On a theorem of Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor on choosability of complete bipartite graphs

Alexandr Kostochka *

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801 and Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia kostochk@math.uiuc.edu

Submitted: April 10, 2002; Accepted: August 13, 2002. MR Subject Classifications: 05C15, 05C65

Abstract

Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor found a nice correspondence between the minimum order of a complete bipartite graph that is not r-choosable and the minimum number of edges in an r-uniform hypergraph that is not 2-colorable (in the ordinary sense). In this note we use their ideas to derive similar correspondences for complete k-partite graphs and complete k-uniform k-partite hypergraphs.

1 Introduction

Let m(r, k) denote the minimum number of edges in an r-uniform hypergraph with chromatic number greater than k and N(k, r) denote the minimum number of vertices in a k-partite graph with list chromatic number greater than r.

Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor [6, p. 129] proved the following correspondence between m(r, 2) and N(2, r).

Theorem 1 For every $r \ge 2$, $m(r, 2) \le N(2, r) \le 2m(r, 2)$.

This nice result shows close relations between ordinary hypergraph 2-coloring and list coloring of complete bipartite graphs. Note that m(r, 2) was studied in [2, 3, 4, 9, 10]. Using known bounds on m(r, 2), Theorem 1 yields the corresponding bounds for N(2, r):

$$c \ 2^r \sqrt{\frac{r}{\ln r}} \le N(2,r) \le C \ 2^r r^2.$$

^{*}This work was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0099608 and the Dutch-Russian Grant NWO-047-008-006.

Theorem 1 can be extended in a natural way in two directions: to complete k-partite graphs and to k-uniform k-partite hypergraphs. In this note we present these extensions (using the ideas of Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor).

A vertex t-coloring of a hypergraph H is panchromatic if each of the t colors is used on every edge of G. Thus, an ordinary 2-coloring is panchromatic. Some results on the existence of panchromatic colorings for hypergraphs with few edges can be found in [8]. Let p(r, k) denote the minimum number of edges in an r-uniform hypergraph not admitting any panchromatic k-coloring. Note that p(r, 2) = m(r, 2). The first extension of Theorem 1 is the following.

Theorem 2 For every $r \ge 2$ and $k \ge 2$, $p(r,k) \le N(k,r) \le k \ p(r,k)$.

It follows from Alon's results in [1] that for some $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ and every $r \ge 2$ and $k \ge 2$,

$$\exp\{c_1 r/k\} \le N(k,r) \le k \exp\{c_2 r/k\}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2 we get reasonable bounds on p(r, k) for fixed k and large r:

 $\exp\{c_1 r/k\}/k \le p(r,k) \le k \exp\{c_2 r/k\}.$

Note that the lower bound on p(r, k) with $c_1 = 1/4$ follows also from Theorem 3 of the seminal paper [5] by Erdős and Lovász.

We say that a k-uniform hypergraph G is k-partite, if V(G) can be partitioned into k sets so that every edge contains exactly one vertex from every part. Let Q(k, r) denote the minimum number of vertices in a k-partite k-uniform hypergraph with list chromatic number greater than r. Note that Q(2, r) = N(2, r).

Theorem 3 For every $r \ge 2$ and $k \ge 2$, $m(r,k) \le Q(k,r) \le k m(r,k)$.

From [4] and [7] we know that

$$c_1 k^r \left(\frac{r}{\ln r}\right)^{1-1/\lfloor 1+\log_2 k\rfloor} \le m(r,k) \le c_2 k^r r^2 \log k$$

Thus, Theorem 3 yields that

$$c_1 k^r \left(\frac{r}{\ln r}\right)^{1-1/\lfloor 1+\log_2 k\rfloor} \le Q(k,r) \le c_2 k^{r+1} r^2 \log k$$

2 Proof of Theorem 2

Let H = (V, E) be an *r*-uniform hypergraph not admitting any panchromatic *k*-coloring with $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{p(r,k)}\}$. Consider the complete *k*-partite graph G = (W, A) with parts W_1, \ldots, W_k and $W_i = \{w_{i,1}, \ldots, w_{i,|E|}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The ground set for lists will be V. Recall that every e_i is an *r*-subset of V. For every $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $j = 1, \ldots, |E|$, assign to $w_{i,j}$ the list $L(w_{i,j}) = e_j$. Assume that G has a coloring f from the lists. Since G is a complete k-partite graph, every color v is used on at most one part. Then f produces a k-coloring g_f of V as follows: we let $g_f(v)$ be equal to the index i such that $v = f(w_{i,j})$ for some j or be equal to 1 if there is no such $w_{i,j}$ at all. Since for every j all vertices in $\{w_{1,j}, w_{2,j}, \ldots, w_{k,j}\}$ must get different colors, g_f is a panchromatic k-coloring of H, a contradiction. This proves that $N(k, r) \leq k p(r, k)$.

Now, consider a complete k-partite graph G = (W, A) with parts W_1, \ldots, W_k and |W| < p(r, k). Let L be an arbitrary r-uniform list assignment for W. Let H = (V, E) be the hypergraph with $V = \bigcup_{w \in W} L(w)$ and $E = \{L(w) \mid w \in W\}$. Since |E| = |W| < p(r, k), there exists a panchromatic k-coloring g of H. Define the coloring f_g of W as follows: if $w \in W_i$, choose in the edge L(w) of H any vertex v with g(v) = i and let $f_g(w) = v$. Then vertices in different W_i cannot get the same color, and f is a coloring from the lists of vertices in G. This proves that $N(k, r) \ge p(r, k)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 3

Let H = (V, E) be an *r*-uniform hypergraph not admitting any *k*-coloring with $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{m(r,k)}\}$. Consider the complete *k*-partite *k*-uniform hypergraph G = (W, A) with parts W_1, \ldots, W_k and $W_i = \{w_{i,1}, \ldots, w_{i,|E|}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The ground set for lists will be *V*. Recall that every e_i is an *r*-subset of *V*. For every $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $j = 1, \ldots, |E|$, assign $w_{i,j}$ the list $L(w_{i,j}) = e_j$.

Assume that G has a coloring f from the lists. Note that no color v is present on every W_i , since otherwise G would have an edge with all vertices of color v. Thus, f produces a k-coloring g_f of V as follows: we let $g_f(v)$ be equal to the smallest i such that v is not a color of any vertex in W_i . Assume that g_f is not a proper coloring, i.e., that some e_j is monochromatic of some color i under g_f . But some $v' \in e_j$ must be $f(w_{i,j})$, and therefore $g_f(v') \neq i$, a contradiction. This proves that $Q(k, r) \leq k m(r, k)$.

Now, consider a complete k-partite k-uniform hypergraph G = (W, A) with parts W_1, \ldots, W_k and |W| < Q(r, k). Let L be an arbitrary r-uniform list for W. Let H = (V, E) be the hypergraph with $V = \bigcup_{w \in W} L(w)$ and $E = \{L(w) \mid w \in W\}$. Since |E| = |W| < Q(r, k), there exists a k-coloring g of H. Define the coloring f_g of W as follows: if $w \in W_i$, choose the next number i' after i in the cyclic order $1, 2, \ldots, k$ such that there is a vertex $v' \in L(w)$ with g(v') = i' and let $f_g(w) = v'$. Since L(w) is not monochromatic in g, we have $i' \neq i$. On the other hand, no v with g(v) = i' will be used to color a $w \in W_{i'}$. Thus f_g is a proper coloring of G. This proves that $Q(k, r) \geq m(r, k)$.

Acknowledgment. I thank both referees for the helpful comments.

References

[1] N. Alon, Choice number of graphs: a probabilistic approach, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, **1** (1992), 107–114.

- [2] J. Beck, On 3-chromatic hypergraphs, *Discrete Math.* **24** (1978), 127–137.
- [3] P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, I, Nordisk. Mat. Tidskrift, 11 (1963), 5–10.
- [4] P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, II, Acta Math. Hungar., 15 (1964), 445–447.
- [5] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, Problems and Results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions, In *Infinite and Finite Sets*, A. Hajnal et. al., editors, Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, **11**, North Holland, Amsterdam, 609–627, 1975.
- [6] P. Erdős, A.L. Rubin and H. Taylor, Choosability in graphs, Proc. West Coast Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Congressus Numerantium XXVI (1979), 125–157.
- [7] A.V. Kostochka. Coloring uniform hypergraphs with few colors, submitted.
- [8] A.V. Kostochka and D. R. Woodall, Density conditions for panchromatic colourings of hypergraphs, *Combinatorica*, 21 (2001), 515–541,
- [9] J. Radhakrishnan and A. Srinivasan, Improved bounds and algorithms for hypergraph two-coloring, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, **16** (2000), 4–32.
- [10] J. Spencer, Coloring n-sets red and blue, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 30 (1981), 112– 113.