = 1 - \varphi(t) \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$\alpha_1 : M_1 \times [0, 1) \rightarrow C(\partial M_1), \quad \beta_1 : N_1 \times [0, 1) \rightarrow C(\partial N_1)$$

be diffeomorphisms onto the collar neighbourhoods. Then

$$\alpha_2 = g_1 \circ \alpha_1((g_1^{-1}|_{\partial M_2}), \text{id}), \quad \beta_2 = g_2 \circ \beta_1((g_2^{-1}|_{\partial N_2}), \text{id})$$

also are diffeomorphism of  $\partial M_2 \times [0, 1), \partial N_2 \times [0, 1)$  onto collar neighbourhoods  $C(\partial M_2), C(\partial N_2)$ , respectively, moreover the identification map  $F_2$  obtained from  $\alpha_2, \beta_2$ , and  $(g_2|_{\partial N_1}) \circ f_1 \circ (g_1^{-1}|_{\partial M_2})$  satisfies that

$$g_2 \circ F_1 = F_2 \circ g_1 \quad \text{on } C(\partial M_1).$$

Define quadratic forms  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  on  $M_i \cup_{F_i} N_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) by

$$(\tilde{\tau}_i)_x = \begin{cases} (\tilde{\rho}_i) & , \quad x \in M_i - C(\partial M_i), \\ \varphi(t(x))(\tilde{\rho}_i)_x + (1 - \varphi(t(x)))(F_i^* \tilde{\sigma}_i)_x & , \quad x \in C(\partial M_i), \\ (\tilde{\sigma}_i)_x & , \quad x \in N_i - C(\partial N_i). \end{cases}$$

where  $t(x)$  denotes the  $t$ -coordinate of  $x$  in the collar neighbourhood and  $(\tilde{\cdot})$  indicates the quadratic form of a metric  $(\cdot)$ . Then it is easy to see that the well defined quadratic forms  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) give Riemannian metrics  $\tau_i$  on  $M_i \cup_{F_i} N_i$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1(x, y)/k_1 &\leq \rho_2(g_1(x), g_1(y)) \leq k_1\rho_1(x, y) \\ \sigma_1(F_1(x), F_1(y))/k_2 &\leq \sigma_2(g_2F_1(x), g_2F_1(y)) \leq k_2\sigma_1(F_1(x), F_1(y)),\end{aligned}$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}_1/k_1 &< g_1^*\tilde{\rho}_2 < k_1\tilde{\rho}_1, \\ F_1^*\tilde{\sigma}_1/k_2 &< g_1^*(F_2^*\tilde{\sigma}_2) = (g_2F_1)^*\tilde{\sigma}_2 < k_2F_1^*\tilde{\sigma}_1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the metrics  $\tau_i$  satisfy that

$$\tilde{\tau}_1/\max(k_1, k_2) < g_1^*\tilde{\tau}_2 < \max(k_1, k_2)\tilde{\tau}_1$$

on  $C(\partial M_i)$ , thus from the construction of  $g_1 \cup g_2$  we may conclude that

$$\tau_1(x, y)/\max(k_1, k_2) \leq \tau_2((g_1 \cup g_2)(x), (g_1 \cup g_2)(y)) \leq (\max(k_1, k_2))(\tau_1(x, y)).$$

Let  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be smooth manifolds with metrics  $\rho_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $f$  be a map of  $M_1$  into  $M_2$ , then we define  $\ell(f: \rho_1, \rho_2)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(f: \rho_1, \rho_2) &= \inf \{k \geq 1/ \rho_1(x, y)/k \leq \rho_2(f(x), f(y)) \leq k\rho_1(x, y), \\ &\text{for any } x, y \in M\}\end{aligned}$$

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\Sigma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be differential structures on a combinatorial manifold  $X$  represented by smooth manifolds  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with Riemannian metrics  $\rho_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). The distance  $d(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$  between the differential structures is defined to be

$$d(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) = \log(\inf \ell(f: \rho_1, \rho_2)),$$

where the infimum is taken over all the piecewise linear equivalences  $f$  of  $M_1$  onto  $M_2$  and all the Riemannian metrics  $\rho_1, \rho_2$ . It is known ([2]) that  $d$  is actually a distance function.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\Sigma_{i,j}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, j = 1, 2$ ) be differential structures on cominatorial manifolds  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), respectively, then it holds that

$$d(\Sigma_{1,1} \# \Sigma_{2,1}, \Sigma_{1,2} \# \Sigma_{2,2}) \leq \max(d(\Sigma_{1,1}, \Sigma_{1,2}), d(\Sigma_{2,1}, \Sigma_{2,2}))$$

where  $\Sigma_{i,1} \# \Sigma_{i,2}$  denotes the differential structure obtained by the connected sum.

*Proof.* Represent  $\Sigma_{i,j}$  by smooth manifolds  $M_{i,j}$ , and for  $\varepsilon > 0$  take piecewise diffeomorphisms  $g_i$  of  $M_{i,1}$  into  $M_{i,2}$  and Riemannian metrics  $\rho_{i,j}$  on  $M_{i,j}$  so that

$$\log \ell(g_i; \rho_{i,1}, \rho_{i,2}) \leq d(\Sigma_{i,1}, \Sigma_{i,2}) + \varepsilon$$

Assume that  $g_i$  are diffeomorphic on neighbourhoods of points  $p_i \in M_{i,1}$ . Let  $M'_{i,1}$  (resp.  $M'_{i,2}$ ) be the manifold obtained by cutting out a small imbedded disk around  $p_i$  (resp.  $g_i(p_i)$ ). Then  $M'_{i,j}$  and  $g_i$  turns out to satisfy the assumption of Proposition 1 with  $k_i = \ell(g_i; \rho_{i,1}, \rho_{i,2})$ . Since identified manifolds  $M'_{1,j} \cup M'_{2,j}$  represent the connected sum  $\Sigma_{i,j} \# \Sigma_{2,j}$ , we have that

$$d(\Sigma_{1,1} \# \Sigma_{2,1}, \Sigma_{1,2} \# \Sigma_{2,2}) \leq \max(\log k_1, \log k_2)$$

finishing the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\Gamma_k$  be the group of  $k$ -dimensional homotopy spheres, then it holds that*

$$d(\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_3, \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3) = d(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$$

for any  $\Sigma_i \in \Gamma_k$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ).

**COROLLARY 2.** *The subset  $\Gamma_k(a)$  of  $\Gamma_k$  given by*

$$\Gamma_k(a) = \{\Sigma \in \Gamma_k / d(S^k, \Sigma) \leq a\}$$

*turns out to be a subgroup of  $\Gamma_k$ , where  $S^k$  denotes the standard  $k$ -sphere.*

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be  $k$ -dimensional manifolds such that  $M_2 \approx M_1 \# \Sigma$  (diffeomorphic) with  $\Sigma \in \Gamma_k(a)$ , then*

$$d(M_1, M_2) \leq a .$$

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $\text{Diff } S^{k-1}$  denote the set of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms onto itself and let  $\pi$  denote the projection of  $\text{Diff } S^{k-1}$  onto  $\Gamma_k$ , then taking the usual metric  $|\cdot|$  on  $S^{k-1}$  induced from that of  $R^k \supset S^{k-1}$ , it holds that*

$$d(S^k, \pi(f)) \leq \log \ell(f; |\cdot|, |\cdot|) .$$

*Proof.* Extend  $f$  radially to a homeomorphism  $g$  of disk  $D^k$  onto itself which bounds the sphere  $S^{k-1}$  and apply Lemma 1 to disks  $D^k, g, \text{id}$  and  $f$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^k \supset \partial D^k & \xrightarrow{f} & \partial D^k \subset D^k \\ \downarrow g & & \text{id} \downarrow \\ D^k & & D^k \end{array}$$

to obtain a homeomorphism  $g \cup \text{id}$  and a diffeomorphism  $F_2$  of  $\partial D^k$  onto itself which can be chosen to be identity. Since it is obvious that

$$\ell(f; | \cdot |, | \cdot |) = \ell(g; | \cdot |, | \cdot |),$$

Proposition 1 yields that

$$d\left(S^{k-1} \bigcup_{F_2} S^{k-1}, \pi(f)\right) \leq \log \ell(f; | \cdot |, | \cdot |).$$

2. The partial converse to Corollary 3 holds as in the following:

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $f$  be a homeomorphism between  $k$ -dimensional manifolds  $M_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with Riemannian metrics  $\rho_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and assume that  $f$  is diffeomorphic except finite number of points  $P_1, \dots, P_m \in M_1$  then  $M_2 \approx M_1 \# \Sigma$  (diffeomorphic) with  $\Sigma \in \Gamma_k(\log \ell(f; \rho_1, \rho_2))$ .*

*Proof.* Imbed small  $k$ -disks  $D_i$  around  $P_i$ , then the images  $f(D_i)$  turn out to be submanifolds in  $M_2$ . Apply Lemma 1 to manifolds  $D_i, f(D_i)$ , diffeomorphism  $f|_{\partial D_i}$  and homeomorphisms  $\text{id}, f^{-1}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_i \supset \partial D_i & \xrightarrow{f|_{\partial D_i}} & \partial(f(D_i)) \subset f(D_i) \\ \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow f^{-1} \\ D_i \supset \partial D_i & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \partial D_i \subset D_i \end{array}$$

to obtain homotopy spheres  $\Sigma_i = D_i \cup_{F_1} f(D_i)$  and a homeomorphism  $\text{id} \cup f^{-1}$  between the homotopy sphere and the sphere  $S_i$ . Because of Proposition 1 there are Riemannian metrics  $\sigma_1^i, \sigma_2^i$  on  $\Sigma_i, S_i$ , respectively, so that

$$\ell(\text{id} \cup f; \sigma_1^i, \sigma_2^i) \leq \ell(f; \rho_1, \rho_2).$$

Therefore we have that

$$\Sigma_i \in \Gamma_k(\log \ell(f; \rho_1, \rho_2)).$$

On the other, since it is easy to see that

$$M_2 \approx M_1 \# \Sigma_1 \# \Sigma_2 \cdots \# \Sigma_m,$$

this finishes the proof.

In general, concerning the first obstruction of Munkres ([1]) to smoothing  $f$ , we obtain the following:

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be smoothly triangulated manifolds with Riemannian metrics  $\rho_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and let  $L$  be an  $m$ -dimensional subcomplex of  $M_1$ . If a homeomorphism  $f$  of  $M_1$  onto  $M_2$  is diffeomorphic mod.  $L$ , and if  $\ell(f; \rho_1, \rho_2) < \ell_0 \doteq 1.32$  for the positive root  $\ell_0$  of  $x^3 - x - 1 = 0$ , then the first obstruction chain  $\lambda(f)$  of Munkres to smoothing  $f$  lies in

$$\Gamma_{k-m}(\log \ell(f)(1 - (\ell^3(f) - \ell(f))^2)^{-1/4})$$

where  $\ell(f) = \ell(f; \rho_1, \rho_2)$

*Proof.* Munkres obstruction is obtained as follows: Take an  $m$ -simplex  $\sigma \in L$  and take trivializations of normal bundles as coordinate systems around  $\sigma$  and  $f(\sigma)$  so that the tubular neighbourhoods of  $\sigma, f(\sigma)$  are diffeomorphic to  $\sigma \times R^{k-m}, f(\sigma) \times R^{k-m}$ , respectively, then if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small,  $\pi \circ f \circ i_p$  is a homeomorphism of the  $\varepsilon$ -disk  $D_\varepsilon$  around 0 into  $R^{k-m}$  for the inclusion  $i_p: R^{k-m} \rightarrow p \times R^{k-m}$  and for the projection  $\pi: f(\sigma) \times R^{k-m}$ . Thus the obstruction  $\lambda(f)(\sigma)$  is defined to be homotopy sphere obtained by glueing the boundaries of  $D_\varepsilon$  and  $\pi \circ f \circ i_p(D_\varepsilon)$  through  $\pi \circ f \circ i_p$ . Hence it is sufficient for the proof of Proposition 3 to compute  $\ell(\pi \circ f \circ i_p; \rho_1, \rho_2)$  (see Proposition 1) and because of the regularity of  $f$  at  $L$  ([1] p. 526 (4)) the computation is reduced to the following Assertion;

*Assertion.* Let  $g$  be a map between manifolds  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with Riemannian metrics  $\sigma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) satisfying that

$$\ell(g; \sigma_1, \sigma_2) < \kappa < \ell_0$$

then if  $g$  is differentiable along any vector of an  $m$  dimensional vector space  $V \subset T_p(N_1)$ , the angle  $\theta$  between the vector  $\overrightarrow{\exp_p^{-1} \circ g \circ \exp_p}(y), 0$  and the plane  $dg(V)$  is not too small, in fact  $\theta$  satisfies that

$$\cos \theta < \kappa^3 - \kappa < 1,$$

for any  $y$  in orthogonal linear subspace  $W$  to  $V$ , provided  $|y|$  is sufficiently small.

*Proof of Assertion.* Taking an  $\varepsilon$ -disk  $D_\varepsilon$  of 0 in  $T_p(N_1)$ , we may assume that  $\tilde{g} = \exp_p^{-1} \circ g \circ \exp_p$  also satisfies that

$$\ell(\tilde{g}; | \cdot, \cdot |) < \kappa < \ell_0$$

on  $D_\varepsilon$ . Let  $x \in V$  be such that  $|x| = |y|$ , then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \tilde{g}(x), f(y) \rangle &= |\tilde{g}(x)|^2 + |f(y)|^2 - |\tilde{g}(x) - f(y)|^2 \\ &< \kappa(|x|^2 + |y|^2) - |x - y|^2/\kappa \\ &= 2|x|^2(\kappa - 1/\kappa) \end{aligned}$$

also it holds that

$$2\langle \tilde{g}(x), f(y) \rangle > 2|x|^2(1/\kappa - \kappa),$$

therefore we have that

$$|\cos(\overrightarrow{\tilde{g}(x)0}, \overrightarrow{f(y)0})| < \kappa^3 - \kappa,$$

finishing the proof of Assertion.

Thus taking the regularity of  $f$  into consideration, we may conclude that by an application of Assertion to  $g = f \circ i_p$ ,

$$\kappa^{-1}(1 - (\kappa^3 - \kappa)^{1/2}) \leq \rho_2(\pi \circ f \circ i_p(x), \pi \circ f \circ i_p(y)) / \rho_1(x, y) \leq \kappa$$

on a small disk around 0, completing the proof of Proposition 3.

**3.** The method in § 1, 2 applies to obtain a weak estimation of the pinching of an exotic sphere. Let  $M_1, M_2$  be combinatorially equivalent compact manifolds, then according to the construction of Hirsch-Munkres ([1]), we may have a sequence of compact manifolds  $L_i$  ( $i = 1 \dots k$ ) such that

- i)  $L_i$  are combinatorially equivalent to  $M_1, M_2$ .
- ii)  $L_1 = M_1, L_k = M_2$  (diffeomorphic).
- iii)  $L_{i+1}$  is obtained by attaching of  $\Sigma^j \times I^{n-j}$  to  $L_i$  through a certain attaching map, ( $\Sigma^j \in \Gamma^j$ ).

Now suppose  $M_1, M_2$  have different (integral) Pontrjagin class, then for some  $i$ ,  $L_i, L_{i+1}$  have also different Pontrjagin classes. Since we know that manifolds having different Pontrjagin classes are of distance  $\geq 1/2 \log 3/2$  ([3]), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad 1/2 \log 3/2 &\leq d(L_i, L_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \max(d(L_i, L_i), d(S^j \times I^{n-j}, \Sigma^j \times I^{n-j})) \\ &\leq d(S^j, \Sigma^j). \end{aligned}$$

Here the last inequality follows from an easily proved Lemma below:

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $M_i, N_i$  denote a pair of combinatorially equivalent compact manifolds ( $i = 1, 2$ ) then*

$$d(M_1 \times M_2, N_1 \times N_2) \leq \max(d(M_1, N_1), d(M_2, N_2))$$

On the other as is improved by Karcher (unpublished, see also ([4]))  $\delta$ -pinched Riemannian manifold  $M_\delta$  ( $\delta \geq 9/16$ ) has distance  $\leq 4(1 - \sqrt{\delta})$  from the standard sphere  $S$ , therefore if the exotic sphere  $\Sigma^j$  in (1) is expressed as a  $\delta$ -pinched manifold  $M_\delta$ ,  $\delta$  must satisfy that

$$1/2 \log 3/2 \leq 4(1 - \sqrt{\delta}) .$$

hence

$$\delta \leq 0.64 ,$$

thus we may conclude that a certain exotic sphere of dimension  $\leq 16$  which appears in the obstruction chain to smoothing a combinatorial equivalence can not be pinched by 0.64, because we know that there are compact 16 manifolds having different Pontrjagin classes.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] J. Munkres: Obstructions to the smoothing of piecewise-differentiable homeomorphisms, *Ann. of Math.*, Vol. **72** (1960) 521–554.
- [ 2 ] Y. Shikata: On a distance function on the set of differentiable structure, *Osaka J. Math.*, Vol. **3** (1966) 293–301.
- [ 3 ] Y. Shikata: On Pontrjagin classes, I, *J. of Math. Osaka City Univ.*, Vol. **13** (1963) 73–86.
- [ 4 ] Y. Shikata: On the differentiable pinching problem, *Osaka J. Math.*, Vol. **4** (1967) 279–287.

*Nagoya University*