Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
Vol. 9 No. 1 (1986) 23-28

ON A FIXED POINT THEOREM OF GREGUŠ

BRIAN FISHER
Department of Mathematics
University of Leicester
Leicester LE1 7RH, England

and

SALVATORE SESSA
Istituto Matematico
Facolta' Di Architettura
Universita' Di Napoli
Via Monteoliveto 3 80134 Naples, Italy

(Received May 18, 1984)

ABSTRACT. We consider two selfmaps T and I of a closed convex subset C of a Banach space X which are weakly commuting in X, i.e.

$$||TIx - ITx|| \le ||Ix - Tx|| \quad \text{for any } x \text{ in } X,$$

and satisfy the inequality

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le a||Ix - Iy|| + (1 - a)\max\{||Tx - Ix||, ||Ty - Iy||\}$$

for all x,y in C, where $0 < a < 1$. It is proved that if I is linear and non-expansive in C and such that IC contains TC, then T and I have a unique common fixed point in C.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Common fixed point, Banach space.*
1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 54H25, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The second author [1], generalizing a result of Das and Naik [2], defined two mappings T and I of a metric space (X,d) into itself to be weakly commuting if

$$d(TIx, ITx) \le d(Ix, Tx) \tag{1.1}$$

for all x in X. Two commuting mappings clearly satisfy (1.1) but the converse is not generally true as is shown with the following example:

EXAMPLE 1. Let $X = [0,1]$ with the Euclidean metric and define T and I by

$$Tx = x/(x+4),\ Ix = x/2$$

for all x in X. Then

$$d(TIx, ITx) = \frac{x}{x+8} - \frac{x}{2x+8} = \frac{x^2}{2(x+8)(x+4)}$$

$$\le \frac{x^2 + 2x}{2(x+4)} = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x}{x+4} = d(Ix, Tx)$$

for all x in X but for any $x \ne 0$:

$$TIx = x/(x+8) > x/(2x+8) = ITx.$$

From now on, C denotes a closed convex subset of a Banach space X. In a recent paper Gregus [3] proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Let T be a mapping of C into itself satisfying the inequality

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le a||x - y|| + b||Tx - x|| + c||Ty - y|| \tag{1.2}$$

for all x,y in C, where $0 < a < 1$, $b \ge 0$, $c \ge 0$ and $a + b + c = 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Mappings satisfying inequality (1.2) with $a = 1$ and $b = c = 0$ are called nonexpansive and were considered by Kirk [4].

Wong [5] studied mappings satisfying inequality (1.2) with $a = 0$ and $b = c = \frac{1}{2}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

We now prove the following generalization of Theorem 1:

THEOREM 2. Let T and I be two weakly commuting mappings of C into itself satisfying the inequality

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le a||Ix - Iy|| + (1 - a) \max \{||Tx - Ix|| , ||Ty - Iy||\} \tag{2.1}$$

for all x,y in C, where $0 < a < 1$. If I is linear, nonexpansive in C and such that IC contains TC, then T and I have a unique common fixed point in C.

PROOF. Let $x = x_0$ be an arbitrary point in C and choose points x_1, x_2, x_3 in C such that

$$Ix_1 = Tx,\ Ix_2 = Tx_1,\ Ix_3 = Tx_2.$$

This can be done since IC contains TC. Then for $r = 1,2,3$ we have on using inequality (2.1)

$$||Tx_r - Ix_r|| = ||Tx_r - Tx_{r-1}||$$

$$\le a||Ix_r - Ix_{r-1}|| + (1-a) \max \{||Tx_r - Ix_r||, ||Tx_{r-1} - Ix_{r-1}||\}$$

$$= a||Tx_{r-1} - Ix_{r-1}|| + (1-a) \max \{||Tx_r - Ix_r||, ||Tx_{r-1} - Ix_{r-1}||\}$$

and so

$$||Tx_r - Ix_r|| \le ||Tx_{r-1} - Ix_{r-1}||.$$

It follows that

$$||Tx_r - Ix_r|| \le ||Tx - Ix|| \tag{2.2}$$

for $r = 1,2,3$.

Further

$$||Tx_2 - Tx|| \le a||Ix_2 - Ix|| + (1-a) \max \{||Tx_2 - Ix_2||, ||Tx - Ix||\}$$

$$\le a(||Tx_1 - Ix_1|| + ||Tx - Ix||) + (1-a) ||Tx - Ix||$$

$$\le (1+a) ||Tx - Ix||$$

on using inequality (2.2). Thus

$$||Tx_2 - Ix_1|| \leq (1+a)\ ||Tx - Ix||. \tag{2.3}$$

We will now define a point z by

$$z = \frac{1}{2} x_2 + \frac{1}{2} x_3.$$

Since C is convex the point z is in C and being I linear, we have

$$I z = \frac{1}{2} Ix_2 + \frac{1}{2} Ix_3 = \frac{1}{2} Tx_1 + \frac{1}{2} Tx_2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} ||Tz - Iz|| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\ ||Tz - Tx_1|| + \frac{1}{2}||Tz - Tx_2|| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\,[a||Iz - Ix_1|| + (1-a)\max\{||Tz - Iz||,\ ||Tx_1 - Ix_1\}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\,[a||Iz - Ix_2|| + (1-a)\max\{||Tz - Iz||,\ ||Tx_2 - Ix_2||\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,a(||Iz - Ix_1|| + ||Iz - Ix_2||) + (1-a)\max\{||Tz - Iz||,\ ||Tx - Ix||\} \end{aligned}$$

on using inequalities (2.1) and (2.2). Now

$$\begin{aligned} ||Iz - Ix_1|| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\ ||Ix_2 - Ix_1|| + \frac{1}{2}\ ||Ix_3 - Ix_1|| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\ ||Tx_1 - Ix_1|| + \frac{1}{2}\ ||Tx_2 - Ix_1|| \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{1}{2}\,a)\ ||Tx - Ix|| \end{aligned}$$

from inequalities (2.2) and (2.3) and

$$||Iz - Ix_2\ || = \frac{1}{2}\ ||Ix_3 - Ix_2|| = \frac{1}{2}\ ||Tx_2 - Ix_2|| \leq \frac{1}{2}\ ||Tx - Ix||.$$

It follows that

$$||Tz - Iz|| \leq \frac{1}{4}\,a(3 + a)\ ||Tx - Ix|| + (1-a)\max\{||Tz - Iz||,\ ||Tx - Ix||\}$$

and so

$$||Tz - Iz\ || \leq \lambda.||Tx - Ix||$$

where

$$\lambda = (4 - a + a^2)/4 < 1.$$

We therefore have

$$\inf\{||Tz - Iz|| : z = \frac{1}{2} x_2 + \frac{1}{2} x_3\} \leq \lambda.\inf\{||Tx - Tx|| : x \in C\}$$

and since we obviously have

$$\inf\{||Tz - Iz|| : z = \frac{1}{2} x_2 + \frac{1}{2} x_3\} \geq \inf\{||Tx - Ix|| : x \in C\},$$

it follows that

$$\inf\{||Tx - Ix|| : x \in C\} = 0.$$

Each of the sets

$$K_n = \{x \in C : ||Tx - Ix|| \le 1/n\},\ H_n = \{x \in C : ||Tx - Ix|| \le (a + 1)/an\}$$

(for $n = 1,2,...$) must therefore be non-empty and obviously

$$K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq K_n \_\_\_ \dots \quad .$$

Thus each of the sets $\overline{TK_n}$, where $\overline{TK_n}$ denotes the closure of TK_n, must be non-empty for $n = 1,2,...$ and

$$\overline{TK_1} \supseteq \overline{TK_2} \supseteq \dots \overline{TK_n} \supseteq \dots \quad .$$

Further, for arbitrary x, y in K_n,

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le a\,||Ix - Iy|| + (1-a)\max\{||Tx - Ix||, ||Ty - Iy||\}$$

$$\le a(||Tx - Ix|| + ||Tx - Ty|| + ||Ty - Iy||) + (1-a)/n$$

$$\le (a+1)/n + a||Tx - Ty||$$

and so

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le \frac{a + 1}{(1-a)n} .$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(TK_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(\overline{TK_n}) = 0 \quad .$$

It follows, by a well known result of Cantor (see, for example [6], p. 156), that the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{TK_n}$ contains exactly one point w.

Now let y be an arbitrary point in $\overline{TK_n}$. Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a point y' in K_n such that

$$||Ty' - y|| < \varepsilon \tag{2.4}$$

and so, using the weak commutativity of T and I and the nonexpansiveness of I, we have from (2.1) and (2.4):

$$\begin{aligned}||Ty - Iy|| &\le ||Ty - TIy'|| + ||TIy' - ITy'|| + ||ITy' - Iy||\\ &\le a||Iy - I^2y'|| + (1-a)\max\{||Ty - Iy||, ||TIy' - I^2y'||\}\\ &\qquad + ||Iy' - Ty'|| + ||Ty' - y||\\ &\le a||y - Iy'|| + (1-a)\max\{||Ty - Iy||, ||TIy' - ITy'|| + ||Ty' - Iy'||\}\\ &\qquad + 1/n + \varepsilon\\ &\le a(||y - Ty'|| + 1/n) + (1-a)\max\{||Ty - Iy||, 2/n\} + 1/n + \varepsilon\\ &\le (1+a)\varepsilon + (a+1)/n + (1-a)\max\{||Ty - Iy||, 2/n\} \quad .\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary it follows that

$$||Ty - Iy|| \le (a+1)/n + (1-a)\max\{||Ty - Iy||, 2/n\} \quad . \tag{2.5}$$

If $||Ty - Iy|| \le 2/n$, then we have

$$||Ty - Iy|| \le 2/n < (a+1)/an.$$

If $||Ty - Iy|| > 2/n$, (2.5) implies

$$||Ty - Iy|| \leq (a+1).n + (1-a).||Ty - Iy||.$$

So in both cases y lies in H_n. Thus $\overline{TK_n} \subseteq H_n$ and so the point w must be in H_n for $n = 1,2,...$ It follows that

$$||Tw - Iw|| \leq (a+1)/an$$

for $n = 1,2,...$ and so $Tw = Iw$.

Since (1.1) holds, we also have $ITw = TIw$. Thus

$$||T^2w - Tw|| \leq a||ITw - Iw|| + (1-a) \max \{||T^2w - ITw||, ||Tw - Iw||\}$$

$$= a||T^2w - Tw||$$

and it follows that $Tw = w'$ is a fixed point of T since $a < 1$. Further $Iw' = ITw + TIw = TTw = Tw' = w'$ and so w' is also a fixed point of I. Now suppose that T and I have a second common fixed point w''. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ||w' - w''|| &= ||Tw' - Tw''|| \\ &\leq a||Iw' - Iw''|| + (1-a) \max \{||Tw' - Iw'||, ||Tw'' - Iw''||\} \\ &\leq a||w' - w''|| \end{aligned}$$

and the uniqueness of the common fixed point follows since $a < 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $X = R$ and $C = [0,1]$ with the usual norm. Let T and I be as in example 1. I is clearly linear and nonexpansive and further

$$TC = [0,1/5] \subset [0,1/2] = IC \quad .$$

Thus

$$||Tx - Ty|| = \frac{4||x - y||}{(x+4)(y+4)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{||x - y||}{2} = \frac{1}{2}||Ix - Iy||$$

for all x,y in C and inequality (2.1) is satisfied for $a = 1/2$.

So all the assumptions of Theorem 2 hold and $w = 0$ is the unique common fixed point of T and I.

Letting I be the identity mapping in Theorem 2, we have the following corollary which extends Theorem 1:

COROLLARY. Let T be a mapping of C into itself satisfying the inequality

$$||Tx - Ty|| \leq a||x - y|| + (1-a) \max \{||Tx - x||, ||Ty - y||\}$$

for all x,y in C, where $0 < a < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

The result of this corollary was given in [7].

We note that the weak commutativity in Theorem 2 is a necessary condition. It suffices to consider the following example:

EXAMPLE 3. Let $X = R$ and let $C = [0,1]$ with the usual norm.

Define T and I by $Tx = 1/3$, $Ix = x/2$ for any x in C.

It is easily seen that all the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied except that of weak commutativity since with $x = 1/2$

$$||TI(1/2) - IT(1/2)|| = 1/6 > 1/12 = ||T(1/2) - I(1/2)|| \quad .$$

However T and I do not have a common fixed point.

We conclude that although the mappings T and I in Theorem 2 have a unique common fixed point in C, it is possible for them to have other fixed points, as proved in the next example:

Example 4. Let $X = C = R^2$ with norm

$$||(x,y)|| = \max \{|x|, |y|\}$$

for all (x,y) in R^2. Define mappings T and I on R^2 by

$$T(x,y) = (0,y), \ I(x,y) = (x,-y)$$

for all (x,y) in R^2. Then for all $(x,y) \varepsilon R^2$, $(x',y') \varepsilon R^2$

$$||T(x,y) - T(x',y')|| = |y - y'|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a||I(x,y) - I(x',y')|| + (1-a) \max \{||T(x,y) - I(x,y)||, ||T(x',y') - I(x',y')||\} \\ = a \max \{|x-x'|, |y-y'|\} + (1-a) \max \{|x|, 2|y|, |x'|, 2|y'|\} \\ \geq a|y - y'| + 2(1-a) \max \{|y|, |y'|\} \\ \geq a|y - y'| + (1-a) (|y| + |y'|) \\ \geq |y-y'| \end{aligned}$$

if $0 < a < 1$. Since T commutes with I and I is a linear isometry, it follows that all the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied but T and I each have an infinite number of fixed points.

REFERENCES

1. SESSA, S. On a Weak Commutativity Condition of Mappings in Fixed Point Considerations, Publ. Inst. Math., 32 (46) (1982), 149-153.
2. DAS, K.M. and NAIK, K.V. Common Fixed Point Theorems for Commuting Maps on a Metric Space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 77 (1979), 369-373.
3. GREGUŠ, Jr., M. A Fixed Point Theorem in Banach Space, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (5) 17-A (1980), 193-198.
4. KIRK, W.A. A Fixed Point Theorem for Mappings Which do not Increase Distances, Amer. Math Monthly, 72(1965), 1004-1006.
5. WONG, Ch. S. On Kannan Maps, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1975), 105-111.
6. DUGUNDIJ, J. and GRANAS, A. Fixed Point Theory I, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsawa (1982).
7. FISHER, B. Common Fixed Points on a Banach Space, Chung Juan J., XI (1982), 12-15.


Advances in
Operations Research
Advances in
Decision Sciences
Journal of
Applied Mathematics
Algebra
Journal of
Probability and Statistics
The Scientific
World Journal
International Journal of
Differential Equations
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of
Combinatorics
Advances in
Mathematical Physics
Journal of
Complex Analysis
Journal of
Mathematics
Mathematical Problems
in Engineering
Abstract and
Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in
Nature and Society
International
Journal of
Mathematics and
Mathematical
Sciences
Journal of
Discrete Mathematics
Journal of
Function Spaces
International Journal of
Stochastic Analysis
Journal of
Optimization