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#### Abstract

A well-known result due to Ingham [3] shows that the system of complex exponentials $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$ is a basic sequence in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ whenever $\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n} \geq \gamma>1$. In this note, we show that the system need not be basic if $\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}>1$.


1. Introduction. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of real numbers. A wellknown result due to Ingham [3] states that if $\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n} \geq \gamma>1$ then the series $\sum c_{n} e^{i \lambda_{n} t}$ converges in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ whenever $\sum\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty$ and, moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sum\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \leq\left\|\sum c_{n} e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\|^{2} \leq B \sum\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $A$ and $B$ are positive constants depending only on $\gamma$. (That the right-hand inequality is valid whenever $\gamma>0$ appears to have been first proved by Titchmarsh [10].)

Ingham showed that his result is the best possible in the sense that if $\gamma=1$ then the left-hand inequality cannot obtain. A counterexample is provided by the sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ where

$$
\lambda_{n}= \begin{cases}n-1 / 4, & n>0  \tag{2}\\ n+1 / 4, & n<0\end{cases}
$$

It follows readily from (1) that the system of exponentials $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$ is a basic sequence in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$, that is, a basis for its closed linear span $S$. Accordingly, each function $f$ in $S$ has a unique representation

$$
f(t)=\sum c_{n} e^{i \lambda_{n} t} \quad \text { (in the mean). }
$$

The study of such nonharmonic Fourier series was initiated by Paley and Wiener [5] who showed that the system $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$ is a basis for $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ whenever the $\lambda_{n}$ are sufficiently close to the integers. Since then the theory has been generalized in many ways and in many different directions (see, e.g., $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 1}]$ and the references therein).

Condition (1), while tractable, is a stringent requirement to place on a basic sequence. Nevertheless, we show in Theorem 1 that the right-hand inequality must obtain for every basic sequence of exponentials. At present, there is no known example of such a sequence for which the left-hand inequality does not also obtain. Theorem 2 further dramatizes the strength of Ingham's result by showing that the slightly weaker separation condition $\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}>1$ cannot even guarantee that the system $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$ is a basic sequence in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$.

[^0]THEOREM 1. If $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of real numbers for which the system of exponentials $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$ is a basic sequence in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$, then the inequality $\left\|\sum c_{n} e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\|^{2} \leq B \sum\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}$ is valid for some constant $B$ and all square summable sequences of scalars $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$.

THEOREM 2. There exists a sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ of real numbers satisfying $\mu_{n+1}-$ $\mu_{n}>1$ such that $\left\{e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\}$ is exact in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ and yet not a basis.

Recall that $\left\{e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\}$ is said to be exact if it is complete but fails to be complete upon the removal of a single term.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. We need only show that the $\lambda_{n}$ are separated, i.e., that $\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n} \geq \gamma$ for some positive constant $\gamma$; the result will then follow from [10].

Let $S$ be the closure in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ of the linear span of the system $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$, and let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}, f_{n} \in S^{*}$, be the associated sequence of coefficient functionals. Then $\left\|e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\|\left\|f_{n}\right\| \leq M$ for some constant $M$ and all values of $n$ (see, e.g., $[9, \mathrm{p} .20]$ ). Since each $\lambda_{n}$ is real, $\left\|e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\|=1$ and hence $\left\|f_{n}\right\| \leq M$. Now $f_{n}\left(e^{i \lambda_{n} t}-e^{i \lambda_{n+1} t}\right)=1$ so that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|\left\|e^{i \lambda_{n} t}-e^{i \lambda_{n+1} t}\right\| \geq 1$. Accordingly, $\left\|e^{i \lambda_{n} t}-e^{i \lambda_{n+1} t}\right\| \geq 1 / M$ and the existence of $\gamma$ follows.
3. Proof of Theorem 2. The system $\left\{e^{i_{n} t}\right\}$ where the $\lambda_{n}$ are given by (1), is known to be exact in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)[4$, p. 67]. We begin by showing that it is not a basis. Suppose it were. Then we could write

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\sum c_{n} e^{i \lambda_{n} t} \quad \text { (in the mean). } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the $c_{n}$, we shall make use of the Paley-Wiener space $P$ consisting of all entire functions of exponential type at most $\pi$ that are square integrable on the real axis. The inner product of two functions $F$ and $G$ in $P$ is, by definition,

$$
(F, G)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \overline{G(x)} d x
$$

By virtue of the Paley-Wiener theorem, the complex Fourier transform

$$
f(t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{i z t} d t
$$

is an isometric isomorphism from $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ onto all of $P$. The exponentials $e^{i \lambda_{n} t}$ are sent to the "reproducing" functions

$$
K_{n}(z)=\frac{\sin \pi\left(z-\lambda_{n}\right)}{\pi\left(z-\lambda_{n}\right)}
$$

which then consistute a basis for $P$. Let $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ be biorthogonal to $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$. When the Fourier transform is applied to (3), we obtain

$$
\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}=\sum c_{n} K_{n}(z)
$$

where $c_{n}=\left((\sin \pi z) / \pi z, g_{n}\right)=g_{n}(0)$.
Let

$$
F(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{\lambda_{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

It was shown by Levinson $[4$, p. 67] that

$$
F(z)=c \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\cos ^{-1 / 2} \frac{1}{2} t\right) e^{i z t} d t
$$

Since $\cos ^{-1 / 2} \frac{1}{2} t$ is integrable over $(-\pi, \pi)$, it follows that $F(z)$ is bounded along the real axis and each of the functions

$$
F_{n}(z)=F(z) / F^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)\left(z-\lambda_{n}\right)
$$

therefore belongs to $P$. Since $\left(F_{n}, K_{m}\right)=F_{n}\left(\lambda_{m}\right)=\delta_{m n}$, it follows that $F_{n}=g_{n}$. Thus

$$
c_{n}=F_{n}(0)=-1 / \lambda_{n} F^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)
$$

and (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=-\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}}{\lambda_{n} F^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)}=-2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \lambda_{n} t}{\lambda_{n} F^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $z F^{\prime}(z)$ is even. It is to be shown that the series on the right does not converge in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$.

Now the values of $F^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ were determined explicitly in [7]:

$$
F^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n} \Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

Using the asymptotic formula $\Gamma(n) / \Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)=1 / \sqrt{n}+O\left(1 / n^{3 / 2}\right)[\mathbf{1}]$, we have

$$
F^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=A(-1)^{n}\left\{1 / \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}+\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \quad \text { where } \varepsilon_{n}=O\left(1 / n^{3 / 2}\right)
$$

A straightforward calculation then shows that the difference between the series on the right in (4) and

$$
\frac{1}{A} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \cos \lambda_{n} t}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}
$$

is uniformly convergent on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Accordingly, we need only show that this series diverges in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$.

Let $x=\pi-t(0 \leq t \leq \pi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}} \frac{\cos \lambda_{n} t}{} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \cos \left(\pi n-\pi / 4-\lambda_{n} x\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \left(\pi / 4+\lambda_{n} x\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $N=1,2,3, \ldots$, let $\delta_{N}=\pi / 16 N$. If $x \in\left[0, \delta_{N}\right]$, then $\pi / 4+\lambda_{n} x \in[\pi / 4,3 \pi / 8]$ whenever $1 \leq n \leq 2 N$ and hence $\cos \left(\pi / 4+\lambda_{n} x\right) \geq A>0$. Thus

$$
\sum_{N}^{2 N} \frac{\cos \left(\pi / 4+\lambda_{n} x\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}} \geq A \sum_{N}^{2 N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1 / 4}} \geq A \frac{N+1}{\sqrt{2 N-1 / 4}} \geq B \sqrt{N}
$$

where $B$ is a positive constant independent of $N$. Accordingly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{N}^{2 N} \frac{(-1)^{n} \cos \lambda_{n} t}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}\right\|^{2} & \geq\left\|\sum_{N}^{2 N} \frac{\cos \left(\pi / 4+\lambda_{n} x\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, \delta_{N}\right)}^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 \pi} B^{2} N \delta_{N}=\frac{B^{2}}{32}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $N$. Thus the series in (3) does not converge in $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$, and the system $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$ fails to be a basis.

Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\varepsilon_{1}<\frac{1}{4}$ and $\sum \varepsilon_{n}<\infty$. It is to be shown that if $\mu_{n}=\lambda_{n}-\varepsilon_{n}, \mu_{-n}=-\mu_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$, then the system $\left\{e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\}$ satisfies the conclusions of the theorem.

Clearly, $\mu_{n+1}-\mu_{n}>1$. Since $\sum\left|\lambda_{n}-\mu_{n}\right|<\infty$ and $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$ is exact, so is $\left\{e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\}[6]$. It remains only to show that $\left\{e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\}$ is not a basis for $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$. Suppose it were. Then the system $\left\{h_{n}(t)\right\}$, biorthogonal to $\left\{e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\}$, would satisfy $\left\|e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\| \cdot\left\|h_{n}\right\| \leq M$ for some constant $M$ and all values of $n$. Since each $\mu_{n}$ is real, $\left\|e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\|=1$ and hence $\left\|h_{n}\right\| \leq M$. We complete the proof by showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left|\lambda_{n}-\mu_{n}\right|<\infty \Rightarrow \sum\left\|e^{i \lambda_{n} t}-e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\|<\infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence of $\sum\left\|e^{i \lambda_{n} t}-e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\|\left\|h_{n}\right\|$ will then imply that $\left\{e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\right\}$ is a basis for $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ (see, e.g., $[\mathbf{9}$, p. 94]). The contradiction will prove the theorem.

To establish (5), write

$$
e^{i \lambda_{n} t}-e^{i \mu_{n} t}=e^{i \lambda_{n} t}\left(1-e^{-i \varepsilon_{n} t}\right)
$$

Expanding $1-e^{i \gamma t}$ in an everywhere-convergent Taylor series, we find

$$
\left|e^{i \lambda_{n} t}-e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right| \leq \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{K} t^{K}}{K!},
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n}\left\|e^{i \lambda_{n} t}-e^{i \mu_{n} t}\right\| & \leq \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{K}}{K!}\left(\sum_{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{K}\right) \leq \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{K}}{K!}\left(\sum \varepsilon_{n}\right)^{K} \\
& =\exp \left(\pi \sum \varepsilon_{n}\right)-1<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
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