

## ON A PARAMETRIZATION OF MINIMAL IMMERSIONS OF $R^2$ INTO $S^5$

KATSUEI KENMOTSU

(Received January 31, 1974)

**1. Introduction.** In [3], the author has studied minimal immersions of a surface into a space form and found some fundamental formulas for the Laplacian of scalar invariants of such immersions.

In [2], T. Itoh has constructed a 1-parameter family of minimal immersions of a Euclidean plane into  $S^5$ .

The purpose of this paper is to give a complete description for minimal immersions of the Euclidean plane and a flat torus in  $S^5$ . Let  $R^2$  be the oriented Euclidean plane with the standard metric and  $S^5$  the unit sphere in  $R^6$ . By  $[\Psi]$  we denote an equivalence class of a minimal immersion  $\Psi: R^2 \rightarrow S^5$  by isometries of  $S^5$ . We will prove the following theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between the set of  $[\Psi]$ 's and a 2-dimensional sphere.*

The correspondence is given by (9) of § 3. Let  $O$  be the origin of  $R^6$  and  $\vec{Ox}$  the ray from  $O$  passing through a point  $x \in R^6$ . Then it is known that the cone

$$O\Psi(R^2) = \text{the union of } \vec{Ox} \text{ with } x \in \Psi(R^2)$$

is also minimal in the Euclidean space  $R^6$ . By Hsiang [1], we shall call  $\Psi$  real algebraic if  $O\Psi(R^2)$  is a real algebraic cone.

**THEOREM 2.**  *$\Psi$  induces the minimal immersion of the flat torus into  $S^5$  if and only if  $\Psi$  is real algebraic. Moreover, there are infinite numbers of such immersions.*

**2. Preliminaries.** Terminologies and notations used in this paper are the same as in [3]. Let  $x: M \rightarrow S^5$  be an isometric minimal immersion of some Riemann surface with a Riemannian metric into  $S^5$ . Let  $e_A$ ,  $1 \leq A, B, \dots \leq 5$ , be orthonormal frame fields in a neighborhood of  $M$  such that  $e_k$ ,  $1 \leq k, l, \dots \leq 2$ , are tangent to  $M$ . Let  $w_A, w_{AB}$  be the basic forms and the connection forms of  $S^5$ . Let  $h_{\alpha k l}$ ,  $3 \leq \alpha, \beta, \dots \leq 5$ , be the 2nd fundamental tensors for  $e_\alpha$ . By  $K_{(2)}, N_{(2)}$  and  $f_{(2)}$ , we denote the following non-negative scalar invariants on  $M$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{(2)} &= \sum_{\alpha} (h_{\alpha 11}^2 + h_{\alpha 12}^2), \\
 (1) \quad N_{(2)} &= \left( \sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 11}^2 \right) \left( \sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 12}^2 \right) - \left( \sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 11} h_{\alpha 12} \right)^2, \\
 f_{(2)} &= K_{(2)}^2 - 4N_{(2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can define the 3rd fundamental tensor  $h_{5i jk}$ . We set

$$(2) \quad K_{(3)} = h_{5111}^2 + h_{5112}^2.$$

Then  $K_{(3)}$  is also a scalar invariant. In [3], we have proved the following formulas (3), (4) and (5):

$$(3) \quad \Delta f_{(2)} = 2\{f_{(2)}K + |A_{(2)}|^2\},$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{4}\Delta K_{(2)} = -2N_{(2)} + KK_{(2)} + K_{(3)} + \sum_{\alpha=3}^4 (h_{\alpha 11,1}^2 + h_{\alpha 11,2}^2),$$

where  $K$  is the Gaussian curvature and  $A_{(2)} = 2 \sum_{\alpha} (h_{\alpha 11} + ih_{\alpha 12})(h_{\alpha 11,1} + ih_{\alpha 11,2})$ . In a neighborhood of a point with  $N_{(2)} \neq 0$ , we get

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta K_{(3)} = 3KK_{(3)} + 2(h_{5111,1}^2 + h_{5111,2}^2).$$

Now we shall construct another scalar invariant of the isometric minimal immersion  $x$ . Since  $M$  has the fixed orientation, the vector  $e_1 + ie_2$  is defined up to the transformation  $e_1 + ie_2 \rightarrow e_1^* + ie_2^* = e^{i\theta}(e_1 + ie_2)$ , where  $\theta$  is real. Under such a change, we have  $h_{\alpha 11} + ih_{\alpha 12} \rightarrow h_{\alpha 11}^* + ih_{\alpha 12}^* = e^{2i\theta}(h_{\alpha 11} + ih_{\alpha 12})$  and  $h_{5111} + ih_{5112} \rightarrow h_{5111}^* + ih_{5112}^* = e^{3i\theta}(h_{5111} + ih_{5112})$ . Thus for the fixed vector field  $e_3$ , we can define the following scalar invariant:

$$(6) \quad L = (h_{5111} + ih_{5112})^2 (h_{311} - ih_{312})^3.$$

We remark that the normal vector  $e_5$  is defined up to the sign, the 3rd osculating space being the 1-dimensional space. Therefore the function  $L$  is independent upon  $e_5$  and depends on the  $e_3$  and the orientation of  $M$ .

**3. Construction of minimal immersions.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a portion of an ellipsoid such that

$$(7) \quad \Sigma = \left\{ (t, u, v) \in R^3 : 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq u, 0 \leq v, u^2 + v^2 = 2t(1-t) \right\}.$$

In this section we shall give various minimal immersions of  $R^2$  into  $S^5$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be the skew-symmetric matrices such that, for  $(t, u, v) \in \Sigma$ ,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1-t} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{t} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{u}{\sqrt{t}} \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{1-t} & 0 & 0 & \frac{v}{\sqrt{1-t}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}} & \frac{-v}{\sqrt{1-t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1-t} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{t} & 0 & 0 & \frac{v}{\sqrt{t}} \\ 0 & -\sqrt{1-t} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-u}{\sqrt{1-t}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{v}{\sqrt{t}} & \frac{u}{\sqrt{1-t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we must read  $u/\sqrt{t} = 0$  and  $v/\sqrt{t} = 0$  if  $t = 0$ . Then we have  $PQ = QP$  by virtue of  $u^2 + v^2 = 2t(1-t)$ . We denote the eigenvalues of  $P$  and  $Q$  by  $\pm\sqrt{-1}\lambda_i$  and  $\pm\sqrt{-1}\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , respectively. We remark that  $\lambda_i$  or  $\mu_i$  may be zero. We can take an orthogonal matrix  $T = {}^t(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_5)$  such that

$$(8) \quad T^{-1}PT = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^{-1}QT = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$P_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_i \\ -\lambda_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_i \\ -\mu_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we can assume  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G} = \{T^{-1}(xP + yQ)T : (x, y) \in R^2\}$  is an abelian Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(6, R)$ . We consider  $G = \exp \mathfrak{G}$ .  $G$  is the Lie subgroup of  $SO(6)$  and isomorphic to  $T^d \times R^{2-d}$ ,  $0 \leq d \leq 2$ , where  $T^d$  is the  $d$ -dimensional torus. By an orbit of an action of  $G$ , we define a smooth map  $\Psi_{(t, u, v)}$  of  $R^2$  in  $R^5$  as follows:

$$(9) \quad \Psi_{(t,u,v)}(x, y) = \left( \sum_{s=0}^5 T_{0s} t_{sj}(x, y) \right),$$

where  $v_0 = (T_{00}, \dots, T_{05})$  and  $(t_{sj}(x, y)) \in G$ . It is clear that

$$(t_{sj}(x, y)) = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_i = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\lambda_i x + \mu_i y) & \sin(\lambda_i x + \mu_i y) \\ -\sin(\lambda_i x + \mu_i y) & \cos(\lambda_i x + \mu_i y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**PROPOSITION 1.**  $\Psi_{(t,u,v)}$  is an isometric minimal immersion of  $R^2$  in  $S^5$  such that  $N_{(2)} = t(1-t)$ ,  $K_{(3)} = u^2 + v^2$  and  $L = t^{3/2}(u+iv)^2$  for some normal vector  $e_3$ . Moreover if  $t > 0$ , the image is not contained in any lower dimensional linear subspace of  $R^6$ .

**PROOF.** We set  $\Psi_0 = \Psi_{(t,u,v)}$  and  $\Psi_A = (\sum_{s=0}^5 T_{As} t_{sj}(x, y))$ , where  $v_A = (T_{A0}, \dots, T_{A5})$ ,  $0 \leq A \leq 5$ .  $\Psi_0(R^2) \subset S^5$  is clear. It is easily verified that

$$(10) \quad {}^t(d\Psi_0, \dots, d\Psi_5) = (Pdx + Qdy)^t(\Psi_0, \dots, \Psi_5).$$

Therefore we can get  $\partial\Psi_0/\partial x = \Psi_1$  and  $\partial\Psi_0/\partial y = \Psi_2$ . Hence  $\Psi_0$  is an isometric immersion of  $R^2$  into  $S^5$ . Since we can see  $\{\Psi_\alpha, 3 \leq \alpha \leq 5\}$  are unit normal vectors on  $\Psi_0(R^2)$ , (10) is the Frenet-Borůvka formula for  $\Psi_0$ . It follows that we have

$$(11) \quad (h_{3ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{t} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (h_{4ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{1-t} \\ \sqrt{1-t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (h_{5ij}) = 0.$$

The formula (11) shows that  $\Psi_0$  is a minimal immersion. If  $t > 0$ , since we have  $N_{(2)} = t(1-t) > 0$  on  $R^2$ ,  $\Psi_0(R^2)$  is not contained in any lower dimensional linear subspace of  $R^6$ . From (10), we get

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{t} w_{35} &= uw_1 + vw_2, \\ \sqrt{1-t} w_{45} &= vw_1 - uw_2, \\ w_{12} &= w_{34} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (12) we get  $K_{(3)} = u^2 + v^2$  and  $L = t^{3/2}(u+iv)^2$  for  $e_3$ . This completes a proof of Proposition 1.

**PROPOSITION 2.** (i) If  $0 < t < 1/2$  and  $uv \neq 0$ , then we have  $[\Psi_{(t,u,v)}] = [\Psi_{(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v})}]$  if and only if  $(t, u, v) = (\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ ;

(ii) If  $0 < t < 1/2$ , then we have  $[\Psi_{(t,u,v)}] = [\Psi_{(t,u_0,0)}]$ , where  $u_0 = \sqrt{2t(1-t)}$ .

(iii) If  $t = 0$ , then we have the Clifford torus and if  $t = 1/2$  then we have  $[\Psi_{(1/2,u,v)}] = [\Psi_{(1/2, \sqrt{1/2}, 0)}]$ .

**PROOF.** Case (i): We suppose  $[\Psi_{(t,u,v)}] = [\Psi_{(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v})}]$ . Since we have

$f_{(2)} = \tilde{f}_{(2)}$ , where  $\tilde{f}_{(2)}$  denotes the quantity of  $\Psi_{(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v})}$  corresponding to the  $f_{(2)}$  of  $\Psi_{(t, u, v)}$ , we have  $t(1-t) = \tilde{t}(1-\tilde{t})$  and  $0 \leq t, \tilde{t} \leq 1/2$ , hence we get  $t = \tilde{t}$ . If  $0 < t < 1/2$ ,  $e_3$  and  $e_4$  in the 2nd osculating space satisfying (11) are determined up to the sign. In fact let  $e_A$  and  $\tilde{e}_A$  be the frame fields such that (11) and (12) are satisfied. Let  $\tilde{e}_1 + i\tilde{e}_2 = e^{-i\theta}(e_1 + ie_2)$ . Then we have  $\tilde{e}_3 + i\tilde{e}_4 = e^{-2i\theta}(e_3 + ie_4)$  and  $\tilde{h}_{311}^2 - \tilde{h}_{412}^2 = \cos 4\theta(h_{311}^2 - h_{412}^2)$ , where  $\tilde{h}_{3ij}$  and  $\tilde{h}_{4ij}$  are the components of the 2nd fundamental tensors for  $\tilde{e}_A$ . Since we have  $\tilde{h}_{311}^2 - \tilde{h}_{412}^2 = h_{311}^2 - h_{412}^2 = 2t - 1 < 0$  in this case, we get  $\theta = (k/2)\pi$  and  $k$  is an integer. It follows that we have  $L = \pm \tilde{L}$  and so  $(u + iv)^4 = (\tilde{u} + i\tilde{v})^4$ . Making use of  $u^2 + v^2 = \tilde{u}^2 + \tilde{v}^2 = 2t(1-t)$ ,  $uv \neq 0$  and  $u, \tilde{u}, v, \tilde{v} \geq 0$ , we have  $u = \tilde{u}$  and  $v = \tilde{v}$ .

Case (ii): Let  $e_A$  be the frame field of  $\Psi_{(t, 0, u_0)}$  satisfying (11) and (12). We set  $f_1 = e_2, f_2 = -e_1, f_3 = -e_3, f_4 = -e_4$  and  $f_5 = e_5$ . With respect to these new frame fields, we have  $\Psi_{(t, u_0, 0)}$ .

Case (iii): If  $t = 0$ , then  $\Psi_{(0, 0, 0)}$  is the Clifford torus. When  $t = 1/2$ , we have shown  $[\Psi_{(1/2, u, v)}] = [\Psi_{(1/2, \sqrt{1/2}, 0)}]$  by the Theorem 3 of [3]. Thus we have proved the Proposition 2.

**4. Parametrization of minimal immersions.** We shall prove the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $x: R^2 \rightarrow S^5$  be an isometric minimal immersion. Then there exists a  $(t, u, v) \in \Sigma$  such that  $x \in [\Psi_{(t, u, v)}]$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $K = 0$ , by the Gauss equation, we have  $K_{(2)} = 1$ . It follows that, by (1) and (3), we get  $\Delta(-N_{(2)}) \geq 0$ , hence  $-N_{(2)}$  is subharmonic on  $R^2$  and non-positive. We claim  $-N_{(2)} = \text{constant}$  on  $R^2$ . This is proved as follows: There exists a point  $p_0 \in R^2$  such that  $-N_{(2)}(p_0) \geq -N_{(2)}(p)$  for all  $p \in R^2$  by virtue of the maximum principle of the subharmonic functions and the boundedness of  $-N_{(2)}$ . Since  $\Delta$  is an elliptic operator, by the well-known theorem,  $-N_{(2)}$  must be a constant function on  $R^2$ . If  $N_{(2)} = 0$ ,  $x(R^2)$  is contained in a 3-dimensional space of constant curvature 1 in  $S^5$  and therefore  $x \in [\Psi_{(0, 0, 0)}]$ . In the case of  $N_{(2)} > 0$ , vectors  $\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 11} e_{\alpha}$  and  $\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 12} e_{\alpha}$  are linearly independent on  $R^2$ . Let

$$(13) \quad e_3^* = \frac{\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 11} e_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 11}^2}}, \quad e_4^* = \frac{\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 12} e_{\alpha} - (\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 12} e_{\alpha}, e_3^*) e_3^*}{\|\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 12} e_{\alpha} - (\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 12} e_{\alpha}, e_3^*) e_3^*\|}$$

and  $e_5^*$  is the unit normal vector field which span the 3rd osculating space. Then we have

$$(14) \quad (h_{4ij}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_{412}^* \\ h_{412}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_{5ij}^* = 0,$$

where we may assume  $h_{412}^* \geq 0$ . We take  $e_3^*$  such that

$$(15) \quad (h_{3ij}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{311}^* & 0 \\ 0 & -h_{311}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where if necessary taking  $-e_3^*$ , we may assume  $h_{311}^* \geq 0$ . As (14) is valid for any orthonormal system  $\{e_i\}$ , it follows that we have obtained frame fields  $\{e_A^*\}$  such that (14) and (15) are valid at the same time. By the Gauss equation and the constancy of  $N_{(2)}$ ,  $h_{311}^*$  and  $h_{412}^*$  are also positive constant and  $h_{311}^{*2} + h_{412}^{*2} = 1$ , hence we can set  $h_{311}^* = \sqrt{t}$  and  $h_{412}^* = \sqrt{1-t}$ . If  $t > 1/2$ , we set  $e_3 = -e_4^*$ ,  $e_4 = e_3^*$ ,  $e_1 = (1/\sqrt{2})(e_1^* - e_2^*)$  and  $e_2 = (1/\sqrt{2})(e_1^* + e_2^*)$ . For the new frame fields, we have  $h_{311} = \sqrt{1-t}$ . By virtue of (14) and (15), we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{t} w_{35}^* &= h_{511}^* w_1^* + h_{512}^* w_2^*, \\ \sqrt{1-t} w_{45}^* &= h_{512}^* w_1^* - h_{511}^* w_2^*, \\ w_{12}^* &= w_{34}^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the last formula of (16), we have  $Dh_{\alpha ij}^* = 0$ . It follows from (4) that we have

$$(17) \quad h_{511}^{*2} + h_{512}^{*2} = 2t(1-t).$$

By (5), we get  $h_{5ij}^* = 0$ . From the definition of  $Dh_{5ij}^*$  and  $w_{12}^* = 0$ ,  $h_{5ij}^*$  are all constant. If necessary, taking  $-e_5^*$ , we may assume  $h_{511}^* \geq 0$ . By the same way as the proof of the case (ii) in the Proposition 2, we may also assume  $h_{512}^* \geq 0$ . Let  $u = h_{511}^*$  and  $v = h_{512}^*$ . Thus we have  $x = \Psi_{(t,u,v)}$  on some open set of  $R^2$ . Since  $x$  and  $\Psi_{(t,u,v)}$  are real analytic, we have  $x = \Psi_{(t,u,v)}$  on the whole plane  $R^2$ . q.e.d.

The proof of Theorem 1 now follows immediately from Propositions 1, 2 and 3. Thus (9) with (7) gives a parametrization of minimal immersions of  $R^2$  into  $S^5$ . At the same time we have also

**THEOREM 3.** *Any isometric minimal immersion of  $R^2$  into  $S^5$  is an orbit of the action of an abelian Lie subgroup in  $SO(6)$ .*

**5. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $\Psi = \Psi_{(t,u,v)}$ . By definition  $\Psi$  can be represented by the following equations, for  $(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) \in C^3$ ,

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} |Y_i|^2 = 1, & i = 1, 2, 3, \\ Y_1^{(\lambda_3\mu_2 - \lambda_3\mu_2)} Y_2^{(\lambda_1\mu_3 - \lambda_1\mu_3)} Y_3^{(\lambda_2\mu_1 - \lambda_2\mu_1)} = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Psi_{0j}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq 5$ , are the  $j$ -th component of  $\Psi_0$  in  $R^6$  and

$$Y_1 = \frac{\Psi_{00} + i\Psi_{01}}{T_{00} + iT_{01}}, \quad Y_2 = \frac{\Psi_{02} + i\Psi_{03}}{T_{02} + iT_{03}}, \quad Y_3 = \frac{\Psi_{04} + i\Psi_{05}}{T_{04} + iT_{05}}.$$

In fact, since we have  $Y_i = \exp \sqrt{-1}(\lambda_i x + \mu_i y)$ , (18) is directly verified. It follows from (18) that  $\Psi$  is real algebraic if and only if there exist integers  $m_i$  such that

$$(19) \quad \left| \begin{matrix} \lambda_1 & \mu_1 \\ \lambda_2 & \mu_2 \end{matrix} \right| : \left| \begin{matrix} \lambda_1 & \mu_1 \\ \lambda_3 & \mu_3 \end{matrix} \right| : \left| \begin{matrix} \lambda_2 & \mu_2 \\ \lambda_3 & \mu_3 \end{matrix} \right| = m_1 : m_2 : m_3 .$$

If  $\Psi$  induces a minimal immersion of the flat torus into  $S^5$ , there is a set of points  $(a, c)$ ,  $(b, d)$  such that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_i a + \mu_i c &= p_i, \\ \lambda_i b + \mu_i d &= q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $ad - bc \neq 0$  and  $\{p_i, q_i\}$  are integers. By the direct calculation, we get (19) with  $m_1 = (p_1 q_2 - q_1 p_2)$ ,  $m_2 = (p_1 q_3 - q_1 p_3)$  and  $m_3 = (p_2 q_3 - q_2 p_3)$ .

We shall study the converse problem. We may assume  $\lambda_j \mu_i - \lambda_i \mu_j \neq 0$  for some  $i < j$ . For the simplicity, we set  $i = 1, j = 2$  and  $\lambda_1 x + \mu_1 y = \theta$  and  $\lambda_2 x + \mu_2 y = \tau$ . Then if we have (19), we get  $\lambda_3 x + \mu_3 y = -(m_3/m_1)\theta + (m_2/m_1)\tau$  and hence  $\Psi$  induces a minimal immersion of the flat torus into  $S^5$ . The proof of the former part of the Theorem 2 completes and the latter half follows from the following section. q.e.d.

THE ANOTHER PROOF OF THEOREM 2.  $G$  is the closed Lie subgroup of  $SO(6)$  if and only if the condition (19) is satisfied. Therefore by the Hsiang's Theorem [1] and the Theorem 3, Theorem 2 follows.

**6. The case of  $u = v$  or  $v = 0$ .** At the last section, we shall give explicitly constructed 1-parameter families of minimal immersions.

(i) In the case of  $u = v$ , we can get the following 1-parameter family  $\Psi_{(t)}^+ = \Psi_{(t, \sqrt{t(1-t)}, \sqrt{t(1-t)})}$ :

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_{(t)}^+(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(2-t)}} (\exp \sqrt{-1}(\sqrt{1+k^2}x + \sqrt{1-k^2}y), \\ &\quad \exp \sqrt{-1}(\sqrt{1-k^2}x + \sqrt{1+k^2}y), \sqrt{2(1-t)}) \\ &\quad \times \exp \sqrt{-1}(x - y), \quad \text{where } k^2 = \sqrt{t(2-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $v = 0$ , we set  $\Psi_{(t)}^0(x, y) = \Psi_{(t, \sqrt{2t(1-t)}, 0)}$ . Then we get

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_{(t)}^0(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+t)}} (\exp \sqrt{-1}(\sqrt{1-t}x + \sqrt{1+t}y), \\ &\quad \exp \sqrt{-1}(\sqrt{1-t}x - \sqrt{1+t}y), \sqrt{2t} \exp \sqrt{-1}\sqrt{2}x). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that  $\Psi_{(t)}^+$  and  $\Psi_{(t)}^0$  were constructed by T. Itoh [2]. If we set  $\sqrt{1+k^2}x + \sqrt{1-k^2}y = \theta$  and  $\sqrt{1-k^2}x + \sqrt{1+k^2}y = \tau$ , then (21) is

simply represented by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(2-t)}}(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\tau}, \sqrt{2(1-t)}e^{i\frac{\theta-\tau}{\sqrt{2t}}}).$$

Thus  $\Psi_{(t)}^+$  is the algebraic minimal immersion of  $S^1 \times S^1$  into  $S^5$  if and only if  $\sqrt{2t}$  is a rational number, and so there exist infinitely many algebraic minimal tori.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Y. HSIANG, Remarks on closed minimal submanifolds in the standard riemannian  $m$ -sphere, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 1 (1967), 257-267.
- [2] T. ITOH, On minimal surfaces in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, to appear.
- [3] K. KENMOTSU, On compact minimal surfaces with non negative Gaussian curvature in a space of constant curvature 1, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 25 (1973), 469-479; II, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION  
 TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
 KAWAUCHI, SENDAI, JAPAN