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#### Abstract

We give a classification of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space under assumptions that the structure vector $\xi$ is principal, the focal map has constant rank and that $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$, where $C$ is the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of the real hypersurface.


## 1. Introduction

Let $M^{n}(c)$ denote an $n$-dimensional complex space form with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$. It is well known that a complete and simply connected complex space form is either complex projective space $P C^{n}$, complex Euclidean space $C^{n}$ or complex hyerbolic space $H C^{n}$, according as $c>0, c=0$ or $c<0$.

In this paper we consider a real hypersurface $M$ of $P C^{n}$. The induced almost contact metric structure and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of the real hypersurface $M$ in $P C^{n}$ are respectively denoted by $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ and $C$. Many differential geometers have studied $M$ by using the structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$. Typical examples of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space $P C^{n}$ are homogeneous ones and one of the first researches is the classification of these by Takagi [12]. He proved that all homogeneous hypersurfaces of $P C^{n}$ could be divided into six types which are said to be $A_{1}, A_{2}, B, C, D$ and $E$ (see Theorem A). This result was generalized by Kimura [4], who classified real hypersurfaces of $P C^{n}$ with constant principal curvatures and for which the structure vector $\xi$ is principal. Now, there exist many studies of real hypersurfaces in $P C^{n}$. Some hypersurfaces in $P C^{n}$ are characterized by conditions on the shape operator (or principal curvatures) and one of the structure tensors. On the other hand, some

[^0]studies about the nonexistence of real hypersurfaces under natural linear conditions imposed on the Ricci tensor $S$ or $\nabla S$ or the Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C$ or $\nabla C$ have been made by many researchers. Many results for real hypersurfaces of complex projective space have been obtained by Cecil and Ryan [1], Kimura [4], [5], Kon [7], S. Maeda [8], [9], Okumura [11], Takagi [12], [13] and so on (for more details see [8]). In particular, it is well known that there exist no Einstein real hypersurfaces $M$ in $P C^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ (cf. [7]). Also $P C^{n}(n \geq 3)$ does not admit real hypersurfaces $M$ with parallel Ricci tensor $S$ [2]. Recently S. Maeda [9], classified real hypersurfaces $M$ in $P C^{n}$ satisfying $\nabla_{\xi} S=0$ (that is the Ricci tensor $S$ is parallel in the direction of the structure vector $\xi=-J N$, where $N$ is a unit normal vector field on $M$ ) under the conditions that $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector of $M$ and that the focal map has constant rank on $M$.

On the other hand U. H. Ki, H. Nakagawa and Y. J. Suh [3] have proved that $P C^{n}$ does not admit real hypersurfaces $M$ with harmonic Weyl tensor $C$. So $P C^{n}$ does not admit real hypersurfaces $M$ with parallel Weyl tensor $C$ (that is $\nabla_{X} C=0$ for each vector $X$ tangent to $M$ ). This is perhaps natural since $\nabla C=0$ is not a conformal invariant. However one might impose a weaker condition utilizing some additional structure eventhough one might not have conformal invariance. Thus we investigate real hypersurfaces $M$ by using the condition $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$ (on the derivative of $C$ ) which is weaker than $\nabla C=0$.

The purpose of this paper is to classify real hypersurfaces $M$ in $P C^{n}$ satisfying $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$ under the condition that $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector of $M$ and that the focal map has constant rank on $M$.

THEOREM. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $P C^{n}(n \geq 3)$ on which $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector with principal curvature $\alpha=2 \cot 2 r$ and the focal map $\phi_{r}$ has constant rank. If for the Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C$ we have $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$, then $M$ is locally congruent to one of the following:
(1) a homogeneous real hypersurface which lies on a tube of radius $r$ over a totally geodesic $P C^{k}(1 \leq k \leq n-1)$, where $0<r<\pi / 2$,
(2) a non-homogeneous real hypersurface whch lies on a tube of radius $\pi / 4$ over a Kaehler submanifold $\tilde{N}$ with nonzero principal curvature $\neq \pm 1$.
(3) a non-homogeneous real hypersurface which lies on a tube of radius $r$ over a k-dimensional Kaehler submanifold $\tilde{N}$ on which the rank of each shape operator is not greater than 2 with nonzero principal curvature $\neq \pm \sqrt{(n-k-1) /(k-1)}$ and $\cot ^{2} r=(n-k-1) /(k-1)$, where $k=2, \cdots, n-1$.

REMARK 1. Since case (3) in the Theorem is a new example which is different from case (7) in Maeda's theorem in [9], it is essential to guarantee the existence of the Kaehler submanifold $\tilde{N}^{k}(k \geq 2)$ such that the rank of each shape operator is not greater than 2 in $P C^{n}$. The following example $\tilde{N}^{n-1}$ is a complex hypersurface (with singularity) in $P C^{n}$ such that the rank of each shape operator is not greater than 2 in $P C^{n}$.

EXAMPLE. Let $\gamma$ be a non-totally-geodesic complex curve in $P C^{n}$ and let $\phi_{\pi / 2}(\gamma)$ be a tube of radius $\pi / 2$ around the curve $\gamma$, that is $\phi_{\pi / 2}(\gamma)=\bigcup_{x \in \gamma}$ $\left\{\exp _{x}(\pi / 2) v, v\right.$ is a unit normal vector of $\gamma$ at $\left.x\right\}$. Then $\phi_{\pi / 2}(\gamma)$ is an ( $\left.n-1\right)$ dimensional complex hypersurface in $P C^{n}$ (with singularity). Let $\pm \cot \theta$ be the eigenvalues of the shape operator $A_{v}$ with respect to a unit normal vector $v$ of $\gamma$. Then the principal curvatures of $\phi_{\pi / 2}(\gamma)$ at $\exp _{x}(\pi / 2) v$ are given by (see Proposition 3.1 in [1]) $\cot (\pi / 2+\theta)$ with multiplicity $1, \cot (\pi / 2-\theta)$ with multiplicity 1 and 0 with multiplicity $2 n-4$.

## 2. Preliminaries.

First we briefly describe the basic properties of real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space. Let $M$ be an orientable real hypersurface of $P C^{n}(n \geq 3)$ with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 . On a neighborhood of each point of $M$, we denote by $N$ a local unit normal vector field of $M$ in $P C^{n}$. It is well known that $M$ admits an almost contact metric structure induced from the complex structure $J$ on $P C^{n}$. Namely, for the Riemannian metric $g$ of $M$ induced from the Fubini-Study metric $\tilde{g}$ of $P C^{n}$, we define a tensor field $\varphi$ of type $(1,1)$, a vector fiels $\xi$ and a 1 -form $\eta$ on $M$ by $g(\varphi X, Y)=\tilde{g}(J X, Y), g(\xi, X)=\eta(X)=\tilde{g}(J X, N)$ for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{2} X=-X+\eta(X) \xi, g(\xi, \xi)=1, \varphi(\xi)=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Riemannian connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $P C^{n}$ and $\nabla$ of $M$ are related by the following formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+g(A X, Y) N, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{X} N=-A X \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the shape operator of $M$ in $P C^{n}$.
Now it follows from (2.2) that the structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{x} \varphi\right) Y=\eta(Y) A X-g(A X, Y) \xi, \quad \nabla_{x} \xi=\varphi A X . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{R}$ and $R$ be the curvature tensors of $P C^{n}$ and $M$, respectively. Since the
curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ has a nice form, namely $P C^{n}$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 , the Gauss and Codazzi equations are respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y+g(\varphi Y, Z) \varphi X-g(\varphi X, Z) \varphi Y \\
& -2 g(\varphi X, Y) \varphi Z+g(A Y, Z) A X-g(A X, Z) A Y, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right) X=\eta(X) \varphi Y-2 g(\varphi X, Y) \xi
$$

By (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q X=(2 n+1) X-3 \eta(X) \xi+h A X-A^{2} X \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=\operatorname{trace} A$ and $Q$ denotes the Ricci operator of $M$ defined from the Ricci tensor $S$, i.e. $S(X, Y)=g(Q X, Y)$. The Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
C(X, Y) Z=R(X, Y) Z & +\frac{1}{2 n-3}[g(Q X, Z) Y-g(Q Y, Z) X+g(X, Z) Q Y  \tag{2.6}\\
& -g(Y, Z) Q X]-\frac{\tau}{2(n-1)(2 n-3)}(g(X, Z) Y-g(Y, Z) X)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau$ is the scalar curvature of $M$.
An eigenvector $X$ of the shape operator $A$ is called a principal curvature vector and an eigenvalue $\lambda$ is called a principal curvature. From now on, we assume that the structure vector field $\xi$ is principal, and $\alpha$ is the principal curvature associated with $\xi$, that is, $A \xi=\alpha \xi$. Then it has been shown that $\alpha$ is constant (see [14]). Also for a principal curvature vector $X$ orthogonal to $\xi$ and the associated principal curvature $\lambda$ we have (see [10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X=\lambda X \text { and } A \varphi X=\frac{\alpha \lambda+2}{2 \lambda-\alpha} \varphi X \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we recall without proof the following in order to prove our Theorem.
THEOREM A ([12]). Let $M$ be a homogeneous real hypersurface of $P^{n}$. Then $M$ is a tube of radius $r$ over one of the following Kaehler submanifolds:
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ hyperplane $P C^{n-1}$, where $0<r<\pi / 2$,
( $A_{2}$ ) totally geodesic $P C^{k}(1 \leq k \leq n-2)$, where $0<r<\pi / 2$,
(B) complex quadric $Q_{n-1}$, where $0<r<\pi / 4$,
(C) $\quad P C^{1} \times P C^{(n-1) / 2}$, where $0<r<\pi / 4$ and $n(\geq 5)$ is odd,
(D) complex Grasmannian $G_{2,5}(C)$, where $0<r<\pi / 4$ and $n=9$,
(E) Hermitian symmetric space $S O(10) / U(5)$, where $0<r<\pi / 4$ and

$$
n=15 \text {. }
$$

Theorem B ([4]). Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $P C^{n}$. Then $M$ has constant principal curvatures and $\xi$ is a principal vector if and only if $M$ is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface.

THEOREM C ([6]). Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $P C^{n}$. If $\nabla_{\xi} A=0$, then $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector; in addition, except for the null set on which the focal map $\phi_{r}$ degenerates, $M$ is locally congruent to one of the following:
(i) a homogeneous real hypersurface which lies on a tube of radius $r$ over a totally geodesic $P C^{k}(1 \leq k \leq n-1)$, where $0<r<\pi / 2$.
(ii) a non-homogeneous real hypersurface which lies on a tube of radius $\pi / 4$ over a Kaehler submanifold $N$ with nonzero principal curvatures $\neq \pm 1$.

THEOREM D ([1]). Let $M$ be a connected orientable real hypersurface (with unit normal vector $N$ ) in $P C^{n}$ on which $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector with principal curvature $\alpha=2 \cot 2 r$ and the focal map $\phi_{r}$ has constant rank on $M$. Then the following hold:
(i) $M$ is a tube of radius $r$ around a certain Kaehler submanfild $\tilde{N}$ in $P C^{n}$.
(ii) For $x \in M$, let $\cot \theta$ be a principal curvature of the shape operator at $\exp _{x} r N$ of $\tilde{N}, N$ being the inward normal at $x$. Then the real hypersurface $M$ has a principal curvature equal to $\cot (\theta-r)$ at $x$.

REMARK 2. For later use, we note that from the Theorem $A$, the homogeneous real hypersurfaces $M$ of type $A_{1}, A_{2}, B, C, D$, and $E$ have distinct principal curvatures $\xi_{i}$ with multiplicities $m\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ which we can read as follows (cf. [12]).
$A_{1}: \quad \xi_{1}=\operatorname{cotr}, \quad m\left(\xi_{1}\right)=2(n-1), \xi_{2}=2 \cot 2 r, m\left(\xi_{2}\right)=1$
$A_{2}: \quad \xi_{1}=\operatorname{cotr}, m\left(\xi_{1}\right)=2 k, \xi_{2}=-\operatorname{tanr}, m\left(\xi_{2}\right)=2(n-k-1)$,
$\xi_{3}=2 \cot 2 r, \quad m\left(\xi_{3}\right)=1$
B: $\quad \xi_{1}=\cot (r-(\pi / 4)), \quad m\left(\xi_{1}\right)=n-1, \quad \xi_{2}=-\tan (r-(\pi / 4)), m\left(\xi_{2}\right)=n-1$,
$\xi_{3}=2 \cot 2 r, \quad m\left(\xi_{3}\right)=1$
$C: \quad \xi_{i}=\cot (r-(\pi i / 4))(i=1,2,3,4), \quad m\left(\xi_{i}\right)=n-3$, for $i=2,4$
and $m\left(\xi_{i}\right)=2$, for $i=1,3 \quad \xi_{5}=2 \cot 2 r, m\left(\xi_{5}\right)=1$
$D: \quad \xi_{i}=\cot (r-(\pi i / 4)), \quad m\left(\xi_{i}\right)=4(i=1,2,3,4)$, $\xi_{5}=2 \cot 2 r, m\left(\xi_{5}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} M=17$
$E: \quad \xi_{i}=\cot (r-(\pi i / 4)), \quad(i=1,2,3,4), \quad m\left(\xi_{i}\right)=8$ for $i=2,4$ and $m\left(\xi_{i}\right)=6$ for $i=1,3, \quad \xi_{5}=2 \cot 2 r$, and $m\left(\xi_{5}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} M=29$.

It is easy to see that if $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector with principal curvature $\alpha$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X=\alpha \varphi A X-A \varphi A X+\varphi X \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, from (2.4) for $Y=\xi$ we have $\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X=\alpha \nabla_{X} \xi-A \nabla_{X} \xi-\varphi X$ and then using (2.3) we obtain (2.8).

Finally we complete our preliminaries with the following two lemmas:
Lemma 1. If $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector and $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$, then $\xi \tau=0$.
Proof. From (2.6) by using (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(X, Y) Z= & \frac{1}{2 n-3}\left(\frac{\tau}{2(n-1)}-2 n-5\right)(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y)+g(\varphi Y, Z) \varphi X \\
& -g(\varphi X, Z) \varphi Y-2 g(\varphi X, Y) \varphi Z+g(A Y, Z) A X-g(A X, Z) A Y \\
& +\frac{1}{2 n-3}[3 \eta(Z)(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y)+h(g(A X, Z) Y-g(A Y, Z) X) \\
& +g\left(A^{2} Y, Z\right) X-g\left(A^{2} X, Z\right) Y+3(g(Y, Z) \eta(X)-g(X, Z) \eta(Y)) \xi \\
& \left.+h(g(X, Z) A Y-g(Y, Z) A X)+g(Y, Z) A^{2} X-g(X, Z) A^{2} Y\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that the condition $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\xi}\left(C(X, Y) Z-C\left(\nabla_{\xi} X, Y\right) Z-C\left(X, \nabla_{\xi} C\right) Z-C(X, Y) \nabla_{\xi} Z=0 .\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for simplicity we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{X}=\alpha \varphi A X-A \varphi A X+\varphi X, \quad V_{X}=U_{A X}+A U_{X} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by a straightforward calculation and using (2.3) and (2.8) we obtain

$$
\left(\nabla_{\xi} C\right)(X, Y, Z)=\frac{1}{2(n-1)(2 n-3)}(\xi \tau)(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +g\left(U_{Y}, Z\right) A X-g\left(U_{X}, Z\right) A Y+g(A Y, Z) U_{X}-g(A X, Z) U_{Y}  \tag{2.11}\\
& +\frac{1}{2 n-3}\left[h\left(g\left(U_{X}, Z\right) Y-g\left(U_{Y}, Z\right) X\right)+g\left(V_{Y}, Z\right) X-g\left(V_{X}, Z\right) Y\right. \\
& \left.+h\left(g(X, Z) U_{Y}-g(Y, Z) U_{X}\right)+g(Y, Z) V_{X}-g(X, Z) V_{Y}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose $X$ orthogonal to $\xi$ and $A X=\lambda X$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{x}=(\alpha \lambda-\lambda \mu+1) \varphi X, \quad V_{x}=(\lambda+\mu)(\alpha \lambda-\lambda \mu+1) \varphi X \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=(\alpha \lambda+2) /(2 \lambda-\alpha)$.
Therefore putting $Z=\xi$ in (2.11) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2(n-1)(2 n-3)}(\xi \tau) \eta(Y) X  \tag{2.13}\\
& +(\alpha \lambda-\lambda \mu+1)\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2 n-3}(\lambda+\mu-h)\right) \eta(Y) \varphi X=0
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $\xi \tau=0$.
We notice that from (2.13) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \lambda-\lambda \mu+1)\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2 n-3}(\lambda+\mu-h)\right)=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. If $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector with principal curvature $\alpha=0$, then $\xi \tau=0$ and $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$.

Proof. From (2.5) we have $\tau=4\left(n^{2}-1\right)+h^{2}-\operatorname{tr} A^{2}$. Thus $\xi \tau=2 h(\xi h)$ $-\operatorname{tr} \nabla_{\xi} A^{2}$. But from [9. Lemma 2] we know that $\xi h=0$. Also $\alpha=0$ implies $\nabla_{\xi} A=0$ (see [9, Lemma 1]). Thus we obtain $\xi \tau=0$.

Now from (2.10) and (2.8) we get $U_{\xi}=0$ and $U_{X}=0$ for $X$ orthogonal to $\xi$ such that $A X=\lambda X$. Thus finally we have $U_{X}=V_{X}=0$ for all $X$. Then from (2.11) we obtain $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem:

From the fact that the principal curvature $\alpha$ of the principal curvature vector $\xi$ is constant, our discussion is divided into two cases:
(i) $\alpha=0$ and (ii) $\alpha \neq 0$.
(i) $\alpha=0$.

In this case we have $\nabla_{\xi} A=0$. Hence by virtue of Theorem $C$ we find that $M$ is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface which lies on a tube of radius $\pi / 4$ over a totally geodesic $P C^{k}(1 \leq k \leq n-1)$, or congruent to a nonhomogeneous real hypersurface which lies on a tube of radius $\pi / 4$ over a Kaehler submanifold $\tilde{N}$ with nonzero principal curvatures $\neq \pm 1$. Thus $M$ is of case (1) with $r=(\pi / 4)$ or of case (2) in the Theorem. From Lemma 2 we have that these examples satisfy $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$.
(ii) $\alpha \neq 0$.

We will follow the method of [9] and we will prove that $M$ cannot be
homogeneous of type $B, C, D$, or $E$.
From Lemma 1 and the relations (2.11) and (2.14) we have that for any principal curvature vector $X$ orthogonal to $\xi$, the principal curvature $\lambda$ must satisfy the following equation for $\lambda$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda^{2}-\alpha \lambda-1\right)\left[2 \lambda^{2}-2(h-(2 n-3) \alpha) \lambda+h \alpha+2-(2 n-3) \alpha^{2}\right]=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi$ is a principal curvature vector and the focal map $\phi_{r}$ has constant rank on $M$, our hypersurface $M$ is a tube (of radius $r$ ) over a certain (k-dimensional) Kaehler submanifold $\tilde{N}$ in $P C^{n}$. So we may put $\alpha=2 \cot 2 r(=\operatorname{cotr}-\operatorname{tanr})$ (cf. Theorem D). Now from (3.1) we have $\lambda^{2}-\alpha \lambda-1=0$ which gives $\lambda=\operatorname{cotr}$ and $\lambda=-$ tanr , or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \lambda^{2}-2(h-(2 n-3) \alpha) \lambda+h \alpha+2-(2 n-3) \alpha^{2}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}(\neq$ cotr, - tanr $)$ the solutions of (3.2).
Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=h-(2 n-3) \alpha \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha \lambda_{1}+2}{2 \lambda_{1}-\alpha}=\lambda_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now denote by $V_{\lambda}$ the eigenspace of $A$ associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda$ and by $m(\lambda)$ the multiplicity of $\lambda$. Then by using (2.7) and (3.4) we obtain

$$
\varphi V_{\text {cotr }}=V_{\text {corr }}, \varphi V_{-t a n r}=V_{-t u n r} \text { and } \varphi V_{\lambda_{1}}=V_{\lambda_{2}} .
$$

Thus the real hypersurface $M$ has at most five distinct principal curvatures $2 \cot 2 r$ (with multiplicity 1) cotr (with multiplicity $2 n-2 k-2$ ), -tanr (with multiplicity $2 k-2 m$ ), $\lambda_{1}$ (with multiplicity $m \geq 0$ ) and $\lambda_{2}$ (with multiplicity $m \geq 0$ ). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=(2 n-2 k-1) \cot -(2 k-2 m+1) \tan r+m\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 n-2 k-1) \operatorname{cotr}-(2 k-2 m+1) \operatorname{tanr}+(m-1)\left(\lambda_{1}+\frac{\alpha \lambda_{1}+2}{2 \lambda_{1}-\alpha}\right)-(2 n-3) \alpha=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for the multiplicity $m$ of the principal curvature $\lambda_{1}$, namely for the integer $m=m\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ we distinguish three cases: $m=0, m=1$ and $m \geq 2$.

We shall prove that $m<2$.
Suppose for the moment that $m \geq 2$. From (3.6) we have that $\lambda_{1}=$ constant .

Thus our manifold $M$ is homogeneous (cf. Theorem B) and from the Remark 2 we conclude that $M$ is of type $B, C, D$ or $E$. We will check one by one that these cases cannot occur.

Let $M$ be of type $B$ (namely $M$ is a tube of radius $r$ ). Then $M$ has three distinct constant principal curvatures $\mu_{1}=(1+x) /(1-x), \quad \mu_{2}=(x-1) /(x+1)$, $\alpha=(x-1 / x)$, where $x=\operatorname{cotr}$, with $m\left(\mu_{1}\right)=n-1, m\left(\mu_{2}\right)=n-1$ and $m(\alpha)=1$.

Thus

$$
h=(n-1) \frac{4 x}{1-x^{2}}+\frac{x^{2}-1}{x} .
$$

On the other hand, from (3.3) we have

$$
h=\frac{4 x}{1-x^{2}}+(2 n-3) \frac{x^{2}-1}{x} .
$$

From the last two relations we obtain

$$
(n-2) \frac{4 x}{1-x^{2}}=2(n-2) \frac{x^{2}-1}{x} \text { or } x^{4}+1=0, \text { impossible. }
$$

Now let $M$ be of type $C$ (which is also a tube of radius $r$ ). Let $x=\operatorname{cotr}$. Then $M$ has five distinct constant principal curvatures $\mu_{1}=(1+x) /(1-x)$ with $m\left(\mu_{1}\right)=2, \quad \mu_{2}=(x-1) /(x+1)$ with $m\left(\mu_{2}\right)=2, \quad \mu_{3}=x$ with $m\left(\mu_{3}\right)=n-3$, $\mu_{4}=(-1 / x)$ with $m\left(\mu_{4}\right)=n-3$ and $\alpha=(x-1 / x)$ with $m(\alpha)=1$ (cf. Remark 2). Since $\varphi V_{\mu_{1}}=V_{\mu_{2}}, \varphi V_{\mu_{3}}=V_{\mu_{3}}$ and $\varphi V_{\mu_{4}}=V_{\mu_{4}}$, the condition $\nabla_{\xi} C=0$ is equivalent to $h=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+(2 n-3) \alpha$. Then from this we obtain

$$
\frac{1+x}{1-x}+\frac{x-1}{x+1}+(n-2)\left(x-\frac{1}{x}\right)=(2 n-3) \frac{x^{2}-1}{x}
$$

or

$$
(n-1) x^{4}-2(n-3) x^{2}+n-1=0 .
$$

But this is impossible because the discriminant of this equation is negative.
Let $M$ be of type $D$ (which is a tube of radius $r$ ). Then $M$ has five distinct constant principal curvatures $\mu_{1}=(1+x) /(1-x)$ with $m\left(\mu_{1}\right)=4, \mu_{2}=(x-1) /(x+1)$ with $m\left(\mu_{2}\right)=4, \mu_{3}=x$ with $m\left(\mu_{3}\right)=4, \mu_{4}=-1 / x$ with $m\left(\mu_{4}\right)=4$ and $\alpha=(x-1 / x)$ with $m(\alpha)=1$, where $x=\operatorname{cotr}$ and $\operatorname{dim} M=17$ (cf. Remark 2 ). We have again as in case of type $C$, that $\varphi V_{\mu_{1}}=V_{\mu_{2}}, \varphi V_{\mu_{3}}=V_{\mu_{3}}$ and $\varphi V_{\mu_{4}}=V_{\mu_{4}}$. Thus the condition $\nabla_{\xi} C=0 \quad$ is equivalent to $h=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+(2 n-3) \alpha$. This becomes $(n-4) x^{4}-2(n-7) x^{2}+n-4=0$. From this we get $n \leq 5$ or equivalently $M \leq 9$, a contradiction.

Finally, let $M$ be of type $E$ (which is a tube of radius $r$ ). Then as above $M$ has the same five distinct constant principal curvatures $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{4}$ and $\alpha$ but with multiplicity $m\left(\mu_{1}\right)=m\left(\mu_{2}\right)=6, m\left(\mu_{3}\right)=m\left(\mu_{4}\right)=8$ and $m(\alpha)=1$ (cf. Remark 2). By virtue of the discussion in cases of type $C$ or $D$ we have only to solve the equation $h-\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}-(2 n-3) \alpha=0$. Namely we have the equation $(n-6) x^{4}-2(n-11) x^{2}$ $+(n-6)=0$. But in our case $\operatorname{dim} M=29$, or equivalently $n=15$. Thus we have $9 x^{4}-8 x^{2}+9=0$, which is impossible. This completes the proof of the assertion that $m<2$.

We will examine now the cases $m=0$ and $m=1$ separately. Let $m=0$. Our real hypersurface $M$ has three distinct principal curvatures and it is of case (1) with $0<r(\neq \pi / 4)<\pi / 2$ in the Theorem. Now let $m=1$. Our real hypersurface $M$ has at most five distinct principal curvatures $2 \operatorname{cor} 2 r$ with $m(2 \cot 2 r)=1$, cotr with $m($ cotr $)=2 n-2 k-2$, -tanr with $m(-$ tanr $)=2 k-2, \lambda_{1}$ with $m\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=1$ and $\lambda_{2}$ with $m\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=1$. Since the multiplicities of the principal curvatures of $M$ do not match with the multiplicities of any homogeneous real hypersurface (cf. Remark 2 ), the manifold $M$ is not homogeneous. Hence both $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are not constant (cf. Theorem B). Moreover, Theorem D shows that $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ can be expressed as: $\lambda_{1}=\cot (r-\theta)$ and $\lambda_{2}=\cot (r+\theta)$, where $\cot \theta$ is a principal curvature of the Kaehler submanifold $\tilde{N}$. In addition equation (3.6) yields that $\cot ^{2} r=$ $(n-k-1) /(k-1)$. Hence the manifold $M$ is of case (3) in the Theorem.
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