H. Tanaka Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 38 (1970), 139–144

ON A Π_1^o **SET OF POSITIVE MEASURE**

HISAO TANAKA

Dedicated to Professor Katuzi Ono for his 60th birthday anniversary

Introduction. Some basis results for arithmetic, hyperarithmetic (*HA*) or Π_1^1 sets which have positive measure (or which are not meager, i.e., of the second Baire category) have been obtained by several authors.¹⁾ For example, every non-meager Σ_3^0 set must have a recursive element (Shoenfield-Hinman, Hinman [2]) but there exists a non-meager Π_3^0 set (as well as of measure 1) that contains no recursive element (Shoenfield [7]), and every Σ_n^0 set (i.e., arithmetic set) of positive measure contains an arithmetic element (Sacks [5], and Tanaka [12]).²⁾ In view of these results, Hinman [2] asked whether a Σ_3^0 set of positive measure must contain a recursive element. The main aim of this note is to give a negative answer for this question; thus, *there is a* Π_1^0 *set of positive measure with no recursive element* (§1). In §2, we shall mention some remarks on hierarchy problems.

§1. Answer for the question.

LEMMA 1. For each positive integer k, the measure of every Baire's interval of order k is not greater than 1/k(k+1).

Proof. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of positive integers. We define $p_k = [a_1, \dots, a_k]$ as follows:

(1)
$$\begin{cases} p_0 = [\phi] = 1, \quad p_1 = [a_1] = a_1, \\ p_k = [a_1, \cdots, a_k] = [a_1, \cdots, a_{k-1}]a_k + [a_1, \cdots, a_{k-2}] \quad (k \ge 2), \\ = p_{k-1}a_k + p_{k-2}. \end{cases}$$

Further, let $q_0 = 0$ and $q_k = [a_2, \dots, a_k]$ $(k \ge 1)$. Then, by (1), we have

Received October 28, 1968

¹⁾ In the present paper, sets means subsets of Baire's zero-space N^N . Measure means the Lebesgue measure, and we shall write $\mu(E)$ for the measure of a measurable set E.

²⁾ An element of Baire's space is regarded as a 1-place number-theoretic function.

HISAO TANAKA

(2)
$$q_k = q_{k-1}a_k + q_{k-2} \ (k \ge 2).$$

It is well-known by elementary number theory that the following equations hold:

(3)
$$p_k q_{k-1} - p_{k-1} q_k = (-1)^k \quad (k \ge 1),$$

(4)
$$\frac{1}{|a_1|} + \frac{1}{|a_2|} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|a_k|} = \frac{q_{k-1}a_k + q_{k-2}}{p_{k-1}a_k + p_{k-2}} \text{ if } k \ge 2.$$

Let $\delta = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ be an arbitrary Baire's interval of order k. Then by (3) and (4), we have

$$\mu(\delta) = \left| \left(\frac{1}{|a_1|} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|a_{k-1}|} + \frac{1}{|a_k|} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{|a_1|} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|a_{k-1}|} + \frac{1}{|a_k+1|} \right) \right|$$

= 1/(p_{k-1}a_k + p_{k-2}) (p_{k-1}a_k + p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}).

Since $p_k \ge k$ for all $k \ge 1$, $\mu(\delta) \le \frac{1}{(2k-3)(3k-4)}$ if $k \ge 2$. Hence we have

(5)
$$\mu(\delta) \leq 1/k(k+1),$$

if $k \ge 3$. Obviously (5) holds for k = 1 or 2, too. (Q.E.D.)

In the following, a method by which one can evaluate the outermeasure of a countable set is available.

For each numbers p and e we shall define a set $M_{p,e}$ as follows:

$$\alpha \in M_{p,e} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x)_{x < p+e+1} (\exists y) [T_1(e, x, y) \& \alpha(x) = U(y)],$$

and let

$$M_p = \bigcup_{e=0}^{\infty} M_{p,e}$$

For each p and e, $M_{p,e}$ is either the empty set or a Baire's interval of order p + e + 1, and M_p is a \sum_{1}^{0} set which contains *all* recursive elements. By Lemma 1, we have

$$\mu(M_p) \leq \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} \mu(M_{p,e}) \leq \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(p+e+1)(p+e+2)} = \frac{1}{(p+1)}.$$

Thus we obtain the

THEOREM 2. There exists a \sum_{1}^{0} set $M (\subset N \times N^{N})$ such that each $M_{p} = \{\alpha : \langle p, \alpha \rangle \in M\}$ contains all recursive elements and satisfies the following condition:

140

$$\mu(M_p) \leq \frac{1}{p+1}^{3)}.$$

COROLLARY 3. There exists a Π_{1}^{0} set of positive measure that contains no recursive element.³⁾

This gives a negative answer for Hinman's problem. By a theorem obtained by Sacks [5] and the author [12] (see Introduction), any set obtained in Corollary 3 must contain an *arithmetic* element.

COROLLARY 4. There exists a \sum_{2}^{0} set of measure 1 which has no recursive element.

It follows from Shoenfield-Hinman's Theorem [2; p. 1] (see Introduction) that such a set as in Corollary 4 is an example of arithmetic, meager (first Baire category) sets having measure $1.4^{(3,5)}$

§2. Some remarks. 1°) Evidently, there is a \sum_{1}^{0} set *E* of measure 1 such that $E \Rightarrow \Re$, where \Re is the set of all 1-place recursive functions.

2°) Contrasting with Corollary 4, if E is a Π_2^0 set of measure 1 then E contains a recursive element. For, since every \sum_{1}^{0} set of measure 1 is an open dense set, E is co-meager (the complement of a meager set) and hence E is not meager. By the Shoenfield-Hinman Theorem, E contains a recursive element.

3°) There is a Π_1^{0} set consisting of a single element that is not arithmetical. (Spector [10; Corollary 2])

4°) It is known as Kripke-Feferman-Harrison's Theorem (e.g. Mathias [4; T 3200]) that every countable \sum_{1}^{1} set contains only HA elements. This can be proved, for example, by the fact that a non-empty \sum_{1}^{1} set with no HA element is dense-in-itself. The elements of a countable \sum_{1}^{1} set are not necessarily enumerated by a HA function, as is obvious; but, by the following proposition, the elements of a countable \triangle_{1}^{1} set can be enumerated by a HA function:

³⁾ N. Tsukada has pointed out that this result can be straightforwardly extended in the case of sets of level |a| for $a \in O$.

⁴⁾ The referee called my attention to this fact.

⁵⁾ Theorem 2, Corollaries 3 and 4 hold true for the case of the space 2^N (instead of N^N).

HISAO TANAKA

PROPOSITION 5. A countable $\sum_{i=1}^{1}$ set *E* can not contain *HA* elements of arbitrarily high degrees; that is, there is a *HA* function φ such that

$$(\forall \alpha)[\alpha \in E \rightarrow \alpha \leq_T \varphi].$$

Proof. By the Kripke-Feferman-Harrison Theorem, we have

$$(\forall \beta) (\exists a) [\beta \in E \to a \in O \& \beta \leq_T H_a],$$

where $\beta \leq_T A$ means that β is Turing reducible to A, namely β is recursive in A. Since E is $\sum_{i=1}^{1}$, the predicate described in the brackets is $\Pi_{i=1}^{1}$. Hence, by Kreisel's Lemma [3; Lemma 1], there exists a HA functional $\Psi \in N^{N^{N}}$ such that

$$(\forall \beta) [\beta \in E \to \Psi \langle \beta \rangle \in O \& \beta \leq_T H_{\Psi \langle \beta \rangle}].$$

The set $\{\Psi \langle \beta \rangle \colon \beta \in E\}$ is a $\sum_{i=1}^{1}$ subset of O. Therefore, by a fact known as a direct consequence of Spector [9; Theorem 1], there exists a number $b \in O$ such that

$$|\Psi\langle\beta\rangle| \le |b|$$
 for all $\beta \in E$.

Thus we obtain the following implication:

$$\beta \in E \to \beta \leq_T H_b.$$

This completes the proof.

5°) It is a difficult work that one performs any enumeration of a countable CA (i.e., co-analytic) subset of N^{N} . Now one knows Mansfield-Solovay's Theorem [11; Appendix II], [4; T3206] and [6]: Let E be a $\sum_{i=1}^{1} \alpha$ set (α is a code of E). If E has a non constructible-from- α element, then E contains a perfect subset. By the theorem, we shall try to do this work for a countable PCA set, thus:

For the sake of simplicity, we shall deal with effective case, i.e., with a countable \sum_{1}^{1} set *E*, instead of a classical *PCA* set. By the above theorem we have

(1) $E \subset L \cap N^N.$

Since E is $\sum_{i=1}^{1}$, by Shoenfield's Theorem [4; T3101] together with (1) E is a constructible set. Since $\alpha \in L \cap N^N \to \alpha \in F^* \aleph_1^L \subset F^* \aleph_1$, we have

142

$$E \in L \& E \subset F^{\prime \prime} \aleph_1 \& \operatorname{Card}(E) = \aleph_0.$$

(L and F are Gödel's.) Hence by [8; p. 317] we have

$$E \in F^{\prime\prime} \aleph_1$$
; i.e., $Od(E) < \aleph_1$.

Thus we obtain

PROPOSITION 6.⁶⁾ Let *E* be a countable \sum_{1}^{1} set. Then *E* itself is constructible and $Od(E) < \aleph_1$.

Let $\sigma = Od(E)$. Then $(\forall \beta) [\beta \in E \to Or(\beta) < \sigma]$. Note that $Or(\beta) \leq Od(\beta)$. Let φ be a code for the countable ordinal σ . We shall inductively define α as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0) &= (\mu i)_{i \in \omega} \left(\exists \beta \right) \left[\omega \times \omega \cdot F(\varphi_i) = \beta \& \beta \in E \right], \\ \alpha(n+1) &= (\mu i)_{i \in \omega} (\exists \beta) \left[\omega \times \omega \cdot F(\varphi_i) = \beta \& \beta \in E \& (\forall k)_{k \le n} \left(i \neq \alpha(k) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can see that α is $\Delta_3^1 - in - \varphi$. Let $\beta_n = \omega \times \omega \cdot F(\varphi_{\alpha(n)})$. $(\beta_n$ is a different notation from φ_i .) Then $E = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots\}$. Now, since

 $\beta_n(x) = y \longleftrightarrow (\exists \varepsilon) (\exists \beta) [M(\varphi, \varepsilon) \& A(\varphi, \varepsilon, \beta, \alpha(n)) \& \beta(x) = y],$

it is $\sum_{3}^{1} - in - \varphi$ and hence $\Delta_{3}^{1} - in - \varphi$. Consequently, *E* can be enumerated by a $\Delta_{3}^{1} - in - \varphi$ function. We do not know, however, what φ is.

If φ is a constructible function (e.g., if $\aleph_1^L = \aleph_1$ then it is the case), then

$$(\exists \varphi) [\varphi \in L \cap N^N \& W(\varphi) \& (\forall \beta) [\beta \in E \to (\exists i) [Or(\beta) < \varphi_i])].$$

Hence we can choose a Δ_3^1 function φ satisfying the bracketed condition. After all, *E* can be enumerated by a Δ_3^1 function.

References

- [1] J.W. Addison, Some consequences of the axiom of constructibility, Fund. Math., 46 (1959), 337–357.
- [2] P.G. Hinman, Some applications of forcing to hierarchy problems in arithmetic, to appear.
- [3] G. Kreisel, The axiom of choice and the class of hyperarithmetic functions, Indag. Math., 24 (1962), 308–319.
- [4] A.R.D. Mathias, A survey of recent results in set theory, Mimeographed note, Stanford University, (1968).

⁶⁾ This proposition is due to Y. Sampei.

⁷⁾ We use freely some results and notations in Addison [1].

HISAO TANAKA

- [5] G.E. Sacks, Measure-theoretic uniformity in recursion theory and set theory, to appear. Summary of results in Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967), 169-174.
- [6] Y. Sampei, A proof of Mansfield's Theorem by forcing method, to appear.
- [7] J.R. Shoenfield, The class of recursive functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 9 (1958), 690-692.
- [8] , Mathematical Logic, Addison-Wesley Company (1967).
- [9] C. Spector, Recursive well-orderings, J. Symbolic Logic, 20 (1955), 151-163.
- [10] , Hyperarithmetical quantifiers, Fund. Math., 48 (1960), 313-320.
- [11] R. Solovay, On the cardinality of $\sum_{i=1}^{1}$ sets of reals, to appear.
- [12] H. Tanaka, Some results in the effective descriptive set theory, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., Ser. A, 3 (1967), 11-52.

Hosei University